

# Poincaré gauge theory of gravity: Friedman cosmology with even and odd parity modes. Analytic part

Peter Baekler\*

Department of Media, Fachhochschule Düsseldorf,  
University of Applied Sciences, 40474 Düsseldorf, Germany

Friedrich W. Hehl†

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, 50923 Köln, Germany,  
and  
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

James M. Nester‡

Department of Physics & Institute of Astronomy & Center of Mathematics and Theoretical Physics  
National Central University, Chungli 320, Taiwan, ROC

(Dated: 06 November 2010, file *PGCosmology/PGcosmologyOne40X.tex*)

We propose a cosmological model in the framework of the Poincaré gauge theory of gravity (PG). The gravitational Lagrangian is quadratic in curvature and torsion. In our specific model, the Lagrangian contains (i) the curvature scalar  $R$  and the curvature pseudo-scalar  $X$  linearly and quadratically (including an  $RX$  term) and (ii) pieces quadratic in the torsion *vector*  $\mathcal{V}$  and the torsion *axial* vector  $\mathcal{A}$  (including a  $\mathcal{VA}$  term). We show generally that in quadratic PG models we have nearly the same number of parity conserving terms (‘world’) and of parity violating terms (‘shadow world’). This offers new perspectives in cosmology for the coupling of gravity to matter and antimatter. Our specific model generalizes the fairly realistic ‘torsion cosmologies’ of Shie-Nester-Yo (2008) and Chen et al. (2009). With a Friedman type ansatz for an orthonormal coframe and a Lorentz connection, we derive the two field equations of PG in an explicit form and discuss their general structure in detail. In particular, the second field equation can be reduced to first order ordinary differential equations for the curvature pieces  $R(t)$  and  $X(t)$ . Including these along with certain relations obtained from the first field equation and curvature definitions, we present a first order system of equations suitable for numerical evaluation. This is deferred to the second, numerical part of this paper.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 11.15.-q, 11.30.Er, 98.80.Jk

## I. INTRODUCTION

In order to accommodate the *local Poincaré group* in spacetime, Sciama [1] and Kibble [2] had to extend the Riemannian spacetime of general relativity (GR) to a Riemann-Cartan spacetime with non-vanishing torsion  $T^\alpha$  (for the notation see the end of the Introduction). Thereby the orthonormal coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$  and the Lorentz connection  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = -\Gamma^{\beta\alpha}$  became independent gauge potentials of weak and strong gravity, respectively. The corresponding gauge field strengths are torsion  $T^\alpha = D\vartheta^\alpha$  and curvature  $R^{\alpha\beta} \sim D\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ , as spelled out in Sec. II. There we also display the irreducible decompositions of  $T^\alpha$  and  $R^{\alpha\beta}$ .

If one allows in a Yang-Mills manner for a gravitational Lagrangian  $V$  that is *quadratic in torsion and curvature*, we speak of a Poincaré gauge theory of gravity (PG) [3–10]. In Sec. III A we introduce the gravitational excita-

tions  $H_\alpha = -\partial V/\partial T^\alpha$  and  $H_{\alpha\beta} = -\partial V/\partial R^{\alpha\beta}$  and recapitulate the general form (21) and (22) of the two field equations of gravity.

Then, in Sec. III B, we turn to the conventional parity conserving quadratic Lagrangian  $V_+$ , which includes the somewhat degenerate Einstein-Cartan Lagrangian  $V_{EC}$ . Because of the existence of the Euler 4-form of the curvature, we can show that one curvature square piece is trivial. In Secs. III C and III D, we review parity violating *admixtures* to the EC-Lagrangian that have been formulated in the past by different groups, stressing in Sec. III E the importance of the corresponding cosmological models of Shie-Nester-Yo [12] and Chen et al. [13].

Having in this way the PG at our disposal, we open for it in Sec. IV a new ‘window’ to a ‘shadow world’: In Sec. IV A, we show in a systematic way that, besides the parity conserving Lagrangian  $V_+$ , there exists an equally important Lagrangian  $V_-$  the pieces of which are all *parity violating*. Accordingly, for PG we propose the gravitational Lagrangian  $V_\pm = V_+ + V_-$ . An equivalent Lagrangian has already been discussed earlier by Obukhov et al. [14].

Because of the complexity of this general Lagrangian, we select for further study in Sec. IV B in Eq. (64) the sim-

\*Electronic address: [peter.baekler@fh-duesseldorf.de](mailto:peter.baekler@fh-duesseldorf.de)

†Electronic address: [hehl@thp.uni-koeln.de](mailto:hehl@thp.uni-koeln.de) (corresp. author)

‡Electronic address: [nester@phy.ncu.edu.tw](mailto:nester@phy.ncu.edu.tw)

pler *9-parameter Lagrangian*  $V_{\text{BHN}}$ , which should carry the characteristic features of parity conserving and parity violating effects. In Sec. **IV C** a novel method is proposed for diagonalizing the quadratic pieces in  $V_{\text{BHN}}$ . No linearization is involved and the output consists of exact analytic results. Besides the Einstein mode  $2^+$ , we find for the *torsion modes* spin and parity  $0^\pm, 1^\pm$  and for the *curvature modes*  $0^\pm$ .

We calculate the gravitational excitations of  $V_{\text{BHN}}$  (Sec. **IV D**) and display in Sec. **IV E** the corresponding field equations explicitly. They turn out to be first order partial differential equations in torsion and curvature, respectively.

We continue by looking closer into the structure of  $V_{\text{BHN}}$  and its field equations. In Sec. **IV F** the Nieh-Yan identity is used to show that the coupling constants of  $V_{\text{BHN}}$  occur only in certain linear combinations in the field equations.

Eventually, in Sec. **V**, we turn to a cosmological model. In Sec. **V A** the coframe and the torsion are assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic in accordance with a Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) type model and in Sec. **V B** the corresponding irreducible pieces of the curvature are calculated. We define a spinless perfect fluid in Sec. **V C** and find then, in Sec. **V D**, the field equations of gravity for this cosmological model. The first field equation yields equations for the density  $\rho(t)$  and for the pressure  $p(t)$  of the perfect fluid. These equations are subsequently manipulated in order to bring them into a more transparent form. The second field equation has also two independent components, namely first order ordinary differential equations for  $R(t)$  and  $X(t)$ . We uncouple them and bring them in the very compact form (176) and (177) by introducing certain ‘frequencies’  $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ .

Now we are able to evaluate our exact results by numerical methods. This will be done in follow up work.

### Notation

Our notation is as follows (see [15, 16]): We use the formalism of exterior differential forms. We denote the *frame* by  $e_\alpha$ , with the anholonomic or frame indices  $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Decomposed with respect to a *natural frame*  $\partial_i$ , we have  $e_\alpha = e^i{}_\alpha \partial_i$ , where  $i, j, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$  are holonomic or coordinate indices. The frame  $e_\alpha$  is the vector basis of the tangent space at each point of the 4D spacetime manifold. The symbol  $\lrcorner$  denotes the interior and  $\wedge$  the exterior product. The *coframe*  $\vartheta^\beta = e_j{}^\beta dx^j$  is dual to the frame, i.e.,  $e_\alpha \lrcorner \vartheta^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta$ . The  $*$  denotes the Hodge star operator that acts on the quantities on its right, as, for instance, in  $*(\Sigma_\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\beta)$ . If  $\vartheta^{\alpha\beta} := \vartheta^\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\beta$ , etc., then we can introduce the *eta-basis* by  $\eta := *1$ ,  $\eta^\alpha := e^\alpha \lrcorner \eta = *\vartheta^\alpha$ ,  $\eta^{\alpha\beta} := e^\beta \lrcorner \eta^\alpha = *\vartheta^{\alpha\beta}$ , etc.. Parentheses surrounding indices  $(\alpha\beta) := (\alpha\beta + \beta\alpha)/2$  denote symmetrization and brackets  $[\alpha\beta] := (\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha)/2$  anti-symmetrization.

The coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$  and the  $\eta$ -system are related by

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \eta_\beta &= \delta_\beta^\alpha \eta, \\ \vartheta^\alpha \wedge \eta_{\beta\gamma} &= \delta_\gamma^\alpha \eta_\beta - \delta_\beta^\alpha \eta_\gamma, \\ \vartheta^\alpha \wedge \eta_{\beta\gamma\delta} &= \delta_\delta^\alpha \eta_{\beta\gamma} + \delta_\gamma^\alpha \eta_{\delta\beta} + \delta_\beta^\alpha \eta_{\gamma\delta}, \\ \vartheta^\alpha \eta_{\beta\gamma\delta\mu} &= \delta_\mu^\alpha \eta_{\beta\gamma\delta} - \delta_\delta^\alpha \eta_{\beta\gamma\mu} + \delta_\gamma^\alpha \eta_{\beta\delta\mu} - \delta_\beta^\alpha \eta_{\gamma\delta\mu}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Differentiating the  $\eta$ 's, we find in a metric-affine space (for a definition see Sec. **II B**) the relations

$$\begin{aligned}D\eta_\alpha &= -2Q \wedge \eta_\alpha + T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\mu}, \\ D\eta_{\alpha\beta} &= -2Q \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} + T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu}, \\ D\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= -2Q \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}, \\ D\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= -2Q \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where  $Q$  is the Weyl covector and  $T^\mu$  the torsion.

We use the abbreviations: GR = general relativity theory (Riemann spacetime), EC = Einstein-Cartan theory of gravity (Riemann-Cartan spacetime with torsion and curvature, gauge Lagrangian linear in curvature), PG = Poincaré gauge theory of gravity (Riemann-Cartan spacetime, gauge Lagrangian arbitrary function of torsion and curvature; often a quadratic function), MAG = metric-affine theory of gravity (metric-affine spacetime, gauge Lagrangian arbitrary function of in torsion, non-metricity, and curvature; often a quadratic function).

## II. SPACETIME OF GAUGE THEORY OF GRAVITY

### A. The coframe $\vartheta^\alpha$ and weak gravity

One of the fundamental structures in a gauge theory of gravity is the coframe field  $\vartheta^\alpha$ —and all quantities are referred to it. It is represented by four linearly independent 1-forms  $\vartheta^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . They can be decomposed with respect to a natural coframe  $dx^i$  according to  $\vartheta^\alpha = e_i{}^\alpha dx^i$ . Here the  $e_i{}^\alpha$  are the coordinate components of  $\vartheta^\alpha$ , also called tetrad components.

Since a Riemannian *metric*  $g$  with Lorentz signature is assumed to exist, the coframe can always chosen to be orthonormal according to

$$g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j = g_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^\alpha \otimes \vartheta^\beta,\tag{3}$$

with  $g_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ . In this way the metric is absorbed by the coframe and has no longer independent physical degrees of freedom.

This choice is convenient and will be kept throughout this article. However, sometimes one may want to choose arbitrary coframes: then the metric emerges explicitly again. Also for that reason, the metric and the coframe, besides the linear connection (see Sec. **II B**), are treated in the variational principle as independent gauge field variables. However, it eventually turns out that the field equations resulting from the variation of the metric and of the coframe are equivalent. In other words,

the orthonormal ‘‘gauge’’ of the coframe, which we use in this article, doesn’t restrict the generality of our considerations.

We call  $\vartheta^\alpha$  the potential of *weak* gravity of the *Newton-Einstein* type. It couples to matter via the Einstein gravitational constant  $\kappa$ , which has the dimension of a reciprocal force. Basically,  $\vartheta^\alpha$  represents four gauge boson fields—each of helicity 1—that conspire, at least in linear approximation, to build up the massless spin 2 graviton modes of general relativity (GR), provided the appropriate Hilbert Lagrangian is chosen.

## B. The linear connection $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ and the hypothesis of strong gravity

In classical gauge theories of gravity the linear connection of spacetime  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ , besides the coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$ , is assumed to exist as an independent field variable. This is a physical hypothesis that has eventually to be checked by experiment. We call  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  the potential of *strong* gravity of *Yang-Mills* type. It is represented by  $4 \times 4 = 16$  bosonic one-forms fields that can be decomposed according to  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_{i\alpha\beta} dx^i$ . They couple to matter in a Yang-Mills like fashion via a hypothetical coupling constant  $\varrho$  of the dimension (action) $^{-1}$ .

A differential manifold equipped with a metric  $g$  and a linear connection  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  is called a metric-affine space. If the linear connection is unconstrained, then  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  has values in the Lie algebra of the general linear group  $GL(4, R)$ . The gauge theory with independent metric and independent connection is called *metric-affine* (gauge theory of) *gravity* (MAG). Since in MAG the connection components  $\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta}$  carry three indices, the strong gravity potential can provide additional strong gravity modes of up to spin 3 (see [17]).

## C. Beyond general relativity: relaxing the torsion

Let us start from GR and assume the connection to be Riemannian, namely  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$ ; we will always indicate the Riemannian nature of a quantity by a tilde. Then  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$  doesn’t provide a mode that is independent of the coframe. With a suitable Lagrangian, this corresponds to GR.

If the connection is metric-compatible but non-Riemannian, it carries an independent piece that has values in the Lie-algebra of the Lorentz group  $SO(1, 3)$ . In orthonormal frames, we have for the *Lorentz-* (or spin-) *connection* the relation  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = -\Gamma^{\beta\alpha}$ . It represents 6 bosonic one-form fields for strong gravity. Its maximum spin is 2. The independent fields, coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$  and Lorentz-connection  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ , represent the gauge potentials of the Poincaré group. The corresponding gauge field theory is called the Poincaré gauge theory of gravity (Poincaré gravity or PG).

In PG, the *contortion*

$$K^{\alpha\beta} := \tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = -K^{\beta\alpha} \quad (4)$$

measures the difference between the Riemann and the Riemann-Cartan (RC) geometry and, as a difference between two connections, it constitutes a tensor. Alternatively, the deviation from the Riemannian geometry of GR can be described by the *torsion*

$$T^\alpha := \overset{\Gamma}{D} \vartheta^\alpha = d\vartheta^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \vartheta^\beta = \frac{1}{2} T_{ij}^{\alpha} dx^i \wedge dx^j. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\overset{\Gamma}{D}$  is the exterior covariant derivative with respect to the connection  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ . The newly emerging Lorentz-connection modes reflect themselves also in those of the torsion, since the second term in the torsion,  $\Gamma_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \vartheta^\beta$ , depends on  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ . It can be shown (see [15]) that torsion and contortion are related by

$$T^\alpha = \vartheta^\beta \wedge K_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \quad K_{\alpha\beta} = e_{[\alpha} \lrcorner T_{\beta]} - \frac{1}{2} (e_{\alpha} \lrcorner e_{\beta} \lrcorner T_{\gamma}) \vartheta^\gamma. \quad (6)$$

On the level of the gauge *potentials*, we have then in PG the frame  $\vartheta^\alpha$  and the Lorentz-connection  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = -\Gamma^{\beta\alpha}$ . On the level of the newly introduced torsion, the translation gauge *field strength*, we can execute an irreducible decomposition in order to learn more about its structure. It can be decomposed according to  $24 = 16 \oplus 4 \oplus 4$  into three pieces: into a second rank tensor piece (tensor),  $^{(1)}T^\alpha$ , into a vector piece, namely, in components, the trace of the torsion (trator),

$$^{(2)}T^\alpha := -\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{V} \wedge \vartheta^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{V} := e_{\beta} \lrcorner T^{\beta}, \quad (7)$$

and into an axial vector piece (axitor), which corresponds in components to the totally antisymmetric piece of the torsion (the star denotes the Hodge operator):

$$^{(3)}T^\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \star (\mathcal{A} \wedge \vartheta^\alpha) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{A} := \star (\vartheta_\alpha \wedge T^\alpha). \quad (8)$$

We have then the irreducible decomposition

$$T^\alpha = \underbrace{^{(1)}T^\alpha}_{\text{tensor}} + \underbrace{^{(2)}T^\alpha}_{\text{trator}} + \underbrace{^{(3)}T^\alpha}_{\text{axitor}}. \quad (9)$$

The tensor piece can carry at most spin 2 modes, whereas the vector and the axial vector pieces are good for at most spin 1 modes.

## D. Keeping the nonmetricity to zero

In MAG, besides the torsion  $T^\alpha$ , we have a nonvanishing nonmetricity

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} := -\overset{\Gamma}{D} g_{\alpha\beta} = Q_{\beta\alpha} = -dg_{\alpha\beta} + 2\Gamma_{(\alpha\beta)}. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the nonmetricity  $Q_{\alpha\beta}$  of the metric-affine geometry is a measure for the difference between the linear connection  $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  and the Lorentz-connection. In an orthonormal coframe  $\vartheta^{\alpha}$  the metric referred to the coframe  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  is a constant and the modes of the symmetric<sup>1</sup>  $\Gamma_{(\alpha\beta)} = \Gamma_{i(\alpha\beta)}dx^i$  are passed through, according to (10), to the nonmetricity  $Q_{\alpha\beta} = Q_{i\alpha\beta}dx^i$ , with the components  $Q_{i\alpha\beta} = Q_{i\beta\alpha}$ . There emerge, besides the 6 of the Lorentz-connection, 10 more bosonic one-form fields  $Q_{\alpha\beta}$  clearly encompassing strong gravity contributions of spin 0,1,2, and 3.

In MAG, we have the gravitational potentials  $\vartheta^{\alpha}$  and  $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ . The gauge field strength attached to the coframe  $\vartheta^{\alpha}$  is the torsion (5), that attached to the linear connection  $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  the curvature 2-form

$$R_{\alpha}^{\beta} := d\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta} - \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \wedge \Gamma_{\gamma}^{\beta}. \quad (11)$$

We can raise the index  $\alpha$  and can decompose the curvature into the antisymmetric ‘rotational’ piece and the symmetric ‘strain’ piece according to

$$R^{\alpha\beta} = W^{\alpha\beta} + Z^{\alpha\beta} \quad (12)$$

$$\text{with } W^{\alpha\beta} := R^{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad Z^{\alpha\beta} := R^{(\alpha\beta)}.$$

Even though we succeeded to relate a quadratic MAG-Lagrangian to a consistent classical field theory of massless spin 3 fields via the tracefree part of the nonmetricity [17], we will restrict ourselves in this article to vanishing nonmetricity,  $Q_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ ; consequently the strain curvature vanishes, too:  $Z^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . From a phenomenological point of view the overwhelming importance of the (rigid) Poincaré group in special relativity directs our attention primarily to the gauge theory of the *local* Poincaré group, namely PG. Accordingly, from now on  $Z^{\alpha\beta} = 0$  and  $R^{\alpha\beta} = W^{\alpha\beta}$ .

### E. Irreducible decomposition of the rotational curvature

For the physical interpretation it is significant to understand the different pieces of the curvature. The rotational curvature decomposes irreducibly into six pieces, see [15, 18–21], according to

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta} = & \underbrace{(1)R_{\alpha\beta}}_{\text{weyl 10}} + \underbrace{(2)R_{\alpha\beta}}_{\text{paircom 9}} + \underbrace{(3)R_{\alpha\beta}}_{\text{pscalar 1}} \\ & + \underbrace{(4)R_{\alpha\beta}}_{\text{ricsymf 9}} + \underbrace{(5)R_{\alpha\beta}}_{\text{ricanti 6}} + \underbrace{(6)R_{\alpha\beta}}_{\text{scalar 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The number of independent components is specified subsequent to the (computer) name of the corresponding irreducible piece. Pseudoscalar and scalar qualify as linear

Lagrangians. We take from the literature (remember that  $\vartheta_{\alpha\beta} = \vartheta_{\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta}$  and  $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = *\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}$ )

$${}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{12}X\eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (14)$$

$$X := e_{\alpha\lrcorner}X^{\alpha}, \quad X^{\alpha} := *(R^{\beta\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta});$$

$${}^{(6)}R_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{12}R\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (15)$$

$$R := e_{\alpha\lrcorner}R^{\alpha}, \quad R^{\alpha} := e_{\beta\lrcorner}R^{\alpha\beta}.$$

We recognize that the scalar  $R$  and the pseudoscalar  $X$  play a preferred role. Note that  $X$  is purely post-Riemannian, that is,  $\tilde{X} \equiv 0$ . In components we have

$$X = \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}/4! \quad \text{and} \quad R = R_{\beta\alpha}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (16)$$

with the decomposition  $R^{\alpha\beta} = R_{\gamma\delta}^{\alpha\beta}\vartheta^{\gamma\delta}/2$ .

## III. POINCARÉ GAUGE THEORY OF GRAVITY (PG)

### A. Lagrangian and field equations

In PG, we have the gravitational potentials  $\vartheta^{\alpha}$  and  $\Gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta} = -\Gamma^{\beta\alpha}$ . The corresponding gauge field strengths are the torsion  $T^{\alpha}$  and the rotational (‘Lorentz’) curvature

$$R^{\alpha\beta} := d\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma} \wedge \Gamma^{\gamma\beta} = -R^{\beta\alpha}. \quad (17)$$

We assume a first order Lagrangian consisting of a gauge and a minimally coupled matter part,

$$L = V(g_{\alpha\beta}, \vartheta^{\alpha}, T^{\alpha}, R^{\alpha\beta}) + L_{\text{mat}}\left(g_{\alpha\beta}, \vartheta^{\alpha}, \Psi, \overset{\Gamma}{D}\Psi\right), \quad (18)$$

with the matter field(s)  $\Psi$ . Then we can define the translation and the Lorentz *excitations*, respectively,

$$H_{\alpha} := -\frac{\partial V}{\partial T^{\alpha}}, \quad H_{\alpha\beta} := -\frac{\partial V}{\partial R^{\alpha\beta}} = -H_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (19)$$

and the canonical matter currents of *energy-momentum* and *spin* (angular momentum) according to

$$\Sigma_{\alpha} := \frac{\delta L_{\text{mat}}}{\delta \vartheta^{\alpha}}, \quad \tau_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{\delta L_{\text{mat}}}{\delta \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}} = -\tau_{\beta\alpha}; \quad (20)$$

in the case of a minimally coupled matter Lagrangian, as in (18), the variational derivatives degenerate to partial derivatives.

The action principle yields the field equations [3]

$$DH_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} = \Sigma_{\alpha} \quad (\text{first}), \quad (21)$$

$$DH_{\alpha\beta} - E_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{second}), \quad (22)$$

with the gauge currents of energy-momentum and spin

$$E_{\alpha} := e_{\alpha\lrcorner}V + (e_{\alpha\lrcorner}T^{\beta}) \wedge H_{\beta} + (e_{\alpha\lrcorner}R^{\beta\gamma}) \wedge H_{\beta\gamma}, \quad (23)$$

$$E_{\alpha\beta} := -\vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge H_{\beta]}. \quad (24)$$

<sup>1</sup> Not to be confused with the symmetric part of the connection  $\Gamma_{(\alpha\beta)\gamma} = e_{(\alpha\lrcorner}\Gamma_{\beta)\gamma}$ .

If the gauge Lagrangian  $V$  is prescribed *explicitly*, we can compute first the excitations  $H_\alpha, H_{\alpha\beta}$  by partial differentiation of  $V$  and subsequently the gauge currents  $E_\alpha, E_{\alpha\beta}$  by substitution; these quantities are then inserted into the two field equations (21),(22). As noted already above, the field equation resulting from a variation of the metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  is equivalent to (21), provided (22) is fulfilled.

The matter currents on the right-hand-side of the field equations (21) and (22) can be understood as those of a spin fluid (see [22–24]). An *approximate* representation of such a spin fluid can be specified as follows: If the fluid moves with the velocity  $\mathbf{u} = u^\alpha e_\alpha$ , that is, with the flow 3-form  $\mathcal{U} := \mathbf{u} \lrcorner \eta = u^\alpha \eta_\alpha$ , and transports an energy-momentum density  $p_\alpha$  and a spin density  $s_{\alpha\beta} = -s_{\beta\alpha}$ , then a convective Weyssenhoff ansatz for the matter currents reads

$$\Sigma_\alpha = p_\alpha \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\alpha\beta} = s_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{U}. \quad (25)$$

### B. Quadratic Yang-Mills type Lagrangian with even parity terms

A quadratic Lagrangian of PG of the Yang-Mills type has the general structure ( $\lambda_0$  is the cosmological constant)

$$V \sim \frac{1}{\kappa} (\text{curv} + \text{torsion}^2 + \lambda_0) + \frac{1}{\varrho} \text{curv}^2. \quad (26)$$

Since the coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$  and the Lorentz connection  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$  are independent variables, such a first order Lagrangian yields second order field equations; *higher derivatives do not emerge*.

The *simplest* non-trivial Lagrangian corresponds to the first term on the right-hand-side of (26). It is of the Hilbert type, i.e., linear in the curvature, namely the so-called Einstein-Cartan Lagrangian, see (15),

$$V_{\text{EC}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \wedge R^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{(6)}R^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} {}^*R. \quad (27)$$

The corresponding two field excitations,  $H_\alpha = 0$  and  $H_{\alpha\beta} = -\eta_{\alpha\beta}/(2\kappa)$ , if substituted into (21) and (22), yield the field equations [1, 2, 25]:

$$\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge R^{\beta\gamma} = \kappa \Sigma_\alpha, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge T^\gamma = \kappa \tau_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (29)$$

The viable Einstein-Cartan(-Sciama-Kibble) theory (EC), as compared to GR, supplies an additional spin-contact interaction of *weak* gravitational origin, since only Einstein's gravitational constant enters (28),(29). The Lorentz connection  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$  cannot propagate and thus EC represents<sup>2</sup> a degenerate PG. In order to enable  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$

to propagate, we have to use additionally at least the *quadratic* curvature piece in (26); for discussions on the physical relevance of torsion one should also compare Shapiro [28] and Ni [29].

Esser [30], see also [31], constructed the most general quadratic Lagrangian with even (+) parity pieces (for this notion see Sec. III C). For a RC-spacetime it reads:

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ = & \frac{1}{2\kappa} (-a_0 R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} - 2\lambda_0 \eta \\ & + T^\alpha \wedge \sum_{I=1}^3 a_I {}^{*(I)}T_\alpha) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\varrho} R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \sum_{I=1}^6 w_I {}^{*(I)}R_{\alpha\beta}; \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

without restricting the generality of our considerations, we can choose  $\varrho > 0$ . In a RC-space, Esser found the additional term

$$- \frac{1}{2\varrho} R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^* \left[ w_7 \vartheta_\alpha \wedge (e_{\gamma\lrcorner} {}^{(5)}R^\gamma{}_\beta) \right]. \quad (31)$$

However, this term can be transformed successively into a pure  $w_5$  term: Let us first consider invariants of the form  $R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^* [\vartheta_\alpha \wedge (e_{\gamma\lrcorner} {}^{(A)}R^\gamma{}_\beta)]$  with  $A \in \{1 \cdots 6\}$ . For  $A = 5$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} & R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^* \left[ \vartheta_\alpha \wedge (e_{\gamma\lrcorner} {}^{(5)}R^\gamma{}_\beta) \right] \\ & = {}^{(5)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^* \left[ \vartheta_\alpha \wedge (e_{\gamma\lrcorner} {}^{(5)}R^\gamma{}_\beta) \right] \\ & = {}^{(5)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{*(5)}R_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Thus we can absorb the  $w_7$  term into the  $w_5$  term. Consequently, without restricting the generality of our considerations, we can put  $w_7 = 0$ .

In our Lagrangian  $V_+$  in (30) not all the constants are independent. In a Riemannian as well as in a RC-spacetime, the integrand of the topological Euler 4-form

$$\begin{aligned} B_{RR^{(*)}} &= -\frac{1}{2} R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge R_\beta^{(*)\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma} R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge R^{\gamma\delta} \\ &= dC_{RR^{(*)}} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

is exact, with

$$C_{RR^{(*)}} = \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\beta\gamma\delta}^{\alpha} \left( R_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge \Gamma_\gamma{}^\delta + \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge \Gamma_\gamma{}^\epsilon \wedge \Gamma_\epsilon{}^\delta \right). \quad (34)$$

The dual is here taken with respect to the frame indices  $\alpha, \beta$  of the curvature 2-form  $R_\alpha{}^\beta$ , it has to be carefully distinguished from the Hodge dual. Then, together with the Bach-Lanczos identity [15], Eq.(A.3.7),

$$R^{\alpha|\gamma} \wedge R^{(*)|\beta)}{}_\gamma - \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu} \wedge R_{\mu\nu}^{(*)} = 0, \quad (35)$$

<sup>2</sup> Recently, an EC-model with fermionic matter and its application

to the early universe has been discussed by Ribas & Kremer [26] and Dolan [27].

one can show that only five of the six  $w_I$ 's are linearly independent.

The excitations can now be calculated by differentiation:

$$H_\alpha = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{I=1}^3 a_I {}^*(I)T_\alpha, \quad (36)$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{a_0}{2\kappa} \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{I=1}^6 w_I {}^*(I)R_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (37)$$

Because of (15), the last equation can be slightly rewritten as

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \left( \frac{a_0}{2\kappa} - \frac{w_6}{12\varrho} R \right) \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{I=1}^5 w_I {}^*(I)R_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (38)$$

There have been numerous investigations into the properties of the Lagrangian (30). In linear approximation, on a flat Minkowskian background, Eq. (30) encompasses, besides the weak gravity modes of the coframe, propagating strong gravity modes of the Lorentz-connection with spin  $2^\pm$ ,  $1^\pm$ , and  $0^\pm$ , as shown by Hayashi & Shirafuji [4], by Sezgin & van Nieuwenhuizen [32], and by Kuhfuss & Nitsch [33]. For a model with quadratic curvature Lagrangian in which only the Lorentz-connection is dynamic, compare Cho et al. [34].

A good dynamic mode transports positive energy at speed  $\leq c$ . At most three modes can be simultaneously dynamic; all the cases were tabulated; many combinations are satisfactory to linear order. The Hamiltonian analysis, as shown by Blagojević and Nikolić [35, 36], revealed the related constraints. In more detailed investigations [37–40] it was concluded that effects due to nonlinearities could be expected to render all of these cases physically unacceptable, with the exception of two “scalar” connection modes with spin  $0^+$  and spin  $0^-$ .

Before we come back to the mode analysis in Sec. IV C, we want to extend the gravitational Lagrangian such that also odd parity pieces are included.

### C. Even parity and odd parity Lagrangians, twisted and untwisted forms

Let us study the spatial reflection or parity transformation; the sign of the time axis will be kept fixed. A (pure) scalar field  $\Phi(x)$  remains invariant under the parity transformation or if we transform a right-handed coordinate system  $x^i$  into a left-handed one  $x'^i$ :  $\Phi(x') = \Phi(x)$ . In contrast, a twisted scalar field  $\hat{\Phi}(x)$  (also called a pseudoscalar field) changes its sign under those circumstances, that is, the sign of the determinant  $J := \det \|\partial x^i / \partial x'^i\|$  of the Jacobian transformation matrix enters its transformation law:  $\hat{\Phi}(x'^i) = (\text{sign } J) \hat{\Phi}(x)$ . The analogous behavior characterizes the relation between twisted and untwisted forms; for a mathematical discussion compare Frankel [41].

A Lagrangian 4-form  $L$  has to be a twisted 4-form in order to make its action  $W := \int_{\Omega_4} L$  a pure scalar. These

Lagrangians are also called even parity Lagrangians. However, in physics we know since the discovery of parity violation in the weak interaction in 1956, see Sozzi [42] for a review, that also odd parity would-be Lagrangians can occur; they have to be multiplied by *pseudoscalar coupling constants* in order to transform them to decent (twisted) Lagrangians, which can be added to the other even parity Lagrangian pieces.

In PG, the field strengths  $T^\alpha$  and  $R^{\alpha\beta}$  are untwisted 2-forms, similarly the potentials  $\vartheta^\alpha$  and  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$  are untwisted 1-forms. One may compare the case of electrodynamics with the untwisted potential  $A$  and the untwisted field-strength  $F = dA$  (the differential  $d$  is untwisted). Consequently, a twisted Lagrangian, according to (19), leads to the excitations  $H_\alpha$  and  $H_{\alpha\beta}$  being twisted 2-forms and the material currents  $\Sigma_\alpha$  and  $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ , see (20), being twisted 3-forms.

The Einstein-Cartan Lagrangian

$$V_{\text{EC}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^*(\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} {}^*R \quad (39)$$

is twisted, since the Hodge star in our formalism (see [16], Sec. C.2.8) is twisted, that is, it maps twisted into untwisted forms and vice versa. The Maxwell Lagrangian

$$V_{\text{Max}} = -\frac{Y_0}{2} F \wedge {}^*F \quad (40)$$

is also twisted, hence of even parity, where  $Y_0$  is the (scalar) vacuum admittance. We recognize that an odd number of stars occurring in a Lagrangian, which is expressed in terms of field strength and potentials, guarantees its standard twisted nature. In contrast, the topological Chern type Lagrangian

$$V_{\text{Max}'} = -\frac{Y_1}{2} F \wedge F \quad (41)$$

is only twisted (even parity), if we declare  $Y_1$  to be a pseudoscalar; the analogous is true in gravity for

$$V_{\text{EC}'} = \frac{1}{2\kappa'} R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge (\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta) = \frac{1}{2\kappa'} {}^*X, \quad (42)$$

with the pseudoscalar constant  $\kappa'$ ; note that this Lagrangian vanishes identically in a Riemannian space, since  $\tilde{R}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]} = 0$ .

### D. Parity violating admixtures to the Einstein-Cartan Lagrangian

Already in 1964, Leitner and Okubo [43] wondered about possible odd parity terms in the gravitational Lagrangian. Related questions were addressed by Hayashi [44] and Hari Dass [45]. The effect of adding the non-Riemannian odd parity pseudoscalar curvature to the Hilbert-Einstein-Cartan scalar curvature was first studied by Hojman, Mukku, and Sayed [46] (for Mukku's recent view see [47]):

$$V_{\text{HMS}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (a_0 {}^*R + b_0 {}^*X)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0\eta_{\alpha\beta} + b_0\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}) \wedge R^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (43)$$

Note that on the right-hand-side of this equation the star only enters in  $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = *(\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta)$ , that is, the second term  $\vartheta_{\alpha\beta} = \vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta$  is of odd parity and thus  $b_0$  is a pseudoscalar. The excitations turn out to be

$$H_\alpha = 0, \quad H_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0\eta_{\alpha\beta} + b_0\vartheta_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (44)$$

If we introduce the left-hand-side of (28) as the Einstein 3-form  $G_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge R^{\beta\gamma}$ , the field equations read

$$a_0 G_\alpha - b_0 *X_\alpha = \kappa \Sigma_\alpha, \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{a_0}{2} T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu} + b_0 T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]} = \kappa \tau_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (46)$$

The situation with respect to the second field equation (46) is similar as in the EC-theory. For vanishing material spin,  $\tau_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ , the torsion vanishes, too,  $T^\alpha = 0$ . This can be shown by substituting the irreducible decomposition of torsion (9) into (46) and using the geometrical identities

$$\begin{aligned} (1) T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu} &= 2 *^{(1)} T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]}, \\ (2) T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu} &= -4 *^{(2)} T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]}, \\ (3) T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu} &= - *^{(3)} T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

To supply non-vanishing torsion we either need material spin or at least (for the vacuum case) field Lagrangians quadratic in the field strengths. In this sense, the Lagrangian (43) is as degenerate as the one of EC and it is natural to turn to quadratic odd parity Lagrangians.

Subsequently questions related to the  $V_{\text{HMS}}$ -Lagrangian, in the realm of classical Riemann-Cartan spacetime, were investigated by Nelson [48], Nieh and Yan [49] (see also Nieh's recent article[50]), and McCrea et al. [51, 52], see also Refs. [53, 54].

In the general context of the Ashtekar formalism or, more generally, of *loop quantum gravity*, compare Kiefer [55] and Rovelli [56], the  $V_{\text{HMS}}$ -Lagrangian was taken up again by Holst [57], Freidel et al. [58, 59], Khriplovich et al. [60], and Bojowald et al. [61]; similar parity violating pieces were studied by Mukhopadhyaya et al. [62, 63], see also the related papers by Mielke [64, 65].

In the framework of a *quantum* field theoretical context, Poplawski [66], Randoni [67], and Bjorken [68] developed cosmological models with torsion and parity violating pieces that are induced by the vacuum structure.

Jackiw and Pi [69] proposed a specific model with violation of parity and Lorentz invariance in the context of GR. They introduced, in addition to the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangian, an *external* scalar field  $\beta$ , not to be varied in the action principle, multiplied by the Chern-Simons (CS) term attached to the curvature:

$$V_{\text{GRCS}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} * \tilde{R} + \frac{\beta}{2\varrho} \tilde{R}_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge \tilde{R}_\beta{}^\alpha. \quad (48)$$

This model was extended to EC-theory by Cantcheff [70],

$$V_{\text{ECCS}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} * R + \frac{\beta}{2\varrho} R_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge R_\beta{}^\alpha, \quad (49)$$

see also Ertem [71]. Of course, both theories differ in their physical content, as does GR from the EC-theory. We turn our attention to the EC-version in (49).

We know from geometry that a CS-term is an exact form. We have for a RC-space, see [15], Eqs.(3.9.3) and (3.9.8),

$$-\frac{1}{2} R_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge R_\beta{}^\alpha = dC_{\text{RR}} \quad (50)$$

with

$$C_{\text{RR}} := -\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge R_\beta{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_\alpha{}^\beta \wedge \Gamma_\beta{}^\gamma \wedge \Gamma_\gamma{}^\alpha). \quad (51)$$

Thus,

$$V_{\text{ECCS}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} * R - \frac{\beta}{\varrho} dC_{\text{RR}}. \quad (52)$$

This Lagrangian contains an odd parity piece quadratic in curvature, see (50). However, it is of a fairly degenerate character. Still, since  $\beta$  is a prescribed field, the field equations are affected by the CS-term. We will come back to an explicit evaluation of the curvature square piece below.

Explicit odd parity curvature square pieces were present in some cosmological models with spin  $0^+$  and spin  $0^-$  modes. These models motivated us for a further search in this direction.

### E. Interlude: the impact of the cosmological model of Shie-Nester-Yo on PG

Shie, Nester, and Yo [11, 12], in the framework of PG and in accordance with [39, 40], formulated a new cosmological model. It contains, besides the graviton mode  $2^+$  of GR, one propagating connection and accordingly one propagating torsion mode of spin  $0^+$ ; the plus + refers to the positive parity. The corresponding Lagrangian reads effectively<sup>3</sup>

$$V_{\text{SNY}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( a_0 * R + \frac{1}{3} a_2 \mathcal{V} \wedge * \mathcal{V} \right) - \frac{1}{24\varrho} w_6 R^2 \eta. \quad (53)$$

This model has fairly realistic features and encouraged further developments. Li et al. [72, 73], following Ref.

<sup>3</sup> Their actual Lagrangian [12], Eq. (18) contains also squared pieces of the axial torsion  $(3)T^\alpha$  and the tensor torsion  $(1)T^\alpha$ . However, they find from the second field equation (for vanishing spin) that  $(1)T^\alpha = (3)T^\alpha = 0$ . Accordingly, in the SNY-model only the vector piece  $(2)T^\alpha$  of the torsion is active explicitly. An analogous remark applies to (54).

[12], investigated the cosmological evolution of the SNY-model with advanced numerical techniques.

In order to embrace additionally connection modes with spin  $0^-$ , that is with odd parity, in a next step, Chen et al.[13] generalized the SNY-Lagrangian to

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{SNY}'} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} (a_0 {}^*R - 2\lambda_0 \eta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6\kappa} (a_2 \mathcal{V} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{V} - a_3 \mathcal{A} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A}) \\ &- \frac{1}{24\varrho} (w_6 R^2 - w_3 X^2) \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

It contains, as odd parity terms, the *axial vector torsion*  $\mathcal{A}$  and the *pseudoscalar curvature*  $X$ , see (8) and (14), respectively. However, these odd parity terms are concealed in an even parity Lagrangian since each of the terms  $\mathcal{A} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A}$  and  $X^2 \eta = X {}^*X$  contain one explicit star, respectively. Accordingly, this model with propagating modes  $2^+$  and  $0^\pm$  is of even parity, but contains concealed the odd parity terms  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X$ .

It is then tempting to provide further add-ons, namely the parity violating mixed terms  $\mathcal{A} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{V}$  and  $X {}^*R = R {}^*X$ . This provides a further extension of the SNY-model with respective metric and connection propagating modes of  $2^+$  and  $0^\pm$ .

#### IV. THE SHADOW WORLD OF QUADRATIC PG-LAGRANGIANS WITH ODD PARITY TERMS

##### A. Constructing systematically quadratic odd parity Lagrangians

As discussed by Sozzi [42], from the validity of the CPT symmetry in nature and from the fact that the C and CP symmetries are only broken in weak interaction, but are valid otherwise, one would expect roughly an equal amount of matter and antimatter in the Universe. It appears however, as shown by Steigman [75], that only what we call matter is around in the Universe. Accordingly, we have to face the matter/antimatter asymmetry in nature and may want to approach this question from a gravitational point of view. Can we, in PG, construct odd parity Lagrangians in a natural way so that we can estimate the possible influence of those terms for the evolution of the Universe?<sup>4</sup>

We proved identities of the type (32) under heavy use of the computer algebra system Reduce, including the

package Excalc for handling directly exterior differential forms, see [76–79]. In this way, we can construct a quadratic odd parity Lagrangian  $V_-$  that in its general structure reflects the even parity Lagrangian  $V_+$  in (30). It is the ‘shadow’ of  $V_+$ :

$$\begin{aligned} V_- &= -\frac{b_0}{2\kappa} {}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^{\alpha\beta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\kappa} \left( \sigma_1 {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(1)}T_\alpha + \sigma_2 {}^{(2)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(3)}T_\alpha \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2\varrho} \left( \mu_1 {}^{(1)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{(1)}R_{\alpha\beta} + \mu_2 {}^{(2)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{(4)}R_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ &\left. + \mu_3 {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{(6)}R_{\alpha\beta} + \mu_4 {}^{(5)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{(5)}R_{\alpha\beta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

All its constants are pseudoscalars. In a Riemannian spacetime such an extended shadow does not exist, since only the  $\mu_1$ -term survives, because of  $T^\alpha = 0$  and  ${}^{(2)}R^{\alpha\beta} = {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} = {}^{(5)}R^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . Therefore, in PG we can free ourselves from the constraint to use even parity Lagrangians with only one odd term; in fact, PG brings the existence of numerous odd parity Lagrangians to light.

The special cases of Jackiw & Pi [69] and Cantcheff [70] can now be straightforwardly evaluated. If we define in four dimensions the (pseudo-)scalar product  $\langle A, B \rangle$  for any two tensor-valued two-forms  $A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}$  and  $B_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}$  by

$$\langle A, B \rangle := {}^*(A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r} \wedge B^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r}), \quad (56)$$

we can write the curvature square piece of our odd Lagrangian (55) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curv}^2_{V_-} &= \frac{1}{2\varrho} {}^* \left( \mu_1 \langle {}^{(1)}R, {}^{(1)}R \rangle + \mu_2 \langle {}^{(2)}R, {}^{(4)}R \rangle \right. \\ &\left. + \mu_3 \langle {}^{(3)}R, {}^{(6)}R \rangle + \mu_4 \langle {}^{(5)}R, {}^{(5)}R \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

On the other hand, the ECCS-Lagrangian reads

$$V_{\text{ECCS}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} {}^*R + \frac{\beta}{2\varrho} {}^*\langle R, R \rangle. \quad (58)$$

If we substitute the irreducible pieces of the curvature into the scalar product, we find [see [15], Eq.(B.4.37)]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R, R \rangle &= \langle {}^{(1)}R, {}^{(1)}R \rangle + 2 \langle {}^{(2)}R, {}^{(4)}R \rangle \\ &+ 2 \langle {}^{(3)}R, {}^{(6)}R \rangle + \langle {}^{(5)}R, {}^{(5)}R \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

In a Riemannian space of the theory of Jackiw & Pi only the conformally invariant Weyl square piece, the first term on the right-hand-side of (59), is left over, whereas the RC-space complicates the structures by additional post-Riemannian pieces. Comparing (57) with (59), we find

$$\text{curv}^2_{V_-} (\mu_1 = 1, \mu_2 = 2, \mu_3 = 2, \mu_4 = 1) = -\frac{1}{\varrho} dC_{\text{RR}}. \quad (60)$$

In other words, our odd parity curvature square Lagrangians, for the coupling constants specified, becomes

<sup>4</sup> At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) in Geneva the detector LHCb (the ‘b’ = beauty refers to the ‘bottom’ quark) was constructed particularly for investigations of matter-antimatter interactions, namely for the CP-violation in the interaction of b-hadrons. It is hoped that these experiments will provide new insight into the interrelationship between matter and antimatter.

an exact form. Consequently only three of the four  $\mu$ 's can be chosen independently.

The mixed quadratic Lagrangian with even and odd parity is then

$$V_{\pm} = V_+ + V_-, \quad (61)$$

see also [14].

## B. Cosmological model with parity violating terms

If we compare  $V_{\pm}$  with  $V_{\text{SNY}'}$ , the next step of ‘minimally’ generalizing (54) and hopefully keeping the nice properties of the model is to allow for unconcealed odd parity pieces, but only those odd parity pieces that already occur in (54), namely  $X$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus, starting with (61) and putting the following constants to zero,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 = 0, \sigma_1 = 0; w_1 = w_2 = w_4 = w_5 = 0; \\ \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_4 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

we arrive at the new Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{BHN}} = & \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( -a_0 {}^{(6)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} - b_0 {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha\beta} - 2\lambda_0 \eta \right. \\ & + a_2 {}^{(2)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{*(2)}T_\alpha + a_3 {}^{(3)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{*(3)}T_\alpha \\ & \left. + 2\sigma_2 {}^{(2)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(3)}T_\alpha \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\varrho} \left( w_3 {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{*(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} + w_6 {}^{(6)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{*(6)}R_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ & \left. + \mu_3 {}^{(3)}R^{\alpha\beta} \wedge {}^{(6)}R_{\alpha\beta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Substituting the irreducible pieces of the torsion (7) and (8) and of the curvature (14) and (15) into (63), we find the *more compact form of our new gravitational Lagrangian*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{BHN}} = & \frac{1}{2\kappa} (a_0 {}^*R + b_0 {}^*X - 2\lambda_0 \eta) \\ & + \frac{1}{6\kappa} (a_2 \mathcal{V} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{V} - a_3 \mathcal{A} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A} - 2\sigma_2 \mathcal{V} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A}) \\ & - \frac{1}{24\varrho} (w_6 R {}^*R - w_3 X {}^*X + \mu_3 R {}^*X). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

The constants  $b_0$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\mu_3$  are *pseudoscalar*, the remaining ones are scalar.<sup>5</sup>

We can read off from the Lagrangian a symmetry between  $R$  and  $X$ , between  $w_6$  and  $w_3$ , and between  $a_0$  and  $b_0$ . There is also a symmetry between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  on the one side and  $R$  and  $X$  on the other side; this implies that  $a_2, a_3, \sigma_2$  are mirrored in  $w_6, w_3, \mu_3$ . These symmetries are also reflected in the field equations. We will come back to this in Sec. IV E.

The decomposition of the linear terms in  $R$  and  $X$  into Riemannian and post-Riemannian pieces, modulo surface terms, yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{BHN}} = & \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left[ a_0 {}^*\tilde{R} - 2\lambda_0 \eta + {}^{(1)}T_\alpha \wedge (b_0 + a_0 {}^*) {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2}{3} m^+ \mathcal{V} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{V} + \frac{1}{6} m^- \mathcal{A} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A} - \frac{2}{3} m^\times \mathcal{V} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A} \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{24\varrho} (w_6 R {}^*R - w_3 X {}^*X + \mu_3 R {}^*X). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

with

$$m^+ := a_0 - \frac{a_2}{2}, \quad m^- := a_0 - 2a_3, \quad m^\times := b_0 + \sigma_2. \quad (66)$$

We shall see that the  $m$ 's play a role in the discussion of the second field equation. Note that  $m^+$  and  $m^-$  are of even parity, whereas  $m^\times$  is odd. A corresponding decomposition of the quadratic curvature terms in (65) doesn't seem to provide new insight.

## C. Diagonalization of the BHN-Lagrangian

### 1. Eigenvalues of the kinetic matrix of the translational gauge potential $\vartheta^\alpha$

In the Lagrangian (65) the term  $\mathcal{V} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \wedge {}^*\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{A}_\mu \mathcal{V}^\mu \eta$  represents an interaction term of two four-vectors of the type *vector*  $\times$  *axial vector*. To get some more insight into the dynamics of the fields governed by the Lagrangian (65), we decompose these four-vectors into  $(1 \oplus 3)$  with

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \left( \mathcal{A}_0, \vec{\mathcal{A}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_\mu = \left( \mathcal{V}_0, \vec{\mathcal{V}} \right). \quad (67)$$

The translational part of the Lagrangian (65), with  ${}^{(1)}T^\alpha = 0$ , is proportional to the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} := & 4(\mathcal{V}_0^2 - \mathcal{V}_1^2 - \mathcal{V}_2^2 - \mathcal{V}_3^2) m^+ \\ & - (\mathcal{A}_0^2 - \mathcal{A}_1^2 - \mathcal{A}_2^2 - \mathcal{A}_3^2) m^- \\ & + 4(\mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{V}_0 - \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{V}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2 \mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{A}_3 \mathcal{V}_3) m^\times. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Expressed in terms of matrices, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} = & (\mathcal{V}_0, \vec{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}_0, \vec{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -4m^+ \mathbf{g} & -2m^\times \mathbf{g} \\ -2m^\times \mathbf{g} & m^- \mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}_0 \\ \vec{\mathcal{V}} \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \\ \vec{\mathcal{A}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ = & (\mathcal{V}_0, \vec{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}_0, \vec{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot (\mathcal{V}_0, \vec{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}_0, \vec{\mathcal{A}})^\text{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

with  $\mathbf{g}$  as the four-dimensional Minkowski metric.

With the useful abbreviations

$$x := 4m^+, \quad y := 2m^\times, \quad z := m^-, \quad (70)$$

<sup>5</sup> A teleparallel Lagrangian with a term with  $\sigma_2 \neq 0$  has been considered earlier by Müller-Hoissen and Nitsch [80].

the new matrix  $\mathcal{T}$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &= \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & 0 & y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y \\ y & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 & z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -y & 0 & 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -x\mathbf{g} & -y\mathbf{g} \\ -y\mathbf{g} & z\mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

It has some simple properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^T &= \mathcal{T}, \\ \text{trace } \mathcal{T} &= -2(x-z), \\ \det \mathcal{T} &= (xz+y^2)^4 > 0, \\ \mathcal{T}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{xz+y^2} \begin{pmatrix} -z\mathbf{g} & -y\mathbf{g} \\ -y\mathbf{g} & x\mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

In the following we will make use of Dirac's bra-ket notation, see Dirac [81] and Schouten [82]. For this purposes we define (abstract) vectors according to

$$\langle \mathcal{X} | := (\mathcal{V}_0, \vec{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}_0, \vec{\mathcal{A}}) \text{ and } | \mathcal{X} \rangle := (\mathcal{V}_0, \vec{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}_0, \vec{\mathcal{A}})^T \quad (73)$$

such that the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}$  becomes

$$\mathcal{Q} = \langle \mathcal{X} | \mathcal{T} | \mathcal{X} \rangle. \quad (74)$$

To diagonalize the form (74), we introduce a new vector  $|\mathcal{Y}\rangle$  together with a suitable orthonormal matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  such that

$$| \mathcal{X} \rangle := \mathcal{K} | \mathcal{Y} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{X} | := \langle \mathcal{Y} | \mathcal{K}^T. \quad (75)$$

Substitution of (75) into (74) yields the covariant expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &= \langle \mathcal{X} | \mathcal{T} | \mathcal{X} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{Y} | \mathcal{K}^T \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{K} | \mathcal{Y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{Y} | \mathcal{D} | \mathcal{Y} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

We will choose the orthonormal matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  such that the product  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{K}^T \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{K}$  is diagonal and thus contains the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{T}$  as entries.

The eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{T}$  turn out to be:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( x - z + \sqrt{(x+z)^2 + 4y^2} \right), \\ \Lambda_2 &= \Lambda_3 = \Lambda_4 = -\Lambda_1, \\ \Lambda_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( x - z - \sqrt{(x+z)^2 + 4y^2} \right), \\ \Lambda_6 &= \Lambda_7 = \Lambda_8 = -\Lambda_5. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

According to the Lorentz structure, that is, the  $(1 \oplus 3)$ -decomposition of four-vectors, we have two different eigenvalues for the time components and 2 threefold eigenvalues for the spatial components, respectively.

For the explicit construction of the matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  we need the eigenvectors  $\vec{u}_{\Lambda_n}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $n$  runs from 1 to 8. Their components can be expressed (for  $y \neq 0$ ) in terms of  $A$  and  $B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= -\frac{1}{2y} \left( x + z + \sqrt{(x+z)^2 + 4y^2} \right), \\ B &:= -\frac{1}{2y} \left( x + z - \sqrt{(x+z)^2 + 4y^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

We normalize the eigenvectors by means of

$$a := \sqrt{1 + A^2} \quad \text{and} \quad b := \sqrt{1 + B^2}. \quad (79)$$

The columns of the matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  are the normalized eigenvectors of the matrix  $\mathcal{T}$ . Accordingly, we find  $\mathcal{K} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -A/a & 0 & 0 & 0 & -B/b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A/a & 0 & 0 & 0 & -B/b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/a \\ 1/a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B/b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -A/a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B/b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -A/a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (80)$$

Because of the identity  $BA = -1$  and its consequences  $-A/a = 1/b$  and  $B/b = 1/a$ , there is actually much more symmetry than is apparent in the matrix (80), which in fact depends essentially on only one parameter. This matrix has simple properties like  $\det \mathcal{K} = +1$ ,  $\mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{K}^T = \mathbf{1}_{8 \times 8}$ ; the eigenvalues are  $e^{\pm i\alpha}$ , both with multiplicity four and with  $\tan \alpha = 1/A$ , cf. Eq. (87). Hence in the eight-dimensional vector space the matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  represents a pure rotational matrix.

Thus, the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}$  assumes the diagonal form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &= \langle \mathcal{X} | \mathcal{T} | \mathcal{X} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{Y} | \text{diag}(\Lambda_1, -\vec{\Lambda}_1, \Lambda_5, -\vec{\Lambda}_5) | \mathcal{Y} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

with the obvious abbreviations  $\vec{\Lambda}_1 := \Lambda_1(1, 1, 1)$  and  $\vec{\Lambda}_5 := \Lambda_5(1, 1, 1)$ . The new vector  $|\mathcal{Y}\rangle$  turns out to be

$$| \mathcal{Y} \rangle := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_0 \\ \vec{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{A}_0 \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{K}^T | \mathcal{X} \rangle = \mathcal{K}^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}_0 \\ \vec{\mathcal{V}} \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \\ \vec{\mathcal{A}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (82)$$

Accordingly, the quadratic form (68) can now be written in diagonal form as

$$\mathcal{Q} = \Lambda_1 \left( \mathbf{V}_0^2 - \vec{\mathbf{V}}^2 \right) + \Lambda_5 \left( \mathbf{A}_0^2 - \vec{\mathbf{A}}^2 \right). \quad (83)$$

We need to pay special attention also to the case  $y = 0$ , namely when there is no coupling between the vector and the axial vector of the torsion. In this case, the matrix  $\mathcal{T}$  is diagonal with

$$\mathcal{T}(y=0) = \begin{pmatrix} -x\mathbf{g} & 0 \\ 0 & z\mathbf{g} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (84)$$

and the eigenvectors of (84) correspond to the eight-dimensional unit vector. Thus, for  $y \rightarrow 0$ , the transformation matrix becomes the unit matrix:  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{1}_{8 \times 8}$ . The transformation to the diagonal matrix yields

$$\mathcal{K}^T \mathcal{T} \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D} = \text{diag}(x, -x, -x, -x, -z, z, z, z). \quad (85)$$

In this case, the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}$  reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(y \rightarrow 0) &= x \left( \mathbf{V}_0^2 - \vec{\mathbf{V}}^2 \right) - z \left( \mathbf{A}_0^2 - \vec{\mathbf{A}}^2 \right) \\ &= 4m^+ \left( \mathbf{V}_0^2 - \vec{\mathbf{V}}^2 \right) - m^- \left( \mathbf{A}_0^2 - \vec{\mathbf{A}}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

### 2. Representation of $\mathcal{K}$ in terms of angles

The matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  as a rotation matrix can be parametrized by introducing a suitable angle variable. For this purpose we use

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos \alpha = \frac{A}{\sqrt{1+A^2}}. \quad (87)$$

In terms of this parameter  $\alpha$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{K}$  can be displayed in compact form as

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} & \sin \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} \\ -\sin \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} & \cos \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (88)$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4}$  is the  $4 \times 4$  unit matrix and  $(x, y, z)$  are related to the parameter  $\alpha$  by

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{x+z-\beta}{2y} = \frac{-2y}{x+z+\beta}, \quad (89)$$

with

$$\beta := \sqrt{(x+z)^2 + 4y^2}. \quad (90)$$

Thus, the matrix product  $\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{K}$  reduces to

$$\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} & \sin \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} \\ -\sin \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} & \cos \alpha \mathbf{I}_{4 \times 4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{D}, \quad (91)$$

the condition for a similarity transformation.

### 3. A possible parameter set

We can read off from (77) the relations  $\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 < 0$  and  $\Lambda_5 \Lambda_6 < 0$ . As an example, let us consider the case  $\Lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\Lambda_5 > 0$ . With these assumptions we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 &:= \sqrt{\Lambda_1} \mathbf{V}_0, & \vec{\nu} &:= \sqrt{\Lambda_1} \vec{\mathbf{V}}, & \Lambda_1 &> 0, \\ \alpha_0 &:= \sqrt{\Lambda_5} \mathbf{A}_0, & \vec{\alpha} &:= \sqrt{\Lambda_5} \vec{\mathbf{A}}, & \Lambda_5 &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

With (92), the quadratic form  $\mathcal{Q}$  (83) assumes its ‘special relativistic’ appearance

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &= (\nu_0^2 - \vec{\nu}^2) + (\alpha_0^2 - \vec{\alpha}^2) \\ &= -g_{\mu\nu} (\nu^\mu \nu^\nu + \alpha^\mu \alpha^\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

This is the sum of the squares of two four-vectors in a suitable orthonormal reference frame and the Lorentz covariance is manifest.

Let us analyze the conditions to be imposed provided one assumes  $\Lambda_1 > 0, \Lambda_5 > 0$ . From (77) we derive the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} x - z > 0 &\iff 4m^+ - m^- > 0 \\ &\iff 3a_0 - 2(a_2 - a_3) > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} xz + y^2 < 0 &\iff m^+ m^- + (m^\times)^2 < 0 \iff \\ &\left( a_0 - \frac{a_2}{2} \right) (a_0 - 2a_3) + (b_0 + \sigma_2)^2 < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

On the other hand, assuming instead  $\Lambda_1 > 0, \Lambda_5 < 0$  leads to the condition

$$\begin{aligned} xz + y^2 > 0 &\iff m^+ m^- + (m^\times)^2 > 0 \iff \\ &\left( a_0 - \frac{a_2}{2} \right) (a_0 - 2a_3) + (b_0 + \sigma_2)^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

One could similarly find the parameter conditions associated with the other two cases.

If  $\mathbf{V}_\mu$  and  $\mathbf{A}_\mu$  are both timelike—as they will turn out to be for the cosmological model which we derive below—every set of parameters fulfilling the inequalities (94) and (95) will lead to a strictly positive kinetic energy matrix for the translational gauge potentials.

### 4. Eigenvalues of the kinetic matrix of the Lorentz gauge potential $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$

Similar as in Sec. IV C 1, we consider the quadratic form  $\mathcal{C}$  representing the curvature square terms in (65) and hence the kinetic parts of the connection. This quadratic form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &:= w_6 R^2 - w_3 X^2 + \mu_3 R X \\ &= (R, X) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w_6 & \mu_3/2 \\ \mu_3/2 & -w_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} R \\ X \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{Z} | \mathcal{B} | \mathcal{Z} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

We wish to diagonalize the *symmetric*  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrix

$$\mathcal{B} := \begin{pmatrix} w_6 & \mu_3/2 \\ \mu_3/2 & -w_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (98)$$

whose eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(w_6 - w_3 \pm \sqrt{\chi}), \quad \chi := (w_6 - w_3)^2 + \Delta, \quad (99)$$

where

$$\Delta := -4 \det \mathcal{B} = 4w_3 w_6 + \mu_3^2. \quad (100)$$

This diagonalization can be simply accomplished with a rotation matrix whose columns are orthogonal unit eigenvectors; the transformation matrix and the eigenvectors

can be parameterized by a single angle  $\gamma$  that can be determined from

$$\tan \gamma = \frac{w_6 + w_3 - \sqrt{\chi}}{\mu_3} = \frac{-\mu_3}{w_6 + w_3 + \sqrt{\chi}}. \quad (101)$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{M}^T \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & \sin \gamma \\ -\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (102)$$

Consequently, with

$$(\widehat{R}, \widehat{X}) = (R, X) \cdot \mathcal{M}, \quad (103)$$

the quadratic form  $\mathcal{C}$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \langle \mathcal{Z} | \mathcal{B} | \mathcal{Z} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{M}^T \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{M}^T \mathcal{Z} \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} | \mathcal{D}_R | \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle = \lambda_1 \widehat{R}^2 + \lambda_2 \widehat{X}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_R := \mathcal{M}^T \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}$  denotes a diagonal matrix.

The quadratic curvature terms in the Lagrangian can now be rewritten in the form

$$V_{R^2} = -\frac{1}{24\varrho} \left( \lambda_1 \widehat{R}^2 + \lambda_2 \widehat{X}^2 \right) \eta. \quad (105)$$

As an illustrative example, consider in particular the case where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are both negative. This immediately leads to the following constraints on the coupling constants:

$$w_6 - w_3 < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_3^2 + 4w_3w_6 < 0, \quad (106)$$

from which we can infer that

$$w_6 < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad w_3 > 0 \quad (107)$$

for the aforementioned case,  $\lambda_1 < 0$  and  $\lambda_2 < 0$ . Then we can rescale the variables and introduce new ones according to

$$\mathbf{R} := \frac{\widehat{R}}{\sqrt{|\lambda_1|}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{X} := \frac{\widehat{X}}{\sqrt{|\lambda_2|}} \quad (108)$$

such that the quadratic form  $\mathcal{C}$  in this particular case becomes

$$\mathcal{C} = -(\mathbf{R}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2). \quad (109)$$

For the three other cases, namely  $(\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0)$ ,  $(\lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 > 0)$ ,  $(\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0)$ , one can do an analogous rescaling.

### 5. Partly diagonalized Lagrangian

Thus, the process of diagonalization for the case  $\Lambda_1 > 0, \Lambda_5 > 0, \lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 < 0$  leads to the following diagonal pieces of the  $V_{\text{BHN}}$ -Lagrangian,

$$V_{T^2} = \frac{1}{12\kappa} \left[ (\nu_0^2 - \vec{\nu}^2) + (\alpha_0^2 - \vec{\alpha}^2) \right] \eta = \frac{1}{12\kappa} \mathcal{Q} \eta,$$

$$V_{R^2} = \frac{1}{24\varrho} (\mathbf{R}^2 + \mathbf{X}^2) \eta = -\frac{1}{24\varrho} \mathcal{C} \eta \quad (110)$$

(with analogous results for the other sign choice cases). Collecting the results received so far, we can give a new representation of the Lagrangian (65) in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{BHN}} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( a_0 \widetilde{R} - 2\lambda_0 \right) \eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left( a_0 {}^* ({}^1 T^\alpha \wedge ({}^1 T_\alpha + b_0 ({}^1 T^\alpha \wedge ({}^1 T_\alpha))) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12\kappa} \mathcal{Q} \eta - \frac{1}{24\varrho} \mathcal{C} \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

### 6. Correspondences of eigenvalues of the kinetic matrices to spin & parity

In this section we considered sufficient conditions for the coefficients of the kinetic energy matrix being positive. We now assume that the trace and the axial-vector pieces of the torsion are both propagating independently. Then we require that the four-vectors  $\nu^\mu$  and  $\alpha^\mu$  are time-like during the whole evolution. Accordingly, the propagation of independent *massive* modes is characterized by  $\nu^\mu \nu_\mu < 0$  and  $\alpha^\mu \alpha_\mu < 0$ . This is met by the requirement (92). Other choices of the signs of  $\Lambda_k$ 's will lead to space-like four-vectors. The null case will be treated separately in a continuation of this paper.

The Lagrangian (111) admits the introduction of a number of strictly positive functions, that is, functions of  $\Lambda_k$ 's, such that for each of its dynamical variables we can associate to each eigenvalue of the kinetic energy matrix the corresponding spin & parity state.

If we decompose the four-dimensional one-forms  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $(1 \oplus 3)$ , respectively, we are naturally led to their spin contents. Namely, we can introduce (massive) three-dimensional vectors  $\vec{\nu}$  and  $\vec{\alpha}$  and can associate to each of them a corresponding three-dimensional spin & parity state. This method is not sensitive to a possible occurrence of multiplicities of spin & parity states. For this purposes, we have to investigate the corresponding lower-dimensional subspaces.

In our model, with  $({}^1 T^\alpha = 0)$ , we have only propagating *scalar* and three-dimensional *vector* modes. In a heuristic manner, the diagonalization allows for the following tentative correspondences:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1(\mathbf{V}_0) &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 0^+ \\ \Lambda_1(\vec{\mathbf{V}}) &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 1^+ \\ \Lambda_5(\mathbf{A}_0) &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 0^- \\ \Lambda_5(\vec{\mathbf{A}}) &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 1^- \\ \lambda_1(\widehat{R}) &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 0^+ \\ \lambda_2(\widehat{X}) &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 0^- \\ \widetilde{R} &\circ\text{---}\bullet \quad 2^+ \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

In the case of a non-vanishing tensor torsion  $({}^1 T^\alpha \neq 0)$ , those terms deliver massive modes of spin state  $2^\pm$  that

would combine with the corresponding spin  $2^+$ -mode of the Riemannian curvature scalar  $\tilde{R}$ . Because of the complexity of these results, we will defer their presentation to follow up work.

#### D. Excitations of the gravitational field

We differentiate the Lagrangian (64) with respect to torsion and curvature. Then, with the help of (19), we find the translational excitation

$$H_\alpha = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \left( a_2 \star^{(2)} T_\alpha + a_3 \star^{(3)} T_\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \sigma_2 \left( {}^{(2)} T_\alpha + {}^{(3)} T_\alpha \right) \quad (113)$$

and the Lorentz excitation

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (a_0 \eta_{\alpha\beta} + b_0 \vartheta_{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{\varrho} \left( w_3 \star^{(3)} R_{\alpha\beta} + w_6 \star^{(6)} R_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2\varrho} \mu_3 \left( {}^{(3)} R_{\alpha\beta} + {}^{(6)} R_{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (114)$$

respectively. Alternatively, the field excitations can be given in terms of the field strengths in a more suitable

and symmetric form ( $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_\alpha \vartheta^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\alpha \vartheta^\alpha$ )

$$H_\alpha = \frac{1}{3\kappa} \left[ (a_2 \mathcal{V}_\mu - \sigma_2 \mathcal{A}_\mu) \eta^\mu{}_\alpha + (\sigma_2 \mathcal{V}_\mu + a_3 \mathcal{A}_\mu) \vartheta^\mu{}_\alpha \right], \quad (115)$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = \left( \frac{a_0}{2\kappa} - \frac{w_6}{12\varrho} R - \frac{\mu_3}{24\varrho} X \right) \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \left( \frac{b_0}{2\kappa} + \frac{w_3}{12\varrho} X - \frac{\mu_3}{24\varrho} R \right) \vartheta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (116)$$

From (115) we find in particular

$$H_\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\alpha = \frac{1}{\kappa} \star (a_2 \mathcal{V} - \sigma_2 \mathcal{A}). \quad (117)$$

#### E. Explicit form of field equations for the new Lagrangian

By substituting the excitations (113), (114), and the Lagrangian (64) into the gauge currents (23) and (24), and then the latter two, together with the excitations (113), (114), into the field equations (21) and (22), we find the explicit forms of the field equations: The first field equation reads,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{a_0}{\kappa} - \frac{w_6}{6\varrho} R - \frac{\mu_3}{12\varrho} X \right) G_\alpha + \frac{\lambda_0}{\kappa} \eta_\alpha - \left( \frac{b_0}{\kappa} + \frac{w_3}{6\varrho} X - \frac{\mu_3}{12\varrho} R \right) \star X_\alpha \\ & + \frac{1}{24\varrho} (w_3 X^2 - w_6 R^2 - \mu_3 R X) \eta_\alpha + \frac{1}{3\kappa} D \{ \star [(a_2 \mathcal{V} - \sigma_2 \mathcal{A}) \wedge \vartheta_\alpha] + (a_3 \mathcal{A} + \sigma_2 \mathcal{V}) \wedge \vartheta_\alpha \} \\ & + \frac{2a_2}{9\kappa} \left( \mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathcal{V}^\beta - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}^2 \delta_\alpha^\beta \right) \eta_\beta + \frac{2a_3}{9\kappa} \left( \mathcal{A}_\alpha \mathcal{A}^\beta - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{A}^2 \delta_\alpha^\beta \right) \eta_\beta \\ & + \frac{1}{\kappa} (e_{\alpha\lrcorner} {}^{(1)} T^\beta) \wedge \left[ a_2 \star^{(2)} T_\beta + a_3 \star^{(3)} T_\beta + \sigma_2 \left( {}^{(2)} T_\beta + {}^{(3)} T_\beta \right) \right] = \Sigma_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

and the second field equation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_0}{2\kappa} \left( 2 \star^{(1)} T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]} - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{V} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \frac{b_0}{2\kappa} \left( 2 {}^{(1)} T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]} - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{V} \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{24\varrho} (2w_3 dX - \mu_3 dR) \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{24\varrho} (2w_6 dR + \mu_3 dX) \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{24\varrho} (2w_6 R + \mu_3 X) T^\gamma \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ & + \frac{1}{12\varrho} (2w_3 X - \mu_3 R) T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]} - \frac{1}{3\kappa} [a_2 \mathcal{V}_{[\alpha} \eta_{\beta]} - \sigma_2 \mathcal{A}_{[\alpha} \eta_{\beta]} + (a_3 \mathcal{A} + \sigma_2 \mathcal{V}) \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha\beta}] = \tau_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

The source of the second field equation is the material spin angular momentum 3-form  $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ . According to its definition in (20), it is antisymmetric in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . It is related to the source of the first field equation, the canonical energy-momentum 3-form of matter  $\Sigma_\alpha$ , via the angular momentum law  $D\tau_{\alpha\beta} + \vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge \Sigma_{\beta]} = 0$ .

It may not be superfluous to look at the structures of the two field equations and at the ways we ordered them. The first line of (118) emerges from the curvature dependent pieces of the gauge energy-momentum  $E_\alpha$ . We find, symbolically written,  $\sim$  Einstein + cosmol. term + pseudoscalar curv + curv<sup>2</sup>.

If only  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $b_0 \neq 0$ , we recover the left-hand-side of (45); if only  $a_0 \neq 0$ , we have just the EC-theory. The second and third lines of (118) are of the following structure: d torsion + torsion<sup>2</sup>. From the point of view of gauge theory, ‘d torsion’ is the leading term, see  $DH_\alpha$  in (21); the remaining ‘torsion<sup>2</sup>’ pieces collect the torsion dependent parts of the gauge energy-momentum  $E_\alpha$ . Of course, also the frame  $e_\alpha$  and the coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$  feature in this equation directly or indirectly via  $\eta_\alpha = *\vartheta_\alpha$ .

We ordered the second field equation (119) in a similar way. In the first line we have the terms linear in torsion originating from the gauge spin  $E_{\alpha\beta}$ , see (24); compare also as special case the left-hand-side of (46). In the second and third line, we have ‘d curv + curv  $\times$  torsion’. The leading term is ‘d curv’, the rest arises from the differentiation process of ‘D curv’ with the help of (2). Again the coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$  enters explicitly or implicitly via  $\vartheta_{\alpha\beta} = \vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta$ ,  $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = *(\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta)$ , and  $\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = *(\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta \wedge \vartheta_\gamma)$ .

Accordingly, the two field equations are now expressed in terms of the torsion and the curvature of spacetime. In the sense of gauge theory one may now want to insert the definitions of torsion, Eq. (5), and curvature, Eq. (12) cum (11). Then we would get second order quasilinear partial differential equations (PDEs) in coframe and Lorentz-connection: ‘ $d*d\vartheta +$  lower order  $\sim$  energy-momentum’ and ‘ $d*d\Gamma +$  lower order  $\sim$  spin’. However, our experience on the search for exact solutions, in particular for cosmological models, has shown that it is to be preferred to stay with the well-behaved tensor-valued two-forms of torsion and curvature and not to switch to the proper gauge variables coframe and Lorentz-connection.

As we saw already, the Lagrangian (64) has a remarkable symmetry which we will find also on the level of the excitations (115) and (116), the field equations (118) and (119), as well as on the level of the coupling constants. Hence we can introduce the following tentative correspondences (in four dimensions) between variables and parameters, respectively,

$$\boxed{\mathcal{V} \circ \text{---} \bullet \mathcal{A}} \quad \boxed{a_2 \circ \text{---} \bullet a_3} \quad (120)$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} R \circ \text{---} \bullet X \\ G_\alpha \circ \text{---} \bullet *X_\alpha \end{array}} \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{l} w_6 \circ \text{---} \bullet w_3 \\ a_0 \circ \text{---} \bullet b_0 \end{array}} \quad (121)$$

$$\boxed{\sigma_2 \circ \text{---} \bullet \mu_3} \quad (122)$$

## F. A consequence of a topological term

There is a subtlety present in the Lagrangian (64) and the corresponding field equations (118) and (119). Because of the Nieh-Yan identity [49]—see also [15], Eqs.(3.9.7) and (B.4.15)—we have

$$d(\vartheta^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha) \equiv T^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha + R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^{\alpha\beta} = T^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha - *X. \quad (123)$$

The torsion square term can be expressed in its irreducible components according to

$$\begin{aligned} T^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha &= {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(1)}T_\alpha + 2 {}^{(2)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(3)}T_\alpha \\ &= {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(1)}T_\alpha - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{V}. \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

We substitute (124) into the right-hand-side of the Nieh-Yan identity (123) and find

$$d(\vartheta^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha) = {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(1)}T_\alpha - \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{V} - *X. \quad (125)$$

For the sake of a neater argument let us first extend our parity mixed PG Lagrangian (64) by including also the  $\sigma_1$ -term from (55):

$$\hat{V} = V_{\text{BHN}} + \hat{V} = V_{\text{BHN}} + \frac{\sigma_1}{\kappa} {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(1)}T_\alpha. \quad (126)$$

If we substitute (64) into (126), then we recognize that we can recover from  $\kappa \hat{V}$  the right-hand-side of the Nieh-Yan identity (125) for the specific coupling constants

$$b_0 = -2, \quad \sigma_1 = 1, \quad \sigma_2 = 2, \quad (127)$$

all other constants, apart from  $\kappa$  and  $\varrho$ , vanish. Since the left-hand-side of (125) is an exact form, the choice (127) corresponds to a ‘null Lagrangian’ with vanishing field equations.

By the same token, we can add a multiple (say  $\epsilon/\kappa$ ) of the exact ‘topological’ form  $d(\vartheta^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha)$  to  $\hat{V}$ . After some simple algebra, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} + \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} d(\vartheta^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\kappa} (\sigma_1 + \epsilon) {}^{(1)}T^\alpha \wedge {}^{(1)}T_\alpha \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\kappa} [a_0 *R + (b_0 - 2\epsilon) *X - 2\lambda_0 \eta] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6\kappa} [a_2 \mathcal{V} \wedge * \mathcal{V} - a_3 \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{A} - 2(\sigma_2 + 2\epsilon) \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{V}] \\ &- \frac{1}{24\varrho} (w_6 R * R - w_3 X * X + \mu_3 R * X). \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

This is equivalent to certain changes in the parameters of our action (126), specifically

$$\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_1 + \epsilon, \quad b_0 \rightarrow b_0 - 2\epsilon, \quad \sigma_2 \rightarrow \sigma_2 + 2\epsilon. \quad (129)$$

From this we can infer that the field equations cannot depend on the parameters  $\sigma_1$ ,  $b_0$ ,  $\sigma_2$  by themselves, but rather must depend on these parameters only

through certain combinations which are *invariant* under this transformation, such as

$$b_0 + 2\sigma_1, \quad 2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2, \quad b_0 + \sigma_2. \quad (130)$$

The two field equations of the Lagrangian  $\hat{V}$  are found via  $\overset{\sigma_1}{H}_\alpha = -2\sigma_1 {}^{(1)}T_\alpha / \kappa$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.h.s. of (118)} - \frac{2\sigma_1}{\kappa} \left[ D {}^{(1)}T_\alpha \right. \\ \left. + (e_{\alpha\perp} {}^{(1)}T^\beta) \wedge ({}^{(2)}T^\beta + {}^{(3)}T_\beta) \right] = \Sigma_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

$$\text{l.h.s. of (119)} - \frac{2\sigma_1}{\kappa} \vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge {}^{(1)}T_{\beta]} = \tau_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (132)$$

Specifically, using the first Bianchi identity,

$${}^*X_\alpha = R_{\beta\alpha} \wedge \vartheta^\beta \equiv DT_\alpha = D({}^{(1)}T_\alpha + {}^{(2)}T_\alpha + {}^{(3)}T_\alpha), \quad (133)$$

and the expressions (7) and (8) for the irreducible pieces, one can find that the  $b_0$ ,  $\sigma_1$ , and  $\sigma_2$  terms on the left-hand-side of the first field equation (131) add up to

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\kappa} D \left[ (b_0 + 2\sigma_1) {}^{(1)}T_\alpha + (b_0 + \sigma_2) ({}^{(2)}T_\alpha + {}^{(3)}T_\alpha) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{\kappa} (2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) (e_{\alpha\perp} {}^{(1)}T^\beta) \wedge ({}^{(2)}T_\beta + {}^{(3)}T_\beta); \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

similarly, for the left-hand-side of the second field equation (132) we have

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} (b_0 + 2\sigma_1) {}^{(1)}T_{[\alpha} \wedge \vartheta_{\beta]} - \frac{1}{6\kappa} (b_0 + \sigma_2) (2\mathcal{V} \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (135)$$

There are several points worth noting: (i) As expected, the parameters occur only in the invariant combinations (130). (ii) All the  $\sigma_1$  terms are proportional to  ${}^{(1)}T^\mu$ . (iii) For solutions such that  ${}^{(1)}T^\mu$  vanishes, the equations contain the parameters only in the combination  $b_0 + \sigma_2$ .

Having obtained these insights, it is no longer necessary to keep the rather complicated  $\sigma_1$  term. Exploiting the freedom to choose a suitable  $\epsilon$  in (129), namely  $\epsilon = -\sigma_1$ , we can, without loss of generality, drop the  $\sigma_1$ -term altogether and return to our model Lagrangian (64) with the two field equations (118) and (119).

## V. FRIEDMAN COSMOLOGIES WITH PROPAGATING MODES OF THE LORENTZ-CONNECTION

Since the early 1970s cosmological models for EC and PG have been developed, see Kopczyński [87], Trautman [88], Tafel [89], Kuchowicz [90, 91], Kerlick [92], and others [93, 94], to name a few. Minkevich et al. [95] developed the subject in a series of papers. A report on the status of the subject was given by Puetzfeld [96].

For our new Lagrangian we follow these procedures and search for FLRW type of cosmological models.

### A. Homogeneous and isotropic coframe and torsion

Assuming a homogeneous and isotropic scenario, the *orthonormal coframe* for a Friedman cosmos is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vartheta^0 &= dt \\ \vartheta^1 &= \frac{a(t)dr}{\sqrt{1-kr^2}} \\ \vartheta^2 &= a(t)r d\theta \\ \vartheta^3 &= a(t)r \sin\theta d\phi \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (136)$$

with the metric

$$\begin{aligned} g &= -\vartheta^0 \otimes \vartheta^0 + \sum_{a=1}^3 \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^a \\ &= -dt^2 + \frac{a^2(t)}{1-kr^2} dr^2 + a^2(t)r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

where  $a(t)$  is the expansion factor and  $k$  the curvature index.

Now we can compute, up to antisymmetry, the non-vanishing components of the Riemannian connection ( $a, b, c, \dots = 1, 2, 3$  are spatial anholonomic (frame) indices):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_a^0 &= \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \vartheta^a \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_2^1 &= -\frac{\sqrt{1-kr^2}}{a(t)r} \vartheta^2 \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_3^1 &= -\frac{\sqrt{1-kr^2}}{a(t)r} \vartheta^3 \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_3^2 &= -\frac{\cot\theta}{a(t)r} \vartheta^3 \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (138)$$

Like in Einstein's theory, the temporal rate of change of the expansion factor  $a(t)$  determines the Hubble function

$$H(t) := a'(t)/a(t). \quad (139)$$

By differentiation and elimination of  $a'(t)$ , we find

$$H'(t) + H^2(t) = a''(t)/a(t). \quad (140)$$

This determines the Riemannian sector of spacetime.

The most general torsion compatible with homogeneity and isotropy can be characterized by two independent functions  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$ , see Goenner & Müller-Hoissen [83] and Baekler [84]. We will choose for the torsion the parametrization

$$\left. \begin{aligned} T^0 &= 0 \\ T^1 &= u(t)\vartheta^{01} + v(t)\vartheta^{23} \\ T^2 &= u(t)\vartheta^{02} + v(t)\vartheta^{31} \\ T^3 &= u(t)\vartheta^{03} + v(t)\vartheta^{12} \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (141)$$

The irreducible decomposition of  $T^\alpha$  implies a vanishing tensor piece, whereas the vector and axial vector pieces survive:

$${}^{(1)}T^\alpha = 0, \quad {}^{(2)}T^\alpha = u \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vartheta^{01} \\ \vartheta^{02} \\ \vartheta^{03} \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^{(3)}T^\alpha = v \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vartheta^{23} \\ \vartheta^{31} \\ \vartheta^{12} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (142)$$

This yields for the corresponding 1-forms in (7) and (8)

$$\mathcal{V} = -3u(t)\vartheta^0, \quad \mathcal{A} = -3v(t)\vartheta^0. \quad (143)$$

Incidentally, the purely spatial part of the torsion  ${}^{(3)}T^\alpha$  corresponds to Cartan's spiral staircase [85, 86] with a time dependent pitch of the spiral. As such, one can easily visualize it, see [86].

By simple algebra, we can calculate the contortion  $K_{\alpha\beta}$  in terms of the torsion, see [15],

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = e_{[\alpha} \lrcorner T_{\beta]} - \frac{1}{2} (e_{\alpha} \lrcorner e_{\beta} \lrcorner T_{\gamma}) \vartheta^\gamma. \quad (144)$$

We find then the Riemann-Cartan connection according to  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta} - K_{\alpha\beta}$  or, explicitly,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Gamma_a^0 &= [H(t) - u(t)] \vartheta^a \\ \Gamma_a^b &= \tilde{\Gamma}_a^b + \frac{1}{2} v(t) \epsilon_{ca}{}^b \vartheta^c \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (145)$$

## B. Irreducible pieces of the curvature

Having now coframe, connection, and torsion at our disposal, we can immediately calculate the different pieces of the curvature 2-form. We introduce the Hubble function  $H(t)$  (139) and find straightforwardly

$${}^{(1)}R_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (146)$$

$${}^{(2)}R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} \{ v(t) [H(t) - 2u(t)] - v'(t) \} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vartheta^{23} & -\vartheta^{13} & \vartheta^{12} \\ \diamond & 0 & -\vartheta^{03} & \vartheta^{02} \\ \diamond & \diamond & 0 & -\vartheta^{01} \\ \diamond & \diamond & \diamond & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (147)$$

$${}^{(3)}R_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{12} X(t) \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (148)$$

$${}^{(4)}R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} [H'(t) - u'(t) + H(t)u(t) - u^2(t) + \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) - \frac{k}{a^2(t)}] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vartheta^{01} & \vartheta^{02} & \vartheta^{03} \\ \diamond & 0 & \vartheta^{12} & \vartheta^{13} \\ \diamond & \diamond & 0 & \vartheta^{23} \\ \diamond & \diamond & \diamond & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (149)$$

$${}^{(5)}R_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (150)$$

$${}^{(6)}R_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{12} R(t) \vartheta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (151)$$

with the (pseudo)-scalar functions

$$X(t) = -3 \{ v'(t) + v(t) [3H(t) - 2u(t)] \},$$

$$R(t) = 6 \{ [H'(t) - u'(t)] + H(t)[H(t) - u(t)] + [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \}. \quad (152)$$

The matrices in (147) and (149) are antisymmetric, respectively. Therefore, we indicated those matrix elements with a diamond symbol that are, because of this antisymmetry, redundant.

Since we chose as our variables curvature and torsion, the relations between curvature and torsion provided by the nonvanishing irreducible pieces and, in particular, by (152) and (153), are vital information in our search for exact solutions.

## C. A spinless perfect fluid as model of matter

The sources of the two field equations are the energy-momentum current  $\Sigma_\alpha$  and the spin current  $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ . These three-forms we represent as tensor-valued zero-forms according to

$$\Sigma_\alpha = \mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\mu \eta_\mu, \quad \tau_{\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha\beta}{}^\mu \eta_\mu; \quad (154)$$

the reciprocal relations read

$$\mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\beta = * (\Sigma_\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\beta), \quad S_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma = * (\tau_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^\gamma). \quad (155)$$

In the following we will only consider matter models with vanishing spin,  $\tau_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . This simplifying assumption, which may be justified for spherical symmetry, certainly has to be dropped in a more advanced stage of our model building.

Due to the Friedman (or FLRW) symmetry of our cosmological model, the energy-momentum tensor must have the spinless perfect fluid form

$$\mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\beta = [\rho(t) + p(t)] U_\alpha U^\beta + p(t) \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad (156)$$

where  $\rho = \rho(t)$  is the energy density,  $p = p(t)$  the pressure, and  $U_\alpha$  the four-velocity of the fluid, with the normalization  $U^\alpha U_\alpha = -1$ . Because of the symmetry requirements, we only have the dependencies  $\rho(t)$  and  $p(t)$ . In a comoving reference system, we have  $U^\alpha = \delta_0^\alpha$ ,  $U^0 = 1$ , and

$$\mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\beta \stackrel{*}{=} \text{diag} (-\rho(t), p(t), p(t), p(t)). \quad (157)$$

From (156) we deduce for the trace

$$\mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\alpha = -\rho(t) + 3p(t) \quad (158)$$

and for the trace-free part

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\alpha{}^\beta &= [\rho(t) + p(t)] \left( U_\alpha U^\beta + \frac{1}{4} \delta_\alpha^\beta \right) \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \frac{1}{4} [\rho(t) + p(t)] \text{diag} (-3, 1, 1, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

## D. Differential equations for torsion and curvature

### 1. First field equation

According to the FLRW-symmetry requirements, the first field equation (118) as a vector-valued 3-form, has only two (algebraically) independent components and in the same manner the second field equation (119) as an antisymmetric tensor-valued 3-form also has only two independent components.

We substitute into the first field equation (118) the coframe (136), the torsion (141), and the expansion factor via (139) and (140), but leave  $R(t)$  and  $X(t)$  as they are. Then we find as independent non-vanishing equations only the components  $\rho(t) = e_{1\lrcorner}[e_{2\lrcorner}(e_{3\lrcorner}\Sigma_0)]$  and  $p(t) = e_{0\lrcorner}[e_{2\lrcorner}(e_{3\lrcorner}\Sigma_1)]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa\rho(t) &= \frac{1}{2}[a_0R(t) + b_0X(t)] + 3a_0\{[u(t) - H(t)]' + H(t)[u(t) - H(t)]\} + \frac{3}{2}b_0[v'(t) + H(t)v(t)] \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}\{a_2u(t)[u(t) - 2H(t)] - a_3v^2(t)\} + 3\sigma_2v(t)[u(t) - H(t)] \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{4\varrho}[2w_6R(t) + \mu_3X(t)]\{[u(t) - H(t)]' + H(t)[u(t) - H(t)]\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{8\varrho}[\mu_3R(t) - 2w_3X(t)][v'(t) + H(t)v(t)] \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{24\varrho}[w_6R^2(t) + \mu_3R(t)X(t) - w_3X^2(t)] - \lambda_0 \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa p(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}[a_0R(t) + b_0X(t)] + a_0\left[H'(t) + 3H^2(t) + \frac{2k}{a^2(t)}\right] - (a_0 + a_2)u'(t) + \frac{1}{2}(2\sigma_2 - b_0)v'(t) \\ &\quad - (5a_0 + 2a_2)H(t)u(t) - \frac{1}{2}(5b_0 - 4\sigma_2)H(t)v(t) + \frac{1}{2}(4a_0 + a_2)u^2(t) + (2b_0 - \sigma_2)u(t)v(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(a_0 + a_3)v^2(t) + \lambda_0 \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{12\varrho}[2w_6R(t) + \mu_3X(t)]\left[H'(t) + 3H^2(t) + \frac{2k}{a^2(t)} - u'(t) - 5H(t)u(t) + 2u^2(t) - \frac{1}{2}v^2(t)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{24\varrho}[\mu_3R(t) - 2w_3X(t)][v'(t) + 5H(t)v(t) - 4u(t)v(t)] \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{24\varrho}[w_6R^2(t) + \mu_3R(t)X(t) - w_3X^2(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (161)$$

A further relation between the fluid density  $\rho(t)$  and the pressure  $p(t)$  can be gained by taking the trace  $\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \Sigma_\alpha$  of the first field equation or, equivalently, by computing  $\rho(t) - 3p(t)$  from (160) and (161). However, in order to find a compact expression, we resolve (152) with respect to  $v'(t)$  and (153) with respect to  $H'(t)$ . If we substitute these expressions, we find<sup>6</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa[\rho(t) - 3p(t)] &= a_0R(t) + (b_0 + \sigma_2)X(t) + 3a_2[u'(t) - u^2(t) + 3H(t)u(t)] + 3a_3v^2(t) - 4\lambda_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2a_0 - a_2)R(t) + (b_0 + \sigma_2)X(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4}(4a_3 - a_2)v^2(t) + 3a_2\left(H'(t) + 2H^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)}\right) - 4\lambda_0. \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$

Let us now get back to the first field equation (160) with (161). One strategy is to eliminate the time derivative  $H'(t)$  of the Hubble function by a suitable linear combination of (160) and (161). Accordingly, we put the linear

<sup>6</sup> That on the right-hand-side only a derivative with respect to the torsion can appear can be seen from the general structure of this expression:  $\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \Sigma_\alpha = (\rho - 3p)\eta = -d(\vartheta^\alpha \wedge H_\alpha) - 4V - T^\alpha \wedge H_\alpha - 2R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge H^\alpha{}_\beta$ .

combination  $\{e_{1\lrcorner}[e_{2\lrcorner}(e_{3\lrcorner}\Sigma_0)] + 3e_{0\lrcorner}[e_{2\lrcorner}(e_{3\lrcorner}\Sigma_1)] - [\rho(t) + 3p(t)]\}$  to zero and, isolating the derivatives of the torsion functions, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
-3[a_2 u'(t) - \sigma_2 v'(t)] &= \kappa[\rho(t) + 3p(t)] + 3H(t)[a_2 u(t) - \sigma_2 v(t)] + a_0 R(t) + b_0 X(t) \\
&+ 6b_0 v(t)[H(t) - u(t)] + \frac{\kappa}{2\varrho}[2w_3 X(t) - \mu_3 R(t)]v(t)[H(t) - u(t)] \\
&- 6a_0 \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{2\varrho}[\mu_3 X(t) + 2w_6 R(t)] \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} \\
&- 2\lambda_0 - \frac{\kappa}{12\varrho}[w_6 R^2(t) + \mu_3 R(t)X(t) - w_3 X^2(t)]. \tag{163}
\end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, the last relation (163) can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{3}{a(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \{a(t)[a_2 u(t) - \sigma_2 v(t)]\} &= \kappa[\rho(t) + 3p(t)] + a_0 R(t) + b_0 X(t) + 6b_0 v(t)[H(t) - u(t)] \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{2\varrho}(2w_3 X(t) - \mu_3 R(t))v(t)[H(t) - u(t)] - 6a_0 \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{2\varrho}[\mu_3 X(t) + 2w_6 R(t)] \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} - 2\lambda_0 \\
&- \frac{\kappa}{12\varrho}[w_6 R^2(t) + \mu_3 R(t)X(t) - w_3 X^2(t)]. \tag{164}
\end{aligned}$$

This equation suggests to impose the interrelationship

$$u(t) = \sigma v(t), \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma := \frac{\sigma_2}{a_2}, \tag{165}$$

between the two torsion pieces as a simple special case; a further possible choice could be

$$u(t) - \sigma v(t) = \frac{c}{a(t)}, \quad c = \text{constant} \neq 0. \tag{166}$$

Then the left-hand-sides of (163) and (164) vanish and we find a purely algebraic equation in the variables

$\{v(t) \text{ (or } u(t)), R(t), X(t), H(t), \rho(t), p(t)\}$ . We will defer the study of these two alternatives to future work.

We can also manipulate the first field equation (160) with (161) in a different way in order to arrive at algebraic relations. We can resolve (153) and (152) with respect to  $u'(t)$  and  $v'(t)$  and substitute these expressions into (160) and (161), respectively.

After eliminating the derivatives of the torsion we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa\rho(t) &= -3m^+ u(t)[2H(t) - u(t)] - \frac{3}{4}m^- v^2(t) + 3a_0 \left( H^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right) \\
&- 3m^\times [H(t) - u(t)]v(t) - \frac{\kappa}{4\varrho}[\mu_3 X(t) + 2w_6 R(t)] \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{4\varrho}[\mu_3 R(t) - 2w_3 X(t)]v(t)[H(t) - u(t)] \\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{24\varrho}[w_6 R^2(t) - w_3 X^2(t) + \mu_3 R(t)X(t)] - \lambda_0 \tag{167}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa p(t) &= -\frac{1}{3}m^+ R(t) - \frac{1}{3}m^\times X(t) + 2(m^+ - a_0)H'(t) + m^\times v(t)[u(t) - H(t)] \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}m^- v^2(t) + a_0 \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{2}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2(m^+ - a_0) \left\{ H(t) [2H(t) - u(t)] + \frac{1}{2}u^2(t) - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} \\
& + \frac{\kappa}{12\varrho} [\mu_3 R(t) - 2w_3 X(t)] v(t) [H(t) - u(t)] \\
& - \frac{\kappa}{12\varrho} [\mu_3 X(t) + 2w_6 R(t)] \left\{ [H(t) - u(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2(t) + \frac{k}{a^2(t)} \right\} \\
& + \frac{\kappa}{72\varrho} [w_6 R^2(t) - w_3 X^2(t) + \mu_3 R(t)X(t)] + \lambda_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{168}$$

Inspecting the equations there are the following dependencies,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(t) &= \rho[a(t), H(t), u(t), v(t), R(t), X(t)], \\
p(t) &= p[a(t), H(t), H'(t), u(t), v(t), R(t), X(t)],
\end{aligned} \tag{169}$$

that is, the density depends only algebraically on the variables whereas the pressure, besides non linear terms, contains only the derivative of  $H(t)$ . Thus, also this general case belongs to the class of *descriptor systems*, that is, to the differential-algebraic systems.

## 2. Second field equation

Similarly, also for the second field equation (119), we find only two independent components. Both vanish by the assumption of vanishing matter spin  $\tau_{\alpha\beta}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa \{2w_6 R'(t) + \mu_3 X'(t) + [\mu_3 v(t) + 4w_6 u(t)] R(t) + 2[\mu_3 u(t) - w_3 v(t)] X(t)\} \\
- 12\varrho [2m^+ u(t) + m^\times v(t)] = 24\kappa\varrho e_0 \lrcorner [e_2 \lrcorner (e_3 \lrcorner \tau_{01})] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa \{\mu_3 R'(t) - 2w_3 X'(t) + 2[\mu_3 u(t) - w_6 v(t)] R(t) - [4w_3 u(t) + \mu_3 v(t)] X(t)\} \\
- 12\varrho [2m^\times u(t) - m^- v(t)] = 24\kappa\varrho e_0 \lrcorner [e_2 \lrcorner (e_3 \lrcorner \tau_{23})] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

These are two ordinary linear differential equations (ODEs) of first order in the curvature components  $R(t)$  and  $X(t)$ . By suitable linear combinations, we can uncouple the first derivatives of these equations. We add  $2w_3 \times$  Eq. (170) to  $\mu_3 \times$  Eq. (171) and find, provided  $\Delta = \mu_3^2 + 4w_3 w_6 \neq 0$ , see (100),

$$\begin{aligned}
R'(t) + 2 \left( u(t) + \mu_3 \frac{w_3 - w_6}{\Delta} v(t) \right) R(t) - \frac{\mu_3^2 + 4w_3^2}{\Delta} v(t) X(t) \\
- \frac{12\varrho/\kappa}{\Delta} [2(2m^+ w_3 + m^\times \mu_3) u(t) + (-m^- \mu_3 + 2m^\times w_3) v(t)] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

Similarly, we add  $\mu_3 \times$  Eq. (170) to  $-2w_6 \times$  Eq. (171) and find, provided  $\mu_3^2 + 4w_3 w_6 \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
X'(t) + 2 \left( u(t) - \mu_3 \frac{w_3 - w_6}{\Delta} v(t) \right) X(t) + \frac{\mu_3^2 + 4w_6^2}{\Delta} v(t) R(t) \\
- \frac{12\varrho/\kappa}{\Delta} [(2m^+ \mu_3 - 4m^\times w_6) u(t) + (2m^- w_6 + m^\times \mu_3) v(t)] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{173}$$

Let us introduce, by also using (66), the following abbreviations

$$\omega_0^2 := \frac{4\varrho}{\kappa} \frac{2m^- w_6 + m^\times \mu_3}{\Delta}, \quad \omega_1^2 := \frac{4\varrho}{\kappa} \frac{2m^+ w_3 + m^\times \mu_3}{\Delta}, \tag{174}$$

$$\omega_2^2 := \frac{4\varrho}{\kappa} \frac{m^- \mu_3 - 2m^\times w_3}{\Delta}, \quad \omega_3^2 := \frac{4\varrho}{\kappa} \frac{2m^+ \mu_3 - 4m^\times w_6}{\Delta}. \tag{175}$$

The constants  $\omega_0^2$  and  $\omega_1^2$  are of even parity, whereas  $\omega_2^2$  and  $\omega_3^2$  are of odd parity. This allows us to give the components of the second field equation (172) and (173) a more compact and transparent form,

$$R'(t) = 6\omega_1^2 u(t) - 3\omega_2^2 v(t) - 2u(t)R(t) + \frac{v(t)}{\Delta} [(\mu_3^2 + 4w_3^2) X(t) - 2\mu_3(w_3 - w_6)R(t)], \tag{176}$$

$$X'(t) = 3\omega_3^2 u(t) + 3\omega_0^2 v(t) - 2u(t)X(t) - \frac{v(t)}{\Delta} [(\mu_3^2 + 4w_6^2)R(t) - 2\mu_3(w_3 - w_6)X(t)]. \quad (177)$$

The choice of signs in (174) and (175) will be motivated in the next subsection, for the moment they are just a short-hand notation for certain non linear combinations of the fundamental coupling constants of the theory.

It may be a bit more transparent, to put (176) and (177) into a matrix form and to reinsert  $\Delta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} R(t) \\ X(t) \end{pmatrix} &= 3 \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_1^2 & -\omega_2^2 \\ \omega_3^2 & \omega_0^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} - 2 \left[ u(t) + \mu_3 \frac{w_3 - w_6}{\mu_3^2 + 4w_3w_6} v(t) \right] \begin{pmatrix} R(t) \\ X(t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \frac{v(t)}{\mu_3^2 + 4w_3w_6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_3^2 + 4w_3^2 \\ \mu_3^2 + 4w_6^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} R(t) \\ X(t) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

We have eight variables but only seven relations between them. However, we still have to choose an appropriate *equation of state*  $p = p(\rho)$ . In cosmological models for late times,  $p(t) \approx 0$  is a widespread assumption.

### E. Rearranging the field equations into first order form

For certain purposes (in particular numerical evolution) it is more convenient to replace the 3 dynamical second order equations for the gauge potentials by 6 first order equations for the observable quantities  $\{a, H, u, v, R, X\}$ . From (139,152,162) one can obtain the first order set

$$a'(t) = H(t)a(t), \quad (179)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H'(t) &= -2H^2(t) - \frac{k}{a^2(t)} + \frac{1}{3a_2} \left\{ \kappa [\rho(t) - 3p(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4\lambda_0 - \left( a_0 - \frac{a_2}{2} \right) R(t) - (b_0 + \sigma_2)X(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{4}(a_2 - 4a_3)v^2(t) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= u^2(t) - 3H(t)u(t) + \frac{1}{3a_2} \left\{ \kappa [\rho(t) - 3p(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4\lambda_0 - a_0R(t) - (b_0 + \sigma_2)X(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3a_3v^2(t) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (181)$$

$$v'(t) = -\frac{1}{3}X(t) - v(t)[3H(t) - 2u(t)], \quad (182)$$

along with Eqs. (176, 177) for  $R'(t), X'(t)$ . In addition to the dynamical geometric variables, these equations also include the material energy density and the pressure,  $\rho(t), p(t)$ . The material energy density  $\rho(t)$  is related to the dynamical variables by (167)—a relation which could be used to eliminate it from the system. The energy density and pressure are necessarily related by

$$\rho'(t) = -3H(t)[\rho(t) + p(t)]. \quad (183)$$

This relation follows, on the one hand from the basic Noether symmetry conservation law applied to the source, and, on the other hand can be derived directly

from (167) using the system of 6 first order equations (176, 177, 179–182).

The above system needs to be supplemented by an appropriate equation specifying a relation for  $p(t)$  in terms of suitable dynamical variables. In GR such a relation is often taken in the form of a fluid equation of state  $p = p(\rho)$ . One could also use such an assumption for our PG model or, more generally, one could consider any specific relation of the form

$$p = p(a, H, u, v, R, X) \quad (184)$$

to reduce the dynamical equations of the model to a closed system of 6 nonlinear coupled first order ordinary differential equations (1st order ODEs) describing the dynamics of 3 geometric degrees of freedom. Alternatively, if one had a relation of the form

$$p'(t) = f[p(t), \rho(t), u(t), v(t), \dots], \quad (185)$$

this would be sufficient for integrating the system (176, 177, 179–183, 185).

To investigate more general models in the presence of torsion, one cannot just prescribe a simple equation of state. One could consider some explicit source fields and their dynamics; these sources would determine  $\rho(t), p(t)$ . Also one could relax the assumption of vanishing source spin density. In follow up work some systems will be presented which might be more stable numerically.

The prescription of an (algebraic) equation of state reduces the phase-space to one of 6 dimensions. Systems of ODEs with algebraic constraints are usually called *differential algebraic equations* (DAEs) or also descriptor systems<sup>7</sup>. For the numerical evaluation we would have a DAE of the form  $6 \oplus 1$ , that is, 6 ODEs of first order and one algebraic equation which makes the whole system of equations determinate.

Numerical simulations of those systems, including the case  $u(t) \sim v(t)$ , will be discussed in detail in a continuation of this paper. For the subcase of the Shie-Nester-Yo

<sup>7</sup> See the link <http://www4.ncsu.edu/eos/users/s/slc/www/RESDESCRIPT/resdescript.html>: “Usually the term DAE refers to systems of ordinary differential equations  $F(x', x, t) = 0$  with the Jacobian of F with respect to  $x'$  being singular.”

Lagrangian (53), such computations have been already done by Li, Sun, and Xi [72–74].

### F. Acceptable choices of signs

Concerning the acceptable choices of signs for the parameters: at the Lagrangian level of analysis we know of only one necessary requirement, namely, in order to satisfy the *principle of least action* it is absolutely necessary to take kinetic energy terms—here meaning specifically the quadratic-in-time-derivative terms—to have a positive coefficient.

For our model this is sufficient to fix the signs for the quadratic-in-curvature terms, since it turns out that the scalar curvature and pseudoscalar curvatures are each linear in the time derivatives of certain connection coefficients. Hence physically in Eqs. (105) one must take only the case  $\lambda_1 < 0, \lambda_2 < 0$ .

Regarding the quadratic torsion terms, the situation is not so simple. For our cosmological model at least, from (139), (143), and (145), it can be seen that only  $t_0$  contains a time derivative of a gauge potential (specifically,  $R'$ ). Thus, by the least-action requirement on this quadratic-in-time-derivative kinetic term one must take, from (64), the coefficient  $a_2 < 0$ . Consequently, since by convention  $a_0 > 0$ , one should require  $m^+ > 0$ .

Beyond these considerations, one can ascertain which constraints are physically appropriate for the parameters only from a detailed analysis of the equations of motion. This is left to future work.

## VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this work we introduce systematically the notion of *even and odd parity terms* for the construction of gravitational field Lagrangians in the context of the Poincaré gauge field theory (PG). Exploiting the theory of *algebraic* invariants, a Lagrangian results that is at most quadratic in the field strengths torsion and curvature. Here, we rigorously include interaction terms of even and odd parity form, like those of (vector torsion  $\times$  axial vector torsion), that is,  $\mathcal{V} \wedge \star \mathcal{A}$ , and (scalar curvature  $\times$  pseudoscalar curvature), that is,  $R \wedge \star X$ . To obtain some insight into the dynamics of those ‘shadows’ in a physically realistic model, we constrained ourselves to a model containing only scalar and vector parts and their corresponding axial versions. The  $V_{\text{BHN}}$  Lagrangian (64) can be viewed as a generalization of the recently presented  $V_{\text{SNY}}$  Lagrangian (54) of Chen et al. [13]. In light of the difficulties caused by non-linearities [37–40], our model (aside from adding a couple of unimportant terms quadratic in the non-dynamic  $(^1)T^\alpha$  torsion components) may well be the most general PG model that can be expected to have a dynamical connection with well behaved dynamics.

From a theoretical point of view, the inclusion of additional interaction terms of *odd* parity character could explain some empirical facts we are faced with in cosmology. Besides the usual handling of even parity functionals of the field variables, we treat those of odd parity character on the same footing. This may open the discussion to explain the empirical imbalance of matter and *antimatter* on a cosmological scale and other related questions that are still open.

Empirically, the inclusion of additional parameters beyond those of the model (54) will enhance the capacity to account for the accelerated universe observations in terms of dynamical geometry—dark energy could be a PG dynamical connection. It is noteworthy that with the new pseudoscalar cross coupling parameters the acceleration of the universe can be more directly influenced by the  $0^-$  mode, see (180), which is known to also couple to fermion spin.

In this work, we present for the first time (as far as we know) the notion of the *diagonalization of a Lagrangian*. This identifies certain special parameter combinations of the primary coupling constants that are expected to play important roles in future studies of the dynamics of our model, and leads to the recognition of certain conditions on the set of primary coupling constants  $\{a_2, a_3, w_3, w_6, \mu_3\}$  such that the (diagonalized) kinetic energy matrix  $\mathcal{T}$  has strictly positive entries. A working hypothesis is that these conditions are needed to have a well-defined propagation of massive modes.

According to the diagonalization, the irreducible pieces  $(^2)T^\alpha$  and  $(^3)T^\alpha$  can be associated with the two four-vectors  $\nu^\mu$  and  $\alpha^\mu$  of even and odd parity character. For these vectors to have proper evolution in time, it is expected that certain signature properties (conjectured to be positive) for the corresponding eigenvalues of the kinetic energy matrix are necessary. The irreducible curvature pieces  $(^3)R^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $(^6)R^{\alpha\beta}$  are essentially a scalar  $R$  and a pseudoscalar  $X$ , respectively. The proper choice of parameters is such that the associated kinetic matrix has positive eigenvalues.

In our model, we noted that in the general PG *weak gravity* sector, mediated by the coframe  $\vartheta^\alpha$ , the associated field strength, the torsion, could carry modes of spin 2, of spin 1, and of spin 0 (each with even and odd parity). Restricting to the even parity terms, this is similar to Bekenstein’s TeVeS (tensor-vector-scalar) theory [97]. However, in our case the different modes are carried by the torsion alone. There is no need for any other scalar or vector fields.

For *strong gravity*, mediated by the Lorentz connection  $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ , in our model we found spin 0 of both parities. This restriction to zero spin modes is due to our simple Lagrangian (64) in which only the scalar and pseudo-scalar pieces of the curvature were allowed. Straightforward generalizations are possible. In metric-affine gravity (MAG), even the inclusion of spin 3 modes, see [17], is possible—and all of this on the basis of a Riemann-Cartan or metric-affine geometry of spacetime,

respectively.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank J. D. Bjorken (SLAC), Milutin Blagojević (Belgrade), Eckehard Mielke (Mexico City), and Yuri Obukhov (London) for numerous helpful remarks. Advice on our DAEs by Fritz Schwarz (St. Augustin) and Werner M. Seiler (Kassel) is grate-

fully acknowledged. One of the authors (FWH) is deeply grateful to the Center for Mathematics and Theoretical Physics of the National Central University for the hospitality extended to him in Chungli where this paper was initiated. JMN was supported by the National Science Council of the R.O.C. under the grants NSC-98-2112-M-008-00, NSC-99-2112-M-008-004, and also in part by the Taiwan National Center of Theoretical Sciences (NCTS). P. Baekler was partly supported by the Department of Media, FH-Düsseldorf.

- 
- [1] D. W. Sciama, *On the analogy between charge and spin in general relativity*, in: *Recent Developments in General Relativity*, Festschrift for Infeld (Pergamon Press, Oxford; PWN, Warsaw, 1962) pp. 415–439.
- [2] T. W. B. Kibble, Lorentz invariance and the gravitational field, *J. Math. Phys.* **2**, 212–221 (1961).
- [3] F. W. Hehl: *Four lectures on Poincaré gauge theory*, in: Proc. of the 6th Course of the School of Cosmology and Gravitation on *Spin, Torsion, Rotation, and Supergravity*, held at Erice, Italy, May 1979, P.G. Bergmann, V. de Sabbata, eds. (Plenum, New York 1980) pp. 5–61 [see <http://www.thp.uni-koeln.de/gravitation/mitarbeiter/Erice1979.pdf>].
- [4] K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Gravity from Poincaré gauge theory of the fundamental particles. 1. Linear and quadratic Lagrangians*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64**, 866 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. **65**, 2079 (1981)].  
K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Gravity from Poincaré gauge theory of the fundamental particles. 2. Equations of motion for test bodies and various limits*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64**, 883 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. **65**, 2079 (1981)].  
K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Gravity from Poincaré gauge theory of the fundamental particles. 3. Weak field approximation*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64**, 1435 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. **66**, 741 (1981)].  
K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Gravity from Poincaré gauge theory of the fundamental particles. 4. Mass and energy of particle spectrum*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **64**, 2222 (1980).  
K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, *Gravity from Poincaré gauge theory of the fundamental particles. 5. The extended Bach-Lanczos identity*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65**, 525 (1981).
- [5] J. M. Nester, *Gravity, torsion and gauge theory*, in: An introduction to Kaluza-Klein theories, Proceedings of Workshop on Kaluza-Klein theories, Chalk River/Deep River, Ontario, 1983, edited by H. C. Lee (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984) pp. 83–115.
- [6] E. W. Mielke, *Geometrodynamics of Gauge Fields* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1987).
- [7] F. Gronwald and F. W. Hehl, *On the gauge aspects of gravity*, in *Proc. Int. School of Cosm. & Gravit.* 14<sup>th</sup> Course: Quantum Gravity. Held in Erice, Italy. Proceedings, P.G. Bergmann et al. (eds.) (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996) pp. 148–198; [arXiv:gr-qc/9602013].
- [8] M. Blagojević, *Gravitation and Gauge Symmetries* (IoP Publishing, Bristol, 2002).
- [9] T. Ortín, *Gravity and Strings* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004).
- [10] L. Ryder, *Introduction to General Relativity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009).
- [11] H. J. Yo and J. M. Nester, *Dynamic scalar torsion and an oscillating universe*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **22**, 2057 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0612738].
- [12] K. F. Shie, J. M. Nester and H. J. Yo, *Torsion Cosmology and the Accelerating Universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 023522 (2008) [16 pages], [arXiv:0805.3834].
- [13] H. Chen, F. H. Ho, J. M. Nester, C. H. Wang and H. J. Yo, *Cosmological dynamics with propagating Lorentz connection modes of spin zero*, *JCAP* **0910**, 027 (2009) [arXiv:0908.3323 [gr-qc]].
- [14] Yu. N. Obukhov, V. N. Ponomarev and V. V. Zhytnikov, *Quadratic Poincaré gauge theory of gravity: a comparison with the general relativity theory*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **21**, 1107–1142 (1989).
- [15] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke and Y. Ne’eman, *Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: Field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance*, *Phys. Rept.* **258**, 1–171 (1995).
- [16] F. W. Hehl and Yu. N. Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics – Charge, flux, and metric* (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2003).
- [17] P. Baekler, N. Boulanger and F. W. Hehl, *Linear connections with propagating spin-3 field in gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 125009 (2006) [20 pages] [arXiv:hep-th/0608122].
- [18] R. P. Wallner, *On the structure of gravitational  $U_4$ -field equations*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **17**, 1081–1107 (1985).
- [19] J. D. McCrea, *Irreducible decompositions of non-metricity, torsion, curvature and Bianchi identities in metric-affine spacetimes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **9**, 553–568 (1992).
- [20] Y. N. Obukhov, *Poincaré gauge gravity: Selected topics*, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **3**, 95–138 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0601090].
- [21] D. Vassiliev, *Quadratic metric-affine gravity*, *Annalen Phys. (Leipzig)* **14**, 231–252 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0304028].  
V. Pasic and D. Vassiliev, *pp-waves with torsion and metric-affine gravity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 3961–3976 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0505157].
- [22] F. Halbwachs, *Théorie relativiste des fluides à spin* (Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1960).
- [23] Y. N. Obukhov and R. Tresguerres, *Hyperfluid — a model of classical matter with hypermomentum*, *Phys. Lett. A* **184**, 17–22 (1993) [arXiv:gr-qc/0008013].

- [24] Y. N. Obukhov, *On a model of an unconstrained hyperfluid*, Phys. Lett. A **210**, 163–167 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/0008014].
- [25] A. Trautman, *Einstein-Cartan theory*. In: Francoise, J.-P., et al. (eds.): Encyclopedia of Math. Physics (Elsevier, Oxford, 2006) pp. 189–195 [arXiv:gr-qc/0606062].
- [26] M. O. Ribas and G. M. Kremer, *Fermion fields in Einstein-Cartan theory and the accelerated-decelerated transition in a primordial Universe*, Grav. Cosmol. **16**, 173–177 (2010) [arXiv:0902.2696 [gr-qc]].
- [27] B. P. Dolan, *Chiral fermions and torsion in the early Universe*, Class. Quantum Grav. **27**, 095010 (2010) (7 pages) [arXiv:0911.1636].
- [28] I. L. Shapiro, *Physical aspects of the space-time torsion*, Phys. Rept. **357**, 113–213 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0103093].
- [29] W.-T. Ni, *Searches for the role of spin and polarization in gravity*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **73**, 056901 (2010).
- [30] W. Esser, *Exact solutions of the metric-affine gauge theory of gravity*. Diploma Thesis (University of Cologne, 1996).
- [31] F. W. Hehl and A. Macias, *Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity. II: Exact solutions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **8**, 399–416 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9902076].
- [32] E. Sezgin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *New ghost free gravity Lagrangians with propagating torsion*, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 3269–3280 (1980).
- [33] R. Kuhfuss and J. Nitsch, *Propagating modes in gauge field theories of gravity*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **18**, 1207–1227 (1986).
- [34] Y. M. Cho, D. G. Pak and B. S. Park, *A minimal model of Lorentz gauge gravity with dynamical torsion*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25**, 2867 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3688 [gr-qc]].
- [35] M. Blagojevic and I. A. Nikolic, *Hamiltonian dynamics of Poincaré gauge theory: General structure in the time gauge*, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 2455–2463 (1983).
- [36] I. A. Nikolić, *Dirac’s Hamiltonian structure of  $R + R^2 + T^2$  Poincaré gauge theory of gravity without gauge fixing*, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 2508–2520 (1984).
- [37] R. D. Hecht, J. M. Nester and V. V. Zhytnikov, *Some Poincaré gauge theory Lagrangians with well posed initial value problems*, Phys. Lett. A **222**, 37–42 (1996).
- [38] H. Chen, J. M. Nester and H. J. Yo, *Acausal PGT modes and the nonlinear constraint effect*, Acta Phys. Polon. B **29**, 961–970 (1998).
- [39] H. J. Yo and J. M. Nester, *Hamiltonian analysis of Poincaré gauge theory scalar modes*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **8**, 459–479 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9902032].
- [40] H. J. Yo and J. M. Nester, *Hamiltonian analysis of Poincaré gauge theory: Higher spin modes*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **11**, 747–780 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0112030].
- [41] T. Frankel, *The Geometry of Physics – An Introduction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, 1999).
- [42] M. S. Sozzi, *Discrete Symmetries and CP Violation* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2008)
- “11.3 The cosmic connection

The issue of  $CP$  violation, the smallest symmetry violation detected so far, is not only relevant for the understanding of a small set of relatively rare weak processes; on the contrary it actually bears on one of the most intriguing mysteries of cosmology, namely the fact that the universe is almost empty but not exactly so, allowing our own existence.

### 11.3.1 The matter-antimatter asymmetry

The fundamental and deep connection between particles and antiparticles which is expressed by  $CPT$  symmetry, the only symmetry which (so far) appears to be exactly valid in Nature, and also by the  $C$  or  $CP$  symmetries valid for the dominant interactions of Nature, could lead to the expectation that matter and antimatter, being on equal footing, are equally abundant in the universe. In his 1933 Noble lecture, P. A. M. Dirac said that ‘we must regard it rather as an accident that the Earth (and presumably the whole solar system), contains a preponderance of negative electrons and positive protons. It is quite possible that for some of the stars it is the other way about[...] In fact, there may be half the stars of each kind.’

This appears, however, not to be the case and only one of the two, which we call matter, is present around us (Steigman, 1976). Antiparticles are found in Nature in tiny amounts, created together with particles in (natural or artificial) high energy processes, but they do not appear to be present in significant amounts in the form of stable macroscopic lumps of antimatter.<sup>8</sup>...

- [43] J. Leitner and S. Okubo, *Parity, charge conjugation, and time reversal in the gravitational interaction*, Phys. Rev. **136** (1964) B1542– B1546.
- [44] K. Hayashi, *Parity and time reversal in the gravitational interaction, and the possible existence of a massless scalar particle*, Phys. Lett. B **43** (1973) 497–501.
- [45] N. D. Hari Dass, *Experimental tests for some quantum effects in gravitation*, Annals Phys. **107** (1977) 337–359.
- [46] R. Hojman, C. Mukku and W. A. Sayed, *Parity violation in metric torsion theories of gravitation*, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1915–1921 (1980).
- [47] C. Mukku, *Transmogrifying torsion*, Annales de la Fondation Louis de Broglie **32**, 311–322 (2007) [numéro 2-3, Special issue on torsion, V. Dvoeglazov, editor].
- [48] P. C. Nelson, *Gravity with propagating pseudoscalar torsion*, Phys. Lett. A **79**, 285–287 (1980).
- [49] H. T. Nieh and M. L. Yan, *An identity in Riemann-Cartan geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **23**, 373 (1982).
- [50] H. T. Nieh, *A torsional topological invariant*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22**, 5237–5244 (2007) [Spires cites incorrectly C. N. Yang as a coauthor].
- [51] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, *Bianchi identities and the automatic conservation of energy-momentum and angular momentum in general-relativistic field theories*, Found. Phys. **16**, 267–293 (1986).
- [52] J. D. McCrea, F. W. Hehl and E. W. Mielke, *Mapping Noether identities into Bianchi identities in general relativistic theories of gravity and in the field theory of static lattice defects*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **29**, 1185–1206 (1990).
- [53] P. Baekler, E. W. Mielke and F. W. Hehl, *Dynamical symmetries in topological 3D gravity with torsion*, Nuovo Cimento **107B**, 91–110 (1992).
- [54] Y. N. Obukhov and F. W. Hehl, *On the relation between quadratic and linear curvature Lagrangians in Poincaré gauge gravity*, Acta Phys. Polon. B **27**, 2685–2693 (1996)

<sup>8</sup> While antimatter is very scarce in the universe, antiparticles are present even in the human body: out of about 100 g of potassium, 0.02 % is in the form of the radioactive isotope  $^{40}\text{K}$ , which has a  $\beta^+$  decay with a half-life of 1.3 billion years.

- [arXiv:gr-qc/9602014].
- [55] C. Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2007).
- [56] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge University press, Cambridge, UK, 2004).
- [57] S. Holst, *Barbero's Hamiltonian derived from a generalized Hilbert-Palatini action*, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5966–5969 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9511026].
- [58] L. Freidel, D. Minic and T. Takeuchi, *Quantum Gravity, Torsion, Parity Violation and all that*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 104002 (2005) (6 pages) [arXiv:hep-th/0507253].
- [59] L. Freidel, J. Kowalski-Glikman and A. Starodubtsev, *Particles as Wilson lines of gravitational field*, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084002 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0607014].
- [60] I. B. Khriplovich and A. A. Pomeransky, *Remark on Immirzi parameter, torsion, and discrete symmetries*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 107502 (2006) (2 pages) [arXiv:hep-th/0508136].
- [61] M. Bojowald and R. Das, *Canonical Gravity with Fermions*, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064009 (2008) [arXiv:0710.5722 [gr-qc]].
- [62] B. Mukhopadhyaya and S. Sengupta, *A geometrical interpretation of parity violation in gravity with torsion*, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 8–12 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811012].
- [63] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen, S. SenGupta and S. Sur, *Parity violation and torsion: A study in four and higher dimensions*, Eur. Phys. J. C **35**, 129–135 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0207165].
- [64] E. W. Mielke, *Einsteinian gravity from a topological action*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 1311–1325 (2008).
- [65] E. W. Mielke, *Topologically modified teleparallelism, passing through the Nieh-Yan functional*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 067502 (2009).
- [66] N. J. Poplawski, *Cosmological constant from QCD vacuum and torsion*, arXiv:1005.0893 [gr-qc].
- [67] A. Randono, *Gravity from a fermionic condensate of a gauge theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 215019 (2010) [arXiv:1005.1294 [hep-th]].
- [68] J. D. Bjorken, *Emergent Photons and Gravitons: The Problem of Vacuum Structure*, Fifth Meeting on CPT and Lorentz Symmetry, University of Indiana, 28 June to 2 July 2010 [arXiv:1008.0033 (7 pages) [hep-ph]].
- [69] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, *Chern-Simons modification of general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 104012 (2003) [10 pages].
- [70] M. B. Cantcheff, *Einstein-Cartan formulation of Chern-Simons Lorentz-violating gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 025002 (2008) [8 pages] [arXiv:0801.0067 [hep-th]].
- [71] U. Ertem, *Generalized Chern-Simons Modified Gravity in First-Order Formalism*, arXiv:0912.1433 [gr-qc].
- [72] X.-z. Li, C.-b. Sun and P. Xi, *Torsion cosmological dynamics*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 027301 (2009) [4 pages] [arXiv:0903.3088].
- [73] X.-z. Li, C.-b. Sun and P. Xi, *Statefinder diagnostic in a torsion cosmology*, JCAP **0904**, 015 (2009) [18 pages] [arXiv:0903.4724].
- [74] X.-c. Ao, X.-z. Li and P. Xi, *Analytical approach of late-time evolution in a torsion cosmology*, Phys. Lett. B **694**, 186–190 (2010) [arXiv:1010.4117 [gr-qc]].
- [75] G. Steigman, *Observational tests of antimatter cosmologies*, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **14**, 339–372 (1976).
- [76] A. C. Hearn *REDUCE User's Manual, Version 3.5* RAND Publication CP78 (Rev. 10/93) (The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138, USA, 1993).  
Nowadays Reduce is freely available for download; for details see <http://reduce-algebra.com/>.
- [77] E. Schrüfer: *EXCALC: A System for Doing Calculations in the Calculus of Modern Differential Geometry* (GMD-SCAI, D-53757 St. Augustin, Germany, 1994).
- [78] E. Schrüfer, F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, *Exterior calculus on the computer: The REDUCE-package EXCALC applied to general relativity and the Poincaré gauge theory*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **19**, 197–218 (1987).
- [79] J. Socorro, A. Macias and F. W. Hehl, *Computer algebra in gravity: Reduce-Excalc programs for (non-) Riemannian spacetimes I*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **115**, 264–283 (1998) [arXiv:gr-qc/9804068].
- [80] F. Müller-Hoissen and J. Nitsch, *Teleparallelism A viable theory of gravity?* Phys. Rev. D **28**, 718–728 (1983).
- [81] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1930).
- [82] J. .A. Schouten, *Tensor Analysis for Physicists*, 2nd ed. (Dover, New York, 1989).
- [83] H. Goenner and F Müller-Hoissen, *Spatially homogeneous and isotropic spaces in theories of gravitation with torsion*, Class. Quantum Grav. **1**, 651–672 (1984).
- [84] P. Baekler, *Exact solutions in the Poincaré gauge field theory of gravitation* (in German), Ph.D. thesis (University of Cologne, Germany, 1986).
- [85] É. Cartan, *Sur une généralisation de la notion de courbure de Riemann et les espaces à torsion*, C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **174**, 593–595 (1922).
- [86] M. Lazar and F. W. Hehl, *Cartan's spiral staircase in physics and, in particular, in the gauge theory of dislocations*, Found. Phys. **40**, 1298–1325 (2010) [arXiv:0911.2121].
- [87] W. Koczczyński, *An anisotropic universe with torsion*, Phys. Lett. A **43**, 63–64 (1973).
- [88] A. Trautman, *Spin and torsion may avert gravitational singularities*, Nature (Phys. Sci.) **242**, 7–8 (1973).
- [89] J. Tafel, *A non-singular homogeneous universe with torsion*, Phys. Lett. A **45**, 341–342 (1973).
- [90] B. Kuchowicz, *Cosmology with spin and torsion. I. Physical and mathematical foundations*, Acta Cosmologica **Z3**, 109–129 (1975); *II. Spatially homogeneous aligned spin models with the Weyssenhoff fluid*, Acta Cosmologica **Z4**, 67–100 (1976).
- [91] B. Kuchowicz, *Some cosmological models with spin and torsion, I*, Astrophys. Space Sci. **39**, 157–172 (1976); *II. Axially symmetric models with uniform magnetic field*, Astrophys. Space Sci. **40**, 167–181 (1976).
- [92] G. D. Kerlick, *"Bouncing" of simple cosmological models with torsion*, Annals Phys. **99**, 127–141 (1976).
- [93] P. Baekler and F. W. Hehl, *A micro-deSitter spacetime with constant torsion: A new vacuum solution of the Poincaré gauge field theory*. Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer) **176** 1–15 (1983) Gauge Theory and Gravitation. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Gauge Theory and Gravitation (g & G) held at Tezukayama University Nara, Japan, August 20-24, 1982
- [94] A. Canale, R. de Ritis, and C. Tarantino, *Cosmological solutions of a quadratic theory of gravity with torsion*, Phys. Lett. A **100**, 178–181 (1984).
- [95] A. V. Minkevich, *Generalised cosmological Friedmann equations without gravitational singularity*, Phys. Lett. A **80**, 232–234 (1980).

- A. V. Minkevich, *Generalized cosmological Friedmann equations and the de Sitter solution*, Phys. Lett. A **95**, 422–424 (1983).
- A. V. Minkevich and I. M. Nemenman, *On the influence of the gravitating vacuum on the dynamics of homogeneous isotropic models in gauge theories of gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 1259–1265 (1995).
- A. V. Minkevich, A. S. Garkun and V. I. Kudin, *Regular accelerating Universe without dark energy*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 5835–5848 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1157 [gr-qc]].
- [96] D. Puetzfeld, *Status of non-Riemannian cosmology*, New Astron. Rev. **49**, 59 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0404119].
- [97] J. D. Bekenstein, *Relativistic gravitation theory for the MOND paradigm*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083509 (2004) [Erratum-ibid. D **71**, 069901 (2005)] [arXiv:astro-ph/0403694].