

Torus Amplitudes in Minimal Liouville Gravity and Matrix Models

V. Belavin

Theory Department of Lebedev Physical Institute and
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics
Moscow, Russia

Abstract We evaluate one-point correlation numbers on the torus in the Liouville theory coupled to the conformal matter $M(2, 2p + 1)$. We find agreement with the recent results obtained in the matrix model approach.

1 Introduction

The two-dimensional Liouville gravity [1] remains one of the very few consistent quantum field theories involving dynamical metric field. General formulation of the Liouville gravity, whose action is induced by critical matter, allows one to consider a special type of “solvable” examples, in which the matter sector is represented by some minimal model [2] of $2D$ conformal field theory. We use the term “minimal Liouville gravity” for such models. Since long ago it is believed that the scaling limit of matrix models (see e.g. [3] and references therein) gives an alternative description of the minimal Liouville gravity. Nevertheless, at present, a proof of this statement is still missing. In this situation it seems to be desirable to improve the understanding of the relations between these two approaches. In [4, 5] a way to identify the results of the matrix models with those of the minimal Liouville gravity was found for the conformal matter represented by the non-unitary series $(2, 2p + 1)$ of the CFT minimal models. In [5] a resonance transformation, which relates the coupling parameters of the Liouville gravity with the couplings of the matrix models, was constructed. In terms of the transformed parameters the matrix models correlation numbers should coincide with the naturally defined correlation numbers in the framework of the minimal Liouville gravity. Recently [6–8], the problem of matrix model analysis in higher genera was revisited. In particular, in [7] the torus contribution to the generating function of one-matrix models was found and the resonance transformation was applied to find one- and two-point correlation numbers on the torus in the Liouville frame. The aim of this paper is to test the matrix models results available from [7] against direct calculations in the minimal Liouville gravity.

2 Minimal Gravity $\mathcal{MG}_{2/2p+1}$

The main problem of the minimal Gravity is to construct and to evaluate the gravitational correlation functions. In the Polyakov approach [1] the functional integral over metrics is reduced to the moduli integral over Riemann surfaces. The integrand involves the correlation functions of the ghosts b, c and the vertex operators $U_k = \Phi_k V_{a_k}$ constructed by an appropriate Liouville dressing of the matter fields. Due to the factorized form of the vertex operator the integrand of the moduli integral splits into the product of the Matter, the Liouville and the ghosts correlation functions. All three theories are conformally invariant. The central charge of the Liouville theory

$$c^L = 1 + 6Q^2 \quad (2.1)$$

where $Q = b + b^{-1}$ and b is the Liouville coupling, is related to the central charge of the conformal matter by means of the so called central charge balance condition $c^M + c^L = 26$, which is equivalent to the requirement of the total Weyl (BRST) invariance. In the minimal Liouville gravity $\mathcal{MG}_{p/q}$ the matter sector is described by the CFT minimal model $M(p/q)$ with the central charge

$$c^M = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq} \quad (2.2)$$

which possesses a set of primary fields $\Phi_{m,n}$ with $m \in (1, \dots, p-1)$ and $n \in (1, \dots, q-1)$ of conformal dimensions

$$\Delta_{m,n}^M = \frac{(np - mq)^2 - (p - q)^2}{4pq} \quad (2.3)$$

The central charge balance condition determines the value of the Liouville coupling to be $b = \sqrt{p/q}$. The conformal dimension

$$\Delta^L(a) = a(Q - a) \quad (2.4)$$

of the exponential Liouville field $V(a)$ in the construction of the vertex operator $U_{m,n} = \Phi_{m,n} V(a)$ is also fixed by the Weyl invariance, which requires that the total conformal dimension of the vertex operator $\Delta_{m,n}^M + \Delta^L(a) = 1$. This yields $a = a_{m,-n}$, where

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{(1-m)b^{-1} + (1-n)b}{2} \quad (2.5)$$

In the torus N -point amplitude the conservation of the ghost current requires one vertex insertion to be fixed [9]. In order to be BRST invariant, this insertion should be decorated by ghost fields as follows

$$\langle O_{k_1} O_{k_2} \cdots O_{k_N} \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \int_F d\tau d\bar{\tau} \langle b(0) \bar{b}(0) c(0) \bar{c}(0) U_{k_1}(0) \prod_{n=2}^N \int dz_n d\bar{z}_n U_{k_n}(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \rangle_{\tau} \quad (2.6)$$

Here F is the fundamental region of the modular group: $\tau_2 > 0$, $|\tau| > 1$, $-1/2 \leq \tau_1 < 1/2$. The expectation value at the right hand side involves the matter, the Liouville and the ghost sectors considered on the torus with the modular parameter $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$. In what follows we are interested in the minimal Liouville gravity $\mathcal{MG}_{2/2p+1}$. Then the Liouville coupling constant is

$$b = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2p+1}} \quad (2.7)$$

an the one-point amplitude reads

$$\langle O_k \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \int_F d\tau d\bar{\tau} \langle b\bar{b}c\bar{c} \rangle_{\tau} \langle \Phi_k \rangle_{\tau} \langle V(a_{1,-k-1}) \rangle_{\tau} \quad (2.8)$$

where we used the brief notation $\Phi_k = \Phi_{1,k+1}$ and $k = 0, \dots, p-1$. The 4-point correlation function in the ghost sector is given by [9]

$$\langle b\bar{b}c\bar{c} \rangle_{\tau} = |\eta(q)|^4 \quad (2.9)$$

with $\eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^k)$ being the Dedekind eta function and $q = e^{2i\pi\tau}$. In terms of the CFT on the complex plain the one-point correlation functions on the torus with the modular parameter τ takes the form

$$\langle \Phi_k \rangle_{\tau} = \text{Tr}(q\bar{q})^{L_0 - c^M/24} \Phi_k = \sum_{\{\Delta\}} C_{\Delta_k, \Delta}^{\Delta}(q\bar{q})^{\Delta - c^M/24} |F^M(\Delta_k, \Delta, q)|^2 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\langle V_a \rangle_{\tau} = \text{Tr}(q\bar{q})^{L_0 - c^L/24} V_a = \int \frac{dP}{4\pi} C_{a, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP}(q\bar{q})^{\Delta(P) - c^L/24} |F^L(\Delta(a), \Delta(Q/2 + iP), q)|^2 \quad (2.11)$$

Here $C_{\Delta_k, \Delta}^{\Delta}$ and $C_{a, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP}$ are the structure constants of the operator algebras in the Matter and the Liouville sectors correspondingly, while $F(\Delta_{\text{ext}}, \Delta_{\text{int}}, q)$ is the one-point conformal block function defined as the contribution of the highest weight representation of the Virasoro algebra with the conformal dimension Δ_{int} . In [10, 11] recursive relations for the Liouville conformal block function were found, which make it possible to calculate its expansion into a power series of q . In [12] the crossing symmetry for this representation was checked numerically.

Consider first the most simple example $\langle O_0 \rangle$. The structure constant $C_{0, \Delta}^{\Delta} = \delta_{0, \Delta}$ so that in the matter sector we just have the partition function of the corresponding minimal model. It is expressed in terms of the characters of the irreducible representations of the Virasoro algebra. It is interesting that in this case the dressing Liouville correlation function $\langle V(a_{1,-1}) \rangle_{\tau}$ can be evaluated explicitly. The external conformal dimension in (2.11) turns to be $\Delta_{\text{ext}} = \Delta^L(a_{1,-1} = b) = 1$. Using the recursive algorithm proposed in [10] we verified up to 20th order in q that the conformal block $F(1, \Delta_{\text{int}}, q)$ does not depend on the internal conformal dimension Δ_{int} and is equal to

$$F(1, \Delta_{\text{int}}, q) = \frac{q^{1/24}}{\eta(q)} \quad (2.12)$$

The general expression for the diagonal Liouville structure constant is

$$C_{a, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP} = (\pi\gamma(b^2)b^{2-2b^2})^{-a/b} \frac{\Upsilon(b)\Upsilon(2a)\Upsilon(2iP)\Upsilon(-2iP)}{\Upsilon^2(a)\Upsilon(a+2iP)\Upsilon(a-2iP)} \quad (2.13)$$

Using the definition of the Upsilon function (see e.g. [13]), for $a = b$ we find

$$C_{b, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP} = \frac{4P^2}{\pi b} \quad (2.14)$$

One can perform the P integration in (2.11) analytically. This yields

$$\langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 b} \int_F d\tau d\bar{\tau} \tau_2^{-3/2} |\eta(q)|^2 \sum_{s=1, \dots, p} |\chi_{1,s}(q)|^2 \quad (2.15)$$

where the characters of the irreducible representations explicitly read (see e.g [14])

$$\chi_{1,s}(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{(2s-(2p+1))^2}{8(2p+1)}}}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(q^{2(2p+1)k^2 + (2s-(2p+1))k} - q^{2(2p+1)k^2 + (2s-(2p+1))k+s} \right) \quad (2.16)$$

The result can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 b} \sum_{i=1, \dots, p} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} & \left(I(\alpha_{n,i}, \alpha_{m,i}, \delta_i) \right. \\ & \left. - 2I(\alpha_{n,i}, \beta_{m,i}, \delta_i) + I(\beta_{n,i}, \beta_{m,i}, \delta_i) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\alpha_{k,i} = 2(2p+1)k^2 + k(2i-2p-1) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\beta_{k,i} = 2(2p+1)k^2 + k(2i+2p+1) + i \quad (2.19)$$

$$\delta_i = \frac{(2i-2p-1)^2}{8(2p+1)} \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$I(\alpha, \beta, \delta) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dx e^{2\pi i(\alpha-\beta)x} \int_{\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\infty} dy y^{-3/2} e^{-2\pi(2\delta+\alpha+\beta)y} \quad (2.21)$$

It turns out that the correlation number $\langle O_0 \rangle$ can be evaluated analytically. The calculation is based on the following ideas [15]. The torus partition function of the minimal model $M(2, 2p+1)$ is related to the torus partition function of a free scalar field as

$$Z_{M(2/(2p+1))} = \sum_{s=1, \dots, p} |\chi_{1,s}(q)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[Z_B \left(g = \sqrt{2(2p+1)} \right) - Z_B \left(g = \sqrt{2/(2p+1)} \right) \right] \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$Z_B(g) = \frac{1}{|\eta(q)|^2} \sum_{s,t} q^{(sg^{-1}+tg)/4} \bar{q}^{(sg^{-1}-tg)/4} \quad (2.23)$$

By using the Poisson resummation formula one can derive that

$$Z_B(g) = g \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2} |\eta(q)|^2} \sum_{n,m} e^{-\frac{\pi g^2 |n-m\tau|^2}{\tau_2}} \quad (2.24)$$

This form of the matter partition function allows one to calculate (2.15) explicitly

$$\langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 b} \left[J \left(\sqrt{2(2p+1)} \right) - J \left(\sqrt{2/(2p+1)} \right) \right] \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$J(g) = g \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} \sum_{n,m} e^{-\frac{\pi g^2 |n-m\tau|^2}{\tau_2}} = g \left\{ \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\tau_2}{\tau_2^2} e^{-\frac{\pi g^2 k^2}{\tau_2}} \right\} \quad (2.26)$$

where the m -summation in the second term is replaced by the sum over inequivalent images of the fundamental region, which together cover the strip $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Performing the integrations in (2.26) we find

$$J(g) = \frac{\pi}{3} \left(g + \frac{1}{g} \right) \quad (2.27)$$

The result for the one-point amplitude takes the simple form

$$\langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \frac{p}{24\pi} \quad (2.28)$$

We checked numerically for different values of p that even if we only retain the first term under the sum over (m, n) in (2.17), the results (2.17) and (2.28) coincide with the several digits precision. To compare it with the results of the matrix models we will need to consider the ratio of two one-point amplitudes. This allows us to avoid the problem of a different normalizations of the partition functions. In this verification it turns out to be sufficient to evaluate the correlation numbers $\langle O_k \rangle_{\text{torus}}$ only retaining the zero-order terms in the conformal block expansions. In the given approximation the matter correlation function reads

$$\langle \Phi_k \rangle_{\tau} = \sum_{m=1, \dots, p} C_{\Delta_{1,k+1}, \Delta_{1,m}}^{\Delta_{1,m}} |q|^{2\Delta_{1,m} - \frac{c^M}{12}} \quad (2.29)$$

and the dressing Liouville function looks like

$$\langle V(a_{1,-k-1}) \rangle_{\tau} = \int \frac{dP}{4\pi} C_{(1+k/2)b, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP} |q|^{2\Delta_P - \frac{c^L}{12}} \quad (2.30)$$

We can present the zero-order result for the one-point correlation number (2.8) in the following form

$$\langle O_k \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \sum_{m=1, \dots, p} C_{\Delta_{1,k+1}, \Delta_{1,m}}^{\Delta_{1,m}} I_{k,m} \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,m} &= \int_F d^2\tau \int \frac{dP}{4\pi} C_{(1+k/2)b, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP} |q|^{2(\alpha_m + P^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{1/2} dx \int_0^{\infty} dP \frac{C_{(1+k/2)b, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP}}{\alpha_m + P^2} e^{-4\pi(\alpha_m + P^2)\sqrt{1-x^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

and

$$\alpha_m = \frac{Q^2}{4} - \frac{c^L + c^M}{24} + \Delta_{1,m} = \frac{(1 + 2(p - m))^2}{8(1 + 2p)} \quad (2.33)$$

For the comparison with the matrix models results we will need explicit expressions for the structure constants for $k = 1, 2$. The minimal model structure constant for $k = 1$ reads

$$C_{\Delta_{1,2}, \Delta_{1,m}}^{\Delta_{1,m+1}} = \left(\frac{\gamma(2-2\rho)\gamma(1-m\rho)}{\gamma(1-\rho)\gamma(2-(1+m)\rho)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.34)$$

where $\rho = 2/(2p+1)$. Notice that the degenerate field $\Phi_{1,2}$ have no diagonal channels in the operator product expansion. Thus, naively the correlation number (2.8) for $k = 1$ (as well as for any odd k) should vanish, which, in particular, contradicts the results of the matrix models. The solution of this contradiction is rather simple. Taking into account the symmetry of the Kac table for $M(2, 2p+1)$ one can see that the operators $\Phi_{1,p}$ and $\Phi_{1,p+1}$ have the same conformal dimension and thus represent the same physical field. Hence, in the case $k = 1$ the only nonvanishing term is that with $m = p$, i. e. the term containing the matter structure constant (2.31). In the case $k = 2$ we have

$$C_{\Delta_{1,3}, \Delta_{1,m}}^{\Delta_{1,m}} = \frac{\Gamma(2-2\rho)}{\Gamma(2\rho)} \left(\frac{\gamma^3(\rho)}{\gamma(3\rho-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\gamma(1+(1-m)\rho)}{\gamma(2-(1+m)\rho)} \quad (2.35)$$

In the Liouville sector we apply the shift relations for the Upsilon function to find

$$C_{3b/2, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP} = (\pi\gamma(b^2)b^{2-2b^2})^{-3/2} 4 \frac{\Upsilon(b)\Upsilon(3b)}{\Upsilon^2(3b/2)} \frac{P^2\Upsilon(b+2iP)\Upsilon(b-2iP)}{\Upsilon(3b/2+2iP)\Upsilon(3b/2-2iP)} \quad (2.36)$$

and

$$C_{2b, Q/2+iP}^{Q/2+iP} = (\pi\gamma(b^2)b^{2-2b^2})^{-2} 4b^{-1-2b^2} \gamma(3b^2) \frac{\Upsilon(b)\Upsilon(3b)}{\Upsilon^2(2b)} \frac{P^2}{\gamma(b^2+2ibP)\gamma(b^2-2ibP)} \quad (2.37)$$

where the explicit integral representations for the combinations of the Upsilon functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Upsilon(b)\Upsilon(3b)}{\Upsilon^2(3b/2)} &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{t-b^2t}{2b}\right) - 2 \cosh\left(\frac{t-2b^2t}{2b}\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{t-5b^2t}{2b}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{bt}{2}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{t}{2b}\right)} + (2-9b^2)e^{-t} \right] \right\} \\ \frac{\Upsilon(b+2iP)\Upsilon(b-2iP)}{\Upsilon(3b/2+2iP)\Upsilon(3b/2-2iP)} &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \left[2 \frac{\cosh(2Pt) \sinh\left(\frac{2t-3b^2t}{2b}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{t}{2b}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{bt}{4}\right)} - (2-3b^2)e^{-t} \right] \right\} \\ \frac{\Upsilon(b)\Upsilon(3b)}{\Upsilon^2(2b)} &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[2 \cosh\left(\frac{t-3b^2t}{2b}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{bt}{2}\right) \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{2b}\right) - 2b^2e^{-t} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

These representations of the Liouville structure constants are applicable for the values of the parameter b related as in (2.7) to arbitrary positive p .

3 Comparing with Matrix Models

The Liouville gravity and the matrix models approaches are expected to be physically equivalent since they arise from the same idea of fluctuating $2D$ geometries. There exist numerous confirmations of this idea (see e.g. [16–18]). In [4] the equivalence of the minimal gravity $\mathcal{MG}_{2/2p+1}$ with the p -critical one-matrix models was verified up to the level of two-point correlation functions. This comparison is not straightforward due to the so called resonance

ambiguity. In [5] the special resonance transformation, which relates the coupling parameters of the Liouville gravity to the parameters describing the deviation from the p -critical point in the matrix models, was proposed. This allows one, in principle, to identify n -correlation functions. This conjecture was checked up to four-point correlation numbers on the sphere. In [7] the resonance transformation was applied to find the generating function of the correlation numbers on the torus and to compute some correlators in the coordinates corresponding to the minimal Liouville gravity. Here we briefly summarize these results. The genus one contribution in the partition function of the one-matrix model is

$$Z_{\text{torus}} = -\frac{\ln P'(u_*)}{12} \quad (3.1)$$

where in the Liouville frame the string polynomial is defined as

$$P(u) = \frac{L_{p+1}(u) - L_{p-1}(u)}{2p+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_{k_1} \cdots \lambda_{k_n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} L_{p-\sum k_i-n}(u) \quad (3.2)$$

Here $L_k(u)$ are the Legendre polynomials and u_* is the maximal real root of $P(u)$. The correlation numbers are expressed as

$$\langle O_{k_1} \cdots O_{k_n} \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \frac{\partial^n Z_{\text{torus}}}{\partial \lambda_{k_1} \cdots \partial \lambda_{k_n}} \Big|_{\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{p-1} = 0} \quad (3.3)$$

In particular, for the one-point amplitude one can derive

$$\langle O_k \rangle_{\text{torus}} = \frac{d}{d\lambda_k} Z_{\text{torus}}(u_*) = \frac{(2p-k)(k+1)}{24} \quad (3.4)$$

Now we are going to test our expression (2.31) against the matrix models correlation numbers (3.4). Since the normalization of the partition function cannot be fixed in a universal way it is natural to relate the ratio of two different correlation numbers. Moreover one should take into account the different normalization of the operators in the Liouville gravity and the matrix model approach. The normalization of the operators does not depend on the topology and can be adopted from the calculation on the sphere [21]:

$$O_k^{\text{MM}} = N(a_{1,-k-1}) O_k^{\text{MLG}} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$N(a) = (\pi\gamma(b^2))^{a/b} \left(\gamma(2ab - b^2)\gamma(2a/b - 1/b^2) \right)^{-1/2} \quad (3.6)$$

We conclude that the following relation between torus one-point correlation numbers in the matrix models and in the minimal Liouville gravity takes place

$$\frac{N(a_{1,-k-1})}{N(b)} \frac{\langle O_k \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MLG}}}{\langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MLG}}} = \frac{\langle O_k \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MM}}}{\langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MM}}} \quad (3.7)$$

We analyzed numerically two examples $k = (1, 2)$. For the correlation function $\langle O_0 \rangle^{\text{MLG}}$ we used the exact result (2.28), while for the correlation number in the numerator we used the approximative expression (2.31). From (3.4) for $k = 1$ it follows

$$\frac{N(3b/2)}{N(b)} \frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MLG}}}{\langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MLG}}} = \frac{2p-1}{p} \quad (3.8)$$

and for $k = 2$

$$\frac{N(2b) \langle O_2 \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MLG}}}{N(b) \langle O_0 \rangle_{\text{torus}}^{\text{MLG}}} = \frac{3(p-1)}{p} \quad (3.9)$$

We checked relations (3.8) and (3.9) for different models $\mathcal{MG}_{2/2p+1}$ with $p = (1, \dots, 20)$ and we have found that the results match with very good accuracy (always about five digits). For example, for $p = 7$ we find the left hand side of (3.8) is equal 1.85715 while the right hand side is 1.85714 and the left hand side of (3.9) is equal 2.57134 while the right hand side is 2.57143.

4 Discussion

We have verified that the resonance transformation proposed in [5] allows one to relate the matrix models correlation functions with those of the minimal Liouville gravity for higher genera topologies. The conformal block expansion that enters the expression for the one-point correlation functions converges very fast. In fact, it is sufficient to retain the zero-order contribution in the conformal blocks to have a great numerical accuracy. Nevertheless, a derivation of the analytic answer for the torus amplitudes by means of minimal Liouville gravity methods is still missing. We suppose that the higher equations of motion in the Liouville theory [19] are relevant to this task as it was the case for the spherical topology. It was shown in [20] that the following consequence of higher equations of motion takes place

$$B_{m,n} O_{m,n} = Q \bar{Q} \mathbb{O}'_{m,n} \quad (4.1)$$

where Q is the BRST charge, $B_{m,n}$ are some numeric factors and $\mathbb{O}'_{m,n}$ are the logarithmic counterparts of the so called ground ring physical fields $\mathbb{O}_{m,n}$ (see [20, 21] for more details). Taking into account the commutation relation $[b_k, Q]_+ = L_k$ one can conclude that the integrand in (2.8) should have the form of a total derivative with respect to the period τ

$$\langle O_{m,n} \rangle_{\text{torus}} = B_{m,n}^{-1} \int_F d\tau d\bar{\tau} \partial_{\tau} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \langle \mathbb{O}'_{m,n} \rangle_{\text{torus}} \quad (4.2)$$

The one-point amplitude is hence defined by the asymptotic behavior of the correlation function $\langle \mathbb{O}'_{m,n} \rangle_{\text{torus}}$ near the boundary of the moduli space. At present this remains a conjecture.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks A. Belavin, S. Rebault, V. Fateev and M. Lashkevich for useful discussions. Also he acknowledges the hospitality of the LPTA of University II of Montpellier. The research was supported by Federal Program Scientific-Pedagogical Personnel of Innovation Russia (State Contract No. 02.740.11.5165) and by RFBR (the initiative interdisciplinary project Grant No. 09-02-12446-ofi-m and Grant No. 08-01-00720-a) and also by an RFBR-CNRS project (Grant PICS No. 09-02-91064).

References

- [1] A. Polyakov, “Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings”, Phys. Lett. B103: 207-210, (1981).
- [2] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov and A. Zamolodchikov. Nucl. Phys., B241 (1984) 333-380.
- [3] P. H. Ginsparg and G. W. Moore, “Lectures on 2-D gravity and 2-D string theor”, arXiv:hep-th/9304011 ; P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg, J. Zinn-Justin, “2-D Gravity and random matrices”, Phys.Rep.254:1-133,(1995), arXiv:hep-th/9306153
- [4] G.W.Moore, N.Seiberg, M.Staudacher, “From loops to states in 2-D quantum gravity”, Nucl. Phys. B362,665-709, (1991)
- [5] A. Belavin and A. Zamolodchikov, “On correlation numbers in 2D minimal gravity and matrix models”, Jour. Phys. A42 (2009) 304004; arXiv:0811.0450[hep-th] .
- [6] M. Bergere and B. Eynard, “Universal scaling limits of matrix models, and (p,q) Liouville gravity”, arXiv:0909.0854 [math-ph]
- [7] A. Belavin and G. Tarnopolsky, “Two dimensional gravity in genus one in Matrix Models, Topological and Liouville approaches”, arXiv:1006.2056 [hep-th]
- [8] A. Belavin, M. Bershtein, G. Tarnopolsky, “Topological recursion for p-critical Matrix models in genus 2”, arXiv:1010.2222 [hep-th]
- [9] J. Polchinski, “String Theory”, Cambridge University Press, UK, 1998
- [10] V. A. Fateev and A. V. Litvinov, “On AGT conjecture”, JHEP 1002, 014 (2010) arXiv:0912.0504 [hep-th]
- [11] R. Poghossian, “Recursion relations in CFT and $N = 2$ SYM theory”, JHEP 0912, 038 (2009) arXiv:0909.3412 [hep-th]
- [12] L. Hadasz, Z. Jaskolski, P. Suchanek, “Recursive representation of the torus 1-point conformal block”, JHEP 1001:063,2010.
- [13] A. Zamolodchikov, Al. Zamolodchikov, “Structure constants and conformal bootstrap in Liouville field theory”, Nucl.Phys.B477:577-605 (1996) arXiv:hep-th/9506136
- [14] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, “Conformal Field Theory”, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [15] M. Bershadsky, I. Klebanov, “Genus one path integral in two-dimensional quantum gravity”, Phys.Rev.Lett.65:3088-3091 (1990)
- [16] V.G.Knizhnik, A.M.Polyakov, A.B.Zamolodchikov, “Fractal Structure of 2D Quantum Gravity”, Mod.Phys.Lett.A3:819, (1988)
- [17] M. Goulian, M. Li, “Correlation Functions in Liouville Theory”, Phys.Rev. Letters, 66, 2051:2055 (1991)

- [18] P.Di Francesco, D.Kutasov, “World Sheet and Space Time Physics in Two Dimensional (Super) String Theory”, Nucl.Phys. B375 (1992) 119-172
- [19] Al. Zamolodchikov. “Higher equations of motion in Liouville field theory”, J.Mod.Phys. A19S2 (2004) 510-523 arXiv:hep-th/0312279
- [20] A. Belavin, V. Belavin, “Four-point function in Super Liouville Gravity”, J.Phys. A42:304003 (2009) arXiv:0810.1023 [hep-th]
- [21] A. Belavin, Al. Zamolodchikov, “Moduli integrals, ground ring and four-point function in minimal Liouville gravity”, Theor.Math.Phys. 147:729-754,(2006) arXiv:hep-th/0510214