

# Scintillation of thin tetraphenyl butadiene films under alpha particle excitation

Tina Pollmann<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mark Boulay<sup>a</sup>, Marcin Kuźniak<sup>a</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics, Engineering Physics, and Astronomy  
Queens University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6, Canada*

---

## Abstract

The alpha induced scintillation of the wavelength shifter 1,1,4,4-tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene (TPB) was studied to improve the understanding of possible surface alpha backgrounds in the DEAP dark matter search experiment. We found that vacuum deposited thin TPB films emit  $882 \pm 210$  photons per MeV under alpha particle excitation. The scintillation pulse shape consists of a double exponential decay with lifetimes of  $11 \pm 5$  ns and  $275 \pm 10$  ns.

*Keywords:* dark matter, tetraphenyl butadiene, alpha scintillation, deap-1, TPB

---

## 1. Introduction

2 A number of noble liquid based dark matter direct detection experiments,  
3 such as DEAP[1], MiniClean[2] and ArDM[3], rely on thin films of 1,1,4,4-  
4 tetraphenyl-1,3-butadiene (TPB) coated on the detector walls to shift the  
5 wavelength of the noble gas scintillation light from the vacuum ultra-violet to  
6 a peak wavelength of 420 nm[4], which can then be detected by conventional  
7 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs). However, TPB also scintillates, which may  
8 lead to background signals from omnipresent alpha emitters embedded in the  
9 detector wall material or the TPB layer itself, compromising the sensitivity  
10 of the experiment.

11 We present a study of the alpha induced scintillation of thin films of pure  
12 scintillation quality TPB, emphasizing the light yield and the pulse shape of

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* tina@owl.phy.queensu.ca (Tina Pollmann)

13 the scintillation signals. This work was carried out as an R&D project for  
14 the DEAP[1] experiment.

## 15 **2. TPB evaporation system**

### 16 *2.1. Apparatus*

17 TPB films of thicknesses in the order of 2-4  $\mu\text{m}$  were produced by evapo-  
18 rating crystalline scintillation quality TPB powder from American Chemicals  
19 LTD under vacuum onto glass or polished acrylic plates. The TPB was evapo-  
20 rated from a quartz glass crucible 1 cm high and 1 cm in diameter located  
21 at the bottom of the chamber. The crucible was heated to the target temper-  
22 ature of approximately 200° C by means of a heating wire wrapped around  
23 it (see figure 1). An OMEGA resistance temperature sensor was clipped to  
24 the heating wire near the crucible and its readout was coupled to the power  
25 supply of the heater to maintain a constant temperature. The glass or acrylic  
26 plates were held on a rack 17.8 $\pm$ 0.3 cm above and centred on the crucible.  
27 Installed at the same height and on each side of the rack were two quartz  
crystal deposition monitors to monitor the thickness of the deposited film.




Figure 1: Diagram of the TPB evaporation system.

28

### 29 *2.2. Method of evaporation*

30 The glass plates (radius 6 cm) and acrylic plates (radius 10 cm, thickness  
31 1.9 cm) were prepared for evaporation by the following steps:

- 32 1. Washed with tap water and detergent and rinsed with tap water.

- 33 2. Wiped with isopropanol.
- 34 3. Wiped with a mixture of 20% ethanol and 80% ultra pure water.
- 35 4. Wiped with aluminum foil.
- 36 5. Rinsed with ultra pure water.
- 37 6. Blown dry with nitrogen or argon gas.

38 Step 4 was introduced after observing that the TPB distribution on the  
39 cleaned plates showed signs of the surface treatment, possibly due to surface  
40 charges accumulated during wiping. The TPB surface on all substrates used  
41 for these measurements was very smooth and powdery white under visual  
42 inspection. This indicated that the TPB formed either very small polycrys-  
43 talline structures or did not crystallize at all. Coatings produced two months  
44 later with the same procedure again showed clear signs of surface treatment  
45 in the form of visible local thickness variations for yet unknown reasons.

46 To calibrate the deposition monitors, two test depositions on glass plates  
47 were performed evaporating  $0.364 \pm 0.005$  g and  $0.255 \pm 0.005$  g of TPB respec-  
48 tively. During those test depositions, small glass pieces were also installed at  
49 the same height of the plates to get TPB films at positions further out than  
50 the radius of the plates. The deposition on both glass plates was started  
51 when the vacuum in the evaporator was at  $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  mbar. The pressure rose  
52 to up to  $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$  mbar during the evaporation process.

53 For the measurement of the light yield, two TPB coatings were done.  
54 For coating A,  $0.410 \pm 0.005$  g of TPB was evaporated on an acrylic plate  
55 at  $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  mbar pressure in the evaporator. An evaporation temperature of  
56  $212^\circ\text{C}$  was necessary to evaporate all the TPB in the very packed crucible.  
57 For coating B, 0.284 g TPB was evaporated at a pressure of  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  mbar  
58 and a temperature of  $200^\circ\text{C}$ .

### 59 3. Deposition monitor calibration

60 The thickness of the TPB film across the substrate is a function of the  
61 radial distance from the axis of the crucible. To correlate the deposition  
62 monitor readings with the thickness at the position of the light yield mea-  
63 surement, the TPB film on the glass substrate was scratched with a scalpel  
64 and the depth was measured using a Dektak 8M stylus profile meter from  
65 Veeco Instruments. A sample scratch profile is shown in figure 2. There was  
66 no indication that the glass beneath the TPB was damaged.




Figure 2: Typical profile meter scan of a scratch in the TPB film. The TPB thickness was determined by subtracting the average depth of the valley (stylus position 800 to 1200) from the average height of the TPB (position 1500 to 9000). The length of the scan is 500  $\mu\text{m}$

67 The scratch depths across the substrates, shown in figure 4, show a radial  
 68 thickness variation consistent with the distribution developed by Chaleix et  
 69 al. [5]:

$$\nu_d = \frac{\nu_e}{\rho} g(\theta) \frac{d}{(r^2 + d^2)^{3/2}} \quad (1)$$

70 where  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_d$  are the rates of evaporation and deposition,  $d$  is the source-  
 71 substrate distance,  $r$  is the radial distance from the axis of the crucible to a  
 72 point on the substrate and  $\rho$  is the density of the TPB film. The geometric  
 73 factor  $g(\theta)$  depends on the evaporation conditions. For conditions with a  
 74 volume right above the crucible where the probability of interaction between  
 75 TPB molecules is very high, followed by a volume where the molecules move  
 76 in straight lines, the geometric factor is given by[5]

$$g(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{e^{\beta^2} (1 + \text{erf}(\beta)) \left( \frac{3\beta\sqrt{\pi}}{2} + \frac{\beta^3}{\pi} \right) + \beta^2 + 1}{1 + \frac{u}{\alpha} \sqrt{\pi} e^{\frac{u^2}{\alpha^2}} (1 + \text{erf}(\frac{u}{\alpha}))} \cos(\theta) \quad (2)$$

77 where

$$\beta = \frac{u}{\alpha} \cos(\theta) \quad (3)$$

and  $\theta$  is the angle between the axis of the crucible and a line from the




Figure 3: Geometry of the TPB evaporation system.

78

79 crucible to a point on the substrate, as shown in figure 3. The fit parameter  
 80  $\frac{u}{\alpha}$ , which depends on the vapour temperature at the boundary where the TPB  
 81 molecules start moving without collisions, was found to be  $0.284 \pm 0.013$  for  
 82 the substrate with 0.364 g TPB evaporated and  $0.224 \pm 0.004$  for the substrate  
 83 with 0.255 g TPB evaporated.

84

The density of the TPB film enters into the mass distribution equation  
 85 (eq. 1). It was fitted from the scratch measurement data to be  $1.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .  
 86 The uncertainty takes into account the additional constraint that the depo-  
 87 sition monitor readings, which also depend on the density of the TPB layer,  
 88 had to be within errors of the scratch test measurement. This result is also  
 89 consistent with the TPB density estimated from the mass of the substrate  
 90 before and after evaporation.

91

Since the deposition on sample A was done at a different temperature,  
 92 the parameter  $\frac{u}{\alpha}$  differed significantly from the above. It was fitted from the  
 93 deposition monitor readings to be  $0.36 \pm 0.02$  and the function was then used  
 94 to calculate the TPB thickness at all locations where scintillation data was  
 95 taken. The readings on the deposition monitors for the coating of sample  
 96 B were not consistent with the above thickness distribution function, but  
 97 instead indicated a uniform thickness distribution, as would be expected for  
 98 an evaporation pressure high enough to not allow for a region of collision-less  
 99 movement of the TPB molecules.




Figure 4: The variation in TPB thickness with radial distance from the crucible axis for the two test depositions on glass. The light thin points are results from the profile meter measurement, while the darker bold boxes are the readings from the deposition monitors. Dashed curves are best fits with the distribution from ref. [5].

#### 100 4. TPB scintillation measurement

101 Acrylic plates were used as a substrate for the light yield measurement  
 102 because acrylic is also the material covered with TPB in the DEAP exper-  
 103 iment. The acrylic plates were installed in the scintillation chamber shown  
 104 in figure 5. The chamber consists of a stainless steel cylinder sealed on the  
 105 bottom with a plain acrylic plate and on the top with the TPB coated acrylic  
 106 plate. It was viewed by a 5" PMT (ETL 9390B), optically coupled to the  
 107 top of the acrylic plate with a 1 mm thick silicone pad.

108 A  $^{241}\text{Am}$  alpha source (5.49 MeV alpha energy) with a collimator of  $44^\circ$   
 109 opening angle could be placed at arbitrary positions and heights inside the  
 110 chamber. In order to not degrade the energy of the alpha particles, the  
 111 chamber was evacuated to a pressure of typically  $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  mbar.

112 The light yield was measured with the  $^{241}\text{Am}$  source irradiating different  
 113 points on the TPB coatings, either pointing straight up or fixed at an angle  
 114  $\theta$  of  $47^\circ$ , leading to the alphas travelling distances of 1.8 to  $6.5 \mu\text{m}$  through  
 115 the TPB. The PMT was moved for each measurement such that the source  
 116 was always aligned with the PMT's centre. The PMT signals were read out




Figure 5: Diagram of the setup used to determine the light yield of a TPB film under alpha particle excitation.

117 with a LeCroy WavePro 7100A oscilloscope and the traces were saved to file  
 118 for offline analysis. Two sample spectra are shown in figure 6.

119 The energy loss of the alpha particles going through the TPB was simu-  
 120 lated using SRIM[6] tables. The largest and smallest simulated energy loss  
 121 for the pathlengths studied were 0.18 and 0.69 MeV. The TPB density of  
 122  $1.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , found previously, was assumed in the simulation.

## 123 5. Results

124 The light yield measured for different pathlengths through the TPB is  
 125 presented in figure 7. The average of these measurements is 71 PE/MeV  
 126 with a standard deviation of 11 PE/MeV. The geometric acceptance of the  
 127 PMT is 35%. Assuming a PMT efficiency of 23%[7] at 420 nm, the peak  
 128 wavelength of TPB,  $882 \pm 210$  photons are emitted per MeV energy deposit.  
 129 The uncertainty on this number does not include the uncertainty on the PMT  
 130 efficiency, which is not quoted in the data sheet.

131 The scintillation pulse shape for two measurements is shown in figure  
 132 8. It consists of a fast and a slow component with lifetimes of  $11 \pm 5$  ns  
 133 and  $275 \pm 10$  ns. There are no significant differences in the pulse shape for  
 134 different TPB thicknesses.




Figure 6: Background subtracted spectra and gaussian fits for alpha particles going through  $4.5 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$  (solid dark line) and  $1.8 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$  (dotted lighter line) of TPB. The peaks at approximately 5 PE are from background.




Figure 7: The measured light yield for different pathlengths the alpha particles had through TPB. The dashed lines represent the mean and standard deviation of the data. The points with the larger error bars on the pathlength variable were measured with the alpha source inclined at  $47^\circ$ .

135 The so called "fast to total" parameter, the ratio of the pulse intensity in a  
 136 fast time window and the total pulse intensity, is often used to discriminate  
 137 electromagnetic interactions in noble liquid detectors from nuclear recoils.  
 138 Using a fast time window of 50 ns before and 100 ns after the peak position,  
 139 which is the standard window used in DEAP, and a total integration time of  
 1  $\mu$ s this parameter is  $0.67 \pm 0.03$  for alpha induced TPB scintillation.




Figure 8: The solid curves are averaged pulse shapes of alpha induced TPB scintillation for data taken at TPB thicknesses of  $1.8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $4.5 \mu\text{m}$ . The dashed line shows best fit to the slow component of those traces. The dotted curve is the average neutron induced liquid argon scintillation pulse shape as measured in DEAP-1. It was normalized to have the same peak height as the TPB pulses.

140

## 141 6. Discussion

142 The theoretical thickness distribution curve describes the measured radial  
 143 TPB thickness distribution well, even though the pressure in the evaporator  
 144 as well as the distance between the TPB surface and the substrate change  
 145 during evaporation. We therefore believe this method of deposition monitor  
 146 calibration to be reliable for determining the TPB thickness at radii other  
 147 than where it was directly measured.

148 The measured photon yield of  $882 \pm 137$  photons is a factor of 5 smaller  
 149 than that measured by Hull et al.[8] for alpha scintillation in a TPB monocrys-

150 tal. The light yields for coating A and B are consistent within errors, indi-  
151 cating that the pressure in the evaporator during the evaporation process has  
152 no significant influence on it. The two data points at 6.1 and 6.6  $\mu\text{m}$  path-  
153 length seem to be lower than the ones taken at shorter pathlengths. These  
154 points were measured with the source inclined by  $47^\circ$ , so that this effect is  
155 not caused by increased absorption in a thicker TPB layer. The other points  
156 measured with an inclined source do not show a reduced light yield. It is  
157 possible that the energy loss simulation becomes inaccurate for longer path-  
158 lengths. The TPB coatings were frequently exposed to fluorescent lighting  
159 in the lab without a noticeable change in light yield over 3 months.

160 The main source of error on the light yield measurement comes from the  
161 uncertainty in the energy loss<sup>1</sup>. This uncertainty is due to the uncertainty in  
162 the relative position between the alpha source and the the axis of the crucible  
163 during evaporation, and the uncertainty in the parameter  $\frac{u}{\alpha}$  in the radial  
164 mass distribution equation. In future work, this error can be significantly  
165 reduced by developing a more advanced substrate positioning system in the  
166 evaporator and in the scintillation chamber.

167 Excitation of TPB with photons excites short lived singlet states[9, 10],  
168 which have PMT signals with an  $F_{\text{prompt}}$  parameter of 1. The measurement  
169 of a 275 ns component indicates that alpha particles excite a triplet state,  
170 next to a short lived singlet state. This leads to the lower  $F_{\text{prompt}}$  parameter.  
171 The measured 11 ns for the singlet state has a large uncertainty, since the  
172 shape is convolved with the time resolution of the PMT.

173 The  $F_{\text{prompt}}$  parameter of 0.67 measured here is very close to the  $F_{\text{prompt}}$   
174 of 0.75 measured in the DEAP-1 detector for neutron events. WIMP and  
175 neutron signals are expected to have the same pulse shape and the average  
176 neutron pulse shape measured in DEAP-1 is shown in figure 8 for compari-  
177 son. TPB scintillation could therefore lead to non-WIMP events in the signal  
178 region of that experiment. However, the liquid argon scintillation lifetimes  
179 of 6.7 ns for the singlet and 1600 ns[11] for the triplet component are much  
180 different from the TPB scintillation lifetimes measured here, suggesting that  
181 an improved pulse shape discrimination can be developed. In future work,  
182 we plan to study whether the scintillation pulse shape and light yield are

---

<sup>1</sup>The uncertainty in the PMT efficiency is certainly a large source of error as well, but not as relevant for DEAP-1, because the light yield in terms of PE/MeV can be used where different PMTs are compared directly.

183 temperature dependent.

## 184 **7. Conclusion**

185 We have shown that TPB scintillates under alpha particle excitation with  
186 a light yield of  $882\pm 210$  photons/MeV and a pulse shape that consists of a  
187 fast and a slow component. This measurement will help understand surface  
188 alpha backgrounds in experiments using TPB and can be used to evaluate  
189 pulse shape discrimination methods for discriminating surface alpha from  
190 nuclear recoil events.

## 191 **8. Acknowledgements**

192 We would like to thank Prof. Gregory Jerkiewicz and Dr. Michał Grdeń  
193 for providing access to the profiler and assistance in using it, as well as  
194 Rob Gagnon for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Na-  
195 tional Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), by  
196 the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) and by the Ontario Ministry of  
197 Research and Innovation (MRI).

## 198 **References**

- 199 [1] DEAP collaboration, Measurement of the scintillation time spectra and  
200 pulse-shape discrimination of low-energy  $\beta$  and nuclear recoils in liquid  
201 argon with DEAP-1, 2010. To be published.
- 202 [2] D. N. McKinsey, The mini-clean experiment, Nuclear Physics B (Proc.  
203 Suppl.) 173 (2007) 152–155.
- 204 [3] A. Rubbia, ArDM: A ton-scale liquid argon experiment for direct de-  
205 tection of dark matter in the universe, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 39 (2006).
- 206 [4] W. Burton, B. Powell, Fluorescence of tetraphenyl-butadiene in the  
207 vacuum ultraviolet, Journal of Applied Optics 12 (1973).
- 208 [5] D. Chaleix, et al., A spatial distribution study of a beam vapour emitted  
209 by electron-beam-heated evaporation sources, J. Phys. D 29 (1996) 218–  
210 224.

- 211 [6] J. Ziegler, J. Biersack, M. Ziegler, SRIM. The Stopping and Range of  
212 Ions in Matter, SRIM Co., 2008.
- 213 [7] E. Enterprises, 9390B series data sheet, Technical Report, 2009.
- 214 [8] G. Hull, et al., New organic crystals for pulse shape discrimination,  
215 Nuclear Science, IEEE Transactions 56 (2009) 899–903.
- 216 [9] D. Mckinsey, et al., Fluorescence efficiencies of thin scintillating films in  
217 the extreme ultraviolet spectral region, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 132 (1997)  
218 351 – 358.
- 219 [10] G. Porter, M. Topp, Nanosecond flash photolysis, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.  
220 A 315 (1970) 163 – 184.
- 221 [11] A. Hitachi, et al., Effect of ionization density on the time dependence  
222 of luminescence from liquid argon and xenon, Phys. Rev. B 27 (1983).