

# GRAVITATIONAL COLLAPSES AND HILBERTIAN REPULSION

ANGELO LOINGER AND TIZIANA MARSICO

ABSTRACT. If we take into account the Hilbertian gravitational repulsion, even a “dust” sphere with a zero fluidodynamical pressure collapses to a body of a comparatively small, *finite*, volume.

PACS 04.20 – General relativity.

**1.** – In general relativity, according to well known arguments, even the presence of a fluidodynamical pressure cannot prevent a massive body from collapsing to a space-time singularity if a given “point of no return” is passed. Now, McVittie [1] and the present authors [2] have proved with *exact* computations that a spherically-symmetric gravitational collapse under the action of a time-dependent pressure  $p(t)$  ends up in a body with a finite extent. In this paper, we shall demonstrate a very general and very simple result: even with a zero pressure, a spherically-symmetric collapse, because of the decisive presence of the Hilbertian repulsive effect [3], [4], ends up in a body of a comparatively small, *finite*, volume.

**2.** – We start from a general expression of the sphero-symmetrical space-time interval  $ds$  ( $c = G = 1$ ) [5]:

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = \exp[\nu(r, t)] dt^2 - \exp[\lambda(r, t)] dr^2 - r^2(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2\vartheta d\varphi^2) \quad .$$

Then, we write the pertinent Einstein field equations with a mass tensor  $T_j^k = \varrho v_j v^k$ , ( $j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ), where  $\varrho$  is the invariant mass density of a “dust” sphere, and  $v^k$  is the four-velocity of a “dust” element:

$$(2) \quad R_j^k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^k R + 8\pi \varrho v_j v^k = 0 \quad ;$$

(for an explicit evaluation of eqs. (2), see *e.g.* [6]).

Eq. (2) have as a consequence (the colon denotes a covariant derivative):

$$(3) \quad (\varrho v_j v^k)_{:k} = 0 \quad ,$$

from which:

$$(4) \quad v^k v_{j:k} = 0 \quad :$$

the particles of the “dust” sphere move according to **geodesic** lines.

For the interval  $ds_{\text{ext}}$  outside the sphere we choose the standard (Hilbert-Droste-Weyl) form of solution [3]:

$$(5) \quad ds_{\text{ext}}^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2\vartheta d\varphi^2) \quad ,$$

where  $m$  is the mass of our sphere (if  $M$  is the mass in CGS units, we have  $m = (G/c^2)M$ ).

Let us assume for simplicity that at the beginning of the collapse all the “dust” particles are at rest.

The surface shell of the sphere contracts itself as if the total mass were concentrated in  $r = 0$ . The other concentric spherical shells contract themselves as if the mass in  $r = 0$  were correspondently reduced. *By virtue of the Hilbertian repulsion* [3], [4], the particles of the superficial shell arrive at  $r = 2m$  with a *zero* velocity  $dr/dt$  and *zero* acceleration  $d^2r/dt^2$ . The particles of a generic shell will arrive with *zero* velocity and *zero* acceleration at  $r = 2 \times$  (the relevant partial mass). Evidently, the collapse ends when the total mass of the sphere has filled up the spatial region  $0 \leq r \leq 2m$ .

**Conclusion.** – We see that, on account of Hilbert’s repulsive effect, the particles of the sphere cannot go beyond their “points of no return”  $r = 2m$ ,  $r = 2 \times$  (the relevant partial mass) – and consequently the celebrated arguments, which we have mentioned in sect. 1, do not describe the real physical situation. In the final stage the collapsed body has a *spatial extent*, it has *not* been converted into a material point.

## APPENDIX

### *An instance of Hilbertian repulsion*

For reader’s convenience, we recall here the fundamental equations of the *radial* geodesics of Schwarzschild space-time, created by a point-mass  $m$ . Of course, Hilbert [3] starts from the  $ds_{\text{ext}}^2$  of our eq. (5). He puts  $\alpha \equiv 2m$ . Consider Hilbert’s eqs. (53) and (54):

$$(A1) \quad \frac{d^2r}{dt^2} - \frac{3\alpha}{2r(r-\alpha)} \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha(r-\alpha)}{2r^3} = 0 \quad ;$$

$$(A2) \quad \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{r-\alpha}{r}\right)^2 + A \left(\frac{r-\alpha}{r}\right)^3 \quad ,$$

where the constant  $A$  of this first integral is negative for the test-particles and zero for the light-rays.

Eq. (A1) tells us that the acceleration is negative or positive – *i.e.*, that the gravitation acts in an attractive or in a repulsive way – according to the absolute value of the velocity. Thus, when

$$(A3) \quad \left| \frac{dr}{dt} \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{r - \alpha}{r} \quad ,$$

we have attraction; but when

$$(A4) \quad \left| \frac{dr}{dt} \right| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{r - \alpha}{r} \quad ,$$

we have *repulsion*.

Let us call  $r^*$  the value of  $r$  for which  $d^2r/dt^2 = 0$ : attraction and repulsion counterbalance each other. At  $r = r^*$  the velocity has its maximal value:  $|dr/dt| = (1/\sqrt{3})(r^* - \alpha)/r^*$ .

For the light-rays ( $A = 0$ ), eq. (A2) gives:

$$(A5) \quad \left| \frac{dr}{dt} \right| = \frac{r - \alpha}{r} \quad :$$

the light is *repulsed everywhere*; its velocity increases from zero at  $r = \alpha$  to 1 at  $r = \infty$ .

We see from the above equations that test-particles and light-rays arrive at  $r = \alpha$  with  $dr/dt = 0$  and  $d^2r/dt^2 = 0$ : *the spatial surface  $r = \alpha$  represents for them an insuperable barrier*: a fact of paramount importance from the astrophysical standpoint.

It is remarkable that attraction and repulsion are linked in a physical way to the radial *three*-acceleration and the radial *three*-velocity. This means that the *physical* evolution parameter is the “Systemzeit”  $t$  (von Laue) of Schwarzschild space-time, not the proper time of the test-particles, or the affine parameter of the light-rays. –

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. C. Mc Vittie, *Ap. J.*, **140** (1964) 401. This author has made also interesting studies of Newtonian collapses; see, *e.g.*, *A. J.*, **61** (1956) 451 – and his book *General Relativity and Cosmology* (University of Illinois Press, Urbana) 1965, Chapt. **6** and **7**.
- [2] T. Marsico and A. Loinger, *arXiv:physics/0612160 v1* (December 16th, 2006).
- [3] D. Hilbert, *Mathem. Annalen*, **92** (1924) 1.
- [4] A. Loinger and T. Marsico, *arXiv:0706.3891* [physics.gen-ph] 16 Jul 2007; *ibid.*: *0710.3927* [*id.*] 21 Oct 2007; *ibid.*: *0711.4997* [*id.*] 22 Dec 2007; *ibid.*: *0803.0050* [*id.*] 1 Mar 2008; *ibid.*: *0809.1221* [*id.*] 7 Sept 2008; *ibid.*: *0904.1578* [*id.*] 9 Apr 2009; *ibid.*: *0907.2895* [*id.*] 16 Jul 2009; *ibid.*: *0912.1329* [*id.*] 7 Dec 2009.
- [5] See, *e.g.*, L.P. Eisenhart, *Continuous Groups of Transformations* (Dover Publ., New York) 1961, sect.**58**.
- [6] L. Landau et E. Lifchitz, *Théorie du Champ* (Éditions Mir, Moscou) 1966, sect.**97**.

A.L. – DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA CELORIA, 16 - 20133 MILANO (ITALY)

T.M. – LICEO CLASSICO “G. BERCHE”T”, VIA DELLA COMMENDA, 26 - 20122 MILANO (ITALY)

*E-mail address:* `angelo.loinger@mi.infn.it`

*E-mail address:* `martiz64@libero.it`