

## **Supersymmetry and PT-Symmetric Spectral Bifurcation**

Kumar Abhinav<sup>†</sup> and Prasanta K. Panigrahi\*,

IISER-Kolkata, Mohanpur, Nadia-741252, West Bengal

[ To be published in "Photonics and Quantum Structures": Proceedings of national Seminar on Photonics and Quantum Structures-2009]

### **Abstract:**

Dynamical systems exhibiting both PT and Supersymmetry are analyzed in a general scenario. It is found that, in an appropriate parameter domain, the ground state may or may not respect PT-symmetry. Interestingly, in the domain where PT-symmetry is not respected, two superpotentials give rise to one potential; whereas when the ground state respects PT, this correspondence is unique. In both scenarios, supersymmetry and shape-invariance are intact, through which one can obtain eigenfunctions and eigenstates exactly. Our procedure enables one to generate a host of complex potentials which are not PT-symmetric, and can be exactly solved.

### **Introduction**

Complex potentials symmetric under simultaneous Parity (P) and Time-reversal (T) transformations are known to yield real energy eigenvalues in suitable parameter domain, sharing common eigenfunctions with the PT operator [1-3]. Beyond this range, these PT-

symmetric potentials correspond to complex-conjugate spectra with eigenfunctions connected through PT operation. This *spontaneous breaking* of PT-symmetry is expected as PT is a non-linear operator. Various models have been studied, both numerically and analytically, to illustrate the above structure [4, 5]. When these systems are analyzed under Supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY-QM) [6], in the real domain, they are found to be isospectral to a real potential [7]. We here put forward an approach to arrive at both real and complex-conjugate domains starting from a class of superpotentials, with complex parameters. For the real case, it is possible to have a unique superpotential. For the complex-conjugate domain we have multiple superpotentials, each representing a *separate* section of the complete Hilbert space, but possessing the same potential. Moreover, these two classes of superpotentials are related through simple parametric variation, showing a bifurcation in the complex energy plane.

In the following, we will discuss SUSY-QM briefly and then directly proceed to discuss a particular example of PT-symmetric potential [8]. Analysis of other complex potentials which are not PT-symmetric will be discussed, followed by some recent experimental findings.

## **1. SUSY-QM: A Brief Introduction**

Following the factorization approach to analytically solvable Hamiltonians [9], in SUSY-QM [6, 10, 11, 12], the Hamiltonian can be written as  $H_-(x) = A^\dagger A$ , where  $A^\dagger = -\partial/\partial x + W(x)$  and  $A = \partial/\partial x + W(x)$ ; with the *superpotential*  $W(x)$  being defined as:  $V_\pm(x) = W^2(x) \pm \partial W/\partial x$ . Here  $V_-$  is the potential corresponding to  $H_-(x)$  and  $V_+$  corresponds to another Hamiltonian  $H_+(x) = AA^\dagger$ , which is the *superpartner* of  $H_-(x)$ . The eigenstates of  $H_\pm(x)$  have one-to-one correspondence:

$$\psi_n^+(x) = [E_{n+1}^-]^{-1/2} A \psi_{n+1}^-(x),$$

and, 
$$\psi_{n+1}^-(x) = [E_n^+]^{-1/2} A^\dagger \psi_n^+(x); \quad (1.1)$$

except for the ground state of  $H_-(x)$ , which is defined as  $A\psi_0(x) = 0$ , and is expressible as

$$\psi_0(x) = e^{-\int^x w(x') dx'}. \quad (1.2)$$

Also, from (1.1), the energies are related as  $E_n^+ = E_{n+1}^-$ , whence the potentials  $V_\pm(x)$  are called *isospectral*. Further, Gendenshtein [13] showed that, if two isospectral potentials are related as  $V_+(x; a_0) = V_-(x; a_1) + R(a_1)$ , where  $a_0$  is a parameter in  $V_\pm$ ,  $a_1 = f(a_0)$  and  $R(a_1)$  is independent of  $x$ ; then the potentials are called *shape-invariant*. From such potentials, one can construct a hierarchy of Hamiltonians:

$$H^s = -\partial^2/\partial x^2 + V_-(x; a_s) + \sum_{k=1}^s R(a_k) = -\partial^2/\partial x^2 + V_+(x; a_{s-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} R(a_k),$$

with ground state energy  $E_0^s = \sum_{k=1}^s R(a_k)$ . On identifying,  $H^1 = H_+$  and  $H^0 = H_-$ , the energy of the  $n$ th level of  $H_-$  is found to be  $E_0^n = \sum_{k=1}^n R(a_k)$ . Further, the excited states of the original Hamiltonian can be found from the ground state of  $H^n$  as:

$$\psi_n^-(x; a_s) \propto A^\dagger(x; a_0) A^\dagger(x; a_1) \dots \dots \dots A^\dagger(x; a_{n-1}) \psi_0^-(x; a_n). \quad (1.3)$$

Therefore if the potential is shape-invariant, the eigenvalue problem can be solved completely by this algebraic method and the spectrum with corresponding eigenfunctions can be obtained. The application of the SUSY-QM approach to PT-symmetric pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians has been carried out in detail [14, 15], including the construction of the appropriate norm. Recently [16], the procedure to construct the norm for general pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians has been shown.

## 2. Construction of Complex PT-symmetric Potentials

Non-Hermitian, complex PT-symmetric potentials are known to have real eigenvalues over a definite range of parameters appearing in them, beyond which they show *complex-conjugate* (CC) spectra, with corresponding wavefunctions being PT-related. If such potentials are shape-invariant then the eigenvalue problem can be solved through SUSY-QM.

We start with general superpotentials with complex parameters, leading to both real and CC spectra for different range of the parameter values. In the real domain, for a given potential, the superpotential is *unique*, for a given parameter range. For the CC domain, two *different* superpotentials yield the *same* potential, for a different range of parameterization. Thus, we arrive at the SUSY condition for *phase-transition* of the spectrum from real to CC values owing to spontaneous PT-symmetry breaking.

For example, we will consider generalizations of PT-symmetric shape-invariant complex potentials,  $V(x; \alpha) = -V_1 \text{sech}^2(\alpha x) - iV_2 \text{sech}(\alpha x) \tanh(\alpha x)$ , which was analytically solved by Z. Ahmed [8]. Here  $V_{1,2}$  and  $\alpha$  are real constant parameters. We propose a superpotential,

$$W_{PT}^{\pm} = (A \pm iC^{PT}) \tanh(\alpha x) + (\pm C^{PT} + iB) \text{sech}(\alpha x), \quad (2.1)$$

with  $A, B, \alpha$  are real parameters. The corresponding potentials are,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\pm}^{\pm}(x) = & -[(A \pm iC^{PT})(A \pm iC^{PT} + \alpha) - (\pm C^{PT} + iB)^2] \text{sech}^2(\alpha x) \\ & -i(\pm C^{PT} - B)[2(A \pm iC^{PT}) + \alpha] \text{sech}(\alpha x) \tanh(\alpha x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

This potential is not PT-symmetric, for which the co-efficient of the even-P term has to be real and that of the odd-P part has to be imaginary. Invoking this condition, we arrive at the *bifurcation condition*,

$$C^{PT}[2(A - B) + \alpha] = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.3), there can be two possibilities. For  $C^{PT} = 0$ , we have from (2.1),

$$W_{PT}(x) \equiv W_{real}(x) = A \tanh(\alpha x) + iB \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x), \quad (2.4)$$

giving, from (2.2),

$$V_{\pm}^{\pm}(x) \equiv V_{-}(x) = -[A(A + \alpha) + B^2] \operatorname{sech}^2(\alpha x) + iB(2A + \alpha) \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x) \tanh(\alpha x). \quad (2.5)$$

Then, from (2.4), we get the **real** spectrum of the above potential through shape-invariance as,

$$E = -(n\alpha - A)^2, \quad (2.6)$$

modulo a constant term, and from (1.3), the eigenfunctions as [9],

$$\psi_n(x) \propto [\sec(\alpha x)]^{\frac{A}{\alpha}} \exp\left[-i \frac{B}{\alpha} \tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right] P_n^{\frac{A}{\alpha}, \frac{B}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{A}{\alpha} + \frac{B}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{2}}[i \sinh(\alpha x)], \quad (2.7)$$

Again, if  $C^{PT} \neq 0$ , from (2.3), one gets  $A = B - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , which when substituted in (2.1) and (2.2),

yields,

$$W_{PT}^{\pm}(x) \equiv W_c^{\pm}(x) = (A \pm iC^{PT}) \tanh(\alpha x) + \left[\pm C^{PT} + i\left(A + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right] \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{and, } V_{\pm}^{\pm}(x) \equiv V_{-}^c(x) = -\left[2A(A + \alpha) - 2(C^{PT})^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\right] \operatorname{sech}^2(\alpha x) +$$

$$i\left[2A(A + \alpha) + 2(C^{PT})^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right] \operatorname{sech}(\alpha x) \tanh(\alpha x). \quad (2.9)$$

Corresponding to two different superpotentials, we arrive at the CC spectrum,

$$E_n^{\pm} = 2n(A \pm iC^{PT})\alpha + (n\alpha)^2, \quad (2.10)$$

modulo a constant term again, and the eigenfunctions as,

$$\psi_n^{\pm}(x) \propto [\operatorname{sech}(\alpha x)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}(A \pm iC^{PT})} \exp\left[\left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(A + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \mp \frac{C^{PT}}{\alpha}\right\} \tan^{-1}\{\sinh(\alpha x)\}\right] \times$$

$$P_n^{\pm, i2\frac{C^{PT}}{\alpha}, 2\frac{A}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}}[i \sinh(\alpha x)]. \quad (2.11)$$

The specific parameterization condition  $C^{PT} \neq 0$  is the SUSY criterion for spontaneously broken PT, which is different from the analytic parameter criterion with PT being unbroken over a **range** of parameters. Further, for broken PT, two superpotentials corresponding to the

**bifurcation** in the Hilbert space results into the same complex PT-symmetric potential. This non-uniqueness is different from isospectral deformation as it arises **parametrically**. In the complex case shape-invariance leads to complex energy shifts, while in the real case this shift is real, which was observed earlier [17]. The key structure of such potentials is that the odd Parity part has purely imaginary co-efficient and the even part has purely real co-efficient. More examples are listed in the source paper.

### Conclusion

One can construct non-PT-symmetric shape-invariant complex potential through **minimal complexification** of the superpotential corresponding to the real counterparts []. For example, the Pöschl-Teller potential [18]  $U(x) = U_a \operatorname{sech}^2(\alpha x) + U_b \operatorname{csch}^2(\alpha x)$ , where  $U_{a,b}$  and  $\alpha$  are constant parameters, can be complexified by considering the superpotentials  $W_1 = A \operatorname{tanh}(\alpha x) + iB \operatorname{coth}(\alpha x)$  or  $W_2 = iA \operatorname{tanh}(\alpha x) + B \operatorname{coth}(\alpha x)$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\alpha$  being constant parameters. In both cases, the spectra are complex. The non-uniqueness of the real spectra for the real potential is lifted by the complexification, with the imaginary part being equispaced. Further, the wave-functions become normalizable over a greater parameter range. A complex radial Coulomb potential can be constructed from the superpotential,  $W(r) = \frac{i\alpha}{r} + \beta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  being independent of  $r$ , which results into complex principal quantum numbers, with the wave-function being normalizable over a greater parameter range than those corresponding to the real counterpart [19]. Recently, spontaneous PT breaking has been observed experimentally in optical fibers, where the gain-loss profile showed bifurcation in the complex plane beyond a

critical value of the *optical loss co-efficient* [20]. We propose similar observation in terms of our parameters, if they are identified properly for an optical system.

# Recently it has been shown for unbroken PT-symmetry, the potential in Eq.(2.5) corresponds to not one, but two superpotentials, under the additional  $sl(2)$  symmetry of the system [21,22]. But each of them is shown to be independently mapped to the same pair of superpotentials in the broken PT sector under the SUSY parameterization [23].

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to acknowledge Prof. R. Dutt for his invaluable suggestions, and Profs. A. Mostafazadeh and M. Plyushchay for bringing a number of related references to our notice.

### **References:**

- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5243-5246; C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher and P.N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 2201-2229; C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, H. F. Jones and V. M. Savage, J. Math. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 6771-6781.
- [2] C. M. Bender, Rept. Prog. Phys., **70** (2007) 947-1018; A. Mostafazadeh, [quant-ph:0810.5643], (revised version to appear in Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys).
- [3] Pramana, Special issue, *Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in Quantum Physics*-Part I (08- 2009).
- [4] A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. A **272** (2000) 53-56.

- [5] S. Sree Ranjani, A. K. Kapoor and P. K. Panigrahi, IJMPA **20** (2005) 4067-4077.
- [6] *Super Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics*, F. Cooper, A. Khare, U. P. Sukhatme, World Scientific, Singapore (2001) and references therein.
- [7] B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** (2000) L1-L3.
- [8] Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A **282** (2001) 343-348.
- [9] G. Darboux, C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **94** (1882) 1456-1459.
- [10] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **188** (1981) 513-554.
- [11] R. Dutt, A. Khare and U. P. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett B **181** (1986) 295-298; R. Dutt, A. Khare, and U. P. Sukhatme, Am. J. Phys. **56**(2) (1988) 163-168; R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, C. Rasinariu, and U. P. Sukhatme, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 4129-4142.
- [12] C. V. Sukumar, J. Phys. A **18** (1985) 2917-2939; F. Cooper and B. Freedman, Ann. Phys. (NY) **146** (1983) 262-288.
- [13] L. Gendenshtein, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **38** (1983) 299 [JETP Lett. **38** (1983) 356].
- [14] A. Mostafazadeh, Nucl. Phys. B, **640** (2002) 419-434.
- [15] F. Correa and M. S. Plyushchay. Ann. Phys. **322** (2007) 2493-2500; [hep-th/0605104]; F. Correa and M. S. Plyushchay, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 14403-14412; [arXiv:0706.1114]; F. Correa, V. Jakubsky and M. S. Plyushchay, Ann. Phys. **324** (2009) 1078-1094; nb [arXiv:0809.2854]; F. Correa, V. Jakubsky, L. Nieto and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 030403; [arXiv:0801.1671].
- [16] A. Das and L. Greenwood, Phys. Lett. B, **678** (2009) 504.
- [17] J. W. Dabrowwaska, A. Khare and U. P. Sukhatme, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21** (1988) L195
- [18] P. M. Morse, Phys. Rev. **34** (1928) 57-64; G. Pöschl and E. Teller, Z. Phys. **21** (1949) 488
- [19] A. Gangopadhyaya, P. K. Panigrahi and U. P. Sukhatme, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27** (1994)

- [20] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (2009) 093902.
- [21] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, Phys. Lett. A 273 (2000) 285.
- [22] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, [quant-ph:1007.3870], to be published in Annals of Physics (N.Y.).
- [23] K. Abhinav and P. K. Panigrahi, DOI:10.1016/j.aop.2010.10.012, to be published in Annals of Physics (N.Y.).