# Octonionic Reformulation of Vector Analysis

Bhupendra C. S. Chauhan, P. S. Bisht and O. P. S. Negi\* October 24, 2018

Department of Physics
Kumaun University
S. S. J. Campus
Almora – 263601 (Uttarakhand)

Email: bupendra.123@gmail.com ps\_bisht123@rediffmail.com ops\_negi@yhaoo.co.in

#### Abstract

According to celebrated Hurwitz theorem, there exists four division algebras consisting of R (real numbers), C (complex numbers), H (quaternions) and O (octonions). Keeping in view the utility of octonion variable we have tried to extend the three dimensional vector analysis to seven dimensional one. Starting with the scalar and vector product in seven dimensions, we have redefined the gradient, divergence and curl in seven dimension. It is shown that the identity n(n-1)(n-3)(n-7)=0 is satisfied only for 0, 1, 3 and 7 dimensional vectors. We have tried to write all the vector inequalities and formulas in terms of seven dimensions and it is shown that same formulas loose their meaning in seven dimensions due to non-associativity of octonions. The vector formulas are retained only if we put certain restrictions on octonions and split octonions.

<sup>\*</sup>Present Address from November 08- December 22, 2010: Universität Konstanz, Fachbereich Physik, Postfach-M 677, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany

#### 1 Introduction

Octonions were first introduced in Physics by Jordan, Von Neuman and Wigner [1], who investigated a new finite Hilbert space, on replacing the complex numbers by Octonions [2]. According to celebrated Hurwitz theorem [3] there exits four-division algebra consisting of  $\mathbb{R}$  (real numbers),  $\mathbb{C}$  (complex numbers),  $\mathbb{H}$  (quaternions) and  $\mathcal{O}$  (octonions). All four algebras are alternative with antisymmetric associators. Real numbers and complex numbers are limited only up to two dimensions, quaternions are extended to four dimensions (one real and three imaginaries) while octonions represent eight dimensions (one scalar and seven vectors namely one real and seven imaginaries). In 1961, Pais [4] pointed out a striking similarity between the algebra of interactions and the split octonion algebra. Accordingly, some attention has been drawn to octonions in theoretical physics with the hope of extending the 3+1 spacetime framework of the theory to eight dimensions in order to accommodate the ever increasing quantum numbers and internal symmetries assigned to elementary particles and gauge fields. A lot of literature is available [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] on the applications of octonions to interpret wave equation, Dirac equation, and the extension of octonion non - associativity to physical theories. Keeping in view the utility of octonion variable, in the present paper, we have tried to extend the three dimensional vector analysis to seven dimensional one. Starting with the scalar and vector product, we have redefined the gradient, divergence and curl in seven dimension with the definitions of octonion variables. It is shown that the identity n(n-1)(n-3)(n-7)=0is satisfied only for 0, 1, 3 and 7 dimensional vectors. We have tried to write all the vector inequalities and formulas in terms of seven dimensions and it is shown that same formulas loose their meaning in seven dimensions due to non – associativity of octonions. In this context we have tried to reformulate the vector analysis and it is shown that some vector identities are loosing their original form of three dimensional space in seven dimension vector space and looking for more generalized representation in seven dimension space.

#### 2 Octonion Definition

An octonion x is expressed as a set of eight real numbers

$$x = e_0 x_0 + e_1 x_1 + e_2 x_2 + e_3 x_3 + e_4 x_4 + e_5 x_5 + e_6 x_6 + e_7 x_7$$

$$= e_0 x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^7 e_j x_j$$
(1)

where  $e_j(\forall j = 1, 2, ..., 7)$  are imaginary octonion units and  $e_0$  is the multiplicative unit element. Set of octets  $(e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7)$  are known as the octonion basis elements and satisfy the following multiplication rules

$$e_0 = 1;$$
  $e_0 e_j = e_j e_0 = e_j;$   $e_j e_k = -\delta_{jk} e_0 + f_{jkl} e_l.$   $(\forall j, k, l = 1, 2, ...., 7)$  (2)

The structure constants  $f_{jkl}$  is completely antisymmetric and takes the value 1 for following combinations,

$$f_{jkl} = +1 \{ \forall (jkl) = (123), (471), (257), (165), (624), (543), (736) \}.$$
 (3)

It is to be noted that the summation convention is used for repeated indices. Here the octonion algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  is described over the algebra of real numbers having the vector space of dimension 8. Octonion conjugate is defined as

$$\overline{x} = e_0 x_0 - e_1 x_1 - e_2 x_2 - e_3 x_3 - e_4 x_4 - e_5 x_5 - e_6 x_6 - e_7 x_7$$

$$= e_0 x_0 - \sum_{j=1}^7 e_j x_j$$
(4)

where we have used the conjugates of basis elements as  $\overline{e_0} = e_0$  and  $\overline{e_A} = -e_A$ . Hence an octonion can be decomposed in terms of its scalar (Sc(x)) and vector (Vec(x)) parts as

$$Sc(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \overline{x}); \quad Vec(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \overline{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{7} e_j x_j.$$
 (5)

Conjugates of product of two octonions is described as  $\overline{(x\,y)} = \overline{y}\,\overline{x}$  while the own conjugate of an octonion is written as  $\overline{(\overline{x})} = x$ . The scalar product of two octonions is defined as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (x \overline{y} + y \overline{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{x} y + \overline{y} x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{7} x_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}.$$
 (6)

The norm N(x) and inverse  $x^{-1}$  (for a nonzero x) of an octonion are respectively defined as

$$N(x) = x \overline{x} = \overline{x} x = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{7} x_{\alpha}^{2} \cdot e_{0};$$

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\overline{x}}{N(x)} \Longrightarrow x x^{-1} = x^{-1} x = 1.$$

$$(7)$$

The norm N(x) of an octonion x is zero if x = 0, and is always positive otherwise. It also satisfies the following property of normed algebra

$$N(x y) = N(x) N(y) = N(y) N(x).$$
 (8)

Equation (2) directly leads to the conclusion that octonions are not associative in nature and thus do not form the group in their usual form. Non - associativity of octonion algebra  $\mathcal{O}$  is described by the associator (x,y,z)=(xy)z-x(yz)  $\forall x,y,z\in\mathcal{O}$  defined for any 3 octonions. If the associator is totally antisymmetric for exchanges of any 2 variables, i.e. (x,y,z)=-(z,y,x)=-(y,x,z)=-(x,z,y), the algebra is called alternative. Hence, the octonion algebra is neither commutative nor associative but, is alternative.

# 3 Multi- Dimensional Vector Analysis

Following Silagadze [11], let us consider n-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  over the field of real numbers with standard Euclidean scalar product. So, it is natural to describe the generalization of usual 3- dimensional vector space to n-dimensional vector space in order to represent the following three dimensional vector products of two vectors in n-dimensional vector space i.e.

$$\overrightarrow{A} \times \overrightarrow{A} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\left(\overrightarrow{A} \times \overrightarrow{B}\right) \cdot \overrightarrow{A} = \left(\overrightarrow{A} \times \overrightarrow{B}\right) \cdot \overrightarrow{B} = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\left|\overrightarrow{A} \times \overrightarrow{B}\right| = \left|\overrightarrow{A}\right| \left|\overrightarrow{B}\right|, \quad if \quad \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}\right) = 0,$$
 (11)

$$\left(\overrightarrow{A} \times \overrightarrow{B}\right) \cdot \left(\overrightarrow{A} \times \overrightarrow{B}\right) = \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{A}\right) \left(\overrightarrow{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}\right) - \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}\right)^{2}, \tag{12}$$

$$\overrightarrow{A} \times \left(\overrightarrow{B} \times \overrightarrow{A}\right) = \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{A}\right) \overrightarrow{B} - \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}\right) \overrightarrow{A}, \tag{13}$$

where  $\overrightarrow{A}$  and  $\overrightarrow{B}$  are the vectors in *n*-dimensional vector space. However the familiar identity

$$\overrightarrow{A} \times \left(\overrightarrow{B} \times \overrightarrow{C}\right) = \overrightarrow{B} \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{C}\right) - \overrightarrow{C} \left(\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}\right) \tag{14}$$

is not satisfied in general for all values of n- dimensional vector space. This identity (14) is verified only for the space dimension n satisfying [11] the equation

$$n(n-1)(n-3)(n-7) = 0. (15)$$

As such, the space dimension must be equal to magic number seven for the unique generalization of ordinary three dimensional vector products. It shows that the identity (15) is satisfied only for n = 0, 1, 3 and 7 dimensional vectors. The identity (15) is thus the direct consequence of celebrated Hurwitz theorem [3] which shows that there exits four-division algebra consisting of  $\mathbb{R}$  (real numbers) (n = 0),  $\mathbb{C}$  (complex numbers) (n = 1),  $\mathbb{H}$  (quaternions) (n = 3) and  $\mathcal{O}$  (octonions) (n = 7).

# 4 Octonion Analysis of Vector Space

Using the octonion multiplication rules (2), we may define the seven dimensional vector product as

$$\overrightarrow{e_j} \times \overrightarrow{e_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=7} f_{jkl} \overrightarrow{e_k}, \quad \forall j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, 6, 7$$
 (16)

where  $f_{jkl}$  is described by equation (3). It is a totally  $G_2$ -invariant anti-symmetric tensor. As such we have

$$f_{jkl}f_{lmn} = g_{jkmn} + \delta_{jm}\delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn}\delta_{km} = -f_{lmn}f_{jkl}$$
(17)

where  $g_{jkmn} = \overrightarrow{e_j} \cdot \{\overrightarrow{e_k}, \overrightarrow{e_m}, \overrightarrow{e_n}\}$  is a totally  $G_2$ -invariant anti-symmetric tensor [11] and  $g_{jkmn} = -g_{mkjn}$ . The only independent components are  $g_{1254} = g_{1267} = g_{1364} = g_{1375} = g_{2347} = g_{2365} = g_{4576} = 1$ . Thus, we may write the left hand side of equation (14) as

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) = -\sum_{jk=1}^{7} \sum_{pq=1}^{7} [g_{pqjk} + \delta_{pj}\delta_{qk} - \delta_{pk}\delta_{qj}] A_{j}B_{p}C_{q}\hat{e}_{k}$$

$$= -\sum_{jk}^{7} \sum_{pq}^{7} g_{pqjk}A_{j}B_{p}C_{q}\hat{e}_{k} - \sum_{jk}^{7} A_{j}B_{j}C_{k}\hat{e}_{k} + \sum_{jk}^{7} A_{j}C_{j}B_{k}\hat{e}_{k}$$

$$= irreducible term + \vec{B}(\vec{A}.\vec{C}) - \vec{C}(\vec{A}.\vec{B}).$$
(18)

Hence, the identity of Triple Product in seven dimensional vector space is not satisfied unless we apply the definition of octonion to define the irreducible term as a ternary product such that

$$irreducible term = -\sum_{jk}^{7} \sum_{pq}^{7} g_{pqjk} A_j B_p C_q \hat{e_k} = \left\{ \vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C} \right\}, \tag{19}$$

which gives rise to

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) = \vec{B}(\vec{A}.\vec{C}) - \vec{C}(\vec{A}.\vec{B}) + \left\{ \vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C} \right\}. \tag{20}$$

The  $\{\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}\}$  is called as the associator for the case of octonions. Applying the condition of alternativity to octonions the equation (20) reduces to the well known vector identity (14) for the various permutation values of structure constant  $f_{jkl}$  for which the associator is going to be vanished.

Let us use the vector calculus for seven dimensional vector space from the definition of octonion variables. Defining the seven dimensional differential operator Nabla as  $\vec{\nabla} = \sum_{i}^{7} \hat{e}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ , we may now define the gradient, curl and divergence of scar and vector quantities as

$$grad u = \vec{\nabla} u = \sum_{i}^{7} \hat{e}_{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, \tag{21}$$

$$curl \overrightarrow{A} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{A} = \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x_i} \hat{e_k}, \qquad (22)$$

$$div \overrightarrow{A} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{A} = \sum_{i}^{7} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}.$$
 (23)

The Divergent of a curl is zero in usual three dimensional vector-space. This is also

applicable to seven dimensional vector-space if we adopt the octonion multiplication rules (2). We may also prove it in the following manner as

$$\vec{\nabla}.(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \sum_{l}^{7} \left[ \hat{e}_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \right] \cdot \left[ \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \hat{e}_{k} \right] = \sum_{l}^{7} \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial^{2} A_{j}}{\partial x_{l} \partial x_{i}} \delta_{lk}$$

$$= \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial^{2} A_{j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijk}^{7} \left[ f_{ijk} + f_{kji} \right] \frac{\partial^{2} A_{j}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}} = 0.$$
(24)

Hence curl of a vector is solenoidal in seven dimensional vector space. We know that the curl of gradient of a vector is zero in 3-dimensional vector-space. thus we see that it also happens for the case of 7- dimensional vector- space by adopting the octonion multiplication rules (2) in the following way as

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla}u) = \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{7} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \hat{e_k}$$

$$= \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \hat{e_k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijk}^{7} [f_{ijk} + f_{jik}] \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \hat{e_k} = 0.$$
(25)

Thus, the gradient of a curl is also irrotational in seven dimensional vector space with the definition of octonions. Let us see what happens to the vector identities

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})$$
 (26)

in seven dimensional vector-space. The left hand side of equation (26) reduces to

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \sum_{l}^{7} \hat{e}_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \cdot \left[ \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} A_{i} B_{j} \hat{e}_{k} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{ijk}^{7} \sum_{l}^{7} f_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} [A_{i} B_{j}] \delta_{ij} = \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \left[ A_{i} \frac{\partial B_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} + B_{j} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \right]$$
(27)

while the right hand side of equation (26) changes to

$$\vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) = \sum_{l}^{7} \hat{e}_{l} B_{l} \cdot \left[ \sum_{mnt}^{7} f_{mnt} \frac{\partial A_{n}}{\partial x_{m}} \hat{e}_{t} \right] - \sum_{s}^{7} \hat{e}_{s} A_{s} \cdot \left[ \sum_{pqr}^{7} f_{pqr} \frac{\partial B_{q}}{\partial x_{p}} \hat{e}_{r} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} B_{j} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} - \left[ -\sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} A_{i} \frac{\partial B_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} \right] = \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} \left[ A_{i} \frac{\partial B_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} + B_{j} \frac{\partial A_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \right],$$

$$(28)$$

which is equal to the left hand side equation (27). Hence the identity (26) has been satisfied for seven dimensional space. Similarly, on using the octonion multiplication rules (2) we may prove the following identities in seven dimensions i.e.

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (u\vec{A}) = u(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}u), \tag{29}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (u\vec{A}) = u(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{\nabla}u) \times \vec{A},\tag{30}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}. \tag{31}$$

However the vector identity

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{B} - \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B})$$
(32)

is not satisfied and its left hand side reduces to

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \sum_{wkt}^{7} f_{wkt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_w} \left[ \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} A_i B_j \right] \hat{e_t} = -\sum_{wkt}^{7} \sum_{ijk}^{7} f_{ijk} f_{kwt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_w} [A_i B_j] \hat{e_t}$$
(33)

whereas the right hand expression of equation (32) becomes

$$(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \vec{B} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) = \sum_{ij}^{7} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_j} B_j \hat{e_i} - \sum_{lm}^{7} A_l \frac{\partial B_m}{\partial x_l} \hat{e_m} - \sum_{ij}^{7} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_i} B_j \hat{e_j} + \sum_{ij}^{7} A_i \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_j} \hat{e_i}.$$
(34)

Comparing equations (33) and (34), we get

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{B} - \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) + irreducible term$$
(35)

where the irreducible term takes the expression

$$irreducible\ term = -\sum_{wt}^{7} \sum_{ij}^{7} g_{ijwt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_w} [A_i B_j] \hat{e}_t$$

$$= -\sum_{wt}^{7} \sum_{ij}^{7} g_{ijwt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_w} [A_i B_j] \hat{e}_t = \sum_{t}^{7} \hat{e}_t \left[ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \hat{e}_t, \vec{A}, \vec{B} \right\} \right]. \tag{36}$$

Hence we get

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) + \sum_{t}^{7} \hat{e}_{t} \left[ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \hat{e}_{t}, \vec{A}, \vec{B} \right\} \right] = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \vec{B} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + \vec{A} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}).$$
(37)

Thus we see that the identity (32) is satisfied only when we have the reducible term vanishing and that can be obtained for different values of permutations of structure constant given by equation (3).

Similarly the other vector identity

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + \vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{B} + \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})$$
(38)

may also be verified after doing certain modifications also. For this, let us take the first and third terms of left hand side of equation (38) as

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} = \sum_{ikst}^{7} g_{stik} A_i \frac{\partial B_t}{\partial x_s} \hat{e_k} + \sum_{ik}^{7} A_i \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_k} \hat{e_k}$$
(39)

and the other two terms of left hand side of equation (38) are written as

$$\vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = \sum_{ikst}^{7} g_{stik} B_i \frac{\partial A_t}{\partial x_s} \hat{e_k} + \sum_{ik}^{7} B_i \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_k} \hat{e_k}$$
(40)

However, the right hand side of (38) reduces to

$$\vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) = \sum_{ik}^{7} A_i \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_k} \hat{e_k} + \sum_{ik}^{7} B_i \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x_k} \hat{e_k}. \tag{41}$$

As such, it is concluded that the general form of equation (38) of three dimensional vector space is not satisfied for octonions with the fact that this equation becomes

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{B} + \vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{A} = \vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) + irreducible term. \tag{42}$$

Applying the alternativity relations for octonion basis elements, we see that the the irreducible term is reduced as

$$irreducible term = -\left[\sum_{ikst}^{7} g_{stik} A_{i} \frac{\partial B_{t}}{\partial x_{s}} \hat{e_{k}} + \sum_{ikst}^{7} g_{stik} B_{i} \frac{\partial A_{t}}{\partial x_{s}} \hat{e_{k}}\right]$$

$$= -\left[\sum_{k}^{7} \hat{e_{k}} [\vec{A} \cdot \{\hat{e_{k}}, \vec{\nabla}, \vec{B}\}] + \sum_{k}^{7} \hat{e_{k}} [\vec{B} \cdot \{\hat{e_{k}}, \vec{\nabla}, \vec{A}\}]\right] = 0. \quad (43)$$

Hence the identity (38) is verified for seven dimensional vector space in terms of octonion basis elements..

Acknowledgment: One of us OPSN is thankful to Professor H. Dehnen, Universität Konstanz, Fachbereich Physik, Postfach-M 677, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany for his kind hospitality at Universität Konstanz. He is also grateful to German Academic Exchange Service (Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst), Bonn for their financial support under DAAD re-invitation programme.

### References

- [1] P. Jordan, J Neuman, Von and E. P. Wigner, Ann. Math., 35, (1934), 29.
- [2] A. Cayley, Philos. Mag. <u>26</u>, (1845), 208; R. P. Graves, "Life of Sir William Rowan Hamilton", 3 volumes, Arno Press, New York, 1975; J. C. Baez, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., <u>39</u>, (2001), 145; J. H. Conway, "On Quaternions and Octonions: Their Geometry, Arithmetic, and Symmetry," A K Peters Ltd, Massachusetts, 2003.

- [3] L. E. Dickson, Ann. Math., <u>20</u>, (1919), 155; W. R. Hamilton, "Elements of quaternions", Chelsea Publications Co., NY, (1969); P.G.Tait, "An elementary Treatise on Quaternions", Oxford Univ. Press (1875).
- [4] A. Pais, Phys. Rev. Lett., <u>7</u>, (1961), 291.
- [5] R. Penny, Am. J. Phys., **36**, (1968), 871; Nuovo Cimento, **B3**, (1971), 95.
- [6] K. Imaeda, H. Tachibana, M. Imaeda and S.Ohta, IL Nuovo Cimento, <u>B100</u>, (1987), 53; <u>B105</u>, (1990) 1203; Bull. Okayama Univ. Sci., <u>A24</u>, (1989) 181; K. Imaeda and H.Tachibana, Il Nuovo Cimento, ; <u>B104</u> (1989) 91; Bull. Okayama Univ. Sci., <u>A19</u>, (1984), 93; H. Tachibana; Ph.D.Thesis, Okayama Univ. Science (1990).
- [7] G. C. Joshi, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, <u>44</u>, (1985), 449; A. J. Davis and G. C. Joshi,
  J. Math. Phys., <u>27</u>, (1986), 3036; R. Foot and G. C. Joshi, Int. J. Theor. Phys.,
  <u>28</u>, (1989), 1449; Phys. Lett., <u>B199</u>, (1987), 203.
- [8] A. Gamba, J. Math. Phys., <u>8</u>, (1967), 775; Il Nuovo Cimento, <u>A111</u>, (1998)
   293; M. Gogberashvili, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen., <u>39</u>, (2006) 7099.
- [9] G. M. Dixon, "Division Algebras: Octonions, Quaternions, Complex Numbers, and the Algebraic Design of Physics", (Kluwer, 1994).
- [10] S. Okubo, "Introduction to Octonion and Other Non-Associative Algebras in Physics", Montreal Memorial Lecture Series in Mathematical Physics, 2, Cambridge University Press, 1995; C.H. Barton and A. Sudbery, "MAGIC SQUARES OF LIE ALGEBRAS" arXiv:math.RA/0001083.
- [11] Z. K. Silagadze, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., <u>35</u>, (2002) 4949; M. Rost, Documenta Mathematica, <u>1</u>, (1996) 209; J. A. Nieto and L. N. Alejo-Armenta, Int. J. Mod. Phys., <u>A16</u>, (2001) 4207.
- [12] P. S. Bisht and O. P. S. Negi, Ind. J. P. Appl. Phys., 31, 292 (1993); 32, 183 (1994); Int. J. Theor. Phys., 47, 1497 (2008); P. S. Bisht, Shalini Dangwal and O. P. S. Negi, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 47, 2297 (2008); P. S. Bisht, B. Pandey and O. P. S. Negi, FIZIKA (Zagreb), B17, 405 (2008); P. S. Bisht and O.P.S.Negi, Pramana (India), 73, 105 (2009); B. C. Chanyal, P. S. Bisht and O. P. S. Negi, Int. J. Theor. Phys., 49, 1333 (2010).