

Singular value decomposition and matrix reorderings in quantum information theory

Jarosław Adam Miszczak

*Institute of Theoretical and Applied Informatics,
Polish Academy of Sciences, Bałtycka 5, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland*

(Dated: 08/04/2011 (v. 1.30))

We review Schmidt and Kraus decompositions in the form of singular value decomposition using operations of reshaping, vectorization and reshuffling. We use the introduced notation to analyse the correspondence between quantum states and operations with the help of Jamiolkowski isomorphism. The presented matrix reorderings allow us to obtain simple formulae for the composition of quantum channels and partial operations used in quantum information theory. To provide examples of the discussed operations we utilize a package for the *Mathematica* computing system implementing basic functions used in the calculations related to quantum information theory.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 02.10.Yn, 02.70.Wz

Keywords: vectorization, SVD, scientific computing

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum information theory [1–3] aims to provide methods of harnessing the quantum nature of information carriers to develop more efficient quantum algorithms and more secure communication protocols. Mathematically quantum systems are described using the formalism of density matrices and the most general form of quantum evolution is described by completely positive operators [2, 4].

In many situations in quantum information theory one deals with vector spaces of the tensor-product form. For example, the description of composite quantum systems is based on a tensor product of spaces describing subsystems. This is essential for the phenomenon of quantum entanglement, which is one of the most important features of quantum information theory [5, 6]. Also the theory of quantum channels, which are used, for example, to describe errors in quantum computation and communication protocols, deals with the composite channels that are described as tensor products of channels. The composition of quantum channels gives rise to another phenomenon unique to quantum information theory, namely the non-additivity of channel capacity [7, 8].

The main aim of this report is to present a uniform view on Schmidt and Kraus decompositions. Both decompositions provide very important tools used in quantum information theory. Schmidt decomposition is used to describe quantum entanglement in the special case of pure quantum states. Kraus decomposition, on the other hand, is used in the analysis of quantum channels. We achieve our goal by presenting both decompositions in the form of singular value decomposition and by using some matrix reorderings. As the reorderings are used in many branches of mathematics, physics and computer science, our goal is also to clarify the used notation. The presented concepts form the basis for the package of functions for *Mathematica* computer algebra systems presented in the last part of this report.

This report is organized as follows. In Section II we review some basic algebraic facts applied in the this re-

port. In particular we fix the notions of matrix reshaping, vectorization and reshuffling. In Section III we use the SVD theorem in the finite dimensional Hilbert space to obtain Schmidt decomposition for pure quantum states. We also rephrase Schmidt decomposition in any unitary space and apply it to density matrices to obtain so called *operator Schmidt decomposition*. In Section IV we use the conditions for quantum channels and Singular Value Decomposition to derive the Kraus form of a quantum channel and we analyse the composition of quantum channels and partial operations. Finally, Appendix A contains some examples of the discussed concepts using the package of functions for *Mathematica* computing system.

Notation In what follows we denote by \mathbf{v} elements of finite vector space and $|\phi\rangle$ pure states. By $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ we denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . The set of square $n \times n$ matrices is denoted by \mathbb{M}_n . The set of n -dimensional density matrices (normalized, positive semi-definite operators on \mathbb{C}^n) is denoted by Ω_n .

The set \mathbb{M}_n has the structure of the Hilbert space with the scalar product given by the formula

$$(A, B) = \text{tr } A^\dagger B. \quad (1)$$

This particular Hilbert space is known as the Hilbert-Schmidt space of operators acting on \mathbb{C}^n and we will denote it by \mathcal{H}_{HS} .

II. SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION AND MATRIX REORDERINGS

In this section we review some basic algebraic facts used in the following parts of this report. One should note that the operations of reshaping and vectorization, introduced in this section, are used in many areas of science and engineering – see for example [9, 10]. For this reason in many cases the naming conventions differ depending on the authors' preferences and backgrounds.

A. Singular value decomposition

For the sake of consistency we start by recalling singular value decomposition (SVD) which is valid for any $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{C} [11, Chapter 7.3].

Theorem 1 (Singular Value Decomposition) *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ has the rank $k \leq m$. Then there exist unitary matrices $U \in \mathbb{M}_m$ and $V \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that*

$$A = U\Sigma V^\dagger. \quad (2)$$

The matrix $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ is such that

$$\sigma_{ij} = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sigma_{11} \geq \sigma_{22} \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{kk} > \sigma_{k+1,k+1} = \dots = \sigma_{qq} = 0, \quad (4)$$

with $q = \min(m, n)$.

The numbers $\sigma_{ii} \equiv \sigma_i$ are *singular values*, i.e. non-negative square roots of the eigenvalues of AA^\dagger . The columns of U are eigenvectors of AA^\dagger and the columns of V are eigenvectors of $A^\dagger A$.

In the special case when A is positive semi-definite the above decomposition is equivalent to the eigendecomposition of A .

B. Reshaping and vectorization

Singular value decomposition provides us with the particular form of a given matrix. This can be directly applied in the case when we deal with linear maps on a finite-dimensional vector space.

In order to use the singular value decomposition we need one more algebraic tool, namely the mapping between $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{mn,1}$ (or \mathbb{C}^{mn}). We define two functions, which can be used as such mappings.

Definition 1 (Reshaping and vectorization) *Let $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$. We define the reshaping of A as*

$$\mathbf{res}(A) = (a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n}, a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, \dots, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{m1}, a_{m2}, \dots, a_{mn})^T, \quad (5)$$

and the vectorization of A as

$$\mathbf{vec}(A) = (a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{m1}, a_{12}, a_{22}, \dots, \dots, a_{m2}, \dots, a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{mn})^T \quad (6)$$

where ‘ T ’ denotes matrix transposition.

In other words the vectorization of matrix A means its reordering in column order and reshaping – in row order. Note that $\mathbf{res}(A)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{vec}(A^T)$. Both operations can be achieved using, for example, `Flatten`

function in *Mathematica* or `reshape` function in *Matlab* and *GNU Octave*.

Both $\mathbf{vec}(A)$ and $\mathbf{res}(A)$, map $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ onto $\mathbb{M}_{mn,1}$. Both operations can also be interchanged as they are connected by the formula

$$\mathbf{res} A = \mathbf{vec} A^T. \quad (7)$$

Thus, it is rather a matter of taste which one to use.

One should keep in mind that there are several notational conventions in literature for denoting vectorization and reshaping operations. We use the definition of vectorization as provided in [10], [12, Definition 7.1.1] and [13, Definition 4.2.9]. The reshaping operation defined above agrees with the convention used in [4, Chapter 10] and it corresponds to the row-major order method of turning a matrix into a vector. In [14] this operation is denoted as `col`. In the recent preprint of Gilchrist *et al.* [15] the authors refer to `res` operation defined above as to vectorization.

Vectorization and reshaping have many useful properties, some of which we are going to use in the following sections. In particular if $A, B, C \in \mathbb{M}_m$ then we have the following.

$$(P1) \quad \mathbf{vec}(A) = \mathbf{res}(A^T) \text{ for } A \in \mathbb{M}_m,$$

$$(P2) \quad \mathbf{vec}(ABC) = (C^T \otimes A) \mathbf{vec}(B) \text{ and } \mathbf{res}(ABC) = (A \otimes C^T) \mathbf{res}(B),$$

$$(P3) \quad \mathbf{vec}(AB) = (\mathbb{1} \otimes A) \mathbf{vec}(B) = (B^T \otimes \mathbb{1}) \mathbf{vec}(A),$$

$$(P4) \quad \mathbf{vec}(A \circ B) = \mathbf{vec}(A) \circ \mathbf{vec}(B), \text{ where ‘}\circ\text{’ denotes the Hadamard product [11],}$$

$$(P5) \quad \text{tr } A^\dagger B = \mathbf{vec}(A)^* \cdot \mathbf{vec}(B) = \mathbf{res}(A)^* \cdot \mathbf{res}(B), \text{ where ‘}\cdot\text{’ denotes the scalar product of two vectors in } \mathbb{C}^n.$$

In particular properties (P2), (P3) and (P4) from the above list also hold for rectangular matrices of appropriate dimensions. According to [13] the property (P2) appeared for the first time in [16] and it will be crucial in the next sections.

C. Reshuffling

Our main goal is to use SVD to analyse composite quantum states and the dynamics of quantum systems. In both cases we need to deal with the tensor-product structure. For pure quantum states this structure is fixed by the physical structure of the system we aim to describe. For quantum channels this structure is introduced by Jamiolkowski isomorphism, which uses the operation of *reshuffling*.

Reshuffling can be used to fix particular tensor product structure in the set of matrices. Roughly speaking a reshuffled matrix is a matrix represented in a particular tensor-product base.

Let us denote by $\{\epsilon_i : i = 1, \dots, m^2\}$ and $\{\epsilon_j : j = 1, \dots, n^2\}$ canonical bases in \mathbb{M}_m and \mathbb{M}_n respectively. This is to say that $\mathbf{res}(\epsilon_i)$ ($\mathbf{res}(\epsilon_j)$) has 1 at i -th (j -th) position and zeros elsewhere and $\mathbf{res}(\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j)$ has 1 at the $i \times j$ -th position.

Definition 2 (Reshuffling) Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_k$ with $k = mn$, i.e. $\mathbb{M}_k = \mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$. Matrix with elements

$$\{A^{R(m,n)}\}_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr}[(\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j)^\dagger A] \quad (8)$$

is called a reshuffling of matrix A with respect to subspaces \mathbb{M}_m and \mathbb{M}_n .

Using \mathbf{res} operation a reshuffled matrix can be expressed as

$$\{A^{R(m,n)}\}_{ij} = \mathbf{res}(\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j) \cdot \mathbf{res}(A), \quad (9)$$

where we have used the fact that matrices ϵ_i and ϵ_j are real.

Note that this type of matrix reordering was introduced without any connection to quantum physics in [17].

One can also introduce the reshuffling operation using transposed canonical bases, which are ordered accordingly in column order. This is to say that $\mathbf{vec}(\epsilon_i^T)$ ($\mathbf{vec}(\epsilon_j^T)$) has 1 at i -th (j -th) position and zeros elsewhere and $\mathbf{vec}(\epsilon_i^T \otimes \epsilon_j^T)$ has 1 at the $(i \times j)$ -th position. We define alternative reshuffling by counting matrix elements in column order.

Definition 3 (Alternative reshuffling) Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_k$ with $k = mn$, i.e. $\mathbb{M}_k = \mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$. Matrix with elements

$$\{A^{R'(m,n)}\}_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr}[(\epsilon_j^T \otimes \epsilon_i^T)^\dagger A] \quad (10)$$

is called an alternative reshuffling of matrix A with respect to subspaces \mathbb{M}_m and \mathbb{M}_n .

Taking into account that base matrices are real we get

$$\{A^{R'(m,n)}\}_{ij} = \text{tr}[(\epsilon_j \otimes \epsilon_i)A]. \quad (11)$$

Note that it is also possible to define reshuffling in more general case when matrix A is of the form $A = X \otimes Y$ with $X \in \mathbb{M}_{k,l}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}$.

We usually work with a density matrix ρ , which is said to be an element of $S(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$. In such case the reshuffling operation is understood with respect to canonical bases in $S(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $S(\mathcal{H}_B)$.

Moreover, while working with $\rho \in \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ we write simply ρ^R as long as the dimensions of matrices in question can be deduced from the context.

Example 1 To give a simple example of reshuffling operation one can use a square matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$. For example, if $A \in \mathbb{M}_4$ it is given as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} & \alpha_{1,4} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3} & \alpha_{2,4} \\ \alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3} & \alpha_{3,4} \\ \alpha_{4,1} & \alpha_{4,2} & \alpha_{4,3} & \alpha_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

then we have

$$A^{R(2,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} \\ \alpha_{1,3} & \alpha_{1,4} & \alpha_{2,3} & \alpha_{2,4} \\ \alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{4,1} & \alpha_{4,2} \\ \alpha_{3,3} & \alpha_{3,4} & \alpha_{4,3} & \alpha_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand taking the alternative definition of reshuffling we get

$$A^{R'(2,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{1,3} & \alpha_{3,3} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{4,1} & \alpha_{2,3} & \alpha_{4,3} \\ \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{1,4} & \alpha_{3,4} \\ \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{4,2} & \alpha_{2,4} & \alpha_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Example 2 Reshuffling operation is a linear map on \mathbb{M}_n and as such it can be represented as a matrix. For example the operation $\rho \mapsto \rho^{R(2,2)}$ on \mathbb{M}_4 has the following matrix representation

$$M_{R(2,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

One can note that reshuffling and alternative reshuffling are connected by the relation [4, Chapter 10]

Proposition 1 For any $A \in \mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ we have

$$A^{R'} = (SA^R S)^T, \quad (16)$$

where S is the swap operation.

In the next section we use the following simple fact connecting reshuffling and the tensor product.

Proposition 2 Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, $B \in \mathbb{M}_m$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{res}((A \otimes B)^R) = \mathbf{res}(A) \otimes \mathbf{res}(B) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\mathbf{vec}((A \otimes B)^{R'}) = \mathbf{vec}(A) \otimes \mathbf{vec}(B). \quad (18)$$

Proposition 2 follows directly from the definition of reshuffling and it allows us to interchange between product base in Hilbert-Schmidt space and the one in \mathbb{C}^n .

III. SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION

Now we are ready to use the introduced tools for deriving some important results from quantum information theory.

Our first goal is to prove a particular representation of vectors in finite dimensional vector space with inner

product. This representation is known in quantum information theory as *Schmidt decomposition* [4, 18].

Schmidt decomposition was first stated for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space [19, 20], but it is more often used in a version which deals with finite-dimensional spaces only. It is frequently used in quantum information theory to distinguish between separable and entangled states [6].

A. Schmidt decomposition for pure states

We start with Schmidt decomposition for pure states, *i.e.* unit vectors in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{mn} = \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. This form is used in quantum information theory to study quantum entanglement.

Theorem 2 Any pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ can be represented as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\alpha_i\rangle \otimes |\beta_i\rangle, \quad (19)$$

where $\{|\alpha_i\rangle\} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\{|\beta_i\rangle\} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are orthogonal in respective Hilbert spaces and $k \leq \min(m, n)$.

Proof. We can always represent $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ using canonical basis as

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} |e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle, \quad (20)$$

where $\{|e_i\rangle\} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\{|f_j\rangle\} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are canonical bases in respective subspaces, $C \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}$ and vectors $|e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ have the following form

$$|e_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T, \quad (21)$$

with 1 at position ij and zeros elsewhere. In this particular basis $|\psi\rangle = \mathbf{res}(C)$. Using the SVD and the property (P2) for the reshaping operation we get

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathbf{res}(U\Sigma V^\dagger) = (U \otimes V^*) \mathbf{res}(\sigma_{ij} \delta_{ij}) \quad (22)$$

and by using the canonical basis we get

$$|\psi\rangle = (U \otimes V^*) \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} \delta_{ij} |b_{ij}\rangle \quad (23)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_{ii} U |e_i\rangle \otimes V^* |f_i\rangle, \quad (24)$$

where k is the order of C . Since σ_{ii} are square roots of eigenvalues of positive matrix CC^\dagger we can write

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\alpha_i\rangle \otimes |\beta_i\rangle, \quad (25)$$

with $\sigma_{ii} = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$, $|\alpha_i\rangle = U |e_i\rangle$ and $|\beta_i\rangle = V^* |f_i\rangle$. \square

Definition 4 Number k of elements in Schmidt decomposition is often referred to as the Schmidt number.

States of bipartite systems are among the most interesting objects in quantum information theory. This is because the tensor-product structure of state space results in the presence of states which cannot be mimicked using classical theory. These special states are called *entangled states* and are used in quantum protocols and algorithms.

Theorem 2 allows us to distinguish entangled pure states from non-entangled (or separable) pure states. We have the following theorem, which provides us with the simplest *separability criterion* [5, 6].

Theorem 3 Pure state is separable iff its Schmidt number is equal to 1.

B. Schmidt decomposition for unitary spaces

As one can easily see the line of reasoning used in the proof of Theorem 2 can be repeated for any finite-dimensional vector space \mathcal{H} with scalar product. All we need is a particular representation of elements in this space in the base of the tensor-product form. This is to say that \mathcal{H} has to be of the form $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Moreover, we do not need Hilbert spaces to spell-out this theorem. We require only for \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B to be finite-dimensional vectors spaces over \mathbb{C} with inner product, *i.e.* \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B have to be unitary spaces. Thus we can easily reformulate Schmidt decomposition in somehow more universal language.

Theorem 4 (Schmidt decomposition) Let \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B be unitary spaces. Any element $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be represented as

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{w}_i, \quad (26)$$

where vectors $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathcal{H}_B$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are mutually orthogonal in respective spaces and $k \leq \min(\dim \mathcal{H}_A, \dim \mathcal{H}_B)$.

Proof. The line of reasoning is analogous to the one used to prove Theorem 2. In this case λ_i are singular values of the matrix

$$C_{ij} = (\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{v}). \quad (27)$$

with (\cdot, \cdot) being scalar product on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. \square

This form of Schmidt decomposition allows us to use it not only for pure states, but also for any space with an introduced scalar product. In many situations it is convenient, however, to use the isomorphism defined by reshaping (or vectorization).

Recently Schmidt decomposition applied to two-qubit unitary gates was used to study properties of this particular set [21]. Using this tool it was found, for example, that locally equivalent non-local gates possess the same set of Schmidt coefficients.

C. Example: bipartite density matrices

In quantum mechanics only a small fraction of states can be represented by normalized vectors in some Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^n . Especially when we are interested in interactions of the system in question with the environment, we have to represent states of the system as density matrices, *i.e.* positive operators with unit trace.

As an example of Theorem 4 we will use Theorem 4 to analyse the space of bipartite density matrices. Let us start by recalling the definition of bipartite separable state [6, 22]

Definition 5 Let ρ be a state ρ of bipartite quantum system described $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$. We say that ρ is separable if it can be represented as a convex combination

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \rho_i^{(A)} \otimes \rho_i^{(B)}, \quad (28)$$

with $\sum p_i = 1$, and for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ we have $\rho_i^{(A)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\rho_i^{(B)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_B)$. If ρ cannot be represented in this form we say that it is entangled.

Although the space $\Omega_m \otimes \Omega_n$ of density matrices is not a vector space, we can exploit the linear structure it inherits as a subset of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{HS}} = \mathbb{M}_{mn}$.

Any element $\rho \in \Omega_m \otimes \Omega_n \subset \mathbb{M}_{mn}$ can be written using the standard basis as

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} C_{ij} \epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j, \quad (29)$$

where $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{M}_m, i = 1, \dots, m^2$ and $\epsilon_j \in \mathbb{M}_n, j = 1, \dots, n^2$ are standard bases in respective spaces.

Using Schmidt decomposition 4 we can rewrite ρ as

$$\rho = \sum_{l=1}^k \sigma_l \epsilon'_l \otimes \epsilon'_l, \quad (30)$$

where σ_i are singular values of the matrix

$$C_{ij} = \text{tr} [\rho^\dagger (\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j)] = \mathbf{res}(\rho)^* \cdot \mathbf{res}(\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j). \quad (31)$$

This representation can be also obtained using the isomorphism $\mathbb{M}_{mn} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{m^2 n^2}$ and represent elements of \mathbb{M}_n as vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{m^2 n^2}$ with the help of reshaping operation

$$|X\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{res} X, \quad (32)$$

so that $|X\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{m^2 n^2}$ for $X \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}$. Using this reasoning one can see directly how to construct base vectors in Eq. (30) [4, Lemma 10.1].

The representation given by Eq. (30) is sometimes referred to as an *operator Schmidt decomposition* [23], but as one can see it provides only an example of the application of Schmidt decomposition as presented in Theorem 4.

IV. QUANTUM CHANNELS

Since we aim to apply singular value decomposition to quantum channels, we need to introduce some basic facts about them. We restrict ourselves to the finite-dimensional case and the special subclass of trace preserving (TP) quantum channels.

A state in quantum mechanics is described using density matrices and thus any quantum evolution Φ has to transform initial density matrix $\rho_{\text{in}} \in \Omega_m$ into density matrix $\Phi(\rho_{\text{in}}) = \rho_{\text{out}} \in \Omega_n$.

A. Definitions

The set of quantum operations has some particular structure [4, 18]. First of all we assume that any such map $\Phi : \rho_{\text{in}} \mapsto \rho_{\text{out}}$ has to be linear. This is motivated by that fact that any mixed state can be represented as a convex combination of other states in infinitely many possible ways. The linearity of quantum channel Φ means that its action does not depend on the particular representation of input density matrix.

The main condition, however, for a linear map to be a proper quantum operation follows from the positivity of input and output states. In order to get more information about the form of Φ we need to use some physical arguments.

It is clear that any physical map Φ (*i.e.* any operation that can be implemented in a laboratory) has to preserve positivity. However, by performing an operation Φ on our system, we perform $\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}$ on our system and on environment. As such, any physical map has to be *completely positive* (CP), *i.e.* any extension of Φ of the form $\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_m$ with $\mathbb{1}_m \in \mathbb{M}_m$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$ has to be positive.

Definition 6 (CP-map) A map Φ is called *completely positive* (CP) if it preserves positivity and for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the map

$$\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_n, \quad (33)$$

where $\mathbb{1}_n$ is an identity operation on n -dimensional space of states, also preserves positivity.

This definition introduces the Kronecker product of channels, which is described in more details in Sec. IV C.

Using the above definition we can define *quantum channel*, which describes the most general form of the evolution of quantum systems.

Definition 7 (Quantum channel) Any CP-map preserving trace is called a quantum channel or a quantum operation.

We use the isomorphism $\mathbb{M}_{mn} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{m^2 n^2}$ to calculate the elements of its matrix representation. As a linear map any $\Phi : \Omega_n \mapsto \Omega_n$, $\Phi(\rho_{\text{in}}) = \rho_{\text{out}}$, can be written as a matrix $M_\Phi \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$

$$\mathbf{res}(\rho_{\text{out}}) = M_\Phi \mathbf{res}(\rho_{\text{in}}), \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Phi &= \{(\epsilon_k, \Phi(\epsilon_l))\}_{k,l=1,\dots,n^2} \\ &= \left\{ \text{tr} \left[\epsilon_k^\dagger \Phi(\epsilon_l) \right] \right\}_{k,l=1,\dots,n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

has n^4 elements. Here again we have used canonical basis $\{\epsilon_k\}_{k=1,\dots,n^2}$ in \mathbb{M}_{n^2} .

Surprisingly more information about the positivity of a given map can be obtained if we represent map Φ in a specific basis, namely the one obtained as a tensor product of base matrices in subspaces of dimension n^2 . To exploit this structure we define so called *dynamical matrix* of the map Φ .

Definition 8 (Dynamical matrix) Let Φ be a linear map on \mathbb{M}_n . The dynamical matrix for Φ is defined as a matrix $D_\Phi \in \mathbb{M}_{n^2}$

$$D_\Phi = \{ \text{tr} [(\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j) M_\Phi] \}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}, \quad (36)$$

where $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ is a canonical basis in \mathbb{M}_n , or, equivalently

$$D_\Phi = M_\Phi^{R(n,n)}. \quad (37)$$

One should note that the elements of the matrix D_Φ can be calculated according to the formula

$$\langle (i-1)n+j | D_\Phi | (k-1)n+l \rangle = \text{tr} [(\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j)^\dagger \Phi(\epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l)]. \quad (38)$$

Note that this allows to use a four-index notation as introduced in [4]. This notation allows to express the idea behind reshuffling as (see [4, Eqn. 11.25])

$$\langle k | \Phi(|i\rangle\langle j|) | l \rangle = \langle k \otimes i | D_\Phi | l \otimes j \rangle. \quad (39)$$

For quantum information theory the most important fact expressed using the dynamical matrix is known as *Choi theorem*.

Theorem 5 (Choi [24]) Linear map Φ is completely positive iff D_Φ is positive.

This theorem allows us to check easily if a given map is completely positive. The detailed discussion of the CP conditions is presented in [4, 18] for one-qubit quantum channels and in [25] for one-qutrit channels.

Example 3 The operation of matrix transposition $\Gamma(\rho) = \rho^T$ on \mathbb{C}^2 can be expressed as

$$M_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (40)$$

which is equivalent to SWAP for a two-qubit system. In this case we have $D_\Gamma = M_\Gamma^R = M_\Gamma$ and, since the spectrum of this matrix is $\{-1, 1, 1, 1\}$, we can see that the transposition is not completely positive.

In general, the transposition operation can be introduced on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}$. The general form of this operation is given by the following theorem [13, Th. 4.3.8].

Theorem 6 For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}$ there exists a unique matrix $P(m,n)$ such that

$$\mathbf{res} A^T = P(m,n) \mathbf{res} A \quad (41)$$

given by formula

$$P(m,n) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_{ij} \otimes \epsilon_{ij}^T \quad (42)$$

where $\{\epsilon_{ij}\}$, with $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ is a standard basis in \mathbb{M}_{mn} and $P(m,n)$ is a permutation matrix.

Note that, as it preserves the spectrum, the transposition is a positive map. Operations which are positive, but not completely positive play, an important role in quantum information theory since they are used to detect quantum entanglement [6].

Another interesting feature of quantum theory is the correspondence between quantum states and quantum channels [26].

The dynamical matrix for the operations Φ is defined as $D_\Phi = M_\Phi^R$, where R denotes a *reshuffling* operation [4]. The dynamical matrix for the trace-preserving operation acting on N -dimensional system is an $N^2 \times N^2$ positive defined matrix with trace N . We can introduce the natural correspondence between such matrices and density matrices on N^2 by normalizing D_Φ . Such a correspondence is known as *Jamiolkowski isomorphism* [26, 27].

Let Φ be a completely positive trace-preserving map acting on density matrices. We define Jamiolkowski matrix of Φ as

$$\rho_\Phi = \frac{1}{N} D_\Phi. \quad (43)$$

Jamiolkowski matrix has the same mathematical properties as a quantum state *i.e.* it is a semi-definite positive matrix with a trace equal to one. It is sometimes referred to as *Jamiolkowski operation matrix* [27].

B. Kraus decomposition

Now we are ready to use the singular value decomposition to obtain a special representation of quantum channels known as the *Kraus form*. As we will see Kraus decomposition of a operations is obtained as Schmidt decomposition of its linear representation.

Let us now consider quantum channel Φ acting on Ω_n . Its matrix representation M_Φ is an element of \mathbb{M}_{n^2} and so is its dynamical matrix D_Φ .

One can represent D_Φ in the basis

$$\{\epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j : i, j = 1, \dots, n^2\}, \quad (44)$$

composed of tensor products of elements of canonical bases in \mathbb{M}_n . We get

$$M_\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n^2} D_{\Phi_{ij}} \epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j. \quad (45)$$

By taking into account the fact that the matrix D_Φ is positive and by using Schmidt decomposition (Theorem 4) we get

$$M_\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \kappa_i \otimes \kappa_i^*, \quad (46)$$

where κ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ are mutually orthogonal elements of Hilbert-Schmidt space of operators. Recall that M_Φ acts on $\rho \in \Omega_n$ according to Eq. 34. Combining this with Property 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{res}(\rho_{\text{out}}) &= \mathbf{res} \Phi(\rho_{\text{in}}) = M_\Phi \mathbf{res}(\rho_{\text{in}}) \quad (47) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \kappa_i \otimes \kappa_i^* \mathbf{res}(\rho_{\text{in}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \mathbf{res}(\kappa_i \rho_{\text{in}} \kappa_i^\dagger) \\ &= \mathbf{res} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \kappa_i \rho_{\text{in}} \kappa_i^\dagger \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have obtained the following representation of quantum channels.

Theorem 7 (Kraus form) Any CP map $\Phi : \Omega_N \rightarrow \Omega_N$ can be represented as

$$\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \kappa_i \rho \kappa_i^\dagger, \quad (48)$$

where κ_i are un-reshaped singular vectors of D_Φ and σ_i are singular values of D_Φ .

For an alternative proof based on Stinespring dilation theorem see e.g. [18].

Operators $\{K_i = \sqrt{\sigma_i} \kappa_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ in the above decomposition are known as *Kraus operators*.

The Kraus form of a quantum channel is non-unique. We can choose another set of operators $\{\nu_i : i = 1, \dots, l\}$ such that it represents an action of channel Φ , i.e.

$$\Phi(\rho_{\text{in}}) = \sum_{i=1}^l \nu_i \rho_{\text{in}} \nu_i^\dagger. \quad (49)$$

Operators $K_i = \sqrt{\sigma_i} \kappa_i$ are usually referred to as canonical Kraus operators.

Example 4 Let us consider the completely depolarizing channel $\Delta_{n,p} : \Omega_n \mapsto \Omega_n$ [28] defined as

$$\Delta_{n,p}(\rho) = p\rho + (1-p) \frac{\mathbb{1}}{n} \text{tr} \rho, \quad (50)$$

with $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Depolarizing channel acting on initial state

$$\rho_{\text{in}} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b+ic \\ b-ic & 1-a \end{pmatrix} \quad (51)$$

results in an output state

$$\rho_{\text{out}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + (a - \frac{1}{2})p & (b+ic)p \\ (b-ic)p & \frac{1}{2} - (a - \frac{1}{2})p \end{pmatrix}. \quad (52)$$

In one-qubit case the dynamical matrix of $\Delta_{2,p}$ reads

$$D_{\Delta_{2,p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p+1}{2} & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & \frac{1-p}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-p}{2} & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 & \frac{p+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (53)$$

and it has singular values

$$\left\{ \frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(4-3p) \right\}. \quad (54)$$

Un-reshaped singular vectors of $D_{\Delta_{2,p}}$ are

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right) \right\}, \quad (55)$$

and we obtain the following collection of Kraus operators

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{\sqrt{p}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}} & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-3p} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-3p} \end{array} \right) \right\}. \quad (56)$$

It can be also easily checked that $\Delta_{2,p}$ can be also represented by Kraus operators [2]

$$\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1+3p}}{2} \mathbb{1}, \frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{2} \sigma_x, \frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{2} \sigma_y, \frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{2} \sigma_z \right\}, \quad (57)$$

where σ_x, σ_y and σ_z are Pauli matrices. This representation is more appealing from the physical point of view.

Using Kraus representation we can characterize specific types of quantum channels. First of all we can distinguish a class of trace-preserving operations.

The operation of partial transposition is important in quantum information theory due to the Peres-Horodecki criterion for distinguishing separable and entangled states. In the particular case of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ system (*i.e.* two qubits), this criterion states that the state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ is separable if and only if ρ^{T_1} is positive.

V. SUMMARY

We have presented a simple derivation of Schmidt decomposition for pure states and density matrices and Kraus decomposition for quantum channels. Using matrix reordering one can easily construct matrices corresponding to the composition of quantum channels. In particular we have discussed partial operations, which play a prominent role in quantum information theory.

The main advantage of the presented formulae is that they can be used directly in computer algebra systems. Full implementation of the procedures discussed in this report can be found in the source code of the *Mathematica* package [29]. For the sake of consistency we provide some examples of the discussed procedures in Appendix A.

Appendix A: Examples in *Mathematica*

Below we provide some examples of the discussed procedures using *Mathematica* computing system (see *e.g.* [30] for an introduction to *Mathematica*). The following examples are based on the **QI** package for *Mathematica*, which can be freely downloaded from the project home page. This package provides the implementation of various procedures helpful during the calculation related to quantum information processing. For the full list of functions implemented in this package see [29].

After the proper installation the package can be loaded as

```
<<QI`
```

After loading the package one should get some information about the used version and release date. The examples provided in this report were tested with the version 0.3.21 of the package.

1. Matrix reorderings

QI Package provides four functions for the matrix operations described in Section II.

- **Res** – reshaping operation,
- **Vec** – vectorization operation,
- **Unres** – inverse map for reshaping,
- **Unvec** – inverse map for vectorization.

In the above functions it is assumed that vectors can be rearranged into square matrices. Nevertheless, it is possible to rearrange a vector into a general $m \times n$ matrix by specifying the second argument in **Unres** and **Unvec** functions.

In the simple case of \mathbb{M}_4 we can use the above functions as

```
mA = SymbolicMatrix[a, 4];
vA = Res[mA];
mB = SymbolicMatrix[b, 4];
vB = Vec[mB];
```

Here function **SymbolicMatrix[a,4]** returns 4×4 matrix filled with elements $a_{i,j}$.

The reshuffle operation can be implemented directly using the Definition 2. Unfortunately this implementation is inefficient as it requires the calculation of $m^2 \times k^2$ matrix elements in order to reshuffle the matrix from \mathbb{M}_{mk} .

QI Package provides three methods for performing the reshuffle operation in matrices:

- **Reshuffle** – functions based on the Definition 2 and can be used to construct the reshuffle matrix,
- **ReshuffleGeneral** – functions based on the index manipulation and can be used to reshuffle matrices which are not necessarily square,
- **ReshufflePermutation** – can be used to construct permutation matrices for reshuffling operation.

For example with

```
mA = SymbolicMatrix[a, 4];
mR = ReshufflePermutation[2, 2];
```

the following should return **True**

```
Unres[mR.Res[mA]] == Reshuffle2[mA, 2, 2];
```

Each function implementing the reshuffle operation has an equivalent function implementing the alternative reshuffling given by Definition 3 (*e.g.* **Reshuffle** and **Reshuffle2**).

2. Schmidt decomposition

Usually Schmidt decomposition is used in the context of vectors (*i.e.* elements of \mathbb{C}^N). If we define maximally entangled pure state as

```
vA = MaxEnt[4]
```

we can obtain its Schmidt decomposition as

```
vAsd = SchmidtDecomposition[vA, 2, 2];
```

The initial vector can be reconstructed as

```
Plus @@ Table[
  vAsd[[i]][[1]](vAsd[[i]][[2]]⊗vAsd[[i]][[3]])
  {i, 1, 2}
]
```

The meaning of the '⊗' symbol is defined in the QI package to provide the required shape of the output.

To demonstrate Schmidt decomposition on the space of matrices we use the maximally entangled mixed state on $\mathbb{M}_n(4)$.

```
mA = Proj[MaxEnt[4]];
```

Its decomposition can be obtained as

```
mAsd = SchmidtDecomposition[mA, 2, 2];
```

The initial matrix can be easily reconstructed.

```
Plus @@ Table[
  mAsd[[i]][[1]](mAsd[[i]][[2]]⊗mAsd[[i]][[3]]),
  {i, 1, 4}
]
```

Note that `SchmidtDecomposition` function works for vectors as well as for matrices. However, it is possible to use `VectorSchmidtDecomposition` and `OperatorSchmidtDecomposition` for an appropriate input instead.

3. Quantum channels

QI Package defines quantum channels using *pure functions* mechanism. For example, the transposition map can be implemented as

```
TransposeChannel =
  IdentityMatrix[#1].Transpose[#2]&;
```

and its matrix representation can be obtained as

```
mT = ChannelToMatrix[TransposeChannel[#]&, 4];
```

for a map acting on \mathbb{M}_4 .

Similar construction for the swap operation reads

```
SwapChannel = Swap[#1].(#2).Swap[#1] &;
```

and this function requires information about the system dimension. Here we have used the SWAP gate predefined in the package. For example the SWAP operation on two qubits is defined as

```
cS4 = SwapChannel[4, #];
```

Again, one can obtain a matrix representation of this channel as

```
mS = ChannelToMatrix[cS4, 4];
```

Alternatively, the same result can be obtained using

```
mS = Superoperator[cS4, 4];
```

a. Spontaneous emission channel for qutrits

Following [31] (see also [32], QI package provides a definition of a spontaneous emission channel for a three-level system (qutrit).

```
seK = QutritSpontaneousEmissionKraus[A1,A2,t];
```

Here A1 and A2 are Einstein coefficients.

This channel was used *e.g.* in [33] to investigate the behaviour of quantum games under decoherence.

The superoperator corresponding to the above channel can be obtained as

```
seS = Superoperator[seK];
```

Note that the `Superoperator` function has two forms and can be used to obtain matrix representation of the channel either from the list of Kraus operators or from the pure function.

b. Partial operations

The notion of partial operation is very common in quantum information theory and the presented package allows to construct and analyse such operations in a very straightforward manner.

Let us consider an operation Ψ on n -dimensional system defined as a pure function `fPsi`. In order to obtain the operation $\Psi \otimes \mathbb{1}$ acting on $n \times m$ -dimensional system one needs to

- construct the matrix representation of the map Ψ :

```
sPsi = Superoperator[fPsi,n]
```

- construct the reshuffle matrix in order to transform the obtained matrix to a new base matrix of the appropriate size:

```
mR = ReshufflePermutation[n n, m m]
```

- use the matrix `sPsi` according to the Def. 11, using the $\mathbb{1}$ channel on the second subsystem:

```
extPsi = mR.(sPsi⊗IdentityMatrix[m^2]).mR
```

The extension of the operation constructed in the above procedure acts on the $n \times m$ -dimensional states ρ as

```
Unres[extPsi.Res[ρ]]
```

Note that this procedure requires to construct a matrix of dimension which grows like $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$ and can be slow for larger systems.

The simplest case of such construction is the partial transposition on n^2 -dimensional space. The matrix representation of the transposition operation of dimension n , is a SWAP operation on n^2 -dimensional system. The matrix representation of the partial transposition can be obtained as

```
mR = ReshufflePermutation[n n, n n];
tA = mR.(Swap[n n]⊗IdentityMatrix[n n]).mR
```

Similar procedure is implemented in QI as `PartialTraceA` function. However, as this implementation is not very efficient, QI provides an alternative version of this operation as a `PartialTraceGeneral` function, which operates on indices. For example, for a given matrix

```
mA = SymbolicHermitianMatrix[a, 4]
```

the results of

```
PartialTraceA[mA, 2, 2]
```

and

```
PartialTraceGeneral[mA, 2, 2, 1]
```

are identical.

The matrix representation of the partial transposition with respect to the first subsystem, given in Eq. (67), can be obtained as

```
Superoperator[PartialTransposeA[#, 2, 2] &, 4]
```

Here `PartialTransposeA[#,2,2]` is a map which is not positive.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was initiated by the discussion with Z. Puchała and P. Gawron in Brno in November 2008. It is a pleasure to thank J. Bouda for hosting us at the Faculty of Informatics of the Masaryk University in Brno.

I would also like to acknowledge the financial support by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education under the grant number N N519 442339.

-
- [1] R. Ingarden, Rep. Math. Phys. **10**, 43 (1976).
 - [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2000).
 - [3] *The Physics of Quantum Information: Quantum Cryptography, Quantum Teleportation, Quantum Computation*, edited by D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger (Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 2000).
 - [4] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of Quantum States* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2006).
 - [5] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009), arXiv:quant-ph/0702225.
 - [6] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Phys. Rep. **474**, 1 (2009), arXiv:0811.2803.
 - [7] M. B. Hastings, Nature Physics **5**, 255 (2009).
 - [8] F. G. S. L. Brandao and M. Horodecki(2009), arXiv:0907.3210.
 - [9] H. V. Henderson, F. Pukelsheim, and S. R. Searle, Linear Algebra Appl. **14**, 113 (1983).
 - [10] C. F. van Loan, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **123**, 85 (2000).
 - [11] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990).
 - [12] D. S. Bernstein, *Matrix Mathematics. Theory, Facts, and Formulas with Application to Linear Systems Theory* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA, 2005).
 - [13] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1994).
 - [14] T. F. Havel, J. Math. Phys. **44**, 534 (2003), arXiv:quant-ph/0201127.
 - [15] A. Gilchrist, D. R. Terno, and C. Wood(2009), arXiv:0911.2539.
 - [16] W. Roth, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1934).
 - [17] C. J. Oxenrider and R. D. Hill, Linear Algebra Appl. **69**, 205 (1985).
 - [18] T. Heinosaari and M. Ziman, Acta Phys. Slovaca **58**, 487 (2008), arXiv:0810.3536.
 - [19] E. Schmidt, Mathematische Annalen **63**, 161 (1907).
 - [20] A. Pietsch, Mathematische Nachrichten **283**, 6 (2010).
 - [21] S. Balakrishnan and R. Sankaranarayanan, “Operator-schmidt decomposition and the geometrical edges of two-qubit gates,” (2010), arXiv:1006.3412.
 - [22] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).
 - [23] M. Nielsen, *Quantum Information Theory*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA (1998), arXiv:quant-ph/0011036.
 - [24] M.-D. Choi, Linear Algebra Appl. **10**, 285 (1975).
 - [25] A. Checińska and K. Wódkiewicz, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 032322 (2009), arXiv:0809.3882.
 - [26] K. Życzkowski and I. Bengtsson, Open Systems & Information Dynamics **11**, 3 (2004), quant-ph/0401119v1.
 - [27] A. Jamiołkowski, Rep. Math. Phys. **3**, 275 (1972).
 - [28] M. Hayashi, *Quantum Information: An Introduction* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2006).
 - [29] J. A. Miszczak, Z. Puchała, and P. Gawron, “QI package for Mathematica,” (2010), Software freely available at <http://zksi.iitis.pl/wiki/projects:mathematica-qi>.
 - [30] R. Hazrat, *Mathematica: A Problem-Centered Approach*, Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series (Springer-Verlag London, UK, 2010).
 - [31] A. Checińska and K. Wódkiewicz, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 052306 (2007).
 - [32] A. Checińska and K. Wódkiewicz, “Noisy qutrit channels,” (2006), arXiv:quant-ph/0610127.
 - [33] P. Gawron, J. A. Miszczak, and J. Śładkowski, Int. J. Quan. Inf., 667(2008), arXiv:0801.4848.