


The Hitchhiker's Guide to First Year Physics Labs at UCD

Philip Ilten



DON'T PANIC

v1.0

This book is licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) license. The contents of this book, in full or in part, can be copied, distributed, transmitted, or remixed if the material is attributed to the author.



(c) 2010 by Philip Ilten

This book (if this can actually be called a book) began as a collection of handouts written by me for the first year undergraduate laboratories at University College Dublin (UCD), while I was demonstrating during the school year of 2009 – 2010. I realized that perhaps these handouts could be useful in the future, so in my spare time (primarily during free periods at a QCD phenomenology conference) I pulled all the source for the handouts together into this book. That being said, this book requires three disclaimers.

The first disclaimer is that much of the material should be understandable by a first year physics student, but some of it can be very advanced, and perhaps not quite so appropriate. Hopefully I have managed to point these areas out in the text, so that first years reading this book don't panic. Of course, it is also possible that I have written incredibly difficult to understand explanations, in which case readers of this book should feel free to express their opinions to me. Of course I might not listen to those opinions, but I would like to try to make this book better, and the only way to do that is through revision. I do believe that all the material presented in this book should be accessible to intrepid first year physics students.

The second disclaimer is that this book might be just as helpful for demonstrators as it is to undergraduates. As I was demonstrating I oftentimes wished that concise theoretical refreshers for some of the topics were available, instead of having to dig through a variety of text books buried under the dust of neglect. Just because demonstrators are typically postgraduates does not mean that we remember every last detail about the wavefunctions of a hydrogen atom, or BCS theory. Also, just because we are postgraduates doesn't mean that we necessarily have a good way to explain certain physics. Hopefully this book will serve both of these needs by providing a nice overview of the topic, and also present a possible method of teaching the material.

The third, and hopefully final disclaimer, is that this book contains mistakes. Despite my best effort, I am certain this book still contains spelling mistakes, grammar mistakes, and worst of all, physics mistakes. That means that while reading this book, always double check my work. If something looks wrong, it could very well be wrong. If something looks right, it still might be wrong. If you find a mistake, please let me know, and I will do my best to fix it. The idea of this book is that it is a growing effort of the community to provide a useful resource to UCD students. To that end, the source to this book (written in \LaTeX with figures made using Inkscape and Octave), is available either through the web, or by contacting me.

Enjoy and don't panic.

- Philip Ilten
philtten@lhcb.ucd.ie

Contents

Introduction	3
1 Uncertainty	9
1.1 Types of Uncertainty	9
1.2 Propagating Uncertainty	11
1.3 Probability Density Functions	14
1.4 Normal Uncertainty	16
1.5 Normal Uncertainty Propagation	19
2 Plots	25
2.1 Basics	25
2.2 Fitting Data	27
2.3 Fitting Methods	29
3 Newton's Laws	35
3.1 Experiment	36
4 Momentum	39
4.1 Collisions	40
4.2 Experiment	42
5 Rotation	45
5.1 Coordinate System	45
5.2 Moment of Inertia	47
5.3 Momentum, Energy, and Force	49
5.4 Comparison	50
5.5 Periodic Harmonic Motion	51
5.6 Experiment	52
6 Pendulum	55
6.1 Simple Harmonic Motion	55
6.2 Simple Pendulum	57
6.3 Compound Pendulum	58
6.4 Small Angle Approximation	59
6.5 Experiment	60

Contents

7 Gas	63
7.1 Kinetic Theory	65
7.2 Experiment	68
8 Resistance	71
8.1 Circuits	71
8.2 Kirchhoff's Laws	74
8.3 Experiment	77
9 Induction	83
9.1 Lorentz Force	83
9.2 Biot-Savart Law	85
9.3 Lenz's and Faraday's Laws	87
9.4 Dipoles	89
9.5 Experiment	94
10 Waves	99
10.1 Types of Waves	99
10.2 Properties of Waves	101
10.3 Standing Waves	103
10.4 Experiment	106
11 Optics	109
11.1 Fermat's Principle	109
11.2 Thin Lenses	112
11.3 The Matrix Method	114
11.4 Lensmaker's Equation	117
11.5 Fresnel Equations	120
11.6 Experiment	124
12 Diffraction and Interference	125
12.1 Interference	125
12.2 Double Slit Interference	129
12.3 Single Slit Diffraction	132
12.4 Double Slit Diffraction	135
12.5 Experiment	137
13 Planck's Constant	139
13.1 Maxwell's Equations	139
13.2 Electromagnetic Waves	141
13.3 The Photoelectric Effect	142
13.4 Blackbody Radiation	144
13.5 Experiment	145

14 Hydrogen	149
14.1 Bohr Model	149
14.2 Spectroscopy	152
14.3 Transition Series	154
14.4 Diffraction	156
14.5 Experiment	158
15 Fluorescence	159
15.1 Simple Harmonic Oscillator	160
15.2 Hydrogen Orbitals	163
15.3 Energy Splitting and Quantum Numbers	167
15.4 Non-Radiative Transitions	168
15.5 Experiment	170
16 Beta Radiation	173
16.1 Classical Beta Radiation	173
16.2 The Standard Model	175
16.3 Feynman Diagrams	177
16.4 Beta Ray Absorption	180
16.5 Experiment	184
17 Superconductivity	185
17.1 Superconductors	185
17.2 BCS Theory	187
17.3 Meissner Effect	189
17.4 Experiment	192
Indices	194
Terms	194

1 Uncertainty

Uncertainty estimation and propagation is sometimes more of an art than an exact science, but nevertheless is critical to the scientific process. Without uncertainty estimation we can never know how well our theory matches experimental reality. Quite a few books have been written over the years on uncertainty analysis, but one of the best is *An Introduction to Error Analysis* by John R. Taylor. This book should be on the book shelf of every physicist, whether an experimentalist or a theorist.

This chapter focuses on two main areas, the different types of uncertainty and their estimation, and the propagation of uncertainty. Before we can delve into either of these areas, we first need to define uncertainty. Whenever we make a measurement, the circumstances surrounding that measurement influence the value. For example, if we measure the value for gravity on earth, g , we will obtain a different value in Dublin than we would in Chicago or Paris. To indicate this, we must write our central value for g followed by a range in which g might fall. We call this our **uncertainty**.

$$g = \underbrace{9.81}_{\substack{\text{central value} \\ \text{(accuracy)}}} \pm \underbrace{0.21}_{\substack{\text{uncertainty} \\ \text{(precision)}}} \underbrace{\text{m/s}^2}_{\text{units}} \quad (1.1)$$

How close our central value is to the actual value is the **accuracy** of the measurement, while the amount of uncertainty describes the **precision** of the measurement. If a measurement has a very good accuracy, but very low precision, is not very useful. Conversely, if a measurement has a very poor accuracy, but very high precision, the measurement is still not useful. The art of uncertainty is balancing accuracy and precision to provide meaningful measurements that help confirm or deny theories.

There is one final issue that needs to be discussed regarding the format of writing uncertainty, and that is **significant figures**. The number of significant figures on a measurement is the number of meaningful digits. An uncertainty on a final measurement should never have more significant figures than the central value, and should in general have only one or two digits. The number of significant figures on the central value must always reach the same precision as the uncertainty. This allows the reader of the experimental data to quickly see both the accuracy and the precision of the results.

1.1 Types of Uncertainty

It can be difficult to classify uncertainty; there are many sources, and oftentimes the cause of uncertainty is unknown. However, we can broadly classify two types: **systematic uncertainty** and **random uncertainty**. Systematic uncertainties are types of

1 Uncertainty

random uncertainty, but are caused by calibration within the experiment. First we will discuss the types of random uncertainty, and then use these to understand systematic uncertainty.

Random uncertainty can be caused by a variety of sources, but these can be classified in three general areas. The first is **apparatus uncertainty**. This uncertainty arises when the design of the experiment limits the precision of the measurements being made. For example, consider trying to measure the pressure of a basketball, using a pressure gauge. On cold days the pressure gauge might leak because the gaskets have contracted, while on warm days the pressure gauge might not leak at all. Taking measurements from different days will yield a range of results caused by this uncertainty. In this example the measurement from the warm days are more reliable, as there is no leaking, but in some experimental situations this is not so readily apparent.

The next type of random uncertainty is **inherent uncertainty**. Some measured quantities just are different each time they are measured. This oftentimes is found in the biological sciences, especially in population analysis. When measuring the average weight of all deer in Ireland, we don't expect to find each deer has the same weight, but rather that the weights are spread over a range of values. This means if we wish to quote the average weight of a deer in Ireland, we will need to associate an uncertainty with it. Another place in physics where inherent uncertainty is found is in particle physics and quantum mechanics. Here, the value of the measurement isn't decided until the observer actually makes the measurement.

The final and most common type of random uncertainty is **instrumental uncertainty**. Here the instruments being used to take the measurement have a limited precision, and we can only quote the value to the precision of the instrument. In many of the labs done in this course, the primary source of uncertainty will be from instrumentation. An example of instrumental uncertainty is reading the voltage from a circuit using a multimeter. The multimeter can only read to 0.1 V, and so our uncertainty in the voltage must be ± 0.1 V. Similarly, if we are measuring a length with a ruler, the instrumentation of the ruler limits are precision to usually a millimeter, and so we have an uncertainty of ± 1 mm.

Sometimes all three types of random uncertainty can be combined. If we return to the voltage example, consider what would happen if the reading on the multimeter fluctuated between 2 and 3 V. Now our apparatus uncertainty (caused by fluctuations in the power supply, or for some other reason) is larger than our instrumental uncertainty, and so now our uncertainty is ± 0.5 V instead of ± 0.1 V. The important point to remember about random uncertainty is that we never know which direction the uncertainty is. In the voltage example we could measure a value of 2.5 V, but not be certain if that voltage was actually 2 V, 3 V, or any values in between.

The second broad category of uncertainty, systematic uncertainty, is caused by a **calibration error** in the experiment. Let us return to the basketball example, where we are trying to measure the pressure of a basketball. When we read the pressure gauge while it is not attached to the basketball we obtain a value of 0 bar. This is because the gauge is calibrated incorrectly; we need to account for atmospheric pressure which is around 1 bar. If we want to correct all of our pressure measurements from different days,

we must add something around 1 bar to all the measurements. The only problem is that the atmospheric pressure changes from day to day, and so we have an associated random inherent uncertainty on 1 bar of around ± 0.1 bar. We call this random uncertainty, from a calibration adjustment of the data, the systematic uncertainty. When we quote systematic uncertainty we add another \pm symbol after the random uncertainty. Let us say that we have measured the basketball pressure to 1.3 ± 0.2 bar without our calibration adjustment. Now, when we add on the atmospheric pressure we quote the measurement as $2.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$ bar.

1.2 Propagating Uncertainty

While we now know how to estimate uncertainty on individual experimental measurements, we still do not know how to **propagate** the uncertainty. If we have measured the length, L , and width, W , of a rectangle of paper, and we have an associated uncertainty on each measurement, what is the uncertainty on the area, A , of the paper? Finding this from our uncertainties on L and W is called propagation of uncertainty.

In Figure 1.1 we graphically show how we calculate the uncertainty. In this example we have measured $L = 5.0 \pm 0.5$ cm, and $W = 2.0 \pm 1.0$ cm (perhaps we used a very poor ruler for measuring the width). As a matter of notation we often represent uncertainty with the the Greek letter δ (a lower case delta), or sometimes σ (the Greek letter sigma).¹ We calculate our central value for A by,

$$A = L \times W \quad (1.2)$$

which is the formula for the area of a rectangle, shown in Figure 1.1(a). At first glance, propagating our uncertainty to A might seem simple; we can just multiply the uncertainties, just as we multiplied the central values to obtain A .

Figure 1.1(b) shows why this does not work. The red rectangle is still the central value for A , 10.0 cm^2 . The dashed purple rectangle is the smallest possible value for A we can obtain within the range of uncertainty for L and W , while the dashed blue rectangle is the largest possible value for A we can obtain. If we just multiply δ_L and δ_W we obtain the small dashed rectangle in red. This uncertainty is clearly much too small.

The possible values for the area of the rectangle range from the purple rectangle to the blue rectangle. These are the extremum (maximum and minimum) of the area, and by taking their difference and dividing by two, we can find the average range about the central value for A .

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_A &= \frac{A_{\max} - A_{\min}}{2} \\ &= \frac{(L + \delta_L)(W + \delta_W) - (L - \delta_L)(W - \delta_W)}{2} \\ &= \frac{\delta_L \delta_W + 2W\delta_L + 2L\delta_W}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

¹We use σ when referring to uncertainty taken from a large number of measurements as it refers to the standard deviation, which is explained more later on in this chapter.




Figure 1.1: Geometric representations for the different methods of propagating uncertainty for the area of a rectangle.

Plugging in our values for L , W , δ_L , and δ_W , we arrive at $\delta_A = 6.2 \text{ cm}^2$, a much larger uncertainty than the incorrect $\delta_L \times \delta_W = 0.50 \text{ cm}^2$. This gives us a value for A of $10.0 \pm 6.2 \text{ cm}^2$; the actual area for the rectangle could range anywhere from around 4 to 16 cm^2 . Notice how the upper and lower bounds match nicely with the blue and purple rectangles respectively.

The uncertainty propagation method outlined above can be applied to any formula and is called the **extremum uncertainty** method. This is because to find the uncertainty on the calculated quantities, we find the largest value for the quantity possible, and the smallest value possible, take the difference, and divide by two. The tricky part of this method is finding the maximum and the minimum values for the calculated quantity. In the example above it is easy to visualize, as we see the rectangle is the largest when we add uncertainty onto L and W , and smallest when we subtract the uncertainty. But what happens when we look at a more complicated function? Let us consider the following function $f(x, y, z)$ which is dependent upon the measured quantities x , y , and z (analogous to L and W in the example above).

$$f = x^2 - 2y + \frac{1}{z} \quad (1.4)$$

Now we need to see what happens to f when we change either x , y , or z . For x we see that f is maximized whenever x is as large as possible (both positive and negative) and minimized for x near zero. For y , we see f is maximized for large negative y and minimized for large positive y . For z , the behavior of f is even trickier. Large positive and negative z make $1/z$ very close to zero. Very small positive values of z make $1/z$ a very large positive number, and very small negative values of z make $1/z$ a very large negative number. From this behavior we can see that to maximize f , we want z to be as close to zero as possible while still being positive. To minimize f , we want z to be as close to zero as possible while being negative.

From the example above it is readily apparent that the extremum method for propagating uncertainty can quickly become very complicated, and also a little tedious. Luckily, in some cases we can bypass the extremum method and propagate the uncertainty using **relative uncertainty**. Relative uncertainty is the uncertainty on a quantity δ_x divided by that measurement x , i.e. δ_x/x . If we return to the rectangle example of Figure 1.1, we see that the relative uncertainty on W is 0.50 (or 50%), and the relative uncertainty on L is 0.10 (or 10%).

If one relative uncertainty for a measurement is much larger than the relative uncertainties for the other measurements, we can just focus on the largest relative uncertainty, and assume that our calculated quantity will have approximately the same relative uncertainty. In this example the relative uncertainty on W is much larger than the relative uncertainty on L , and so we assume that A will have a relative uncertainty of approximately 0.50.

$$\frac{\delta_A}{A} = \frac{\delta_W}{W} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta_A = A \left(\frac{\delta_W}{W} \right) \quad (1.5)$$

Looking at Figure 1.1(c) we can see this method in action. Now we have $A = 10.0 \pm 5.0 \text{ cm}^2$ which is very close to the extremum method which supplied an uncertainty of

1 Uncertainty

$10.0 \pm 6.2 \text{ cm}^2$. The uncertainty from the extremum method is larger of course, as with the relative method we are ignoring our uncertainty on L . We can also apply this to any general function f dependent upon multiple measurements, but with the main source of relative uncertainty from the variable x .

$$\delta_f = f \left(\frac{\delta_x}{x} \right) \quad (1.6)$$

Notice that this method is much faster (and simpler) than the extremum method, but is only valid when the relative uncertainty on x is much larger than the relative uncertainty on the other variables.

There is one final method for propagating uncertainty, the **normal uncertainty** method, which is the most common method used in physics. However, understanding this method can be a bit challenging, and understanding when and when not to use this method is not trivial. The remainder of this chapter is devoted to attempting to explain the motivation behind this method, but here, a brief example will be given just to demonstrate the method.

If we have a function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ dependent upon x_1 up to x_n independent measurements (or more generally, measurements x_i), we can propagate the uncertainty as follows.

$$\sigma_f^2 = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \sigma_1^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 \sigma_n^2 \quad (1.7)$$

Here we have replaced our traditional δ letter for uncertainty with the letter σ where σ_i corresponds to the uncertainty associated with measurement x_i . The symbol ∂ denotes a partial derivative; this is where we keep all other variables in f constant, and just perform the derivative with respect to x_i .

We can now use this method of propagation on the rectangle example of Figure 1.1. First we must apply Equation 1.7 to Equation 1.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_A^2 &= \left(\frac{\partial A(L, W)}{\partial L} \right)^2 \sigma_L^2 + \left(\frac{\partial A(L, W)}{\partial W} \right)^2 \sigma_W^2 \\ &= L^2 \sigma_W^2 + W^2 \sigma_L^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Plugging in the uncertainties we arrive at a value of $A = 10.0 \pm 5.0 \text{ cm}^2$, the same uncertainty we arrived at using the relative uncertainty method!

1.3 Probability Density Functions

In the previous sections we have seen what uncertainty is, and how it is possible to propagate it using two different methods, the extremum method, and the relative uncertainty method. However, the two methods described in detail above are very qualitative and do not work well when a single value is repeatedly measured. As an example, let us consider a race car driving around a track, and trying to measure the velocity each time

it passes. The race car driver is trying to keep the velocity of the car as constant as possible, but of course this is very difficult. Therefore, we expect that the velocities we record for each lap will be similar, but not exactly the same. If we make a histogram² of these values and divide the histogram by the number of measurements we have made, we will have created a **probability density function** for the velocity of the race car.

This histogram describes the probability of measuring the velocity of the car to be within a certain range of velocities. For example we can find the area under the entire histogram, which should return a value of one. This tells us that we always expect to measure a velocity within the ranges we have previously measured. All probability density functions when integrated from negative infinity to infinity should yield an area of one; when the area under any curve is one we call the curve **normalized**. Similarly, if we want to find the probability of measuring a certain velocity instead of a range of velocities, we see the probability is zero. This is because we do not expect to be able to measure an arbitrarily precise value for the velocity of the car.

Probability density functions can be described by a variety of properties, but two of the most important are the **mean** and the **variance**. The mean for a probability density function is exactly the same as the mean average taught in grade school, and is often denoted by the Greek letter μ (spelled mu).

Before we can understand exactly what the variance of a probability density function is, we need to introduce the **expectation value** of a probability density function. If we have a probability density function $PDF(x)$ dependent upon the variable x we define the expectation value for a function of x , $f(x)$, as

$$E[f(x)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)PDF(x) dx \quad (1.9)$$

where we just integrate the instantaneous probability of x , $PDF(x)$, times the function of x for which we are trying to find the expectation value, $f(x)$. The mean of a probability density function is just the expectation value of the function $f(x) = x$, $E[x]$. In other words, we expect that if we measured the variable x many times, we would find an average value of $E[x]$.

The variance of a probability density function dependent on the variable x is,

$$\sigma^2(x) = E[(x - \mu)^2] = E(x^2) - \mu^2 \quad (1.10)$$

or the expectation value of $(x - \mu)^2$ and is denoted by the symbol σ^2 or sometimes the letters Var. The **standard deviation** of a probability density function is just the square root of the variance.

$$\sigma(x) = \sqrt{\sigma^2(x)} \quad (1.11)$$

The standard deviation, given by the Greek letter σ (spelled sigma), measures how far most measured values for a variable x deviate from μ , the mean of x . When the uncertainty for a measurement with a known probability density function is quoted, the uncertainty is usually just one standard deviation as calculated above.

²A histogram is just a bar graph that plots the number of events per value range of that variable.

1 Uncertainty




Figure 1.2: The normal probability density function of Equation 1.12 is given with one standard deviation in light blue, two standard deviations in dark blue, three standard deviations in purple, and four standard deviations in red.

1.4 Normal Uncertainty

In physics the probability density functions of most measurements are described by the **normal distribution**.³ The formula for the normal distribution is,

$$PDF(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)^2} \quad (1.12)$$

and is plotted in Figure 1.2. Here σ is the standard deviation of the curve, and μ the mean as defined in the previous section. For a normal distribution, 68% of all measured values of x are expected to fall within σ of μ , while 95% are expected to fall within 2σ .

When a physics measurement is stated with an uncertainty, the uncertainty is assumed to represent one standard deviation of the data, unless indicated otherwise. Because most measurements in physics are described by the normal distribution, 68% of the values measured by the experimenter fell within this uncertainty range.

³This distribution also goes under the names of Gaussian distribution, normal curve, or bell curve.

But this leads to the question, why are most physics measurements described by a normal distribution? The reason for this is what is known as the **central limit theorem**. The central limit theorem can be interpreted many ways, but dictates that under the correct initial conditions, most probability density functions when sampled many times converge to the normal distribution.

The above statement of the central limit theorem is very general and not the most intuitive to understand, so it may be more helpful to illustrate a consequence of the central limit theorem. Let us consider a box which we fill with different colored marbles. First we place N_r red marbles into the box, where N_r is a random number chosen from a **uniform distribution** between 0 and 10. In a uniform distribution each number is equally likely to be picked, and so the distribution is just a rectangle from 0 to 10 with area 1. We have chosen this distribution as it is clearly not a normal distribution.

After placing the red marbles into the box, we count the number of marbles in the box, and record this number for this first trial as N_r . Next we add N_o orange marbles, where again we determine the random number N_o from a uniform distribution between 0 and 10. The number of marbles in the box will now just be $N_r + N_o$. We record this value for our first trial as well. Next we add a random number of yellow marbles, N_y , between 0 and 10 and again record the total number of marbles in the box. We continue this experiment by adding green, blue, and purple marbles in the exact same fashion to the box, recording the total number of marbles in the box after each new color is added.

We now perform this experiment thousands of times and tabulate the number of marbles after each step of adding a new color. We take all these numbers and make a histogram for each step. In Figure 1.3 we have simulated the experiment 2000 times. The histogram for the first step is a uniform distribution, as we expect. This distribution just tells us the probability of finding N_r red marbles in the box after each step which is $1/11$.

In the histogram for the second step, something unexpected happens. Here we have added the uniform distribution for the red marbles with the uniform distribution for the orange marbles, and have obtained a non-uniform distribution for the total number of marbles in the box! This is a direct consequence of the central limit theorem. As we add on more uniform distributions from the yellow, green, blue, and purple marbles, the distribution for the total number of marbles in the box after each step looks more and more like a normal curve. The result is striking. What began as a flat distribution now resembles a normal distribution; the total number of marbles in the box, after adding all the colors, is normally distributed!

There are a few important features to notice about the steps performed in Figure 1.3. The first is that the mean of the distribution, μ , is just the addition of the means of the component distributions. For example, we know that on average we will pick 5 red marbles, 5 orange marbles, etc. Subsequently in the first histogram the mean for the histogram is μ_r , while in the second histogram the mean is $\mu_r + \mu_o$. The second important point to notice is that for each histogram the variances add just like the means. The variance for a discrete uniform distribution is just,

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{N^2 - 1}{12} \tag{1.13}$$

1 Uncertainty




Figure 1.3: An illustration of the central limit theorem. The black curve is the normal curve the distribution is approaching.

where N is the number of discrete values available. The distribution in the first histogram of Figure 1.3 is sampled between 0 and 10, and so with $N = 11$ the variance is 10. Subsequently the following distributions have variances of 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60. In Figure 1.3 the values given for μ and σ^2 do not match exactly what is written above. This is because the histograms were made by simulating the experiment outlined above 2000 times.⁴ This is similar to when we flip a coin 10 times; we don't expect exactly 5 heads and 5 tails, but instead numbers near 5.

From the example above, we can see the power of the central limit theorem. If we think of taking measurements in physics as adding together many different probability density functions (like in the marble example), we see that the end result is a normal distribution. Whether this approximation is valid or not depends upon the situation, but in general, normal distributions model physics measurements well.

1.5 Normal Uncertainty Propagation

Let us assume that we have experimentally measured n different variables, x_i , in an experiment. Also, let us assume that for each measured variable we have taken a large number of data points, N , and verified that the data points for each variable are normally distributed with a variance of σ_i^2 . Now we wish to calculate the standard deviation, σ_f , for a function dependent upon the measured variables, $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

We can't just add the standard deviation of the variables together, as we have seen in the previous sections. Instead we must use normal uncertainty propagation, which was briefly demonstrated earlier. We will begin by looking at the full propagation method and then trying to understand it, first through an intuitive argument and then through a more rigorous proof. The full formula for propagating normal uncertainty is given below in all of its glory.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_f^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho_{1,2} & \dots & \rho_{1,n} \\ \rho_{1,2} & \sigma_2^2 & \dots & \rho_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{1,n} & \rho_{2,n} & \dots & \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \sigma_1^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right)^2 \sigma_n^2 \\ &\quad + 2\rho_{1,2}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right) + \dots + 2\rho_{n-1,n}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

⁴This is what we call a **Monte Carlo** experiment. We use a random number generator with a computer to simulate the experiment.

1 Uncertainty

Needless to say the above method for propagation looks very nasty, and it is. The matrix in the middle of the equation, consisting of σ 's and ρ 's is called the **variance-covariance matrix** and essentially relates the correlations of all the variables x_i . The coefficient $\rho_{i,j}$ is defined as the **correlation coefficient** and represents how strongly the variables x_i and x_j are correlated. For variables that are completely correlated (i.e. they are the same variable) $\rho_{i,j}$ is just one. For the case that the variables are completely uncorrelated $\rho_{i,j}$ is just zero. The general form of $\rho_{i,j}$ is,

$$\rho_{i,j} = \frac{E[(x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)]}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \quad (1.15)$$

where E is the expectation value explained above, and μ_i is the mean value of the variable x_i .

Luckily for us, the majority of experiments in physics consist of measuring independent variables, variables where $\rho_{i,j} = 0$, and so Equation 1.14 is greatly simplified.

$$\sigma_f^2 = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \sigma_1^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right)^2 \sigma_n^2 \quad (1.16)$$

This unfortunately is not a very intuitive equation, and so a bit more explanation is necessary.

Let us first consider a simple example where we have one variable x_1 and a function, $f(x_1)$, dependent upon only x_1 . We can make a plot of x_1 on the x -axis and $f(x_1)$ on the y -axis. Let us choose a specific x_1 and label this point p . All points of x_1 have an associated uncertainty of σ_1 and so we know the uncertainty for p is σ_1 . Looking at Figure 1.4(a) we see that our uncertainty σ_1 is in the x -direction, and that we want σ_f which should be in the y -direction.

To find σ_f we can just use simple geometry. It seems reasonable that at point p the change in $f(x_1)$ over the change in x_i should equal the change in the uncertainty on $f(x_i)$ over the change in uncertainty on x_i .

$$\frac{\Delta f(x_1)}{\Delta x_1} = \frac{df(x_1)}{dx_1} = \frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma_1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma_f = \frac{df(x_1)}{dx_1} \sigma_1 \quad (1.17)$$

In the first step we have taken the limit of $\Delta f(x_i)/\Delta x_i$ as Δx_i grows very small which just gives us the derivative, or the slope exactly at point p rather than in the general vicinity of p . In the next step we set this equal to the change in uncertainty and in the final step we just solve for σ_f .

Now we have found how to propagate the uncertainty for just one variable x_1 , but we need to be able to do this for n variables x_i . The first change we need to make is substitute the derivative of Equation 1.17 with a **partial derivative**.⁵ The second change we need to make is how we think of our uncertainty. The uncertainties don't just

⁵For those not familiar with a partial derivative, we denote it with the symbol ∂ . To take a partial derivative such as $\partial f(x, y, z)/\partial x$ just differentiate $f(x, y, z)$ with respect to x and think of y and z as constants.





Figure 1.4: Figure 1.4(a) geometrically illustrates how the uncertainty σ_f is propagated from an uncertainty σ_1 . Figure 1.4(b) demonstrates how the components of uncertainty must be added in quadrature.

1 Uncertainty

add linearly like numbers, but rather are components of an uncertainty vector. We don't care about the direction of the uncertainty vector but we do care about the magnitude of the vector, as this gives us our uncertainty on $f(x_i)$. To find the magnitude of a vector, we just take the square root of the sum of all the components squared. This process is called **adding in quadrature**.

$$\sigma_f = \sqrt{\left(\frac{df}{dx_1}\sigma_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{df}{dx_2}\sigma_2\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{df}{dx_n}\sigma_n\right)^2} \quad (1.18)$$

For those readers not familiar with taking the magnitude of a vector, think of the Pythagorean theorem where we find the length of the hypotenuse of a triangle, c , from the sides of the triangle, a and b , by the formula $c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. In Figure 1.4(b) we are now considering an example where $n = 2$ and f is dependent on two variables, x_1 and x_2 , with uncertainties of σ_1 and σ_2 . Using Equation 1.17 we replace sides a and b with the x_1 and x_2 components of σ_f , and the hypotenuse, c , with σ_f . Using Pythagoras' theorem we arrive back at Equation 1.18.

Unfortunately, Equation 1.18 only matches Equation 1.14 when $\rho_{i,j} = 0$, or when the variables x_i are independent of each other. To understand how we can introduce the $\rho_{i,j}$ terms we must leave the geometric derivation for uncertainty propagation outlined above and turn to a more mathematically rigorous derivation. The math here can get a little complicated, but is given for the curious.

A **Taylor series** is an expansion of a function f about a certain point a and is given by an infinite sum. For the n dimensional case the function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is expanded in infinite sums about the points a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

$$T(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^{m_1+\dots+m_n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \dots \partial^{m_n} x_n} \right) \times \left(\frac{(x_1 - a_1)^{m_1} \dots (x_n - a_n)^{m_n}}{m_1! \dots m_n!} \right) \right] \quad (1.19)$$

Let us assume now that we have made N measurements and that for the N^{th} measurement we have the measured values $x_{1,N}$ through $x_{n,N}$. We can now expand our function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ about its average μ_f for each measurement N , and truncate the expansion at first order (i.e. we only look at terms with first derivatives). The Taylor series for measurement N to first order is as follows.

$$f_N - \mu_f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right)(x_{1,N} - \mu_1) + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right)(x_{2,N} - \mu_2) + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right)(x_{n,N} - \mu_n) \quad (1.20)$$

Using our definition for variance given in Equation 1.10 in combination with the limit

1.5 Normal Uncertainty Propagation

of a discrete version of Equation 1.9, we can then write the variance of f .

$$\sigma_f^2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^N (f_N - \mu_f) \quad (1.21)$$

Plugging Equation 1.20 into the above we arrive at,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_f^2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^N & \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right) (x_{1,N} - \mu_1) + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) (x_{n,N} - \mu_n) \right. \\ & + 2(x_{1,N} - \mu_1)(x_{2,N} - \mu_2) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ & \left. + 2(x_{n-1,N} - \mu_{n-1})(x_{n,N} - \mu_n) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

where we have expanded the square. We can break this into the individual sums, and using the definition of variance along with a discrete definition of the correlation coefficient given in Equation 1.15, recover Equation 1.14!

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_f^2 = & \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \sigma_1^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)^2 \sigma_n^2 \\ & + 2\rho_{1,2}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right) + \dots + 2\rho_{n-1,n}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

2 Plots

The old adage “a picture is worth a thousand words” is true for many things but could not be more relevant to the field of physics, although perhaps the saying should be changed to “a plot is worth a thousand words”. Plots quickly and easily allow readers to assimilate data from experiment, compare data to theory, observe trends, the list goes on and on. Because plots are so important in physics, it is critical that plots are made correctly. This chapter attempts to cover the basics of plotting, fitting data, and the methods behind fitting of data.

2.1 Basics

Figure 2.1 gives an example of a well-made plot. The first point to notice about Figure 2.1 is the **title**. Every plot should have a title; this allows the reader to quickly understand what the plot is attempting to show, without having to read pages of accompanying text. Sometimes if the figure is accompanied by a caption the title is neglected, but in general, plots should always have titles. Additionally, the title should be relatively short, but also convey meaning. In this example the title is “Velocity of an Electron in a Magnetic Field”. The meaning here is clear, we expect to find information within the plot pertaining to the velocity of an electron as it moves through a magnetic field. Notice that titles such as “Graph 1” are not helpful. Such a title tells us absolutely nothing about the content of the plot.

The next items to notice about the plot in Figure 2.1 are the **labels** for the x -axis and y -axis. It is important that the labels are clear about what is being plotted along each axis. In this plot the product of two quantities β and γ , both calculated from the velocity of an electron is plotted on the y -axis while the intensity of a surrounding magnetic field is plotted on the x -axis. The labels clearly and concisely summarize this information without being ambiguous. Each label is followed by square brackets filled with the units of the axis. Notice that the quantity $\beta\gamma$ is unitless and so the label is followed with the word “unitless” in square brackets. While this is not necessary, it informs the reader the plotted quantity has no units, and a unit label was not just forgotten. Without units it is nearly impossible to guess what information the plot is trying to depict.

In the example of Figure 2.1 an electron is passing through a magnetic field and its velocity is being measured using a velocity selector.¹ From the plot it is clear that the magnetic field is being adjusted, and for each adjustment in the field the velocity of the electron is measured and the value $\beta\gamma$ calculated. We know this because traditionally

¹This is an actual experiment using real data. The experiment was performed at MIT’s Junior Lab, and the full write up is available at <http://severian.mit.edu/philtten/physics/dynamics.pdf>.




Figure 2.1: An example of a well scaled plot with a title, axis labels, units, a legend, theory curves, a curve of best fit (with associated reduced χ^2), and data points with error bars.

the variable quantity is plotted along the x -axis while the measured quantity is plotted along the y -axis. Similarly, for three dimensional plots the measured quantity is plotted on the z -axis, while the variable quantities are plotted along the x and y -axis.

Now that we have discussed all the important aspects of labeling we can focus on the actual contents of the plot, but it is important to remember without proper labels the content of a plot is meaningless. Looking at the contents of Figure 2.1 we see three lines, a blue line, a green line, and a red line along with black data points. When multiple items are plotted, a **legend**, given in the left hand corner of the plot, is a necessity. A quick glance at the legend tells us that the blue line is a theoretical prediction made using classical mechanics, the green line is a theoretical prediction made using relativistic mechanics, the red line is a line of best fit, and the black data points are the data from the experiment.

Above and below each data point a line is vertically extended; this is called an **error bar** which represents the uncertainty on the data point. For example, the first data point at $B = 80$ Gauss has a measured value of 0.981 with an uncertainty of 0.073 and so the lower error bar extends to a value of 0.908 while the upper error bar extends to a value

of 1.054. In this experiment the uncertainty was arrived at using normal uncertainty, and so we know that 68% of the experimenter's measurements fell within the range given by the error bars, or in this case the first measurement can be written as 0.981 ± 0.073 .² Uncertainty can be displayed along the x -axis, and can also be simultaneously displayed along both x and y , although traditionally uncertainty is propagated so that it is only displayed along the y -axis. Additionally, sometimes an **error band** is used instead, which is just a continuous version of error bars.

2.2 Fitting Data

One important part of Figure 2.1 that was not discussed in the previous section were the blue, green, and red lines. Lines such as these, especially the red line, can be found in most scientific plots as they help the reader understand how well different theories match with the experimental data. Before we can fully explain the plot we need a small amount of theory. In classical (or Newtonian) mechanics, the mechanics taught in this course, the velocity of an object has no upper limit. Einstein, at the beginning of the 20th century postulated that this actually is not true, and that very fast moving objects (nothing that we will observe in the lab) cannot exceed the speed of light.

In the creation of this theory Einstein introduced two new quantities that can be calculated from the velocity of an object. The first,

$$\beta = \frac{v}{c} \quad (2.1)$$

is represented by the Greek letter β (spelled beta), and is just the velocity of an object divided by the speed of light in a vacuum, c . Notice that according to Einstein because $v < c$, β must always be less than or equal to 1. The second quantity,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \quad (2.2)$$

is called the Lorentz γ -factor and is represented by the Greek letter γ (spelled gamma).

Without getting bogged down in details, the data for Figure 2.1 was gathered by firing electrons at a very high velocity through a magnetic field and recording their velocity. Using both classical and relativistic theory it is possible to predict β for the electron (the subscript c designates classical theory, and the subscript r relativistic theory).

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_c &= \frac{\rho B e}{m_e c^2} \\ \beta_r &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{\rho B e}\right)^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here the quantity ρ is a physical constant of the experiment. The quantity e is the fundamental charge of an electron, m_e the mass of an electron, and c the speed of

²See Chapter 1 for more details on how uncertainty is estimated and propagated.

2 Plots

light. The quantity B is the strength of the magnetic field through which the electron is traveling, and is the variable in this experiment which we know already, as B is plotted on the x -axis of Figure 2.1.

We can find γ for both classical and relativistic theory by plugging the respective values for β given in Equation 2.3 into Equation 2.2. From Equation 2.3 we notice that classical theory predicts that β is given by a linear relationship in B . We can write a general linear relationship between y and x in the **slope-intercept form** of

$$y = mx + b \quad (2.4)$$

where m is the slope of the line and b is the y -intercept of the line. By definition the y -intercept is where the line crosses the y -axis, which occurs when x is zero.

In general, physicists like working with linear relationships. They are easier to fit than complicated curves and oftentimes are able to provide just as much information. The only problem we have with Equation 2.3 is that we expect relativistic theory to be correct, not classical theory, and it is clear that β is not given by a linear relationship in B for relativistic theory. To circumvent this problem and allow us to still make a linear plot, we **recast** Equation 2.3 into a format where we have a linear relationship between some quantity and the variable B . Here we multiply β by γ .

$$\beta_c \gamma_c = \left(\frac{\rho B e}{m_e c^2} \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\rho B e}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\beta_r \gamma_r = \left(\frac{\rho e}{m_e c^2} \right) B \quad (2.5b)$$

Now we have a linear relationship for our relativistic theory, from which we can predict the charge to mass ratio of the electron from the slope of the line!

With Equation 2.5 we can better understand the curves presented in Figure 2.1. Looking at our classical prediction from Equation 2.5a we no longer expect a linear relationship, and expect that for large B values, the value for $\beta\gamma$ will explode. This behavior can be seen in the blue line of Figure 2.1. As B grows large the value $\beta\gamma$ grows rapidly, and most certainly in a non-linear fashion.

The green curve, corresponding to relativistic theory, is linear as we expect from Equation 2.5b. Notice that our data points match very well with relativistic theory; all except one data point falls within its uncertainty on the green line!

The final red curve in Figure 2.1 gives the best linear fit of the data points. What we mean by “best” will be discussed in the following section. The general idea, however, is that a linear fit matches the data points well, and allows us to calculate the mass to charge ratio of the electron from the slope of our fit. For this fit we have obtained values of,

$$m = 0.0129 \pm 0.0021, \quad b = -0.08 \pm 0.21 \quad (2.6)$$

where m is slope and b is y -intercept as defined previously in Equation 2.4. If we plug in our value for ρ and c^2 we can then calculate out the charge to mass ratio of the electron.

$$\frac{e}{m_e} = 0.0129 \left(\frac{c^2}{\rho} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

The core idea to come away with from the discussion above is how easy it is to represent theory and experiment, and their subsequent agreement with just a single plot. In Figure 2.1 we have shown how drastically different the theoretical predictions of classical and relativistic mechanics are, and we have shown that the data matches the relativistic prediction, not the classical prediction. Furthermore, by fitting the data we have managed to calculate the ratio of two fundamental constants of nature, the mass of the electron and the charge of the electron. Remember that to obtain a linear best fit we had to recast the equations in such a way as to provide a linear relationship. This is a technique that will be used throughout this book.

2.3 Fitting Methods

In the previous section we discussed the curve of “best” fit for the data points in Figure 2.1. But what exactly do we mean by “best”, and how do we determine the fit? To fully explain this we must first introduce some new definitions.

To begin, we define a **residual**.³ If we have some observed value y_o but expect the value of y_e then the residual is just $y_o - y_e$. We can apply this definition to the plot of Figure 2.1. We can think of the best fit line as the expected values y_e , and the actual data points as the observed values y_o . Using common sense we can define what a best fit line is; it is the line that minimizes the sum of the residuals between the line and the data points. In other words, we want every data point to fall as closely as possible to the best fit line. We can adjust the parameters m and b for the line accordingly until we have the smallest possible sum of residuals for the line.

Before we apply this idea to the plot we must take into consideration two problems. The first problem is that the residuals can be both positive and negative, and so we could minimize the total residuals to zero, while still having very large positive and negative residuals that cancel each other out. We can negate this effect by adding the residuals together in **quadrature**, denoted by the \oplus symbol. By this, we mean that instead of adding the residuals together, we add the squares of the residuals.⁴

³Residuals are not just used for experimental data but are also very important in numerical analysis.

In reality, fitting methods and their theory is more in the realm of numerical analysis than physics. Because of this it is important that physicists have a strong grasp of numerical analysis.

⁴There is a more detailed mathematical explanation as to why we add the residuals in quadrature, and this stems from the theory behind **chi-squared distributions**. A more intuitive way to think of the residuals is to think of them as components of a vector, and we are trying to find the magnitude of the vector. The same method is used for explaining why we add uncertainties in quadrature, as is described in Chapter 1 of this book.

2 Plots

The second problem is that we don't want data points with very large uncertainty to effect the placement of the line just as much as data points with small uncertainty. For example, consider an example where we have made three measurements with associated uncertainty of 1.0 ± 0.1 , 2.0 ± 0.1 , and 20 ± 10 . For the last data point the experimenter was distracted by a ninja and so the uncertainty is huge (nearly 50%). We might not want to throw out the last data point, but we certainly don't want our line of best fit to consider the last data point equally with the first two. To ensure the first two data points are considered more than the final data point, we must **weight** the residuals by the associated uncertainty for that specific measurement. We do this by dividing the residual by the uncertainty. Subsequently, large uncertainty makes the residual smaller (and it matters less), and small uncertainty makes the residual larger (it matters more). Summing these weighted residuals in quadrature yields a value called the **chi-squared** value, denoted by the Greek letter χ^2 .

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{y_i - f(x_i)}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 \quad (2.8)$$

Here we have N data points y_i measured at the variable x_i with an associated uncertainty of σ_i . Additionally, the value $f(x_i)$ is the value calculated by the curve we are fitting to the data points at the variable x_i .

We now have a method by which we can find the line of best fit for a given set of data points; we minimize χ^2 as is given in Equation 2.8. But what if we wish to compare the **goodness** of our fit for one set of data with another set of data? By looking at Equation 2.8 for χ^2 we see that if the number of data points increase but the uncertainty on each data point remains constant, our χ^2 will increase. This means that by our definition of best fit, more data points means a worse fit. This of course does not make sense, and so we need to modify Equation 2.8 slightly.

Before we modify Equation 2.8, we need to note this equation does not just apply to fitting data points with lines, but with any arbitrary curve, as $f(x_i)$ can be determined by any arbitrary function! If we are going to modify Equation 2.8 so we can compare the goodness of different linear fits, we may as well modify it so that we can compare the goodness of any arbitrary curve. To do this we define the **number of degrees of freedom** (commonly abbreviated as NODF) for a fit as,

$$\nu = N - n - 1 \quad (2.9)$$

where N is the number of data points being fitted, n is the number of parameters of the curve being fit, and the Greek letter ν (spelled nu) is the number of degrees of freedom. The number of parameters for a curve is the number of variables that need to be determined. For the line there are two variables, the slope, m , and the y -intercept b . For a second degree polynomial such as,

$$y = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 \quad (2.10)$$

there are three parameters, a_0 , a_1 , and a_2 . Now, by using the number of degrees of freedom from Equation 2.9 and χ^2 from Equation 2.8 we can define the **reduced chi-**

squared of a fit which allows for the comparison of the goodness of a fit using any number of data points and any arbitrary curve.

$$\chi_\nu^2 = \frac{\chi^2}{\nu} = \frac{1}{N - n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{y_i - f(x_i)}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 \quad (2.11)$$

The number of degrees of freedom for a curve must always be greater than 0 to fit that curve to the data points using the minimization of χ_ν^2 method. We can think of this intuitively for the case of a line. A line is defined by a minimum of two points, so if we are trying to fit a line to a data set with two data points we see there is only one line we can draw, and $\nu = 0$. This means we don't need to bother with minimizing χ_ν^2 because we already know the solution. Another way to think of the number of degrees of freedom for a fit is for larger ν the fit is more free and for smaller ν the fit is more confined. If we add ten more data points to our data set in the example with the line, ν becomes 9 and the fit of the line is more free because it has more data points to consider when finding m and b . If we change the type of curve we are fitting to the second degree polynomial of Equation 2.10 ν now becomes 8 because we have added an extra parameter. This decreases the freedom of the fit because the fit must now use the same number of data points to find more parameters; there are essentially less options for the parameters of the curve.

Looking at Equation 2.11 we can now make some general statements about what the χ_ν^2 for a curve of best fit indicates. We see that χ_ν^2 becomes very large, $\chi_\nu^2 \gg 1$, when either the values for σ_i are very small, or the differences between the observed and expected results are very large. In the first case the experimenter has underestimated the uncertainty but in the second case the fit just does not match the data and most probably the theory attempting to describe the data is incorrect. If the χ_ν^2 is very small, $\chi_\nu^2 \ll 1$, either the uncertainties are very large, or the residuals are very small. If the uncertainties are too large this just means the experimenter overestimated the uncertainty for the experiment. However, if the residuals are too small this means that the data may be **over-fit**. This can happen when the number of parameters for the fitting curve is very near the number of data points, and so the best fit curve is able to pass very near most of the points without actually representing a trend in the data.

The above discussion is a bit complicated but the bottom line is that a χ_ν^2 near one usually indicates a good fit of the data. If χ_ν^2 is too small either the fitting curve has too many parameters or the uncertainty was overestimated. If χ_ν^2 is too large the fitting curve is either incorrect, or the uncertainty was underestimated. In Figure 2.1 the χ_ν^2 value, displayed beneath the line of best fit, is given as 0.29. This value is less than 1 but still close (same order of magnitude) and so the fit is a good fit. Perhaps the uncertainty was slightly overestimated for the data points, but not by much.

Now let us consider in more detail the fit of Figure 2.1. The curve of best fit has $\chi_\nu^2 = 0.29$, which we can calculate explicitly by using the best fit parameters of Figure 2.7, the x and y values for each data point, and their associated uncertainty. The x_i and y_i values can be read from Figure 2.1 and then $f(x_i) = mx_i + b$ calculated using m and b from Equation 2.7. However, this can be a bit tedious and so the numbers x_i , y_i , σ_i ,

2 Plots

x_i [Gauss]	y_i [unitless]	σ_i [unitless]	$f(x_i)$ [unitless]
80	0.981	0.073	0.952
85	1.018	0.072	1.017
90	1.036	0.069	1.081
95	1.126	0.066	1.146
100	1.198	0.054	1.211
105	1.292	0.034	1.275
110	1.374	0.089	1.340
115	1.435	0.125	1.404
120	1.398	0.096	1.469

Table 2.1: Data points used in Figure 2.1 with associated uncertainty. Column one gives x_i , the magnetic field B in Gauss. Column two gives y_i , the $\beta\gamma$ of the electron, and column three its associated uncertainty, σ_i . Column four gives the value calculated for the point x_i using the best fit parameters of Equation 2.7 in Equation 2.4.

and $f(x_i)$ are provided in Table 2.1.⁵

We have 9 data points so $N = 9$. We are fitting with a line so $n = 2$ and consequently $\nu = 6$. Using the numbers from Table 2.1 we can calculate χ_ν^2 from Equation 2.11 for the line of best fit in Figure 2.1 in all of its gory detail.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_\nu^2 &= \frac{1}{6} \left[\left(\frac{0.981 - 0.952}{0.073} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1.018 - 1.017}{0.072} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1.036 - 1.081}{0.069} \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1.126 - 1.146}{0.066} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1.198 - 1.211}{0.054} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1.292 - 1.275}{0.034} \right)^2 \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1.374 - 1.340}{0.089} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1.435 - 1.404}{0.125} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1.398 - 1.469}{0.096} \right)^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \left[(0.397)^2 + (0.014)^2 + (-0.652)^2 + (-0.303)^2 + (-0.240)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (0.500)^2 + (0.382)^2 + (0.248)^2 + (-0.740)^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \left[0.158 + 0.000 + 0.425 + 0.092 + 0.058 + 0.25 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 0.146 + 0.062 + 0.548 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1.739}{6} \\
 &= 0.290
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

⁵Feel free to check them. It is not only a good exercise to just do the calculations, but also to check the author's work.

We can also check to ensure that the values for m and b from Equation 2.7 give the minimum χ_ν^2 by changing the best fit values of m and b slightly. We do not explicitly write out the calculations, but the results in Table 2.2 can be checked using the exact same method as Equation 2.12 but now using different $f(x_i)$ values based on the change in m and b .

	$b - 0.01$	b	$b + 0.01$
$m - 0.01$	401.6	393.8	386.0
m	0.329	0.290	0.329
$m + 0.01$	386.0	393.8	401.6

Table 2.2: The reduced chi-squared for small perturbations around the best fit parameters given in Equation 2.7 for Figure 2.1.

From Table 2.2 we can see that the parameters given in Equation 2.7 actually do minimize the χ_ν^2 for data points of Figure 2.1. More importantly we see how much the values change over small variations in the parameters. Figure 2.2 shows the same behaviour of χ_ν^2 as Table 2.2 but now visually represents the change of χ_ν^2 with a three dimensional surface. The lowest point on the plot corresponds to the best fit parameters of Equation 2.7 and the lowest χ_ν^2 value of 0.29.

If a marble was placed on the curve of Figure 2.2 it would roll to the lowest point. This is exactly how the minimum reduced chi-squared for a line of best fit is found.⁶ For a curve with more than two parameters (take for example the polynomial of Equation 2.10) the marble is just placed on an n -dimensional surface. This is of course more difficult to visualize, but the principal is exactly the same as for the line.

Looking back at Equation 2.7 we see that there are uncertainties associated with the best fit parameters. There is a rigorous derivation for these uncertainties, but it is also possible to visualize them using the marble analogy above. We now take the marble and place it at the minimum that we previously found by dropping the marble, and push the marble with an equal amount of force in both the m and b directions. The marble will begin oscillating back and forth around the minimum point, but the oscillations will not be the same in both the m and b directions. Figure 2.2 looks somewhat like a channel, and so we expect to see rather large oscillations along the direction of b , but very small ones along the direction of m . The size of these oscillations correspond directly with the size of the uncertainties associated with m and b . Looking back at the uncertainties in Equation 2.7 we see that indeed we do have very small uncertainty on m , and a much larger uncertainty on b !

⁶The method for minimizing χ_ν^2 varies from numerical package to numerical package, but most use what is known as the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm which is a combination of the Gauss-Newton algorithm and the steepest gradient method.




Figure 2.2: The surface plot for the minimization of the reduced chi-squared of Figure 2.1. Notice how the minimum occurs near $b = -0.08$ and $m = 0.0125$, corresponding to the best fit parameters given in Equation 2.7.

3 Newton's Laws

In 1687 Isaac Newton published his *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* and revolutionized the field of physics (some might even say create). Within the *Principia* Newton postulated his famous three **laws of motion**.¹

1. *Every body perseveres in its state of rest, or of uniform motion in a right line, unless it is compelled to change that state by forces impressed thereon.*
2. *The alteration of motion is ever proportional to the motive force impressed; and is made in the direction of the right line in which that force is impressed.*
3. *To every action there is always opposed an equal reaction : or the mutual actions of two bodies upon each other are always equal, and directed to contrary parts.*

Perhaps a more succinct and modern version will help convey the simplicity of the laws.

1. An object at rest will remain at rest and an object in motion will remain in motion unless acted upon by an external force.
2. Force is equal to mass times acceleration.
3. For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction.

The laws themselves are deceptively simple. It seems obvious that an object at rest will remain at rest, yet before Newton, no one had ever considered this as a physical law! Because people saw this behavior every day they took it for granted, and did not even realize it was a general rule. Oftentimes the quote “the exception proves the rule” is used. In the case of Newton’s three laws there were no exceptions, and so no one realized the rule.

These three laws make up what is known as **Newtonian mechanics** and remained undisputed for over 200 years until the advent of **relativity** and **quantum mechanics**. Relativity describes objects going very close to the speed of light, much faster than anything seen in day-to-day life. Quantum mechanics describes objects that are much smaller than day-to-day life, on the same order magnitude as the size of the atom, or smaller. The combination of these two fields of physics is **relativistic quantum mechanics**. The beauty of both relativity and quantum mechanics is that the limits of these theories (very slow for relativity, or very large for quantum mechanics) approach Newtonian mechanics.

¹*Newton's Principia : the mathematical principles of natural philosophy* translated by Daniel Adee from the original Latin. 1846. The full text can be downloaded [here](#) (it is a very large pdf).

One of the primary tools in Newtonian mechanics is the use of **free-body diagrams**. In these diagrams all the relevant forces for a system (usually involving some ridiculous combination of sliding blocks and pulleys) are represented as force vectors, and the motion of the system can then be calculated. These are also sometimes called **force diagrams** and help us visually apply the three laws of motion. Consider for example a block being pushed across a frictionless plane. By the first law we know the block must be moving because it is experiencing an external force. By the second law we know the force being exerted on the block is equal to its mass times its acceleration. And by the third law we know the block is not falling, as the frictionless plane is providing an equal and opposite force countering the force of gravity on the block.

Sometimes free-body diagrams can become very complicated, and it is simpler to use what is called **Lagrangian mechanics**. Here, a much more mathematical approach is used to derive the **equations of motion** for the system, rather than visualizing the physical reality. Because of this, free-body diagrams help provide a much more intuitive approach to physical problems, while Lagrangian mechanics sometimes provide a simpler method.

3.1 Experiment

Perhaps the second law of motion is the least intuitive of all the laws. We know the first law is true because objects sitting on desks or lab benches don't just get up and walk away unless some sort of force is applied. The third law is clearly true or else when sitting down, we would fall right through our chair. The second law however is not quite so obvious, and so in the experiment associated to this chapter, the second law is verified using two methods. In the first method we vary the mass of the object, while in the second method we vary the force acting on the object. The setup for the first method consists of a cart moving along an almost frictionless track. Attached to this cart is a string which runs over a pulley and is attached to a small weight. Gravity pulls the weight downwards which subsequently causes a tension in the string which acts on the cart.

The first step in approaching this problem is to draw a free-body diagram, as is done in Figure 3.1(a). From this diagram we can see that the tension on the string, T , must equal the force on the hanging weight m_w which is just $m_w g$. We also can see that the only force acting on the cart is the tension, so by Newton's second law we have $m_c a = m_w g$. Here m_c is the mass of the cart. From this we know the acceleration of the cart.

$$a = \frac{m_w g}{m_c} \tag{3.1}$$

We see that if we increase the mass of the cart, the acceleration will decrease. If we verify Equation 3.1, then we have verified Newton's second law. We can do this by changing the mass of the cart m_c while applying the same force, and measuring the acceleration of the cart.




Figure 3.1: Free-body diagrams for the two methods used to verify Newton's second law in the experiment associated with this chapter.

3 Newton's Laws

With the second method, we roll the cart down an inclined plane as shown in the free-body diagram of Figure 3.1(b). Now, the force acting on the cart is just,

$$F = m_c g \sin \theta \tag{3.2}$$

and so by varying θ we can change the force on the cart. From this we can theoretically calculate the acceleration using Newton's second law and compare this to our experimentally determined values.

$$a = g \sin \theta \tag{3.3}$$

Looking at this equation we see that if we plot $\sin \theta$ on the x -axis and our measured a on the y -axis we should obtain a straight line. Furthermore, the slope of this straight line should be g !

4 Momentum

Oftentimes the question “what’s the point, what can I actually do with physics?”¹ is asked by frustrated physics students (or non-physics students forced to study physics). The answer is almost anything, although this is not always readily apparent. However, one area where the use of physics is clear is in the modeling of **kinematics** or the interactions between objects. But how does modeling kinematics help us? Everything from video game physics engines to crime scene investigators use kinematics and the fundamental laws of physics to recreate realistic physical realities.

Another extremely useful² application of kinematics is the analysis of movie scenes to determine if they are physically possible. Consider the opening scene to the 2010 “Star Trek” movie where a young Captain Kirk has stolen his step-father’s vintage Corvette and is driving through a corn field in Iowa. A police officer tries to pull Kirk over, but he refuses and accidentally drives the Corvette off a cliff during the ensuing pursuit. Luckily he manages to jump out of the Corvette just in time and grabs the edge of the cliff.³ Is this scene physically possible?

First we need to make a few assumptions and rough estimates. We can estimate the mass of the car to be approximately 1400 kg, $m_c = 1400$, and the mass of Kirk to be around 55 kg, $m_k = 55$. From an earlier shot in the scene we know that the car (and Kirk) has been traveling near 75 mph or 33.5 m/s, $v_c^i = 33.5$. After the collision we know that Kirk has a velocity of zero as he hangs onto the cliff face, $v_k^f = 0$.

By using **conservation of momentum** which requires the momentum in a system to be conserved (the same before as it is after), we can find the velocity of the car after Kirk jumps out.

$$p_i = 33.5 \times 1400 + 33.5 \times 55 = v_c^f \times 1400 + 0 \times 55 \Rightarrow v_c^f = 34.8 \text{ m/s} = 125 \text{ km/h} \quad (4.1)$$

The action of Kirk jumping out of the car increases the speed of the car by 5 km/h. But we can go even further. By **Newton’s second law** we know that force is equal to mass times acceleration,

$$F = m\vec{a} = \frac{\Delta\vec{p}}{\Delta t} \quad (4.2)$$

which we can also write in terms of change of momentum. We already know the change of momentum for Kirk, so to find the force all we need is the time period over which

¹Oftentimes with slightly stronger language.

²Useful is highly dependent upon the eye of the beholder.

³Sorry about the spoiler, I know everyone was hoping Kirk would die.

4 Momentum

the momentum changed. Let us assume he managed to perform his entire jump within a second, so $\Delta t = 1$ s.

$$F_k = \frac{33.5 \times 55 - 0 \text{ kgm/s}}{1 \text{ s}} = 1842.5 \text{ N} \quad (4.3)$$

The average adult can lift over a short period around 135 kg with their legs, equivalent to a force of ≈ 1350 N. Assuming that Kirk has the strength of an adult, we see that Kirk would miss by about 500 N and plunge to his death over the cliff.⁴

4.1 Collisions

In kinematics there are two types of collisions, **inelastic** and **elastic**. In an inelastic collision kinetic energy is not conserved, while in an elastic collision kinetic energy is conserved. Furthermore, there are two types of inelastic collisions, **total** and **partial**. At the end of a totally inelastic collision all of the individual objects are moving with the same velocity. In a partially inelastic collision, this does not occur. Explosions, similar to the example given above, correspond to the reverse of a totally inelastic collision. We begin with a single object moving at a single velocity, and end with a multitude of objects at different velocities (in the example above, Kirk and the car). Conservation of energy still occurs for inelastic collisions; the lost kinetic energy is usually converted into potential energy through the deformation of the colliding objects.




Figure 4.1: An example collision of two objects, A and B with conservation of momentum.

As an example let us consider a simple setup, shown in Figure 4.1. Object B of mass m_B is moving with an initial velocity v_B^i on a frictionless track when object A of mass m_A is fired with an initial velocity of v_A^i at object B . After the collision object A has final velocity v_A^f and object B has final velocity v_B^f . Note that in Figure 4.1 the velocities are not necessarily in the direction indicated, but are drawn as an example.

⁴Yes, this example was written shortly after having just watched “Star Trek”. In all honesty this scene falls much closer to reality than quite a few other scenes from the movie and in terms of popular films is about as close to a realistic physical situation as you will see.

If the collision between object A and object B is elastic, kinetic energy is conserved.

$$\frac{1}{2}m_A (v_A^i)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_B (v_B^i)^2 = \frac{1}{2}m_A (v_A^f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_B (v_B^f)^2 \quad (4.4)$$

Here we have one equation and six unknowns, m_A , m_B , v_A^i , v_B^i , v_A^f , and v_B^f . Normally, however, we know the conditions before the collision, and want to determine the result. In this scenario we would then know m_A , m_B , v_A^i , and v_B^i , but still have two unknowns, v_A^f and v_B^f . With two unknowns we need two equations to uniquely determine the solution, and so we use conservation of momentum to impose our second relation.

$$m_A v_A^i + m_B v_B^i = m_A v_A^f + m_B v_B^f \quad (4.5)$$

We can simultaneously solve the system of equations above using substitution to find values for v_A^f and v_B^f .

$$\begin{aligned} v_A^f &= \frac{m_A v_A^i + m_B (2v_B^i - v_A^i)}{m_B + m_A}, & v_A^f &= v_A^i \\ v_B^f &= \frac{m_B v_B^i + m_A (2v_A^i - v_B^i)}{m_B + m_A}, & v_B^f &= v_B^i \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Because of the quadratic terms in Equation 4.4 we have two solutions for both v_A^f and v_B^f . Physically the first solution corresponds to when the two objects collide with each other after some time period. The second set of trivial equations, where the initial velocities of the objects match their final velocities, corresponds to when the objects do not collide. This can occur when object A is fired at B with a velocity slower than B , when A is fired away from B and B is moving at a velocity slower than A , or when A is fired in the opposite direction of B .

Let us now consider a real world example of an elastic collision. Elastic collisions are somewhat rare, but in pool, the interactions between the pool balls are almost completely elastic. Of course the balls roll, which adds another level of complexity (now angular momentum and kinetic energy must be conserved as well) but for now let us assume that the balls slide and do not experience friction. Consider hitting the cue ball (object A) at the eight ball (object B) in the final shot of a game. We know that the masses of the two balls are around 0.10 kg and that the eight ball begins at rest. Let us also assume that we know the speed of the cue ball to be 10 m/s.⁵

Plugging all these quantities into Equation 4.6, we can determine the final velocities of both the cue ball and the eight ball.

$$\begin{aligned} v_A^f &= \frac{0.1 \times 10 + 0.1 (2 \times 0 - 10)}{0.1 + 0.1} = \frac{1 - 1}{0.2} = 0 \text{ m/s} \\ v_B^f &= \frac{0.1 \times 0 + 0.1 (2 \times 10 - 0)}{0.1 + 0.1} = \frac{2}{0.2} = 10 \text{ m/s} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

⁵These numbers, while approximate, are relatively close to actual values that would be measured in a pool game.

4 Momentum

From the results above, we see that after the collision the cue ball is completely at rest while the eight ball is now moving with the initial velocity of the cue ball, and has hopefully gone into the pocket.

Let us return to the setup of Figure 4.1 and assume a completely inelastic collision now. From this we know that objects A and B are moving at the same final velocity.

$$v_A^f = v_B^f \quad (4.8)$$

Again we impose conservation of momentum from Equation 4.5, and solve for the final velocities in terms of the initial velocities and the mass of the objects.

$$v_A^f = v_B^f = \frac{m_A v_A^i + m_B v_B^i}{m_A + m_B} \quad (4.9)$$

We can use the pool example above to again explore these results, but replace the eight ball with a lump of clay that sticks to the cue ball after the collision.

$$v_A^f = v_B^f = \frac{0.1 \times 10 + 0.1 \times 0}{0.1 + 0.1} = 5 \text{ m/s} \quad (4.10)$$

Both the clay and the cue ball are traveling at half the initial velocity of the cue ball. Unlike the elastic collision, the cue ball has a positive non-zero velocity, and so the shot would be a scratch. If collisions in pool were inelastic and not elastic, the game would be nearly impossible; every straight on shot would be a scratch!

The methods and equations outlined above are valid for any type of **two-body collision**. While the examples were given in one dimension (along the x -axis only), they can be applied to three dimensional problems as well. Now the velocities are given by vectors, but these can be split into their components, v_x , v_y , and v_z , and Equations 4.6 and 4.9 can be applied to each component individually.

4.2 Experiment

In deriving Equations 4.6 and 4.9 we made the assumption that momentum is conserved without any basis to do so. The goal of this experiment associated with this lab is to verify the theory outlined above by experimentally confirming conservation of momentum.

The apparatus used in this lab consists of two carts of equal mass that move on a near frictionless track. The idea is that these carts can be collided with velocities and masses measured before and after the collision so that the two momentums can be compared. The experiment is split into three investigations. In the first investigation cart A is fired at cart B and the initial and final velocities of the carts are measured. In the second investigation, cart A is still fired at B , but now the mass of B is changed. In the final investigation cart A and B are placed back to back, and fired apart in an “explosion”.

One important point to remember for this experiment is that the collisions between the carts are neither elastic, nor fully inelastic. This is because the carts have both velcro and magnets on them which dampen the collisions. Consequently, the behaviors




Figure 4.2: Theoretical final velocities for carts A and B in elastic (red and blue curves) and fully inelastic (green curve) collisions. The initial conditions for the collision are $v_A^i = 0.5$ m/s, $v_B^i = 0$ m/s, and $m_A = 0.5$ kg which are similar to values obtained in the experiment.

of the carts will not resemble the pool ball examples above, but be a combination of the two.

In the second investigation (where the mass of B is changed), the lab manual requests for plots to be made of v_A^f against m_B and v_B^f against m_B . In Figure 4.2 these plots have been made for the elastic and fully inelastic cases using Equations 4.6 and 4.9. Here the solid green line gives the velocity of both cart A and B after a fully inelastic collision. This curve is proportional to $1/m_B$ as expected from Equation 4.9. For an elastic collision the blue dashed line gives v_A^f and the red dotted line gives v_B^f . Notice that for any $m_B > m_A$, cart A bounces backwards off cart B .

Because the collisions between the carts in this lab are partially inelastic, the plots from experiment will not match Figure 4.2 but fall between the two curves (assuming same v_A^i which will not be the case, but should be close). The v_A^f data points should fall below the green curve but above the blue curve, while the v_B^f data points should fall above the green curve but below the red curve. It is important to understand that these

4 Momentum

plots when made in the lab should not be fitted with any function because we do not have a theoretical form for the fitting curve.

5 Rotation

Linear motion describes many of the interactions around us in the physical world. Objects under the influence of gravity fall linearly, cars normally accelerate in a straight line, and the collisions of pool balls are most easily visualized and solved within a linear system. But sometimes, linear motion simply is not sufficient. A perfect example of this can be found in the example of compound pendulum, given in Chapter 6. Here, attempting to find the period of a compound pendulum using linear motion is exceptionally complicated. Instead, we introduce a new force system, rotational motion, to help solve the problem.

Rotational motion is everywhere. Every time a door is opened, principals of rotational motion are exhibited. Cars accelerating around turns, satellites orbiting the earth, wound clocks, weather systems, all of these phenomena are more intuitively described in a rotating system, rather than a linear system. More examples of systems that are best solved in a rotating frame are readily available in almost any introductory physics text book. Further details and development of rotating systems can be found in *An Introduction to Mechanics* by Kleppner and Kolenkow as well as the MIT OpenCourseWare materials for 8.012 as taught by Adam Burgasser.

5.1 Coordinate System

But what exactly do we mean by a rotating system, or rotational mechanics? In linear motion we describe the interactions of objects through forces using the Cartesian coordinate system. Using this coordinate system is convenient because forces, accelerations, and velocities are oftentimes in straight lines. In rotational mechanics we don't change any of the fundamental laws of physics, we just change our coordinate system. We then transform the relations we have for linear motion to this new rotational frame. Perhaps the easiest way to understand this is to make a direct comparison between the quantities used to describe a system in linear and rotational frames.

To begin, we must introduce the two coordinate systems. In linear motion **Cartesian coordinates** are used; the location of an object or the components of a vector are given by x , and y as shown in Figure 5.1(a). In the simplest one-dimensional case (such as the examples in Chapter 4), **linear position** is given by the variable x . In rotational motion **polar coordinates** are used (or in the three dimensions, spherical coordinates) as shown in Figure 5.1(b). Here the position of an object or the direction of a vector is described by the variables θ (the Greek letter theta) and r where the units for θ are always radians, and r is a distance. In the one-dimensional case for rotational motion, **angular position** is given by the variable θ . Consequently, we see that x transforms

5 Rotation




Figure 5.1: The Cartesian and polar coordinate systems used in linear and rotational motion.

into θ and y into r for rotational motion.¹

In linear motion, the velocity or speed of an object is described by,

$$\vec{v} = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \quad (5.1)$$

where Δx is change in position and Δt is change in time. In rotational motion, time remains the same, as changing the coordinate system does not affect the passage of time. If we substitute $\Delta\theta$ for Δx we arrive at the formula for **angular velocity** which is denoted by the Greek letter ω (spelled omega).

$$\omega = \frac{\Delta\theta}{\Delta t} \quad (5.2)$$

Angular velocity is given in units of radians per unit time.² Alternatively, angular velocity can also be thought of as number of rotations per second, and is sometimes also called **angular frequency**.³ For the purposes of this chapter, we will always refer to ω as angular velocity.

Now that we have position and speed for an object in rotational motion, the only remaining quantity we need to describe the motion of an object is acceleration. In linear mechanics,

$$\vec{a} = \frac{\Delta\vec{v}}{\Delta t} \quad (5.3)$$

¹Of course it is possible to also look at the three dimensional case, in which case spherical coordinates are used. However, as the variables used in spherical coordinates are not consistent across disciplines and can cause confusion, we stick to the two dimensional case for this discussion.

²We drop the vector sign for angular velocity as we always know it is either in the plus or minus θ direction.

³Angular frequency is the magnitude of angular velocity.

where $\Delta\vec{v}$ is change in velocity. Again, we just replace linear quantities with rotational quantities.

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta\omega}{\Delta t} \quad (5.4)$$

Here, **angular acceleration** is represented by the Greek letter α (spelled alpha).⁴ The units of α are just radians per second per second. Now we have a full arsenal of quantities to describe motion in a rotational system.

5.2 Moment of Inertia

Before we are able to describe physical laws with rotational motion, we need to introduce a rotational quantity analogous to mass. In a linear system mass is just given by the quantity m . In a rotational system, the equivalent of mass is given by **moment of inertia** oftentimes denoted by the letter I . The moment of inertia for an object is defined as,

$$I \equiv \int r^2 dm \quad (5.5)$$

where dm is an infinitesimal mass, and r the distance of that infinitesimal mass from the axis around which the object is rotating. Let us consider an example to illustrate this. A mass m is rotating around an axis P at a distance r . If we assume the mass is a point mass, the moment of inertia for this system is just mr .

Now let us consider a slightly more difficult example. In Figure 5.2(a) a rod with length ℓ is rotating about its center of mass horizontally. We can then write,

$$I = \int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} r^2 dm = \int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} r^2 \mu dr \quad (5.6)$$

where we assume the rod has a uniform linear density of μ so $dm = \mu dr$. Taking this integral we obtain,

$$I = \left[\frac{\mu r^3}{3} \right]_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} = \frac{2\mu}{3} \left(\frac{\ell^3}{8} \right) = \frac{\mu\ell\ell^2}{12} = \frac{m\ell^2}{12} \quad (5.7)$$

where in the final step we realize that the mass of the rod, m , is equal to $\mu\ell$.

There are two very important properties to remember when dealing with moments of inertia. The first is that moments of inertia can be added if the objects are rotating about the same axis. The second property is the **parallel axis theorem**. This theorem states that if an object has a moment of inertia I_{cm} around its center of mass, then the moment of inertia for that object when rotating around an axis distance r from the

⁴Again, we drop the associated vector sign as we can express direction with positive and negative values.




Figure 5.2: The moments of inertia for a rod rotating about its center of mass and rotating about its end.

center of mass is just its center of mass moment of inertia plus the the objects mass times r squared.

$$I = I_{\text{cm}} + mr^2 \quad (5.8)$$

Figure 5.2(b) demonstrates the use of the parallel axis theorem for the same rod of Figure 5.2(a). Now the rod is rotating about one of its ends, so $r = \ell/2$. This gives us,

$$I = \frac{m\ell^2}{12} + \frac{m\ell^2}{4} = \frac{m\ell^2}{3} \quad (5.9)$$

where we have used Equations 5.7 and 5.8. Notice that in this case the moment of inertia increases. This is because we have moved more of the mass of the rod away from the axis. It is very important to realize that the moment of inertia for an object can change without the mass of the object being changed, merely the distance of the mass from the axis of rotation.

5.3 Momentum, Energy, and Force

In linear motion momentum is always conserved (as hopefully shown in the momentum lab for this course) and so the same must apply to rotational motion. In linear motion, momentum is just mass times velocity.

$$\vec{p} = m\vec{v} \quad (5.10)$$

In rotational motion, we just replace mass with moment of inertia and velocity with angular velocity.

$$L = I\omega \quad (5.11)$$

The resulting quantity, L , is called **angular momentum**. The angular momentum for a system, just as the momentum for a system in linear mechanics is always conserved. This is why a figure skater can increase the speed of their spin by pulling in his or her arms. This lowers the moment of inertia of the skater, and so to conserve angular momentum, the angular velocity of the spin must increase.

Another important derived quantity in physics is kinetic energy.⁵

$$K_t = \frac{1}{2}m\vec{v}^2 \quad (5.12)$$

The subscript t on the K stands for translational, which is just normal linear kinetic energy. In rotational motion we have **angular kinetic energy**,

$$K_r = \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2 \quad (5.13)$$

⁵Kinetic energy is extremely useful for helping solve a variety of problems, especially in elastic collisions where it is conserved. See Chapter 4 for more detail.

5 Rotation

Linear Motion		Relation	Rotational Motion	
Quantity	Symbol		Quantity	Symbol
distance	x		angular distance	θ
velocity	\vec{v}		angular velocity	ω
acceleration	\vec{a}		angular acceleration	α
mass	m	$I = \int r^2 dm$	moment of inertia	I
momentum	$\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$	$L = rp \sin \phi$	angular momentum	$L = I\omega$
kinetic energy	$K_t = \frac{1}{2}m\vec{v}^2$		angular kinetic energy	$K_r = \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2$
force	$\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$	$\tau = rF \sin \phi$	torque	$\tau = I\alpha$

Table 5.1: A summary of useful physical quantities used to describe a system in linear motion and rotational motion. The middle column gives the relation between the linear and rotational quantities.

where the subscript r stands for rotational. The total energy of a system, whether translational kinetic energy, angular kinetic energy, or potential energy is always conserved.

One final piece of the puzzle is still missing, and that is force. In linear motion,

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a} \quad (5.14)$$

by Newton's second law. In rotational motion a new quantity, **torque** (represented by the Greek letter τ), is used.⁶

$$\tau = I\alpha \quad (5.15)$$

If a force is applied to a rotating system the torque on the system is,

$$\tau = rF \sin \phi \quad (5.16)$$

where ϕ is the angle at which the force is applied, and r is the distance from the axis of rotation.⁷

5.4 Comparison

Quite a few new terms have been introduced in the sections above, which can be very daunting for someone who has never seen rotational motion before. The important point to remember is that for every quantity and law in linear motion, the same exact law or quantity is available in rotational motion. To help, Table 5.1 summarizes all the relations discussed above between linear and rotational motion.

The equations of motion for an object moving under constant acceleration in linear motion can also be recast into rotational motion using the quantities discussed in the previous sections and summarized in Table 5.1. To do this, a simple substitution is made between linear quantities and rotational quantities with the results given in Table 5.2.

⁶Torque is a vector, but for the purpose of this lab it is presented just as a scalar.

⁷In full vector notation, $\vec{\tau} = \vec{r} \times \vec{F}$.

Linear Motion	Rotational Motion
$x = v_0 t + \frac{at^2}{2}$	$\theta = \omega_0 t + \frac{\alpha t^2}{2}$
$x = vt - \frac{at^2}{2}$	$\theta = \omega t - \frac{\alpha t^2}{2}$
$x = \frac{1}{2}(v_0 + v)t$	$\theta = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_0 + \omega)t$
$v = v_0 + at$	$\omega = \omega_0 + \alpha t$
$v = \sqrt{v_0^2 + ax}$	$\omega = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + \alpha\theta}$
$a = \frac{v-v_0}{t}$	$\alpha = \frac{\omega-\omega_0}{t}$

Table 5.2: A summary of the equations of motion for an object moving under constant acceleration in both linear and rotational motion. Here, v_0 and ω_0 indicate initial velocity and initial angular velocity respectively.

5.5 Periodic Harmonic Motion

A defining characteristic of rotational motion is harmonic motion. Consider a rod rotating slowly at a constant angular velocity, but instead of viewed from above or below, viewed from profile. As the rod rotates, from the experimenter's view, the length of the rod will appear to grow and shrink periodically. When the rod is parallel with the experimenter its apparent length will be its actual length, ℓ . When at an angle of 45° with the experimenter the rod will appear to have a length of $\ell/\sqrt{2}$ and when perpendicular to the experimenter, the rod will appear to have a length of zero. Plotting the relative length of the rod as observed by the experimenter versus time will yield a sine wave, clearly periodic harmonic motion.

Of course the pendulum is also another example of harmonic motion, most naturally understood by using rotational motion.⁸ Another system, analogous to the pendulum (and to a simple linear spring oscillator) is the torsion spring. With a simple linear oscillator, force is related to displacement by Hooke's law.

$$F = -kx \quad (5.17)$$

Now we simply substitute the rotational quantities discussed earlier into Hooke's law to obtain a rotational motion form.

$$\tau = -\kappa x \quad (5.18)$$

Here, κ is the torque constant, the rotational version of the linear force constant, k , with units traditionally given in Nm/radians. The period of oscillation for a harmonic oscillator governed by Hooke's law is given by⁹,

$$T = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} \quad (5.19)$$

⁸Understanding the basics of rotational motion is necessary for understanding the derivation of the period of a compound pendulum, as is done in Chapter 6.

⁹See Chapter 6 for a derivation of the period for a simple harmonic oscillator.

5 Rotation

where T is period given in units of time. Using the rotational analogue of Hooke's law, we can find the period of a torsion spring by substitution.

$$T = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I}{\kappa}} \quad (5.20)$$

This relation is very useful for finding the moment of inertia for complex objects, where the moment of inertia can not be calculated analytically. By placing the object on a torsion spring with a known torque constant, the period of oscillation can be timed, and the moment of inertia solved for.

$$I = \frac{\kappa T^2}{4\pi^2} \quad (5.21)$$

5.6 Experiment

One of the best ways to begin acquiring an intuitive feel for rotational motion problems is to observe rotational motion in the lab setting. The experiment associated with this chapter provides two investigations, one to explore rotational motion under constant acceleration, and the second to explore periodic harmonic oscillations from a torsion spring.

In the first investigation, to obtain constant angular acceleration on a rotating object, a constant torque must be applied. Looking back at Table 5.1 we can see that by applying a constant force at a constant radius, we obtain a constant torque. Of course one of the best ways to apply a constant known force is to take advantage of the force due to gravity on an object.¹⁰

By applying a constant angular acceleration to an object we can verify that our system for rotational motion is consistent. First we can calculate out a value for the torque being applied to the system by using Equation 5.16 and the moment of inertia for the system using Equation 5.5. From these quantities we can calculate a value for α using Equation 5.15. Next we record angular position θ versus time. This relation should be governed by the first equation of motion from Table 5.2 if theory is correct. As we can start the experiment with $\omega_0 = 0$ we can plot θ versus t^2 and calculate α from the slope of the graph.

We know that angular velocity is just change in angular position over change in time, and so from the θ versus time data we can calculate out ω .¹¹ We associate the calculated ω with the average time used to calculate the time difference. If the fourth equation of Table 5.2 holds, then we can now plot ω versus t and again calculate out α from the slope of the plot. If our theory is correct, then the values for α calculated using the three different methods should match.

The second investigation allows us to experimentally measure the moment of inertia for the rod used in the previous investigation, but more importantly validates the theory

¹⁰We used this exact same method in the experiment associated with Chapter 4.

¹¹What we are doing here is numerical differentiation using a method known as the "finite difference" method. The specific method we use in the experiment associated with this chapter is equivalent to the "midpoint rule" oftentimes used for numerical integration.

behind moment of inertia. This portion of the lab consists of measuring the period for a rod with masses on it in different configurations. From the period, the moment of inertia for the rod and masses can be calculated and compared with the theoretical moment of inertia for a rod calculated earlier in this chapter.

6 Pendulum

Pendulums in today's modern age may seem insignificant, but less than a century ago the simple pendulum was still the most accurate method for keeping time on the planet.¹ With the development of the crystal quartz clock and now the use of nuclear sources, the pendulum has been rendered obsolete as a time keeping mechanism, yet remains as an integral part of most physics curriculums. This begs the question, why is the pendulum so important in physics?

There are many answers to this question, but one answer dominates. The simple pendulum is an experimentally demonstrable, yet theoretically solvable example of simple harmonic motion accessible to most students without requiring an advanced knowledge of differential equations but can also be studied to a very advanced level of physics.² The combination of an analytically solvable theory with experiment is very rare in physics. Most real world scenarios do not have a theoretical solution as simple and beautiful as the solution to the pendulum.

6.1 Simple Harmonic Motion

Understanding the theory behind the physics of the pendulum begins with understanding **simple harmonic motion** (SHO). The mathematics behind SHO can at times become a little complex, but the end result is well worth the wait. To introduce SHO, let us consider the classic example used, a spring attached to a mass m at one end and an immovable wall at the other end as shown in Figure 6.1.

The block of mass m is displaced by a distance x from **equilibrium**, x_0 . Here, equilibrium is defined as the location where the potential energy of the system is minimized, or in this case, zero. Physically, this is where the block is placed such that the spring is not exerting a force on the block. The force on the block is then given by **Hooke's law**,

$$F = -kx \tag{6.1}$$

where k is the **spring constant** and indicates how stiff the spring is. A large k means that the spring is very stiff, while a small k means the spring is easily compressed. Notice the negative sign in the equation above, this is intentional. When the block is pulled away from the wall, the spring exerts a **restoring force** drawing it back towards the

¹Marrison, Warren. "The Evolution of the Quartz Crystal Clock". Bell System Technical Journal **27** 1948. pp. 510-588.

²An excellent paper demonstrating the incredible depth of the pendulum problem is available from [Nelson and Olson](#).

6 Pendulum




Figure 6.1: Force diagram for simple harmonic motion for a block of mass m attached to a spring with force constant k .

wall. Because we are working with a one-dimensional example, we have dropped the vector symbols for both force and displacement.

Using Newton's second law we can relate the mass and acceleration of the block to the force exerted on the block by Hooke's law.

$$F = ma = m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -kx \quad (6.2)$$

This is a second order differential equation of degree one, for which the solution is well known. As this chapter is not about differential equations we will assume we know the solution, but for those curious about the details there is an excellent book on differential equations, *Elementary Differential Equations* by Arthur Mattuck.³

$$x(t) = A \cos(\omega t) \quad (6.3)$$

The equation above is a particular solution to Equation 6.2, but suits our needs perfectly. We see that the value A represents the amplitude of the oscillations (or the maximum displacement of the block), as the cosine function reaches a maximum value of one, and can be rewritten as the initial displacement of the block, or x . The value ω is the **angular frequency** at which the block is oscillating. We can see that for every 2π seconds the block will have returned to its initial position.

Taking the first derivative of Equation 6.3 with respect to time we can find the velocity of the block as a function of time, and by taking the second derivative we can find the acceleration of the block also as a function of time.

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= -\omega A \sin(\omega t) \\ a(t) &= -\omega^2 A \cos(\omega t) \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

³This is the textbook used for the MIT OpenCourseWare materials for 18.03 also taught by Arthur Mattuck. This course is the most popular introductory math course at MIT, and with good reason, some of his more hilarious quotes are [here](#).

Plugging acceleration and position back into Equation 6.2 we can find ω in terms of m and k .

$$-m\omega^2 A \cos(\omega t) = -kA \cos(\omega t) \Rightarrow m\omega^2 = k \Rightarrow \omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \quad (6.5)$$

From the angular frequency we can then find the **period** of oscillation, or the time it takes the block to return to its initial displacement distance, x .

$$T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} \quad (6.6)$$

This result has one very important result, the period is not dependent on how far the block is initially displaced from equilibrium! This is a very important result for pendulums as will be shown shortly.

6.2 Simple Pendulum

The **simple pendulum** consists of a pendulum bob of mass m attached to a string of length ℓ pivoting about a pivot P . The string is assumed to have no mass, and the pendulum bob is assumed to be a point mass (all of its mass is within an infinitely small point). The force diagram for a simple pendulum is given in Figure 6.2(a). The pendulum bob is experiencing two forces, the tension of the string T from centripetal force, and gravitational force mg . The entire pendulum is displaced from equilibrium by an angle θ and or by an arc distance s .

We can further split the gravitational force into two components, centripetal and tangential. The centripetal component must be cancelled by the tension of the string otherwise the pendulum bob would go flying through the air. The tangential force however is not counter-acted and so the pendulum will accelerate towards the equilibrium; this force acts as the restoring force for the system just as the spring provided the restoring force in the previous example.

We can now write the equivalent of Hooke's law from Equation 6.1, but now for the pendulum.

$$ma = -mg \sin \theta = -ks = -k\ell\theta \Rightarrow mg \sin \theta = k\ell\theta \quad (6.7)$$

In the first step we replace a with the tangential acceleration $-mg \sin \theta$. In the second step we replace the displaced distance x with the displaced arc length s . In the final step we write arc length in terms of θ and length ℓ using simple trigonometry.

We must now take one final step, and that is the **small angle approximation**. This approximation states that if the angle θ is sufficiently small (usually under 10°) then $\sin \theta = \theta$ or $\cos \theta = 1$. By looking at a unit circle you can convince yourself of the validity of this approximation. By making this approximation we can now solve k in terms of ℓ , m , and g .

$$k = \frac{mg}{\ell} \quad (6.8)$$




Figure 6.2: Force diagrams for a simple pendulum and general compound pendulum.

Furthermore we can place this k back into the formula we derived for period, Equation 6.6, and find the period of the pendulum.

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m}{\frac{mg}{\ell}}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\ell g} \quad (6.9)$$

We see that the masses cancel and the period is dependent only on g (a relative global constant) and the length of the pendulum ℓ , not the mass or the initial displacement! This result, **isochronism**, demonstrates why pendulums are so useful as time keepers, and also shows how we can measure the value of g if we know the length of the pendulum. It is, however, important to remember that we did make use of the small angle approximation. This means that this equation is only valid when we displace the pendulum by small angles θ . Later on we will see the difference this approximation makes in the period of a pendulum at large angles.

6.3 Compound Pendulum

A **compound pendulum** is any **rigid body** which rotates around a pivot, as shown in Figure 6.2(b). The method outlined above for determining the period of the simple pendulum works well, but for the compound pendulum this method no longer works as gravity is now acting on the entire pendulum, not just the pendulum bob. To circumvent

this problem we introduce a new method to determine the period of the pendulum, using rotational motion. For those readers not familiar with rotational motion, reread Chapter 5. The general idea however is that for every quantity used in **linear motion** to describe the motion of an object, there is an equivalent quantity in **rotational motion**. A summary of the relationship between linear and rotational motion is given in Table 5.1 of Chapter 5.

Returning to the force diagram of Figure 6.2(b) we see that a gravitational force of mg is still being exerted on the center of mass of the pendulum at an angle θ . From this, the **torque** on the system is just $-\ell mg \sin \theta$. We can use Newton's second law in rotational motion to set torque equal to **moment of inertia** times **angular acceleration**, with the equivalent relation in linear motion given previously by Equation 6.7. The similarities are striking.

$$I\alpha = -\ell mg \sin \theta = -\kappa\theta \Rightarrow mgl \sin \theta = \kappa\theta \quad (6.10)$$

We again make the small angle approximation and solve for κ (our rotational motion equivalent of k).

$$\kappa = mgl \quad (6.11)$$

Substituting κ for k , and I for m in Equation 6.9 we now have the solution for the period of any pendulum with moment of inertia I and distance ℓ between the pivot of the pendulum and the center of mass of the pendulum.

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{I}{mgl}} \quad (6.12)$$

For the case of the simple pendulum the moment of inertia is just $\ell^2 m$ which when plugged back into Equation 6.12 returns the same period as Equation 6.9.

6.4 Small Angle Approximation

One final issue to discuss is the validity of the small angle approximation made for both the simple and compound pendulums. As stated earlier, this approximation is only valid for $\theta \ll 1$, usually $\theta < 10^\circ$. However, it is important to understand how this approximation affects the results of our theory. A closed analytic solution to Equation 6.2 when replacing kx with $mg \sin x$ is not currently known, but can be expressed by a perturbation series in θ .⁴

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \right) \sin^{2n}(\theta/2) \right] \quad (6.13)$$

⁴Nelson, Robert and M. G. Olsson. "The pendulum - Rich physics from a simple system". American Journal of Physics **54** (2). February 1986. pp. 112-121.

6 Pendulum

It is not important to necessarily understand the above equation, but is important to understand the results given in Figure 6.3(a). Here a convenient length for the simple pendulum has been chosen, $\ell = \frac{g}{4\pi^2}$, such that the period for the pendulum as given by Equation 6.9 is just one second. For initial displacement angles less than 16° the percentage error caused by making the small angle approximation is less than 1%. As θ grows, the error from the small angle approximation grows as well, with an initial displacement angle of 90° causing nearly 50% error.

6.5 Experiment

For the experiment associated with this chapter a compound pendulum is used to validate Equation 6.12 and to experimentally measure a value for g . For the purposes of this experiment we assume the width of the pendulum is much less than that of its length L , and so we can approximate the moment of inertia for the pendulum as that of a rod. The moment of inertia for a rod rotating around its center of mass is,

$$I = \frac{mL^2}{12} \quad (6.14)$$

as derived in Chapter 5. Using the parallel axis theorem we see that a rod rotating about an axis at distance ℓ from its center of mass has the following moment of inertia.

$$I = \frac{mL^2}{12} + m\ell^2 \quad (6.15)$$

Plugging this back into Equation 6.12 we can theoretically predict the period for the compound pendulum used in this experiment.

$$T = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\frac{mL^2}{12} + m\ell^2}{mg\ell}} = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{mL^2}{12g\ell^2} + \frac{\ell}{g}} = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{12\ell^2}} \quad (6.16)$$

Notice that this formula is dependent on both ℓ , the distance of the pivot from the center of mass of the rod (in this case just the center of the rod), and L , the entire length of the rod. It is important to not confuse these two quantities.

Using Equations 6.9 and 6.16 we can compare the periods of oscillation for a simple pendulum and a compound pendulum made from a rod versus the variable length of either pendulum, ℓ . For the simple pendulum we expect a simple parabola from the square root term. The simple pendulum behavior is shown by the solid line in blue in Figure 6.3(b). The change in period with respect to ℓ for the rod compound pendulum is more complicated as is apparent from Equation 6.16. For a rod of $L = 1.2$ m (close to the length of the compound pendulum used for the experiment associated with this chapter), the behavior of the period with respect to ℓ is plotted in dashed red in Figure 6.3(b) as well.

Perhaps one of the most noticeable aspects of the comparison between the two periods is that for the simple pendulum, the period approaches zero as ℓ approaches zero. For

the compound pendulum however, the period approaches ∞ as ℓ approaches zero.

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +0} 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}} = 0, \quad \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +0} 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{12\ell^2}} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +0} 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{L^2}{12g\ell}} = +\infty \quad (6.17)$$

For very large ℓ the periods of the simple and rod compound pendulum match. This can be seen mathematically by taking the limit of Equation 6.16,

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{12\ell^2}} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\sqrt{1 + 0} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}} \quad (6.18)$$


which we see is exactly the same as the period for the simple pendulum. Physically this makes sense as well. For $\ell \gg L$ we see that the physical set up approaches that of the simple pendulum. The rod is no longer rotating about itself, but must be attached to the pivot by some massless connector. As ℓ becomes larger and larger, the rod becomes more and more like a point mass.

There is another important observation to make about Figure 6.3(b). The period of the rod compound pendulum is not monotonic (always increasing or decreasing) like that of the simple pendulum but begins large, decreases rapidly, and then begins to increase again. By taking the derivative of Equation 6.16 and setting this to zero we can find the ℓ which provides the minimum period.


$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\ell} \left[2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{L^2}{12\ell^2}} \right] &= \frac{\pi}{2\ell\sqrt{3g}} \left(\frac{(12\ell^2 - L^2)}{\sqrt{\ell^3(L^2 + 12\ell^2)}} \right) \\ \frac{\pi}{2\ell\sqrt{3g}} \left(\frac{(12\ell^2 - L^2)}{\sqrt{\ell^3(L^2 + 12\ell^2)}} \right) &= 0 \\ \ell &= \frac{L}{\sqrt{12}} \\ T_{\min} &= \sqrt{8}\pi\sqrt{\frac{L}{g\sqrt{12}}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

Calculating this out with a value of $g = 9.81 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ and $L = 1.2 \text{ m}$ gives a minimum period of $T_{\min} = 1.7 \text{ s}$ at $\ell = 0.35 \text{ m}$ for the rod compound pendulum used in the experiment associated with this chapter.

6 Pendulum



(a)



(b)

62 Figure 6.3: The period of a simple pendulum with $\ell = \frac{g}{4\pi^2}$ versus initial displacement angle θ without making the small angle approximation is given on in the top plot. A comparison between the periods of a rod pendulum with $L = 1.2$ m and the simple pendulum is given in the bottom plot.

7 Gas

Understanding how gasses interact with their environment has many connections to every day life, ranging from important matters such as how the earth's atmosphere is contained (so that we can breath), to more mundane matters (yet still very important) such as how quickly it takes flatulence to spread through a closed room.

Before diving directly into the theory of gasses, a little history is necessary to understand the beginning of the fields of **thermodynamics** and **statistical mechanics**, both of which are closely tied with modeling the behavior of gasses. In 1660 Robert Boyle¹ published a book with the rather long name of *New Experiments Physico-Mechanicall, Touching the Spring of the Air, and its Effects*. In this book Boyle described a series of experiments that he had done, with the help of his colleague Robert Hooke, which are the first known rigorous experiments regarding the behavior of gasses. Two years later, after some of his colleagues suggested he rewrite his book, he formulated **Boyle's law** purely from experimental observation.

$$pV = k_B \tag{7.1}$$

Here p is the pressure of a gas, V the volume of the gas, and k_B some constant that is dependent upon the experimental setup. Physically speaking, the law states that the pressure of a gas is inversely proportional to the volume of the gas.²

Despite this rather important breakthrough, the field of thermodynamics languished for another hundred years until the arrival of Carnot and others, primarily because the physicists of the time were too distracted trying to build steam engines.³ The next major breakthrough was made by Gay-Lussac who postulated that the volume of a gas is directly proportional to its temperature.⁴

$$V = k_C T \tag{7.2}$$

This law is called **Charles' Law** as Gay-Lussac claimed the law was experimentally discovered previous to his own discovery.⁵ Additionally Gay-Lussac also notice that the pressure of a gas is proportional to its temperature as well, and so he also postulated what is known as **Gay-Lussac's Law**.

$$p = k_G T \tag{7.3}$$

¹Robert Boyle was a born a native of Ireland to the 1st Earl of Cork and amongst his contemporaries was regarded as one of the world's leading physicists.

²J Appl Physiol 98:31-39, 2005. <http://jap.physiology.org/cgi/reprint/98/1/31>

³There may be other reasons as well.

⁴*The Expansion of Gasses through Heat*. Annales de Chimie **43**, 137 (1802). <http://web.lemoyne.edu/~giunta/gaygas.html>

⁵Gay-Lussac, while having a rather long and difficult to pronounce name, certainly seemed to be an all around good guy.

7 Gas

Notice that the constant of proportionality here, k_G , is not the same as the constant of proportionality in Boyle's Law, k_B nor the same as in Charles' Law, k_C , and that each of these constants are entirely dependent upon the experimental setup.

With these three laws the three macroscopic **state variables** of a gas, pressure, volume, and temperature, are connected. Of course it would be nice to have one equation instead of three, and this was eventually both experimentally and theoretically arrived at in the **ideal gas law**.

$$pV = nRT \quad (7.4)$$

Here n is the number of moles of gas and R is the **ideal gas constant**. Figure 7.1 shows a three dimensional plot of the ideal gas law with the z -axis corresponding to pressure, the x -axis to volume, and the y -axis to temperature. The isolines in red demonstrate Boyle's law, the isolines in blue Gay-Lussac's law, and the isolines in green Charles' law.




Figure 7.1: The ideal gas law given in Equation 7.4 with isoline profiles for Boyle's, Charles', and Gay-Lussac's laws given in red, green, and blue respectively. The isolines for Boyle's law are given for a temperature every 300 degrees Celsius, the isolines for Charles' law are given for a pressure every 0.3 bar, and the isolines for Gay-Lussac's law are given for a volume every 0.3 cm^3 .

The ideal gas law was originally arrived at experimentally, but eventually was derived

using kinetic gas theory. Later, as thermodynamics developed into statistical mechanics, a statistical derivation was also discovered. The statistical derivation can be a bit daunting without the proper background in statistical mechanics, and so the following section attempts to give an intuitive feel for the theoretical origin of the ideal gas law through kinetic gas theory.

7.1 Kinetic Theory

In the previous section we briefly mentioned the pressure, volume, and temperature of a gas, but what exactly do these quantities mean? The volume of a gas is just the volume of the container in which the gas is confined. The pressure of a gas is the amount of force per unit area that the gas is exerting on its container. The temperature of a gas is technically defined as the partial derivative of the energy of the gas taken with respect to the **entropy** of the gas. This definition is not very helpful without more theory, so for the purposes of this chapter, we can also write temperature of an ideal gas as,

$$T = \frac{2\overline{K}}{3R} \quad (7.5)$$

where \overline{K} is the average kinetic energy of the gas.⁶

There is one final definition that needs to be given, and that is for an ideal gas. An **ideal gas** must satisfy the following assumptions.

1. The atoms are point-like with the same mass and do not interact except through collisions.
2. All collisions involving the atoms must be elastic⁷ (with either the container of the wall, or between individual gas atoms).
3. The atoms obey Newton's laws.
4. There are a large number of atoms, moving at random speeds which do not change over time.

With these assumptions for an ideal gas, and the definitions for pressure, volume, and temperature above, we can now begin the derivation of the ideal gas law.

Consider a cube filled with gas as shown in Figure 7.2. Each side of the cube is of length L and so the volume of the cube is just L^3 . The cube is filled with N gas atoms each with a velocity \vec{v}_i . The magnitude of the velocity, or the speed of each gas atom, does not change over time because all the collisions within the cube are elastic (we

⁶For those who are curious this relation can be found from the **Boltzmann distribution** and by assuming that an ideal gas has three translational degrees of freedom, corresponding to the three physical dimensions.

⁷For more information on elastic collisions read over Chapter 4. In elastic collisions two quantities are conserved, momentum and kinetic energy.




Figure 7.2: A cube with width, length, and height L filled with an ideal gas of N particles.

used assumptions 1, 2, and 3 to arrive at this conclusion). The average of the squared velocities for all the gas atoms is just,

$$\overline{v^2} = \frac{\bar{v}_1^2 + \bar{v}_2^2 + \cdots + \bar{v}_N^2}{N} \quad (7.6)$$

where $\overline{v^2}$ is the average of the squared velocities. In general, a straight line segment over a symbol indicates an average. Notice we have not written \bar{v}^2 as this would indicate taking the average of the velocity and then squaring rather than squaring the velocities and then averaging. Using the value for the average of the squared velocities in Equation 7.6, we are able to write the average kinetic energy of the gas.

$$\overline{K} = \frac{1}{2}m\overline{v^2} \quad (7.7)$$

Here, m is the mass of one gas atom. Notice from assumption 1 all the particles have the same mass, and so the average mass is just the mass of one gas atom.

We can also break the average of the squared velocities into the components of the average velocity.⁸

$$\overline{v^2} = \overline{v_x^2} + \overline{v_y^2} + \overline{v_z^2} \quad (7.8)$$

By assumption 4 we know there are a large number of randomly moving gas atoms in the cube, and so if the cube was rotated 90° to the left with the y -axis now where the

⁸For readers unfamiliar with vectors, the magnitude squared of a vector is equal to the sum of the squares of the components. This is exactly the same as the Pythagorean theorem which states the square of the hypotenuse is equal to the sum of the square of each side of a triangle.

z -axis was, no one could tell the difference. This tells us that the average component velocities must be equal and so the average of each component velocity squared must also be equal.

$$\overline{v_x^2} = \overline{v_y^2} = \overline{v_z^2} \Rightarrow \overline{v^2} = 3\overline{v_y^2} \Rightarrow \overline{K} = \frac{3}{2}m\overline{v_y^2} \quad (7.9)$$

In the second step of the equation above we have rewritten Equation 7.8, but now with only the y -component of velocity as all the average components must be equal. In the third step we have just plugged this value for $\overline{v^2}$ into Equation 7.7. Now we can take the final result for the average kinetic energy in Equation 7.9 and plug this into our equation for temperature, given in Equation 7.5.

$$\overline{v_y^2} = \frac{m}{RT} \quad (7.10)$$

Returning to Figure 7.2, we can consider a single gas atom with a velocity $\vec{v} = v_y$. The atom could be (and probably is) also moving in the x and z directions, but we ignore that for now. From Newton's laws (assumption number 3) we know that,

$$\overline{F} = m\overline{a} = m \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} \quad (7.11)$$

where in the second step we have just written out the definition for acceleration, and in the third step substituted in momentum for $m\Delta v$. We are using a capital P to denote momentum to avoid confusion with pressure, which is represented by a lower case p .⁹ Now consider what happens if the atom bounces off the wall of the cube. Because the collision is elastic (by assumption 2) and we assume the wall of the cube is very massive with respect to the gas atom, the velocity of the gas atom after the collision with the wall is just $-v_y$.¹⁰

The initial momentum of the gas particle was mv_y and the final momentum of the gas particle was $-mv_y$ so the change in momentum of the gas particle was $\Delta P = 2mv_y$. Every time the atom bounces off the wall, there is a momentum change of $2mv_y$. If the atom travels between the two walls of the cube, bouncing off each time, we know that the time between bounces is the distance traveled, $2L$, divided by the velocity and so $\Delta t = 2L/v_y$. Plugging ΔP and Δt into Equation 7.11 we arrive at a value for the average force exerted by a single gas atom.

$$\overline{F} = \Delta P \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \right) = 2mv_y \left(\frac{v_y}{2L} \right) = \frac{mv_y^2}{L} \quad (7.12)$$

Now we can think of the total average force of N particles with average velocity $\overline{v_y}$.

$$\overline{F}_N = \frac{mN\overline{v_y^2}}{L} \quad (7.13)$$

⁹In every other chapter, p is used to notate momentum.

¹⁰Check this with Equation 6 from Chapter 4. Let the mass of the gas atom approach 0 and check the result.

7 Gas

We can set the average total force of the particles equal to the pressure, as pressure is just force over area. The area here is just the area of the wall from the cube, or L^2 .

$$\overline{F}_N = pA = pL^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{mN\overline{v}_y^2}{L} = pL^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = \frac{mN\overline{v}_y^2}{L^3} = \frac{mN\overline{v}_y^2}{V} \quad (7.14)$$

If we plug in \overline{v}_y^2 from Equation 7.10 into the result above, we obtain the ideal gas law!

$$p = \left(\frac{mN}{V}\right) \left(\frac{RT}{m}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad pV = NRT \quad (7.15)$$

Notice we have a capital N (number of atoms) rather than lower case n (number of moles). This is just a matter of notation. Typically the ideal gas constant, R , is given in Joules per mole per Kelvin, in which case small n (moles) should be used instead of large N (atoms).

7.2 Experiment

The experiment associated with this chapter consists of experimentally verifying both Boyle's law from Equation 7.1 and Gay-Lussac's law from Equation 7.3. This first experiment is performed by pressurizing a column of air with a bike pump and taking volume measurements as more and more pressure is added. As the pressure increases, the volume must decrease according to Boyle's law. The raw data from this part of the experiment should look similar to the red lines in Figure 7.1, and be nearly identical to the lowest isoline (corresponding to a temperature of 20° Celsius). By making a linear plot of pressure on the x -axis and $1/V$ on the y -axis, it is possible to verify Boyle's law with a linear fit.

Gay-Lussac's law can be verified by keeping a constant volume of gas and changing the temperature while measuring the pressure. In this experiment a small container of gas is heated, and a pressure gauge allows the temperature to be read. According to Equation 7.3 the temperature and pressure should rise linearly, and if a plot is made it should closely resemble the blue isolines of Figure 7.1. The volume of the apparatus is near 10 cm³, and so the raw data from this part of the experiment should closely follow the lower isolines. Because of this we expect that a large change in temperature will yield a relatively small change in pressure.

While verifying Gay-Lussac's law, it is possible to experimentally determine absolute zero (0 Kelvin) in degrees Celsius! In all the formulas involving temperature in this chapter, the temperature must be given in Kelvin. Because the Kelvin scale is the same as the Celsius scale, except with a constant term added on, we can rewrite Equation 7.3.

$$p = k_G(T_C - T_0) \quad (7.16)$$

Here T_C is temperature in degrees Celsius, and T_0 absolute zero in degrees Celsius. By formatting this linear relationship in slope-intercept form we see that the intercept of

the plot is just,

$$b = k_g T_0 = m T_0 \quad (7.17)$$

where we already know k_g from the slope of the plot. Plugging in values for m and b as obtained from the best fit of the plot, we can solve for T_0 and find absolute zero!

8 Resistance

Electricity has captivated the imagination of humans since the earliest recordings of civilizations, yet it was not until the 17th century that we truly began to understand the fundamental nature of electricity. Now, electromagnetism is described by the most precise theory ever developed by the physics community. What began with experiments ranging from flying kites in thunderstorms to catching electric eels has now culminated in providing the base for all modern technology. The study of electromagnetism today can be broadly broken down into applied electrical engineering and theoretical electrodynamics.

Despite being united by electricity, these two fields of study are completely different, yet equally important. The engineering aspect allows for new technologies to be developed, while the theoretical side develops new ideas that can be implemented in practice. However, both of these fields require an understanding of the fundamentals of electrodynamics. There are a large number of books on the subject; some are good while others are terrible. Two particularly excellent resources are MIT's OpenCourseWare materials for 8.02 as taught by Walter Lewin, and an *Introduction to Electrodynamics* by David Griffiths. More in depth discussions of certain parts of electrodynamics are given in Chapters 9 and 13.

8.1 Circuits

A quick review of the quantities used in electric circuits is given in Table 8.1. Here Q indicates charge, t time, U energy, and Φ magnetic flux. The two most commonly measured quantities of any circuit are **current** and **voltage**. Current is the amount of charge crossing a point in a circuit per unit time. For the purposes of this chapter we will assume that current always remains constant, hence writing Q/t rather than dQ/dt . Both inductors and capacitors have a time dependence, unless at equilibrium, and subsequently will only be touched on briefly in this chapter.

Voltage is the electric potential at a point in a circuit per unit charge. Essentially, current can be equated to the width of a river while voltage can be compared to the velocity at which the river is flowing. This comparison does not quite match, but sometimes can be useful for thinking intuitively about circuits, as electricity behaves like water in many ways. The current of a circuit is measured with an ammeter¹ while voltage is measured with a voltmeter. The symbol for both of these devices in an electric circuit is given in Table 8.1.

¹A galvanometer is a specific type of ammeter, a significant step up from the original ammeter which was the scientist shocking him or herself and trying to gauge the power of the shock by how much it hurt.

Quantity	Definition	Symbol	Units	Base Units
current	$I = \frac{Q}{t}$		amperes [A]	$\frac{\text{coulombs}}{\text{seconds}}$
voltage	$V = \frac{U}{Q}$		volts [V]	$\frac{\text{joules}}{\text{coulombs}}$
resistance	$R = \frac{U}{I}$		ohms [Ω]	$\frac{\text{joules}}{\text{seconds}}$
capacitance	$C = \frac{Q}{V}$		farads [F]	$\frac{\text{coulombs}^2}{\text{joules}}$
inductance	$L = \frac{\Phi}{I}$		henries [H]	$\frac{\text{webers} \cdot \text{seconds}}{\text{coulombs}}$

Table 8.1: A review of basic quantities used to describe circuits.

There are three more important basic components of circuits (ignoring transistors) which are **resistance**, **capacitance**, and **inductance**. Resistance is the amount of energy dissipated by a component of a circuit per unit time. Oftentimes resistors dissipate their energy through heat, but can also emit through light. Capacitors and inductors provide the exact opposite purpose of resistors in circuits; rather than dissipating energy, they store energy. Capacitors store energy by creating an electric field and so capacitance is given by the charge stored on the capacitor divided by the voltage gap across the capacitor.

Inductors store potential energy in the form of a magnetic field, oftentimes created by electric current flowing. The inductance of an inductor is given by the magnetic flux (change in magnetic field) divided by the current flowing around the magnetic field. Inductors are usually small solenoids which consist of many turns of wires wrapped around a cylindrical core. In a case like the solenoid, the current must be divided by the number of times it circles the magnetic field and so the inductance for a solenoid is usually given as $N\Phi/I$ where N is the number of turns within the solenoid. The idea of inductance is explored in more detail in Chapter 9.

Current, voltage, and resistance are all connected through **Ohm's law** which states that voltage is just current times resistance.

$$V = IR \tag{8.1}$$

Additionally, the power dissipated by a resistor is equal to the square of the current running through the resistor times its resistance.

$$P = I^2 R \tag{8.2}$$

While Ohm's law seems extraordinarily simple, it is the basis for simple circuits, and the underlying theory is very involved on a microscopic level.




Figure 8.1: Examples of a series and parallel circuit given in Figures 8.1(a) and 8.1(b) respectively.

Ohm's law in the form of Equation 8.1 is only useful for determining the current, voltage, or resistance of a simple circuit consisting of a power source and a resistor. However, more complex circuits can be broken into two categories, **series circuits** and **parallel circuits**. In a series circuit all the electrical components are placed one after another on a single electrical path as shown in Figure 8.1(a). For this type of circuit, resistances can be added together to find a total resistance,

$$R_{\text{total}} = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + \dots \quad (8.3)$$

whereas the inverse of capacitances must be added.

$$\frac{1}{C_{\text{total}}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2} + \frac{1}{C_3} + \dots \quad (8.4)$$

Inductors in a series circuit are added just like resistors.

In a parallel circuit a single electrical path breaks into multiple electrical paths, and then combines back into a single electrical path, like the diagram given in Figure 8.1(b). For parallel circuits the inverse of the resistances and inductances must be added to find the total resistance or inductance,

$$\frac{1}{R_{\text{total}}} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3} + \dots \quad (8.5)$$

while the capacitances may just be added.

$$C_{\text{total}} = C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + \dots \quad (8.6)$$

8.2 Kirchhoff's Laws

Equations 8.3 through 8.6 are not fundamental laws themselves, but rather, can be derived from what are known as **Kirchhoff's laws** which are given below.

1. Conservation of charge. All the current flowing into a junction must equal the current flowing out of the junction.
2. Conservation of energy. The net voltage of any loop within a circuit must be zero.

Both of these laws have an even more fundamental basis from **Maxwell's equations**, but for the purposes of this chapter, let us accept the two laws above without further derivation. In Chapter 13 Maxwell's equations are introduced.

But how do we apply these laws to circuits? Let us first take the series circuit of Figure 8.1(a) as an example. The first step when using Kirchhoff's laws is to ensure that all currents and resistances are labeled. The currents must all be labeled with arrows; the direction of the arrow does not matter, as long as it is maintained consistently throughout the application of the laws. In Figure 8.2(a) all the resistances and currents have been labeled and all currents have been given a direction.

The next step is to apply Kirchhoff's first law to every junction in the circuit. The first junction in this example occurs at the upper right hand corner of the circuit between currents I_1 and I_2 . The current I_1 is going into the junction (the arrow is pointing to the junction) while I_2 is leaving the junction (the arrow is pointing away from the junction). Using the first law we have $I_1 = I_2$. Similarly we can apply the same logic to obtain $I_2 = I_3$ and consequently $I_1 = I_2 = I_3$.

Now Kirchhoff's second law can be applied to the circuit. To apply the second law, locate all the loops within the circuit and apply the law to each loop individually. In this example there is only one loop and so our job here is simplified. For each loop start at any point on the loop and trace around the loop. For each resistor crossed going in the direction of the current subtract the current times the resistance at that point. For every resistor crossed going in the opposite direction of the current, add on the current times resistance. For every power supply crossed going in the direction of the current, add on the power supply voltage. For every power supply crossed in the opposite direction of the current, subtract that voltage.

After the loop is finished, equate all of these values with zero. For the example of the series circuit we begin in the upper left hand corner and first cross R_1 with current I_1 in the direction we are moving and so we must subtract I_1R_1 . Next we across R_2 with current I_2 so we must subtract I_2R_2 . Crossing R_3 we must again subtract I_3R_3 and finally we cross the power supply in the direction of the current so we add on V_s . Putting this all together and equating to zero gives us the following,

$$V_s - I_1R_1 - I_2R_2 - I_3R_3 \quad \rightarrow \quad V_s = I_1(R_1 + R_2 + R_3) \quad (8.7)$$

where in the second step the relation between the currents obtained using Kirchhoff's first law was applied. From the second step we see that we have arrived at Equation 8.3!

The above example is somewhat trivial, but it is important to be careful about skipping steps when using Kirchhoff's laws. Oftentimes shortcuts can seriously reduce the time needed to complete a problem, but mistakes can easily creep in without notice. By following the laws down to even the trivial steps, these mistakes can oftentimes be avoided.

Now let us consider the slightly more difficult case of the parallel circuit in Figure 8.1(b). Again we begin by drawing current labels with associated directions as is done in Figure 8.2(b). Applying Kirchhoff's first law we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= I_5 + I_1 & I_8 &= I_7 + I_2 \\ I_5 &= I_6 + I_2 & I_9 &= I_8 + I_1 \\ I_6 &= I_3 & I_4 &= I_9 \\ I_3 &= I_7 \end{aligned} \quad (8.8)$$

where the currents flowing in and out of each junction have been equated, beginning in the upper left hand corner and proceeding clockwise.

After a little manipulation we see that

$$I_4 = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \quad (8.9)$$




Figure 8.2: Figures 8.1(a) and 8.1(b) with current labels and arrows added.

and that $I_5 = I_8$, etc. We could have come to this conclusion much more quickly by simplifying the diagram so that I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 are all joined at the same points. However, the law was applied in full to demonstrate the method.

Next we apply the second law. Starting in the upper left hand corner of the diagram and proceeding clockwise there are three possible loops, with each loop crossing V_s and R_1 , R_2 , or R_3 , all in the direction of the current. This yields the following three equations.

$$V_s = I_1 R_1, \quad V_s = I_2 R_2, \quad V_s = I_3 R_3 \quad (8.10)$$

Using the last relation of Equation 8.10 and substituting in I_3 from Equation 8.9 we obtain,

$$V_s = (I_4 - I_2 - I_1) R_3 \quad (8.11)$$

which can be simplified further by using the first two relations of Equation 8.10 to replace I_1 and I_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} V_s &= \left(I_4 - \frac{V_s}{R_1} - \frac{V_s}{R_2} \right) R_3 \\ I_4 &= \frac{V_s}{R_1} + \frac{V_s}{R_2} + \frac{V_s}{R_3} \\ V_s &= I_4 \left(\frac{1}{\frac{V_s}{R_1} + \frac{V_s}{R_2} + \frac{V_s}{R_3}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

From this we have derived Equation 8.5. The methods for finding how to add capacitance and inductance together is similar, and can be explored further by the reader.

8.3 Experiment

In the two examples given above, the resistances have been assumed to be known, while the currents and consequently voltages, were unknown. This is because in general, the resistance of components used within a circuit are known to very high precision, and at standard temperature and pressure remain very stable. Current and voltage on the other hand can change greatly, depending upon the power supply. Power supplies typically are constant voltage; they always provide the exact same voltage, and change the supplied current as necessary. Of course, most power supplies can only supply up to a maximum current after which the voltage is compromised. As an example, batteries, such as the AA battery supply a constant voltage of between 1.2 and 1.5 V and whatever current is required. These batteries can only supply a very limited amount of current and over time the voltage degrades quickly.

Figure 8.3 shows the discharge characteristics of a rechargeable AA battery. When a very low current of 1,250 mA is required the voltage remains relatively constant over




Figure 8.3: Voltage versus time (in hours) for a typical AA battery at varying levels of constant current. The data from this plot was taken from the [product data sheet](#) for the Energizer NH15-2500 rechargeable AA battery.

a period of about 2 hours. When a very large current such as 5,000 mA is required the battery lasts only about half an hour and the voltage does not plateau. Oftentimes electrical devices such as cell phones provide an estimate of the battery life on screen by digitally measuring the voltage of the battery. However, as can be seen from Figure 8.3, the voltage does not provide a very good indicator of remaining battery life, and so usually the battery estimators on cell phones are not very accurate.

The point of the discussion above is that usually the current and voltage of a power supply are not known to a very high precision, so how then are we able to measure resistance to such high precision? One possibility is given in the circuit diagram of 8.4(a). Here a power supply is connected to an ammeter and resistor in series, with a voltmeter in parallel, measuring the voltage drop. The first problem with this setup is that two readings are being taken, current and voltage. The second problem is that the leads to and from the voltmeter, along with the leads from the ammeter provide resistance that is not taken into consideration.

To counter these effects, a device known as the Wheatstone bridge was developed, which utilizes what is known as a **difference measurement**. The circuit for a typical Wheatstone bridge is given in Figure 8.4(b). In this diagram it is assumed that the values for R_1 and R_3 are known to high precision. The resistor R_2 is a variable resistor and R_x is the unknown resistor being measured. But how does this setup help us determine the value for R_x ? Let us apply Kirchhoff's laws to find out.

First, we label all the currents in Figure 8.4(b) and apply Kirchhoff's first law. For resistor R_1 through R_x consider currents I_1 through I_x all flowing downwards. Again, we could have chosen to have the currents flowing upwards, or counterclockwise, or with whatever configuration we would like, but this configuration is the most intuitive physically. Now let us define I_A as the current flowing across the ammeter in the center from right to left. We actually don't know which direction this current is flowing as this is dependent upon the values of the resistors, but we can arbitrarily decide it is flowing from right to left. Finally, we define I_s to be the current flowing from the power supply to the top of the bridge, and the current flowing from the bottom of the bridge to the power supply.

From Kirchhoff's first law we have,

$$\begin{aligned} I_s &= I_1 + I_3 \\ I_3 &= I_A + I_x \\ I_x &= I_2 + I_s \\ I_1 &= I_2 + I_A \end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

from starting at the top junction of the bridge and moving clockwise about the diagram. Now we can apply Kirchhoff's second law to the diagram. There are two loops of interest. The first loop is the top triangle of the bridge and starts at the top of the bridge and moves across R_3 , then the ammeter, and back to the top across R_1 . The second loop is the bottom triangle of the bridge and starts at the far right point and crosses R_x , then R_2 , and returns across the ammeter to the far right point. Applying Kirchhoff's second

8 Resistance

law to these loops we arrive at,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -I_3 R_3 - I_A R_A + I_1 R_1 \\ 0 &= -I_x R_x + I_2 R_2 + I_A R_A \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

where R_A is the resistance of the ammeter.

After moving the negative terms in Equation 8.14 to the left side of the relations we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 R_3 + I_A R_A &= I_1 R_1 \\ I_x R_x &= I_2 R_2 + I_A R_A \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

Dividing the bottom relation by the top relation of Equation 8.15,

$$\frac{I_x R_x}{I_3 R_3 + I_A R_A} = \frac{I_2 R_2 + I_A R_A}{I_1 R_1} \quad (8.16)$$

gives us a ratio between the resistance and currents.

Taking the second and last relation of Equation 8.13 we can now substitute out I_x and I_1 from Equation 8.16.

$$\frac{(I_3 - I_A) R_x}{I_3 R_3 + I_A R_A} = \frac{I_2 R_2 + I_A R_A}{(I_2 + I_A) R_1} \quad (8.17)$$

From this we now see something interesting, although it may not be obvious at first glance. If the current flowing across the ammeter is zero, Equation 8.17 becomes,

$$\frac{I_3 R_x}{I_3 R_3} = \frac{I_2 R_2}{I_2 R_1} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{R_x}{R_3} = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \quad \rightarrow \quad R_x = \frac{R_3 R_2}{R_1} \quad (8.18)$$

and we can find the unknown resistance R_x if we know all the other resistances! This is how the Wheatstone bridge works. The variable resistor is changed until the current flowing across the center of the bridge becomes zero. Once this occurs, the unknown resistance can be determined using the other three known resistances.

In the experiment associated with this chapter the resistance for a piece of wire is determined along with the resistivity constant, ρ , for the metal the wire is made from. For a conductor through which direct current is running, the resistance is,

$$R = \frac{\rho \ell}{A} \quad (8.19)$$

where ρ is the resistivity constant of the resistive material, ℓ is the length of the material, and A is the cross-sectional area of the material.² Subsequently, if we measure the total resistance for a length of wire, along with the length of the wire, and the width of the wire, we can determine ρ .

The apparatus used to determine the resistance of the length of wire is the same as that of Figure 8.4(b) but instead of using a variable resistor for R_2 and a known resistor

²For wires we assume they are circular and so A becomes πr^2 where r is the radius of the wire.




Figure 8.4: Two methods for measuring resistance. In Figure 8.4(a) the current and voltage are measured and the resistance is determined using Ohm's law. In Figure 8.4(b) a Wheatstone bridge is used.

8 Resistance

for R_1 we take advantage of Equation 8.19 and replace both with a single length of wire. Consequently, the resistances of R_1 and R_2 become,

$$R_1 = \frac{\rho \ell_1}{A}, \quad R_2 = \frac{\rho \ell_2}{A} \quad (8.20)$$

which can be substituted back into Equation 8.18.

$$R_x = \frac{R_3 \ell_2}{\ell_1} \quad (8.21)$$

The left side of the bridge becomes a wire with a fixed length, and the ammeter is connected to it with a moveable connection. The connection is moved up and down the wire until the ammeter indicates zero current flow, and the lengths ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are then measured. From these lengths R_x is determined using Equation 8.21!

9 Induction

Whenever electricity is discussed, such as in Chapter 8, the basic components of an electric circuit are usually discussed: resistors, capacitors, measuring tools, and power supplies. But oftentimes another basic electrical component, the inductor, is ignored, or at least given only a brief explanation. This is not because **inductance** is unimportant, but because the theory behind inductance can be more complicated than resistance or capacitance.

Explaining inductance is not simple, so let us begin with the explanation of inductors, given in Chapter 8. A resistor is a component in a circuit that dissipates energy, whether through heat, light, or some other energy transfer mechanism. Capacitors and inductors are the opposites of resistors, and store energy, rather than dissipate it. In the case of a capacitor, the energy is stored in an electrical field, while in the case of the inductor, the energy is stored in a magnetic field. This is the core idea behind inductance, it connects electricity with magnetism into **electromagnetism**.

Inductance plays an important role in everyday life. Without inductance, radios and televisions, AC power transformers, electrical motors, all would not work. Perhaps most importantly, electricity would no longer be available, as the concept by which all electrical generators operate is inductance. To begin understanding the theory behind inductance we first need to understand the fundamental interaction between charged particles and electromagnetic fields

9.1 Lorentz Force

The **Lorentz force** describes the force felt on a charged particle, such as an electron, as it passes through electric and magnetic fields. From intuition, we know that placing two objects with the same charge next to each other causes the objects to be repelled. This force due to the electric fields of the two objects is called the **Coulomb force**. But what happens if a charged object is placed into a magnetic field? Does the object feel a force? The answer is, it depends.

If the object is not moving, it does not feel a force. However, if the object is moving, it feels a force proportional to the charge of the object, the strength of the magnetic field, and the velocity at which the object is moving in the magnetic field. At this point, perhaps the obvious question to ask is, why does the force on the object depend upon its velocity? The answer can be very complicated but the following explanation, while greatly simplified, will hopefully shed some light.

The idea in electromagnetism is that electric fields and magnetic fields are actually the same thing, it just depends upon which **reference frame** the electric or magnetic field

9 Induction

is observed in. This is a consequence of **relativity**, which while an incredibly beautiful theory, will not be discussed here!¹ For example, take a common bar magnet. If we set it on a table, we will just observe a pure magnetic field. However, if we run by the counter top, we will begin to observe an electric field, and less of a magnetic field. This is why the force on a charged particle from a magnetic field is due to the velocity of the particle. As soon as the particle begins to move, it starts to see an electric field and begins to experience Coulomb's force.

So enough qualitative discussion and time for an equation. The Lorentz force is expressed mathematically as,

$$\vec{F} = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad (9.1)$$

where q is the charge of the object, \vec{v} is the velocity of the object, \vec{E} is the electric field, and \vec{B} is the magnetic field. Notice that everything here is a vector (except for charge)! That is because all of the quantities above, force, velocity, electric field, and magnetic field have direction.²

It is important to realize that the second term in Equation 9.1 is the cross product of the velocity of the charged object with the magnetic field, or $vB \sin \theta$ where θ is the angle between the velocity vector and the magnetic field vector. This means that if the charged particle is moving in the same direction that the magnetic field is pointing, it experiences no force, whereas if the charged particle is moving perpendicular to the magnetic field, it experiences a force of qvB where v and B are the magnitudes of \vec{v} and \vec{B} .

Let us consider a simple example that requires the application of Equation 9.1 and the Lorentz force. Consider a particle with positive charge q moving through both a constant electric field and magnetic field, as depicted in Figure 9.1. The directions of the fields are important, and in this diagram the electric field is pointing down the page, while the magnetic field is pointing into the page. The particle is traveling from left to right across the page with velocity \vec{v} . If the electric field has a magnitude of E , what is the magnitude of the magnetic field, B , required so that the particle moves in a straight line?

If the particle moves in a straight line, we know from Chapter 3 and Newton's first law that the external force acting on the electron must be zero.

$$\vec{F} = 0 = q\vec{E} + q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad (9.2)$$

Next, because the particle has a positive charge of $+q$ we know that the electric field is exerting a force downward of qE , where E is the magnitude of the electric field. The magnetic field is perpendicular to the velocity of the particle, and so we know that the force being exerted on the particle from the magnetic field is qvB .

¹For intrepid readers who would like to read more, I would suggest A.P. French's book [Special Relativity](#).

²Perhaps at this point the astute reader will then ask, why isn't the first term also dependent upon velocity? If magnetic fields transform into electric fields, shouldn't magnetic fields transform into electric fields? The answer is yes, and the explanation given above really is not correct, but gives the general idea of what is happening without spending an entire book on it.




Figure 9.1: An example of the Lorentz force where a charged particle is traveling through both an electric and magnetic field. If $B = E/v$ then the particle travels in a straight line. If $B > E/v$ the particle curves upwards and if $B < E/v$ the particle curves downwards.

But what is the direction of the force from the magnetic field? From the **right hand rule**, we know that the direction of the force from the magnetic field must be upward.³ This means that the force from the electric field and the magnetic field are in opposite directions and so,

$$qE = qvB \quad (9.3)$$

which gives a value of $B = E/v$ for the magnetic field.

What happens if $B \neq E/v$? Now the particle does experience a net force, and so it will no longer travel in a straight line. The upper dotted path in Figure 9.1 illustrates the trajectory of the particle if $B > E/v$ and the lower dotted path illustrates the trajectory of the particle if $B < E/v$. This technique of controlling the trajectory of a charged particle with a certain velocity using electric and magnetic fields is common in particle physics (and even devices such as a mass spectrometer) and is called a **velocity selector** as only a particle with the proper velocity can pass through without being deflected.

9.2 Biot-Savart Law

We now know how a magnetic field can effect an electrically charged object through the Lorentz force, but can the opposite happen? Can an electrically charged object effect a magnetic field? The answer is yes, and the phenomena is described by the **Biot-Savart**

³The right hand rule is a useful tool for determining the direction of cross products like in Equation 9.1. Using your right hand, point your fingers along the direction of the first vector (in this case \vec{v}). Next bend your fingers at the knuckles so that they point in the direction of the second vector, or \vec{B} . Now look at the direction that your thumb is pointing. This is the direction of the cross product of the two vectors. The right hand rule is easier to see in action than have described on paper.

law. The Biot-Savart law (conveniently abbreviated the B.S. law) states that any charge flowing in a loop will produce a magnetic field. While the Biot-Savart law might sound new, it is a physics phenomena that is encountered daily. A prime example of the law in action is in the operation of electromagnets which are used in everything from electric motors to audio speakers.

An electromagnet usually consists of a **ferromagnetic** material, wrapped in coils of wire. A ferromagnetic material is just a material that can be magnetized by an external magnetic field, but after the magnetic field is removed, it slowly loses its magnetization. For example, a needle can be magnetized using a bar magnet, but slowly over time the needle demagnetizes. This magnetization of the needle occurs because the magnetic dipoles of the molecules line up in the magnetic field, causing a net magnetic field, but slowly, over time, come out of alignment due to random movements of the molecules in the needle.

Back to the principle driving an electromagnet. Charge runs through the coils of wire surrounding the ferromagnet, and because the charge is flowing in a loop, a magnetic field is created. This magnetic field aligns the magnetic moments of the molecules in the ferromagnet, and the ferromagnet produces a net magnetic field. As soon as charge stops flowing through the coils of the electromagnet, the ferromagnet is no longer subjected to an external magnetic field, and so it loses its magnetization.

But what is the magnetic field given by a single loop of an electromagnet? The Biot-Savart law is given by,

$$\vec{B} = \oint \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{I d\vec{l} \times \vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|^3} \quad (9.4)$$

where \vec{B} is the magnetic field created by the current loop, μ_0 is the **magnetic constant**, I is the current flowing in the loop, \vec{r} is the vector from the current loop to the point where the magnetic field is being calculated, and $d\vec{l}$ is an infinitesimal length along the current loop.⁴ Describing Equation 9.4 with just words is not that useful, so we turn to the diagram of Figure 9.2 to hopefully make things a little clearer.

The current, I , is flowing around the circular loop in a clockwise direction from above. We wish to calculate the magnetic field at the center of the loop $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.⁵ We split the loop into infinitesimal pieces of size dl , with one of these pieces indicated in bold on the diagram. Each of these pieces has a direction which points along the direction of the current. In the example here, the direction of $d\vec{l}$ is straight upwards in the y direction (tangential to the circular loop). We need to take the cross product of $d\vec{l}$ with the vector \vec{r} , which points from $d\vec{l}$ to the center of the circle.

Because $d\vec{l}$ is perpendicular to \vec{r} (a nice property of circles), the cross product of the two vectors is just $r dl$ and points into the page by the right hand rule. From the

⁴The \oint symbol is the mathematical notation for a **path integral**. For example, if we are finding the magnetic field from a square with current running through it, the integral of Equation 9.4 is performed over the path traced out by current passing through the square.

⁵Of course this problem could be done in three dimensions, but this makes the example slightly easier to follow.




Figure 9.2: An example of the Biot-Savart law. Current is flowing clockwise through the loop, and consequently creating a magnetic field.

infinitesimal portion of the current loop, a magnetic field of strength,

$$d\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I dl}{4\pi r^2} (-\hat{k}) \quad (9.5)$$

is created, where $-\hat{k}$ indicates the vector is pointing along the negative z direction, or in this case, into the page.⁶ To find the total magnetic field at the center of the loop we now need to add up all the magnetic fields contributed from the infinitesimal pieces, $d\vec{l}$.

Luckily, it turns out that wherever $d\vec{l}$ is located on the circle, it always provides the same contribution to the magnetic field, Equation 9.5. This means that we can just multiply Equation 9.5 by $2\pi r$ (the circumference of the circle) to perform the integration of Equation 9.4. This gives us a total magnetic field of,

$$\vec{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2r} (-\hat{k}) \quad (9.6)$$

again, pointing into the page.

Actually, it turns out that it was not luck that all the contributions from $d\vec{l}$ were the same. This example was given because the answer is relatively simple to calculate. If we had tried to find the magnetic field at the point $(x, y) = (0, r/2)$ the problem would have become much more complicated. Now the magnetic field contributions from $d\vec{l}$ are all different, and even more importantly, they don't all point in the same direction. Calculating out the magnetic field this way is possible, but very tedious.

9.3 Lenz's and Faraday's Laws

Up to this point we have looked at constant electric and magnetic fields. But what happens if we look at a changing magnetic field? This requires the introduction of both **Lenz's law** and **Faraday's law**. Lenz's law states that if a magnetic field is passing

⁶For those unfamiliar with **hat** notation, the **unit vectors** \hat{i} , \hat{j} , and \hat{k} point in the x , y , and z directions and have a length of 1.

9 Induction

through a loop made of some conductor, and the magnetic field changes, a current will be produced in the conductor that creates a magnetic field (through the Biot-Savart law) which tries to keep the magnetic field the same. If this concept sounds somewhat familiar, it should. Looking all the way back to Chapter 3, Newton's second law essentially states that objects like to stay in the state that they currently are in. A block at rest does not want to move, and a block that is moving does not want to stop moving.

Lenz's law is exactly the same idea, but now dealing with magnetic fields. A conductor loop in a magnetic field wants the magnetic field to stay the same, and if the field changes the conductor tries to compensate by creating its own magnetic field. The idea is very much like inertia. Just as it is difficult to bring a block to a stop, it is difficult to change a magnetic field surrounded by a conducting loop. The process by which a current is created within the conductor is called **induction**.

Faraday's law takes Lenz's law and adds some math behind the concept by stating that the induced voltage in the conducting loop is equal to the derivative of the magnetic field passing through the loop with respect to time, or,

$$V = \left| \frac{d(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{A})}{dt} \right| \quad (9.7)$$

where V is the voltage induced in the conducting loop, \vec{B} is the magnetic field passing through the loop, and \vec{A} is the area surrounded by the loop through which the magnetic field passes. It is important to notice that the area of the loop is a vector, not just a number. The magnitude of \vec{A} is A , but the direction is important as well, because the dot product between \vec{B} and \vec{A} needs to be taken. The direction of A is the direction of the **normal** to the surface A . What this means is that \vec{A} points in a direction perpendicular to the surface which it represents.

Again, it may be simpler to explain Equation 9.7 with an example. Consider a circular loop of some conductor with a setup similar to Figure 9.2, but now a magnetic field is passing through the loop. First, what happens if we consider a magnetic field that does not change over time? What is the current induced in the conducting loop? The answer is zero, because the derivative of a constant is zero. The magnetic field is not changing, and so the conducting loop does not need to compensate to keep the magnetic field constant. Looking at a slightly more complicated example, let us consider a magnetic field given by,

$$\vec{B}(t) = \frac{B_0}{t^2} \hat{k} \quad (9.8)$$

where B_0 is some constant, and \vec{B} is pointing out of the page, while decreasing over time.

To find out what the voltage induced in the circuit is, we must take the dot product of \vec{B} with \vec{A} . The magnetic field is pointing out of the page, as is \vec{A} , and so the dot product of the two is $B_0 A / t^2$. Taking the time derivative of this yields the induced voltage,

$$V = \frac{2\pi r^2 B_0}{t} \quad (9.9)$$

which will create a magnetic field through the Biot-Savart law. By Lenz's law we know that the magnetic field will compensate for the loss of $\vec{B}(t)$ and so we know that the current in the conducting loop must be flowing counterclockwise. If the resistance R of the conductor was known, we could calculate the **self-induced** magnetic field using Ohm's law (Equation 8.1) to determine I from Equation 9.9, and plug this into Equation 9.4.

9.4 Dipoles

Equation 9.1 allows us to calculate the force felt on a charged object, but requires knowledge of the electric field, \vec{E} , the magnetic field, \vec{B} , the charge of the object, and the velocity of the object. These last two quantities, charge and velocity should already be familiar, but how do we determine the electric and magnetic fields? The electric field from a single charge, such as an electron is given by,

$$\vec{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^2} \quad (9.10)$$

where q is charge, ϵ_0 is the electric constant, and r , just as before, is the distance from the charge. Notice that the electric field, \vec{E} , is a vector quantity, and has a direction. The direction of \vec{E} is given by the only vector quantity on the right hand side of the equation, \hat{r} , which has a length of one, and points from the electric charge to the point where \vec{E} is being measured. This type of vector which has a length of one is called a **unit vector**.

There are two important points to notice about Equation 9.10. The first is that if an observer is measuring the electric field, the direction of the field will always be pointing directly towards or away (depending upon the electric charge) from the charged object. The second point is that the electric field falls off quadratically as the distance r between the observer and the object increases. For example, if an observer measures the electric field from an object at one meter, and then at two meters, the electric field will have been reduced by a factor of four. In the remainder of this section, we explore slightly more complicated electric fields from multiple charged objects, and then extend this to magnetic fields. The math can be very involved, so even if the following part of this section seems incomprehensible, remember the two points above.

Equation 9.10 gives the electric field in polar coordinates, but what if we would like the electric field in Cartesian coordinates?⁷ We can simply write r and \hat{r} in Cartesian coordinates. The distance r is given by the Pythagorean theorem, $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$. The unit vector \hat{r} , however, is a bit trickier. We must split \hat{r} into the unit vector in the x direction, \hat{i} , and the unit vector in the y direction, \hat{j} . This gives us the vector $x\hat{i} + y\hat{j}$, which is in the same direction as \hat{r} , but this is not a unit vector, because it has length r . If we divide by r , then we obtain a vector with length one, which gives us $\hat{r} = (x\hat{i} + y\hat{j})/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Plugging in the values we have found for r and \hat{r} yields Equation 9.11, which is the

⁷For those readers unfamiliar with polar and Cartesian coordinates, briefly read over Chapter 5.




Figure 9.3: A diagram of a dipole (either electric or magnetic), where the dipole is aligned along the y -axis, the dipole charges are separated by a distance of ℓ , and the field is being measured at point P .

electric field from a single charge in Cartesian coordinates.

$$\vec{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{x\hat{i} + y\hat{j}}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \quad (9.11)$$

This is convenient, because now we can write the electric field in terms of its y component, E_y , and its x component, E_x . Notice that these are no longer vector quantities, because we already know which direction they point. If we place an object with charge q at the coordinates $(0, 0)$, then the electric field along the y direction at the point (x, y) is given by,

$$E_y = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \quad (9.12)$$

which was obtained by taking only the terms of Equation 9.11 which were multiplied by \hat{j} . We could perform the exact same step for E_x , but let us stick with the E_y for now.

Consider Figure 9.3, where there are two charged objects, one with charge $+q$ and one with charge $-q$, placed along the y -axis. We call this configuration of electric charges an **electric dipole**.⁸ How do we calculate the electric field from this configuration?

⁸Each charge is considered a “pole” and there are two, so it is called a dipole.

For this we need to use the idea of **linear superposition**, which states that the total electric field from a collection of charged objects can be found by just adding all the individual electric fields for each charged object, given by Equation 9.11. The term superposition just means that the fields can be added, and linear means that they can be added without having to perform some mathematical operation on each field.⁹

Returning to Figure 9.3, at the point $P = (x, y)$, the electric fields in the y direction for the positive and negative charges are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} E_y^+ &= \frac{+q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{y - \frac{\ell}{2}}{\left(x^2 + \left(y - \frac{\ell}{2}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}} \\ E_y^- &= \frac{-q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{y + \frac{\ell}{2}}{\left(x^2 + \left(y + \frac{\ell}{2}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (9.13)$$

where E_y^+ is the electric field from the positive charge and E_y^- is the electric field from the negative charge. Adding these two electric fields together,

$$E_y^{\text{dipole}} = E_y^+ + E_y^- \quad (9.14)$$

yields the y component of the electric field for both charges. Unfortunately, this is where the math starts to get a little more complicated.

We would like to add E_y^+ and E_y^- together, but their denominators are not the same, differing by a $y - \ell/2$ in E_y^+ and a $y + \ell/2$ in E_y^- . To get around this problem we use what is called a **Taylor expansion** which allows us to approximate a function about a given value for a variable.¹⁰ The Taylor expansion for the function $f(x)$ is given by,

$$f(x) \approx f(x_0) + \frac{df(x_0)}{dx} \frac{x - x_0}{1!} + \frac{d^2f(x_0)}{dx^2} \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2!} + \dots \quad (9.15)$$

where x_0 is the value for the variable x about which we are expanding.¹¹

Looking at Figure 9.3 again, we can imagine looking at the charge configuration from very far away. When we do this, the distance ℓ approaches zero, at least from our viewpoint. Of course the absolute distance between the two charges stays the same, but to us, the distance looks much smaller. What this means is that we can approximate the denominators of E_y^+ and E_y^- by performing a Taylor expansion around the point $\ell = 0$.

⁹A good example of something that does not normally obey linear superposition is Gaussian uncertainty, as discussed in Chapter 1.

¹⁰Taylor expansions were used earlier in Chapter 1 to determine how to combine normal uncertainty.

¹¹There is a lot more to Taylor expansions than just giving the definition, but unfortunately understanding them more fully requires more detail which is not relevant to this discussion.

9 Induction

Applying Equation 9.15 to the denominators of Equation 9.13 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\left(x^2 + \left(y \pm \frac{\ell}{2}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}} &\approx \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \pm \frac{3y\ell}{2(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} \\ &+ \frac{3(4y^2 - x^2)\ell^2}{8(x^2 + y^2)^{7/2}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9.16)$$

expanded out to a term quadratic in ℓ , or ℓ^2 . We can actually ignore the term with ℓ^2 , because $\ell \approx 0$ and so this number will be very small.

Using the first two terms of 9.16 to approximate the denominators of Equation 9.13 and plugging this back into Equation 9.14 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} E_y^{\text{dipole}} &= \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{3\ell y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{\ell}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\ell(3y^2 - x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{2y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9.17)$$

which is the y component of the electric field from a dipole. In the final step we have made the substitution $p = q\ell$ which we call the **electric dipole moment**.

While the result above is interesting, one might wonder, why did we go to all this trouble? The reason is that magnets cannot be split into individual ‘‘poles’’. They always consist of a north pole and a south pole.¹² What this means is that we cannot use Equation 9.10 to represent a magnetic field from a typical magnet, but rather an adjusted form of Equation 9.17. Of course we would also like to know E_x and E_z if we were working in three dimensional space, but these can be determined using the exact same method above.

The magnetic field from a magnetic dipole is given by,

$$B_y^{\text{dipole}} = \frac{\mu_0\mu}{4\pi} \left(\frac{2y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} \right) \quad (9.18)$$

where μ_0 is the magnetic constant and μ is the **magnetic dipole moment** for a specific dipole. Notice that only the substitutions $\epsilon_0 \rightarrow 1/\mu_0$ and $p \rightarrow \mu$ have been made. Remember that the dipole must be aligned along the y -axis for both Equations 9.17 and 9.18 to be valid.

Now we have an equation for the electric field from a single charge, a dipole, and know how to calculate the electric field for multiple charges using linear superposition. We also

¹²If you can show that a magnet can be split, or that a **magnetic monopole** actually exists, you would win the Nobel prize.




Figure 9.4: The field lines of a dipole drawn around the dipole setup of Figure 9.3. If a charge is placed on one of the field lines it will begin to move along the line.

have an equation for the magnetic field from a typical magnetic dipole. But oftentimes equations, while useful for calculations, do not give a physical intuition for the problem. Consider what would happen if another free electric charge was placed into the electric field from an electric dipole. The charge would feel a force from the electric field, given by the Lorentz force, and would begin to move.

In Figure 9.4 the dipole is drawn in red and blue, and drawn around the dipole are **field lines**. These lines do not represent the strength of the electric field!¹³ Instead, the field lines represent the direction the electric field is pointing. Physically, if the free charge was placed on a field line, it would begin to move along the field line. The exact same idea can be applied to a magnetic dipole, but now we can no longer use a single free charge to map out the field lines, and instead must use another dipole. If a piece of paper filled with iron filings is placed over a common bar magnet, the filings will align along the field lines and create the dipole field line pattern seen in Figure 9.4.

9.5 Experiment

A large amount of material was presented above, and while the details may have escaped, hopefully the general concepts remain. Specifically, electric charges feel a force from electric fields and magnetic fields described by the Lorentz force, loops of current create magnetic fields described by the Biot-Savart law, current loops are created by changing magnetic fields described by Faraday's law, and most magnetic fields can be described by a dipole. The experiment for this chapter manages to connect all the ideas above into a rather simple to perform but theoretically complex experiment.

Consider a conducting pipe (for this experiment we use copper) which has some resistance R , and some radius r_0 . What happens if we take a magnet with a dipole moment μ and drop it down the copper tube as shown in Figure 9.5? Without taking into account any of the theory above we would say quite simply that the magnet will fall with an acceleration of $g \approx 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ by Newton's second law. But this is not the whole story! A changing magnetic field is passing through a conducting loop (the copper tube), so an electric current, called an **eddy current**, is induced within the copper tube and can be described by Faraday's law. The current loop within the pipe creates a magnetic field described by the Biot-Savart law, which produces a force on the falling magnet, counteracting the force of gravity. If the magnet falls fast enough, the induced magnetic field in the pipe will be large enough to completely counteract the force of gravity and the magnet will reach a terminal velocity.

The idea of the experiment associated with this chapter is to measure the terminal velocity at which the magnet falls through the copper tube. While we have a qualitative prediction (the magnet will fall more slowly than just through air) it would be even better to make a quantitative prediction for the terminal velocity of the magnet. While the modeling of eddy currents is very complex, we can make a reasonable estimate of the

¹³It is important to remember this, and even many experienced physicists forget. The field lines of a magnetic dipole are almost always shown instead of the actual magnitude of the force because it helps readers understand the direction in which the field is pointing.




Figure 9.5: The experimental setup for this chapter. A magnetic dipole, indicated by the red and blue circles, is falling through a copper tube, due to a gravitational force mg . The changing magnetic fields from the dipole induce eddy currents above and below the magnetic field (shown in red), which exert a magnetic force, F_B , on the magnetic dipole, counteracting the gravitational force.

9 Induction

terminal velocity using only the theory described above and a few simple assumptions. To begin, let us first think of the magnet falling through a single copper loop, rather than a pipe, and calculate the current induced within the copper loop using Faraday's law.

We can approximate the magnetic field of the magnet using the dipole field of Equation 9.18 with just a few adjustments. First, we have changed from two dimensions to three dimensions but this actually is not a problem. The magnetic dipole is aligned along the z direction, so we simply substitute z for y . Next, because the pipe is symmetrical in x and y , we can substitute r for x . Making these substitutions yields,

$$B_z = \frac{\mu_0 \mu}{4\pi} \left(\frac{2z^2 - r^2}{(z^2 + r^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right) \quad (9.19)$$

which tells us the magnetic field along the z direction due to the magnetic dipole. For Faraday's law, the magnetic field component along the r direction, B_r , does not matter because this is parallel to the area of the copper loop, and so $B_r \cdot A = 0$.

Now, to calculate Faraday's law using Equation 9.7 we must take the dot product of the magnetic field, B_z , with the area, A , through which the magnetic field passes. Unlike the previous example for Faraday's law, the magnetic field is not constant with respect to r , so we must integrate over the magnetic field.

$$B_z \cdot A = \int_0^{r_0} \frac{\mu_0 \mu}{4\pi} \left(\frac{2z^2 - r^2}{(z^2 + r^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right) 2\pi r \, dr = \frac{\mu_0 \mu r_0^2}{2(z^2 + r_0^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \quad (9.20)$$

Taking the derivative of this with respect to time is a bit tricky, because there is no time dependence! To get around this we must apply the chain rule, and first differentiate the magnetic field with respect to z .

$$V = \left| \frac{d(B_z \cdot A)}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{d(B_z \cdot A)}{dz} \frac{dz}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{\mu_0 \mu r_0^2 z}{2(z^2 + r_0^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \frac{dz}{dt} \right| \quad (9.21)$$

Something quite interesting just occurred. We now have a dz/dt term, which is just the velocity at which the magnet is falling away from the current loop, $v = dz/dt$!

The induced current within the copper loop, I , can be found using Ohm's law¹⁴,

$$I = \frac{V}{R} = \frac{v \mu_0 \mu r_0^2 z}{2R(z^2 + r_0^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \quad (9.22)$$

assuming the copper loop has a resistance R . We could use the Biot-Savart law to calculate the induced magnetic field from this current loop and then determine the force exerted on the falling magnet, but it is simpler to use the Lorentz force and calculate the force exerted on the flowing charge in the copper loop by the falling magnet. Because there must always be an equal and opposite force, the same force must be applied to the magnet, but in the opposite direction.

¹⁴If Ohm's law is a little hazy go back and take a look at Equation 8.1 in Chapter 8.

The definition of current, given in Chapter 8, is the amount of charge passing per unit time. If the current is multiplied by the length of the loop through which it is circulating, this can be multiplied by the magnetic field from the falling magnet to find the $q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$ force term of Equation 9.1.¹⁵ If we calculate the force exerted on the loop from the B_z component of the magnetic field, the direction of the force is pointing radially outward, and will not counteract gravity. However, if we calculate the Lorentz force from the radial B_r component of the magnetic field, the direction will counteract gravity. The radial component from a magnetic dipole is¹⁶,

$$B_r = \frac{3\mu_0\mu r z}{4\pi(z^2 + r^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \quad (9.23)$$

and so the force exerted on the magnet is,

$$F_B = 2\pi r_0 B_r I = \frac{9\mu_0^2\mu^2}{4R} \frac{r_0^4 z^2}{(z^2 + r_0^2)^5} v \quad (9.24)$$

where B_r was evaluated at the radius of the copper loop, r_0 . We now know the force exerted on the falling magnet, given the distance z which the magnet is from the copper loop, and the velocity v at which the magnet is falling.

Let us now return to the more complicated idea of the magnet falling through a copper pipe. First, assume that the eddy currents within the pipe caused by the falling magnet can be approximated by an eddy current flowing directly above the magnet and another eddy current flowing in the opposite direction, directly below the magnet. As the magnet falls, these eddy current follow above and below the magnet. Next, assume that the current in these loops is described reasonably well by Equation 9.22. In this case we don't know z , the distance of the eddy currents from the magnet, but we can write z in terms of r_0 ,

$$z = Cr_0 \quad (9.25)$$

where C is some unknown constant. Additionally, if we assume that the magnet has reached its terminal velocity, then v is constant and no longer dependent upon z . Plugging this into Equation 9.24 we obtain,

$$F_B = 2 \frac{9\mu_0^2\mu^2 C^2}{4R(1 + C^2)^5 r_0^4} v \quad (9.26)$$

which is just in terms of the resistance of the copper tube, the radius of the copper tube, the terminal velocity of the magnet, and the dipole moment of the magnet. Notice the factor of two in front of Equation 9.26. This is because two eddy currents are contributing to the force on the magnet.

Because the magnet is at terminal velocity it is no longer accelerating, and so the forces acting on it must balance. The gravitational force on the magnet is just mg ,

¹⁵This step might require a bit of thought.

¹⁶This was not derived, but the exact same method used in the previous section to determine E_y^{dipole} can be used, but now for E_x^{dipole} .

9 Induction

where m is mass of the magnet, and g is the acceleration due to gravity. Setting the gravitational force equal to the magnetic force gives,

$$\begin{aligned}mg &= 2 \frac{9\mu_0^2 \mu^2 C^2}{4R(1+C^2)^5 r_0^4} v \\ v &= \frac{2mgR(1+C^2)^5 r_0^4}{9\mu_0^2 \mu^2 C^2}\end{aligned}\tag{9.27}$$

where in the second line v has been solved for. It turns out from experiment that $C \approx 1.37$, which corresponds to an eddy current one third the maximum possible current of Equation 9.22. Physically, this means that we can think of the eddy currents being created above and below the magnet at a distance of $1.37r_0$, or 1.37 times the radius of the pipe. Now, with Equation 9.27, we have a velocity that we can verify with experiment.

10 Waves

Waves are a critical part of everyday life, but are oftentimes overlooked. Without waves we could not see or hear; television, radio, internet, and cell phones all would not be possible without an extensive understanding of waves and how they behave. Fully understanding waves is not a simple task, but a large body of literature is available to those eager to learn more. A good introduction to wave phenomena can be found in *Vibrations and Waves* by A.P. French, while a more detailed analysis is given in *Electromagnetic Vibrations, Waves, and Radiation* by Bekefi and Barrett. A large body of course notes and examples is available through the MIT OpenCourseWare materials for 8.03 as taught by Walter Lewin.

10.1 Types of Waves

But what exactly is a wave? The following definition is given by the Oxford English Dictionary.

“Each of those rhythmic alternations of disturbance and recovery of configuration in successively contiguous portions of a fluid or solid mass, by which a state of motion travels in some direction without corresponding progressive movement of the particles successively affected. Examples are the waves in the surface of water (sense 1), the waves of the air which convey sound, and the (hypothetical) waves of the ether which are concerned in the transmission of light, heat, and electricity.”

This definition is hardly satisfying and rather long-winded, but illustrates some of the difficulties of rigorously defining exactly what a wave is. Perhaps a simpler definition would be “a periodic variation traveling within a medium”. Of course this simpler definition is somewhat inadequate as well; an electromagnetic wave such as a radio wave does not need to travel through any medium, yet it is still a wave¹. Despite the difficulty in defining a wave, most people have a decent intuition for waves from everyday interactions with everything from water waves to sound and light waves.

There exist two general types of waves, **transverse** and **longitudinal**. In a transverse wave the “periodic variation” is perpendicular to the direction of propagation of the wave as shown in Figure 10.1(a). A classic example of this is shaking the end of a rope. The wave produced by the shaking of the rope travels horizontally away from the experimenter along the rope while the actual displacement of the rope is vertical, either up or down. Similarly, when a guitar string is plucked the string is displaced vertically, but the wave travels horizontally. Other common types of transverse waves are light waves (as are all electromagnetic waves) and water waves.

¹A consequence of the wave/particle duality of electromagnetic radiation.




Figure 10.1: The two different types of waves.




Figure 10.2: The fundamental properties used to describe a wave.

For a longitudinal wave, shown in Figure 10.1(b) the periodic variation is parallel to the direction of propagation. Perhaps the most commonly experienced longitudinal waves are sound waves. Vibrations from an object, whether an instrument or voice, compress the surrounding air and create a pocket of high pressure. This pocket of compressed air travels in the direction of the sound wave until it impacts another object, such as the human ear.

10.2 Properties of Waves

While the shape of waves can vary greatly, three fundamental properties can be used to describe all waves. These three properties are **wavelength**, **velocity**, and **amplitude**. Further quantities derived from the three quantities above but also useful to describe waves are frequency, angular frequency, and period. Of the two types of waves, transverse and longitudinal, it is oftentimes simpler to visualize transverse waves and so here, the properties of waves are described using a transverse wave in the form of a sine wave in Figure 10.2. The exact same properties describe longitudinal waves and other types of transverse waves as well, but are not as simple to depict.

The **wavelength** for a wave is usually denoted by the Greek letter λ (spelled lambda) and is given in units of distance, traditionally meters. In Figure 10.2 the wavelength is defined as the distance between identical points on two consecutive oscillations of the wave. Here, the wavelength is measured from the crest of the first oscillation to the crest of the second oscillation. It is also possible to measure the wavelength from the equilibrium of the wave, but this leads to the common problem of accidentally measuring only half a wavelength. The wavelength of visible light ranges from 400 nm (violet) up to about 600 nm (red). Audible sound waves have wavelengths ranging from around 20 m (very low) to 2 cm (very high).

The **velocity** of a wave, \vec{v} , is a vector, and consequently has an associated direction with units of distance over time. The velocity for a wave can be found by picking a

fixed point on the wave and measuring the distance traveled by the point over a certain time. The speed of light within a vacuum is around 3.0×10^8 meters per second, while the speed of sound at standard temperature and pressure is only 340 meters per second. The speed of sound is highly dependent upon the density of the medium through which the sound wave is traveling and is given by,

$$v_{\text{sound}} = \sqrt{\frac{C}{\rho}} \quad (10.1)$$

where C is the elasticity of the medium, and ρ the density. As can be seen, the lower the density of the medium, the faster the velocity of the sound wave (echos from the top of Mount Kilimanjaro return faster than echos here in Dublin).

The frequency for a wave is obtained by dividing the velocity of the wave by the wavelength of the wave.

$$f = \frac{|\vec{v}|}{\lambda} \quad (10.2)$$

Frequency is usually denoted by the letter f but is sometimes denoted using the Greek letter ν (spelled nu). With dimensional analysis and the equation above it is possible to see that the units of frequency are one over time. A more intuitive explanation of frequency is to imagine a wave passing in front of an observer. The frequency is the number of oscillations that pass in front of the observer per unit time. Traditionally, frequency is given in Hertz (Hz) or oscillations per second. Using the velocities and wavelengths given above for both light and sound, the frequency of visible light is between the range of 7.5×10^{14} Hz (violet light) and 5×10^{14} Hz (red light) while the frequency of audible sound is between 20 and 20,000 Hz.

Another method of expressing frequency is **angular frequency** or **angular velocity**, given by the Greek letter ω , and introduced earlier in Chapter 5. As implied by the name, angular frequency is oftentimes used for problems involving rotational motion, and is just the velocity in radians per second at which an object is rotating. It is possible to write angular frequency in terms of normal frequency,

$$\omega = 2\pi f \quad (10.3)$$

with f given in Hz and ω in radians per second.

The **period** of a wave is the time it takes one oscillation to pass an observer, or just the inverse of the frequency. Period is denoted by the letter T and given in units of time.

$$T = \frac{1}{f} \quad (10.4)$$

The **amplitude** of a wave, as shown in Figure 10.2, is denoted by the letter A and is a measure of the distance of the peak of an oscillation to the equilibrium of the wave. The unit for amplitude is generally distance, but depends on the type of wave.

Sometimes waves are not symmetric like the wave shown in Figure 10.2, and so the type of amplitude defined above is renamed **peak amplitude** and a new amplitude called **root mean square (RMS) amplitude** is used instead. The RMS amplitude for a wave is found first by squaring the distance of the wave from equilibrium. The mean of this squared value is found, and the square root taken to return the RMS amplitude. More mathematically,

$$A_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{\int x^2 dt}{\int dt}} \quad (10.5)$$

where x is the distance of the wave at time t from equilibrium. The RMS amplitude is important for sound and light waves because the average power of the wave is proportional to the square of the RMS amplitude. For example, a very bright light source, such as a halogen bulb, emits light waves with very large RMS amplitudes.

10.3 Standing Waves

One of the most interesting and important phenomena of waves is the **standing wave** which is what makes music possible. In a standing wave, places along the vibrating medium (take for example a guitar string) do not move. These non-moving points are called **nodes**. Using the guitar string example, it is possible to see that by necessity there must be at least two nodes, one for each fixed end of the string. When there are only nodes at the end points of the string, no nodes in the middle, the sound emitted is called the **fundamental** or **first harmonic**. The standing wave produced when the frequency of the wave is increased sufficiently to produce a node in the middle of the guitar string is called the **first overtone** or **second harmonic**. Whenever the frequency of the wave is increased enough to produce another node, the next harmonic or overtone is reached. Figure 10.3 gives the first four harmonics of a guitar string.²

Looking again at the example above we can derive a relationship for the fundamental frequency of a vibrating string. To begin, we notice that the wavelength is just twice the length of the string and so we can write frequency in terms of velocity of the wave on the string, v , and length of the string, L .

$$f = \frac{v}{2L} \quad (10.6)$$

Unfortunately we do not usually know the velocity at which a wave travels through a string. However, we can find the velocity by examining the force diagram for a infinitely small portion of the string. The next portion of this chapter becomes a little mathematically involved, but the end result is beautiful.

For a wave traveling in two dimensions, x and y , along the x -axis, we can write that the second derivative of y with respect to x is equal to some coefficient C times the

²Here we use a sine wave for simplicity, but technically this can be any wave that satisfies the wave equation.




Figure 10.3: The first four harmonics for a standing wave fixed at both ends.

acceleration of the wave in the y direction.

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} \quad (10.7)$$

This comes directly from the two-dimensional case of the wave equation.³ If we substitute in some function for our wave, in this case,

$$y = A \sin(x - vt) \quad (10.8)$$

where v is the velocity of the wave, we can find a value for C .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} &= A \sin(vt - x), & \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} &= v^2 A \sin(vt - x) \\ A \sin(vt - x) &= C v^2 A \sin(vt - x) \Rightarrow C &= \frac{1}{v^2} \end{aligned} \quad (10.9)$$

Now we use a force diagram to obtain a relationship similar to Equation 10.7 but for this particular situation. The force diagram for an infinitesimally small portion of the string is shown in Figure 10.4. We begin by finding the net force in the y direction.

$$F_y = T_1 \sin \theta_1 - T_2 \sin \theta_2 \quad (10.10)$$

We can now use Newton's second law to equate this to ma ,

$$T_1 \sin \theta_1 - T_2 \sin \theta_2 = \mu \Delta x \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} \quad (10.11)$$

where $\mu \Delta x$ is the mass of the piece of string (density μ times distance), and $\partial^2 y / \partial t^2$ the acceleration of the string along the y direction.

³If you want to read more about the wave equation, consult the resources given at the start of this chapter.




Figure 10.4: Force diagram for an infinitesimally small portion of a string vibrating at its fundamental frequency.

Because the string is not moving in the x direction and because the string is not deforming much from equilibrium, we can assume that the net force in the x direction is 0 and in either direction is approximately T , the tension on the entire string.

$$F_x = T_1 \cos \theta_1 - T_2 \cos \theta_2 \approx T - T = 0 \quad (10.12)$$

Dividing Equation 10.11 by T and using $T \approx T_{1,2} \cos \theta_{1,2}$ from above we obtain the following.

$$\frac{T_1 \sin \theta_1}{T} - \frac{T_2 \sin \theta_2}{T} = \frac{T_1 \sin \theta_1}{T_1 \cos \theta_1} - \frac{T_2 \sin \theta_2}{T_2 \cos \theta_2} = \tan \theta_1 - \tan \theta_2 = \frac{\mu \Delta x}{T} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} \quad (10.13)$$

As Δx approaches zero by definition $\tan \theta_1 - \tan \theta_2 \rightarrow \partial y / \partial x$. Dividing both sides by Δx then gives,

$$\frac{\partial y}{\Delta x \partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\mu}{T} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} \quad (10.14)$$

which looks almost exactly like Equation 10.7! Setting,

$$\frac{1}{v^2} = \frac{\mu}{T} \quad (10.15)$$

we can solve for v in terms of μ , the linear density of the string, and T , the tension of the string.

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{T}{\mu}} \quad (10.16)$$

Placing this value for v back into Equation 10.6, we can now write the fundamental frequency for a string in terms of length of the string L , density of the string μ , and tension of the string T .

$$f = \frac{1}{2L} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\mu}} \quad (10.17)$$




Figure 10.5: The magnetic field lines of the experiment. The blue circles represent wires running perpendicular to the plane of the page. The wire with a \times symbol in the middle has current running into the page, whereas the wire with \cdot symbol has current running out of the page. The black boxes represent the poles of the horse-shoe magnet.

Intuitively this equation makes sense. Shorter strings produce higher frequencies; a bass produces very low notes, while a violin produces very high notes. Similarly, very taut strings, like those on a violin produce higher sounds than loose strings. Anyone who has tuned a string instrument knows that by tightening the string the instrument becomes sharp, and by loosening the string, the instrument becomes flat. Finally, the denser the string, the lower sound. This is the reason most stringed instruments do not use gold or lead strings, but rather tin, steel, or plastic.

10.4 Experiment

Despite some of the mathematical intricacies of the argument above we have the end result that the fundamental frequency for a vibrating string is given by,

$$f = \frac{1}{2L} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\mu}} \quad (10.18)$$

where μ is the linear density of the string, and T the tension on the string. The equation above can be rewritten as,

$$f = \frac{1}{2} L^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{\mu} \right)^{1/2} = k L^n \left(\frac{T}{\mu} \right)^r \quad (10.19)$$

which matches the general formula given in the lab manual when $k = 1/2$, $n = -1$, and $r = 1/2$.

The goal of this experiment is to verify the theory above by determining values for n and r experimentally. This is done by oscillating a string and varying the length and tension of the string to determine values for n and r respectively.

The experimental apparatus consists of a metal wire (acting as a our string) through which an alternating current is driven. The changing current causes the magnetic field lines surrounding the wire (due to moving charge) to flip between clockwise and counter-clockwise as shown in Figure 10.5. The horse-shoe magnetic provides a constant magnetic field so that the string is pulled back and forth, causing the wire to oscillate. The weight at the end of the wire provides a known tension on the wire.

Because it is oftentimes easier to visualize linear relationships, we use a common trick in physics and take the natural log of both sides of Equation 10.19.

$$\ln f = \ln \left[kL^n \left(\frac{T}{\mu} \right)^r \right] \quad (10.20)$$

Next we use some of the algebraic properties of logarithms,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(ab) &= \ln a + \ln b \\ \ln\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) &= \ln a - \ln b \\ \ln(a^b) &= b \ln a \end{aligned} \quad (10.21)$$

to write a linear relationship between $\ln f$ and $\ln L$, and $\ln f$ and $\ln T$.

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f &= n \ln L + \ln \left[k \left(\frac{T}{\mu} \right)^r \right] \\ \ln f &= r \ln T + \ln \left[kL \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right)^r \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10.22)$$

From here we can determine values for n when we vary L and measure f , and similarly we can find r when we vary T and measure f . Finally, if we assume that $k = 1/2$ we can determine the linear density of the resonating wire, μ .

11 Optics

Optics is a subject oftentimes used to study other phenomena in physics such as quantum mechanics or general relativity, but is rarely studied as its own subject. Unfortunately, this means that many physicists have learned optics as a patchwork of examples rather than a complete field. This chapter attempts to give a brief cohesive introduction into geometrical optics, but only touches the tip of the iceberg. Fermat's principle is introduced to explain the phenomena of reflection and refraction (and subsequently diffraction) in the first section and these principles are applied to thin lenses in the second section. A slightly more advanced look at geometrical optics using matrix methods is introduced in the fourth section, and these methods are then applied to the thick lens in the fifth section to derive the lensmaker's formula. Finally, the Fresnel equations are discussed in the sixth section.

To begin, we must first differentiate between **geometrical optics** and **physical optics**. In geometrical optics the wavelength of the light passing through the optical setup is much smaller than the size of the apparatus. For example, visible light passing through a pair of glasses would be accurately modeled by geometrical optics as the wavelength of the light is $\approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$ cm while the size of the glasses is on the order of 1 cm. Physical optics models light when the wavelength of the light is of the same order as the optical apparatus. One can think of geometric optics as the limit of physical optics for $\lambda \ll x$ where x is the size of the optical apparatus. Additionally, because light is treated as rays, geometrical optics cannot account for the polarization and interference of light.

In geometrical optics light can be **translated**, **refracted**, **diffracted**, and **reflected**. The translation of light is just light traveling in a straight line through some medium such as glass or water. The refraction of light is when light passes from one medium to another medium, where the index of refraction for the first medium is different from the second. When this process occurs, the light is bent by an angle which is governed by Snell's law. The diffraction of light occurs when light is separated by wavelength. Diffraction occurs in a prism through the process of refraction, and so diffraction will not be discussed further in this chapter. The final phenomena, reflection, is when light bounces off an object governed by the law of reflection.

11.1 Fermat's Principle

All three principle actions that can be performed on light, translation, reflection, and refraction can be derived from **Fermat's principle**. This principle states that light is always in a hurry; it tries to get from point A to point B in the shortest amount




Figure 11.1: Diagrams used to prove both the law of reflection, Figure 11.1(a), and Snell's law, 11.1(b), using Fermat's principle.

of time.¹ The first consequence of this principle is that light translates or travels in a straight line. Ironically, while the idea that a straight line is the fastest route between two points seems painfully obvious, the actual mathematics behind it are non-trivial.² Proving the laws behind reflection and refraction, however, are much simpler.

Consider the diagram of Figure 11.1(a) where a light ray begins at point A, hits a surface at point R at distance x from A, and reflects to point B at distance d from A. We already know that the light must travel in a straight line, but what is the fastest path between A and B? The first step is to write out the total time the path of the light takes. This is just the distance traveled divided by the speed of the light as it travels through a medium with **refractive index** n_0 where,

$$n \equiv \frac{c}{v} \quad (11.1)$$

or in words, the refractive index is the speed of light in a vacuum divided by the speed of light in the medium through which it is traveling.

The total time of the path is then just $t = n_0 L/c$ where L is the path length. Using the Pythagorean theorem the path length is,

$$L = \sqrt{a^2 + x^2} + \sqrt{b^2 + (d - x)^2} \quad (11.2)$$

where a is the distance of point A above the surface, and b the distance of B above the surface. Now we wish to find the value for x that provides the minimum total time for

¹Alternatively, because light must always go the same speed in a specific medium, we can think of light trying to take the shortest possible path, and subsequently light is not in a hurry, but just lazy. On another note, this statement of Fermat's principle is the original form, but also is not quite correct mathematically speaking; sometimes light will take the longest path.

²For those readers who are interested in learning more, try reading *Geodesics: Analytical and Numerical Solutions* by Coblenz, Ilten, and Mooney.

the path. First we take the derivative of the path time t with respect to the distance x .

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{n_0}{c} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{a^2 + x^2}} - \frac{d-x}{\sqrt{b^2 + (d-x)^2}} \right) \quad (11.3)$$

This is just slope of $t(x)$, which when equal to zero yields either a local minimum or maximum of the function $t(x)$.³

Setting Equation 11.3 to zero yields an extremum for the path time t . Without looking at a graph or taking the second derivative of $t(x)$ it is impossible to tell if this extremum is a maximum or a minimum, but to save time, let us proceed with the knowledge that this extremum is a minima. This means that the path time is minimized when the following relation is true.

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{a^2 + x^2}} = \frac{d-x}{\sqrt{b^2 + (d-x)^2}} \quad (11.4)$$

But the left side of the equation is the angle of incidence, $\sin \theta_0$, and the right side of the equation is the angle of reflection, $\sin \theta_1$. Note that for both reflection and refraction the angle of incidence and reflection is defined as the angle between the light ray and the **normal** to the surface of the reflecting or refracting object. The normal to a surface is the line perpendicular to the surface at that point; for example, the normal to the surface of a sphere is always the radius. Plugging $\sin \theta_0$ and $\sin \theta_1$ back into Equation 11.4 just gives us the **law of reflection**,

$$\theta_0 = \theta_1 \quad (11.5)$$

which tells us the incident angle is equal to the reflected angle!

For refraction we can proceed with the exact same procedure that we used for reflection, but now the velocity of the light from point A to R , shown in Figure 11.1(b), is different from the velocity of the light traveling from point R to B . This yields the total time traveled as,

$$t = \frac{n_0}{c} \sqrt{a^2 + x^2} + \frac{n_1}{c} \sqrt{b^2 + (d-x)^2} \quad (11.6)$$

where n_0 is the index of refraction for the first medium and n_1 is the index of refraction for the second medium. Finding the minima using the method above results in,

$$\frac{n_0}{c} \frac{x}{\sqrt{a^2 + x^2}} = \frac{n_1}{c} \frac{d-x}{\sqrt{b^2 + (d-x)^2}} \quad (11.7)$$

which can be further reduced to **Snell's law**,

$$n_0 \sin \theta_0 = n_1 \sin \theta_1 \quad (11.8)$$

which dictates how light is refracted! Another way to think of Snell's law is a lifeguard trying to save someone drowning in the ocean. For the lifeguard to get to the victim as quickly as possible they will first run along the beach (low index of refraction) and then swim to the victim (high index of refraction).

³We know we have reached the bottom of a valley or the top of a hill when the ground is no longer at a slope, but is flat.

11.2 Thin Lenses

Now that we know how light translates, reflects, and refracts, we can apply **ray diagrams** to **thin lenses**. A thin lens is a spherical lens where the thickness of the lens is much less than the **focal length** of the lens. Before the definition of focal length is given, let us consider the lens of Figure 11.2(a). We can draw light rays from some object, given by the arrow in the diagram, up to the lens as straight lines. However, once they pass through the lens they must bend because of refraction.

It turns out that every light ray parallel to the **optical axis**, the dotted line passing through the center of the lens, converges to a single **focal point** after passing through the lens. We will not prove this now, but by using the matrix method of the following section it can be seen that focal points must exist for spherical lenses. The focal length of a lens is just the distance from the center of the lens to the focal point and is given by the **thin lens approximation**,

$$\frac{1}{f_0} = \frac{n_1 - n_0}{n_0} \left(\frac{1}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_1} \right) \quad (11.9)$$

where R_1 is the radius of curvature for the front of the lens, R_2 the radius of curvature for the back of the lens, n_0 the index of refraction for the medium surrounding the lens, and n_1 the index of refraction for the lens.

A ray diagram then is a geometrical method to determine how light rays will propagate through an optical set up. An optical system can be fully visualized by drawing the two following rays.

1. A ray from the top of the object, parallel to the optical axis, is drawn to the centerline of the lens, and then refracted by the lens to the focal point.
2. A ray from the top of the object is drawn through the center of lens and passes through unrefracted.

In Figure 11.2(a) these two rays are traced for a **convex lens** with the object outside of the focal length of the lens.

This brings about an important point on notation. The radii of curvature and focal lengths for lenses have signs associated with them. Oftentimes different conventions for the signs are used between sources. For the purposes of this chapter the radius for a sphere starts at the surface and proceeds to the center of the sphere. The focal length begins at the center of the lens and proceeds to the focal point. Positive values are assigned to focal lengths or radii moving from left to right, while negative values are assigned for the opposite movement. A convex lens has $R_1 > 0$ and $R_2 < 0$ while a **concave lens** has $R_1 < 0$ and $R_2 > 0$.

The diagrams of Figure 11.2 demonstrate the four basic configurations possible with thin lenses: object outside focal length of convex lens, object inside focal length of convex lens, object outside focal length of concave lens, and object inside focal length of concave lens. In the diagrams, a dotted arrow indicates a **virtual image** while a solid arrow indicates a **real image** or object. A real image is an image that can be projected




Figure 11.2: Ray tracings for convex and concave thin lenses. Figures 11.2(a) and 11.2(b) give diagrams for a convex lens with the object outside and inside the focal length. Figures 11.2(c) and 11.2(c) give diagrams for a concave lens with the object outside and inside the focal length as well.

onto a surface, while a virtual image cannot. Notice the only optical configuration that produces a real image is with an object outside the focal length of a convex lens.

11.3 The Matrix Method

But where does the thin lens approximation of Equation 11.9 come from? How do we know the assumptions of the previous section are true? One method for deriving Equation 11.9 involves a large amount of rather tedious geometry along with the use of Snell's law. Unfortunately, this derivation is just more of the ray tracing diagrams above, and cannot be easily adapted to other optical systems, for example a system with a concave lens followed by a convex lens. What happens if we want a method for determining the focal length for any optical system? Such a method does exist and is called the **matrix method**, but as its name implies can be mathematically challenging at times for readers unfamiliar with matrix operations.⁴

The idea behind the matrix method is to split any optical system into building blocks of the three basic actions: translation, refraction, and reflection. Putting these three actions together in an order dictated by the set up allows us to build any optical system

Let us consider the example of a lens. To begin, an incident light ray is diffracted when entering the front of the lens, and so the first action is diffraction. Next the ray must pass through the lens, and so the second action is translation. Finally, when the ray exits the lens it is diffracted again, and so the third action is diffraction. If we placed a mirror some distance behind the lens, then the light would translate to the mirror, reflect off the mirror, and then translate back to the lens followed by diffraction, translation, and diffraction from the lens.

Now that we understand how to use these optical building blocks to create optical systems, we must define the blocks more mathematically using linear algebra.⁵ The idea is to describe a single light ray as a vector and an optical building block as a matrix that modifies that vector. Luckily, a light ray in two dimensions can be fully described by its angle to the optical axis, θ , and its distance above the optical axis, y . This means that a light ray can be fully described by a vector of two components, (θ, y) , and subsequently each optical building block is represented by a 2×2 matrix.


Using Figure 11.3(a), we can determine the matrix that represents the translation action for a light ray. First we write out a general equation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.10)$$


which states that the outgoing ray represented by the vector (θ_1, y_1) is equal to the incoming light ray, (θ_0, y_0) , multiplied by the translation matrix \mathbf{T} . Performing matrix

⁴For those who do not know how to use matrices, I suggest just quickly reading up on basic matrix operations because the method outlined below is well worth the work to understand it. All that is needed is a basic understanding of how to multiply 2×2 matrices along with creating 2×2 matrices from a system of linear equations.


⁵Matrices are oftentimes used to solve linear systems of equations algebraically, hence linear algebra refers to the branch of mathematics dealing with matrices.



(a) Translation



(b) Refraction



(c) Reflection

Figure 11.3: Diagrams of the three possible actions performed on a light ray which can be represented as matrices.

11 Optics

multiplication on Equation 11.10 yields,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= T_1\theta_0 + T_2y_0 \\ y_0 &= T_3\theta_0 + T_4y_0\end{aligned}\tag{11.11}$$

but by using the diagram of Figure 11.3(a), we can also write the following system of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= \theta_0 \\ y_0 &= x \tan \theta_0 + y_0\end{aligned}\tag{11.12}$$

Unfortunately, Equation 11.12 is not linear, it has a tangent of θ_0 ! To avoid this problem we make the assumption that all light rays passing through the optical system are **paraxial**; the angle θ between the ray and the optical axis is very small and so the small angle approximations $\sin \theta \approx \theta$, $\cos \theta \approx 1$, and $\tan \theta \approx \theta$ hold. Using the small angle approximation on Equation 11.12 yields,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 &= \theta_0 \\ y_0 &= x\theta_0 + y_0\end{aligned}\tag{11.13}$$

which when combined with Equation 11.11 allows us to determine the matrix elements of \mathbf{T} .

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}\tag{11.14}$$

With the matrix for translation, we can move on to the matrices for refraction and reflection. The method for determining these matrices is exactly the same as for the translation matrix, but unlike the translation matrix, these matrices are dependent upon the geometry of the optical component being modeled for either diffraction or reflection. Spherical lenses and mirrors are the most common optical components used, and so we will find the refraction and reflection matrices for spherical components.

The diagram of Figure 11.3(b), shows a light ray refracting through a spherical lens with radius R . By geometry and the small angle approximation we know,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0 &= \theta_0 + \phi \\ \alpha_1 &= \theta_1 + \phi \\ \sin \phi = \frac{y_0}{R} &\rightarrow \phi \approx \frac{y_0}{R} \\ y_0 &= y_1\end{aligned}\tag{11.15}$$

while by Snell's law (and again the small angle approximation) we know,

$$n_0 \sin \alpha_0 = n_1 \sin \alpha_1 \rightarrow n_0 \alpha_0 \approx n_1 \alpha_1\tag{11.16}$$

where n_0 is the index of refraction outside the lens, and n_1 is the index of refraction within the lens. Plugging in Equation 11.15 into Equation 11.16 yields,

$$\theta_1 = \left(\frac{n_0}{n_1}\right)\theta_0 + \frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1\right)y_0 \quad (11.17)$$

with a little algebraic manipulation. Using the relation for θ_1 above and $y_0 = y_1$, the elements of the refraction matrix \mathbf{R} can be found and are given below.

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_0}{n_1} & \frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.18)$$

Finally, we need to determine the elements for the reflection matrix, \mathbf{M} , of a spherical mirror with radius $-R$, shown in the diagram of Figure 11.3(c). First we know that $y_0 = y_1$. Next by the law of reflection we see that the light ray must reflect with angle α about the radius perpendicular to the point of reflection. By requiring the angles of triangles to sum to π , we arrive at,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0 &= \phi - \alpha \\ \theta_1 &= \phi + \alpha \end{aligned} \quad (11.19)$$

into which $\phi \approx y_0/R$ (using the small angle approximation and geometry) can be substituted. This results in,

$$\theta_1 = \theta_0 + \frac{2}{R}y_0 \quad (11.20)$$

which, along with $y_1 = y_0$ and a little manipulation, can again be used to determine the elements of the reflection matrix given below in Equation 11.21.

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{2}{R} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.21)$$

11.4 Lensmaker's Equation

Finding the three matrices for translation, refraction, and reflection above is rather involved, and the advantage of using the matrix method may not be readily apparent, so let us apply it to a thick lens, as shown in Figure 11.4. However, before we can dive into the matrix method, we must define the **cardinal points** of the thick lens. The focal points F_0 and F_1 are defined as points through which light rays parallel to the optical axis will pass after refracting through the lens. The **principal points** P_0 and P_1 are the points where the parallel rays intersect the rays from the focal points when neglecting refraction. Finally, the **nodal points** N_0 and N_1 are the points through which a ray enters the lens, refracts, and exits on a parallel trajectory. The nodal points




Figure 11.4: Diagram of a thick lens with the cardinal points, excluding nodal points N_0 and N_1 . Here the medium surrounding the lens has index of refraction n_0 while the lens has index of refraction n_1 .

are equivalent to the center of a thin lens, and are not shown in Figure 11.4 because they are not relevant for the discussion below.

Consider the light ray leaving the focal point F_0 and exiting the thick lens parallel to the optical axis. The incoming ray has some initial angle, θ_0 , and some initial height, y_0 . After exiting the lens, the ray has some final angle θ_1 and some final height y_1 . For this specific scenario we know the final angle must be 0 by the definition of the focal point. Just like with Equation 11.10 we can write,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{S} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.22)$$

where \mathbf{S} is the transforming matrix for the optical system, or in this case, the thick lens.

We don't know what \mathbf{S} is, but by looking at the diagram and using the translation, refraction, and reflection matrices, we can build \mathbf{S} . First, the ray refracts, and so we must multiply the initial ray vector by the refraction matrix. Next, the ray is translated over a distance x and so we must multiply the initial ray vector and refraction matrix with a translation matrix. Finally, the ray refracts again, and so we must multiply the previous matrices and ray vector with another refraction matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{RTR} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{RTR} \quad (11.23)$$

It is important to note that the order matters. In Equation 11.23 the \mathbf{R} farthest to the right represents the first refraction, while the \mathbf{R} on the left is the second refraction.

Now, we can use Equations 11.14 and 11.18 for \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{R} to explicitly calculate out \mathbf{S} .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_1}{n_0} & \frac{1}{R_2} \left(\frac{n_1}{n_0} - 1 \right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_0}{n_1} & \frac{1}{R_1} \left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1 \right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_1}{n_0} & \frac{1}{R_2} \left(\frac{n_1}{n_0} - 1 \right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_0}{n_1} & \frac{1}{R_1} \left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1 \right) \\ \frac{x n_0}{n_1} & \frac{x}{R_1} \left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1 \right) + 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{x}{R_2} \left(1 - \frac{n_0}{n_1} \right) & \frac{1}{R_1} \left(1 - \frac{n_1}{n_0} \right) + \frac{1}{R_2} \left(\frac{n_1}{n_0} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{x}{R_1} \left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1 \right) + 1 \right] \\ \frac{x n_0}{n_1} & \frac{x}{R_1} \left(\frac{n_0}{n_1} - 1 \right) + 1 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned} \tag{11.24}$$

Returning to Equation 11.22 we can write out relations for θ_1 and y_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1 = S_1 \theta_0 + S_2 y_0 &\rightarrow y_0 = \frac{-S_1 \theta_0}{S_2} \\
 y_1 = S_3 \theta_0 + S_4 y_0
 \end{aligned} \tag{11.25}$$

where in the first relation we have utilized the fact that the outgoing ray is parallel to the optical axis, i.e. $\theta_1 = 0$. Looking back at Figure 11.4 we see from the geometry that,

$$\tan \theta_0 = \frac{y_1}{-f_0} \rightarrow f_0 \approx -\frac{y_1}{\theta_0} \tag{11.26}$$

where the small angle approximation was used in the second step. Plugging in Equation 11.25 into Equation 11.26 yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_0 &= -\frac{y_1}{\theta_0} \\
 &= -\frac{S_3 \theta_0 + S_4 y_0}{\theta_0} \\
 &= \frac{S_4 S_1}{S_2} - S_3 \\
 &= \frac{S_4 S_1 - S_3 S_2}{S_2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{S_2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{11.27}$$

which gives the focal point f_0 , in terms of the elements of \mathbf{S} . The algebra in the second to last step is found by plugging in values for the elements of S in the numerator using Equation 11.24 and is rather tedious, but the result $S_1 S_4 - S_3 S_2 = 1$ is well worth it.

If we take the final result of Equation 11.27 and plug in S_2 from Equation 11.24, we obtain,

$$\frac{1}{f_0} = S_2 = \frac{n_1 - n_0}{n_0} \left[\frac{1}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_1} - \left(\frac{n_1 - n_0}{n_1} \right) \left(\frac{x}{R_1 R_2} \right) \right] \tag{11.28}$$

which is known as the **lensmaker's equation**. As x becomes very small, the lens approaches the thin lens approximation and Equation 11.28 becomes,

$$\frac{1}{f_0} = \frac{n_1 - n_0}{n_0} \left(\frac{1}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_1} \right) \quad (11.29)$$

which is the thin lens approximation for focal length given in Equation 11.9!

11.5 Fresnel Equations


The previous sections have exclusively dealt with geometrical optics, which while important, cannot describe all light phenomena, specifically polarization. To understand polarization we must leave the realm of geometrical optics and enter the world of physical optics. The first thing we must do is understand the details of what exactly light is. Light can be thought of as the combination of two fields, an electric field oscillating up and down, and a magnetic field, perpendicular to the electric field, also oscillating. The cross product of the electric field with the magnetic field, $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$, is always in the direction that the light wave is traveling.

If an observer were to see a light wave directly approaching them, they would see the electric field as a vector, always pointing in the same direction, but growing and shrinking. Similarly, they would see another vector representing the magnetic field, perpendicular to the electric field vector, also growing and shrinking as the light wave approached. The vectors that the observer sees are two dimensional, and so like any two dimensional vector, they can be broken into x and y components.

So what happens if the observer is in jail and the light must pass through parallel vertical jail bars that block electric fields? Any bit of the electric field that is not vertical will bounce off the bars, while any part of the electric field that is vertical will pass through. In other words, the x component of the electric field will not survive, but the y component will. Additionally, if the the electric field is blocked, then so is the associated magnetic field, and so the y component of the magnetic field associated with the x component of the electric field will be blocked as well. Now the observer sees the electric field vector oscillating vertically up and down, while the magnetic field vector is oscillating left and right.

The thought experiment above is the general idea behind **plane polarization**. Incoming parallel light waves have a myriad of different electric and magnetic field directions, but after passing through a vertical polarizer, only the vertical components of the electric field, and the horizontal components of the magnetic field survive. Another type of polarization, **circular polarization** is also possible and is the same idea as plane polarized light, but is more difficult to visualize.

Now what happens if we consider light bouncing off a piece of glass? The light incident on the glass can be either vertically or horizontally polarized, again because the vectors can be broken into their x and y components. The composite of the two polarizations is just normal unpolarized light, but by looking at the two components individually we can see what happens to the light reflected off the glass. In Figure 11.5(a) the light is



polarized so that the \vec{E} field is coming out of the page and perpendicular to the plane of incidence.⁶ The light wave is traveling in the direction $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ and so we can then draw the direction of the magnetic field.

When the light waves hits the glass surface, part of the wave is reflected, while part of the wave passes through the glass. Because the electric field is parallel to the surface of the glass, the electric field will remain pointing in the same direction as the incident light wave for both the reflected and transmitted waves. This means that,

$$E_{i,\perp} + E_{r,\perp} = E_{t,\perp} \quad (11.30)$$

or that the incident and reflected electric field amplitudes must equal the transmitted electric field amplitude.

Next, we see that the vertical components of the magnetic fields in the incident and transmitted waves should be in the same direction, while the horizontal component of the magnetic field in the reflected wave has been flipped by the reflection. This gives us,

$$B_{i,\parallel} \cos \theta_i - B_{r,\parallel} \cos \theta_r = B_{t,\parallel} \cos \theta_t \quad (11.31)$$

which states that the incident horizontal component of the magnetic field less the reflected field must equal the transmitted magnetic field. Using the relation that $E = \frac{c}{n}B$, Equation 11.31 can be rewritten in terms of the electric field,

$$n_0 E_{i,\perp} \cos \theta_i - n_1 E_{r,\perp} \cos \theta_r = n_1 E_{t,\perp} \cos \theta_t \quad (11.32)$$

where n_0 is the index of refraction for the incident and reflected medium, and n_1 the index of refraction for the transmitted medium. Note that the speed of light cancels out of the equation because both sides are divided by c . We now have three unknowns and only two equations. This is not a problem however, because we only want to know the percentage of light reflected back, and so we can eliminate $E_{t,\perp}$, let $\theta_r = \theta_i$ by the law

⁶This notation can be a bit confusing but is the standard. Perpendicular to the plane of incidence means that the electric field is parallel with the surface of the reflector if the reflector is a plane.

11 Optics

of reflection, and solve for the ratio of the reflected electric field to the incident electric field.

$$\frac{E_{r,\perp}}{E_{i,\perp}} = \frac{\cos \theta_i - \frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_t}{\cos \theta_i + \frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_t} \quad (11.33)$$

What happens if we look at the light with magnetic fields parallel to the plane of incidence rather than perpendicular as is shown in Figure 11.5(b)?⁷ We can repeat the exact same process except now all the magnetic fields stay the same direction,

$$B_{i,\perp} + B_{r,\perp} = B_{t,\perp} \quad (11.34)$$

and the horizontal component of the electric field is flipped by reflection.

$$E_{i,\parallel} \cos \theta_i - E_{r,\parallel} \cos \theta_r = E_{t,\parallel} \cos \theta_t \quad (11.35)$$

The substitution for $E = \frac{c}{n}B$ can be made again and we can obtain the ratio of the reflected electric field to the incident electric field.

$$\frac{E_{r,\parallel}}{E_{i,\parallel}} = \frac{\frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_t}{\frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_i + \cos \theta_t} \quad (11.36)$$

Knowing the ratio of reflected to incident electric fields is nice, but it does not tell us anything that we can easily measure. However, the intensity of light is just the square of the electric field, so if we square Equations 11.33 and 11.36 we can find the percentage of light reflected for an incidence angle θ_i and transmitted angle θ_t for both perpendicular and parallel polarized light! Taking this one step further, we can eliminate θ_t with Snell's law, $n_0 \sin \theta_i = n_1 \sin \theta_t$. Finally, trigonometric properties can be used to reduce the equations into an even more compact form. These equations are known as the Fresnel equations.⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{r,\perp}}{I_{i,\perp}} &= \left(\frac{E_{r,\perp}}{E_{i,\perp}} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\cos \theta_i - \frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_t}{\cos \theta_i + \frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_t} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\cos \theta_i - \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_1}{n_0}\right)^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i}}{\cos \theta_i + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_1}{n_0}\right)^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\sin^2 (\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\sin^2 (\theta_i + \theta_t)} \end{aligned} \quad (11.37)$$

⁷Again, to clear up the confusion, this means the electric field is now perpendicular to the surface of the reflector.

⁸Pronounced *fray-nell*, the *s* is silent.




Figure 11.5: Physical interpretation of the Fresnel equations given in Equations 11.37 and 11.38 for light transitioning from glass to air and air to glass.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{I_{r,\parallel}}{I_{i,\parallel}} &= \left(\frac{E_{r,\parallel}}{E_{i,\parallel}} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_t}{\frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_i + \cos \theta_t} \right)^2 \\
 &= \left(\frac{\frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_i - \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_1}{n_0}\right)^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i}}{\frac{n_1}{n_0} \cos \theta_i + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_1}{n_0}\right)^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i}} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{\tan^2(\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\tan^2(\theta_i + \theta_t)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{11.38}$$

So where does all of this math get us? Figure 11.5 plots the percentage light reflected for perpendicular and parallel polarized light with respect to the plane of incidence for the scenario of light passing from air to glass, $n_0 = 1, n_1 = 1.5$, and glass to air, $n_0 = 1.5, n_1 = 1$. For the scenario of light passing from air to glass, there is a point on the plot at $\theta_i = 0.98$ where no parallel polarized light, only perpendicular polarized light is reflected. This angle is called **Brewster's angle** and is the angle at which the light

reflecting off a surface is completely polarized! For the second scenario, light passing from glass to air, the light is completely reflected for values greater than $\theta_i = 0.73$. This is called **total internal reflection**. By taking into account the polarization of light we are able to see two very real light phenomena!

11.6 Experiment

The experiment for this chapter explores both geometrical and physical optics and consists of three parts. In the first part of the experiment, the focal length of a convex lens is measured by using the relation,

$$\frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{1}{x_o} = \frac{1}{f} \quad (11.39)$$

where x_i is the distance of the image from the lens and x_o is the distance of the object from the lens. This relationship can be derived by tracing an additional ray in Figure 11.2(a) and equating ratios from the similar triangles formed.

The second part of the experiment measures the focal length of a concave lens. Because concave lenses do not project real images, a concave lens must be placed before the convex lens. The focal point for the system is just,

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{f_{\text{concave}}} + \frac{1}{f_{\text{convex}}} \quad (11.40)$$

where f_{concave} and f_{convex} are the focal points for the concave and convex lenses. The focal length for the compound system can be found by using Equation 11.39, and so after plugging in the focal length of the convex lens from the first part of the experiment, the focal length of the concave lens can be found.

The final part of the experiment verifies the Fresnel equations by producing a plot very similar to Figure 11.5. A laser is polarized using a filter and bounced off a glass plate. The reflected laser is directed to a photo-multiplier tube which creates a voltage proportional to the intensity of the light. Brewster's angle can then be determined from the plots made. It is important in this part of the experiment to not mix up the polarizations of the light. The line on the polarizing filter indicates the direction the electric field is polarized so for perpendicular polarized light with respect to the plane of incidence the line should be vertical.

12 Diffraction and Interference

At the beginning of the 19th century a great debate had been raging within the physics community for over 100 years, sparked by the diametrically opposing theories of Isaac Newton and Christiaan Huygens regarding the nature of light. Newton, in his book *Optiks*¹, outlined a theory where light was made up of small particles or **corpuscles**. Geometrical optics, as introduced in Chapter 11, is modeled well by rays or straight lines, which can be thought of as the paths traced out by individual light particles, and so Newton's theory described the optics of the day well. Huygens, however, in his *Treatise on Light*, written in 1690, 14 years earlier than Newton's *Optiks*, proposed that light was not made up of particles, but rather waves, similar to ocean waves. This theory had its own merits, but was generally ignored in favor of Newton's particle theory.

The debate between the theories of Huygens and Newton came to its first² resolution in 1802 when Thomas Young performed his famous **double slit** experiment which emphatically demonstrated the wave nature of light. In the experiment, Young set up a light source which passed through a cover with two slits. The light then passed from these two slits onto a screen, where the pattern of the light could be observed. According to Newton's theory, the light should project two slits onto the screen. What Young found however, was a complicated **interference** pattern.

12.1 Interference

Before diving into the details of Young's experiment, we first need to understand exactly what is meant by an interference pattern. Looking back at both Chapters 10 and 11 we know that a light wave consists of an electric field perpendicular to a magnetic field. Because the magnetic field is directly related to the electric field (and vice versa), to fully describe a light wave it is only necessary to specify either the electric or magnetic field. By convention, the electric field is typically used to describe the wave, and is given by the general wave function (in one dimension),

$$E = E_0 \sin(kx - \omega t) \tag{12.1}$$

where x is the position at which the wave is measured, t is the time at which the wave is measured, E_0 is the amplitude of the wave, ω is the angular frequency of the wave³,

¹He based this publication on his first series of lectures at Trinity College, Cambridge in 1704.

²Why this is just the first resolution, and not the final resolution of the debate will be explained in the double slit diffraction section of this chapter.

³See the Chapter 10 for more detail; the angular frequency is related to the frequency of a wave by $\omega = 2\pi f$.

12 Diffraction and Interference

and k is the wave number, defined as,

$$k \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \quad (12.2)$$

where λ is wavelength.

So what happens if we place two light waves on top of each other? In electrodynamics, electric fields can be combined by **superposition**, which just means that the electric fields are added together. If the electric field of Equation 12.1 was added to another electric field described by the exact same equation (same k and ω), the result would be Equation 12.1 but now with an amplitude of $2E_0$ rather than E_0 . This is called **complete constructive interference**, where two fields are added together with the same **phase**.

But what is the phase of a wave? We can rewrite Equation 12.1 as,

$$E = E_0 \sin(kx - \omega t + \delta) \quad (12.3)$$


where δ is the phase of the wave. From the equation, we see that the phase of the wave just shifts the wave to the right by δ if the phase is negative, and to the left by δ if the phase is positive. Now, if we add two waves together, one with a field described by Equation 12.3 with $\delta = 0$, and another with $\delta = \pi$, the peaks of the first wave match with the valleys of the second wave, and so when the two waves are added together, the net result is zero! This is called **complete destructive interference**. There are of course combinations between destructive and constructive interference, as shown in Figure 12.1(a) where the wave $\sin(x)$ is added onto the wave $\sin(x + \pi/2)$, but in general, noticeable interference is either complete constructive or destructive.

Now let us take a slightly more mathematical approach to the idea of interference between two waves,


$$E_1 = E_0 \sin\left(kx - \omega t + \frac{\delta}{2}\right), \quad E_2 = E_0 \sin\left(kx - \omega t - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \quad (12.4)$$

where the wavenumber and angular frequencies are the same but with a phase difference between the two waves of $\delta/2 - (-\delta/2) = \delta$. We can again just add the two waves together to find the total electric field wave, but physically this is not very interesting, as the human eye cannot observe the pattern of an electric wave. However, what is of interest is the intensity (or brightness) of the wave, which is proportional to the electric field squared. Adding the two electric fields of Equation 12.4 together and squaring then gives us a quantity proportional to the intensity.

$$\begin{aligned} I \propto E^2 &= (E_1 + E_2)^2 \\ &= E_1^2 + E_2^2 + 2E_1E_2 \\ &= E_0^2 \sin^2\left(kx - \omega t + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) + E_0^2 \sin^2\left(kx - \omega t - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + 2E_0^2 \sin\left(kx - \omega t + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \sin\left(kx - \omega t - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (12.5)$$



(a)



(b)

Figure 12.1: Diagram of interference between two waves is given in Figure 12.1(a) and Huygens principle is demonstrated in Figure 12.1(b).

12 Diffraction and Interference

The final expanded step of the intensity above looks rather nasty, but luckily we can simplify it. We note that it is impossible to look everywhere (i.e. at all x) at the same time (i.e. for a specific t). Humans can however, look at a specific point over a period of time. Subsequently, we want to look at the final step of Equation 12.5 at a specific point over a period of time. This means x becomes a constant, and we need to find the time average of the trigonometric functions. Without giving a rigorous mathematical argument, the time average, indicated by angled brackets, for \sin^2 and \cos^2 is just $1/2$ and for sine and cosine is just 0.

$$\langle \sin^2 t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \langle \sin t \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \cos^2 t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \langle \cos t \rangle = 0 \quad (12.6)$$

Using the time average of the trigonometric functions, the first two terms in the final step of Equation 12.5 become $E_0^2/2$.

$$E^2 = E_0^2 + 2E_0^2 \sin\left(kx - \omega t + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \sin\left(kx - \omega t - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \quad (12.7)$$

By using the trigonometric identity,

$$\sin \theta \sin \phi \equiv \frac{\cos(\theta - \phi) + \cos(\theta + \phi)}{2} \quad (12.8)$$

the final term can be recast in terms of cosines and the terms dependent upon t can be time averaged.

$$\begin{aligned} 2E_0^2 \sin^2\left(kx - \omega t + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \sin^2\left(kx - \omega t - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) &= E_0^2 \cos(\delta) + E_0^2 \cos(2kx - 2\omega t) \\ &= E_0^2 \cos \delta \end{aligned} \quad (12.9)$$

Combining this result for the final term with the first two terms of Equation 12.7 results in,

$$E^2 = \frac{1}{2}E_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}E_0^2 + E_0^2 \cos \delta = E_0^2 (1 + \cos \delta) \quad (12.10)$$

which can be further simplified using the trigonometric identity,

$$1 + \cos \delta \equiv 2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \quad (12.11)$$

for the cosine function.

$$E^2 = 2E_0^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \quad (12.12)$$

Putting this all back together, we have found the time averaged intensity at any point of two overlapping light waves of the same wavelength, but with a phase separation of δ .

$$I \propto \cos^2\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \quad (12.13)$$

We can now check that this mathematical description for the interference between two waves makes sense. If the two waves are completely in phase, or $\delta = 0$ we should have complete constructive interference and Equation 12.13 should be at a maximum, which we see it is. Similarly, Equation 12.13 is at a minimum of 0 when $\delta = \pi$, or the waves have complete destructive interference.

12.2 Double Slit Interference

So how do we create an experiment to check Equation 12.13? The first item needed is a light source that produces waves that have the same phase and wavelength or a **coherent** and **monochromatic** light source. Next we need to combine two waves from the light source with a known phase difference between the two, and observe the intensity pattern. This can be accomplished by taking advantage of what is known as **Huygens principle**, illustrated in Figure 12.1(b). Here, plane waves of wavelength λ are incident on some surface with a slit. The waves pass through the slit and propagate out in a circular manner but still with wavelength λ .

Using this principle, a setup can be made with a coherent monochromatic light source emitting plane waves which pass through two slits separated by a distance d , shown in Figure 12.2(a). The plane waves then become spherical and propagate outwards from the slit, interfering with the wave from the adjacent slit. A screen is placed at a very far distance L from the double slit, such that $L \gg d$. This setup is called a **Fraunhofer** or **far-field** interference experiment because L is so large in comparison to the distance between the slits.

Consider now looking at a point P on the projection screen at a distance y from the centerline between the two slits, forming an angle θ with the centerline. We can trace a line along the spherical waves emitted from each slit to this point, and label the distance of the path from the upper slit as r_1 and the lower slit as r_2 . Because the screen is so far away, we can approximate r_1 and r_2 as being parallel, as shown in Figure 12.2(a). Drawing a line perpendicular to r_1 from the upper slit to r_2 creates a small right triangle, of which we label the base length as Δr . The upper angle of this triangle is θ by geometry, and so Δr is just $d \sin \theta$. Because r_1 and r_2 are approximately parallel, we can write r_2 as $r_2 = r_1 + \Delta r$.

The waves emitted from the slits are spherical, but we can see that the profile of the wave as it travels along either r_1 or r_2 is described by the general wave equation of Equation 12.1, r replacing x as the position variable. We can then write the equation of both electric fields, E_1 and E_2 at the point where they overlap on the projection screen.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_1 &= E_0 \sin(kr_1 - \omega t) \\
 E_2 &= E_0 \sin(kr_2 - \omega t) \\
 &= E_0 \sin(k(r_1 + \Delta r) - \omega t) \\
 &= E_0 \sin\left(kr_1 - \omega t + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} a \sin \theta\right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12.14}$$

12 Diffraction and Interference

In the second to last step, $r_1 + \Delta R$ is substituted for r_2 , and in the final step $d \sin \theta$ is substituted for Δr .

From the first and final line of Equation 12.14, we see that the phase difference between the two waves at point P on the projection screen is just $k\Delta r$.

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi d \sin \theta}{\lambda} \quad (12.15)$$

If we assume that the angle θ is small, we can then make the small angle approximation between sine and tangent,

$$\sin \theta \approx \tan \theta = \frac{y}{L} \quad (12.16)$$

and plug this back into the phase difference of Equation 12.15 for $\sin \theta$.

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi dy}{\lambda L} \quad (12.17)$$

We now have the phase difference between the two different waves at point P in terms of y , the distance y from the centerline of the setup, L , the distance of the projection screen from the slits, d , the distance between the two slits, and λ , the wavelength of the monochromatic coherent light being used.

Because we have the phase difference between the two waves, and the two waves have the same wavelength and frequency, we can use Equation 12.13 to determine the intensity pattern on the screen as a function of the distance y .

$$I(y) \propto 2E_0^2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi dy}{\lambda L} \right) \quad (12.18)$$

As this is just a proportionality, we can absorb all the constant coefficients and replace them with some maximal intensity, I_0 , to make an equality,

$$I(y) = I_0 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi dy}{\lambda L} \right) \quad (12.19)$$

which is plotted in Figure 12.2(b). From this plot we can see that the intensity pattern will be at a maximum when,

$$y_{\max} = \frac{m\lambda L}{d}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (12.20)$$

where m is the order of the maxima found.

The intensity pattern of Figure 12.2(b) is an incredible result that allows us to see whether light is a wave or particle using a simple experimental setup. However, it turns out that in the derivation above we have assumed that a single spherical wave is emitted from each slit, which is not a good approximation unless the width of the slits is much smaller than the separation between the slits. We will now take this into account, first with single slit diffraction and then with double slit diffraction.





Figure 12.2: Diagram of the double slit experiment is given in Figure 12.2(a) and the intensity pattern is given in Figure 12.2(b).

12.3 Single Slit Diffraction

While reading through this chapter, one may have noticed that the word interference has been used to describe the previous two sections. So what then is **diffraction**? The definition for diffraction can be rather tricky, but in general, diffraction is a phenomena that occurs from the interference of a continuous set of waves, rather than a discrete number of waves, such as two in the double slit interference example above. In the following example of a single slit, we must now consider an infinite number of light waves interfering, rather than a set of two waves.

Figure 12.3(a) shows a single slit experiment setup where a coherent monochromatic light source passes through a slit of width a and is projected onto a screen at a distance L from the slit. Again, we assume that $L \gg a$, or Fraunhofer diffraction, and that we observe the interference pattern at point P a distance y above the centerline of the slit. In this experimental setup we must consider adding together an infinite number of electric fields, $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + \dots + E_\infty$, each with a slightly different path length r , and squaring the result to determine the intensity of the light on the projection screen. Of course, adding together an infinite number of electric fields by hand is not fun, and so instead we will use an integral.

First, we notice that Figure 12.3(a) is very similar to Figure 12.2(a); the only difference, as mentioned before, is that we now must consider a continuous source of electric fields rather than two discrete electric fields. We can write the first infinitesimally small contribution to the total electric field as,

$$dE = \frac{dE_0}{r_0} \sin(kr_0 - \omega t) \quad (12.21)$$

where r_0 is the distance from the top of the slit to point P . The value dE_0 is the infinitesimal amplitude of the electric field.

We can move down the slit a distance x and consider an infinitesimal contribution being emitted from this point. The path distance, just as in the double slit setup, is now increased by an amount Δr from r_0 .

$$dE = \frac{dE_0}{r_0 + \Delta r} \sin(k(r_0 + \Delta r) - \omega t) \quad (12.22)$$

Now we can write dE_0 as the electric field amplitude density, ρ , times the length over which the field is being emitted, dx . Additionally, we can write Δr in terms of x as $x \sin \theta$. Finally, we want to add together all the infinitesimal electric fields, so we integrate from $x = 0$ to $x = a$, the width of the slit.

Making these substitutions into Equation 12.22, and integrating yields the following.

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int_0^a \frac{\rho}{r_0 + x \sin \theta} \sin(kr_0 - \omega t + kx \sin \theta) dx \\ &\approx \frac{\rho}{r_0} \int_0^a \sin(kr_0 - \omega t + kx \sin \theta) dx \\ &= \frac{2\rho}{kr_0} \left(\frac{\sin\left(\frac{ka \sin \theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(kr_0 - \omega t + \frac{ka \sin \theta}{2}\right)}{\sin \theta} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12.23)$$





Figure 12.3: Diagram of the double slit experiment.

12 Diffraction and Interference

In the first step, we have just made the substitutions and set up the integral. Performing this integral is very messy, so we make the approximation that $\rho/(r_0 + x \sin \theta)$ is approximately ρ/r_0 in the second step. This is a valid approximation because $r_0 \gg \Delta r$. Notice that we cannot make the same approximation in the sine term. This is because the phase difference of the waves is entirely determined by Δr . In the third and final step we perform the definite integral. Now we have the total electric field from the infinitesimal contributions along the slit!

Just as with the double slit experiment, we are not very interested in the electric field amplitude at point P but rather the average intensity. To find this, we square the electric field given in Equation 12.23, and time average the trigonometric functions dependent on time.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I \propto E^2 &= \frac{4\rho^2}{k^2 r_0^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{ka \sin \theta}{2} \right) \sin^2 \left(kr_0 - \omega t + \frac{ka \sin \theta}{2} \right)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \\
 &= \frac{4\rho^2}{k^2 r_0^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{ka \sin \theta}{2} \right)}{2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^2 \rho^2}{2\pi^2 r_0^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi a \sin \theta}{\lambda} \right)}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^2 \rho^2}{2\pi^2 r_0^2} \left(\frac{L^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi a y}{\lambda L} \right)}{y^2} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.24}$$

For the first step, we have just squared the electric field to find the intensity. In the second step we have replaced $\sin^2 (kr_0 - \omega t + ka \sin \theta/2)$ with a time averaged value of $1/2$. In the third step we have replaced the wavenumber k with its definition given in Equation 12.2. In the final step we have made the small angle approximation of Equation 12.16.

The final result of Equation 12.24 is proportional to the intensity of the diffraction pattern at y on the projection screen, and so we can absorb all the constants into some maximal intensity I_0 and equate Equation 12.24 with intensity.

$$I(y) = I_0 \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi a y}{\lambda L} \right)}{y^2} \tag{12.25}$$

This intensity pattern is nearly identical to that of the double slit given in Equation 12.19, but sine squared has been replaced with cosine squared, and the whole quantity is divided by y^2 . This makes all the difference in the world, as the shape of the intensity pattern for the single slit, shown in Figure 12.3(b) is significantly different from that of the double slit.

Looking at Figure 12.3(b), we see that we can write a relation similar to Equation 12.20, but instead of locating the maxima, we locate the minima.

$$y_{\min} = \frac{m\lambda L}{a}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{12.26}$$

Again, we have derived a theoretical result which allows for a simple experimental verification of the wave nature of light!

12.4 Double Slit Diffraction

As mentioned earlier, the interference pattern from two discrete slits given in Figure 12.2(b), is difficult to observe in most experimental slits as oftentimes the slit widths is comparable to the slit separation. This means that what was an interference problem now becomes a diffraction problem and the exact same method used for the single slit can be used but with different limits of integration. The derivation does not introduce any new concepts, and drudging through the math is not useful to the discussion here, so the results of double slit diffraction will be presented without derivation.⁴

The intensity for double slit diffraction is given by,

$$I(y) = I_0 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi dy}{\lambda L} \right) \left(\frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi ay}{\lambda L} \right)}{y^2} \right) \quad (12.27)$$

where a is the width of the two slits, and d is the separation between the the middle of the two slits. But wait just one moment, this equation looks very familiar! That's because it is; the intensity pattern for double slit diffraction is just the intensity pattern for double slit interference, given in Equation 12.19 multiplied by the intensity pattern for single slit diffraction, given in Equation 12.25.

This means we know what Equation 12.27 should look like, just Figure 12.2(b) multiplied by Figure 12.3(b). The intensity plot as a function of y for double slit diffraction is shown in Figure 12.4, where the complete intensity pattern is given in blue, and the overlying single slit intensity pattern is given in red. Notice that when the distance between the slits is much less than the width of the slits, $d \ll a$, the intensity pattern becomes similar to that of a single slit. Additionally, when d is much larger than a , the double slit interference separation becomes very small and is difficult to resolve from the single slit diffraction. In Figure 12.4 the width of the slits is twice the distance between the slits, $a = 2d$.

There is one final comment that needs to be made about double slit interference and diffraction, and single slit diffraction. Throughout the entirety of this chapter we have assumed that light is purely a wave, and that Huygens was correct. It turns out that this is not the case as shown by Einstein's famous **photoelectric experiment**. With this experiment, Einstein demonstrated that discrete quanta of light, **photons**, carry an energy hf where f is the frequency of the light and h is Planck's constant. The argument that physicists had thought resolved since 1802 was yet again revived, and the idea that light is both a particle and a wave was developed. This idea is now known as the **wave-particle duality** of light, and applies not only to light, but everything.

The investigation of the wave-particle duality of light has lead to many breakthroughs in the field of quantum mechanics. One of the most interesting results is that every particle, and consequently all matter, is made up of waves. The wavelength of these

⁴For readers who do not trust me, do the derivation yourself. Use the exact same method as the single slit, but now perform two integrals. Define a as the slit width and d as the distance between the middle of both slits. For the first slit the limits of integration will be from 0 to a . For the second integral the limits will be from $d - a/2$ to $d + a/2$. Then enjoy slogging through all the math!




Figure 12.4: Intensity pattern for double slit diffraction. Here, $a = 2d$.

waves is given by the **de Broglie wavelength**, $\lambda = h/p$ where p is the momentum of the object. We are not able to observe these waves in everyday objects such as cars because the momentum of these objects is so much larger than that of electrons or photons that the wavelength is tiny in comparison to elementary particles.

Because the waves of particles are governed by quantum mechanics, the results of some double slit examples are rather mind-bending. For example, electrons were shot through a double slit experiment, and a time lapse photograph of the results was made. The interference patterns of Figure 12.4 were observed, along with the individual impacts of the electrons! The same experiment was performed again, but it was ensured that only one single electron passed through a slit at a time. The interference pattern remained, despite the fact that there were no other electrons to interfere with! Finally, electrons were passed through the slits one at a time, and one of the two slits was covered so the experimenters knew from which slit the electron emerged. When this was done, the interference pattern disappeared! This incredible result provides direct evidence of the hypothesis in quantum mechanics that the mere action of observing a particle changes the particle, even if the measurement was non-destructive.

12.5 Experiment

The experiment associated with this chapter consists of three parts: in the first part the width of a single slit is measured by measuring the distance between first and second order minima. The intensity pattern should look very similar to the pattern given in Figure 12.3(b), and so the slit width a can be determined using Equation 12.26. In the second part of the experiment, the width of a human hair is measured. This is accomplished by what is known as **Babinet's principle**.

Babinet's principle states that the diffraction pattern for any combination of slits (or more generally shapes) is the same as the diffraction pattern for the exact opposite setup, i.e. each opening is replaced with a blocking, and each blocking is replaced with an opening. For the case of a human hair, the exact opposite setup is a single slit with the width of a human hair. But how exactly does this work?

Consider first the electric field present from just shining a laser onto the projection screen, let us call this E_u . Then consider blocking the light with a human hair and call this electric field E_h . Finally, we block the light with the exact opposite of the human hair, a single slit the width of the human hair, and call this electric field E_s . We can write the equation,

$$E_u = E_h + E_s \quad (12.28)$$

or the total unobstructed electric field is equal to the electric field not blocked by the hair, plus the electric field not blocked by the slit. Now we make a rather bold assumption and say that $E_u \approx 0$. If this is the case, then $E_h = -E_s$ and since intensity is proportional to the square of the electric field, $I_h = I_s$!

But by now, alarm bells should be going off. How can we possibly justify $E_u \approx 0$? If this were the case we would not be able to see any interference pattern! The justification

12 Diffraction and Interference

behind this assumption is a bit unsatisfying, but the end result can be experimentally verified. The idea is that for Fraunhofer or far-field diffraction and interference the projection screen is so far away from the initial light source that the light has spread sufficiently to yield an electric field of ≈ 0 . Because the light is spreading out in spherical waves, the electric field decreases by a factor of $1/r$, and so for a very large r the electric field is virtually non-existent. This assumption, and consequently Babinet's principle does not apply to **near-field** or **Fresnel diffraction**.

The final part of the experiment consists of measuring the separation between two slits in a double slit diffraction (not interference) experiment similar to that of Figure 12.4. This means that $a \sim d$ and so the single slit diffraction and double slit interference patterns will both be visible. Make sure not to confuse the two patterns; oftentimes the double slit interference pattern is very small, and must be observed using a magnifying glass.

13 Planck's Constant

While exploring the phenomena of diffraction in Chapter 12, we stated that the “first” resolution of the light wave-particle duality was arrived at by the experimental confirmation of Young’s slit experiment that light was a wave. But what about the “second” resolution? This chapter first looks at the classical theory of light as a wave, first verified by Young’s experiment, and then given a theoretical groundwork by James Clerk Maxwell. The theoretical framework for light and electromagnetism, Maxwell’s equations, provide an incredibly accurate theory, yet at the turn of the 20th century problems began to arise. Specifically, Albert Einstein began to question the purely wave nature of light, and hypothesized his famous photoelectric effect theory which stated that light was made up of particles called photons.

With the photoelectric effect, Einstein postulated a famous relationship between the energy of a photon and its frequency, which requires the use of what is known as **Planck’s constant**. This constant had been experimentally determined by Max Planck a few years earlier through his successful attempt to model what is known as black-body radiation. In his model, Planck required the quantization of light, yet he did not truly recognize the implications of this quantization until Einstein’s photoelectric effect. The final section of this chapter briefly explores the theory of black-body radiation in an attempt to put the history of the constant into context.

13.1 Maxwell’s Equations

In Chapter 11, while deriving the Fresnel equations we learned that not only is light a wave, but that it is an electromagnetic wave, or an electric wave, \vec{E} , perpendicular to a magnetic wave, \vec{B} travelling in the direction $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$. Furthermore, we learned that the intensity of light is proportional to the amplitude of the electric wave squared, E_0^2 . From Young’s slit experiment know that light must be a wave, but how do we know that light is made up of a magnetic and electric field, and how do we know that the intensity of light is the amplitude squared of the electric field? To answer these questions we must turn to what are known as **Maxwell’s equations**.

During the mid 1800’s physicists such as Gauss, Faraday, Ampère, and Maxwell had become increasingly interested by both electrical and magnetic phenomena, and through experimental trial and error, determined the two phenomena were governed by the same force, or the **electromagnetic force**. Maxwell went even further, and united four laws that governed this force, Maxwell’s equations, which are given in a **differential form** by Equation 13.1 and an **integral form** by Equation 13.2.

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad (13.1a) \quad \oiint_V \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A} = \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0} \quad (13.2a)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \quad (13.1b) \quad \oint_A \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l} = -\frac{\partial \Phi_B}{\partial t} \quad (13.2b)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (13.1c) \quad \oiint_V \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{A} = 0 \quad (13.2c)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \quad (13.1d) \quad \oint_A \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_0 I - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \Phi_E}{\partial t} \quad (13.2d)$$

At first glance these equations are very intimidating, but after getting past all the symbols, their meaning is quite simple and elegant. To begin, we must first explain the difference between the differential and integral form of the equations. Both sets of equations, Equations 13.1 and 13.2, represent the exact same physical laws, but are different mathematical and physical interpretations of the laws. Oftentimes one form is taught in class, and the other form is ignored, but both forms of Maxwell's equations provide important physical insights. More importantly, when solving electromagnetism problems, choosing the appropriate form of the law can greatly simplify the math behind the problem.

Looking at Equation 13.1a we see that the **divergence** of the electric field, $\nabla \cdot \vec{E}$, is equal to the **charge density**, ρ , divided by the **electric constant**. The divergence of a vector field, \vec{F} , is given mathematically by $\partial F_x / \partial x + \partial F_y / \partial y + \partial F_z / \partial z$ and is a scalar quantity (a number not a vector). Visually, the divergence of a field is the magnitude per unit area of all the vectors passing in and out of a surface drawn around a single point in the field. For example, the divergence of the electric field from a single electron would be the magnitude per unit area of all the electric field vectors passing through a sphere drawn around the electron. In this example, because electrons have a negative charge, the divergence of \vec{E} would be negative. This makes sense, as ρ should be negative as well.

The definition of divergence relates directly to the integral form of Equation 13.1a given by Equation 13.2a. This equation states that if a volume of any shape, V , is drawn around some amount of enclosed charge Q , the surface integral of the electric field is equal to the enclosed charge, divided by the electric constant. The surface integral is given by integrating over the dot product of the electric field with the infinitesimally small piece of area, $d\vec{A}$, through which the electric field is passing for the entire volume. Notice that $d\vec{A}$ is a vector quantity, and the direction of $d\vec{A}$ is perpendicular or **normal** to the surface of the volume at that point. Oftentimes a surface can be chosen so that \vec{E} and $d\vec{A}$ are perpendicular and consequently $\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A}$ is just $|\vec{E}||d\vec{A}|$.

Moving onto Equation 13.1b, we see that **curl** of the electric field, $\nabla \times \vec{E}$, is equal to the negative of the partial derivative of the magnetic field with respect to time. For readers not familiar with the curl of a field, look at Chapter 17. Briefly, the curl of a vector field is how much the vector field is curling (like a whirlpool) about a certain point. To understand what this means physically, we turn to the integral form given by Equation 13.2b, which states that the line integral of the electric field is equal to the opposite of the change in magnetic flux over time through the area, A , of the line integral. As an example, consider an electromagnet that is becoming more powerful over time. If we draw a circle around the electromagnet, the dot product of the electric field going through the circumference of the circle is equal to the change in the magnetic field going through the area of the circle. In this case the magnetic field is growing, and so this means the electric field is pointing inwards towards the electromagnet.

If we now look at Equations 13.1c and 13.1d we see that their left hand sides look very similar to Equations 13.1a and 13.1b but with \vec{B} swapped in for \vec{E} . The same observation applies to the integral forms as well. But, the right hand sides of these equations do not match. This is because electric fields are caused by electric charges, such as the electron or positron, which can be either negative or positive. Magnetic fields, however, are caused by magnets which always consist of a north and south pole. The idea of a magnet that is only a north pole, or only a south pole is called a **magnetic monopole** and has not yet been experimentally observed. Equations 13.1c and 13.2c essentially state this fact: whenever a magnetic field is enclosed, the total “magnetic charge” has to be zero because there are no magnetic monopoles.

The same idea applies to Equation 13.1d. Here, electric charge can flow (think electrons) and so the term $\mu_0 \vec{J}$, the **magnetic constant** times the **current density**, must be included. The analogous quantity for magnetism does not exist, and so this term is missing from Equation 13.1b. In the integral form, Equation 13.2d, current density is just replaced with total current flowing through some line integral.

13.2 Electromagnetic Waves

Entire books have been written just to explain the application of Maxwell’s equations, so it is not expected that the brief outline above is anywhere close to a full explanation. However, hopefully it provides a general idea of how the equations work, and their interrelations. For readers curious in learning more about Maxwell’s equations and how to use them to solve electromagnetism problems, the book [Introduction to Electrodynamics](#) by David J. Griffiths provides an excellent introduction.

But back to our original questions, how do we know light is an electromagnetic wave, and why is the intensity of light proportional to amplitude squared? If we combine Equation 13.1a with Equation 13.1b we can arrive at,

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} \quad (13.3)$$

where a few steps have been skipped in the process. Hopefully this equation is vaguely familiar. Looking back all the way to Chapter 10, we see that Equation 13.3 satisfies a

13 Planck's Constant

three dimensional form of the wave equation, given by Equation 10.7! Now here's the exciting part. We know that the coefficient on the right hand side of Equation 13.3 is equal to one over the velocity of the wave squared, by using Equation 10.9. This gives us,

$$C = \mu_0\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{v^2} \Rightarrow v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}} = 3.0 \times 10^8 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}} \quad (13.4)$$

which is the speed of light. We can also repeat the same process using the equations governing the magnetic field, Equations 13.1c and 13.1d, and again arrive at a velocity equal to the speed of light. Because of these relations, we know that light waves are made up of electric fields and magnetic fields and can predict the speed of light because Maxwell's equations satisfy the wave equation!

So that answers the first question, but how do we know that the intensity of light (or the energy of light) is proportional to the amplitude of the electric field squared? We now know that a light wave consists of a electric and magnetic field, and it turns out that the energy density flux of an electromagnetic field is given by the **Poynting vector**,

$$\vec{S} = \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{\mu_0} = c\epsilon_0|\vec{E}|^2 \quad (13.5)$$

where we are able to perform the second step because we know \vec{E} is perpendicular to \vec{B} and $\vec{E} = c\vec{B}$ where c is the speed of light. The Poynting vector tells us the energy, or intensity of light, is proportional to the amplitude of the electric field squared. Of course where the Poynting vector comes from has not been explained, but that requires quite a bit more theory, and is left to the more intrepid readers to find out on their own.

13.3 The Photoelectric Effect

By the turn of the 20th century, problems with the interpretation of Maxwell's equations had begun to arise, and the question of whether light was merely a wave arose yet again. One of the major problems observed was with an experiment known as the **photoelectric effect**. In the photoelectric effect, a strong source of monochromatic light is shown onto a metallic surface. The light strikes electrons within the metallic surface, and some electrons are ejected. These ejected electrons can be measured, as they create a voltage which can be read by a voltmeter.

The electrons ejected from the surface have the kinetic energy,

$$KE_{\text{max}} = E_\gamma - \phi \quad (13.6)$$

where E_γ is the energy from the incident light striking the electron, and ϕ is the **work function**, or energy required to eject the electron from the surface. The work function of the surface is dependent upon the material the surface is made from. While reading through Chapter 14 it is possible to estimate the order of magnitude for a typical work

function by determining how much energy is required to remove the most tightly bound electron from a hydrogen atom.

Work function aside, the kinetic energy of the ejected electrons can be measured by passing the electron through an electric field. Within an electric field, the electrons feel a force governed by Coulomb's force (see Equation 14.2) from the electric field. By Newton's first law, we know that if a force is applied to the electron, the electron must decelerate, and if the electric field is large enough, the electron will come to a stop. The electric field, when it brings all ejected electrons to a stop, is called a **stopping potential**.

By using this information, it is possible to determine the maximum kinetic energy of the electrons being ejected from the surface. When the electric field is increased until no electrons are able to pass through the field then,

$$KE_{\max} = V_s e \quad (13.7)$$

or the maximum kinetic energy of the ejected electrons, KE_{\max} , is equal to the voltage of the stopping potential, V_s , times the charge of an electron, e .

Now, by Maxwell's equations, we know that if we increase the amplitude of the incident light, we increase the intensity of the light, and we also increase the energy of the light. This means that classically, the kinetic energy of the escaping electrons should increase if we increase the intensity of the light. If we change the frequency or color of the light, nothing should happen, the escaping electrons should have the same maximum kinetic energy. Unfortunately, this effect of an increase in electron kinetic energy with an increase in incident light intensity was not observed when the experiment was performed. Rather, three disturbing phenomena were observed.

1. An increase in light intensity increased the number of ejected electrons, but did not increase the maximum kinetic energy of the ejected electrons.
2. An increase in the frequency of the light increased the maximum kinetic energy of the ejected electrons, but did not increase the number of ejected electrons.
3. If the frequency of the light was too low, no electrons were ejected, no matter how bright a light was used.

This baffled physicists, as these results did not match with Maxwell's equations. Albert Einstein, however, looked at the results, and decided that perhaps light was not just a wave, despite the rather overwhelming evidence of Young's experiment and the incredible success of Maxwell's equations. Instead, he postulated that light is made up of particles or **quanta** called **photons**. More importantly, he theorized that the energy of a photon is proportional to the frequency of the photon, or,

$$E_\gamma = hf \quad (13.8)$$

where E_γ is the energy of the photon, h is Planck's constant, and f is the frequency of the photon.

Using Equation 13.8, we can now explain the odd results of the photoelectric experiment. Rather than thinking of an incident wave of light striking an electron, we can think of a single photon striking an electron. If we increase the number of incident photons, we increase the intensity of the light, and consequently the number of ejected electrons increases. The kinetic energy of the ejected electrons does not change however, because the energy of the incident light striking an electron is just the energy of a single photon and does not change without changing the frequency of the light. We have just explained the first observation!

But what about the second observation? The same logic applies. Now we do not increase the intensity of the light, so the number of photons stays the same, but we do increase the frequency and so by Equation 13.8, the energy of each photon is increased. Going back to Equation 13.6, E_γ increases, and so the maximum kinetic energy of the electrons must also increase. If however, the frequency of the incoming photons is not high enough, the energy of the photon will be less than the binding energy, $E_\gamma < \phi$, and so the electron will have negative kinetic energy! This of course does not make sense, as kinetic energy cannot be negative; it must be zero or positive.

What this does mean though, is that the electron absorbs less energy than is needed to eject it from the surface. The electron will be less strongly bound to the surface, but it cannot escape, so no ejected electrons will be observed if $E_\gamma < \phi$, and so we now have an explanation for the third observation. Of course, it is interesting to know what happens when the electron is not ejected from the surface, but its binding energy is decreased. This is covered more in the chapter on fluorescence, Chapter 15.

In Chapter 12 it was mentioned that Einstein did not suggest that light was just a particle, but rather he suggested that it was both a particle and a wave. The implications of this **wave-particle duality** were already discussed in Chapter 12, but it is important to remember how Einstein developed this new idea. The experimental evidence for light as a wave was overwhelming, with Maxwell's equations providing a strong theoretical groundwork, yet the photoelectric effect demonstrated light must be made of particles. Einstein saw what no other physicist at the time could see; if light exhibits the behavior of a wave, and the behavior of a particle, it must be both a particle and a wave!

13.4 Blackbody Radiation

As stated in the introduction to this chapter, Einstein demonstrated the quantum nature of light, yet Planck inadvertently required the quantization of light through the **black-body radiation** experiment and measured his constant, h , before realizing the full implications of the constant. So what is a black-body? A black-body is an object that absorbs all electromagnetic radiation, both visible and invisible. Hence, it is given the name black-body because it cannot be seen from reflected light. However, after absorbing electromagnetic radiation, the black-body re-emits light on a variety of frequencies, dependent upon the temperature of the black-body. Perfect black-bodies do not exist in nature, but both the sun, and the human body act like black-bodies for certain frequencies of light!

The theory behind black-body radiation is based on statistical mechanics, and a variety of approaches can be taken. The theory behind these approaches will not be explained here, as the math can be very involved, but the resulting equations will be given. The first equation describing black-body radiation was first proposed by Wein, and later derived by Planck and is,

$$I(f, t) = \frac{2hf^3}{c^2} e^{-\frac{hf}{kT}} \quad (13.9)$$

where $I(f, t)$ is the intensity of the light at a frequency f emitted from a black-body with a temperature T . The constant k is **Boltzmann's constant**, c is the speed of light, and h is Planck's constant. The units of I are Joules per square meter.

It was found that this equation matches black-body radiation well for high frequencies, f , but deviates significantly from experiment for low frequencies. Planck went back to the drawing board and postulated **Planck's law**,

$$I(f, T) = \frac{2hf^3}{c^2 \left(e^{\frac{hf}{kT}} - 1 \right)} \quad (13.10)$$

which is very similar to Equation 13.9, but matches experiment for both high and low frequencies. In his derivation of Equation 13.10, Planck required that light obeyed 13.8 but without realizing that he had quantized light!

Finally, a third model based entirely on classical mechanics by Jeans and Raleigh stated,

$$I(f, T) = \frac{2f^2kT}{c^2} \quad (13.11)$$

but experimentally, this formula only matches the intensity of lower frequencies of light. The formulation of this theory allowed physicist to understand the importance of using quantum mechanics to explain black-body radiation. Without the Jeans-Raleigh equation, Planck would not have realized the implications of his quantization of light.

A comparison between Equations 13.9, 13.10, and 13.11 is given in Figure 13.1. Equation 13.10 correctly describes the spectrum of light from black-body radiation, while Equation 13.9 describes the radiation well for high frequencies, and 13.11 for low frequencies. The black-body radiation spectrum given in Figure 13.1 is for an object with a temperature of 310 K, the average temperature of the human body. The light emitted from the human body, due to black-body radiation, is on the infrared scale. This is how many types of night vision systems work; they detect the black-body radiation emitted from the human body in the infrared spectrum.

13.5 Experiment

The experiment for this chapter demonstrates the photoelectric effect, using the method discussed in the third section of this chapter. Luckily, all of the complicated apparatus




Figure 13.1: An example of the black-body radiation given off by the human body at 310 K. The green curve gives the incorrect classical theory of Equation 13.11, the red curve the incorrect theory of 13.9, and the blue curve the correct theory derived by Planck of Equation 13.10.

required during the early 1900's to create a stopping potential and measure the maximum kinetic energy of the ejected electrons can be condensed into a small black box with the magic of circuits and a few other clever ideas. The entire apparatus for the experiment consists of this black box, a bright ultraviolet lamp, and various colored filters that can be used to change the frequency of the light incident on the box.

Within the black box is a photodiode, essentially a surface that ejects electrons when struck by photons. This surface has some work function, ϕ , which is unknown, and must be determined from the data taken during the experiment. The ejected photons are then driven into a capacitor which creates an electric field. Over time the electric field within the capacitor increases until it reaches the stopping potential, and then stabilizes at this value. A voltmeter is connected to this capacitor and measures the voltage drop across the capacitor, giving the stopping potential.

Using the voltmeter and various filters, a variety of data points can be taken of light frequency and measured stopping potential. By combining Equations 13.6, 13.7, and 13.8 we can write,

$$V_s = \frac{h}{e}f - \frac{\phi}{e} \quad (13.12)$$

or the stopping potential is equal to the Planck's constant times frequency less work function, all over fundamental electric charge. If the theory above is correct, then we can plot the data taken with V_s on the y -axis and f on the x -axis to obtain a relationship governed by Equation 13.12. The slope of the plot will yield $\frac{h}{e}$ while the intercept of the graph will give $\frac{\phi}{e}$, and so if e is known, it possible to calculate both Planck's constant, and the work function of the apparatus from the data!

14 Hydrogen

Over a century ago Lord Kelvin, while addressing a room full of the world's leading physicists, stated, "There is nothing new to be discovered in physics now. All that remains is more and more precise measurement."¹ As it so happens, Kelvin was wrong, as Rutherford and Bohr would so spectacularly demonstrate in the following years in the field of spectroscopy.²

At the beginning of the twentieth century most physicists would describe the structure of an atom with what is known as the **plum-pudding model**. In this model the atom is represented by a fluidic "pudding" of positive charge with "plums" of electrons floating around inside. A scientist of the time, Ernest Rutherford, questioned this explanation and decided to investigate the inner structure of the atom with his revolutionary **scattering** experiment. In this experiment alpha particles were fired at a thin gold foil. If the plum-pudding model was accurate most of these alpha particles would be reflected back, but Rutherford found the exact opposite. Nearly all the alpha particles passed through the foil undeflected, indicating that the gold atoms consisted mainly of . . . nothing.

To explain this astonishing result, Rutherford introduced a new model for the structure of the atom called the **Rutherford model**. In this model the atom consists of a very hard and dense core (the nucleus) about which electrons orbited. This model, while describing the phenomena which Rutherford observed in his scattering experiment, still suffered from a variety of flaws. In an attempt to correct these flaws a scientist by the name of Neils Bohr introduced the **Bohr model** in 1913. This model enjoyed great success in experimental observation and is taught to this day as an introduction to the atom. The model suffers from a variety of drawbacks and has been superseded by the **atomic orbital model** of the atom, but is still useful in conceptualizing spectral emissions of simple atoms.

14.1 Bohr Model

So what exactly is the Bohr model? The Bohr model is a planetary model that describes the movement of electrons about the nucleus of an atom as is shown in Figure 14.1. Here, electrons (analogous to planets) orbit about the charged, dense nucleus of the atom (the star of the planetary system). More importantly, the Bohr model is a combination of classical and quantum theory with surprisingly accurate results for the hydrogen atom.

¹Weisstein, Eric. "[Kelvin, Lord William Thomson \(1824-1907\)](#)".

²Lord Kelvin was wrong about quite a few things. A quick Google search will reveal pages and pages of rather humorous quotes from him. Despite his incredible failures in prediction, he pioneered many techniques in thermodynamics.




Figure 14.1: The Bohr model for a hydrogen atom with electrons at principal quantum numbers of $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. The symbol e^- denotes an electron and p^+ a proton.

Don't be disconcerted by the word quantum here, it will be explained shortly. But first, we will begin with the classical portion of the theory and apply it to the hydrogen atom which consists of a single negatively charged electron, and a single positively charged proton (plus a neutron but we don't care about that).

Any object in regular circular motion experiences a force stopping it from flying away, whether that force is gravity, tension, or electromagnetism. This **centripetal force** can be written in terms of mass of the orbiting object m , the radius of the orbit r , and the tangential velocity of the object v .³

$$F = \frac{mv^2}{r} \quad (14.1)$$

In the case of a negatively charged electron orbiting a positively charged nucleus, the centripetal force is due to electromagnetism and is described by the **Coulomb force**.

$$F = -\frac{q_1q_2}{4\pi\epsilon_0r^2} \quad (14.2)$$

Here ϵ_0 is the **electric constant**⁴, q_1 the charge of the electron, and q_2 the charge of the proton, while r is the distance between the two. Setting Equation 14.1 equal to

³There are a variety of methods to derive this formula, one being geometric. Try writing the period for one orbit of the object in terms of velocity and distance traveled, but also in terms of velocity and acceleration and equate the two.

⁴This constant is known by many names, permittivity of a vacuum, as used in the lab manual, permittivity of free space, etc. The bottom line is that this constant allows us to convert from units of charge squared over distance squared into force.

Equation 14.2 we can find a value for the velocity of the electron in terms of r , ϵ_0 , the charge of the electron e , and the mass of the electron m_e .

$$\frac{m_e v^2}{r} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \Rightarrow v = \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{m_e 4\pi\epsilon_0 r}} \quad (14.3)$$

This is where we now need to use a very basic form of quantum mechanics. Previously in the Rutherford model, Rutherford allowed electrons to take on any possible velocity. The only problem with this is that when electrons accelerate they lose energy by releasing energy in the form of a photon.⁵ An electron orbiting a nucleus in circular motion is constantly experiencing an acceleration towards the nucleus. Hence, the electrons orbiting a nucleus must be radiating energy, and so all atoms must be continuously emitting light!⁶ As everything around us does not usually glow, this is clearly not the case. To provide a solution to this dilemma, Bohr suggested that the electrons could only take on discrete energies, and that when at these energy levels the electrons would not lose their energy to radiation unless forced into a different energy level.

The idea that the energy of the electrons is **quantized**, or only allowed at specific levels, is a very rudimentary form of quantum mechanics. The reason Bohr suggested this rule was not because he had incredible foresight into the intricacies of fully developed quantum mechanics, but because such a rule would provide a theory that would match experiment. Specifically, atoms in gasses had been observed emitting light at discrete energies, rather than a continuum of energies.

Despite the reasoning behind Bohr's rule of quantizing the energy, the result is that the electrons can only take on quantized values of angular momentum.

$$L \equiv r p \sin \theta = r m_e v = \frac{nh}{2\pi} \quad (14.4)$$

Here the letter n , called the **principal quantum number**, is a positive integer greater than zero, i.e. 1, 2, 3, etc., and h is the **Planck constant**. Because momentum is quantized we see that both the velocity and the radius at which the electron orbit the nucleus must also be quantized. We can plug in the value that we obtained for the velocity of the electron (using classical mechanics) into Equation 14.4 and solve for the radius in terms of the principal quantum number n , h , the mass of the electron m_e , the electric constant ϵ_0 , and the fundamental unit of charge e .

$$r m_e \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{m_e 4\pi\epsilon_0 r}} = \frac{nh}{2\pi} \Rightarrow r_n = \frac{\epsilon_0 n^2 h^2}{\pi m_e e^2} \quad (14.5)$$

In the second step we have replaced r with r_n to indicate that the value of r is entirely dependent upon the principal quantum number n .

⁵For those of you who are interested, the idea of light being **quantized** in the form of a photon was first proven by Einstein in his photoelectric effect experiment.

⁶For more details on electrons radiating energy under acceleration look up **synchrotron radiation** and the **Larmor formula**.

Looking at Equation 14.5 we see an incredible result. The radius at which an electron orbits a nucleus is only dependent on n ! What is even more exciting is that the radius at which the electron orbits the nucleus gives us the effective size of the atom. We can see what the smallest size of the atom is by letting $n = 1$. We call this the **Bohr radius**, or r_1 .

$$r_1 = \frac{\epsilon_0 \hbar^2}{\pi m_e e^2} \approx 0.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m} \quad (14.6)$$

From first principals in classical mechanics and a little help from quantum mechanics, we have derived the size of a ground state hydrogen atom!

14.2 Spectroscopy

The idea that we can calculate the fundamental size of the hydrogen atom from Equation 14.5 is exciting, but is rather difficult to experimentally verify; we can't just grab a ruler and go measure the distance of an electron from the nucleus. Thankfully, there is another way that we can experimentally verify our theory and that is through **spectroscopy**.⁷

Many people know Einstein for his work on general and special relativity, but less commonly known is that Einstein never won the Nobel prize for this work. Instead, he won the Nobel prize for what is known as the **photoelectric effect**. What Einstein demonstrated is that light is made up of tiny massless particles, called photons, and that the energy of each photon is directly proportional to its frequency.⁸

$$E_\gamma = hf \quad (14.7)$$

Here we have used the subscript γ (the Greek letter gamma) to denote the photon. What this means is that light with a high frequency is more energetic than light with a low frequency. For example, a beam of blue light would contain almost nearly one and a half times as much energy as a beam of red light.

But how does this help us with experimentally verifying Bohr's model? As the electrons orbit the nucleus, they have a potential energy dependent upon their orbital radius and a kinetic energy dependent upon their velocity. The total energy of each electron is just its kinetic energy plus its potential energy. The kinetic energy for the electron is $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$, while the potential energy is the electric potential energy between the proton of the nucleus and the electron. The electric potential energy for two charges (exactly like this case) is given by,

$$V = \frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad (14.8)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the charges, ϵ_0 the electric constant, and r the separation between the two charges. Notice that this relation is nearly identical to the Coulomb force given

⁷Spectroscopy is literally the observation of a spectrum, in this case, a spectrum of light.

⁸This equation is more commonly written as $E = h\nu$ but for notational consistency we have stayed with designating frequency with the letter f instead of ν .

in Equation 14.2. This is because the integral of force over distance is just energy and so Equation 14.8 can be found by integrating Equation 14.2 with respect to r .

Putting this all together, we can add our standard relation for kinetic energy to our potential energy V to find the total energy of the electron as it orbits the nucleus.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_n &= \frac{1}{2}m_e v^2 + V \\
 &= \left(\frac{m_e}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e^2}{m_e 4\pi\epsilon_0 r_n}\right) - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_n} \\
 &= \left(\frac{m_e}{2}\right) \left(\frac{e^2}{m_e 4\pi\epsilon_0}\right) \left(\frac{\pi m_e e^2}{\epsilon_0 n^2 h^2}\right) - \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\right) \left(\frac{\pi m_e e^2}{\epsilon_0 n^2 h^2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{m_e e^4}{8\epsilon_0^2 n^2 h^2} - \frac{m_e e^4}{4\epsilon_0^2 n^2 h^2} \\
 &= -\frac{m_e e^4}{8\epsilon_0^2 n^2 h^2} \approx \frac{-2.18 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}}{n^2} = \frac{-13.6 \text{ eV}}{n^2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{14.9}$$

In the first step, we have just written the formula for the total energy, kinetic energy plus potential energy. In the second step we have replaced v with Equation 14.3 and V with Equation 14.8. In the third step we have replaced r_n with Equation 14.5. In the final steps we have just simplified and then plugged in the values for all the constants. Oftentimes when dealing with energies on the atomic (and sub-atomic) scale we will express energy in terms of **electron volts** (eV) instead of joules. An electron volt is the energy an electron gains when it passes through an electric potential of one volt, hence the name electron volt. Equation 14.9 is what we call the **binding energy**.

The first important result to notice about Equation 14.9 is that the total energy of the electron is negative! This means that once an electron becomes bound to a hydrogen nucleus, it can't escape without external energy. The second result is that for very large values of n the binding energy is very close to zero. This means that electrons very far away from the nucleus are essentially free electrons. They do not need much help to escape from the nucleus.

The question still remains, how can we use the results above to verify our theory, and how does spectroscopy come into play? The missing part of the puzzle is what electrons do when they transition from a near zero binding energy (for example $n = 20$) to a large negative binding energy (such as $n = 1$). For the electron to enter a smaller orbit with a large negative binding energy it must give up some of its energy. It does this by radiating a photon with an energy equal to the difference in energies between the level it was at (initial level) and level it is going to (final level).

$$E_\gamma = E_i - E_f = \frac{m_e e^4}{8\epsilon_0^2 h^2} \left(\frac{1}{n_i^2} - \frac{1}{n_f^2} \right) \tag{14.10}$$

Here E_γ is the energy of the photon, E_i the initial energy for a principal quantum number n_i , and E_f the final energy for a principal quantum number n_f .

14 Hydrogen

You can think of it as the electron having to pay an entrance fee (the photon) to enter an exclusive club (a more central radius). The more “inner circle” the club (in this case a smaller radius) the more expensive the cost, and so a more energetic photon must be given up. Of course in this example, the most exclusive club is the Bohr radius, r_1 , and the cost is 13.6 eV if entering from the outside.

In the club example, we can tell the difference between a 5 euro note and a 10 euro note by color. We can do the exact same with the electrons because of Equation 14.7! A high energy electron will have a high frequency (for example, blue) while a low energy electron will have a low frequency (for example, red). What this means is that when we watch a hydrogen atom being bombarded with electrons, we should continually see photons being thrown off by the electrons in their attempts to get to a smaller radius. In the club analogy, think of a crowd of people jostling to get into the exclusive section of the club, waving money in their hands to get the attention of the bouncer.

When we are in a club, we know the admission prices. Here with the electrons, we also know the admission prices, but now the price is given by a frequency or wavelength instead of euros.

$$\begin{aligned} E_\gamma &= hf \\ f &= \frac{m_e e^4}{8\epsilon_0^2 h^3} \left(\frac{1}{n_i^2} - \frac{1}{n_f^2} \right) \\ f &= \frac{c}{\lambda} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} &= \frac{m_e e^4}{8c\epsilon_0^2 h^3} \left(\frac{1}{n_i^2} - \frac{1}{n_f^2} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{14.11}$$

In the first step we have just used Equation 14.7. In the second step we have used Equation 14.10 to write E_γ . In the third step we have just written the normal relation between frequency and wavelength, $f = v/\lambda$.⁹ Notice that this equation is just Equation 1 of the lab manual. From this we can solve for the **Rydberg constant** which is just the constant of proportionality in front of this equation.

$$R = \frac{m_e e^4}{8c\epsilon_0^2 h^3} \approx 1.1 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^{-1} = 0.011 \text{ nm}^{-1} \tag{14.12}$$

We have just derived Equation 3 in the lab manual!

14.3 Transition Series

Now that we know that electrons within the hydrogen atom will emit photons of a specific energy, frequency, and wavelength, as given in Equation 14.11, we can make an experimental prediction. We should observe a spectrum of photons with wavelengths dictated by their initial quantum number n_i and their final number n_f emitted from

⁹See the waves lab for more details if this relation is unfamiliar.




Figure 14.2: The first nine transitions of the Balmer series. The colors approximate the color of the emitted light of each transition.

hydrogen atoms being bombarded with electrons. These spectrums, categorized by the final quantum number n_f are called **transition series**; the electron is going through a series of transitions from energy level to energy level. Several important transition series are named after their discoverers: the **Lyman series** corresponding to $n_f = 1$, the **Balmer series** corresponding to $n_f = 2$, and the **Paschen series** corresponding to $n_f = 3$.

The most important of these three transition series is the **Balmer series**, as the wavelengths of the emitted photons are within the visible spectrum of light for the human eye. As $n_f = 2$ we can write the energy of the emitted photon for an electron transitioning from principal quantum number n_i using Equation 14.10.

$$E_\gamma = 13.6 \left(\frac{1}{n_i^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \text{ eV} \quad (14.13)$$

We can make a diagram of the Balmer transitions, as given in Figure 14.2 with the corresponding approximate color of the light emitted.¹⁰ The first seven transitions, $n_i = 3$ through $n_i = 9$ have their wavelengths, frequencies, and energies summarized in Figure 14.2 as well.

From Figure 14.2 we see that only the first seven or so transitions of the Balmer series should be visible to the naked eye, and that as n_i increases, the colors of the light are more and more difficult to distinguish. This means that with minimal diffraction equipment it is oftentimes only possible to observe the first three lines, a red line, a bluish green line, and a dark blue or purple line.

¹⁰Color is a very subjective principal, as it involves an emitter and an observer. These colors are only meant to provide a relative approximation of the color which would be observed by the naked eye from the Balmer series. The colors were created using the [Spectra](#) program.

14.4 Diffraction

But what do we mean by “observe a line”? When electricity is run through hydrogen gas the gas begins to emit photons from all the different transitions discussed above. The photons all mix together, and the experimenter is confronted with a pink glow from the hydrogen lamp. How is it then possible to separate the photons from each other so we can observe the various wavelengths? The answer is **diffraction**, which while introduced in Chapter 12, requires a little more explanation.

When light enters a medium (anything besides a complete vacuum) it encounters resistance from that medium that slows the light wave down. The energy of the light wave (made up photons remember) must stay the same, as energy just can’t disappear. Using Equation 14.7, we see that if the energy stays the same, the frequency must also stay the same. This means that if the velocity of light within the medium decreases then the wavelength of the light must increase. When the wavelength of light is changed while the frequency remains constant, the light is diffracted, or bent. More energetic light is bent less than low energy light, and so the combined colors in white light are separated. This is the principal by which the prism works and is described by **Snell’s law**, derived in Chapter 11, and given by Equation 11.8 which is given again here.

$$\frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_2} = \frac{v_1}{v_2} \quad (14.14)$$

Remember θ_1 and θ_2 are the **incidence angle**, the angle at which the light is incident to the normal of the medium, and **refraction angle**, the angle at which the light is refracted or bent. The values v_1 and v_2 are the velocities of light in medium 1 and medium 2.

The same idea is used in **diffraction gratings**, but now the **diffraction** is accomplished through **interference patterns**. A diffraction grating is made up of many small parallel lines through which light passes. The various wavelengths interfere with each other and create bright bands of colors. This is why when looking at a CD, bright colors are observed. The theory behind diffraction gratings can take a fair amount of math and waves knowledge to understand, so an in depth presentation is not given here, but left to the reader to explore. An excellent book that covers this topic is *Vibrations and Waves* by A.P. French. The important relation to remember for the diffraction grating is,

$$m\lambda = d \sin \theta_m \quad (14.15)$$

where λ is the wavelength of the light being observed in meters, d the number of slits per meter, m some integer greater than zero, and θ_m the angle at which the light is observed with respect to the normal of the diffraction grating. What this equation tells us is that for a specific λ we expect to see a bright band of this color at regular intervals in θ . Subsequently, by observing a color band at some angle θ we can determine λ .

Figure 14.3 plots the intensity of the first three Balmer lines, given in Figure 14.2 as they pass through a diffraction grating. The grating used in this plot has 600 lines per




Figure 14.3: The intensity profile for the first three transitions of the Balmer series with a diffraction grating of $d = 0.001/600$ m/lines, $w \approx d$, and $N \approx 1800$. This plot was calculated using Equation 14.16.

millimeter and the grating is 3 cm wide.¹¹ Every large peak in the plot corresponds to a specific value for m , θ , and λ , in Equation 14.15. From the plot we see that if we were using this diffraction grating while observing a hydrogen lamp, we would expect to see bright bands of color at angles of $\approx 15^\circ$, 17° , and 24° . All of these bands correspond to $m = 1$ in Equation 14.15.¹²

14.5 Experiment

The goals the experiment associated with this chapter are to observe the first three electron transitions of the Balmer series, calculate their wavelengths, verify the Bohr model for the hydrogen atom, and calculate the Rydberg constant.

Those are quite a few goals to accomplish, and some seem rather complicated, but the actual experimental procedure is not all that time consuming. It is the understanding of the theory, explained above that can be tricky. This lab actually only consists of making three experimental measurements.

A hydrogen lamp is placed in front of a telescope/collimating device. All the telescope/collimator does is create a bright band of light from the hydrogen lamp that we can observe. The light travels through the telescope to a diffraction grating, and passes through the diffraction grating. On the other side another telescope, attached to a scale that reads out angle in degrees, points at the diffraction grating.

The idea is to look through this telescope at the diffraction grating and hydrogen lamp, and observe bands of light similar in color to the first three transitions of Figure 14.2. The spacing in θ and intensity of the light band intervals will be similar to that of Figure 14.3. Notice that after a very bright band there oftentimes will be a few less intense bands of the same, or similar color. Make sure not to record the angle for these bands as these are fringe effects.

For each observed band (three for this experiment) an angle θ is recorded. This θ is plugged back into Equation 14.15 with $m = 1$ and λ is found. The values for $1/\lambda^2$ versus $1/n_i^2$ can then be plotted against each other and a value for the Rydberg constant, given in Equation 14.12, determined from the slope of the plot. Additionally, the final energy level for the series, n_f , can be determined using the Rydberg constant determined from the slope of the plot, and the intercept of the plot.

¹¹These numbers were not chosen at random; they closely model the diffraction gratings that are used in this lab.

¹²The equation used to plot Figure 14.3 is a little more complicated than Equation 14.15 as now we are looking at the intensity of the light. The equation used here is,

$$I(\theta) = I_0 \text{sinc}^2 \left(\frac{\pi w}{\lambda} \sin(\theta) \right) \left[\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi N d}{\lambda} \sin \theta \right)}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi d}{\lambda} \sin \theta \right)} \right]^2 \quad (14.16)$$

where w is the width of the slits, d the separation of the slits, N the number of slits, and I_0 the initial intensity of the light.

15 Fluorescence

The **Bohr model**, which was derived from a combination of classical and quantum theory in Chapter 14, seems a little too good to be true. That's because it is, as was alluded to with the mention of the **atomic orbital model** in the previous chapter. The Bohr model consists of classical theory with the introduction of just a single quantization, the **principle quantum number**, n . We know that the model works well for the hydrogen atom, but it is by an ironic twist of physics that the Bohr model is right for all the wrong reasons.¹

So why exactly is the Bohr model wrong? The first problem is that the electrons within an atom can move at velocities near the speed of light, and so Einstein's theory of **relativity** must be used instead. The second problem is that the type of quantum mechanics used is just a rudimentary form of a much larger and intricate theory. Remembering back to Chapter 3, relativity describes objects moving very quickly, and **quantum mechanics** describes very small objects. For the hydrogen atom the electrons are both small and fast, so they must be described using **relativistic quantum theory**.

In experiment, the Bohr model was found to disagree with a variety of results. Perhaps one of the more pronounced phenomena is the **Zeeman effect**, which occurs when an atom is placed under a strong magnetic field. When this occurs, the atom begins to emit many more wavelengths of light than it should and so we know the atom has more energy levels than the Bohr model predicts.² Another important phenomenon is **hyperfine splitting** which allows photons to radiate from hydrogen atoms with a wavelength of 21 cm. This wavelength is within the radio range of the electromagnetic spectrum, and has led to incredible advances in radio astronomy.³

Another phenomenon that combines many of the ideas above is **fluorescence**. Not only is fluorescence somewhat challenging to spell, it is quite challenging to understand. In reality, theory can describe fluorescence up to a point, but there are so many facets to the phenomenon that there is no complete theory that can accurately describe the fluorescence of any arbitrary atom. So what exactly is fluorescence? Fluorescence is when an atom or molecule absorbs a photon, for example a blue photon, and then after a short time period releases another photon with less energy, for this example let us say

¹Yes, it really does mess with physicists' heads when the theory is wrong, but agrees with experiment.

The real question then is how do you know the theory is wrong? The answer is the theory breaks down when the experiment is performed in more detail.

²If you would like to read more, look at *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* by David Griffiths, or check out a lab write-up by Philip Ilten on the Zeeman effect at <http://severian.mit.edu/philten/physics/zeeman.pdf>.

³A lab report for observing the galactic plane can be found at <http://severian.mit.edu/philten/physics/radio.pdf>.

red.⁴

So how exactly does the process of fluorescence occur? The answer is rather complicated, and first we need some more theory. To begin, we will look at a simple one-dimensional quantum system in the **simple harmonic oscillator**. This bit of the chapter is not necessary to understand fluorescence (nor are the next two sections) so don't panic if it seems a little complicated. Next we will apply this theory (loosely) to the hydrogen atom, and then see how energy splittings of the Bohr energy levels can occur. Finally, we will explore how electrons in molecules can undergo non-radiative transitions, which can lead to fluorescence.

15.1 Simple Harmonic Oscillator

Very few quantum mechanical systems can be explicitly solved, but one that can is the case of **simple harmonic oscillation** which we explored classically in Chapter 6. If you are a little rusty on the classical derivation, go take a look at Chapter 6 briefly, as it will help with the following theory (although not entirely necessary). The force on a simple harmonic oscillator is given by **Hooke's law**,

$$F = -kx \quad (15.1)$$

where F is force, k is the **spring constant**, and x is position. Both x and F are vector quantities, but because the problem is one-dimensional, we can indicate direction with just a positive (pointing towards the right) or negative (pointing towards the left) sign.

The work done by a force on an object is the integral of the force over the distance which it was exerted or,

$$W = \int F dx \quad (15.2)$$

where W is work. The change in potential energy for an object after a force has been applied to it over a certain distance is,

$$\Delta V(x) = - \int F dx \quad (15.3)$$

where $V(x)$ is the potential energy of the object at position x . If we move the harmonic oscillator a distance x from equilibrium the potential energy of the object is,

$$V(x) = \int_{x_0}^x F dx = \frac{1}{2}mkx^2 = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2 \quad (15.4)$$

if we assume the object is at equilibrium for $x_0 = 0$. In the final step we have used the relation for k in terms of mass of the object, m , and the **angular frequency**, ω , given by Equation 6.5.

⁴This definition of fluorescence is very qualitative, but that is only because fluorescence can be somewhat difficult to define. There is another type of fluorescence called **anti-Stokes fluorescence** where the emitted photon has a larger energy than the absorbed photon.

The reason the harmonic oscillator problem is so important is because of the potential above. This potential is quadratic in x , which means that if an arbitrary potential, $V(x)$, is expanded about a minimum using a **Taylor series** expansion, the potential can be described very well by simple harmonic motion.⁵ In other words, simple harmonic motion can be used to approximate much more complex systems for small oscillations of the system.

So where does quantum mechanics come into all of this? In quantum mechanics, all particles and objects are represented by waves or **wave packets**. We already discussed this briefly before in Chapter 12 with the introduction of the **de Broglie wavelength**. In quantum mechanics, all particles must satisfy **Schrödinger's equation**⁶,

$$H\psi = E\psi \quad (15.5)$$

where ψ is the **wavefunction** for a particle, and E is the energy of the particle. By wavefunction what we mean is the equation that describes the shape of the particle in space. Oftentimes in quantum mechanics a Gaussian wavefunction is used, similar the shape of Figure 1.2 in Chapter 1. The square of a wavefunction gives us the **probability density function** of finding the particle (think back to Chapter 1). What this wavefunction tells us is that the particle is not just in one place, but that it has the probability of being in a variety of different places. Until we actually measure where the particle is, it could be anywhere described by its wavefunction.

The term H in Equation 15.5 is called the **Hamiltonian** and is just the potential energy of the particle less its kinetic energy. Mathematically, the Hamiltonian is given by,

$$H = V(x) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 = V(x) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \quad (15.6)$$

where the final step is the Hamiltonian for a one-dimensional system like the simple harmonic oscillator. The term \hbar is the **reduced Planck's constant**, and is just $h/2\pi$. Mathematically the Hamiltonian is a special object called an **operator**. An operator is a symbol that denotes performing certain steps on whatever comes after the operator. Operators are nothing new, as a matter of fact, $\frac{d}{dx}$, which just denotes taking the derivative of a function, is the **differential operator**. What is important to remember about operators is that they are not necessarily (and usually are not) **commutative**. The order of operators is important and cannot be switched around.

We can write the Hamiltonian operator in terms of two new operators, the **momentum operator**, p , and the position operator, x . The momentum operator is,

$$p = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (15.7)$$

⁵The Taylor expansion of $V(x)$ about x_0 is given by $V(x) = V(x_0) + V'(x_0)(x-x_0) + V''(x_0)(x-x_0)^2 + \dots$. But if x_0 is at a minimum, then $V'(x_0) = 0$ and so the first non-constant term in the expansion is given by the quadratic term.

⁶This is the time-independent Schrödinger's equation, the time-dependent equation is a bit more complicated, but we don't need to worry about that for the example of simple harmonic motion.

and the position operator is just $x = x$. Substituting the momentum operator into the Hamiltonian of Equation 15.6 yields,

$$H = V(x) + \frac{p^2}{2m} \quad (15.8)$$

where we have used that $p^2 = -\hbar^2 \partial^2 / \partial x^2$.

Now we can insert the potential energy for simple harmonic oscillation, Equation 15.4, into the Hamiltonian above.

$$H = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m} = \frac{(m\omega x)^2 + p^2}{2m} \quad (15.9)$$

The numerator of this equation looks very similar to a quadratic equation, $ax^2 + bx + c$, without the final two terms. This means that we should be able to factor it into two new operators. At this point you might ask why on earth would we want to do that? The answer is read on, hopefully this step will make more sense after a few more paragraphs.

We introduce two new operators the **creation operator**, a , and **annihilation operator**, a^\dagger which are given by,

$$a = \frac{-ip + m\omega x}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}} \quad a^\dagger = \frac{ip + m\omega x}{\sqrt{2\hbar m\omega}} \quad (15.10)$$

in terms of p and x . It turns out that we can factor the Hamiltonian of Equation 15.9 into,

$$H = \hbar\omega \left(a^\dagger a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (15.11)$$

where a few algebraic steps have been left out.⁷ If we plug this back into Schrödinger's equation we get,

$$\hbar\omega \left(a^\dagger a - \frac{1}{2} \right) \psi = E\psi \quad (15.12)$$

for the simple harmonic oscillator.

Now, hopefully this last step will explain why we went to all the trouble of factoring the Hamiltonian. If we operate on the wavefunction for our particle, ψ with the creation operator, a , we get,

$$Ha\psi = (E + \hbar\omega)a\psi \quad (15.13)$$

which means that the wavefunction $a\psi$ also fulfills Schrödinger's equation and has an energy of $E + \hbar\omega$!⁸ This means that if we find the lowest energy wavefunction for Equation 15.12, ψ_0 , with energy E_0 , we can find every possible wavefunction that will fulfill

⁷Check the result though if in doubt! Just use Equation 15.10, and see if you can recover 15.11.

⁸Again, quite a few mathematical steps have been left out, but feel free to verify the result. Remember that the order of operators is important!

Equation 15.12 by just operating on the ground wavefunction with the creation operator, $a\psi^0$, however many times is necessary. This is why a is called the creation operator, it creates the next wavefunction with a larger energy than the previous wavefunction.

The annihilation operator does the exact opposite; it finds the next wavefunction with a lower energy, and if applied to the ground state, yields zero, $a^\dagger\psi_0 = 0$. It turns out that the energy of the lowest ground state is $E_0 = \hbar\omega/2$, and so from this we can find all the possible energies of a simple harmonic oscillator using Equation 15.13.

$$E_1 = (E_0 + \hbar\omega) = \frac{3\hbar\omega}{2}, \quad E_2 = (E_1 + \hbar\omega) = \frac{5\hbar\omega}{2}, \quad \dots \quad (15.14)$$

We can generalize this into,

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega \quad (15.15)$$

where E_n is the energy of the n^{th} wavefunction.

It may have been somewhat difficult to keep track of exactly what was going on with all the math above but the general idea is relatively simple. We began with a system, simple harmonic oscillation, and found the potential energy for the system. We applied Schrödinger's equation to the system, and after a fair bit of mathematical rigamarole, determined that the energy of the simple harmonic oscillator must be quantized, and is given by Equation 15.15. This is the general idea of quantum mechanics. Find the potential and use Schrödinger's equation to determine the energy levels and wavefunctions for the system. The method used above is a nice algebraic one, but oftentimes a much more mathematically intensive **power series method** must be used.

15.2 Hydrogen Orbitals

So the previous section was rather complicated, but hopefully it gives a general idea of how quantum mechanics is approached. As Richard Feynman, a famous physicist, once said, "I think I can safely say that nobody understands quantum mechanics." But how does all of this apply to fluorescence? Let us again turn to the hydrogen atom, like we did with the Bohr model, but now use a fully quantum mechanical theory. Don't worry, we aren't going to solve Schrödinger's equation for a three dimensional potential, it's available in many quantum mechanics text books. Instead, we are going to look at the end result.

The potential for a hydrogen atom is given by the electric potential, just as it was for the Bohr model in Equation 14.8.

$$V(r) = -\frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} = \frac{-e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad (15.16)$$

Using the potential above, it is possible to work out (although certainly not in the scope

of this chapter!) that the wavefunctions for the hydrogen atom are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,l,m_l}(\theta, \phi, r) = & \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{nr_1}\right)^3 \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2n((n-l)!)^3} e^{-\frac{r}{nr_1}} \left(\frac{2r}{nr_1}\right)^l} \\ & \times \left(L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}\left(\frac{2r}{nr_1}\right)\right) Y_l^{m_l}(\theta, \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (15.17)$$

where there are quite a few letters that need explaining.⁹ Before that however, it is important to realize that it is not necessary to understand Equation 15.17, but rather to understand its implications. The pattern of electrons around a hydrogen atom are not just simply in spherical orbits like Bohr's model predicted, but rather, are described by complicated wavefunctions!

So, back to understanding the symbols of Equation 15.17. First, θ , ϕ , and r , are just the standard variables for a spherical coordinate system. Next, there is no longer just one quantum number, n , but three! These quantum numbers are n , l , and m_l and will be explained more in the next section. For now, just remember that $0 < l < n$, $-l \leq m_l \leq l$, and that all three quantum numbers must be integers. There is only one constant in the equation above and that is r_1 or the Bohr radius, as introduced in Chapter 14. Finally, there are the letters L and Y which stand for special functions called the **Laguerre polynomials** and **Legendre functions**. In two dimensions sine and cosine waves can be used to describe any function through what is known as a **Fourier decomposition**. These two types of functions serve a very similar purpose, but now for three dimensions.

The square of Equation 15.17, as mentioned earlier for wavefunctions in general, gives the probability density functions for the wavefunctions of the hydrogen atom. What this means is that if we square Equation 15.17 for some n , l , and m_l and integrate over a volume in the spherical coordinate system, we will know the probability of finding the electron within that volume. Visualizing the probability density functions for the hydrogen atom can be quite challenging because we are trying to represent the probability for every point in three dimensional space about the hydrogen atom. The problem of visualizing the probability density functions for the hydrogen atom is very much like trying to determine the inside of a fruit cake, without cutting it. So perhaps the simplest (and most common) solution to visualizing the probability density functions of the hydrogen atom is to just cut the cake and look at a single slice.

Figure 15.1 does just that; it takes a slice of the probability density functions, $|\psi_{n,l,m_l}|^2$, of the hydrogen atom for $n = 1, 2, 3$, all possible l , and $m_l = 0$. The results certainly are interesting! In Figure 15.1, the center of each plot corresponds to the nucleus of the hydrogen atom. Both the x and y -axis give the distance from the nucleus in units of Bohr radii, r_1 . The color of the plot corresponds to the value of the probability density function, red corresponds to a high probability, while blue corresponds to a low probability.

⁹This form is taken from *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* by David Griffiths.




Figure 15.1: Profiles of the probability density clouds describing the position of electrons in a hydrogen atom, given the quantum numbers n , l , and m_l .

For the lowest energy level of the hydrogen atom, $\psi_{1,0,0}$, we see that the electron will be within a sphere of 5 Bohr radii from the center of the atom with a nearly 100% probability. This actually is not very different from the Bohr model, but now instead of a sharply defined orbit, the electron can reside anywhere within the blue cloud of Figure 15.1(a). The next highest energy level of the hydrogen atom, $\psi_{2,0,0}$, also exhibits a similar behavior, but now it is more probable for the electron to be found farther from the nucleus of the atom. Thinking again in terms of the Bohr model, this makes sense, as we would expect higher energy levels to have larger radii for their orbits. If we now look at the probability density function for $\psi_{2,1,0}$, Figure 15.1(c), we see a shape that is not even close to looking spherical, a rather radical departure from the Bohr model. The same applies to the probability density functions for $\psi_{3,1,0}$ and $\psi_{3,2,0}$, neither of these are remotely spherically shaped.

Up until this point we have not discussed what the energy for a given wavefunction ψ_{n,l,m_l} is. Looking at Figures 15.1(d), 15.1(e), we would certainly expect that the energy levels of these three wavefunctions should be completely different, as their probability density functions certainly are. This however, is not the case, and the energy level for a wavefunction given by Equation 15.17 is only dependent upon the principle quantum number n ,

$$E_n = \frac{-13.6 \text{ eV}}{n^2} \quad (15.18)$$

and by a strange twist of fate is exactly the same as what we derived earlier using the Bohr model in Equation 14.9. This yields a rather interesting result, the electrons described by Figure 15.1(a) have an energy of -13.6 eV , Figures 15.1(b) and 15.1(c) both have an energy of -3.4 eV , and Figures 15.1(d), 15.1(e), and 15.1(f) all have the same energy of -1.5 eV despite looking completely different.

There is one final note to make about the hydrogen atom, and that is that oftentimes in chemistry and certain types of physics, the probability density functions of the hydrogen wavefunctions are called **electron orbitals**. This term is somewhat deceptive; the electrons do not actually orbit, but rather the probability of finding an electron is described by the orbital. A type of classification called **spectroscopic notation** is oftentimes used to describe the different types of orbitals, and is useful to know. The notation is given by nl where n is just the principle quantum number and l is also the quantum number from previously, but now is assigned a letter instead of a number: $l = 0 \rightarrow s$, $l = 1 \rightarrow p$, $l = 2 \rightarrow d$, $l = 3 \rightarrow f$.¹⁰ For l greater than 3, the letters are assigned alphabetically. The first four letters are assigned because they stand for **sharp**, **principal**, **diffuse**, and **fundamental**, which apparently describe the type of spectroscopic line given by each orbital. Visually, s orbitals are described by a spherical shape, p orbitals by a barbell shape, d by a barbell shape with a ring around it, and f by a barbell with a double ring (not shown in Figure 15.1). As an example of spectroscopic notation, Figure 15.1(a) is a 1s orbital.

¹⁰The quantum number m_l can also be denote as a subscript to the letter representing l , but the naming convention for this is rather complicated and is generally not used.

15.3 Energy Splitting and Quantum Numbers

In the previous section we needed 3 quantum numbers to describe the electron wavefunctions for the hydrogen atom, n , l , and m_l . But what exactly are these numbers? The quantum number n was first introduced in Chapter 14 and is the **principal quantum number** which describes the energy level of the wavefunction. The explanation for the quantum numbers l and m_l require a bit more explanation and the introduction of two new quantum numbers s and m_s .

The quantum numbers l , m_l , s , and m_s all help describe the momentum of a particle, in the case of the hydrogen atom, the electron orbiting the nucleus. Going back to the planetary model of the Bohr model we can think of the electron as the earth and the nucleus as the sun. When the earth orbits the sun it has two types of angular momentum: angular momentum from orbiting the sun, L , and angular momentum from revolving on its axis, S . Similarly, electrons have orbital angular momentum, L , and spin angular momentum, denoted by the letter S . We define the spin and orbital angular momentum in terms of,

$$S = \hbar\sqrt{s(s+1)} \quad (15.19a)$$

$$L = \hbar\sqrt{l(l+1)} \quad (15.19b)$$

where s is called the **spin quantum number** and l is called the **orbital quantum number**.¹¹ Whenever spin is used in reference to an electron or particle, we are not referring to the spin angular momentum of the particle, but rather the spin quantum number.

However, there is a bit of a problem; electrons are considered to be **point-like** objects, and subsequently the idea of spin momenta and angular momenta doesn't quite work the same way as it does for the earth. Specifically, the spin quantum number of an electron is $\frac{1}{2}$. Any type of particle which carries a fractional spin, like the electron, is called a **fermion**, while any particle which carries an integer spin, such as the photon with spin 0, is called a **boson**. A particle with spin s can have a **spin projection quantum number**, m_s , from $-s$ to s in integer steps. For example, the electron can have a spin projection quantum number of $-\frac{1}{2}$ (spin up) or $+\frac{1}{2}$ (spin down). The photon can only have a spin projection quantum number of 0. The same principle applies for l and m_l ; m_l , the **orbital projection quantum number** can range from $-l$ to l in integer steps.¹² The reason both m_s and m_l are called *projection* numbers is because they represent the projection of the orbital or spin momentum of Equation 15.19 onto an arbitrary axis of the particle.

So why on earth do we need all these quantum numbers?! We just need n to describe the energy levels of the hydrogen atom, l helps describe the shape of the electron wavefunctions, but m_l , s , and m_s all seem to be overkill. This is because unfortunately the

¹¹ Oftentimes l is called the **azimuthal quantum number**

¹² This quantum number is also called the **magnetic quantum number** because it describes the magnetic interaction between the electron and proton of a hydrogen atom.

potential energy for an electron in a hydrogen atom is not given by just Equation 15.16. As a matter of fact quite a few other factors that are much less significant than the Coulomb force must be taken into account, which require the use of all the additional quantum numbers introduced above.

The first problem with Equation 15.16 is that it does not take into account the relativistic motion of the electron or the effect of the proton's magnetic field on the electron (this is called **spin-orbital coupling**). Taking these two effects into consideration splits the Bohr energy levels of Equation 15.18 into smaller energy levels using m_l and m_s . This splitting of the energy levels is called the **fine structure** of the hydrogen atom. Additionally, the electric field that the electron experiences from the proton must be quantized, and this yields yet another energy splitting called the **Lamb shift**. Finally, the nucleus of the hydrogen atom interacts with the electric and magnetic fields of the electrons orbiting it, and so a final correction called **hyperfine splitting** must be made.

This certainly seems like quite a few corrections to Equation 15.16, and that is because it is. Sometimes it is easier to think of all the corrections to the energies in terms of energy level splitting. The difference between Bohr energies is ≈ 10 eV, while the difference in fine splitting is $\approx 10^{-4}$ eV, Lamb shift $\approx 10^{-6}$ eV, and hyperfine splitting $\approx 10^{-6}$ eV. Really it is not important to remember this at all. What is important to remember is that the already rather complicated pattern of electron orbitals shown in Figure 15.1 are even more complicated. The hydrogen atom, the simplest atom we can look at, is not simple at all!

15.4 Non-Radiative Transitions

As we learned in Chapter 14, whenever an electron makes a transition from one Bohr energy level to another, a photon is emitted with frequency,

$$E_\gamma = E_i - E_f \Rightarrow f = \frac{E_i - E_f}{h} \quad (15.20)$$

where Equations 14.10 and 14.7 were combined from Chapter 14. Sometimes, however, electrons can transition from energy state to energy state without radiating a photon. This is called a **non-radiative transition** and can occur through a variety of mechanisms.

The first mechanism by which a non-radiative transition can occur in an atom or molecule is through what is known as **internal conversion**. In all of the theory above, it has always been assumed that the nucleus of the hydrogen atom is stationary and not moving. In reality this is not the case (unless the temperature was absolute zero) and so another layer of complexity must be added to the already complex energy structure of the hydrogen atom. When an atom vibrates it can do so at quantized energy levels known as **vibrational modes**. These energy levels are layered on top of the already existing energy levels of the hydrogen atom. When internal conversion occurs an electron releases its energy to the atom through the form of a vibration rather than a photon. Usually internal conversion occurs within the same Bohr energy level (same n) because

of what is known as the **Franck-Condon principle**. This principle states that a switch between vibrational modes is more likely when the initial wavefunction of the electron closely matches the final wavefunction of the electron, which usually occurs within the same Bohr energy level.

Another possibility for non-radiative transitions is through **vibrational relaxation** which can only occur in a group of atoms or molecules. This is because the entire sample of molecules has **vibrational energy levels** (essentially the temperature of the sample). When an atom or molecule undergoes vibrational relaxation it releases some energy through the decay of an electron to a lower energy orbital which is absorbed by the sample and so the sample transitions to a new vibrational energy level.

Finally, another non-radiative transition process can occur through **intersystem crossing**. In the hydrogen atom, the total spin for a group of electrons can be determined by adding spin quantum numbers, s , if their spins are pointing in the same direction, or subtracting spin quantum numbers if their spins are pointing in opposite directions. Electrons try to pair off into groups of two electrons, with spins pointing in opposite directions, by what is known as the **Pauli exclusion principle**, and so if there is an even number of electrons, the total spin quantum number is usually zero. This means that $m_s = 0$, and since there is only one possible value for m_s , this state is called a **singlet**. If there is an odd number of electrons, then generally $s = 1$ and so now $m_s = -1, 0, 1$ which is called a **triplet**.

Oftentimes an atom will transition from a singlet state to a triplet state (or vice versa), where a small amount of energy is expended on flipping the spin of an electron. This type of non-radiative transition is called an intersystem crossing because the atom transitions from a singlet system to a triplet system and only occurs in **phosphorescence** or **delayed fluorescence**. In the case of phosphorescence, the electron transitions from a ground singlet state to an excited singlet state through absorption of a photon. Then it decays through non-radiative transitions to the triplet state. Finally, it decays back to the ground singlet state through a radiative decay. For delayed fluorescence, the same process occurs, but the electron transitions back to the excited singlet state from the triplet state before emitting a photon. Both of the processes occur over a longer time period because transitioning from a triplet to a singlet does not occur as rapidly as internal conversion or vibrational relaxation.

Combining all of these processes with the complicated energy levels of the hydrogen atom (and even more complicated energy levels for molecules), makes it very difficult to predict the exact process through which fluorescence occurs. Oftentimes it is advantageous to visualize all the processes occurring in fluorescence with what is known as a **Jablonski diagram**. In the example diagram of Figure 15.2 the electron begins in the lowest Bohr energy level, $n = 1$.¹³ Within this energy level are three vibrational modes of the atom, v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 , and the electron is at the lowest, v_1 . Of course, the actual number of vibrational modes is entirely dependent upon the fluorescing substance. The

¹³The notation for fluorescence states is completely different from either the hydrogen wavefunctions using the notation ψ_{n,l,m_l} and spectroscopic notation. This is because it is important to differentiate between singlet and triplet states of the atom or molecule when dealing with fluorescence. For this example however, we will stick with our standard notation from before.




Figure 15.2: A Jablonski diagram for an example fluorescence process.

electron absorbs a photon (the blue squiggly incoming line) with energy E_a which boosts the electron into the next Bohr energy level, $n = 2$, and into the fourth vibrational mode, v_4 , of this energy level. This excitation of the electron is denoted by the solid arrow and occurs over a time scale of 10^{-15} s. Next, the electron non-radiatively transitions from v_4 to v_1 of the energy level $n = 2$. This is given by the dotted line and occurs over a time period of 10^{-12} s. Finally the electron transitions to v_3 of the $n = 1$ energy level, the solid black arrow, over a time period of 10^{-9} s and emits a photon with energy E_e , the squiggly red line, where $E_e < E_a$.

15.5 Experiment

In the Figure 15.2 the absorption of the photon and non-radiative decay of the electron both occur rapidly in comparison to the final decay of the electron. This is because after the electron decays non-radiatively, it is in a **metastable** state, or a state that has a longer lifetime than the previous two processes. The electron while in the metastable state cannot decay non-radiatively, as it is in the lowest vibrational mode of the energy level, and so it must decay radiatively to the next Bohr energy level. The number of electrons within the metastable state is dependent upon the rate at which the electrons are able to radiatively decay. The change in number of electrons is,

$$dN = -\lambda N dt \quad (15.21)$$

where N is the number of electrons at a given time t , and λ is the decay rate, or fraction of electrons that will decay. More details on how to solve this type of differential equation are given in Chapter 16 for the absorption of β -rays.

The general idea however is to integrate both sides and set initial conditions, which results in,

$$N = N_0 e^{-\lambda t} \quad (15.22)$$

where again λ is the **decay rate** and N_0 is the initial number of electrons in the metastable state. The **mean lifetime** of a particle within the metastable state is then

given by,

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{15.23}$$

and is the expected time an electron would stay within the metastable state. In Figure 15.2 the mean lifetime of the electron in the metastable state is 10^{-9} seconds, and so $\lambda \approx 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Because the number of electrons decaying is directly proportional to the number of photons being emitted, the intensity is directly proportional to Equation 15.22. In the experiment for this chapter, a strobe light flashes on two different types of fluorescent crystals and excites electrons within the crystals rapidly. The electrons then decay over a short time period into a metastable state through non-radiative decays. Then, over a longer time period (although short to the human eye) these electrons decay radiatively.

A photo-diode is placed in front of the fluorescing crystals and so after the strobe goes off, it is able to determine the intensity of the light being emitted from the crystals. This photo-diode is hooked into an oscilloscope where a plot of intensity on the y -axis is made against time on the x -axis. By taking data points from this curve, it is possible to determine a value for λ and subsequently for τ , the mean lifetime of the electrons in the crystal. Remember that Equation 15.22 is exponential, so the trick of making the equation linear, outlined in Chapter 10, can be applied for better results.

16 Beta Radiation

Take a scrawny nerd, add a dash of a scientific experiment, and bombard the mixture with a large amount of radiation; this is the recipe for a superhero.¹ Thanks to popular culture, radiation has a haze of misinformation surrounding it, so let us strip away any pre-conceived ideas and try to start back at the beginning with the definition of radiation. Radiation is literally the emission of rays, but this is not a very scientifically precise definition, and so let us instead define radiation as the transfer of energy through a medium or vacuum by means of waves or subatomic particles.² By this definition sound is radiation, as is well electromagnetic waves, and so we are constantly being bombarded by radiation of many different types, yet not very many of us are turning into superheroes. That is because the word radiation is oftentimes used to indicate a more specific type of radiation, **ionizing radiation**, where the wave or subatomic particle has enough energy to ionize, or strip the electrons away from atoms. It is this type of radiation that can be harmful to humans, and has captured the imagination of the public.

There are three types of ionizing radiation, **α -radiation**, **β -radiation**, and **γ -radiation**. An α -particle is a helium nucleus consisting of two neutrons and protons, and is liberated from excited heavy nuclei. The β -ray is an electron emitted from an excited neutron transitioning to a proton, while the γ -ray is just a high energy photon, or light wave. Because α -rays are so much larger than the other two types of radiation, they cannot penetrate objects as easily and can be stopped by a piece of paper. On the other hand, β -rays can be blocked by a sheet of metal, and γ -rays such as x-rays can require a few centimeters of lead.³ This does not, however, indicate the danger of each type of radiation to the human body. For example, α -particles can cause severe skin damage, while β -rays from a mild source cause no significant tissue damage.

16.1 Classical Beta Radiation

The process of β -radiation has been known for over 100 years, yet the implications of the process are still being debated at the cutting edge of particle physics to this day. Let us first consider the process of β radiation where a neutron turns into a proton and

¹The two most famous being Spiderman and the Incredible Hulk, and possibly Captain America, although his origins are somewhat up to debate due to comic book censorship.

²It is important to make the distinction of *subatomic* particles, otherwise we could consider rain to be a form of water radiation.

³Think of this as the particles trying to pass through some barrier with holes in it. Helium nuclei are huge in comparison to electrons, and so it is difficult for them to pass. Electrons are much smaller, and photons are even smaller still (although technically electrons are considered to be point-like, and subsequently are as small as you can get).

16 Beta Radiation

an electron.

$$n \rightarrow p^+ + e^- \quad (16.1)$$

In particle physics, mass is not conserved (although energy and momentum are), but a lone particle cannot decay into particles with more mass. Here, the neutron has a mass of $939.6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, while the proton has mass of $938.3 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and the electron has a mass of $0.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, so the decay of the neutron is allowed.

If we take Equation 16.1 and impose conservation of energy and momentum, and use Einstein's famous relation between energy, momentum, and mass, we can find the energy of the electron from the decay. Einstein's relation states,

$$m^2 c^4 = E^2 - p^2 c^2 \quad (16.2)$$

or that the mass squared of an object is equal to the energy squared of the object less the momentum squared. If we let the momentum of the object equal zero, we obtain the famous equation $E = mc^2$. Returning to the problem at hand, let us consider the decay of a neutron at rest so $E_n = m_n c^2$ and $p_n = 0$. Now, when the neutron decays, we know that the electron will have a momentum of p_e and the proton will have a momentum of p_p , but by conservation of momentum $p_e + p_p = p_n = 0$ and so $p_e = -p_p$. Additionally, by conservation of energy, we can write,

$$E_n = E_p + E_e \quad (16.3)$$

where E_p is the energy of the proton and E_e the energy of the electron.

Using Einstein's relation of Equation 16.2 again, we can write out the energies of the proton and electron in terms of momentum and mass.

$$E_p^2 = m_p^2 c^4 - p_p^2 c^2, \quad E_e^2 = m_e^2 c^4 - p_e^2 c^2 \quad (16.4)$$

Substituting the proton energy into Equation 16.3 along with the neutron energy, $m_n c^2$, yields a relation between the momenta, particle masses, and energy of the electron.

$$m_n c^2 = \sqrt{m_p^2 c^4 - p_p^2 c^2} + E_e \quad (16.5)$$

Next, we solve for the proton momenta in terms of the electron energy and mass by conservation of momentum,

$$p_p^2 c^2 = p_e^2 c^2 = m_e^2 c^4 - E_e^2 \quad (16.6)$$

and plug this back into Equation 16.5 to find a relation with only the masses of the neutron, proton, and electron, and the energy of the electron.

$$m_n c^2 = \sqrt{m_p^2 c^4 - m_e^2 c^4 + E_e^2} + E_e \quad (16.7)$$

⁴In particle physics we give mass in mega-electron volts over the speed of light squared, MeV/c^2 , but oftentimes drop the c^2 for convenience. One MeV is equal to 1.8×10^{-30} kilograms.

Finally, we solve for the energy of the electron.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_n^2 c^4 + E_e^2 - 2E_e m_n c^2 &= m_p^2 c^4 - m_e^2 c^4 + E_e^2 \\
 E_e &= \frac{m_n^2 c^4 + m_e^2 c^4 - m_p^2 c^4}{2m_n c^2} \\
 E_e &= c^2 \left(\frac{m_n^2 + m_e^2 - m_p^2}{2m_n} \right) \\
 E_e &\approx 1.30 \text{ MeV}
 \end{aligned} \tag{16.8}$$

Plugging the values for the mass of the neutron, proton, and electron, yield the last line of Equation 16.8 and provide a very experimentally verifiable result. The electrons produced from the decay of a neutron at rest should have an energy of 1.30 MeV or 2.1×10^{-13} J. The only problem with this theoretical result is that when the experiment is performed the results do not match our theoretical prediction at all! As a matter of fact, the electrons observed from β -decay have a wide range of energies ranging from near 0.5 MeV up to 1.30 MeV. So what is the problem with our theory?

When this problem with β -decay was first discovered in the 1930's, some physicists wanted to throw out conservation of energy, just as conservation of mass had been thrown out by Einstein. However, Paul Dirac and Enrico Fermi proposed a simple and brilliant alternative. The decay of Equation 16.1 is wrong, and there should be an additional neutral particle on the right hand side. Additionally, since electrons had been observed up to energies of Equation 16.8, the particle must be extremely light. Considering a **three-body decay** instead of a two-body decay completely changes the theory, and provides a prediction where the energy of the electron is not fixed, but dependent upon how much momentum is imparted to the other two particles of the decay.

16.2 The Standard Model

So what is this missing particle? There is a long and interesting history behind its discovery, but we will skip the history lesson and move onto what is currently known. The missing particle is an anti-electron **neutrino**, is very light, has no charge, and interacts only through the weak force. The statement above requires a bit of explanation, so let us begin with what is known as the **Standard Model**. The Standard Model is a theory developed over the past century which describes the most fundamental interactions (that we know of) between particles. The theory itself can be rather complicated and consists of local time dependent quantum field theory. Luckily, understanding the results of the Standard Model does not require any idea as to what the last sentence meant.

There are three forces described by the Standard Model, the **electromagnetic force**, the **weak force**, and the **strong force**. These forces are **mediated** or carried out by **bosons**. The electromagnetic force is mediated through the photon, while the weak force is mediated through the **weak bosons** and the strong force is mediated through




Figure 16.1: A summary of the fundamental particles and forces comprising the Standard Model. Each box contains the particle name, the particle symbol, and the particle mass, excluding the neutrinos for which the masses are unknown. Mass data was taken from the Particle Data Group.

the **gluon**. More generally, bosons are particles with an integer spin⁵, but these bosons are special because they carry the fundamental forces and are themselves fundamental particles.⁶ The list of forces above however is missing a critical component, gravity! This is because gravity is much weaker than the three forces above at the particle physics scale, and so we don't yet really know how it works. Incorporating gravity into the Standard Model is still an open question.

The right side of Figure 16.1 summarizes the three forces and their boson mediators as described above. On the left of Figure 16.1 are listed the 12 fundamental fermions, or particles with half integer spin, of the Standard Model. The fermions are further split into two groups, quarks and leptons. The quarks interact through the strong, weak, and electromagnetic forces, while the leptons only interact through the weak and electromagnetic forces. The quarks are divided into three generations by mass (and by discovery) and have either charge +2/3 or -1/3. Quarks are bound together into groups called **hadrons** by the strong force. There are two types of hadrons, **baryons** consisting of three quarks, and **mesons** consisting of two quarks. The proton and neutron are both hadrons and baryons, where the proton is made up of two up quarks and one down quark

⁵See Chapters 14 and 17 for more details.

⁶For example, the Cooper pairs of the BCS theory in Chapter 17 are also bosons, but are neither fundamental bosons nor carry a fundamental force.

and the neutron is made up of one up quark and two down quarks. The LHC is a Large Hadron Collider because it collides protons with protons.

The leptons do not interact through the strong force like the quarks, and subsequently do not bind together like the quarks. Individual quarks are never found in nature, while individual leptons, such as the electron can be easily found alone. The leptons can also be broken down into three generations by mass with each generation containing a charged lepton and a neutral neutrino. The properties of the charged leptons, electrons, muons, and tau leptons, are well measured while the properties of the neutrinos are not. This is because the charged leptons interact through the electromagnetic force, and so they are easy to detect. The neutrinos, however, only interact through the weak force, and so they are very difficult to detect. Nearly 50 trillion neutrinos pass through the human body every second, but because they interact so weakly with matter, they have no effect. Experiments to detect neutrinos require huge detectors of photo-detectors buried deep underground to filter away extraneous particle noise. This is why β -decay is so important to particle physics, even today, as it helps provide insights into the fundamental nature of neutrinos.

That covers all the basics of the Standard Model, and while it is a lot to remember, the important things are the three forces, the difference between fermions and bosons, and the two types of fundamental fermions. Remembering all the masses and particle names can be useful, but is not necessary to understand the basic concepts behind the Standard Model. There is one more important detail to mention, and that is that for every particle there is an anti-particle with the opposite charge. These anti-particles are normally designated by a bar over the symbol of the particle, except for the charged leptons. For example an anti-up quark has a charge of $+1/3$ and is denoted by the symbol \bar{u} . The anti-electron, more commonly referred to as a **positron** has a charge of $+1$ and is given by the symbol e^+ .

16.3 Feynman Diagrams

Now that we have the basics of the Standard Model, we can take another look at the β -decay process of Equation 16.1 and include an anti-electron neutrino.

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e \quad (16.9)$$

If we try to perform the same momentum and energy analysis as we did above we would now have three unknowns, the momenta of the three particles, and only two equations, one relating the three momenta, and the other equating the energies. From this we can see that it is impossible to determine a unique solution. Clearly a new method is needed to approach this problem.

One of the main features of the Standard Model is that the probability of a particle being produced or decaying can be calculated, given the necessary physical constants. The method for performing these calculations can be very tedious, consisting of performing multiple integrals over various phase spaces and employing a variety of mathematical tricks. However, the physicist Richard Feynman developed a very beautiful method to

(a) (b)

Figure 16.2: A possible Feynman diagram for electron scattering is given in Figure 16.2(a), while a diagram for β -decay is given in Figure 16.2(b).

represent these calculations with diagrams which allow the reader to understand the underlying physics without needing to know the math. These graphical representations of fundamental particle interactions are known as **Feynman diagrams**.

Take Figure 16.2(a) as an example of a Feynman diagram where two electrons pass near each other and are repelled. A Feynman diagram consists of three parts, incoming particles, some internal structure, and outgoing particles. In Feynman diagrams, fermions are drawn as solid lines with arrows pointing in the direction of the particle, weak bosons are indicated by dashed lines, photons are indicated by wavy lines, and gluons are indicated by loopy lines.⁷ Time flows from left to right in Figure 16.2(a) and so we see there are two incoming electrons, and two outgoing electrons, with a photon exchanged between the two in the middle of the diagram, driving the two electrons apart. It is important to understand that Feynman diagrams do not indicate the actual trajectory of the particles, and have no correspondence to actual physical position; they only indicate the state of the particles at a point in time.

A **vertex** is wherever three or more lines connect in a Feynman diagram. There are six fundamental vertices that can be drawn in Feynman diagrams using the fermions and bosons of Figure 16.1. Six of these vertices consist of only photons and the weak bosons and are not of much interest because they are relatively unlikely to occur. The six remaining vertices are drawn in the Feynman diagrams of Figure 16.3. If a Feynman diagram is drawn with a vertex that is not given in Figure 16.3, it cannot happen! Of course, there are many other rules which dictate what can and cannot be drawn with Feynman diagrams, but this is the most basic rule.

From the figures above it is clear how Feynman diagrams help visualize particle interactions, but how do they help calculate decays and production of particles? Each line of a diagram is assigned a momentum and polarization vector, and each vertex is assigned a coupling factor. The diagram is then traced over, and all the mathematical terms, each corresponding to a line or vertex, are put together into an integral. The integral is used

⁷Notation in Feynman diagrams does still differ from textbook to textbook, but these are the general conventions followed. Sometimes diagrams are drawn with time flowing from bottom to top, rather from left to right. An arrow pointing outwards on an incoming fermion line indicates that the fermion is an anti-particle.




Figure 16.3: The basic Feynman diagram vertices. The letter f stands for any fermion, ℓ for a charged lepton, ν for a neutrino, and q for quarks. The electroweak vertices are given in Figures 16.3(a) through 16.3(c) while the strong force vertices are given in Figures 16.3(d) through 16.3(f).

to calculate what is known as the **matrix element** of the process depicted in the diagram. This matrix element can then be used to calculate decays and productions using a relation called **Fermi's golden rule**. Neither the details of this process nor Fermi's golden rule will be given here, but suffice it to say that this is the deeper mathematical meaning of Feynman diagrams.

Using the allowed vertices of Figure 16.3 and the decay process of Equation 16.9 we can now draw the Feynman diagram for β -decay, given in Figure 16.2(b). To begin we have the one up and two down quarks of a neutron. One of the down quarks then radiates a W^- boson and turns into an up quark. The W^- boson then decays into an electron and an anti-electron neutrino. We can use the method outlined above for calculating matrix elements from Feynman diagrams to determine the decay probability for the neutron. However, without a few months of a particle physics course the steps might be a bit incomprehensible and the final result is presented here without derivation.⁸

$$PDF(E_e) \approx C_0 E_e \sqrt{E_e^2 - m_e^2 c^4} (c^2 (m_n - m_p) - E_e)^2 \quad (16.10)$$

Equation 16.10 gives the probability density function for finding an electron with energy E_e from a neutron decay.⁹ Here, the coefficient C_0 is some normalization factor so that the integral of the function is one over the valid range of the equation. From the square root we see that the minimum value E_e can have is the mass of the electron, m_e . Additionally, we notice that the maximum energy the electron can have is when no momentum is imparted to the neutrino, and so the decay becomes effectively the two body decay of Equation 16.1 with a maximum energy of 1.30 MeV. Keeping this in mind we can draw the distribution of the electron energy in Figure 16.3. Notice that the expectation value of this distribution is 1 MeV, considerably lower than the 1.3 MeV predicted earlier. When compared to experimental data, Equation 16.10 and Figure 16.3 agree very well.¹⁰

16.4 Beta Ray Absorption


So now we have a theory that accurately predicts the energy spectrum of electrons from β -decay, but what about a theoretical model that predicts the absorption of the electrons? First, let us consider electrons traveling along the x -axis, where they hit some absorber that is perpendicular to the x -axis and parallel to the y -axis. Let us assume the absorber is infinitesimally thin, with thickness dx , so that the absorber is just a single sheet of atoms.

Now if we consider N electrons entering the absorber, we can write that the number

⁸However, if you do want to see the full derivation, take a look at the chapter on neutron decays in *Introduction to Elementary Particles* by David Griffiths.

⁹For more details on probability density functions consult Chapter 1.

¹⁰See *Free-Neutron Beta-Decay Half-Life* by C.J. Christensen, et. al. published in Physical Review D, Volume 5, Number 7, April 1, 1972.



16 Beta Radiation

of electrons absorbed is,

$$dN = -\lambda N dx \quad (16.11)$$

where dN is the just the change in number of electrons, λ is a constant called the **absorption coefficient**, N is the number of electrons, and dx is the distance traveled by the electrons. Physically, this equation states that the electrons enter the absorber, and then are reduced by a certain percentage λ , per unit distance. If the electrons then travel over a distance dx the total percentage by which N is reduced is just λdx .

Equation 16.11 is a separable differential equation, so we can separate the variables and integrate both sides.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} dN &= -\lambda dx \\ \int \frac{1}{N} dN &= - \int \lambda dx \\ \ln N + C_0 &= -\lambda x + C_1 \\ N &= C_2 e^{-\lambda x} \end{aligned} \quad (16.12)$$

In the first step, the variables have just been separated, while in the second and third step the indefinite integrals are performed yielding the integration constants of C_0 and C_1 . In the final step both sides are exponentiated and all the constants are absorbed into C_2 . By letting $x = 0$ we see that $N(0) = C_2$, and so C_2 must be the initial number of electrons before any absorption occurs, or N_0 .

$$N = N_0 e^{-\lambda x} \quad (16.13)$$

But what is the meaning behind λ in Equation 16.13? As stated above, λ essentially tells us the percentage of electrons absorbed, per unit distance. From the previous section on the Standard Model, we know that electrons can interact through the weak and electromagnetic forces, but primarily through the electromagnetic force at low energies like these. As electrons pass through the absorber, they are slowed down by their electromagnetic interactions with the atoms. Of course, the atoms are made up of very dense nuclei surrounded by large electron clouds¹¹, so the electrons from the β -radiation will mainly interact with the electron clouds of the atoms in a fashion similar to that of Figure 16.2(a).

The denser the electron clouds of the absorber, the more likely an electron from β -radiation will be absorbed, and so it is clear that the absorption coefficient should somehow depend on the electron cloud density, or ρ_e . So what is the electron cloud density? Let us first assume that the absorber is one single element and none of the atoms are ions, so the number of electrons is equal to the number of protons, or the **atomic number** Z of the element. We now want to find the number of electrons per unit volume. First we can convert the number of electrons per atom into the electrons

¹¹If this is unfamiliar territory, see Chapter 14.

per mole by multiplying Z with Avogadro's number, N_A . Next, we can convert this quantity into electrons per gram by dividing by the **atomic weight** M of the element which is in grams per mole. Finally, this can be converted to electrons per volume by multiplying the previous product with the density of the absorber, ρ_a .

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{electrons}}{\text{cm}^3}\right)}_{\rho_e} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{electrons}}{\text{atom}}\right)}_Z \underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{atoms}}{\text{mole}}\right)}_{N_A} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{moles}}{\text{gram}}\right)}_{\frac{1}{M}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{grams}}{\text{cm}^3}\right)}_{\rho_a} \quad (16.14)$$

$$\rho_e = \rho_a \left(\frac{ZN_A}{M}\right)$$

The atomic number for carbon is 6, while the atomic weight is 12.011, and so $Z/M \approx 1/2$. Actually, all of the light elements up to chlorine and argon have a value for Z/M of nearly $1/2$. Consequently, the electron density of Equation 16.14 is only dependent upon the density of the absorber for the lighter elements! This means that the absorption coefficient is linearly proportional to the density of the absorption material! However, it would be nice to have a slightly better understanding of what other parameters determine λ .

Theoretically, determining λ is quite challenging as we must now consider how the electrons are physically absorbed by the electron clouds. We can instead, just take a qualitative look at the process. We have already determined how the absorber effects λ , but how do the incoming electrons from the β radiation effect λ ? If an electron flies by an atom at a very high velocity (very large E_e), the electron is hardly effected, and passes nearly straight by. However, if the electron has a very small velocity (very small E_e), the electrons of the atom will cause a much more drastic change in the path of the electron. From this we see that λ should be inversely proportional to the electron energy.

Experimentally, the value for λ has been determined to be¹²,

$$\lambda \approx \rho_a \left(\frac{17}{E_{\max}^{1.14}}\right) \quad (16.15)$$

where E_{\max} is the maximum electron energy, which for the case of β radiation from free neutrons is just given by Equation 16.8. Most sources of β radiation do not consist of free neutrons, and so as the electrons leave the nucleus, they must fight the attractive electromagnetic pull of the protons. This means that the maximum energy of most electrons from β decay are well below that of Equation 16.8. The shape of the electron energies however is very similar to the free neutron of Figure 16.3.

¹²Taken from *The Atomic Nucleus* by Robley D. Evans, pages 627-629.

16.5 Experiment

There are two clear predictions about β -decay derived in the sections above. The first is that the emitted electrons should not be at a single energy, but rather over a spectrum of energies. The second prediction states that the electrons from β -decay should be absorbed over distances as described by Equation 16.13, where λ is directly proportional to the density of the absorbing material, and is given experimentally by Equation 16.15. Testing the first theoretical prediction is possible, but outside the scope of this book. The second prediction is much easier to test, and is done so in three parts.

In the first part of the experiment for this chapter a Geiger-Muller tube is calibrated to yield a good count reading from a small source of β -radiation. This is done by adjusting the distance of the tube from the source and changing the bias voltage across the tube. The source is removed and a background count is made. Next the source is reinserted, and a radiation count with no absorber is made. This gives the coefficient N_0 in Equation 16.13. Next, thin pieces of cardboard and mylar are placed as absorbers between the source and the tube. The number of layers is recorded along with rate from the tube. The mass per unit area of the mylar and cardboard absorbers are found by weighing the absorber, and dividing by the area of the absorber calculated by simple geometry. Plots are then made of the number of counts versus the mass per unit area of the absorbers. Because λ is dependent only on E_{\max} and ρ_a , the plots should provide the same result assuming theory is correct.

The second part of the experiment then uses the plots just created to determine the mass per unit area of an irregular cardboard shape (i.e. the mass per unit area cannot be calculated easily using geometry) after having determined the count rate of electrons. The final part of the experiment recasts the data from the first part of the experiment in terms of count rate and distance absorbed, as described by Equation 16.13. A plot of this relationship is made and a value for λ is determined for the mylar absorber. Using Equation 16.15 the maximum electron energy can be determined from the β -radiation source.

17 Superconductivity

While superconductors are rarely encountered in day-to-day life they are well known by the public, and not just within the physics community. Superconductors oftentimes play important roles in science fiction and capture readers' imaginations with their almost mysterious capabilities, but they are also very real and are used in current technologies such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The discovery of superconductors was made in 1911 by Kamerlingh Onnes, yet the theory behind superconductors remains incomplete to this day. This chapter provides a brief overview of the physical properties of superconductors along with the theory behind them and a more in depth look at the Meissner Effect. However, the information provided here just scrapes the surface of superconductivity; the experimental and theoretical research done in this field is extensive.

17.1 Superconductors

So what exactly is a superconductor? As the name implies, superconductors are very good at conducting; as a matter of fact, they are **perfect conductors**. This means that if a superconductor is made into a loop, and electricity is run around the loop, the current will continue to flow forever without being pushed by a battery or generator. Of course, forever is a rather strong word, and experimental physicists don't have quite that much patience, but it has been experimentally shown during experiments over periods of years, that the current flowing within a superconducting loop has not degraded enough to be registered by the precision of the instruments used!¹

However, superconductors are more than just perfect conductors. They also exhibit a property called the **Meissner effect**, which states that a magnetic field cannot exist within the interior of the superconductor. This is an important difference between superconductors and perfect conductors and will be discussed more in the Meissner Effect section of this chapter. Just like a square is a rectangle but a rectangle is not necessarily a square, a superconductor is a perfect conductor, but a perfect conductor is not necessarily a superconductor.

There are two fundamental properties that describe superconductors, a **critical temperature** T_c , and a **critical magnetic field** (magnitude) B_c . If the temperature of a superconductor exceeds the critical temperature of the superconductor, it will no longer superconduct, and will transition to a normal state. Similarly, if the superconductor is subjected to a magnetic field higher than the critical magnetic field, the superconductor will transition to a normal state.

¹The resistance of superconductors has been shown to be less than $10^{-26} \Omega$!

17 Superconductivity

1 H																	2 He
3 Li	4 Be											5 B	6 C	7 N	8 O	9 F	10 Ne
11 Na	12 Mg											13 Al	14 Si	15 P	16 S	17 Cl	18 Ar
19 K	20 Ca	21 Sc	22 Ti	23 V	24 Cr	25 Mn	26 Fe	27 Co	28 Ni	29 Cu	30 Zn	31 Ga	32 Ge	33 As	34 Se	35 Br	36 Kr
37 Rb	38 Sr	39 Y	40 Zr	41 Nb	42 Mo	43 Tc	44 Ru	45 Rh	46 Pd	47 Ag	48 Cd	49 In	50 Sn	51 Sb	52 Te	53 I	54 Xe
55 Cs	56 Ba	*	72 Hf	73 Ta	74 W	75 Re	76 Os	77 Ir	78 Pt	79 Au	80 Hg	81 Tl	82 Pb	83 Bi	84 Po	85 At	86 Rn
87 Fr	88 Ra	**	104 Rf	105 Db	106 Sg	107 Bh	108 Hs	109 Mt	110 Ds	111 Rg	112 Uub	113 Uut	114 Uuq	114 Uup	115 Uuh	117 Uus	118 Uuo
119 Uun																	
* Lanthanides		57 La	58 Ce	59 Pr	60 Nd	61 Pm	62 Sm	63 Eu	64 Gd	65 Tb	66 Dy	67 Ho	68 Er	69 Tm	70 Yb	71 Lu	
** Actinides		89 Ac	90 Th	91 Pa	92 U	93 Np	94 Pu	95 Am	96 Cm	97 Bk	98 Cf	99 Es	100 Fm	101 Md	102 No	103 Lr	
Alkali metals		Alkaline earth metals		Lanthanides			Actinides			Transition metals			Type I Superconductors				
Poor metals		Metalloids		Nonmetals			Halogens			Noble gases							

Figure 17.1: All currently known Type I superconductors are outlined in bold. This figure was modified from the Wikimedia file [Periodic Table Armtuk3.svg](#).

Depending on the type of superconductor, the values for T_c and B_c can vary greatly. Originally, there were two known types of superconductors creatively named **Type I** and **Type II** superconductors. There are thirty Type I superconductors, all consisting of pure metals such as gallium, which has a critical temperature of $T_c \approx 1.1$ K. In general, Type I superconductors have very low critical temperatures, almost all below 10 K. Additionally, Type I superconductors have low critical magnetic fields. For example gallium has a B_c of ≈ 51 Gauss. All currently known Type I superconductors are outlined in bold in the periodic table of Figure 17.1.²

Type II superconductors consist of alloys, such as niobium and tin, and have higher critical temperatures, in this example $T_c \approx 17.9$ K.³ The highest critical temperature of Type II superconductors is 23 K.⁴ More recently, **high temperature superconductors**, neither Type I or Type II, have been discovered, which, as the name implies, have much higher temperatures at which they can superconduct.⁵

²Ashcroft and Mermin. *Solid State Physics*. Brooks/Cole. 1976.

³Rohlf, James William. *Modern Physics from a to Z0*. Wiley. 1994.

⁴Kittel, Charles. *Introduction to Solid State Physics*. Wiley. 1996

⁵More recently being a rather relative term. Specifically, in 1988 ceramic mixed oxide superconductors




Figure 17.2: Primitive cell of the YBa₂Cu₃O₇ superconductor used in this experiment. The superconducting currents flow through the planes outlined in red.

These high temperature superconductors are ceramic crystalline substances, some with critical temperatures as high as $T_c \approx 125$ K. The ceramic YBa₂Cu₃O₇, used in the experiment associated with this chapter, has a critical temperature of $T_c \approx 90$, higher than the temperature of liquid nitrogen. The primitive cell crystal structure of YBa₂Cu₃O₇ is shown in Figure 17.2. The superconducting currents flow through the planes outlined in red.

17.2 BCS Theory

But how exactly do superconductors work? As mentioned previously, the theory behind superconductors is still developing, although there is a theory that describes superconductivity for Type I superconductors on the level of the atom. This theory, or **BCS theory**, was developed by John Bardeen, Leon Cooper, and Robert Schrieffer during the 1950's for which they earned the Nobel prize in 1972. While the mathematics behind the theory are very involved, the physical idea behind the theory is quite elegant.

To fully understand the theory, a few things about conductivity need to be discussed. The conductivity of a metal arises from the nuclei of the atoms making up the metal arranging themselves into an **ion lattice**. The outer electrons of the atoms are not bound tightly to the nuclei and are able to freely move about in what is known as the **electron gas**. When the electrons in the electron gas scatter off the nuclei, the electrons lose energy, and this is why resistance occurs in metals.

When a metal is cooled to a very low temperature, the ion lattice of the metal becomes more and more like a crystal. As the electrons in the electron gas move across the lattice, the negative charge of the electron pulls on the positive charges of the nuclei in the lattice. This pulls the nuclei towards the electron as the electron moves by as shown in Figure 17.3(a). As the electron continues to move, a vibrational wave is formed in the lattice as more nuclei move towards the electron and the other nuclei settle back into place. This

were discovered and are now used in commercial applications.




Figure 17.3: A diagram of the forming of Cooper pairs, necessary for the BCS theory. In Figure 17.3(a) an electron with spin $+\frac{1}{2}$ moves to the right while an electron with spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ moves to the left. Each electron is coupled to a phonon. In Figure 17.3(b), the electron on the left has coupled with the phonon of the electron on the right, and formed a Cooper pair with the second electron.

wave within the lattice is called a **phonon**.⁶

As a second electron moves across the lattice it becomes attracted to the phonon trailing the first electron. The second electron is pulled into the phonon and the two electrons, one with spin $m_s = +\frac{1}{2}$ and the other with spin $m_s = -\frac{1}{2}$ **couple** or join through the shared phonon. This pair of electrons is called a **Cooper pair** and can only form when the temperature of the metal is less than the binding energy of the Cooper pair. The spins of particles add together, and so the total spin of the Cooper pair is zero. This means that the Cooper pair is a boson.

Once a sufficient number of Cooper pairs are formed from the electron gas, a Bose-Einstein condensate forms from the electrons. This means that all the Cooper pairs have the lowest possible energy, and so they no longer are likely to scatter off the ion lattice. As soon as this occurs, the metal becomes a superconductor, as the Cooper pairs can now move freely through the ion lattice without scattering.

This theory explains both what the critical temperature of a Type I superconductor is, and its critical magnetic field. The critical temperature is reached when the Cooper pairs are no longer broken apart by the kinetic energy of the nuclei, or when the temperature is less than the binding energy of the pair. The critical magnetic field also is reached when the magnetic field no longer breaks apart the Cooper pairs and allows a Bose-Einstein

⁶The reason the name phonon is used is because this is a quantized sound wave. The prefix *phon* indicates sound, such as phonetics, and the prefix *phot* indicates light, such as Photos. A quantized light wave is called a photon, and so a quantized sound wave is called a phonon.

condensate to form.

17.3 Meissner Effect

While the explanation for the BCS theory given above is fully qualitative, it is also possible to derive the theory in a more mathematical fashion. While this will not be done here, as it would not be helpful to the discussion, there is an important result that needs to be discussed called the **London equations** which are given in Equation 17.1.

$$\frac{\partial \vec{I}}{\partial t} = \frac{n_s e^2}{m_e} \vec{E} \quad (17.1a)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{I} = -\frac{n_s e^2}{m_e c} \vec{B} \quad (17.1b)$$

Here, \vec{I} , is the current flowing through the superconductor (notice that it is a vector quantity and has a direction!), \vec{E} is the electric field in the superconductor, and \vec{B} is the magnetic field in the superconductor. The letter e is the charge of the electron, m_e the mass of the electron, and n_s a physical constant dependent upon the material of the superconductor, and c is the speed of light.

For those not familiar with the symbol ∇ , this is called a nabla, and when the notation $\nabla \times$ is used in front of a vector, this means take the **curl** of the vector. To find the direction of the curl of a vector, wrap your right hand around in the direction the vector is pointing; your thumb then points in the direction of the curl. This is called the **right hand rule**. As an example, when current is flowing through a wire, there is an associated magnetic field. The curl of this magnetic field points in the direction of the current.⁷

One of Maxwell's equations, specifically Ampere's law, states that the curl of the magnetic field is equal to the current times the magnetic constant, μ_0 .

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{I} \quad (17.2)$$

Substituting in \vec{I} from this equation into Equation 17.1b yields the following differential equation.

$$\nabla^2 \vec{B} = \frac{n_s e^2 \mu_0}{m_e c} \vec{B} \quad (17.3)$$

While many differential equations do not have known solutions, this one luckily does, as it is just an ordinary differential equation. The solution is,

$$B(x) = B_0 e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} \quad (17.4)$$

⁷The curl of a vector is also a vector and is explicitly calculated by taking the determinant of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ v_i & v_j & v_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } v_i \text{ are the components of the vector } \vec{v}.$$

where,

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{m_e c}{n_s e^2 \mu_0}} \quad (17.5)$$

and is called the **London penetration depth** and B_0 is the magnitude of the magnetic field at the surface of the superconductor. The variable x is the distance from the surface of the superconductor.

The math above can be a little daunting, but it is not necessary to understand the specifics behind it. The important result to look at is Equation 17.4. Interpreting this equation physically, we see that the magnetic field inside a superconductor decays exponentially and that past the London penetration depth, the magnetic field within a superconductor is nearly zero!

This is just the Meissner effect, mentioned at the very beginning of the chapter. From BCS theory (and a little bit of hand waving around the math) we have managed to theoretically explain why the Meissner effect occurs, on a microscopic scale. On a historical note, the London equations of Equation 17.1 were developed **phenomenologically** before BCS theory. This means that physicists developed the London equations to model the Meissner effect, which they did well, but just did not know the physical reason why the equations were correct.

Now that we have a mathematical understanding of the Meissner effect, it is time to understand the physical consequences. When a superconductor is placed in a magnetic field, small **eddy currents** begin to circulate at the surface of the superconductor. These currents create magnetic fields that directly oppose the external magnetic field, canceling it, and keeping the magnetic field at the center of the superconductor near zero. Because the superconductor is a perfect conductor, the eddy currents continue traveling without resistance and can indefinitely oppose the external magnetic field.

This is why unassisted levitation is possible using superconductors. A ferromagnet is placed over the superconductor, and through the Meissner effect the superconductor creates a magnetic field that directly cancels the magnetic field of the ferromagnet. This in turn causes a magnetic repulsion that holds the ferromagnet in place against the force of gravity.

It is important to remember that both the London equations, and BCS theory are only valid for Type I superconductors. Type II superconductors allow magnetic fields to pass through **filaments** within the material. Supercurrents surround the filaments in a **vortex state** to produce the **mixed-state Meissner effect** where the external magnetic field is not completely excluded.

Figure 17.4 compares what happens if a normally conducting object is subjected to an external magnetic field and then transitions to a perfect conductor, Type I superconductor, or Type II superconductor. In the first scenario, Figure 17.4(a), the magnetic field remains within the perfect conductor. No matter what external magnetic field is now applied to the perfect conductor, the internal magnetic field will remain exactly the same. If, for example, the external magnetic field were shut off, eddy currents would continue to produce the exact same magnetic field within the center of the perfect conductor. This phenomena is known as **perfect diamagnetism**.




Figure 17.4: Comparison of the magnetic field lines passing through a perfect conductor, Type I superconductor, and Type II superconductor above and below the critical temperature. The red lines indicate eddy currents which oppose the external magnetic field.

In the second scenario, the external magnetic field is completely excluded from the interior of the Type I superconductor. Any change within the external magnetic field will trigger eddy currents that directly oppose the external magnetic field. In the final scenario, most of the magnetic field is excluded, yet some magnetic field is still able to pass through the filaments of the Type II superconductor.

17.4 Experiment

The experiment for this chapter uses the Meissner effect to measure the critical temperature of a high temperature $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ superconductor. The apparatus used consists of a superconductor, around which is wrapped a solenoid.⁸ The experiment is broken into two steps.

In the first step, the solenoid is cooled to a temperature of ≈ 70 K using liquid nitrogen. The resistance of the solenoid is measured using an ohmmeter for different temperatures of the solenoid (determined by a thermocouple near the solenoid). Because resistance is caused by electrons scattering off energetic nuclei, we expect the resistance of the coil to decrease as the temperature decreases. This portion of the experiment has nothing to do with superconductors.

For the second step we need just a little more theory. When an external magnetic field is applied to a conductor, it tries to keep the magnetic field within itself the same, just like with the perfect conductor. The conductor does this by creating eddy currents which create magnetic fields that oppose the external magnetic field. Unlike the case of the perfect conductor, these eddy currents decay over time due to resistance and eventually the conductor succumbs to the external magnetic field. This whole process is called **inductance** and is measured by a unit called the **henry**. The longer a conductor fights the external magnetic field, the larger the inductance.

If we drive an alternating current through the solenoid, we can measure the inductance using the equation,

$$\omega L = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V}{I}\right)^2 - R^2} \quad (17.6)$$

where ω is the frequency of the alternating current, L the inductance of the solenoid, V the root mean square (RMS) voltage in the solenoid, I the RMS current in the solenoid, and R the resistance of the solenoid at that temperature. For those uncomfortable with this equation just being handed down from on high, try to derive it. The process is not the simplest, but with a little effort and thinking it can be done. Again, neglecting the derivation, the inductance for a solenoid is,

$$L = \frac{\mu N^2 A}{\ell} \quad (17.7)$$

⁸A solenoid is just a circular coil of wire. The beauty of solenoids is that they produce relatively uniform magnetic fields in their centers.

where μ is the magnetic permeability of the core of the solenoid, N the number of turns of wire in the solenoid, A the cross-sectional area of the solenoid, and ℓ the length of the solenoid.

Looking at Equation 17.7, we see that for a large magnetic permeability, the inductance of the solenoid is very large, while for a small permeability, the inductance is small. The core of the solenoid in our experimental setup is just the superconductor, which when at room temperature, has a relatively normal value for μ , and so the inductance of the solenoid will be relatively large. However, below the critical temperature of the superconductor, the Meissner effect takes hold, and the magnetic field can no longer pass through the core of the solenoid. Essentially, μ has become zero. This means that the inductance of the solenoid will drop to nearly zero.

Using Equation 17.6, it is possible to calculate the inductance for the solenoid using the resistance of the coil, determined in step one, along with measuring the RMS current and RMS voltage passing through the coil for various temperatures. From the explanation above, we expect to see a dramatic jump in inductance at some point in the graph where the core of the solenoid transitions from a superconducting state to a standard state. By determining this jump, we have found the critical temperature of the superconductor!

Terms

- α -radiation, 173
- β -radiation, 173
- γ -radiation, 173

- absorption coefficient, 182
- adding in quadrature, 22
- amplitude, 101, 102
- angular acceleration, 47, 59
- angular frequency, 46, 56, 102, 160
- angular kinetic energy, 49
- angular momentum, 49
- angular position, 45
- angular velocity, 46, 102
- annihilation operator, 162
- anti-Stokes fluorescence, 160
- apparatus uncertainty, 10
- atomic number, 182
- atomic orbital model, 149, 159
- azimuthal quantum number, 167

- Babinet's principle, 137
- Balmer series, 155
- baryons, 176
- BCS theory, 187
- binding energy, 153
- Biot-Savart law, 86
- black-body radiation, 144
- Bohr model, 149, 159
- Bohr radius, 152
- Boltzmann distribution, 65
- Boltzmann's constant, 145
- boson, 167
- Boyle's law, 63
- Brewster's angle, 123

- calibration error, 10
- capacitance, 72
- cardinal points, 117
- Cartesian coordinates, 45
- central limit theorem, 17
- centripetal force, 150
- charge density, 140

- Charles' Law, 63
- chi-squared, 30
- circular polarization, 120
- commutative, 161
- complete constructive interference, 126
- complete destructive interference, 126
- compound pendulum, 58
- concave lens, 112
- conservation of momentum, 39
- convex lens, 112
- Cooper pair, 188
- correlation coefficient, 20
- Coulomb force, 83, 150
- couple, 188
- creation operator, 162
- critical magnetic field, 185
- critical temperature, 185
- curl, 141, 189
- current, 71
- current density, 141

- de Broglie wavelength, 137, 161
- decay rate, 170
- delayed fluorescence, 169
- difference measurement, 79
- differential form, 139
- differential operator, 161
- diffracted, 109
- diffraction, 132
- diffraction gratings, 156
- divergence, 140
- double slit, 125

- eddy current, 94
- eddy currents, 190
- elastic, 40
- electric constant, 140, 150
- electric dipole, 90
- electric dipole moment, 92
- electromagnetic force, 139
- electromagnetism, 83
- electron gas, 187

- electron orbitals, 166
- electron volts, 153
- entropy, 65
- equations of motion, 36
- equilibrium, 55
- error band, 27
- error bar, 26
- expectation value, 15
- extremum uncertainty, 13

- far-field, 129
- Faraday's law, 87
- Fermat's principle, 109
- Fermi's golden rule, 180
- fermion, 167
- ferromagnetic, 86
- Feynman diagrams, 178
- field lines, 94
- filaments, 190
- fine structure, 168
- first harmonic, 103
- first overtone, 103
- fluorescence, 159
- focal length, 112
- focal point, 112
- force diagrams, 36
- Fourier decomposition, 164
- Franck-Condon principle, 169
- free-body diagrams, 36
- Fresnel diffraction, 138
- fundamental, 103

- Gay-Lussac's Law, 63
- geometrical optics, 109
- gluon, 176

- hadrons, 176
- Hamiltonian, 161
- hat, 87
- henry, 192
- high temperature superconductors, 186
- Hooke's law, 55, 160
- Huygens principle, 129
- hyperfine splitting, 159, 168

- ideal gas, 65
- ideal gas constant, 64
- ideal gas law, 64
- incidence angle, 156
- inductance, 72, 83, 192
- induction, 88
- inelastic, 40
- inherent uncertainty, 10
- integral form, 139
- interference, 125
- interference patterns, 156
- internal conversion, 168
- intersystem crossing, 169
- ion lattice, 187
- ionizing radiation, 173
- isochronism, 58

- Jablonksi diagram, 169

- kinematics, 39
- Kirchhoff's laws, 74

- labels, 25
- Lagrangian mechanics, 36
- Laguerre polynomials, 164
- Lamb shift, 168
- Larmor formula, 151
- law of reflection, 111
- laws of motion, 35
- legend, 26
- Legendre functions, 164
- lensmaker's equation, 120
- Lenz's law, 87
- linear motion, 59
- linear position, 45
- linear superposition, 91
- London equations, 189
- London penetration depth, 190
- Lorentz force, 83
- Lyman series, 155

- magnetic constant, 86, 141
- magnetic dipole moment, 92
- magnetic monopole, 92, 141
- magnetic quantum number, 167

17 Superconductivity

- matrix element, 178
- matrix method, 114
- Maxwell's equations, 74, 139
- mean, 15
- mean lifetime, 170
- mediated, 175
- Meissner effect, 185
- mesons, 176
- metastable, 170
- mixed-state Meissner effect, 190
- moment of inertia, 47, 59
- momentum operator, 161
- monochromatic, 129
- Monte Carlo, 19

- near-field, 138
- neutrino, 175
- Newton's second law, 39
- Newtonian mechanics, 35
- nodal points, 117
- nodes, 103
- non-radiative transition, 168
- normal, 88, 111, 140
- normal distribution, 16
- normal uncertainty, 14
- normalized, 15
- number of degrees of freedom, 30

- Ohm's law, 72
- operator, 161
- optical axis, 112
- orbital projection quantum number, 167
- orbital quantum number, 167
- over-fit, 31

- parallel axis theorem, 47
- parallel circuits, 74
- paraxial, 116
- partial, 40
- partial derivative, 20
- Paschen series, 155
- path integral, 86
- Pauli exclusion principle, 169
- peak amplitude, 103
- perfect conductors, 185

- perfect diamagnetism, 190
- period, 57, 102
- phase, 126
- phenomenologically, 190
- phonon, 187
- phosphorescence, 169
- photoelectric effect, 142, 152
- photoelectric experiment, 135
- photons, 135, 143
- physical optics, 109
- Planck constant, 151
- Planck's constant, 139
- Planck's law, 145
- plane polarization, 120
- plum-pudding model, 149
- point-like, 167
- polar coordinates, 45
- positron, 177
- power series method, 163
- Poynting vector, 142
- precision, 9
- principal points, 117
- principal quantum number, 151, 167
- principle quantum number, 159
- probability density function, 15, 161
- propagate, 11

- quadrature, 29
- quanta, 143
- quantized, 151
- quantum mechanics, 35, 159

- ray diagrams, 112
- real image, 112
- recast, 28
- reduced chi-squared, 31
- reduced Planck's constant, 161
- reference frame, 83
- reflected, 109
- refracted, 109
- refraction angle, 156
- refractive index, 110
- relative uncertainty, 13
- relativistic quantum mechanics, 35

- relativistic quantum theory, 159
- relativity, 35, 84, 159
- residual, 29
- resistance, 72
- restoring force, 55
- right hand rule, 85, 189
- rigid body, 58
- root mean square (RMS) amplitude, 103
- rotational motion, 59
- Rutherford model, 149
- Rydberg constant, 154

- scattering, 149
- second harmonic, 103
- self-induced, 89
- series circuits, 74
- Shrödinger's equation, 161
- significant figures, 9
- simple harmonic motion, 55
- simple harmonic oscillation, 160
- simple harmonic oscillator, 160
- simple pendulum, 57
- singlet, 169
- slope-intercept form, 28
- small angle approximation, 57
- Snell's law, 111, 156
- spectroscopic notation, 166
- spectroscopy, 152
- spin projection quantum number, 167
- spin quantum number, 167
- spin-orbital coupling, 168
- spring constant, 55, 160
- standard deviation, 15
- Standard Model, 175
- standing wave, 103
- state variables, 64
- statistical mechanics, 63
- stopping potential, 143
- strong force, 175
- synchrotron radiation, 151
- systematic uncertainty, 9

- Taylor expansion, 91
- Taylor series, 22, 161

- thin lens approximation, 112
- thin lenses, 112
- three-body decay, 175
- title, 25
- torque, 50, 59
- total, 40
- total internal reflection, 124
- transition series, 155
- translated, 109
- transverse, 99
- triplet, 169
- two-body collision, 42
- Type I, 186
- Type II, 186

- uniform distribution, 17
- unit vector, 89
- unit vectors, 87

- variance, 15
- variance-covariance matrix, 20
- velocity, 101
- velocity selector, 85
- vertex, 178
- vibrational energy levels, 169
- vibrational modes, 168
- vibrational relaxation, 169
- virtual image, 112
- voltage, 71
- vortex state, 190

- wave packets, 161
- wave-particle duality, 135, 144
- wavefunction, 161
- wavelength, 101
- weak bosons, 175
- weak force, 175
- work function, 142

- Zeeman effect, 159