

How to defuse Earth impact threat announcements

Germano D'Abramo

Starting in the 1980s, announcements of newly discovered near earth asteroids with unusually high Earth-collision chances in the near future have been regularly highlighted in the press, TV and recently on the Web. These planetary objects, referred throughout as NEAs, are formally defined in Fig. 1.

Over time, less concern has been noted, unless the announcement concerns a new, relatively big asteroid (hundreds of meters in size) with an alarming high probability of hitting the Earth in the next decades.

Impact monitoring and analysis is primarily undertaken by the University of Pisa (the system is called CLOMON2¹) and NASA-JPL (National Aeronautics and Space Administration Jet Propulsion Laboratory) where it is called SENTRY². On a daily basis, these two groups collect all astrometric data of all NEAs, new and known, observed the previous night by the observing surveys.

Astrometric data, or astrometric observations, are the optical/radar measurements of the asteroid sky position with respect to the so-called “fixed stars” (the Earth, in the case of radar observations). These data are compiled from all the observatories around the world and are made available at the Minor Planet Center (MPC)³ at Harvard University.

SENTRY and CLOMON2 apply their algorithmic procedures daily in order to identify possible threatening asteroids among the new ones and to refine the orbit of those already categorized as threatening.

The first well-publicized scare concerned asteroid 1997 XF₁₁, for which a possible impact with the Earth was predicted in 2028 by Brian Marsden

at MPC. This object and its heralded non-zero impact probability in the near future led to unprecedented turmoil within the astronomical community and, to a somewhat lesser extent, within the wider community. (Note that as described by Chapman (1999), Marsden’s initial calculations were actually incorrect even given the information known to him at the time).

The case of asteroid 1999 AN₁₀ was one of the first cases in the impact monitoring era (that of CLOMON2 and SENTRY), and this object played an important role in developing the concept of *Virtual Impactors* that is described below.

One of the most recent asteroids discovered with near future non-zero impact probabilities is the ~ 40 meters sized 2012 DA₁₄, while the most famous one is asteroid (99942) Apophis (~ 300 meters in size), which is named after an ancient Egyptian evil god. In December, 2004 monitoring systems calculated an initial impact chance for the year 2029 as high as $\sim 1/38$. Then, after more observational data were gathered, the impact in 2029 was ruled out. Currently, Apophis has a chance nearly equal to $\sim 5 \times 10^{-6}$ of hitting the Earth in 2068.

For those wanting the most up to date information, the CLOMON2 and SENTRY Web pages provide a daily updated list of all potential future impactors.

The discovery of new NEAs with non-zero impact probabilities always grabs the attention of the astronomical community with a focus on Earth impact, as well as the general public when the calculated impact probabilities for a specific date in the future result to be *unusually high* according to the standards of the astronomical community (see the International Astronomical Union –IAU– rules⁴).

Unusually high means that the impact probability calculated by monitoring systems for a particular new asteroid is higher than the yearly statis-

¹ <http://newton.dm.unipi.it/neodys/index.php?pc=4.1>

² <http://neo.jpl.nasa.gov/risk/>

³ <http://www.minorplanetcenter.org/iau/mpc.html>. The MPC designates minor bodies in the Solar System and has international responsibility for the efficient collection, computation, checking and dissemination of astrometric observations and orbits for minor planets and comets.

⁴ <http://web.mit.edu/rpb/wgneo/TechComm.html>




Figure 1: Near Earth Asteroids, or NEAs, are all those asteroids with perihelion distance q less than 1.3 A.U. (1 A.U. is the mean Earth-Sun distance and it is nearly equal to 1.5×10^8 km). The *perihelion* is the point in the orbit of a planetary object where it is nearest to the Sun, as opposed to *aphelion* where it is farthest. NEAs are divided in three sub-classes: Apollos, Amors, Atens. Apollos have $q < 0.983$ A.U. and semi-major axis $a > 1$ A.U., Amors have 1.017 A.U. $< q < 1.3$ A.U. and Atens have aphelion distance $Q > 0.983$ A.U. and semi-major axis $a < 1$ A.U. Note that 0.983 A.U. and 1.017 A.U. are the perihelion and aphelion distances of the Earth, respectively. The above picture shows a projection of NEA orbits onto the ecliptic plane (the Earth’s orbit plane). That could give the wrong impression that actually *all* Apollo and Aten orbits intersect the orbit of the Earth. Obviously, this is not true since orbits are also inclined with respect to the ecliptic plane, and rotated around the focus (the Sun).

tical impact probability on the Earth coming from an unspecified asteroid of equal size or larger belonging to the whole NEA population, multiplied by the time interval (in years) which separates the present time from the future impact date of the asteroid under analysis. This is motivated by the fact that our planet moves in an environment swept by the NEA population.

This metric flows from the philosophy of the Palermo Scale, the hazard scale most used among astronomers. Using this measure, an impact prediction (time, size and probability) is normalized to the *background expected impact probability* from the present time to the time of the prediction.

Expressed as a logarithm, Palermo Scale 0.0 means the predicted event is essentially “as expected” in that time interval; positive values mean the predicted event is “extraordinary” and deserves attention; and negative values indicate the predicted event is only a small addition to the expected impact flux (see Chesley *et al.*, 2002).

Astronomers first estimate the “per object” mean impact frequency. This is done by generating a synthetic population of point-like objects which is thought to be representative of the overall orbital distribution of the actual NEA population. Next, they numerically integrate over their motion and study the distribution of close encounters with

the Earth. By extrapolating these statistics down to the Earth radius and dividing by the number of point-like objects, they obtain the “per object” impact frequency. The *background impact probability* is obtained by multiplying the “per object” impact frequency with the estimated number of NEAs in different size ranges (see Morrison *et al.*, 2003). The background impact probability can be approximated by the following power function:

$$\rho_i(\geq D) = 20D^{-2.4} \text{ yr}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where D is the diameter of the asteroid expressed in *meters* (see Harris, 2008 and Chesley *et al.*, 2002). Note that more detailed and up-to-date models for impact production rate exist.

Rigorously speaking, the background impact probability gives the average number of NEAs larger than a given size that hit the Earth *per year*. If this number is less than 0.1, as happens with bigger asteroids, then it expresses a mathematical probability. Otherwise, if it is greater than or equal to unity, as happens with (sub)meter-sized NEAs that fall on the Earth every year more than once, then it expresses a kind of *frequency of impacts*. Accordingly, the average number of NEAs that hit the Earth *per year* with diameters between D and $D + \Delta D$ is given by:




Figure 2: Estimate of the cumulative population of near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) versus absolute magnitude H , namely brightness at standard distance of 1 astronomical unit from Earth and Sun (blue-dashed line and blue dots). $N(< H)$ is the cumulative number of objects with H less than a given value. The fraction currently detected (red dashed line) is nearly complete to $H \sim 16$, but falls off rapidly with increasing H magnitude, since smaller objects are harder to detect. There are also equivalent scales for diameter in km (bottom axis) and expected impact interval in years (on the right). The dots represent some unpublished estimates of the NEA population. The straight line is a simple power law that approximates the estimates: with respect to the right hand vertical scale, this line represents $\frac{1}{\rho_i(\geq D)}$, where $\rho_i(\geq D)$ is the equation (1) in the text. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd [*Nature*]: Harris, A.W., 2008: What Spaceguard did. *Nature*, **453**, 1178-1179. Copyright 2008.

$$\rho_i(D + \Delta D; D) = 20(D^{-2.4} - (D + \Delta D)^{-2.4}) \text{ yr}^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

The reciprocal of the background impact probability ($\frac{1}{\rho_i(\geq D)}$) is the *impact interval time* and it gives the mean time between two consecutive impacts of asteroids larger than a given size (Fig. 2).

As is clear from Fig. 2, the constant power law (1) is only an approximation of various data about NEA size distribution coming from different sources: the points plotted in Fig. 2 represent unpublished estimates of the NEA population in different size range.

It must be said that every estimate of size distri-

bution (and thus, of background impact probability) has its own intrinsic uncertainty which is often large and may not be fully characterized. However, this is not considered a major concern, since the argument is somewhat independent of the background impact probability exact form.

When additional new astrometric observations become available, the asteroid orbits are refined and usually the impact possibilities are definitively ruled out, as happened with the case of asteroid 1999AN₁₀.

In what follows, we qualitatively describe how the current impact monitoring systems compute impact probabilities of newly discovered NEAs.

Then, we define the *a posteriori* conditional probability W : this is directed related to the background impact probability as well as the mean annual frequency with which impact monitoring systems find impact threats among newly discovered asteroids. We argue that probability W is the appropriate probability measure to help assess from the very beginning the future impact chances of asteroids just inserted in the risk lists of monitoring systems. We also give an estimate for the upper bound of W .

NEA discovery and impact scare

When a new NEA is discovered by telescopic surveys around the world, a preliminary orbit is computed using its positions in the sky over a suitable (minimal) interval of time (astrometric observations). Like every physical measurement, astrometric ones are affected by errors which make the resulting orbit uncertain to some variable degree. Sophisticated mathematical and numerical tools are now available to allow the propagation of these measurement errors to the six orbital elements which identify the orbit of the asteroid (semi-major axis a , eccentricity e , inclination i , longitude of ascending node Ω , argument of perihelion ω , time of perihelion passage t). The new NEA, soon after its discovery, is not represented by a single point in the 6-dimensional orbital elements space; rather, it is represented by an uncertainty region, a 6-dimensional volume with blurred contours. Obviously, the volume of this uncertainty region changes (it generally shrinks) when additional observations become available and the orbit estimate is refined.

When the nominal orbit (which best fits the observations) of the new NEA is geometrically close to the orbit of the Earth, and it shares some other peculiar orbital characteristics (like encounter timing issues), some orbital solutions which lead to a future collision of the asteroid with the Earth can not be excluded only on the basis of the available astrometric observations. Orbital solutions which lead to a collision are inside the uncertainty region and are fully compatible with the available astrometric observations and their errors.

In these cases, monitoring systems sample the uncertainty region with an appropriate number of

sample points according to a suitable 6-dimensional space distribution closely related to what is currently known about error statistics (*a priori* uniform or Gaussian). They then evaluate the relative probability that the ‘true’ orbit of the asteroid is one of the collision ones. Henceforth, we will refer to this probability with the symbol \mathcal{V}_i . The collision orbits are nowadays commonly called *Virtual Impactors* (or VIs). Sometimes \mathcal{V}_i is also referred to as *VI impact probability*.

If a newly discovered NEA exhibits VIs, then it is promptly added by monitoring systems into their publicly available risk lists, together with its estimated probability \mathcal{V}_i and Palermo Scale rating.

CLOMON2 and SENTRY find hundreds of newly discovered NEAs with VI orbital solutions every year (see Fig. 3 for a summary of VI identification between calendar years 2004 and 2009).

Every time additional astrometric observations become available, the characterization of the asteroid orbit improves and the estimated impact probability \mathcal{V}_i is re-computed. This may happen in the weeks, months and even years following the discovery date. A typical pattern is that as the orbit becomes more precisely determined, impact probability \mathcal{V}_i often increases initially, but then decreases until it falls to zero, or some very low number.

The reason for the initial increasing behavior is rather technical: since the uncertainty region generally shrinks with new additional observations, some VI orbital solutions often remain inside the uncertainty region in the elements space.

In the following section we propose an *a posteriori* conditional reading of VI impact probabilities. We label our probability as ‘*a posteriori*’ since it is obtained as the ratio between two statistical quantities (relative frequency). On the other hand, the VI impact probabilities can be considered *a priori*, in the sense that they are obtained through sophisticated mathematical models and deductive reasoning (for instance, the choice of an *a priori* 6-dimensional space distribution to sample the uncertainty region).




Figure 3: NEAs discovered between calendar years 2004 and 2009 exhibiting VI orbital solutions (taken from SENTRY risk list and archive). The increasing trend with time is mainly due to the fact that discovery surveys have become more efficient in discovering NEAs over the years. The efficiency of impact monitoring systems in finding VIs is very high and it has been almost always the same through the years.

Statistical reading of \mathcal{V}_i : the probability W

When a newly discovered NEA is found, a key question is whether the probability that \mathcal{V}_i approaches and eventually reaches unity (within this paper we will use the compact notation ‘ $\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow 1$ ’), after the right amount of additional new astrometric observations has become available. This is equivalent to asking whether *only knowing* that a newly discovered NEA exhibits some VI orbital solutions, what is the probability that \mathcal{V}_i will be equal to 1 at the end of the whole orbital refinement process?

The following thought experiment helps motivate this point. Suppose that the existing discovery surveys are able to discover *all* NEAs which pass close to the Earth down to a size cut-off. This is obviously not true since during their close approaches to the Earth, many unknown asteroids remain too dim to be detected by telescopes: they are too small in size and/or still ‘too distant’. Moreover, some NEAs are not found because telescopic observations miss them, namely surveys do not image a

portion of the night sky when they are there and bright enough to be seen. This becomes especially true for asteroids relatively close to the Sun, where monitoring is more sporadic or even impossible.

We also suppose that every discovered asteroid really impacting the Earth in the future will show some VIs, with low \mathcal{V}_i soon after the discovery and fluctuating with an increasing trend as soon as subsequent astrometric observations become available, as usually happens in reality.

In other words, we are putting ourselves in the somewhat idealized situation where *every* impacting asteroid above a size cut-off is surely discovered and monitoring systems *surely* spot some VIs for it soon after its discovery.

Thus, we define W as:

$$W(D + \Delta D; D) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(D + \Delta D; D)}{v(D + \Delta D; D)}, \quad (3)$$

where $n(D + \Delta D; D)$ is the number of asteroids with size between D and $D + \Delta D$ which *actually* impact the Earth in the period of time T , with $T \gg$

1 year, and $v(D+\Delta D; D)$ is the number of asteroids found by monitoring systems among all the newly discovered ones to exhibit VI orbital solutions, in the same size interval and in the same period of time T .

The quantity W can be seen as the *a posteriori* conditional probability of $\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow 1$, and could also be interpreted as a kind of ‘weight’ of the VI impact probability calculation. Now, by dividing both numerator and denominator of eq. (3) by T , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} W(D+\Delta D; D) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(D+\Delta D; D)}{T} \frac{1}{\frac{v(D+\Delta D; D)}{T}} \\ &= \frac{\rho_i(D+\Delta D; D)}{f_{\mathcal{V}_i}(D+\Delta D; D)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The limit $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(D+\Delta D; D)}{T}$ is the definition of the background annual impact probability, equation (2). The function $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}(D+\Delta D; D) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(D+\Delta D; D)}{T}$ is the annual frequency of newly discovered NEAs with sizes between D and $D+\Delta D$ found with VI orbital solutions.

Note that, according to the earlier assumption, the number $n(D+\Delta D; D)$ is counted in the number $v(D+\Delta D; D)$, if every impacting asteroid is identified soon after its discovery as having some VI orbital solutions, thus $n(D+\Delta D; D)$ is always less than or equal to $v(D+\Delta D; D)$.

We focus our attention on eq. (4). Within the hypotheses introduced above, we imagine waiting for a long period (many years), T , and count the number $n(D+\Delta D; D)$ of true asteroid impacts on the Earth and the number $v(D+\Delta D; D)$ of newly discovered NEAs found by monitoring systems to have VI orbital solutions with size between D and $D+\Delta D$ during that period of time. The limit for $T \rightarrow \infty$ of the ratio between these two numbers is the conditional probability that a discovered asteroid of size between D and $D+\Delta D$ will *eventually* fall on Earth, given that it has VI orbital solutions. In eq. (4) we have simply rewritten W in terms of the background annual impact frequency ρ_i and the mean annual VI detection frequency $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}$.

Thus, $W(D+\Delta D; D)$ gives the probability that an asteroid with VIs (an asteroid inserted into the risk lists of the monitoring systems) has its probability \mathcal{V}_i eventually reaching unity.

We noted earlier that W can be interpreted as a kind of ‘weight’ of the VI impact probability calculation. Suppose that, thanks to improvements in observational techniques (e.g. higher positional precision) and orbital computation, the number of newly discovered asteroids identified by monitoring systems as potential impactors decreases in every diameter (or absolute magnitude) range. Accordingly, the probability W will increase (given its definition) in every diameter (or absolute magnitude) range. Since the decrease of the number of potential impactors among the new asteroids means an increased capability in constraining the true potential impactors, the consequent increase of W would equivalently mean an increased capability in constraining the true potential impactors by the monitoring system. Therefore, W could be seen as a ‘weight’ in expressing the actual capabilities of the monitoring system.

Moreover, we can see that W is not directly related to the specific numerical value of \mathcal{V}_i , no matter how \mathcal{V}_i ’s specific, fluctuating numerical figure is. Rather, it depends upon $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}$ which, in turn, depends upon observational characteristics. These characteristics are the annual number of NEA discoveries, the amount of astrometric observations available at discovery, the magnitude of astrometric errors (and conventions in their statistical treatment), as well as the observational geometry and orbital characteristics of the newly discovered asteroids.

Although the value of $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}$ depends upon contingent, variable features, it is worthwhile to estimate it statistically. Given the total number of NEAs with VIs found at every size between calendar years 2004 and 2009 (Fig. 3) an indicative estimate of $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}(D+\Delta D; D)$ is possible (see Fig. 4). Consequently a preliminary estimate of $W(D+\Delta D; D)$ can be obtained as in Fig. 5. The annual VI detection frequency $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}(D+\Delta D; D)$ shown in Fig. 4 has been obtained by dividing the number of all NEAs discovered between calendar years 2004 and 2009, and listed in the SENTRY risk list, by 6 (years) and binning the result by size.

Relaxing the optimistic assumptions on the “almost perfect NEA discovery efficiency” and VI monitoring capabilities makes $f_{\mathcal{V}_i}$, as approximated with the aid of Fig. 4, even a lower limit. As a result, the computation of $W(D+\Delta D; D)$ is surely an overestimate.




Figure 4: Annual frequency $f_{V_i}(D + \Delta; D)$ of VI detections, estimated using all NEAs discovered between calendar years 2004 and 2009 and listed in the SENTRY risk list (and archive). The size range of this group is from ~ 3 m up to a maximum of ~ 4 km. The size bins are spaced geometrically (such that $D + \Delta D = 1.3D$).

Furthermore, f_{V_i} changes over time, since the discovery completion increases and the discovery rate declines with time (because the NEA population is stable). It is useful to update f_{V_i} (and hence W) from time to time. But the sense and the validity of the definition of W are not affected by (and dependent on) such a time dependence.

In summary, the function $W(D + \Delta D; D)$ provides us with a first simple tool to evaluate the chance that V_i (the impact probability calculated by the monitoring systems for newly discovered NEAs with VI orbital solutions) eventually reaches unity. As a matter of fact, V_i is a stochastic variable since nobody knows how V_i will evolve with additional observations, and it is perfectly legitimate (and valuable) to define a *probability* (W) of a (stochastic) *probability value* (V_i).

Consider now the application of probability measure W to two well known cases: that of Apophis as well as asteroid 2008 TC₃ (which actually impacted the Earth the day after its discovery). These examples suggest the reliability of probability W in providing an early direct glimpse of the most likely fate of probability V_i .

Soon after Apophis was discovered in December 2004, impact monitoring systems identified multiple VIs orbital solutions and obtained the fear-inducing initial impact probability of $\sim 1/38$ for the year 2029.

What is the probability W for Apophis, an asteroid with an estimated diameter of nearly 300 m? According to Figure 5, $W(\sim 300 \text{ m})$ is less than 10^{-6} , namely more than four orders of magnitude lower than that initially reported by monitoring systems and close to their current estimate, obtained after some orbit refinement.

Nowadays, it is almost certain that the probability V_i for Apophis will go to zero with future astrometric observations, but it was not so clear at the beginning of the impact monitoring process (with an initial V_i of $\sim 1/38$). As a matter of fact, an early use of probability W would have given a direct glimpse of what would have been the most likely fate of V_i for Apophis.

The same would have happened for 2008 TC₃, which was discovered on October 6, 2008 and impacted the Earth about 20 hours later. The impact was predicted by monitoring systems to have




Figure 5: The probability $W(D + \Delta D; D)$ as a function of asteroid diameter. The size bins are spaced geometrically (such that $D + \Delta D = 1.3D$). $W(D + \Delta D; D)$ represents the probability that an asteroid with VIs, and thus an asteroid inserted into the risk lists of the monitoring systems, has its probability \mathcal{V}_i eventually reaching unity. It is thought that the whole population of NEAs with diameter larger than nearly 4 km has probably already been discovered and the orbits are also known to be safe for the Earth. This is the reason why no VI has been found so far for such NEAs.

a probability of $\sim 100\%$. Consistently, the probability W for objects in the size range of 2008 TC₃ is practically 1, the size of that asteroid being estimated to be between 2 and 4 m (see Fig 5).

In the end, the proper impact probability of a newly discovered asteroid is not \mathcal{V}_i (which actually fluctuates with new additional observations) but the probability that $\mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow 1$, i. e. W .

Further Reading

Chapman, C.R. 1999. The asteroid/comet impact hazard. Case Study for *Workshop on Prediction in the Earth Sciences: Use and Misuse in Policy Making*, July 10-12 1997 - Natl. Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO and September 10-12 1998, Estes Park, CO. Available on-line at: www.boulder.swri.edu/clark/ncar799.html

Chesley, R.S., Chodas, P.W., Milani, A., Valsecchi, G.B., Yeomans, D.K. 2002. Quantifying the risk

posed by potential Earth impacts. *Icarus* **159**, 423.

Harris, A.W. 2008. What Spaceguard did. *Nature* **453**, 1178.

Milani, A., Chesley, S.R., Chodas, P.W., Valsecchi, G.B. Asteroid close approaches and impact opportunities. In: W. Bottke, A. Cellino, P. Paolicchi and R.P. Binzel, Editors. *Asteroids III*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 2003.

Morrison, D., Harris, A.W., Sommer, G., Chapman, C.R., Carusi, A. Dealing with the Impact Hazard. In: W. Bottke, A. Cellino, P. Paolicchi and R.P. Binzel, Editors. *Asteroids III*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press; 2003.