

Geometric phases for nonlinear coherent and squeezed states

Da-Bao Yang, Ying Chen, and Jing-Ling Chen*

*Theoretical Physics Division, Chern Institute of Mathematics,
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China*

Fu-Lin Zhang

*Physics Department, School of Science, Tianjin University,
Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China*

(Dated: February 6, 2019)

Abstract

The geometric phases for standard coherent states which is widely used in quantum optics have attracted a large amount of attention. Nevertheless, few physicists consider about the counterpart of non-linear coherent states, which are useful in the description of the motion of a trapped ion. In this paper, the non-unitary and non-cyclic geometric phase for two nonlinear coherent and one squeezed states are formulated respectively. Moreover, some their properties are discussed respectively, such as gauge transformation and non-locality. The geometric phases for standard coherent and squeezed states are recovered under a particular condition. When the time evolution undergoes a period, their corresponding cyclic geometric phases are achieved as well. For the unitary and cyclic geometric phase of the standard squeezed state, the connection with surface area of a sphere with unitary radius in hyperbolic geometry is obtained. And the distinction between the geometric phases of the two coherent states maybe regarded as a geometric criterion.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Vf, 42.50.Dv, 03.65.Db

*Electronic address: chenjl@nankai.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, nonlinear coherent state and squeezed have caught attention of many physicists. Filho and Vogel [2] have defined the nonlinear coherent states as the right eigenstate of a generalized annihilation, whose concrete definition will be given in the following paragraph. They researched not only the typical features of the standard coherent states but also the strongly non-classical properties. B. Roy and P. Roy [7] used displaced-operator technique to give a new nonlinear coherent states. They also studied the non-classical properties of it, such as quadrature squeezing, amplitude-squared squeezing and sub-Poissonian behavior. In addition, Kwek and Kiang [3] defined nonlinear squeezed states and studied then nonlinear extension of the single-mode squeezed states as well as sub-Poissonian behavior.

The above paragraph reviewed the developments of the nonlinear coherent and squeezed state, now we pay attention to geometric phase, which is one of the most interesting developments relating to quantum mechanics in the recent 25 years. It is discovered by Berry[1] in the context of adiabatic, unitary, cyclic evolution of time-dependent quantum system. Besides of the significance of physics, it also has a geometrical interpretation which is given by Simon[10]. It can be regarded as the holonomy of a line bundle L over the space of parameters M of the system, if L is endowed with a natural connection.

Berry's result was generalized by Aharonov and Anandan[11] via discarding the assumption of adiabaticity. The Aharonov and Anandan phase(A-A phase) could be obtained by the difference between the total phase and the dynamical one as well as be determined by the loop integral of a natural connection on a $U(1)$ principle fiber bundle over the space of projective Hilbert space.

Subsequently, A-A phase was also generalized by Samuel and Bhandari[8]. Specifically speaking, depending on the Pancharatnam's earlier work[9], they found a more general phase in the context of non-cyclic and non-unitary quantal evolution. However, their definition is an indirect one, which depends on a geodesic closing the initial and the final points of the open path. Moreover, it is not manifestly gauge invariant. Soon Pati [5, 6] had given another definition without the need of geodesic, where a canonical one-form is defined and the line integral of it gives the geometric phase. It is invariant under phase and gauge transformation.

Based on the formulation given by Pati, the present paper aims at giving non-cyclic and

non-unitary geometric phases of nonlinear coherent and squeezed states. It is organized as follows. Section II reviews nonlinear coherent and squeezed states as well as the non-unitary and non-cyclic geometric phase. In Sec. III, the geometric phases of the two nonlinear coherent state are calculated. Moreover we analyze the the distinction between the two geometric phase and some of their properties. In Sec. IV, the geometric phase of squeezed states is given. And some of its properties are discussed. At the end of this paper, a conclusion is drawn.

II. REVIEWS OF NONLINEAR COHERENT AND SQUEEZED STATE AND GEOMETRIC PHASE

For nonlinear coherent states, the generalized annihilation and creation operators are introduced by

$$A = af(N), \quad A^\dagger = f(N)a^\dagger,$$

where $a(a^\dagger)$ is the harmonic oscillator annihilation (creation) operator, $N = a^\dagger a$, and $f(x)$ is a reasonably well behaved real function. And A and A^\dagger satisfy the following nonlinear algebra

$$[A, A^\dagger] = (N + 1)f^2(N + 1) - Nf^2(N). \quad (1)$$

So we can construct the coherent operator, i.e. , $\exp(\alpha A - \alpha^* A^\dagger)$. However, because of Eq. (1), we can't apply BCH disentangling theorem to obtain the coherent operator. So we construct another two operators B and B^\dagger which have the following commutation relation

$$[A, B^\dagger] = 1$$

and

$$[B, A^\dagger] = 1,$$

where $B = a \frac{1}{f(N)}$ and $B^\dagger = \frac{1}{f(N)} a^\dagger$. As a result, the coherent state operator can be constructed as

$$D_1(\beta) = \exp(\beta B^\dagger - \beta^* A)$$

and

$$D(\beta) = \exp(\beta A^\dagger - \beta^* B).$$

Nevertheless, the above two operator are not unitary. When both of them act on the vacuum state, we can get two coherent states which are

$$|\beta_1\rangle = |D_1(\beta)|0\rangle = \exp(\beta B^\dagger - \beta^* A)|0\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}\right) \exp(\beta B^\dagger) \exp(-\beta^* A)|0\rangle$$

and

$$|\beta\rangle = D(\beta)|0\rangle = \exp(\beta A^\dagger - \beta^* B)|0\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}\right) \exp(\beta B^\dagger) \exp(-\beta^* A)|0\rangle$$

via BCH theorem. Both of them are represented in the energy eigenstate, i.e. ,

$$|\beta_1\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{1}{f(n)!\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \quad (2)$$

and

$$|\beta\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{f(n)!}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle \quad (3)$$

where $f(n)! = f(1) \cdots f(n)$.

Furthermore, Kwek and Kiang pointed out that the squeezed operator can have the following definitions

$$S_1(\zeta) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta B^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* A^2)\right]$$

and

$$S(\zeta) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta A^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* B^2)\right].$$

Also, when the two above operators act on the vacuum state, one can get the so-called squeezed state, i.e. ,

$$|\zeta_1\rangle = S_1(\zeta)|0\rangle = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta B^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* A^2)\right] |0\rangle$$

and

$$|\zeta\rangle = S(\zeta)|0\rangle = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta A^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* B^2)\right] |0\rangle.$$

If the above two states are expanded in the energy eigenstates, we can find that they coincide with each other, that is to say,

$$|\zeta\rangle = |\zeta_1\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{i\varphi} \tanh(r)}{2}\right)^n \frac{f(2n)!\sqrt{(2n)!}}{n!} |2n\rangle,$$

where \mathcal{N} is a indefinite coefficients and $\zeta = r e^{i\varphi}$. By the way, the following formulas maybe useful in expanding the squeezed state, which are

$$\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta B^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* A^2)\right] A \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\zeta B^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* A^2)\right] = \cosh(r) A - e^{i\varphi} \sinh(r) B^\dagger$$

and

$$\exp \left[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta A^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* B^2) \right] B \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\zeta A^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^* B^2) \right] = \cosh(r)B - e^{i\varphi} \sinh(r)A^\dagger.$$

The above paragraphs are about nonlinear coherent and squeezed states. And it is well-known that the coherent and squeezed operators are non-unitary. Hence, to calculate the geometric phase, we must know the methods of calculating the non-unitary case, which are reviewed in the following paragraphs. Moreover, it is also applied to non-cyclic evolution.

For a non-cyclic evolution, a way must be found to compare two different quantal state. According to Pancharatnam connection, the total phase can be formulated as

$$\chi = \arg \left\langle \frac{\psi(0)}{\|\psi(0)\|} \mid \frac{\psi(t)}{\|\psi(t)\|} \right\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\|\psi(t)\|$ denotes the norm of the state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle$. And the dynamical phase could be written as

$$\delta = -i \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\langle \frac{\psi(\tau)}{\|\psi(\tau)\|} \mid \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\psi(\tau)}{\|\psi(\tau)\|} \right\rangle d\tau.$$

Hence the geometric phase [4] reads

$$\gamma = \chi - \delta. \quad (5)$$

In order to spell out the geometric aspects of the above equation, Pati [5, 6] introduce the reference section which is

$$|\chi_0(t)\rangle = \frac{\langle \psi(t) | \psi(0) \rangle}{|\langle \psi(t) | \psi(0) \rangle|} \frac{|\psi(t)\rangle}{\|\psi(t)\|}. \quad (6)$$

It is easily verified that $\langle \chi_0(0) | \chi_0(t) \rangle$ is always real and positive during the evolution, in another word the two state vectors are in phase, which is in accordance with the Pancharatnam condition. Moreover, substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (5), the non-cyclic and non-unitary geometric phase could be expressed as

$$\gamma = i \int_{\tau=0}^t \left\langle \chi_0(\tau) \mid \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_0(\tau) \right\rangle d\tau \mod 2\pi. \quad (7)$$

It is not very complex to verify that the geometric phase is independent of the choice of the phase of the state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ of the quantum system, reparametrization invariant and manifestly gauge invariant. Furthermore the geometric phase could reduce to Berry phase and Aharonov and Anandan phase under a appropriate limit.

We have already reviewed both the nonlinear coherent and squeezed state, as well as non-cyclic and non-unitary geometric phase. So we can research further on the geometric phase of nonlinear coherent and squeezed state.

III. GEOMETRIC PHASE FOR NONLINEAR COHERENT STATE

At any time $t > 0$, the first coherent state vector is given by

$$|\beta_1(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{1}{f(n)!\sqrt{n!}} e^{-iE_n t} |n\rangle, \quad (8)$$

where $E_n = \omega(\frac{1}{2} + n)$ and we set $\hbar = 1$ for simplicity. In order to calculate the corresponding geometric phase, we must know the reference section (6). At first, let's calculate the first fraction of Eq. (6). In polar coordinate, regarding this problem, the first fraction is expressed as

$$\frac{\langle \beta_1(t) | \beta_1(0) \rangle}{|\langle \beta_1(t) | \beta_1(0) \rangle|} = e^{-i\chi} = \exp \left[i \arctan \left(\frac{\text{Im}(\langle \beta_1(t) | \beta_1(0) \rangle)}{\text{Re}(\langle \beta_1(t) | \beta_1(0) \rangle)} \right) \right], \quad (9)$$

Where χ is the total phase in accordance with Eq. 4. So it is necessary to calculate the inner product

$$\langle \beta_1(t) | \beta_1(0) \rangle = e^{-|\beta|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \cos(E_n t) + i e^{-|\beta|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \sin(E_n t). \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), one can get

$$-\chi = \arctan \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \sin(E_n t)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \cos(E_n t)}. \quad (11)$$

Second, to seek for $\|\beta_1(t)\|$, we can calculate it in this way, i.e. ,

$$N_1 = \|\beta_1(t)\| = \sqrt{\langle \beta_1(t) | \beta_1(t) \rangle} = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (12)$$

which is independent of time. Third, we will obtain the reference section, which is

$$|\chi_0(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{N_1} e^{-i\chi} e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{1}{f(n)!\sqrt{n!}} e^{-iE_n t} |n\rangle,$$

via substituting Eq. (8) Eq. (9) and Eq. (12) into Eq. (6). It is in phase with the initial reference section $|\chi_0(0)\rangle$, which is in accordance with Pancharatnam condition. Fourth, the

connection is calculated, which takes this form

$$i\langle\chi_0(t)|\frac{d}{dt}|\chi_0(t)\rangle = \frac{d\chi}{dt} + \omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + |\beta|^2 \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \frac{1}{[f(n+1)]^2}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!}} \right\}, \quad (13)$$

by use of Eq. (12). Finally, the non-unitary and non-cyclic geometric phase is got, i.e. ,

$$\gamma(t) = -\arctan \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \sin(E_n t)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \cos(E_n t)} + \omega t \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + |\beta|^2 \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \frac{1}{[f(n+1)]^2}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!}} \right\} \mod 2\pi, \quad (14)$$

by substituting Eq. (11) and Eq. (13) into Eq. (7). It is regarded as the result of parallel transport with the Pancharatnam connection, which uncover the geometric meaning.

Furthermore, let's discuss about the above geometric phase. Except for the general properties of geometric phase such as reparametrization invariant and manifestly gauge invariant under the gauge transformation $|\chi'_0(t)\rangle = e^{i2n\pi}|\chi_0(t)\rangle$ [6], where n is integer, let's discuss some special ones. To begin with, when $f(n) = 1$, the nonlinear coherent state is reduced to the normal coherent state, so is the corresponding geometric phase. It takes this form

$$\gamma = -\arctan \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{n!} \sin(E_n t)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{n!} \cos(E_n t)} + \omega t \left(\frac{1}{2} + |\beta|^2 \right) \mod 2\pi, \quad (15)$$

which coincides with the result of [6]. Moreover, if the quantum state undergoes cyclic evolution, the geometric phase (14) becomes

$$\gamma \left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right) = 2\pi |\beta|^2 \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!} \frac{1}{[f(n+1)]^2}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n}}{[f(n)!]^2 n!}} \mod 2\pi.$$

In addition, if the different nonlinear function $f(n)$ is chosen, we would get different geometric phase (14). In this paper, we choose

$$f(n) = L_n^1(\eta^2)[(n+1)L_n^0(\eta^2)]^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

which is important to describe the motion of a ion in a harmonic potential and interaction with two laser fields [2]. L_m^n are generalized Laguerre polynomials which is characterized by the Lamb-Dicke parameter η . To get some intuition about the evolution of the geometric phase with respect to time t , we show it in Fig. (1), where we choose $|\beta|^2 = 1$ and $\omega = \pi/4$. When $\eta = 0$, $f(n) = 1$, so that the geometric phase of non-linear coherent states reduce to the standard case (15), which is shown in Curve (1) in the figure (1). It is known that when




Figure 1: The geometric phase according to Eq. (14) together with Eq. (16) is shown for various values of η . Curve (1), $\eta = 0$; Curve (2), $\eta = 0.33$; Curve (3), $\eta = 0.6$; Curve (4), $\eta = 0.8$. (This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

η increases, the corresponding graph varies as well. All of these curves vary non-linearly with time in contrast with dynamical phases, which vary linearly with time. This is a main difference between the geometric phases and the dynamical ones. Finally, let's focus on the non-locality of Eq. 14. Pati [6] has proved that along the geodesic the geometric phase is equal to zero. Hence, if we join the end points by a geodesic, the line integral (7) can be converted to a surface integral by Stokes's theorem. So the non-locality for this specific problem is unveiled.

In the above paragraphs, we have discussed the above non-unitary and non-cyclic geometric phase of the first nonlinear coherent state. Now let's study the counterpart of another nonlinear coherent state. For $t > 0$, the time evolution of the state vector reads

$$|\beta(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\beta|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{f(n)!}{\sqrt{n!}} e^{-iE_n t} |n\rangle.$$

By similar calculation, we can obtain the geometric phase, which is

$$\gamma(t) = -\arctan \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n} [f(n)!]^2}{n!} \sin(E_n t)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n} [f(n)!]^2}{n!} \cos(E_n t)} + \omega t \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + |\beta|^2 \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n} [f(n)!]^2}{n!} [f(n+1)]^2}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n} [f(n)!]^2}{n!}} \right\} \mod 2\pi. \quad (17)$$

In short, the similar properties with the above case are ignored. Let's concentrate on the special ones. At first, let's see the distinction between the geometric phase of the first nonlinear coherent state (14) and the second one (17). It is not very hard to be observed that $f(n)$ which is the mark of the non-linearity is in the denominator position in the first




Figure 2: The geometric phase according to Eq. (17) together with Eq. (16) is shown for various values of η . Curve (1), $\eta = 0$; Curve (2), $\eta = 0.33$; Curve (3), $\eta = 0.6$; Curve (4), $\eta = 0.8$. (This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

case, whereas it becomes in the numerator position in the second case. So the geometric phase plays the pole of a criterion, which tell the geometric difference of the two coherent states. Moreover, if $f(n) = 1$, Eq. (17) is also reduced to the normal case (15). In addition, while $|\beta(t)\rangle$ go through cyclic evolution, the geometric phase (17) is transformed to be

$$\gamma\left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) = 2\pi|\beta|^2 \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n} [f(n)!]^2}{n!} [f(n+1)]^2}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta|^{2n} [f(n)!]^2}{n!}} \bmod 2\pi.$$

Last but not least, again in order to get the intuition of Eq. 17, we show it in Fig. 2. For the sake of comparison with Fig. (1), we choose the same parameters i.e., ω and $|\beta|^2$. Again we can see that the impact of $f(n)$, which is shown in Curve (2), (3) and (4). However, some one may astonished by the discontinuity of the geometric phase at the point $t = 0$. The reason is that we have adjust the geometric phase to $[0, 2\pi]$. If we make the discontinuous parts of the curves minus 2π , we will again obtain a continuous curve.

IV. GEOMETRIC PHASE FOR NONLINEAR SQUEEZED STATE

In the previous section, the geometric phases of the two non-linear coherent states are obtained respectively. Now, in this section, we will research the counterpart of squeezed state. However, the two non-linear squeezed state coincide with each other. So we can

concentrate on one case, whose state vector of time evolution has this form

$$|\zeta(t)\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{i\varphi} \tanh(r)}{2} \right)^n \frac{f(2n)! \sqrt{(2n)!}}{n!} e^{-iE_{2n}t} |2n\rangle, \quad (18)$$

where $E_{2n} = \omega(\frac{1}{2} + 2n)$. Firstly, let's focus on the first fraction of the reference section (6), which is expressed as

$$\frac{\langle \zeta(t) | \zeta(0) \rangle}{|\langle \zeta(t) | \zeta(0) \rangle|} = e^{-i\chi} = \exp \left[i \arctan \left(\frac{\text{Im}(\langle \zeta(t) | \zeta(0) \rangle)}{\text{Re}(\langle \zeta(t) | \zeta(0) \rangle)} \right) \right], \quad (19)$$

Where χ represents the total phase. Hence, we are ready to calculate the inner product, i.e.

$$\langle \zeta(t) | \zeta(0) \rangle = |\mathcal{N}|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2} [\cos(E_{2n}t) + i \sin(E_{2n}t)]. \quad (20)$$

By use of Eq. (19) and Eq. (20), we can obtain

$$-\chi = \arctan \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2} \sin(E_{2n}t)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2} \cos(E_{2n}t)}. \quad (21)$$

Secondly, let's concentrate on the denominator of the second fraction of the reference section (6), i.e. , the norm of $|\zeta(t)\rangle$, which is

$$N = \sqrt{\langle \zeta(t) | \zeta(t) \rangle} = \left\{ |\mathcal{N}|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (22)$$

Thirdly, substituting Eq. (18), Eq. (19) and Eq. (22) into Eq.(6), one can get the reference section

$$|\chi_0(t)\rangle = e^{-i\chi} \frac{\mathcal{N}}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{i\varphi} \tanh(r)}{2} \right)^n \frac{f(2n)! \sqrt{(2n)!}}{n!} e^{-iE_{2n}t} |2n\rangle, \quad (23)$$

which is in phase with $|\chi_0(t)\rangle$. Fourthly, by use of Eq. (23), we can get the connection

$$i\langle \chi_0(t) | \frac{d}{dt} | \chi_0(t) \rangle = \frac{d\chi}{dt} + \omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \tanh^2(r) \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2} f^2(2n+1) f^2(2n+2) (2n+1)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2}} \right\}. \quad (24)$$

Finally, via integration of the above connection according to Eq. (7), the non-unitary and non-cyclic geometric phase can be achieved, i.e. ,

$$\gamma(t) = \chi + \omega t \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \tanh^2(r) \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n+1)!}{(n!)^2} f^2(2n+1) f^2(2n+2)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2}} \right\} \mod 2\pi. \quad (25)$$

It is the outcome of parallel transport according to the Pancharatnam connection.

From above calculations, we have obtained the geometric phase (25), now let us go on to discuss the properties. Above all, when $f(n) = 1$, the above result reduced to the counterpart of normal squeezed state, which is

$$\gamma(t) = \chi + \omega t \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \sinh^2(r) \right\} \text{ mod } 2\pi.$$

Moreover, when the quantal state undergoes cyclic evolution, we can obtain the corresponding geometric phase, which takes this form

$$\gamma\left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) = 2\pi \tanh^2(r) \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n+1)!}{(n!)^2} f^2(2n+1) f^2(2n+2)}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tanh(r)}{2} \right]^{2n} \frac{[f(2n)!]^2 (2n)!}{(n!)^2}} \text{ mod } 2\pi.$$

Again, if $f(n) = 1$, the unitary and cyclic geometric phase is gained, i.e. ,

$$\gamma(t) = 2\pi \sinh^2(r) \text{ mod } 2\pi,$$

which is exactly half the surface area of a sphere with unitary radius in hyperbolic geometry [12]. This is the area in phase space enclosed by the phase space trajectory. At last, if the same non-linear function (16) are chosen and the parameters are $r = 4$ and $\omega = \pi/400$ respectively, we draw a graph of geometric phase Eq. (25), which is shown in Fig (3). Finally, by use the similar demonstration, the geometric phase (25) has the non-local properties as well. .

V. CONCLUSION AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In summary, the non-cyclic and non-unitary geometric phases for nonlinear coherent and squeezed states are formulated respectively. Furthermore, some of their properties are discussed such as gauge-invariant and non-locality. The geometric phases for standard coherent and squeezed states are recovered if the non-linear function $f(n) = 1$. When the time evolution undergoes a period, their corresponding cyclic geometric phases are achieved. For the unitary and cyclic geometric phase of the standard squeezed state, a concrete geometric meaning is obtained.

These authors acknowledge the helpful discussion with Lei Fang and Ruo-yang zhang. This work was supported in part by NSF of China (Grants No.10605013 and No.10975075),




Figure 3: The geometric phase according to Eq. (25) together with Eq. (16) is shown for various values of η . Curve (1), $\eta = 0$; Curve (2), $\eta = 0.33$; Curve (3), $\eta = 0.6$; Curve (4), $\eta = 0.8$. (This figure is in colour only in the electronic version)

and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

-
- [1] M. V. Berry. Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 392:45–57, 1984.
 - [2] RL de Matos Filho and W Vogel. Nonlinear coherent states. *Physical Review A*, 54(5):4560–4563, 1996.
 - [3] LC Kwek and D Kiang. Nonlinear squeezed states. *JOURNAL OF OPTICS B: QUANTUM AND SEMICLASSICAL OPTICS*, 5:383, 2003.
 - [4] N Mukunda and R Simon. Quantum kinematic approach to the geometric phase. i. general formalism. *Annals of Physics*, 228(2):205–268, 1993.
 - [5] A Pati. Gauge-invariant reference section and geometric phase. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 28:2087, 1995.
 - [6] Arun Kumar Pati. Geometric aspects of noncyclic quantum evolutions. *Physical Review A*, 52(4):2576, 1995. Copyright (C) 2010 The American Physical Society Please report any problems to prola@aps.org PRA.
 - [7] B Roy and P Roy. New nonlinear coherent states and some of their nonclassical properties. *JOURNAL OF OPTICS B: QUANTUM AND SEMICLASSICAL OPTICS*, 2:65, 2000.
 - [8] Joseph Samuel and Rajendra Bhandari. General setting for berry’s phase. *Physical Review*

- Letters*, 60(Copyright (C) 2010 The American Physical Society):2339, 1988. PRL.
- [9] A Shapere and F Wilczek. Geometric phases in physics. 1989.
- [10] Barry Simon. Holonomy, the quantum adiabatic theorem, and berry's phase. *Physical Review Letters*, 51(24):2167, 1983. Copyright (C) 2010 The American Physical Society Please report any problems to prola@aps.org PRL.
- [11] A. Anandan Y.Aharonov. Phase change during a cyclic quantum evolution. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 58(1593), 1987.
- [12] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperbolic_geometry