

On the primordial trispectrum from exchanging scalar modes in general multiple field inflationary models

Xian Gao

*Key Laboratory of Frontiers in Theoretical Physics,
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
No.55, Zhong-Guan-Cun East Road, Hai-Dian District, Beijing 100080, P.R.China
E-mail: gaoxian@itp.ac.cn*

Chunshan Lin

*The Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P.R.China
and
Department of Physics, McGill University
Montréal, QC, H3A 2T8, Canada
E-mail: lics@mail.ustc.edu.cn*

ABSTRACT: We make an complementary investigation of the primordial trispectrum from exchanging intermediate scalar modes in multi-field inflationary models with generalized kinetic terms. Together with the calculation of irreducible contributions to the primordial trispectrum in Ref.[104], we give the full leading-order primordial trispectrum in generalized multi-field models.

KEYWORDS: Multi-field inflation, Non-gaussianity, Trispectrum.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Basic Setup	2
2.1 Model and Background	2
2.1.1 Equations of Motion	3
2.1.2 Background	3
2.2 Perturbation Theory in the Spatially-flat Gauge	3
2.3 Linear Perturbations	4
3. Non-linear perturbations	6
3.1 Trispectra from Correlating Scalar Mode	7
3.2 Full Trispectrum for the Curvature Perturbation	8
4. Conclusion	9
A. Coefficients in the interactional Hamiltonian	10
B. Basic Integrals	10

1. Introduction

One of the most exciting ideas of modern cosmology is inflation [1], which can solve the flatness, the horizon, and the monopole problem of the standard big bang cosmology. Such a period of cosmological inflation can be attained if the energy density of the universe is dominated by the vacuum energy density associated with the potential of some scalar field(s). Over the years, inflation has become so popular because of its prediction of nearly scale-invariant primordial density perturbation. In the inflationary scenario, the primordial fluctuations of quantum origin were generated and frozen to seed wrinkles in the Cosmic Microwave Background(CMB) [2][3][4][5][6][7][8][9] and today’s Large-scale Structure (LSS) [10][11][12][13][14].

Inflation is mostly a framework of theories rather than a single model or theory. From the observational point of view, many inflationary models are “degenerate”. Measuring tensor modes in the CMB anisotropy and the spectral index of the power spectrum of adiabatic perturbation are not adequate to efficiently discriminate among different inflationary scenarios. Fortunately, we have another observable available, which proves to be valuable in providing us with additional information beyond the power spectrum to discriminate models. It is the deviation from a purely Gaussian statistics among CMB anisotropies [15][16], which arises from interaction(s) among perturbations, leading to non-vanishing higher-order correlated functions. Due to its importance, constraining and predicting primordial non-Gaussianity has become one of the major efforts in modern cosmological community.

The simplest single-field slow-roll inflation models, within the context of Einstein gravity and the standard initial adiabatic vacuum, is only able to generate negligible amount of non-Gaussianity [17], which is undetectable by current observations of the CMB or even LSS. In the theoretical aspect, there are several ways to approach large non-Gaussianity. A short list of these models and mechanisms includes k -inflation or models with general non-canonical kinetic terms [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 105, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34], multi-field inflation[35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65], the curvaton scenario [68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 82, 83, 84, 85], inhomogeneous “end-of-inflation” models such as hybrid/multibrid models [77, 78, 79, 81, 80], cosmic string [86, 87, 88], loops [89, 90, 91], modified initial vacuum [92, 93], ghost inflation [94, 95], quasi-single field model [96, 97], vector fields [98, 99, 100, 103, 101, 102] and so on.

Since much more observational data will be available in the near future from WMAP/PLANCK and LSS experiments, it is very necessary to study the four and higher-point correlation functions. In this paper, we make a complement to the calculation of Ref. [104], in which we calculated the contributions to the primordial trispectrum in general multi-field inflation from the irreducible or so-called ‘‘contact’’ diagrams. A complete calculation of the trispectrum should also include the contributions from reducible or so-called ‘‘exchanging intermediate scalar modes’’ diagrams, as performed in [42, 105, 30, 45] in the investigation of the trispectrum in single-field and multi-field inflationary models, and in [28] where exchanging gravitons was considered. In this paper we show that, the contributions to the final trispectrum arising from exchanging scalar modes has the same magnitude as those from the contact contributions, and thus is also very important.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we briefly review the background evolution and linear perturbations for our model. Readers who are interested in the details are encouraged to refer to [104]. In Sec.3, we calculate the tri-spectrum which originating from correlating (or exchanging) scalar modes. The full trispectrum, which includes both contacting and correlating scalar contributions, is also discussed.

2. Basic Setup

2.1 Model and Background

In this work we consider a general class of multi-field models containing \mathcal{N} scalar fields coupled to Einstein gravity. The action takes the form

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{2} + P(X^{IJ}, \phi^I) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where ϕ^I ($I = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{N}$) are scalar fields acting as inflaton fields, and

$$X^{IJ} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi^I \partial_\nu \phi^J, \quad (2.2)$$

is the kinetic term (matrix), $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the spacetime metric tensor with signature $(-, +, +, +)$. ‘‘ I, J ’’-indices are raised, lowered and contracted by the \mathcal{N} -dimensional field-space metric $G_{IJ} = G_{IJ}(\phi^I)$. This form of the Lagrangian includes multi-field k-inflation and multi-DBI models as special cases. For example, multi-field k-inflation has the scalar-field Lagrangian as $P(X, \phi^I)$, where $X \equiv \text{tr} X^{IJ} = G_{IJ} X^{IJ}$, while in multi-field DBI models, $P(X^{IJ}, \phi^I) = -\frac{1}{f(\phi^I)} (\sqrt{\mathcal{D}} - 1) - V(\phi^I)$ with $\mathcal{D} = 1 - 2f G_{IJ} X^{IJ} + 4f^2 X_I^{[I} X_J^{J]} - 8f^3 X_I^{[I} X_J^J X_K^{K]} + 16f^4 X_I^{[I} X_J^J X_K^K X_L^{L]}$.

We work in the ADM formalism of gravitation, in which the spacetime metric is written as

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + h_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt), \quad (2.3)$$

where $N = N(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the lapse function, $N_i = N_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the shift vector, and h_{ij} is the spatial metric on constant time hypersurfaces. The ADM formalism is convenient because the equations of motion for N and N^i are exactly the energy and momentum constraints which are easy to solve. Under the ADM formalism, the action (2.1) can be written as (up to total derivative terms)

$$S = \int dt d^3x \sqrt{h} N \left(\frac{1}{2} R^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2N^2} (E_{ij} E^{ij} - E^2) \right) + \int dt d^3x \sqrt{h} N P, \quad (2.4)$$

where $h \equiv \det h_{ij}$ and the symmetric tensor

$$E_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\dot{h}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i), \quad (2.5)$$

with ∇_i the spatial covariant derivative defined with the spatial metric h_{ij} and $E \equiv \text{tr} E_{ij} = h^{ij} E_{ij}$. $R^{(3)}$ is the three-dimensional Ricci scalar which is computed from the spatial metric h_{ij} . In the ADM formalism, spatial indices are raised and lowered using h_{ij} and h^{ij} .

In the ADM formalism, the kinetic matrix X^{IJ} can be written as

$$X^{IJ} = -\frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \partial_i \phi^I \partial_j \phi^J + \frac{1}{2N^2} v^I v^J, \quad (2.6)$$

where $v^I \equiv \dot{\phi}^I - N^i \nabla_i \phi^I$.

2.1.1 Equations of Motion

The equations of motion for the scalar fields are

$$\nabla_\mu (P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \partial^\mu \phi^I) + P_{,J} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where ∇_μ is the four-dimensional covariant derivative. Here and in what follows, we denote

$$P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \equiv \frac{\partial P}{\partial X^{IJ}}, \quad P_{,\langle IJ \rangle \langle KL \rangle} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial X^{IJ} \partial X^{KL}}, \quad (2.8)$$

as a shorthand notation.

The equations of motion for N and N_i are the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(3)} + 2P - \frac{2}{N^2} P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} v^I v^J - \frac{1}{N^2} (E_{ij} E^{ij} - E^2) &= 0, \\ \nabla_j \left(\frac{1}{N} (E_i^j - E \delta_i^j) \right) - \frac{P_{,\langle IJ \rangle}}{N} v^I \nabla_i \phi^J &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

2.1.2 Background

In this work, we investigate scalar perturbations around a flat FRW background, the background spacetime metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.10)$$

where $a(t)$ is the so-called scale-factor. The Friedmann equation and the continuity equation are

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3} &\equiv \frac{1}{3} (2X^{IJ} P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} - P), \\ \dot{\rho} &= -3H(\rho + P). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In the above equations, all quantities are background values. From the above two equations we can also get another convenient equation

$$\dot{H} = -X^{IJ} P_{,\langle IJ \rangle}. \quad (2.12)$$

The background equations of motion for the scalar fields are

$$P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \ddot{\phi}^I + \left(3HP_{,\langle IJ \rangle} + \dot{P}_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \right) \dot{\phi}^I - P_{,J} = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where $P_{,I}$ denotes derivative of P with respect to ϕ^I : $P_{,I} \equiv \frac{\partial P}{\partial \phi^I}$.

In this work, we investigate cosmological perturbations during an exponential inflationary period. Thus, from (2.12) it is convenient to define a slow-roll parameter

$$\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \dot{\phi}_0^I \dot{\phi}_0^J}{2H^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

2.2 Perturbation Theory in the Spatially-flat Gauge

The scalar metric fluctuations about our background can be written as (see [106, 107] for nice reviews of the theory of cosmological perturbations)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta N &= \alpha, \\ \delta N_i &= \partial_i \beta, \\ \delta g_{ij} &= -2a^2 (\psi \delta_{ij} - \partial_i \partial_j E) \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where α, β, ψ and E are functions of space and time¹. The scalar field perturbations are denoted by $\delta \phi^I \equiv Q^I$.

Before proceeding, we would like to analyze the (scalar) dynamical degrees of freedom in our system. In the beginning we have $\mathcal{N} + 4$ apparent scalar degrees of freedom. The diffeomorphism of Einstein gravity eliminates two of them²,

¹This form of ansatz corresponds to $\delta g_{00} = 1 - N^2 + N_i N^i$ and $\delta g_{0i} = N_i$.

²See [106] for a detailed discussion on the gauge issue of cosmological perturbations.

leaving us $\mathcal{N} + 2$ scalar degrees of freedom. Furthermore, two of these $\mathcal{N} + 2$ degrees of freedom are non-dynamical. In the ADM formalism, these are just the fluctuations $\delta N = \alpha$ and $\delta N_i = \partial_i \beta$. Thus, there are \mathcal{N} propagating degrees of freedom in our system. As has been addressed, the diffeomorphism invariance allows us to choose convenient gauges to eliminate two degrees of freedom. In single-field models, there are two convenient gauge choices: comoving gauge corresponding to choosing $\delta\phi = E = 0$ or spatially-flat gauge corresponding to $\psi = E = 0$. In the multi-field case, the comoving gauge loses its convenience since we cannot set $\delta\rho = 0$ for every field in multi-field case. Thus, in this work we use the spatially-flat gauge.

In the spatially-flat gauge, propagating degrees of freedom for scalar perturbations are the inflaton field perturbations $Q^I(t, \mathbf{x})$, while δN and δN_i are non-dynamical constraints. In this work, we focus on scalar perturbations. In general, it is well-known that in the higher-order perturbation theories, scalar/vector/tensor perturbation modes are coupled together. However, from the point of view of the perturbation action approach, these couplings are equivalent to exchanging various modes. In this work, we focus on interactions of scalar modes themselves, and neglect tensor perturbations. The perturbations take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^I(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \phi_0^I(t) + Q^I(t, \mathbf{x}), \\ h_{ij} &\equiv a^2 \delta_{ij} \\ N &= 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots, \\ N_i &= \partial_i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots) + \theta_{1i} + \theta_{2i} + \dots,\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

where $\phi_0^I(t)$ is the background value, and $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \theta_{ni}$ are of order $\mathcal{O}(Q^n)$.

The next step is to solve the constraints α_n, β_n and θ_{ni} in terms of Q^I . Fortunately, in order to expand the action to third-order in Q^I , the solutions for the constraints up to the first-order are adequate. At the first-order in Q^I , a particular solution for equations (2.9) is:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{2H} P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \dot{\phi}^I Q^J, \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{a^2}{2H} \partial^{-2} \left[(P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} + 2 X^{KL} P_{,\langle IJ \rangle \langle KL \rangle}) \left(\frac{X^{IJ}}{H} P_{,\langle KL \rangle} \dot{\phi}^K Q^L - \dot{\phi}^I \dot{Q}^J \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3HP_{,\langle IJ \rangle} \dot{\phi}^I Q^J - P_{,\langle IJ \rangle K} Q^K 2X^{IJ} + P_{,I} Q^I \right], \\ \theta_{1i} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

Here and in what follows, repeated lower indices are contracted using δ_{ij} , and $\partial^2 \equiv \partial_i \partial_i$. ∂^{-2} is a formal notation and should be understood in fourier space.

2.3 Linear Perturbations

In multi-field model, we can decompose the perturbation into one instantaneous adiabatic sector and one instantaneous entropy sector. The ‘‘adiabatic direction’’ corresponds to the direction of the ‘‘background inflaton velocity’’

$$e_1^I \equiv \frac{\dot{\phi}^I}{\sqrt{P_{,\langle JK \rangle} \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}^K}} \equiv \frac{\dot{\phi}^I}{\dot{\sigma}},\tag{2.18}$$

where we define $\dot{\sigma} \equiv \sqrt{P_{,\langle JK \rangle} \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}^K}$, which is the generalization of the background inflaton velocity. Actually $\dot{\sigma}$ is essentially a shorthand notation and has nothing to do with any concrete field. Note that $\dot{\sigma}$ is related to the slow-roll parameter ϵ as $\dot{\sigma}^2 = 2H^2 \epsilon$.

We introduce $(\mathcal{N} - 1)$ basis e_n^I , ($n = 2, \dots, \mathcal{N}$) which are orthogonal with e_1^I and also with each other. The orthogonal condition can be defined as

$$P_{,\langle IJ \rangle} e_m^I e_n^J \equiv \delta_{mn}.\tag{2.19}$$

Thus the scalar-field perturbation Q^I can be decomposed into instantaneous adiabatic/entropy basis:

$$Q^I \equiv e_m^I Q^m, \quad m = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}.\tag{2.20}$$

Up to now our discussion is rather general, without further restriction on the structure of $P(X^{IJ}, \phi^I)$. In this work, we consider a general class of two-field models, with the following Lagrangian of the scalar fields ³:

$$P(X^{IJ}, \phi^I) = P(X, Y, \phi^I), \quad (2.21)$$

with $X \equiv X_I^I = G_{IJ}X^{IJ}$ and $Y \equiv X_J^J X_I^I$. This form of Lagrangian not only is the most general Lagrangian for two-field models and thus deserves detailed investigations, but also can make our discussions on the non-Gaussianities in two-field models in a more general background.

After performing the decomposition into instantaneous adiabatic/entropy modes, at the leading-order, the second-order action for the perturbations takes the form⁴

$$S_2^{(\text{main})} = \int dt d^3x a^3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}_{mn} \dot{Q}_m \dot{Q}_n - \frac{1}{2a^2} \delta_{mn} \partial_i Q_m \partial_i Q_n \right), \quad (2.22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{mn} &\equiv \delta_{mn} + \left(P_{\langle MN \rangle} \dot{\phi}^M \dot{\phi}^N \right) P_{\langle IK \rangle \langle JL \rangle} e_1^I e_n^K e_1^J e_m^L, \\ &= \delta_{mn} + \left(\frac{1}{c_a^2} - 1 \right) \delta_{1m} \delta_{1n} + \left(\frac{1}{c_e^2} - 1 \right) (\delta_{mn} - \delta_{1m} \delta_{1n}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where we introduce⁵

$$\begin{aligned} c_a^2 &\equiv \frac{P_{,X} + 2XP_{,Y}}{P_{,X} + 2X(P_{,XX} + 4XP_{,XY} + 3P_{,Y} + 4X^2P_{,YY})}, \\ c_e^2 &\equiv \frac{P_{,X}}{P_{,X} + 2XP_{,Y}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

which are the propagation speeds of adiabatic and entropy perturbations respectively. It is useful to note that \mathcal{K}_{mn} is diagonal, $\mathcal{K}_{11} = 1/c_a^2$, $\mathcal{K}_{22} = 1/c_e^2$ and $\mathcal{K}_{12} = \mathcal{K}_{21} = 0$, as a consequence of the adiabatic/entropy decomposition. $c_a \neq c_e$ is a generic feature in multi-field models; this can be seen explicitly from the definitions in (2.24), the speed of sound for the adiabatic mode and the entropy mode(s) have different dependence on the P -derivatives⁶.

At this point, it is convenient to introduce two parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\equiv \frac{X(P_{,XX} + 2P_{,XY})}{P_{,X} + 2XP_{,Y}}, \\ \lambda &\equiv X^2 P_{,XX} + \frac{2}{3} X^3 P_{,XXX} + 2 \left(Y P_Y + 6Y^2 P_{,YY} + \frac{8}{3} Y^3 P_{,YYY} \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \left(X^2 Y P_{,XXY} + 2XY P_{,XY} + 2XY^2 P_{,XY} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where all quantities are background values, and we have used $Y = X^2$. As we will see later, although the X, Y -dependences of $P(X, Y, \phi^I)$ in general can be complicated, the non-linear structures of P affect the trispectra through the above specific combinations of derivatives of P .

After introducing new variables whose kinetic terms are canonically normalized

$$\tilde{Q}_\sigma \equiv \frac{a}{c_a} Q_\sigma, \quad \tilde{Q}_s \equiv \frac{a}{c_e} Q_s, \quad (2.25)$$

and changing into comoving time defined by $dt = a d\eta$, the quadratic action takes the form

$$S_2 = \int d\eta d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{Q}_\sigma'^2 + (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') \tilde{Q}_\sigma^2 - c_a^2 (\partial \tilde{Q}_\sigma)^2 + \tilde{Q}_s'^2 + (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}') \tilde{Q}_s^2 - c_e^2 (\partial \tilde{Q}_s)^2 \right]. \quad (2.26)$$

³This form of Lagrangian is motivated from that, for multi-field k -inflation models [55, 41], the Lagrangian is simply $P(X, \phi^I)$. In [43] a special form of the Lagrangian $\tilde{P}(\tilde{Y}, \phi^I)$ with $\tilde{Y} \equiv X + \frac{b(\phi^I)}{2} (X^2 - X_{IJ}X^{IJ})$ was chosen in the investigation of bispectra in two-field models, which is motivated by the multi-field DBI action. In this work, we use the more general form of the Lagrangian (2.21).

⁴In (2.22) we neglect the mass-square terms as $\mathcal{M}_{mn} Q^m Q^n$ and the friction terms such as $\sim \dot{Q}_m Q_n$. In general these terms may become important, especially they may cause non-vanishing cross-correlations between adiabatic mode and entropy mode around horizon-crossing. See [63] for detailed investigation of these cross-correlations for the same model in this paper, and [66, 67] for recent studies on multi-field perturbations.

⁵We use c_a and c_e rather than c_σ and c_s in order to avoid possible confusion, since in the literatures c_s has special meaning, i.e. the speed of sound of perturbation in single-field models.

⁶This fact was first point out apparently in [59, 60] in the investigation of brane inflation models. See also [43, 37, 61, 62, 57, 41, 63] for extensive investigations on general multi-field models with different c_a and c_e .

The action (2.22) or (2.26) describes a free theory. Performing a canonical quantization, we write

$$\tilde{Q}_\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \eta) \equiv a_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{u}_k(\eta) + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \tilde{u}_k^*(\eta), \quad \tilde{Q}_s(\mathbf{k}, \eta) \equiv a_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{v}_k(\eta) + a_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \tilde{v}_k^*(\eta), \quad (2.27)$$

where $\tilde{u}_k(\eta)$ and $\tilde{v}_k(\eta)$ are the mode functions, which satisfy the corresponding classical equations of motion

$$\tilde{u}_k'' + [c_a^2 k^2 - (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}')] \tilde{u}_k = 0, \quad \tilde{v}_k'' + [c_e^2 k^2 - (\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}')] \tilde{v}_k = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

Finally, what we are interested in are the tree-level two-point functions for Q_σ and Q_s , defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_1, \eta_1) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_2, \eta_2) \rangle &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) G_{k_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2), \\ \langle Q_s(\mathbf{k}_1, \eta_1) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_2, \eta_2) \rangle &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) F_{k_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

with

$$G_k(\eta_1, \eta_2) \equiv u_k(\eta_1) u_k^*(\eta_2), \quad F_k(\eta_1, \eta_2) \equiv v_k(\eta_1) v_k^*(\eta_2), \quad (2.30)$$

where $u_k(\eta)$ and $v_k(\eta)$ are the mode functions for adiabatic perturbation and entropy perturbation respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(\eta) &= \frac{iH}{\sqrt{2c_a k^3}} (1 + ic_a k \eta) e^{-ic_a k \eta}, \\ v_k(\eta) &= \frac{iH}{\sqrt{2c_e k^3}} (1 + ic_e k \eta) e^{-ic_e k \eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

The so-called ‘‘power spectra’’ for adiabatic and entropy perturbations are defined as $P_\sigma(k) \equiv G_k(\eta_*, \eta_*)$ and $P_s(k) \equiv F_k(\eta_*, \eta_*)$, where η_* can be chosen as the time when the modes cross the sound-horizon, i.e. at $c_a k \equiv aH$ for adiabatic mode and $c_e k \equiv aH$ for entropy mode(s)⁷. In the so-called comoving gauge, the perturbation Q_σ is directly related to the three-dimensional curvature of constant time space-like slices. This gives the gauge-invariant quantity referred to as the ‘‘comoving curvature perturbation’’:

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} Q_\sigma. \quad (2.32)$$

The entropy perturbation Q_s is automatically gauge-invariant by construction. It is also convenient to introduce a renormalized ‘‘isocurvature perturbation’’ defined as

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} Q_s. \quad (2.33)$$

In the cosmological context, it is also convenient to define the dimensionless power spectra for comoving curvature perturbation and isocurvature perturbation respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}^*} &= \frac{H^2}{\dot{\sigma}^2} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma^*} \equiv \frac{H^2}{\dot{\sigma}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P_{\sigma^*}(k) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon c_a} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2, \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}^*} &= \frac{H^2}{\dot{\sigma}^2} \mathcal{P}_{s^*} \equiv \frac{H^2}{\dot{\sigma}^2} \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P_{s^*}(k) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon c_e} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

In the above results, all quantities are evaluated around the sound-horizon crossing.

3. Non-linear perturbations

In this section, We calculate the tri-spectrum which comes from correlating (or exchanging) scalar modes. The full trispectrum which includes both contacting and correlating scalar contributions is also discussed in this section.

⁷In general multi-field models, adiabatic/entropic modes with the same comoving wavenumber k exit their sound-horizons at different time, due to their different speeds of sound, $c_a \neq c_e$. This fact will bring new interesting phenomenology in multi-field models. As was shown in [63], the cross-correlations between adiabatic/entropic modes would be enhanced by a small c_e/c_a ratio.

3.1 Trispectra from Correlating Scalar Mode

The third-order action for the model (2.1) has been derived in [43]:

$$S_3^{(\text{main})} = \int dt d^3x a^3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \Xi_{mnl} \dot{Q}_m \dot{Q}_n \dot{Q}_l - \frac{1}{2a^2} \Upsilon_{mnl} \dot{Q}_m \partial_i Q_n \partial_i Q_l \right), \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{mnl} &\equiv \sqrt{P_{,\langle MN \rangle} \dot{\phi}^M \dot{\phi}^N} \left[P_{,\langle IK \rangle \langle JL \rangle} e_1^I e_m^K e_n^J e_l^L + \frac{1}{3} \left(P_{,\langle MN \rangle} \dot{\phi}^M \dot{\phi}^N \right) P_{,\langle IK \rangle \langle JL \rangle \langle PQ \rangle} e_1^I e_m^K e_n^J e_l^L e_1^P e_l^Q \right], \\ \Upsilon_{mnl} &\equiv \sqrt{P_{,\langle MN \rangle} \dot{\phi}^M \dot{\phi}^N} P_{,\langle IK \rangle \langle JL \rangle} e_1^I e_m^K e_n^J e_l^L. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In this article, we still work on the double-field model. It is a straightforward task to generalize our calculation to a more general multi field model.

Direct algebra gives the cubic-order interaction Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} H_I(\tau) = \int d\tau d^3x \left[-\frac{a}{2} \Xi_\sigma Q_\sigma'^3 + \frac{a}{2} \Upsilon_\sigma Q_\sigma' \partial_i Q_\sigma \partial_i Q_\sigma \right. \\ \left. - \frac{a}{2} \Xi_c Q_\sigma' Q_s'^2 + \frac{a}{2} \Upsilon_s Q_\sigma' \partial_i Q_s \partial_i Q_s + \frac{a}{2} \Upsilon_c Q_s' \partial_i Q_\sigma \partial_i Q_s \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the subscript ‘‘I’’ denotes the interactional picture and the five effective couplings Ξ_σ etc. are given in Appendix A.

The trispectrum is the four-point correlation function of perturbations. According to the in-in formalism [108], The trispectrum which comes from scalar exchanging can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q^4 \rangle \supset -2\Re \left[\int_{-\infty^+}^{\eta} d\eta' \int_{-\infty^+}^{\eta'} d\eta'' \langle 0_I | Q_I^4 H_I(\eta') H_I(\eta'') | 0_I \rangle \right] \\ + \int_{-\infty^-}^{\eta} d\eta' \int_{-\infty^+}^{\eta} d\eta'' \langle 0_I | H_I(\eta') Q_I^4 H_I(\eta'') | 0_I \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The calculation is straightforward but rather tedious. Here we simply collect the final results. The leading contribution from exchanging an intermediate scalar mode to the purely adiabatic four-point function $\langle Q_\sigma^4 \rangle$ is given by (see Appendix B for details)

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle Q_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) Q_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) Q_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) Q_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_{\text{SE}} \\ &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^3 \left(\sum_i^4 \mathbf{k}_i \right) \left\{ \frac{9}{2} \Xi_\sigma^2 c_a^{10} \mathcal{I}_a(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_3, c_a k_4, c_a k_{12}) \right. \\ &\quad + 2\Upsilon_\sigma^2 c_a^6 \left[\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_3, c_a k_4, c_a k_{12}) + \mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_3, c_a k_4, c_a k_{12}) + \mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_3, c_a k_4, c_a k_{12}) \right] \\ &\quad \left. - 3\Xi_\sigma \Upsilon_\sigma c_a^8 \left[\mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_3, c_a k_4, c_a k_{12}) + 2\mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_3, c_a k_4, c_a k_{12}) \right] + 23\text{perms} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where ‘‘23 perms’’ denotes the other 23 permutations among four external momenta $\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_4$. In (3.5), the integrals \mathcal{I}_a ,

$\mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}$ etc are defined in Appendix B. The mixed adiabatic/entropy four-point function $\langle Q_\sigma^2 Q_s^2 \rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) Q_\sigma(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) Q_s(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) Q_s(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_{SE} + 5\text{perms} \\
&= (2\pi)^3 \delta^3 \left(\sum_i^4 \mathbf{k}_i \right) \left\{ 3\Xi_\sigma \Xi_c c_a^6 c_e^4 \mathcal{I}_a(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) + 2\Xi_c^2 c_a^4 c_e^6 \mathcal{I}_a(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_a k_2, c_e k_4, c_e k_{13}) \right. \\
&+ \Upsilon_\sigma \Upsilon_s c_a^4 c_e^2 \left[\mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) + 2\mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_{34}) \right] \\
&+ \Upsilon_\sigma \Upsilon_c c_a^3 c_e^3 \left[\mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) + 2\mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) \right] \\
&+ 2\Upsilon_s^2 c_a^4 c_e^2 \mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_a k_2, c_e k_4, c_e k_{13}) \\
&+ 2\Upsilon_s \Upsilon_c c_a^3 c_e^3 \left[\mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(c_a k_2, c_e k_4, c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_e k_{24}) + \mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_2, c_e k_{13}) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_c^2 c_e^4 c_a^2 \left[\mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_a k_2, c_e k_4, c_e k_{12}) + 2\mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_2, c_e k_{13}) + \mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(c_e k_3, c_a k_1, c_e k_4, c_a k_2, c_e k_{13}) \right] \\
&- 3\Xi_\sigma \Upsilon_s c_a^6 c_e^2 \mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) - 3\Xi_\sigma \Upsilon_c c_a^5 c_e^3 \mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) \\
&- \Xi_c \Upsilon_\sigma c_e^4 c_a^4 \mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}(c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_{34}) - 2\Xi_c \Upsilon_\sigma c_e^4 c_a^4 \mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_1, c_a k_2, c_a k_{34}) \\
&- 4\Xi_c \Upsilon_s c_e^4 c_a^4 \mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_a k_2, c_e k_4, c_e k_{13}) \\
&\left. - 2\Xi_c \Upsilon_c c_e^3 c_a^5 \left[\mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_a k_2, c_e k_4, c_e k_{13}) + \mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(c_a k_1, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_2, c_e k_{13}) \right] + 23\text{perms} \right\}, \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first line ‘‘5 perms’’ denotes the other 5 possibilities of choosing two momenta for Q_σ and two momenta for Q_s out of the four external momenta. Note that in the permutations, the speeds of sound c_a and c_e are always associated with the given extra momenta. The purely entropic four-point function $\langle Q_s^4 \rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q_s(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) Q_s(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) Q_s(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) Q_s(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_{SE} \\
&= (2\pi)^3 \delta^3 \left(\sum_i^4 \mathbf{k}_i \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Xi_c^2 c_e^8 c_a^2 \mathcal{I}_a(c_e k_1, c_e k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_s^2 c_e^4 c_a^2 \mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}(c_e \mathbf{k}_1, c_e \mathbf{k}_2, c_e \mathbf{k}_3, c_e \mathbf{k}_4, c_a k_{12}) \right. \\
&+ \Upsilon_s \Upsilon_c c_e^5 c_a \mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(c_e \mathbf{k}_1, c_e \mathbf{k}_2, c_e \mathbf{k}_3, c_e \mathbf{k}_4, c_a \mathbf{k}_{12}) + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_c^2 c_e^6 \mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(c_e k_1, c_e k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) \\
&\left. - \Xi_c \Upsilon_s c_e^6 c_a^2 \mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}(c_e k_1, c_e k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) - \Xi_c \Upsilon_c c_e^7 c_a \mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(c_e k_1, c_e k_2, c_e k_3, c_e k_4, c_a k_{12}) + 23\text{perms} \right\}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Full Trispectrum for the Curvature Perturbation

The scalar field perturbations Q_σ and Q_s themselves are not directly observable. What we are eventually interested in is the curvature perturbation \mathcal{R} . As has been investigated in [37, 38, 43], the comoving curvature perturbation \mathcal{R} is related to the adiabatic and entropy perturbations of the scalar fields by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} &\approx \mathcal{R}_* + T_{\mathcal{R}S} \mathcal{S}_* = \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} \right)_* Q_{\sigma*} + T_{\mathcal{R}S} \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} \right)_* Q_{s*} \\
&\equiv \mathcal{N}_\sigma Q_{\sigma*} + \mathcal{N}_s Q_{s*}. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $T_{\mathcal{R}S}$ is the so-called transfer function from entropy perturbation to adiabatic perturbation⁸. Note that $\mathcal{N}_s \equiv T_{\mathcal{R}S} \mathcal{N}_\sigma$ is in general time-dependent. Thus contributions to the four-point correlation function for \mathcal{R} are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle \\
&= \mathcal{N}_\sigma^4 \left[\langle Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_1) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_2) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_3) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_{SE} + \langle Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_1) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_2) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_3) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_C \right] \\
&+ \mathcal{N}_\sigma^2 \mathcal{N}_s^2 \left[\langle Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_1) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_2) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_3) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_{SE} + \langle Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_1) Q_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_2) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_3) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_C + 5 \text{ perms} \right] \\
&+ \mathcal{N}_s^4 \left[\langle Q_s(\mathbf{k}_1) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_2) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_3) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_{SE} + \langle Q_s(\mathbf{k}_1) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_2) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_3) Q_s(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle_C \right], \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where the subscript $_{SE}$ denote the four-point functions which come from exchanging intermediate scalar modes, and the subscript $_C$ denotes the four-point functions which come from contact diagrams. The four-point functions of exchanging

⁸As was pointed in [116, 117], as long as the fields roll slowly, these additional contributions after horizon-crossing are heavily suppressed.

scalar modes for Q_σ and Q_s are given by (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7). The four-point function of the contact diagram is given in Ref.[104]. In deriving (3.9), we have used the assumption that there is no cross-correlation between adiabatic and entropy modes, i.e. $\langle Q_\sigma Q_s \rangle_* \equiv 0$, around horizon-crossing.

It is convenient to define a so-called trispectrum

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_3)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle &\equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^3\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_i\right) \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) \\ &= (2\pi)^3 \delta^3\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{k}_i\right) (\mathcal{T}_c(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) + \mathcal{T}_s(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where \mathcal{T}_c is given by eq.(5.29) of Ref.[104]. We can derive \mathcal{T}_s from eqs.(3.5)(3.6)(3.7).

To investigate the size of Non-Gaussianity roughly, we choose regular tetrahedron limit, $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_{12} = k_{34}$, and take the approximation $c_a^2 = c_e^2 \equiv c_s^2 \ll 1$. We define a real number t_{NL} from the trispectrum to characterize its size,

$$\mathcal{T}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)|_{rth} \equiv P_{\mathcal{R}}^3 t_{NL}. \quad (3.11)$$

We have

$$t_{NL} = t_{NL}^c + t_{NL}^s, \quad (3.12)$$

where t_{NL}^c comes from the contact diagram [104],

$$t_{NL}^c = (1 + T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2)^{-3} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3), \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= -\frac{3c_s^2(54c_s^2\lambda^2 - H^2\epsilon(3\lambda + 10\Pi))}{512H^4\epsilon^2} - T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2 \frac{9c_s(H^2\epsilon - 15c_s^6\lambda)}{256c_s^5H^2\epsilon} + T_{\mathcal{RS}}^4 \frac{81}{1024c_s^2}, \\ t_2 &= \frac{13(-H^2\epsilon + 3c_s^4\lambda)}{256c_s^2H^2\epsilon} + T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2 \frac{13(-H^2\epsilon + 3c_s^4\lambda)}{128c_s^4H^2\epsilon} + T_{\mathcal{RS}}^4 \frac{13}{256c_s^4}, \\ t_3 &= \frac{515}{8192c_s^2} + T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2 \frac{103}{2048c_s^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

t_{NL}^s comes from scalar exchange diagram,

$$t_{NL}^s = t_4 + t_5 + t_6, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t_4 &\simeq 2c_s^4 \left(\frac{\lambda}{H^2\epsilon}\right)^2 + \left(0.22c_s^{-4} + \frac{0.67\lambda}{H^2\epsilon}\right) T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2 + 0.06c_s^{-4} T_{\mathcal{RS}}^4, \\ t_5 &\simeq 2.74c_s^{-4} + (8.53 + 12.95\xi + 5.75\xi^2) c_s^{-4} T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2 + (1.43 + 1.99\xi + 1.24\xi^2) c_s^{-4} T_{\mathcal{RS}}^4, \\ t_6 &\simeq -2.25 \frac{\lambda}{H^2\epsilon} + \left(20.61c_s^{-4} + 14.72c_s^{-4}\xi + 2.21 \frac{\lambda}{H^2\epsilon} + 2.30\xi \frac{\lambda}{H^2\epsilon}\right) T_{\mathcal{RS}}^2 + (0.37 + 0.38\xi) c_s^{-4} T_{\mathcal{RS}}^4, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Here the contributions t_4, t_5, t_6 come from diagram $\mathcal{I}_a, \mathcal{I}_b$ and \mathcal{I}_c respectively (see Appendix B for details). Comparing t_4, t_5, t_6 with t_1, t_2, t_3 , we can see that the scalar exchange diagram makes a nontrivial contribution to the trispectrum. As for the contact contributions, the contributions to the trispectrum from exchanging scalar modes can be enhanced by small sound speed(s), large $T_{\mathcal{RS}}$, large ξ , and large $\frac{\lambda}{H^2\epsilon}$.

4. Conclusion

In this note, we made a complementary calculation of the contributions to the trispectrum of primordial curvature perturbations from exchanging intermediate scalar modes in the context of generalized multi-field inflation, which completes the calculation of our previous investigation [104]. We choose regular tetrahedron limit to estimate the size of non-Gaussianity. The calculation presented in this work, together with [104], can be employed as the starting point for further analysis of the trispectrum of generalized multi-field inflatioun models, such as the shapes, squeezed limit [109, 110, 111] and estimators [112, 113, 114, 115, 118] etc. We would like to come back to these issues in the near future.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Miao Li, Yi Wang, Shinji Mukohyama for useful discussion, and thank Thorsten Battefeld for the careful reading of the manuscript and suggestions on improvement. XG is deeply grateful to Prof. Miao Li for his consistent encouragement and support. This work was partly supported by the NSFC grant No.10535060/A050207, a NSFC group grant No.10821504 and Ministry of Science and Technology 973 program under grant No.2007CB815401, and in part by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. CL is supported by Chinese Scholarship Council. CL would like to thank the hospitality of Perimeter Institute, where the paper was finalized when CL visited PI.

A. Coefficients in the interactional Hamiltonian

The variously introduced coefficients in (3.3) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Xi_\sigma &= \frac{4\lambda}{\sigma^3}, \\
 \Xi_c &= \frac{H\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}XP_{,X}} \left(\frac{1}{c_a^2 - 1} \right), \\
 \Upsilon_\sigma &= \frac{1}{H\sqrt{2\epsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{c_a^2 - 1} \right), \\
 \Upsilon_s &= \frac{\dot{\sigma}\xi}{XP_{,X}}, \\
 \Upsilon_c &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{H\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{c_e^2 - 1} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

B. Basic Integrals

The full expressions for the four-point functions are rather complicated. In this work, at the leading-order, all contributions to the four-point functions can be grouped into six basic integrals, which we denote as I_a , $I_b^{(1)}$, $I_b^{(2)}$, $I_b^{(3)}$, $I_c^{(1)}$ and $I_c^{(2)}$, and their ‘‘conjugate’’ which we define as below (see Fig. B).




Figure 1: Diagrammatic representation of the six basic integrals: I_a , $I_b^{(1)}$, $I_b^{(2)}$, $I_b^{(3)}$, $I_c^{(1)}$ and $I_c^{(2)}$. All the momenta configurations and τ_1, τ_2 are the same as in I_a . A red dot denotes the temporal derivative, a blue dot denote the spatial derivative or momentum in Fourier space, where a blue line between two dots represents the dot product.

From Fig.B, it is straightforward to read the expressions for these integrals, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 &I_a(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) \\
 \equiv & -\frac{1}{2H^2} \Re \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_2 G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{4H^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_2 G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where and in what follows $\partial_{1,2} \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau_{1,2}}$, $\partial_{12} \equiv \frac{d^2}{d\tau_1 d\tau_2}$ and in this appendix we denote $G_k(\tau_1, \tau_2) = u_k(\eta_1)u_k^*(\eta_2)$ with $u_k(\eta) = \frac{iH}{\sqrt{2k^3}}(1 + ik\eta)e^{-ik\eta}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_b^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4, k_5) \\
& \equiv -\frac{1}{2H^2}(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \Re \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_1) G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{4H^2}(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_b^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, k_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_5) \\
& \equiv -\frac{1}{2H^2}(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \Re \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_1 G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{4H^2}(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_1 G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_b^{(3)}(k_1, \mathbf{k}_2, k_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_5) \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{2H^2}(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \Re \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{4H^2}(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_c^{(1)}(k_1, k_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4, k_5) \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{2H^2}(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \Re \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_1) G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \\
& - \frac{1}{4H^2}(\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_c^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_5) \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{2H^2}(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \Re \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_1 G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \\
& - \frac{1}{4H^2}(\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_1 G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

It is useful to introduce the ‘‘conjugate’’ contributions, defined as follows. Up to the second-order in perturbation theory, there are two interaction vertices and thus two temporal integrals with respect to τ_1 and τ_2 respectively. We call two contributions (diagrams) are conjugate to each other with exchanging $\tau_1 \leftrightarrow \tau_2$ while keeping all the momenta relations. Having known the expression for a diagram, it is easy to write down the integral expression for its conjugate, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{I}_a(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_{12}) \\
& \equiv -\frac{1}{2H^2} \Re \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_2 G_{k_1}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_2 G_{k_2}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_1 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_1 G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_1) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{4H^2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \partial_1 G_{k_1}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_1 G_{k_2}(\tau_1, \tau) \partial_2 G_{k_3}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_2 G_{k_4}(\tau, \tau_2) \partial_{12} G_{k_5}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \right]^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where * denotes complex conjugate. It is analogous for the other conjugate integrals, which we do not write here for simplicity. Moreover, we introduce the combination of a contribution and its conjugate, e.g.

$$\mathcal{I}_a(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) \equiv [I_a + \tilde{I}_a](k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5). \tag{B.8}$$

Before we evaluate the integrals, it is useful to make it clear about the smallest set of integrals we need. There are two cases. For left-right asymmetric diagrams, e.g. $I_b^{(2)}$ (or $\tilde{I}_b^{(2)}$), we always encounter the combination $\mathcal{I}_b^{(2)} \equiv I_b^{(2)} + \tilde{I}_b^{(2)}$

rather than $\tilde{I}_b^{(2)}$ itself. While for the left-right symmetric diagrams, e.g. I_a, \tilde{I}_a is simply exchanging simultaneously $\mathbf{k}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_2 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{k}_4$. Thus, after the 6 permutations (which specify two momenta associated with τ_1 and other two momenta associated with τ_2) among the four extra momenta $\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_4$, the final contribution to the correlation function from I_a is equal to $\mathcal{I}_a/2$. Thus, what we really need is the following six basic integrals: $\mathcal{I}_a, \mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}, \mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}, \mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}, \mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}$.

Now we collect the final results for these integrals, in the limit of $\tau \rightarrow 0$. We find

$$\mathcal{I}_a(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) \equiv \frac{H^8 k_5}{16K^5} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{k_i} \right) \left[A(K_{12}, K_{34}, k_5) + \frac{K^5}{(K_{12} + k_5)^3 (K_{34} + k_5)^3} \right], \quad (\text{B.9})$$

with

$$A(s_1, s_2, r) \equiv \frac{10s_2^2 + (s_1 + 3r)(4s_2 + K) + 6r^2}{(s_2 + r)^3} + (s_1 \leftrightarrow s_2), \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where here and in what follows we denote $K_{ij} \equiv k_i + k_j$ and $K \equiv k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_b^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4, k_5) &= (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{H^8 k_5}{64K^5} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{k_i^3} \right) \\ &\times \left[\Gamma(K_{12}, K_{34}; k_1 k_2, k_3 k_4; J_{12}) + (12 \leftrightarrow 34) + K^5 F(K_{12}, k_5, k_1 k_2) F(K_{34}, k_5, k_3 k_4) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

with $J_{ij} \equiv K_{ij} - k_5$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s_1, s_2; q_1, q_2, t) &\equiv \frac{1}{(K-t)^3} \left\{ K^6 + K^5(-2t + s_1 + 2s_2) \right. \\ &+ K^4 [t(t - 2s_1) + 3(-t + s_1)s_2 + 2q_1 + 6q_2] \\ &+ K^3 [t^2(s_1 + s_2) + 8s_2 q_1 + 12s_1 q_2 - t(5s_1 s_2 + 4q_1 + 6q_2)] \\ &+ K^2 [2t(ts_1 s_2 + (t - 7s_2)q_1) + 2(t^2 - 8ts_1 + 20q_1)q_2] \\ &\left. + 6Kt [ts_2 q_1 + (ts_1 - 10q_1)q_2] + 24t^2 q_1 q_2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

and

$$F(s, t, q) \equiv \frac{2s^2 + 2q + 3st + t^2}{(s+t)^3}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_b^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, k_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_5) \\ &\equiv (\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2) (\mathbf{k}_4 \cdot \mathbf{k}_5) \left(\frac{k_3}{k_5} \right)^2 \frac{H^8 k_5}{64K^5} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{k_i^3} \right) \\ &\times \left[\Gamma(K_{12}, K_{45}; k_1 k_2, k_4 k_5; J_{12}) + \Gamma(\bar{K}_{45}, K_{12}; -k_4 k_5, k_1 k_2; J_{34}) + K^5 F(K_{12}, k_5, k_1 k_2) F(K_{45}, k_3, k_4 k_5) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

where $\bar{K}_{ij} \equiv k_i - k_j$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_b^{(3)}(k_1, \mathbf{k}_2, k_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_5) \\ &\equiv (-\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_5) (\mathbf{k}_4 \cdot \mathbf{k}_5) \frac{k_1^2 k_3^2}{k_5^3} \frac{H^8}{64K^5} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{k_i^3} \right) \\ &\times \left[\Gamma(\bar{K}_{25}, K_{45}; -k_2 k_5, k_4 k_5; J_{12}) + \Gamma(\bar{K}_{45}, K_{25}; -k_4 k_5, k_2 k_5; J_{34}) + K^5 F(K_{25}, k_1, k_2 k_5) F(K_{45}, k_3, k_4 k_5) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_c^{(1)}(k_1, k_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4, k_5) \\ &\equiv (\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{H^8}{32K^5} \frac{k_5}{k_1 k_2 k_3^3 k_4^3} \left[C(K_{34}, k_3 k_4, J_{12}) + \bar{C}(K_{34}, k_3 k_4, J_{34}) + \frac{K^5 F(K_{34}, k_5, k_3 k_4)}{(K_{12} + k_5)^3} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_c^{(2)}(k_1, k_2, k_3, \mathbf{k}_4, \mathbf{k}_5) \\ & \equiv (\mathbf{k}_5 \cdot \mathbf{k}_4) \frac{H^8}{32K^5} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4^3 k_5} \left[C(K_{45}, k_4 k_5, J_{12}) + \bar{C}(\bar{K}_{45}, -k_4 k_5, J_{34}) + \frac{K^5 F(K_{45}, k_3, k_4 k_5)}{(K_{12} + \tilde{k}_5)^3} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C(s, q, t) & \equiv \frac{K(K-t)[-t(K+3s) + K(K+4s)] + 2(10K^2 - 15Kt + 6t^2)q}{(K-t)^3}, \\ \bar{C}(s, q, t) & \equiv \frac{K(3K^2(K+2s) + t^2(K+3s) - Kt(3K+8s)) + 2(10K^2 - 15Kt + 6t^2)q}{(K-t)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

References

- [1] A. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981) 347.
- [2] A. D. Miller *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **524**, L1 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9906421].
- [3] P. de Bernardis *et al.* [Boomerang Collaboration], Nature **404**, 955 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/0004404].
- [4] S. Hanany *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **545**, L5 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/0005123].
- [5] N. W. Halverson *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **568**, 38 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0104489].
- [6] B. S. Mason *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **591**, 540 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0205384].
- [7] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **180**, 330 (2009) [arXiv:0803.0547 [astro-ph]].
- [8] E. Komatsu *et al.*, arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO].
- [9] D. Larson *et al.*, arXiv:1001.4635 [astro-ph.CO].
- [10] V. Mukhanov, and G. Chibisov, JETP **33**, 549 (1981).
- [11] A. H. Guth, and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1110 (1982).
- [12] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B **115**, 295 (1982).
- [13] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **117**, 175 (1982).
- [14] J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt, and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679 (1983).
- [15] E. Komatsu *et al.*, arXiv:0902.4759 [astro-ph.CO].
- [16] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. **402**, 103 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0406398].
- [17] J. M. Maldacena, JHEP **0305**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603].
- [18] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, JCAP **0506**, 003 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0503692].
- [19] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru and G. Shiu, JCAP **0701**, 002 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0605045].
- [20] X. Chen, M. x. Huang and G. Shiu, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 121301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0610235].
- [21] C. Cheung, P. Creminelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan and L. Senatore, JHEP **0803**, 014 (2008) [arXiv:0709.0293 [hep-th]].
- [22] X. Chen, R. Easther and E. A. Lim, JCAP **0706**, 023 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0611645].
- [23] X. Chen, R. Easther and E. A. Lim, JCAP **0804**, 010 (2008) [arXiv:0801.3295 [astro-ph]].
- [24] D. Seery, J. E. Lidsey and M. S. Sloth, JCAP **0701**, 027 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610210].
- [25] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, JCAP **0701**, 008 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0611034].
- [26] C. T. Byrnes, M. Sasaki and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 123519 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0611075].
- [27] F. Arroja and K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 083517 (2008) [arXiv:0802.1167 [hep-th]].
- [28] D. Seery, M. S. Sloth and F. Vernizzi, JCAP **0903**, 018 (2009) [arXiv:0811.3934 [astro-ph]].
- [29] X. Chen, B. Hu, M. x. Huang, G. Shiu and Y. Wang, JCAP **0908**, 008 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3494 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] F. Arroja, S. Mizuno, K. Koyama and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043527 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3641 [hep-th]].
- [31] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 209 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904075].
- [32] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 219 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904176].

- [33] N. Bartolo, M. Fasiello, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, *JCAP* **1008** (2010) 008 [arXiv:1004.0893 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [34] N. Bartolo, M. Fasiello, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, arXiv:1006.5411 [astro-ph.CO].
- [35] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103505 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0112261].
- [36] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, *JCAP* **0509**, 011 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0506056].
- [37] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 063523 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0336 [hep-th]].
- [38] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 061301 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3139 [hep-th]].
- [39] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel and D. A. Steer, *JCAP* **0904**, 021 (2009) [arXiv:0902.2941 [hep-th]].
- [40] S. Renaux-Petel, *JCAP* **0910** (2009) 012 [arXiv:0907.2476 [hep-th]].
- [41] X. Gao, *JCAP* **0806**, 029 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1055 [astro-ph]].
- [42] X. Gao and B. Hu, *JCAP* **0908** (2009) 012 [arXiv:0903.1920 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [43] F. Arroja, S. Mizuno and K. Koyama, *JCAP* **0808**, 015 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0619 [astro-ph]].
- [44] E. Kawakami, M. Kawasaki, K. Nakayama and F. Takahashi, *JCAP* **0909**, 002 (2009) [arXiv:0905.1552 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [45] S. Mizuno, F. Arroja, K. Koyama and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 023530 (2009) [arXiv:0905.4557 [hep-th]].
- [46] S. Mizuno, F. Arroja and K. Koyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 083517 [arXiv:0907.2439 [hep-th]].
- [47] J. L. Lehners and S. Renaux-Petel, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 063503 (2009) [arXiv:0906.0530 [hep-th]].
- [48] F. Bernardeau and J. P. Uzan, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 103506 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0207295].
- [49] D. Wands, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 043520 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0205253].
- [50] C. T. Byrnes, K. Y. Choi and L. M. H. Hall, *JCAP* **0810**, 008 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1101 [astro-ph]].
- [51] C. T. Byrnes, K. Y. Choi and L. M. H. Hall, *JCAP* **0902**, 017 (2009) [arXiv:0812.0807 [astro-ph]].
- [52] D. Battefeld and T. Battefeld, *JCAP* **0911** (2009) 010 [arXiv:0908.4269 [hep-th]].
- [53] D. Langlois, F. Vernizzi and D. Wands, *JCAP* **0812**, 004 (2008) [arXiv:0809.4646 [astro-ph]].
- [54] M. Kawasaki, K. Nakayama, T. Sekiguchi, T. Suyama and F. Takahashi, *JCAP* **0811**, 019 (2008) [arXiv:0808.0009 [astro-ph]].
- [55] D. Langlois and S. Renaux-Petel, *JCAP* **0804**, 017 (2008) [arXiv:0801.1085 [hep-th]].
- [56] G. I. Rigopoulos, E. P. S. Shellard and B. J. W. van Tent, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 083521 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0504508].
- [57] X. d. Ji and T. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 103525 (2009) [arXiv:0903.0379 [hep-th]].
- [58] S. Pi and T. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 043503 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3470 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [59] D. A. Easson, R. Gregory, D. F. Mota, G. Tasinato and I. Zavala, *JCAP* **0802**, 010 (2008) [arXiv:0709.2666 [hep-th]].
- [60] M. x. Huang, G. Shiu and B. Underwood, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 023511 (2008) [arXiv:0709.3299 [hep-th]].
- [61] Y. F. Cai and W. Xue, *Phys. Lett. B* **680**, 395 (2009) [arXiv:0809.4134 [hep-th]].
- [62] Y. F. Cai and H. Y. Xia, *Phys. Lett. B* **677**, 226 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0062 [hep-th]].
- [63] X. Gao, *JCAP* **1002** (2010) 019 [arXiv:0908.4035 [hep-th]].
- [64] T. Wang, arXiv:1008.3198 [astro-ph.CO].
- [65] A. S. Sakharov and M. Y. Khlopov, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **56** (1993) 412 [*Yad. Fiz.* **56N3** (1993) 220].
- [66] D. I. Kaiser and A. T. Todhunter, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 124037 [arXiv:1004.3805 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [67] C. M. Peterson and M. Tegmark, arXiv:1005.4056 [astro-ph.CO].
- [68] M. Sasaki, J. Valiviita and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 103003 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0607627].
- [69] K. A. Malik and D. H. Lyth, *JCAP* **0609**, 008 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604387].
- [70] Q. G. Huang and Y. Wang, *JCAP* **0809**, 025 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1168 [hep-th]].
- [71] Q. G. Huang, *JCAP* **0809**, 017 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1567 [hep-th]].
- [72] Q. G. Huang, *Phys. Lett. B* **669**, 260 (2008) [arXiv:0801.0467 [hep-th]].
- [73] S. Li, Y. F. Cai and Y. S. Piao, *Phys. Lett. B* **671**, 423 (2009) [arXiv:0806.2363 [hep-ph]].
- [74] K. Ichikawa, T. Suyama, T. Takahashi and M. Yamaguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 023513 (2008) [arXiv:0802.4138 [astro-ph]].
- [75] T. Kobayashi and S. Mukohyama, *JCAP* **0907**, 032 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2835 [hep-th]].

- [76] M. Li, C. Lin, T. Wang and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 063526 (2009) [arXiv:0805.1299 [astro-ph]].
- [77] L. Alabidi, JCAP **0610**, 015 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604611].
- [78] M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **120**, 159 (2008) [arXiv:0805.0974 [astro-ph]].
- [79] A. Naruko and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **121**, 193 (2009) [arXiv:0807.0180 [astro-ph]].
- [80] Q. G. Huang, JCAP **0905**, 005 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1542 [hep-th]].
- [81] Q. G. Huang, JCAP **0906**, 035 (2009) [arXiv:0904.2649 [hep-th]].
- [82] J. O. Gong, C. Lin and Y. Wang, JCAP **1003**, 004 (2010) [arXiv:0912.2796 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [83] C. Lin and Y. Wang, JCAP **1007** (2010) 011 [arXiv:1004.0461 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [84] J. Zhang, Y. F. Cai and Y. S. Piao, JCAP **1005** (2010) 001 [arXiv:0912.0791 [hep-th]].
- [85] Y. F. Cai and Y. Wang, arXiv:1005.0127 [hep-th].
- [86] D. M. Regan and E. P. S. Shellard, arXiv:0911.2491 [astro-ph.CO].
- [87] M. Hindmarsh, C. Ringeval and T. Suyama, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 083501 [arXiv:0908.0432 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [88] M. Hindmarsh, C. Ringeval and T. Suyama, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 063505 [arXiv:0911.1241 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [89] J. Kumar, L. Leblond and A. Rajaraman, JCAP **1004** (2010) 024 [arXiv:0909.2040 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [90] H. R. S. Cogollo, Y. Rodriguez and C. A. Valenzuela-Toledo, JCAP **0808** (2008) 029 [arXiv:0806.1546 [astro-ph]].
- [91] Y. Rodriguez and C. A. Valenzuela-Toledo, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 023531 [arXiv:0811.4092 [astro-ph]].
- [92] P. D. Meerburg, J. P. van der Schaar and P. S. Corasaniti, JCAP **0905** (2009) 018 [arXiv:0901.4044 [hep-th]].
- [93] P. D. Meerburg, J. P. van der Schaar and M. G. Jackson, JCAP **1002** (2010) 001 [arXiv:0910.4986 [hep-th]].
- [94] Q. G. Huang, JCAP **1007** (2010) 025 [arXiv:1004.0808 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [95] K. Izumi and S. Mukohyama, JCAP **1006** (2010) 016 [arXiv:1004.1776 [hep-th]].
- [96] X. Chen and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 063511 [arXiv:0909.0496 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [97] X. Chen and Y. Wang, JCAP **1004**, 027 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3380 [hep-th]].
- [98] C. A. Valenzuela-Toledo and Y. Rodriguez, Phys. Lett. B **685** (2010) 120 [arXiv:0910.4208 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [99] N. Bartolo, E. Dimastrogiovanni, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, JCAP **0911** (2009) 028 [arXiv:0909.5621 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [100] N. Bartolo, E. Dimastrogiovanni, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, JCAP **0910** (2009) 015 [arXiv:0906.4944 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [101] C. A. Valenzuela-Toledo, Y. Rodriguez and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 103519 [arXiv:0909.4064 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [102] E. Dimastrogiovanni, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, arXiv:1001.4049 [astro-ph.CO].
- [103] M. Karciuskas, K. Dimopoulos and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 023509 [arXiv:0812.0264 [astro-ph]].
- [104] X. Gao, M. Li and C. Lin, JCAP **0911**, 007 (2009) [arXiv:0906.1345 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [105] X. Chen, B. Hu, M. x. Huang, G. Shiu and Y. Wang, JCAP **0908**, 008 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3494 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [106] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. **215**, 203 (1992).
- [107] R. H. Brandenberger, Lect. Notes Phys. **646**, 127 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0306071].
- [108] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 043514 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506236].
- [109] P. Creminelli and M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP **0410** (2004) 006 [arXiv:astro-ph/0407059].
- [110] J. Ganc and E. Komatsu, arXiv:1006.5457 [astro-ph.CO].
- [111] S. Renaux-Petel, arXiv:1008.0260 [astro-ph.CO].
- [112] J. R. Fergusson, M. Liguori and E. P. S. Shellard, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 023502 [arXiv:0912.5516 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [113] D. Munshi, A. Heavens, A. Cooray, J. Smidt, P. Coles and P. Serra, arXiv:0910.3693 [astro-ph.CO].
- [114] S. Mizuno and K. Koyama, arXiv:1007.1462 [hep-th].
- [115] D. M. Regan and E. P. S. Shellard, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 023520 [arXiv:1004.2915 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [116] T. Battefeld and R. Easther, JCAP **0703** (2007) 020 [arXiv:astro-ph/0610296].
- [117] D. Battefeld and T. Battefeld, JCAP **0705** (2007) 012 [arXiv:hep-th/0703012].
- [118] J. Smidt, A. Amblard, C. T. Byrnes, A. Cooray, A. Heavens and D. Munshi, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 123007 [arXiv:1004.1409 [astro-ph.CO]].