Heavy atom quantum diffraction by scattering from surfaces

Jeremy M. Moix and Eli Pollak^{*}

Chemical Physics Department, Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovoth, Israel (Dated: October 9, 2018)

Abstract

Typically one expects that when a heavy particle collides with a surface, the scattered angular distribution will follow classical mechanics. The heavy mass assures that the de Broglie wavelength of the incident particle in the direction of the propagation of the particle (the parallel direction) will be much shorter than the characteristic lattice length of the surface, thus leading to a classical description. Recent work on molecular interferometry has shown that by increasing the perpendicular coherence length, one may observe interference of very heavy species passing through a grating. Here we show, using quantum mechanical simulations, that the same effect will lead to quantum diffraction of heavy particles colliding with a surface. We find that the effect is robust with respect to the incident energy, the angle of incidence and the mass of the particle. It may also be used to verify the quantum nature of the surface and its fluctuations at very low temperatures.

INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental building blocks of quantum mechanics is the wave particle duality of matter. This duality was demonstrated convincingly eighty years ago by Estermann and Stern when they reported the first observation of atomic diffraction of He atoms scattered from a LiF surface [1]. It took another forty years before the diffractive scattering of Ne from LiF was measured by Williams [2]. Subsequently Rieder and Stocker provided additional evidence for Ne diffraction in scattering experiments with low index metal surfaces [3]. Further progress was made when Schweizer and Rettner [4] reported the first observation of diffractive Ar scattering from a hydrogen covered tungsten surface. However, the diffraction peaks were observed only for rather low scattering energies and they were superimposed on a broad background of "classically" scattered Ar atoms. Much sharper diffraction peaks were found over a decade later by Andersson et al [5] for the scattering of Ar and Kr from a Cu(111) surface provided that the surface temperature was very low (10 K), that the energy of the incident atom was low (36 meV) and that the angle of incidence was large (70°). However, in the majority of heavy atom scattering experiments, the angular distribution is well described as classical mechanical rainbow scattering [6].

In parallel, especially during the past fifteen years there has been significant progress made in the observation of diffraction for heavy atoms and molecules when scattered through nanoscale gratings. Optics and interferometry of atoms and molecules may be considered today as a highly active area of research [7]. As a striking example, we note the interference pattern measured by Arndt et al [8, 9] for the fullerene molecule (C_{60}) scattered through a grating. By careful velocity selection and the use of collimation slits, they could reduce the uncertainty in the momentum perpendicular to the direction of propagation of a molecular beam of fullerenes such that the wavelength of the center of mass of the molecule in the perpendicular direction became larger than the size of the grating (~ 100 nm). They could then observe the characteristic double slit diffraction pattern of the fullerene molecules as they passed through the grating. These experiments have now led to the observation of interference of other large organic molecules [10].

The central theme of this letter is to replace the 100 nm scale grating with the natural "grating" of surfaces, where the typical lattice length of a metal, semiconductor or inorganic surface is of the order of 0.5-1 nm. One should thus be able to use the velocity selection and collimation methods employed in the previous experiments to create atomic and molecular beams whose wavelength perpendicular to the direction of propagation of the incident beam is of the order of 1 nm or longer in order to observe diffraction of heavy atoms and molecules scattered from surfaces. The resulting

diffraction patterns may be obtained at essentially any incident scattering angle and over a wide range of incident energies. The fact that the "grating size" is only 1 nm implies that the loss of signal due to the distance from the source (leading to a quadratic loss of signal strength) is orders of magnitude smaller than in experiments with a 100 nm grating.

The measurement of the diffraction pattern may also shed light on another fundamental quantum property of matter, namely the low temperature zero point energy fluctuations of surfaces. The diffraction pattern for heavy particles is sensitive to the surface temperature. If the temperature is too high, the fluctuations of the surface will smear out the diffraction pattern and one will regain the classical rainbow scattering structure. The diffraction peaks emerge as the temperature is lowered sufficiently such that the thermal fluctuations of the surface atoms do not destroy the coherence of the incident beam. This property implies that the diffraction pattern is also a sensitive probe of the interaction of the heavy projectile with the surface phonons and may be used to measure the interaction strength (friction coefficient).

Finally, inspection of the two dimensional final momentum distribution of the scattered beam reveals that the short wavelength of the incident beam in the parallel direction (direction of propagation of the incident beam) is observed as a smearing of the diffraction pattern in one direction. Instead of the two dimensional diffraction pattern that is expected if the wavelength of the incident beam is longer than the lattice length in both the parallel and perpendicular directions, one observes in this case only a one dimensional diffraction pattern reflecting the long wavelength in the perpendicular direction only.

To demonstrate the diffraction of heavy atoms induced by long perpendicular wavelengths, we will consider the in-plane scattering of Ar on a LiF(100) surface which was measured extensively by Kondo et al [11]. Their experiments were carried out with the surface at room temperature and showed the characteristic classical rainbow scattering pattern. The double peaked distribution was observed over a relatively large range of incident energies (from 315 meV to 705 meV) at an incidence angle of 45°. They did not observe any hint of diffraction. Since the typical measurements are for in-plane scattering, it suffices to model the system in terms of two degrees of freedom. The vertical and horizontal coordinates of the incident atom (with mass M) are denoted as z and x, with conjugate momenta p_z and p_x . The model Hamiltonian is then taken to have the form

$$H = \frac{p_x^2 + p_z^2}{2M} + \bar{V}(z) + \bar{V}'(z) h \sin\left(\frac{2\pi x}{l}\right).$$
 (1)

The vertical potential $\bar{V}(z)$ is taken to be a Morse potential $\bar{V}(z) = V_0 (1 - e^{-\alpha z})^2 - V_0$ with V_0 the physisorbed well depth and α the stiffness parameter. We assume a sinusoidal surface corrugation

with period l (the lattice length) and amplitude h. The corrugation is weak $(h/l \ll 1)$, allowing for expansion of the vertical potential in terms of a fluctuation along the horizontal coordinate. This then leads to the coupling $\bar{V}'(z)$ between the vertical and horizontal modes of the incident atom. The parameters used previously to model the experiment of Kondo et al were $\alpha l = 5$, and $\alpha h = 0.05$ [12]. The physisorbed well depth V_0 was taken to be 150 meV (somewhat larger than the 88 meV of Ref. [13]) and the lattice length is l = 4 Å [14].

The incident argon wavepacket is initially sufficiently far removed from the surface such that its interactions with the surface vanish. It is described in terms of a Gaussian wavepacket. We use the notation y_{\parallel} and y_{\perp} to denote the coordinates of the wavepacket in the parallel and perpendicular directions respectively. The associated (positive) momenta are p_{\parallel} and p_{\perp} respectively. For an incident scattering angle θ (taken to be negative) one has that $p_z = -p_{\parallel} \cos \theta$ and $p_x = -p_{\parallel} \sin \theta$. The incident beam is thus described by the wave packet

$$\Psi_{s}\left(y_{\parallel}, y_{\perp}; p_{\parallel}, p_{\perp}, y_{\parallel,0}, y_{\perp,0}\right) = \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\parallel}\Gamma_{\perp}}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{1/4} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma_{\perp}\left(y_{\perp}-y_{\perp,0}\right)^{2}+\Gamma_{\parallel}\left(y_{\parallel}-y_{\parallel,0}\right)^{2}}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[p_{\perp}\left(y_{\perp}-y_{\perp,0}\right)-p_{\parallel}\left(y_{\parallel}-y_{\parallel,0}\right)\right]\right).$$
(2)

Of central importance are the respective width parameters Γ_{\parallel} and Γ_{\perp} . In the experiments of Kondo et al, the uncertainty in the energy of the incident argon beam is reported as $\Delta E/E_i =$ 17.7% for $E_i = 315$ meV and increasing to $\Delta E/E_i = 26.9\%$ at $E_i = 705$ meV [11]. From the energy-momentum relationship we have that $\Delta p/p_i = \frac{\Delta E}{2E_i}$, this implies that $l\sqrt{\Gamma_{\parallel}} = 39$ (88) at $E_i = 315$ (715) meV. The experimental paper does not provide us with the angular width of the incident beam. For $E_i = 705$ meV, an angular width of 2° implies that $l\sqrt{\Gamma_{\perp}} = 17$. These choices for the width parameters result in a wavepacket that is localized in configuration space as compared with the lattice length.

The final momenta distribution can be obtained from the formally exact expression [15],

$$P\left(p_{x_f}, p_{z_f}; t\right) = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l dx_0 \left| \left\langle p_{x_f}, p_{z_f} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} t\right) \right| \Psi_s\left(p_{x_0}, p_{z_0}, x_0, z_0\right) \right\rangle \right|^2.$$
(3)

The corresponding angular distribution is obtained from a simple transformation of the momenta distribution to radial coordinates followed by integration over the radial momentum [15]. The propagation time t is taken to be sufficiently long so that the scattered wavepacket is out of the range of interaction with the surface.

In Fig. 1 we plot the angular distributions at an incidence energy of $E_i = 705$ meV. The width parameters are taken as described above, using the experimental uncertainty in the incident beam characteristics and an angular width of 2° . The angle of incidence is 45° . The wavepacket propagation was carried out using the split operator method with a grid size of 1024×2048 for the horizontal and vertical coordinates respectively. The results displayed no change with respect to doubling the grid sizes. The average over the unit cell in Eq. 3 was evaluated from 20 different impact parameters. The quantum distribution is compared to the classical Wigner angular distributions as defined in Eq. 2.7 of Ref. [16]. It is evident that due to the relatively large uncertainty in the velocity of the incident beam, one observes only the classical scattering distribution; quantum effects are washed out. The central result of this paper is shown in Fig. 2. Reducing the perpendicular width parameter to the value of $l\sqrt{\Gamma_{\perp}} = 1.6$ while leaving the parallel width parameter unchanged gives the quantum momentum distribution shown in the figure. The diffraction pattern is now evident, even though the incident atom is argon. We have carried out computations at a lower energy of 315 meV which display similar diffractive features to those seen in Fig. 2. Changing the angle of incidence also does not destroy the quantum diffraction pattern. As long as the coherence length in the perpendicular direction is longer than the lattice length, one observes diffraction. In this paper we concentrated on the case of Ar scattering due to the experimental data available for this case which provides us with a reasonable model and a prediction which should be readily borne out by further experiment. However, there is no reason to believe that the results would change if we substitute Ar for a heavier atom such as Rubidium.

An interesting aspect of this type of preparation is the change in the momentum distribution which is shown in Fig. 3 for an incident energy $E_i = 705$ meV. When the de Broglie wavelength is sufficiently longer than the lattice length in both the parallel and perpendicular directions, one will observe that the momentum distribution is comprised of isolated delta functions spread out in the momentum plane. However, here, one de Broglie wavelength is long whereas the other is short. As a result, the momentum distribution takes the form of a collection of cigar shaped distributions, where one direction is "discretized" and the other remains continuous. It is the discretized direction which gives rise to the angular diffraction pattern.

In summary, using model computations we have shown that collimation of a beam of atoms in the direction perpendicular to the direction of propagation may lead to a distinctive diffraction pattern. Diffraction is observed irrespective of the incident energy and angle even though the mass of the atom is large and the natural de Broglie wavelength associated with the beam is of the order of picometers. This demonstrates that one should be able to observe heavy particle diffraction in the scattering of atoms and molecules from surfaces under experimental conditions that are much less severe than those needed for coherent scattering through 100 nm gratings. On the down side, decoherence through collision with a surface will be greater than when traversing through a grating. Both the interaction with surface modes as well as energy exchange between internal degrees of freedom may lead to decoherence. On the other hand, the observation of such decoherence may lead to important information about the internal modes of the molecule as well as the surface fluctuations which induce such decoherence. These topics are part of an ongoing study.

Acknowledgment This work was supported by grants from the Israel Science Foundation and the Weizmann-UK Joint Research Program.

- * Electronic address: email: eli.pollak@weizmann.ac.il
- [1] I. Estermann and A. Stern, Z. Phys. **61**, 95 (1930).
- [2] B.R. Williams, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 1315 (1971).
- [3] K.H. Rieder and W. Stocker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 352 (1984)
- [4] E.K. Schweizer and C.T. Rettner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 3085 (1989).
- [5] T. Andersson, F. Althoff, P. Linde, S. Andersson and K. Burke, Phys. Rev. B 65, 045409 (2002).
- [6] A.W. Kleyn, and T.C.M. Horn, Phys. Rep. 199, 191 (1991).
- [7] A.D. Cronin, J. Schmiedmayer and D.E. Pritchard, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1051 (2009).
- [8] M. Arndt, O. Nairz, J. Voss-Andreae, C. Keller, G. van der Zouw and A. Zeilinger, Nature (London) 401, 680 (1999)
- [9] O. Nairz, M. Arndt and A. Zeilinger, Am. J. Phys. 71, 319 (2003).
- [10] M. Gring, S. Gerlich, S. Eibenberger, S. Nimmrichter, T. Berrada, M. Arndt, H. Ulbricht, K. Hornberger, M. Mueri, M. Mayor, M. Bockmann and N.L. Doltsinis, Phys. Rev. A 81, 031604 (R), (2010).
- [11] T. Kondo, H.S. Kato, T. Yamada, S. Yamamoto and M. Kawai, Eur. Phys. J. D 38, 129 (2006).
- [12] J. Moix, E. Pollak and S. Miret-Artés, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 116103 (2010).
- [13] J.R. Klein and M.W. Cole, Surf. Sci. Lett. 81 L319 (1979).
- [14] Y. Ekinci and J.P. Toennies, Surf. Sci. 563, 127 (2004).
- [15] J. Moix and E. Pollak, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 062507 (2009).
- [16] E. Pollak and J. Tatchen, Phys. Rev. B 80, 115404 (2009).





FIG. 1: Final angular distributions at an incident energy of 705 meV and incident angle of $\pi/4$. The classical Wigner result is depicted as the solid (red) line with dots and the quantum mechanical result is shown as the solid (black) line with squares.



FIG. 2: Final angular distribution for argon scattering at an incident energy of $E_i = 705$ meV and incident angle of $\pi/4$ but with a perpendicular de Broglie wavelength which is much larger than the lattice length. Note the resulting diffraction pattern.



FIG. 3: Final momentum distribution for argon scattering at an incident energy of $E_i = 705$ meV and incident angle of $\pi/4$ with the width parameter of $l\sqrt{\Gamma_{\perp}} = 1.6$. Note the "cigar" shape of each separate peak.