

# SOAR Imaging of sub-Damped Lyman- $\alpha$ systems at $z < 1$ .

Joseph D. Meiring<sup>1\*</sup>, James T. Lauroesch<sup>1</sup>, Lutz Habertzettl<sup>1</sup>,  
 Varsha P. Kulkarni<sup>2</sup>, Céline Péroux<sup>3</sup>, Pushpa Khare<sup>4</sup>, & Donald G. York<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, Ky 40292 USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, OAMP, Université Aix-Marseille & CNRS, 13388 Marseille cedex 13, France*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, 751004, India*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

Accepted ... Received ...; in original form ...

## ABSTRACT

We present deep ground based imaging of the environments of five QSOs that contain sub-Damped Lyman- $\alpha$  systems at  $z < 1$  with the SOAR telescope and SOI camera. We detect a clear surplus of galaxies in these small fields, supporting the assumption that we are detecting the galaxies responsible for the absorption systems. Assuming these galaxies are at the redshift of the absorption line systems, we detect luminous  $L > L^*$  galaxies for four of the five fields within  $10''$  of the QSO. In contrast to previous imaging surveys of DLA systems at these redshifts, which indicate a range of morphological types and luminosities for the host galaxies of the systems, the galaxies we detect in these sub-DLA fields appear to be luminous ( $L \gtrsim L^*$ ). In the case of the absorber towards Q1009–0026 at  $z_{abs} = 0.8866$  we have spectroscopic confirmation that the candidate galaxy is at the redshift of the absorber, at an impact parameter of  $\sim 35$  kpc with a luminosity of  $3 \lesssim L/L^* \lesssim 8$  depending on the magnitude of the  $K$ -correction. These observations are in concordance with the view that sub-DLAs may be more representative of massive galaxies than DLA systems. The environments of the absorbers span a range of types, from the inner disk of a galaxy, the periphery of a luminous galaxy, and the outskirts of interacting galaxies. The large impact parameters to some of the candidate galaxies suggest that galactic outflows or tidal tails are likely responsible for the material seen in absorption. We find a weak correlation between  $N_{\text{H I}}$  and the impact parameter at the  $2\sigma$  level, which may be expected from the heterogeneous population of galaxies hosting the absorption line systems and random orientation angles. In addition, we detect a possible gravitationally lensed image of the BL-Lac object Q0826-2230.

**Key words:** Quasars: absorption lines

## 1 INTRODUCTION

One of the principal manners in which to study high redshift galaxies is through the use of Quasar (QSO) absorption line systems. These absorption line systems with the largest  $N_{\text{H I}}$  as measured by the Lyman- $\alpha$   $\lambda$  1215 line are classified into two groups, the sub-Damped Lyman- $\alpha$  systems (sub-DLAs:  $19.0 < \log N_{\text{H I}} < 20.3 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ) and Damped Lyman- $\alpha$  systems (DLAs:  $\log N_{\text{H I}} > 20.3 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ). Although it is relatively easy to obtain high S/N spectra of QSOs with the large telescopes and sensitive high resolution spectrographs

available today, much of the difficulty in interpreting the data from spectroscopic observations of QSO absorbers is that the environment in which the absorbing gas is residing is almost always unknown. There have been many studies aimed at imaging of DLAs (e.g., Le Brun et al. 1997; Kulkarni et al. 2000, 2001; Warren et al. 2001; Rao et al. 2003; Christensen et al. 2004, 2005; Chen et al. 2003, 2005). However, many of these studies have resulted in non-detections, or detections of dwarf or low surface brightness galaxies. Higher redshift systems ( $z > 1$ ) are increasingly difficult to detect, with only  $\sim 10$  percent of the host galaxies of DLAs identified.

The non-detection of luminous galaxies in most DLAs searched for, together with the low metallicities and weak

\* Current Address: Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, 01003

metallicity evolution determined via the absorption lines of undepleted elements such as S or Zn in the DLA systems (e.g. Prochaska & Wolfe 2002; Kulkarni et al. 2005; Meiring et al. 2006) suggests that while these objects do contain the majority of neutral gas in the Universe (Wolfe et al. 1995), they may be tracing mainly star formation in lower mass galaxies. Chemical evolution models of dwarf galaxies predict low metallicity that slowly evolves until more recent times (Pagel & Tautvaisiene 1998).

We have recently completed a survey of 31 sub-DLAs at  $z < 1.5$  using the Magellan Inamori Kyocera Echelle (MIKE) and Ultraviolet Visual Echelle Spectrograph (UVES) (Meiring et al. 2007, 2008, 2009A,B; Kulkarni et al. 2007; Péroux et al. 2006a,b, 2008). At odds with the typically low metallicities seen in DLA systems, several of the sub-DLAs in the sample showed near solar or above solar metallicity (i.e.  $[Zn/H] \gtrsim 0.0$ ). In fact, a trend towards increasing metallicity with decreasing  $N_{HI}$  is seen over three decades in  $N_{HI}$  (Meiring et al. 2009A; Khare et al. 2007).

Several possibilities exist to explain such a trend: 1) there is a dust obscuration bias that limits the number of observable metal rich DLAs to be observed as the amount of dust increases with metallicity (Boisse et al. 1998; Vladilo & Péroux 2005); 2) the low H I column densities in the sub-DLA regime are a result of conversion of neutral hydrogen into molecular gas, which is forming stars and producing the metals; 3) the lower H I column densities are a result of increased ionisation from star forming regions that produce metals that enrich the surrounding areas; 4) sub-DLAs are *intrinsically* more likely to arise in massive galaxies which are typically more metal rich (Khare et al. 2007). In all likelihood, it is possible that all of these effects are at work together to produce the observed trend.

Few sub-DLAs have been directly imaged to date (Chen et al. 2005; Straka et al. 2010; Christensen et al. 2005). With this in mind, we have started a program to conduct follow up imaging of sub-DLAs at  $z < 1.0$  to determine the nature of these systems. Here, we present deep SOAR imaging of the five QSO fields Q0826-2230, SDSS J1009-0026, SDSS J1228+1018, SDSS J1323-0021, and SDSS J1436-0051. The structure of this paper is as follows: the observations are discussed in § 2, notes on the individual fields are given in § 3, results of the observations are given in § 4, and a discussion is given in § 5. Spectra of the QSOs showing the absorption lines can be found in Meiring et al. (2007, 2008, 2009B); Péroux et al. (2006a).

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 2.1 Observations

These data were obtained with the 4.1m Southern Observatories Astrophysics Research (SOAR) telescope located at Cerro Pachón, and the Soar Optical Imager (SOI) camera during February and March 2009. Roughly 1.5 nights were lost due to weather during the 4 night run. The SOI camera has a pixel scale of  $\sim 0.154$  arcsec  $\text{pix}^{-1}$  with  $2 \times 2$  binning, which was used throughout the observations. The two  $2k \times 4k$  detectors are arranged in a mosaic configuration with a  $\sim 8$  arcsec gap between the detectors. The total field of view of this instrument is  $5.25' \times 5.25'$ .

Each field was observed in some combination of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) (York et al. 2000)  $g, r, i, z$  filter set. The targets in this investigation were selected mainly due to visibility at the SOAR telescope and the redshift of the absorber, favoring the lower redshift systems in our prior spectroscopic sample to increase the chances of detections. Exposure times in each band and coordinates of the background QSO are given in Table 1. Each exposure was dithered by  $\sim 10$  arcsec to fill the gap between the detectors and minimize the effects of bad pixels. Individual exposure times ranged from 300 to 900 seconds, depending on the magnitude of the background QSO. Series of twilight sky flats were taken at the beginning of each night for each filter and used for the flat-field images.

We have reduced these data with the THELI pipeline available at <http://www.astro.uni-bonn.de/~misha/theli.html> (Erben et al. 2005). THELI is a multipurpose pipeline configurable for many mosaic imagers. Data reduction proceeds with typical steps for imaging data. The mosaic frames are first split, then overscan corrected and trimmed. Next, the frames are bias corrected. A flat field image is made from the twilight exposures taken with the same filter used for the science frames. The data are then processed and a superflat is created from the smoothed data and a fringe model is also created for fields observed in the  $i$  and  $z$  bands. The fringe model is then scaled and subtracted from the calibrated data.

We used the SCAMP package for astrometric calibration and SWARP for combining the individual frames. Both of these packages can be found at <http://terapix.iap.fr/>. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) astrometric catalog was used for all fields except for Q0826-2230, for which we used the 2 Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) for astrometric references. The fields were photometrically calibrated from the SDSS catalogues for the fields with multiple sources with  $m < 20.0$  detected in both the SDSS images and these data. The photometric zero point for the field of Q0826-2230, which is outside of the SDSS footprint was calibrated by determining the slope of the best fit line between the photometric zero points and airmasses of these fields as well as four others not published here. SEXTRACTOR version 2.5.0 was used for object identification and final catalog creation (Bertin & Arnout 1996). A  $7 \times 7$  convolution mask of a gaussian PSF with FWHM of 4 pixels was used to filter the images during object detection. Only objects greater than  $3\sigma$  above the background noise were included in the final catalogs, with a minimum area of ten pixels. Magnitudes given in the text are isophotal, and centroid positions are windowed. The magnitudes have been corrected for Galactic extinction using the online calculator available at <http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/forms/calculator.html>. All magnitudes given here are in the AB system.

Three band colour images were also made of the frames using the prescription detailed in Lupton et al. (2004). The images were aligned in pixel space, and a scaling was applied to each channel that attempted to match the colours of the objects seen in the SDSS images.

**Table 1.** Table of observations.

Q0826–2230				
$z_{em} > 0.911$ , $\alpha = 08 : 26 : 01.5$ , $\delta = -22 : 30 : 26.2$				
	<i>g</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>z</i>
Integration Time (s)	600	3300	3000	5400
FWHM (")	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.25
Limiting Mag.	24.5	25.0	25.0	23.5
SDSS J1009–0026				
$z_{em} = 1.241$ , $\alpha = 10 : 09 : 30.40$ , $\delta = -00 : 26 : 19.1$				
	<i>g</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>z</i>
Integration Time (s)	6900	3600	5400	2700
FWHM "	0.9	0.8	1.1	1.1
Lim. Magnitude	26.0	25.5	25.0	23.5
SDSS J1228+1018				
$z_{em} = 2.306$ , $\alpha = 12 : 28 : 36.80$ , $\delta = +10 : 18 : 41.7$				
	<i>g</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>z</i>
Integration Time (s)	–	3600	3600	8100
FWHM "	–	0.8	0.8	1.5
Lim. Magnitude	–	25.0	24.5	23.0
SDSS J1323–0021				
$z_{em} = 1.388$ , $\alpha = 13 : 23 : 23.78$ , $\delta = -00 : 21 : 55.3$				
	<i>g</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>z</i>
Integration Time (s)	2100	3600	4500	–
FWHM "	0.7	0.8	0.7	–
Lim. Magnitude	25.5	25.5	25.0	–
SDSS J1436–0051				
$z_{em} = 1.275$ , $\alpha = 14 : 36 : 45.03$ , $\delta = -00 : 51 : 50.6$				
	<i>g</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>z</i>
Integration Time (s)	7200	3600	4800	3600
FWHM "	0.8	0.8	0.6	1.4
Lim. Magnitude	26.5	25.5	25.0	24.0

## 2.2 PSF Subtraction

Galaxies at small radii to the background QSO may become visible after PSF subtraction. With the  $5' \times 5'$  field of view of the instrument, several suitable point sources are available in each image for reconstructing the point spread function (PSF) of the instrument. The PSF did not vary significantly over the field of view of the instrument. Factors such as slightly different astrometric solutions and distortions determined for the individual frames as well as tracking jitters due to wind can lead to non-ideal PSFs in the final combined frames. We have used the DAOPHOT package in IRAF to create model PSFs using multiple stars in the images. The Moffat profile was used with  $\beta = 2.5$ , which provided the best fitting model of the PSF compared to other options. A 30 pixel wide aperture was used in all cases.

This model PSF was then used to interactively subtract the QSO in the images using the IDP3 package in IDL. IDP3 is available at <http://mips.as.arizona.edu/MIPS/IDP3/> for download. The PSF is interactively shifted and scaled to optimally subtract the object of interest.

In the case of Q0826–2230, the peak brightness of the QSO itself in the *r* and *i* bands was well above the level where the CCDs in the mosaic perform linearly. As such, the PSF needed to be slightly over-subtracted for a proper fit in these images. Accurate PSF subtraction however was not a main goal of these observations, rather deep imaging in multiple bands to allow for galaxy colours to be determined was deemed a more important intent of these observations.

## 3 COMMENTS ON THE INDIVIDUAL FIELDS

### 3.1 Q0826–2230: $z_{em} > 0.9110$ $z_{abs} = 0.9110$


Q0826–2230 is a BL-Lac object with no known redshift due to the lack of any emission lines, although the absorption system at  $z_{abs} = 0.9110$  does give a lower limit to the redshift of this object (Falomo 1990; Meiring et al. 2007). The sub-DLA in the spectrum of this object has  $\log N_{\text{HI}} = 19.04 \pm 0.04$  (e.g. Rao, Turnshek & Nestor 2006), and a high metallicity of  $[\text{Zn}/\text{H}] = +0.68 \pm 0.08$  with a kinematical width (defined as the width of the profile where the inner 90 per cent of the absorption occurs) of  $\Delta v_{90} = 278 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  based on our earlier high resolution spectra (Meiring et al. 2007).

This field was observed with the SDSS *g, r, i, z* filters. Exposure times for each filter are given in Table 1. The final calibrated frames are shown in the top row of Figure 1, and the colour image composed of the *g, r,* and *i* images is shown in Figure 2. The seeing in the final combined images is  $\sim 1.0, 1.0, 0.7,$  and  $1.25$  arcsec in the *g, r, i,* and *z* filters respectively.

Several objects are detected within 10 arcsec of the QSO, corresponding to  $\sim 78$  kpc at  $z_{abs} = 0.9110$ . As this object is near the plane of the Milky Way disk ( $l = 243.99$ ,  $b = +8.93$ ), the stellar density is high in the region. An object 1.4 arcsec to the west of the QSO is seen most clearly in the *i* band image. A Point Spread Function (PSF) model of ten stars near the QSO was constructed by fitting 2-d Moffat profiles to the individual stars and an average PSF was created that was used to subtract the QSO from the *i* band image. The PSF subtracted *i* band image is shown in last column of Figure 1.

Limiting magnitudes of  $\sim 24.5, 25, 25$  and  $23.5$  were reached in the *g, r, i, z* filters in the exposure times given in Table 1. Objects labelled four and five in Figure 1 are the only possible objects that could be galaxies at  $z \sim 0.9$ , objects three and six are certainly stars. Assuming object 3 is at the redshift of the absorber,  $L \gtrsim 10 L_{\star}$  making it unlikely to be the host galaxy of the absorption system due to the extreme luminosity and the paucity of such galaxies. HYPERZ (Bolzonella, Miralles & Pelló 2000) was used to determine photometric redshifts for objects four and five of  $z_{phot} = 0.66 \pm 0.10, 0.62 \pm 0.14$  respectively. Magnitudes for the objects are given in Table 2.

Object labelled number two in Figure 1, located  $\sim 1.5$  arcsec west of the brighter object is more clearly visible in the PSF subtracted image shown in right column of Figure 1. There are several suggestions that this QSO may be gravitationally lensed. The colours of this object are quite similar to the main object, the QSO has a large luminosity ( $M_i \lesssim -28.2$ ) indicative of magnification, and the side-by-side lensing pattern is the most commonly found lensing



**Figure 1.** Final coadded frames for the five QSO fields of this sample. Objects from the catalogues are marked in the *i* band image. North is up and east is left in each image. Each thumbnail image is  $20''$  across, corresponding to  $\sim 150$  kpc at  $z = 0.8$ . The PSF subtracted image of each field is shown in the rightmost column.

pattern for QSOs (Kochanek, Schneider P., 2004). Falomo (1990) also noted that this QSO is analogous to other lensed objects. Hubble Space Telescope imaging would likely reveal if this object is indeed gravitationally lensed.

### 3.2 Q1009–0026: $z_{em}=1.241$ $z_{abs}=0.8426, 0.8866$

There are two sub-DLAs in the spectrum of this QSO. One system has an absorption redshift of  $z_{abs}=0.8426$  with a low metallicity ( $[Zn/H] < -0.98$  and  $[Fe/H] = -1.28$  and a kinematical width of  $\Delta v_{90} = 36 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  Meiring et al. (2007)) and  $\log N_{HI} = 20.20 \pm 0.06$  (Rao, Turnshek & Nestor 2006), and a second system at  $z_{abs}=0.8866$  has a high metallicity ( $[Zn/H] = +0.25 \pm 0.06$  and  $[Fe/H] = -0.58$  with

$\Delta v_{90} = 94 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  Meiring et al. (2007)) and  $\log N_{HI} = 19.48$  (Rao, Turnshek & Nestor 2006).

This field was observed in the *griz* filters. Exposure times are given in Table 1. The final combined frames are shown in the second row of Figure 1, and the colour combined image composed of the *gri* frames is shown in Figure 2. The seeing in the final combined frames was  $\sim 0.9, 0.8, 1.1$ , and  $1.1$  arcsec in the *g, r, i*, and *z* filters respectively. Limiting magnitudes of  $\sim 26, 25.5, 25$ , and  $23.5$  were reached in the *g, r, i, z* filters.

One object is detected in the images within 10 arcsec of the QSO, 4.6 arcsec to the west of the QSO (corresponding to a comoving impact parameter of  $\sim 35$  kpc at  $z=0.8426$  or  $\sim 36$  kpc at  $z=0.8866$ ). This object has been confirmed to be at  $z = 0.8866$  via integral field unit (IFU) observations

(Péroux et al. 2010). The HYPERZ software package was used to determine a photometric redshift of  $z_{phot} = 0.79 \pm 0.08$ .

We note that photometric redshifts for star forming galaxies are difficult due to the flatness of their spectrum, and four band photometry is a minimum requirement for determining photometric redshifts. Additional photometric points in the Infra-red (IR) and near-UV (NUV) help to tightly constrain photometric redshifts (Margonier, Connolly & Tyson 2005). No candidate galaxies for the  $z_{abs}=0.8426$  system are observed in the field. The limiting  $i$  band magnitude of  $\sim 25$  corresponds to a limiting luminosity of this galaxy of  $L \lesssim 0.1L^*$  in the observed frame  $i$  band. No object at  $z \sim 0.8426$  was observed in the IFU observations of this QSO either (Péroux et al. 2010). The only other possible source in this field is a faint object south of the QSO which is minimally visible in the  $r$  and  $i$  frames, as well as the colour combined frame in Figure 2. The object was not detected at  $> 2\sigma$  with SEXTRACTOR however, and was not included in the final catalogue. A second possibility is that the  $z = 0.8426$  galaxy could be at a small impact parameter to the QSO and not have significant star formation. The previous IFU observations would not likely detect such a galaxy, and higher quality PSF subtraction or space based imaging would be necessary to rule out such a scenario.

### 3.3 Q1228+1018: $z_{em}=2.306$ $z_{abs}=0.9376$

A sub-DLA system is observed in the spectrum of this QSO at  $z_{abs}=0.9376$  with  $\log N_{HI} = 19.41 \pm 0.04$  (Rao, Turnshek & Nestor 2006). Although the Zn II  $\lambda\lambda$  2026,2062 lines were not detected in our earlier spectra, the system does have a high metallicity based on the depleted element Fe of  $[Fe/H] = -0.31 \pm 0.02$  and a kinematical width  $\Delta v_{90} = 116$  km s $^{-1}$  (Meiring et al. 2008).

This field was observed in the  $riz$  filters with the exposure times given in Table 1. The final combined frames are shown in the third row of Figure 1, and the colour combined image composed of the  $riz$  frames is shown in Figure 2. The seeing in the final combined frames was  $\sim 0.8''$ ,  $0.8''$ , and  $1.5''$  in the  $r$ ,  $i$ , and  $z$  filters respectively. Limiting magnitudes of  $\sim 25.0$ ,  $24.5$ , and  $23$  were reached in the  $r$ ,  $i$ ,  $z$  filters.

Two galaxies are seen northwest of the QSO at projected impact parameters of 38 and 66 kpc with  $i$  band magnitudes of 22.08 and 22.70 respectively. Two other objects are also seen in the  $r$  band image of this field, but they were not detected above a  $2\sigma$  significance with SEXTRACTOR and are not included in the final object catalogues.

### 3.4 Q1323-0021: $z_{em}=1.388$ $z_{abs}=0.7160$

A metal rich sub-DLA system is observed in the spectrum of this QSO at  $z_{abs}=0.7160$  with  $\log N_{HI} = 20.21 \pm 0.20$  (Péroux et al. 2006a). From high resolution VLT spectra of this object, metallicities based on Zn of  $[Zn/H] = +0.61 \pm 0.20$  and based on Fe of  $[Fe/H] = -0.51 \pm 0.20$  and a kinematical width  $\Delta v_{90} = 91$  km s $^{-1}$  have been determined (Khare et al. 2004; Péroux et al. 2006a).

This field was observed in the  $gri$  filters with the exposure times given in Table 1. The final combined frames are shown in the fourth row of Figure 1, and the colour combined

image composed of the  $gri$  frames is shown in Figure 2. The seeing in the final combined frames was  $\sim 0.7$ ,  $0.8$ , and  $0.7''$  in the  $g$ ,  $r$ , and  $i$  filters respectively. Limiting magnitudes of  $\sim 25.5$ ,  $25.5$ , and  $25$  were reached in the  $g$ ,  $r$ ,  $i$  filters.

Three objects are detected in the field, with one object detected in the  $i$  band image to the northeast of the QSO. After PSF subtraction of the QSO, the nearby object is more visible (labelled 2 in Figure 1, and is in the same position as the object that was detected in the  $K$  band IR imaging of this QSO in Hewett & Wild (2007) and adaptive optics imaging of Chun et al. (2010). The quality of the PSF subtraction of the QSO is not adequate to obtain a magnitude of this object in the  $i$  band image, and we note only its position in Table 2.

It is interesting to note that no H- $\alpha$  emission is detected in this galaxy (Péroux et al. 2010). The lack of H- $\alpha$  emission and the non-detections in the  $g$  and  $r$  images of this field (even though the seeing and depths were similar) indicates that this galaxy is of moderately early type, with few young stars producing emission in the near UV and u band at the redshift of the absorber. Indeed, Péroux et al. (2010) determine a SFR of  $< 0.1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for this object based on the non-detection of H- $\alpha$ . Magnitudes for the remaining objects are also given in Table 2.

### 3.5 Q1436-0051: $z_{em}=1.275$ $z_{abs}=0.7377, 0.9281$


Two systems are observed in the spectrum of this QSO at  $z_{abs}=0.7377$  and  $0.9281$  with  $\log N_{HI} = 20.08 \pm 0.11$  and  $\log N_{HI} < 18.8$  respectively. Both systems have high metallicities, with the lower redshift system at  $z_{abs}=0.7377$  having  $[Zn/H] = -0.05 \pm 0.12$  and  $[Fe/H] = -0.61 \pm 0.11$  with  $\Delta v_{90} = 71$  km s $^{-1}$ , while the system at  $z_{abs}=0.9281$  is highly enriched with  $[Zn/H] > +0.86$  and  $[Fe/H] > -0.07$  and  $\Delta v_{90} = 62$  km s $^{-1}$ . Both systems show Ca II  $\lambda\lambda$  3934 absorption lines, with  $[Ca/Fe] = -0.98 \pm 0.03$  and  $[Ca/Fe] = -0.79 \pm 0.04$  for the  $z_{abs}=0.7377$  and  $z_{abs}=0.9281$  systems respectively (Meiring et al. 2008).

The field of this QSO was observed in the  $griz$  filters with the exposure times given in Table 1. The final combined frames are shown in fifth row of Figure 1, and the colour combined image composed of the  $gri$  frames is shown in Figure 2. The seeing in the final combined frames was  $\sim 0.8$ ,  $0.8$ ,  $0.6$  and  $1.4$  arcsec in the  $g$ ,  $r$ ,  $i$ , and  $z$  filters respectively. Limiting magnitudes of  $\sim 26.5$ ,  $25.5$ ,  $25$ , and  $24$  were reached in the  $g$ ,  $r$ ,  $i$ ,  $z$  filters.

Several objects are detected within 10 arcsec of the QSO, as can be seen in Figure 1. Objects labelled 5, 6 and 7 are the brightest galaxies in the region, with  $m_i = 23.86$ ,  $m_i = 20.90$  and  $m_i = 21.89$ . Object 6 and 7 appear to be interacting. All of these three objects have relatively flat spectral energy distributions, typical of actively star forming galaxies at the redshift of the absorbers. Photometric redshifts for these three objects determine  $z_{phot} \sim 0.70$ , consistent with these being members of a group. We note that the  $1\sigma$  error on the photometric redshift of object 5 is  $\sim 0.11$ , i.e. the photometric redshift determined from HYPERZ is only  $2\sigma$  away from the redshift of the higher  $z$  system at  $z_{abs}=0.9281$ . The extremely blue colors of objects labelled three and four in Figure 1 likely exclude these galaxies of being at the redshift of either absorber. HYPERZ determines

QSO	ID	$\Delta\alpha$ "	$\Delta\delta$ "	$m_g$	$m_r$	$m_i$	$m_z$
Q0826–2230	1	–	–	16.18±0.01	15.74±0.01	15.50±0.01	15.36±0.01
	2 <sup>a</sup>	1.5	0.2	19.16±0.10	18.73±0.10	18.53±0.10	18.52±0.10
	3	–0.8	6.0	20.90±0.05	29.88±0.03	19.51±0.03	19.45±0.05
	4	3.2	–3.8	22.61±0.12	21.44±0.06	20.57±0.05	20.31±0.07
	5	6.1	–7.1	>24.50	23.46±0.16	22.10±0.10	22.58±0.19
	6	7.1	7.6	18.24±0.02	17.69±0.01	17.48±0.01	17.58±0.02
Q1009–0026	1	–	–	17.97±0.02	17.59±0.01	17.63±0.02	17.70±0.03
	2	4.6	0.1	22.42±0.13	21.86±0.10	21.00±0.08	20.83±0.12
Q1228+1018	1	–	–	–	18.25±0.01	18.12±0.02	17.92±0.02
	2	4.1	2.4	–	22.93±0.12	22.08±0.09	22.00±0.15
	3	8.4	1.3	–	23.18±0.13	22.70±0.13	22.93±0.23
Q1323–0021	1	–	–	18.24±0.01	17.65±0.01	17.41±0.01	–
	2	–0.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.1 <sup>b</sup>	–	–	–	–
	3	–7.1	–3.4	24.16±0.20	23.78±0.17	23.60±0.19	–
	4	–7.3	5.7	24.43±0.23	23.43±0.14	23.16±0.15	–
	5	7.7	–3.4	25.12±0.32	23.87±0.17	23.13±0.15	–
Q1436–0051	1	–	–	18.61±0.02	18.15±0.01	18.07±0.01	18.04±0.02
	2	–9.2	0.2	26.17±0.37	24.99±0.29	24.09±0.24	>24.00
	3	–4.7	1.6	25.89±0.45	25.18±0.32	24.91±0.35	>24.00
	4	–4.5	8.0	24.33±0.22	23.92±0.18	23.65±0.20	>24.00
	5	–2.2	3.9	23.72±0.17	22.95±0.12	22.14±0.10	21.97±0.15
	6	2.8	–5.5	22.49±0.10	21.62±0.06	20.82±0.05	20.69±0.08
	7	4.7	–5.7	23.24±0.14	22.44±0.09	21.81±0.08	21.82±0.14
	8	5.9	6.6	25.43±0.37	24.55±0.24	23.50±0.18	>24.00

**Table 2.** AB magnitudes for the objects labeled in Figure 1. The QSO itself is listed as object 1 in each field. Magnitudes given are the isophotal values given from SEXTRACTOR for objects detected above a  $3\sigma$  significance. Limiting magnitudes are given for objects not detected in a given band. <sup>a</sup> Magnitudes for this object were determined from fitting the profile of the object after subtraction of the brighter object. <sup>b</sup> This object is detected in the PSF subtracted  $i$  band image, but the PSF subtraction is not adequate to determine a magnitude.



**Figure 2.** Colour combined RGB images of the fields. In all cases, the  $g$ ,  $r$ , and  $i$  frames were used in the RGB channels, except for Q1228+1018 for which the  $r$ ,  $i$ , and  $z$  frames were used.

photometric redshifts of  $z_{phot} = 0.25 \pm 0.10, 0.18 \pm 0.10$  respectively.


## 4 RESULTS

### 4.1 Luminosities and $K$ -corrections

In order to determine the absolute magnitudes of the galaxies observed in these fields,  $K$  corrections must be applied for an accurate estimate. We have determined  $i$ -band  $K$  corrections for these galaxies assuming both a starburst type galaxy and an Sa type galaxy, with the templates originating from the SDSS galaxy spectra template libraries available at <http://www.sdss.org/dr7/algorithms/spectemplates/index.html>. Although in principle the galaxies hosting the absorbers in question could be of early type, the fact that there

is significant continuum emission at short wavelengths apparent from the  $g$  band images and flat spectral energy distributions for these galaxies indicates that star formation is currently ongoing in these systems. Hence, we have chosen to include only the templates for these star forming galaxies for the  $K$  corrections. Assuming an early type galaxy spectrum would increase the absolute magnitudes of these galaxies. The  $K$  corrected absolute magnitudes are given in Table 4.1, assuming that each galaxy is at the redshift of the absorber. The value of  $M_i^* = -21.59$  from Blanton et al. (2003) was used for determining luminosities.

In total, the fields of Q1009–0026, Q1228+1018, Q1323–0021, and Q1436–0051 all contain  $L > L^*$  galaxies within 75 kpc projected impact parameters. Could these galaxies be unrelated field galaxies that just happen to lie near the sightline to the QSOs? This is a persistent question



**Figure 3.** The expected number of galaxies with  $L > 0.1L^*$  in a pencil beam of area  $100 \text{ arcsec}^2$  as a function of redshift, assuming the Schechter luminosity function parameters from Blanton et al. (2003).


when imaging QSO absorbers, which can ultimately only be settled with followup spectroscopy to confirm the redshifts of the galaxies. However, the probability of observing an  $L > 0.1L^*$  galaxy in a small projected diameter pencil beam survey such as this is quite small. Assuming the Schechter function parameters of the  $i$  band galaxy luminosity distribution from Blanton et al. (2003) of  $M_i^* = -21.59$ ,  $\phi^* = 0.005 \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$ , and  $\alpha = -1.00$ , we have estimated the number of galaxies with  $L > 0.1L^*$  that will be intercepted in a random  $100 \text{ arcsec}^2$  field, shown in Figure 3 as a function of redshift. As can be seen, we expect to intercept less than one  $L > 0.1L^*$  galaxy in a random  $100 \text{ arcsec}^2$  field for  $z < 1.5$ . Hence, the likelihood of each of these fields containing bright galaxies not associated with the hosts of the sub-DLAs are very small. Using the luminosity function parameters at  $z \sim 1$  from Ilbert et al. (2005) results in the same conclusions.

#### 4.2 Column Density vs. Impact Parameter

We have compiled the available data from the literature on impact parameters for absorption systems that have known  $N_{\text{H I}}$  at  $z \lesssim 1$ . These data are given in Table 4. We have taken a sub-sample of these data to include only the systems that have spectroscopically confirmed galaxy redshifts, or secure photometric redshifts. A Kendall’s  $\tau$  test was used to determine the probability of a correlation between  $N_{\text{H I}}$  and impact parameter  $\rho$ , resulting in  $\tau = -0.23$  and a probability of no correlation of 0.47. If one includes the data points that do not have spectroscopic redshifts of the galaxies, Kendall’s  $\tau$  then becomes  $\tau = -0.48$  with a probability of no correlation of 0.05.

We have performed a linear regression on these data, using survival analysis to include the mixed censored data in the fit using Schmitt’s Binning method. We find the best fit trend line of  $\log N_{\text{H I}} = (20.67 \pm 0.23) - (0.028 \pm 0.014) \times \rho$  when using only the spectroscopically confirmed galaxies, and  $\log N_{\text{H I}} = (20.86 \pm 0.14) - (0.03 \pm 0.008) \times \rho$  when including galaxies without redshift information.

Figure 4 shows a plot of impact parameter vs.  $N_{\text{H I}}$  for



**Figure 4.**  $\log N_{\text{H I}}$  vs impact parameter for QSO absorbers with followup imaging data. Square data points have spectroscopic or secure photometric redshift determinations of the galaxies, and circular points have no redshift determinations on the galaxies. The shaded region represents the  $1\sigma$  confidence intervals on the best fit linear regression line using the Schmitt method to include upper and lower limits in the spectroscopically confirmed sample. Also overlaid is the 21 cm profile of the LSB galaxy Malin1 from Pickering et al. (1994), and the average 21 cm profile of local star forming galaxies from Swaters et al. (2002); Noordermeer et al. (2005).

the literature data, and the points from the observations in this investigation. For Q1228+1018, and Q1436–0051 we give the impact parameter as a lower limit as it is uncertain to which galaxy in these fields the absorption is linked to without spectroscopic observations of the galaxies. For the absorber at  $z_{\text{abs}} = 0.8426$  in Q1009–0026 we give the impact parameter as an upper limit based on a  $2''$  threshold radius inside the PSF. Also shown in Figure 4 are the radial 21 cm profile of Malin1, a nearby low surface brightness (LSB) galaxy from Pickering et al. (1994) and the average Sc type 21 cm radial profile from Swaters et al. (2002). The data in that work are normalized relative to the 21 cm H I radius (i.e.  $R/R_H$ ), so we have used the median H I radius for  $L \sim L^*$  galaxies from Noordermeer et al. (2005) of 28 kpc to scale the profile. The minimal correlation between impact parameter and  $N_{\text{H I}}$  indicates that the QSO absorber population stems from a range of environments and morphological types, which is also supported in the heterogeneous collection of galaxies that comprise the literature sample.

The random orientations of galaxy disk inclinations increases the dispersion in the trend between impact parameter and  $N_{\text{H I}}$ . Monte Carlo simulations of  $\rho$  vs  $N_{\text{H I}}$  reported in (Chen et al. 2005) have a scatter of  $\sim 0.5$  dex in  $N_{\text{H I}}$  for a given impact parameter. These simulations were conducted using idealized smooth H I profiles, using more realistic simulations that include the patchy and filamentary features typically seen in the ISM of the Milky Way and nearby galaxies would likely increase the scatter even more. A larger sample of DLAs and sub-DLAs with imaging data at  $z < 1$  would allow for a direct comparison of objects in terms of their impact parameters and luminosities.

Object	$z_{abs}$	$\log N_{\text{H I}}$ Dex	[Zn/H] Dex	[Fe/H] Dex	ID	$M_i$ Sa	$M_i$ Burst	$L_i/L_i^*$ Sa	$L_i/L_i^*$ Burst	$\rho$ kpc
Q0826–2230	0.9110	19.04±0.04	+0.68±0.08	−0.94 ± 0.06	4	−24.1	−23.2	10.1	4.4	40.1
					3	−25.2	−24.3	27.8	12.1	47.3
					5	−22.6	−21.7	2.6	1.1	72.5
Q1009–0026	0.8426, 0.8866	20.20±0.06, 19.48±0.05	< −0.98, +0.25 ± 0.06	−1.28 ± 0.07, −0.58 ± 0.06	2	−23.8 <sup>a</sup>	−22.7 <sup>a</sup>	7.7 <sup>a</sup>	2.8 <sup>a</sup>	35.7 <sup>a</sup>
Q1228+1018	0.9376	19.41±0.02	< −0.37	−0.30 ± 0.02	2	−23.0	−21.9	3.7	1.3	37.8
					3	−22.4	−21.3	2.1	0.8	66.1
Q1323–0021	0.7160	20.21±0.19	+0.61 ± 0.20	−0.51 ± 0.20	2	–	−25.0 <sup>b</sup>	–	6.3 <sup>b</sup>	9.0 <sup>b</sup>
					3	−20.3	−19.3	0.3	0.1	58.4
					5	−20.7	−19.9	0.4	0.2	59.8
Q1436–0051	0.7377, 0.9281	20.08±0.11, <18.8	−0.05 ± 0.12, > +0.86	−0.61 ± 0.11, > −0.07	4	−20.7	−19.9	0.4	0.2	66.3
					5	−21.8, −22.9	−21.0, −21.8	1.2,3.4	0.6,1.2	32.7,35.2
					3	−19.0, −20.1	−18.2, −19.0	0.1,0.3	0.1,0.1	36.2,39.0
					6	−23.1, −24.2	−22.3, −23.1	4.0,11.0	1.9,4.0	45.0,48.4
7	−22.1, −23.2	−21.3, −22.1	1.6,4.4	0.8,1.6	53.0,58.0					

QSO	$z_{abs}$	$\log N_{\text{H I}}$ $\text{cm}^{-2}$	$\rho$ kpc	$z_{gal}$ type <sup>a</sup>	Reference
Ton1480	0.0036	20.34	8.48	s	1
Q1543+5921	0.0090	20.35	0.44	s	1
J1042+0748	0.0330	<19.98	1.7	s	2
Q0738+313	0.0910	21.17	<3.6	n	3
Q0439-433	0.1010	19.75	7.57	s	4
Q0151+045	0.1600	19.85	17.6-30.1	s	5, 6
Q0850+440	0.1638	19.81	25	s	7
Q0738+313	0.2210	20.89	20	s	3,4
Q0952+179	0.2390	21.32	<4.5	n	8
Q1127-145	0.3130	21.71	>17	s	8,9
Q0150-203	0.3830	18.47	54.9	s	5
Q1229-021	0.3950	20.75	7.6	n	10, 11
Q0809+483	0.4370	20.79	9.6	s	10, 12
Q0235+164	0.5240	21.65	6	n	13
Q0827+243	0.5250	20.3	38	s	4, 8
Q1629+120	0.5320	20.7	17	s	8
Q0058+0155	0.6130	20.08	8.1	s	1
Q1229+107	0.6330	20.3	11.2	n	10
Q1122-1649	0.6810	20.45	5.1	p	1
Q1328+307	0.6920	21.17	6.5	n	10, 11
Q1323-0031	0.7160	20.21	9	n	14, 15
Q1137+3907	0.7185	21.1	11	s	16
Q1436-0051	0.7377	20.08	>33	n	15
Q0051+0041	0.7400	20.4	24	s	16
Q1009-0026	0.8426	20.2	<15	n	15
Q0454+039	0.8590	20.67	6.4	n	10
Q1009-0026	0.8866	19.48	35.7	s	15, 17
Q1436-0051	0.9281	<18.8	>35	n	14
Q1228+1018	0.9376	19.41	>38	n	14
Q1727+5302	0.9450	21.16	29.2	n	18
Q0302-223	1.0100	20.36	25.0	s	10, 17

**Table 4.** <sup>a</sup> n = no redshift information, p = secure photometric redshift, s = spectroscopic redshift  
 REFERENCES: (1) Chen et al. (2003), (2) Borthakur et al. (2010), (3) Turnshek et al. (2001), (4) Chen et al. (2005), (5) Ellison, Kewley & Mallen-Ornelas (2005), (6) Christensen et al. (2005), (7) Lanzetta et al. (1997), (8) Rao et al. (2003), (9) Kacprzak, Murphy & Churchill (2010), (10) Le Brun et al. (1997), (11) Steidel et al. (1994), (12) Gharanfoli et al. (2007), (13) Burbidge et al. (1996), (14) Chun et al. (2010), (15) This Work, (16) Lacy et al. (2003), (17) Péroux et al. (2010), (18) Hewett & Wild (2007)

## 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In our previous spectroscopic observations of sub-DLAs at  $z < 1.5$ , we have shown that this population is more metal rich than their DLA counterparts. With the observed correlation between luminosity and metallicity seen in Tremonti et al. (2004), one would suspect that the host galaxies of the metal rich DLAs in our sample should be  $L \gtrsim L^*$ . We have performed deep imaging of the fields of five QSOs that harbour metal rich sub-DLAs in their spectrum with the SOAR telescope and the SOI camera. In four of the five fields, luminous galaxies are observed, and one QSO (Q0826-2230) is likely gravitationally lensed by the intervening absorbing galaxy.

Is it possible that the candidate galaxies are directly atop the QSOs? The PSF subtraction of Q1323-0021 successfully reveals the galaxy detected in Chun et al. 2010, and no other bright galaxies are detected in the PSF subtracted

images so we feel that this is unlikely. There are several reasons to suspect that the galaxies at large impact parameters are in fact the host galaxies of these sub-DLAs. The ever possible scenario of a LSB galaxy at low impact parameter is unlikely, as the low metallicities of LSB galaxies (McGaugh 1994; Haberbzettel et al. 2007) exclude them as possible candidates for these high metallicity sub-DLAs. The probability of observing any interloping field galaxy with  $L > L^*$  in a pencil beam survey such as this is small, as is shown in Figure 3, and the photometric redshifts for the galaxies are consistent with these galaxies being at substantial redshifts. Aside from the case of Q1323-0021 in which the impact parameter is  $\sim 9$  kpc, in the fields of Q1009-0026, Q1228+1018, and Q1436-0051 the impact parameters of all the galaxies in the fields is  $\gtrsim 35$  kpc.

These large impact parameters in several cases certainly raise the question as to the origins of the absorbing material. Could the material be ordinary interstellar gas residing in the extended disk of the host galaxies? The H I envelope of gas rich galaxies typically does extend to much greater distances than the optical images suggest (Walter et al. 2008), although not often to great enough radii to produce sub-DLA H I column densities at these impact parameters. Above a certain critical surface density, local pockets of gas are unstable to gravitational collapse (Kennicutt 1989; Toomre 1964). The low H I column densities of these sub-DLAs are well below the typical H I column density threshold of  $\sim 10^{20.5} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  of Kennicutt (1989), hence star formation is unlikely to occur in these regions. Observations have shown some amount of star formation at large galactic radii in nearby galaxies, however the star formation complexes are sparse with a low filling factor, making a random sightline passing through such regions improbable (Ferguson, Gallagher & Wyse 1998; Goddard, Kennicutt & Weber 2010). Merging galaxies do however often show high levels of star formation at large galactic radii (Larson & Tinsley 1978; Smith et al. 2010).

Similarly, the abundances as derived from the nebular lines in the outer regions ( $R > R_{25}$ ) of nearby late type galaxies are typically  $\sim 0.1 Z_{\odot}$  even where the abundances are roughly solar in the inner regions of the galaxy. These metallicities are similar to that of many DLA systems, but well below the abundances we see in the sub-DLAs in this sample (Ferguson, Gallagher & Wyse 1998; Bresolin et al. 2009). The impact parameters we see in these absorbers is several times greater than  $R_{25} \sim 10 - 15$  kpc typical of late type galaxies.

The relatively flat spectral energy distributions for the galaxies in the fields of Q1009-0026 and Q1436-0051, for which we have four filter imaging are indicative of star forming galaxies. In the case of Q1436-0051, there is clear indications of interacting galaxies which are typically actively forming stars. We feel that a more likely explanation for the origins of this gas in light of these imaging observations is that it is the signature of outflowing galactic winds (Bouché et al. 2007; Kobayashi, Springel & White 2007). The high metallicities of these systems along with the large impact parameters suggest that this gas is the result of recent bursts of star formation in the galaxies or possibly tidally stripped material in the interacting galaxies.

The mean  $\Delta v_{90}$  of sub-DLAs as a population is  $\sim 125 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , slightly higher than the mean for DLAs of

103 km s<sup>-1</sup> (Kulkarni et al. 2010). The sub-DLAs in this work have a slightly lower mean velocity width than the total sub-DLA population of  $\Delta v_{90}=107$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. The complex velocity profiles seen in some absorption line systems have often been attributed to merging or interacting galaxies (Petitjean, Srianand & Ledoux 2002; Quast, Reimers & Baade 2008). The profiles for both the systems in the spectrum of Q1436–0051 are not unusually broad, each extending  $\sim 100$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. If the interacting galaxies seen to the south of this field are indeed responsible for the absorption line system, then at least in this case interacting galaxies surprisingly do not seem to produce a complex velocity structure. At smaller impact parameters the profiles may show signs of broader velocity profiles. The inclination of the disk to the QSO line of sight possibly plays a large part in the kinematic width of the absorption profiles.

It is interesting to note that although the recent compilation of Noterdaeme et al. (2008b) focused on DLA systems (77 total systems were included in the sample, with only 7 sub-DLAs and all had  $\log N_{\text{HI}} > 20.0$ ), one of the systems with a high molecular fraction was in fact a sub-DLA. Similarly high molecule rich sub-DLAs have also been seen in other investigations (Quast, Reimers & Baade 2008; Noterdaeme et al. 2008a). It would be interesting to expand the number of H<sub>2</sub> measurements in sub-DLAs to see if they are perhaps also have high molecular fractions, and thus favoring the scenario where the lower H I column densities are due to the conversion of gas from neutral to molecular.

Although the number of DLAs or sub-DLAs that have been observed with high quality spectra has increased, there is still a very small number of galaxies that have been confirmed at the redshift of the absorber with followup spectroscopic measurements. Even fewer DLAs have spectra of the host galaxy of high enough S/N that can be used to determine the properties of the galaxy’s stellar population such as the star formation rate (SFR), masses and star formation histories via spectral template fitting and emission line diagnostics.

Followup spectroscopy is clearly necessary to link the properties we see in absorption such as the metallicity, kinematics, and abundance patterns with the properties of the stellar populations of the host galaxies. DLAs and sub-DLAs are likely to arise in a range of environments, a larger sample with imaging and spectroscopy of the host galaxies would reveal any potential dichotomy in the populations. The sub-DLA systems in this sample seem to arise in a range of environments themselves, from the inner region of a possible early type galaxy in the case of the sub-DLA in SDSS J1323–0021, from the periphery of a luminous star forming galaxy in SDSS J1009–0026 and from the outskirts of an interacting pair of galaxies in SDSS J1436–0051. However, in all cases we observe luminous galaxies that are the likely host galaxies of these metal rich absorbers. A large sample of both spectroscopic and photometric measurements of DLA and sub-DLA host galaxies will allow for studying trends between luminosity, impact parameter, and SFR. Higher spatial resolution imaging with the HST would also allow for detailed information on the morphology of the absorbing galaxies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the exceptionally helpful staff of SOAR for their assistance during the observing runs. The SOAR Telescope is a joint project of: Conselho Nacional de Pesquisas Cientificas e Tecnologicas CNPq-Brazil, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Michigan State University, and the National Optical Astronomy Observatory. IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. We thank the anonymous referee for the helpful comments in the preparation of this manuscript. VPK acknowledges partial support from the National Science Foundation grant AST-0908890.

## REFERENCES

- Bertin E., Arnouts S., 1996, *A&AAS*, 117, 393  
 Blanton M.R., Hogg D.W., Bahcall N.A., Brinkman J., Britton M., Connolly A.J., Csabai I., Loveday J., et al., 2003, *ApJ*, 592, 819  
 Boisse P., Le Brun V., Bergeron J., Deharveng J., 1998, *A&A*, 333, 841  
 Bolzonella M., Miralles J.M., Pelló R., 2000, *A&A* 363, 47  
 Borthakur S., Tripp T.M., Yun M.S., Momijian E., Meiring J.D., Bowen D.V., York D.G., 2010, *ApJ*, 713, 131  
 Bouche N., Lehnert M.D., Aguirre A., Proux C., Bergeron J., 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 525  
 Bowen D.V., Tripp T.M., Jenkins E.B., 2001, *AJ*, 121 1456  
 Bresolin F., Ryan-Weber E., Kennicutt R.C., Goddard Q., 2009, *ApJ*, 695, 580  
 Burbidge E.M., Beaver E.A., Cohen R.D., Junkkarinen V.T., Lyons R.W., 1996, *AJ*, 112, 6  
 Chen H.W., Lanzetta K.M., 2003, *ApJ*, 597, 706  
 Chen H.W., Kennicutt R.C., Rauch M., 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 703  
 Chun M.R., Gharanfoli S., Kulkarni V.P., Takamiya M., 2006, *ApJ*, 131, 686  
 Chun M.R., Kulkarni V.P., Gharanfoli S., Takamiya M., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 296  
 Christensen L., Snchez S.F., Jahnke K., Becker T., Kelz A., Wisotzki L., Roth M.M., 2004, *A&A*, 417, 487  
 Christensen, L., Schulte-Ladbeck R.E., Sanchez S.F., Becker T., Jahnke K., Kelz A., Roth M.M., Wisotzki L., 2005, *A&A*, 429, 477  
 Dessauges-Zavadsky M., Ellison S.L., Murphy M.T., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, 61  
 Ellison S.L., Kewley L.J., Mallen-Ornelas G., 2005, *MNRAS*, 357, 254  
 Elmegreen B.G., Hunter D.A., 2006, *ApJ*, 636, 712  
 Erben T., Schirmer M., Dietrich J.P., Cordes O., Habertzettl L., Hetterscheidt M., Hildebrandt H., Schmithuesen O., et al., 2005, *AN*, 326, 432  
 Falomo R., 1990 *ApJ*, 353, 114  
 Ferguson A.M.N., Gallagher J.S., Wyse R., 1998, *AJ*, 116, 673  
 Gharanfoli S., Kulkarni V.P., Chun M.R., Takamiya M., 2007, *ApJ*, 133, 130  
 Goddard Q.E., Kennicutt R.C., Ryan-Weber E.V., 2010, *MNRAS*, in press

- Haberzettl L., Bowmans D.J., Dettmar R.J., 2007, *A&A*, 471, 787
- Hewett P.C., Wild V., 2007, *MNRAS*, 379, 738
- Ilbert O., Tresse L., Zucca S., Arounts S., Zamorani G., Pozetti L., Bottini D., Garilli B., et al., 2005, *A&A*, 439, 863
- Kacprzak G.G., Murphey M.T., Churchill C.W., 2010, *MNRAS*, in press
- Kennicutt R.C., 1989, *ApJ*, 344, 685
- Kennicutt R.C., 1998, *ApJ*, 498, 541
- Khare P., Kulkarni V.P., Lauroesch J.T., York D.G., Crotts P.S., Nakamura O., 2004, *ApJ*, 616, 86
- Khare P., Kulkarni V.P., Péroux C., York D.G., Lauroesch J.T., Meiring J.D., 2007, *A&A*, 464, 487
- Kobayashi C., Springel V., White S.D.M., 2007, *MNRAS*, 376, 1465
- Kochanek C.S., Schneider P., Wambsganss J., 2004, Part 2 of Gravitational Lensing: Strong, Weak & Micro, Proceedings of the 33rd Saas-Fee Advanced Course, G. Meylan, P. Jetzer & P. North, eds. (Springer-Verlag: Berlin)
- Kulkarni V.P., Hill J.M., Schneider G., Weymann R.J., Storrie-Lombardi L.J., Rieke M.J., Thompson R.I., Januzzi B.T., 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 36
- Kulkarni V.P., Hill J.M., Schneider G., Weymann R.J., Storrie-Lombardi L.J., Rieke M.J., Thompson R.I., Januzzi B.T., 2001, *ApJ*, 551, 37
- Kulkarni V.P., Fall S.M., Lauroesch J.T., York D.G., Welty D.E., Khare P., Truran J.W., 2005, *ApJ*, 618, 68
- Kulkarni V.P., Khare P., Péroux C., York D.G., Lauroesch J.T., Meiring J.D., 2007, *ApJ*, 661, 88
- Kulkarni V.P., Khare P., Som D., Meiring J.D., York D.G., Péroux C., Lauroesch J.T., 2010, *NewA*, 15, 735
- Lacy M., Becker R.H., Storrie-Lombardi L.J., Gregg M.D., Urrutia T., White R.L., 2003 *AJ*, 126, 2230L
- Lanzetta K.M., Wolfe A.M., Altan H., Barcons X., Chen H., Fernandez-Soto A., Meyer D.M., Ortiz-Gil A., et al., 1997, *AJ*, 114, 1337
- Larson R.B., Tinsley B.M., 1978, *ApJ*, 219, 46
- Le Brun V., Bergeron J., Boisse P., Deharveng J.M., 1997, *A&A*, 321, 733
- Lupton R., Blanton M.R., Fekete G., Hogg D.W., O'Mullane W., Szalay A., Wherry N., 2004, *PASP*, 116,133
- Margoniner V. E., Connolly A., Tyson J. A., 2005, *AAS*, 207, 2627
- McGaugh S., 1994, *ApJ*, 426, 135
- Meiring J.D., Kulkarni V.P., Khare P., Bechtold J., York D.G., Cui J., Lauroesch J.T., Crotts A.P.S., Nakamura O., 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 43
- Meiring J.D., Lauroesch J.T., Kulkarni V.P., Péroux C., Khare P., York D.G., Crotts A.P.S., 2007, *MNRAS*, 376, 557
- Meiring J.D., Kulkarni V.P., Lauroesch J.T., Péroux C., Khare P., York D.G., Crotts A.P.S., 2008, *MNRAS*, 384, 1015
- Meiring J.D., Kulkarni V.P., Lauroesch J.T., Péroux C., Khare P., York D.G., 2009a, *MNRAS*, 393, 1513
- Meiring J.D., Lauroesch J.T., Kulkarni V.P., Péroux C., Khare P., York D.G., 2009b, *MNRAS*, 397, 2037
- Nestor D.B., Turnshek D.A., Rao S., Quider A.M., 2007, *ApJ*, 658, 185
- Nestor D. B., Johnson B.D., Wild V., Ménard B., Turnshek D.A., Rao S., Pettini M., 2010, *MNRAS*, in press (arXiv:1003.0693)
- Noordermeer E., van der Hulst J.M., Sancisi R., Swaters R.A., van Albada T.S., 2005, *A&A*, 442, 137
- Noterdaeme P., Petitjean P., Ledoux C., Srianand R., Ivanachik A., 2008a, *A&A*, 491, 397
- Noterdaeme P., Ledoux C., Petitjean P., Srianand R., 2008b, *A&A*, 481, 327
- Pagel B.E.J., Tautvaisiene G., 1998, *MNRAS*, 299, 535
- Péroux C., Kulkarni V.P., Meiring J., Ferlet R., Khare P., Lauroesch J.T., Vladilo G., York D.G., 2006, *A&A*, 450 53
- Péroux C., Meiring J., Kulkarni V.P., Ferlet R., Khare P., Lauroesch J.T., Vladilo G., York D.G., 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 369
- Péroux C., Meiring J.D., Kulkarni V.P., Khare P., Lauroesch J.T., Vladilo G., York D.G., 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 2209
- Péroux C., Bouché N., Kulkarni V.P., York D.G., Vladilo G., *MNRAS*, submitted
- Petitjean P., Srianand R., Ledoux C., 2002, *MNRAS*, 332, 383
- Pickering T.E., Impey C.D., van Gorkom J.H., Bothun G.D., 1994, *AJ*, 114, 5
- Prochaska J.X., Wolfe A.M., 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 68
- Quast R., Reimers D., Baade R., 2008, *A&A*, 477, 443
- Rao S.M., Nestor D.B., Turnshek D.A., Lane W.M., Monier E.M., Bergeron J., 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 94
- Rao S.M., Turnshek D.A., Nestor D.B., 2006, *ApJ*, 636, 610
- Smith B.J., Giroux M.L., Struck C., Hancock M., Hurlock S., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 1212
- Straka L.A., Kulkarni V.P., York D.G., Woodgate B.E., Grady C.A., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 1144
- Steidel C.C., Pettini Max., Dickinson M., Persson S.E., 1994, *AJ*, 108, 2046
- Swaters R.A., van Albada T.S., van der Hulst J.M., Sancisi R., 2002, *A&A*, 390, 829
- Toomre A., 1964, *ApJ*, 139, 1217
- Tremonti C. A. et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 613, 898
- Turnshek D.A., Rao S., Nestor D., Lane W., Monier E., Bergeron J., Smette A., 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 288
- Vladilo G., Péroux C., 2005, *A&A*, 444, 461
- Walter F. Brinks E. de Blok W. J. G., Bigiel F., Kennicutt R.C., Thornley M.D., Leroy A., 2008, *AJ*, 136, 2563
- Warren S.J., Møller P., Fall S.M., Jakobsen P., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 759
- Wolfe A.M., Lanzetta K.M., Foltz C.B., Chaffe F., 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 698
- York, D.G., Adelman, J., Anderson, J.E., et al. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.