Generalized Grassmannian Coherent States For Pseudo-Hermitian n Level Systems

G. Najarbashi a *, M. A. Fasihi b,c † H. Fakhri d ‡

 $^a\mathrm{Department}$ of Physics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil 179, Iran.

 b Research Center for Quantum Computing, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan.

 c Department of Physics, Azarbijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz 53714-161,Iran.

 d Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Tabriz University, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

October 24, 2018

^{*}E-mail:najarbashi@uma.ac.ir

[†]E-mails:fasihi@alice.math.kindai.ac.jp

[‡]E-mail:hfakhri@tabrizu.ac.ir

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to generalize fermionic coherent states for two-level sys-

tems described by pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian [1], to n-level systems. Central to this

task is the expression of the coherent states in terms of generalized Grassmann variables.

These kind of Grassmann coherent states satisfy bi-overcompleteness condition instead

of over-completeness one, as it is reasonably expected because of the biorthonormality of

the system. Choosing an appropriate Grassmann weight function resolution of identity

is examined. Moreover Grassmannian coherent and squeezed states of deformed group

 $SU_q(2)$ for three level pseudo-Hermitian system are presented.

Keywords: Pseudo-Hermiticity, Coherent States, Grassmannain variable.

PACs Index: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ca

1 Introduction

The last decade have witnessed a growing interest in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real spectra, [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Considering the results of various numerical studies, Bender and his collaborators [4, 5] found certain examples of one-dimensional non-Hermitian Hamiltonians that possessed real spectra. Because these Hamiltonians were invariant under PT transformations, their spectral properties were linked with their PT-symmetry. Later Mostafazadeh introduced the notion of pseudo-Hermiticity as an alternative possible approach for a non-Hermitian operator to admit a real spectrum [9, 10].

On the other hand Grassmannian coherent states and their generalization [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16] has attracted a great deal of attention in the last decade and the concept of coherent states was also introduced to PT symmetric quantum mechanics, [17, 18], and pseudo-Hermitian one, [1]. Here our objective is to construct generalized Grassmannian pseudo-Hermitian coherent states (GPHCS) by introducing lader operators for a general n level pseudo-Hermitian system and applying bi-orthonormal property of this system to facilitate the investigation of overcompleteness of GPHCS. We find throughout this work that many close parallels can be established between the expressions evaluated for GPHCS and the more familiar ones for boson coherent states. For boson coherent states the integration for resolution of identity is taken over commuting complex variables, while for fermions, on the other hand, the integration is over anticommuting Grassmann numbers that have no classical analogues. This is due to the fact that, in the context of quantum field theory [19], fermion fields anti-commute, hence their eigenvalues must, as noted by Schwinger be anti-commuting numbers [20]. The GPHCS may be useful to describe entangled coherent states for compound systems governed by non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in quantum information theory [21, 22]. It is also possible to generalize P-function, Q-function and Wigner function for fermions [23], to the pseudo-Hermitian density matrix of n level systems [24].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the concept of a pseudo-Hermitian operator and consider the basic spectral properties of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians that have a complete biorthonormal eigenbasis. In Section 3, we present the generalized Grassmannian variables based on Majid approach [13] and define pseudo-Hermitian lader operators to construct GPHCS. We show that unlike the canonical Hermitian coherent state, GPHCS satisfy bi-over-completeness condition instead of over-completeness one. We also study the stability of the GPHCS and finally following the introduced approach we construct the GPHCS for $SU_q(2)$.

2 Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians and Biorthonormal Eigenbasis

Intensive study of Schrodinger equation with complex potentials, but with real spectrum, was performed by different methods. The pioneer papers [3, 4], initiated investigation of PT symmetric systems and afterwards more general class of pseudo-Hermitian models was introduced by Mostafazadeh [9]. Following the second approach, let $H: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a linear operator acting in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $\eta: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a linear Hermitian automorphism (invertible transformation). Then the η -pseudo-Hermitian adjoint of H is defined by

$$H^{\sharp} = \eta^{-1} H^{\dagger} \eta. \tag{2.1}$$

H is said to be pseudo-Hermitian with respect to η or simply η -pseudo-Hermitian if $H^{\sharp} = H$. As in Refs. [9, 10] the eigenvalues of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian H are either real or come in complex-conjugate pairs and the following relations in nondegenerate case hold:

$$H^{\dagger} = \eta H \eta^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

According to [9] we consider only diagonalizable operators H with discrete spectrum. Then, a complete biorthonormal eigenbasis $|\psi_i\rangle, |\phi_i\rangle$ exist, i.e., a basis such that

$$H|\psi_{i}\rangle = E_{i}|\psi_{i}\rangle, \quad H^{\dagger}|\phi_{i}\rangle = \bar{E}_{i}|\phi_{i}\rangle,$$

$$\langle \phi_{i}|\psi_{j}\rangle = \delta_{ij},$$

$$\sum_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle\langle\phi_{i}| = \sum_{i} |\phi_{i}\rangle\langle\psi_{i}| = I.$$
(2.3)

For a given pseudo-Hermitian H there are infinitely many η satisfying Eq.(2.2). These can however be expressed in terms of a complete biorthonormal basis $|\psi_i\rangle, |\phi_i\rangle$ of H. In non degenerate case the general linear, Hermitian, invertible operator η and it's inverse satisfying Eq.(2.2) have the forms

$$\eta = \sum_{i} |\phi_{i}\rangle\langle\phi_{i}|, \qquad \eta^{-1} = \sum_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle\langle\psi_{i}|$$
(2.4)

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \eta |\psi_i\rangle, \qquad |\psi_i\rangle = \eta^{-1} |\phi_i\rangle,$$
 (2.5)

where here we consider the non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real spectrums, hence η is positive definite operator.

3 Generalized Grassmannian Pseudo-Hermitian Coherent States

3.1 Generalized Grassmannian variables

The basic properties of generalized Grassmann variables are discussed in Refs. [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Here we survey the properties that we shall make use of in article. According to Ref [13], Z_n graded Grassmann algebra is generated by the variables satisfying, by definition, the following properties:

$$\theta_i \theta_j = q \ \theta_j \theta_i \quad , \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots \ i < j$$

$$\theta_i^n = 0, \qquad q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}.$$
(3.6)

Analogous rules also apply for the Hermitian conjugate of θ , $\theta^{\dagger} = \bar{\theta}$, as:

$$\bar{\theta}_i \bar{\theta}_j = q \ \bar{\theta}_j \bar{\theta}_i \quad , \quad i < j
\bar{\theta}_i^n = 0.$$
(3.7)

Consider the generalized Berezin's rules of integration as:

$$\int d\theta \theta^k = \int d\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}^k = \delta_{k,n-1},\tag{3.8}$$

where k is any positive integer. We also need the following relations which are necessary to compute the integral of any function over the Grassmann algebra.

$$\begin{cases}
\theta d\bar{\theta} = q \ d\bar{\theta}\theta &, \quad \bar{\theta}d\theta = q \ d\theta\bar{\theta} \\
\theta d\theta = \bar{q} \ d\theta\theta &, \quad \bar{\theta}d\bar{\theta} = \bar{q} \ d\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} \\
d\theta d\bar{\theta} = \bar{q} \ d\bar{\theta}d\theta &, \quad \theta\bar{\theta} = \bar{q} \ \bar{\theta}\theta
\end{cases}$$
(3.9)

Now we are ready to give a prescription for the construction of the generalized Grassmannian pseudo-Hermitian coherent state.

3.2 Coherent States

Now we will develop a formalism to construct the generalized Grassmannian coherent states (GCS) for pseudo-Hermitian n level systems. Let introduce the generalized coherent states as the eigen-states of annihilation operator b which is defined as:

$$b := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{\rho_{i+1}} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\phi_{i+1}|. \tag{3.10}$$

Using Eq. (2.3), it is straightforward to check that the action of annihilation operator b on $|\psi_i\rangle$ eigen-states is:

$$b|\psi_i\rangle = \sqrt{\rho_i} |\psi_{i-1}\rangle, \tag{3.11}$$

so $|\psi_0\rangle$ is the vacuum state, and the annihilation operator b has the nilpotency degree of order n i.e., $b^n = 0$. Let have the following quantization relations between the biorthonormal

eigen-state $\{|\psi_i\rangle, |\phi_i\rangle, i = 0, 1, 2, ...n - 1\}$ and generalized Grassmannian variables $\theta, \bar{\theta}$

$$\begin{cases}
\theta | \psi_{i} \rangle = q^{i-1} | \psi_{i} \rangle \theta & \bar{\theta} \langle \psi_{i} | = q^{i-1} \langle \psi_{i} | \bar{\theta} \\
\theta \langle \psi_{i} | = \bar{q}^{i-1} \langle \psi_{i} | \theta & \bar{\theta} | \psi_{i} \rangle = \bar{q}^{i-1} | \psi_{i} \rangle \bar{\theta} \\
\theta | \phi_{i} \rangle = q^{i-1} | \phi_{i} \rangle \theta & \bar{\theta} \langle \phi_{i} | = q^{i-1} \langle \phi_{i} | \bar{\theta} \\
\theta | \phi_{i} | = \bar{q}^{i-1} \langle \phi_{i} | \theta & \bar{\theta} | \phi_{i} \rangle = \bar{q}^{i-1} | \phi_{i} \rangle \bar{\theta}.
\end{cases} (3.12)$$

Considering the Eqs. (2.4), (3.12) it is easy to check that

$$[\theta, \eta] = [\theta, \eta^{-1}] = [\bar{\theta}, \eta] = [\bar{\theta}, \eta^{-1}] = 0.$$
 (3.13)

The generalized Grassmannian pseudo-Hermitian coherent states (GPHCS) denoted by $|\theta\rangle_n$, by definition are the eigen-states of annihilation operator b with the eigen-values given by the label of the coherent states, so we must find the GPHCS $|\theta\rangle_n$ such that

$$b \mid \theta \rangle_n = \theta \mid \theta \rangle_n, \tag{3.14}$$

where the eigenvalue θ is a complex generalized Grassmannian variable. We write generically

$$|\theta\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \theta^i |\psi_i\rangle, \tag{3.15}$$

considering Eq.(3.14) we get

$$|\theta\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_i!}} \theta^i |\psi_i\rangle, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\{\rho_n! := \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 ... \rho_n, \ \rho_0 = 1\}$ and ρ_i s in general are complex variable.

As it is usual for Hermitian systems, in order to express the states $|\theta\rangle_n$ in terms of the vacuum state we use the Hermitian conjugate of the annihilation operator, b^{\dagger} . But it is important to not that, here we are dealing with pseudo-Hermitian system, so as a result of this fact it is easy to show that b^{\dagger} is not the creation operator for $|\psi_i\rangle$ eigen-basis. To overcome this problem we need to introduce the new operator b^{\sharp} , which is the η pseudo-Hermitian of the b^{\dagger} , as

$$b^{\sharp} := \eta^{-1} b^{\dagger} \eta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{\rho_{i+1}} |\psi_{i+1}\rangle \langle \phi_i|, \qquad (3.17)$$

such that

$$b^{\sharp} |\psi_{i}\rangle = \sqrt{\rho_{i+1}} |\psi_{i+1}\rangle, \tag{3.18}$$

so one can see that for pseudo-Hermitian system, biorthonormal system, instate of b^{\dagger} , b^{\sharp} is the creation operator. Using Eq. (3.18) we get

$$|\theta\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}}}{\rho_i!} \theta^i (b^{\sharp})^i |\psi_0\rangle, \tag{3.19}$$

and considering to the following q-commutator relations

$$[\theta, b^{\sharp}]_q = 0 \quad [b, \theta]_q = 0$$

 $[b^{\sharp}, \bar{\theta}]_q = 0 \quad [\bar{\theta}, b]_q = 0$ (3.20)

The coherent state derived above can be written in a compact form as:

$$|\theta\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b^{\sharp} \theta)^i}{\rho_i!} |\psi_0\rangle =: e_q^{(b^{\sharp} \theta)} |\psi_0\rangle \tag{3.21}$$

where the q-commutator and the generalized q-exponential function are defined as:

$$[A, B]_q := AB - qBA, \qquad e_q^x := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{x^n}{\rho_n!}.$$
 (3.22)

One must note that it is possible to construct another family of GPHCS, $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n$, in terms of $|\phi_i\rangle$. These coherent states are the eigen-basis of the operator \tilde{b} which annihilates the dual states $|\phi_i\rangle$

$$\tilde{b} |\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n = \theta |\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n, \tag{3.23}$$

where the explicit form of the operator \tilde{b} is defined as:

$$\tilde{b} = \eta b \eta^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sqrt{\rho_{i+1}} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \psi_{i+1}|,$$
(3.24)

then the coherent state corresponding to the dual states $|\phi_i\rangle$ can be obtained as follows

$$|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_i!}} \theta^i |\phi_i\rangle.$$
 (3.25)

Now in order to determine $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle$ in terms of $|\phi_0\rangle$ let have the following definition:

$$b^{\sharp'} := \eta'^{-1}b^{\dagger}\eta', \quad where \quad \eta'^{-1} = \eta,$$
 (3.26)

according to the Eqs. (3.24) and (3.26) we find $\tilde{b}^{\sharp'}=b^{\dagger},$ and then $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n$ is:

$$|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}}}{\rho_i!} \theta^i (\tilde{b}^{\sharp'})^i |\phi_0\rangle.$$
 (3.27)

The q-commutation relation between θ and $\tilde{b}^{\sharp'}$ is:

$$[\theta, \tilde{b}^{\sharp'}]_q = 0, \tag{3.28}$$

then the Eq. (3.27) reduce to the following form

$$|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\tilde{b}^{\sharp'}\theta)^i}{\rho_i!} |\phi_0\rangle = e_q^{(\tilde{b}^{\sharp'}\theta)} |\phi_0\rangle. \tag{3.29}$$

which is the q-exponential form of $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n$. Now we have all the ingredient to prove the completeness of the GPHCS which is the task of next subsection.

3.3 Resolution of Identity

Let us examine whether or not the GPHCS ($|\theta\rangle_n$ and $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle_n$) satisfy over-completeness property. By introducing a weight function

$$w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \sum_{i, i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{ij} \theta^i \bar{\theta}^j, \tag{3.30}$$

and considering to the Eqs. (3.6)-(3.12), (3.20) and (3.28) it is clear that neither the integral $|\theta\rangle\langle\theta|$ nor the integral of $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}|$, against the measure $d\bar{\theta}$ $d\theta$ $w(\theta,\bar{\theta})$ unnormalized i.e.,:

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\theta\rangle\langle\theta| \neq I, \qquad \int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\tilde{\theta}\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}| \neq I.$$
(3.31)

In order to overcome to this impasse and realized the resolution of identity it is necessary to consider the Eq. (2.3) i.e., the biorthonormal nature of the system. Then it is reasonable to

check the integrals $|\theta\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}|$ and $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle\langle\theta|$ against the measure $d\bar{\theta}$ $d\theta$ $w(\theta,\bar{\theta})$. Choosing the proper weight function we can realized the resolution of identity as:

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\theta\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}| = \int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\tilde{\theta}\rangle\langle\theta| = I.$$
 (3.32)

To identify the weight function we replace the explicit form of $|\theta\rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{\theta}|$ from Eqs. (3.21) and (3.29) into Eq. (3.32) and we get

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\theta\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}| = \int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} c_{k,l} \theta^k \bar{\theta}^l \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_i!}} \ \theta^i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\phi_j| \ \bar{\theta}^j \ \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_j!}}.$$
(3.33)

Tacking account the quantization rules (Eq. (3.9),(3.12)) and the integration rules of generalized Grassmannian variables as in Eq. (3.8) it becomes

$$= \sum_{k,l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} c_{k,l} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_i!}} \frac{q^{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_j!}} q^{j(i-1)} \bar{q}^{j(j-1)} q^{il} \delta_{k+i,n-1} \delta_{l+j,n-1} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\phi_j|$$
(3.34)

which in turn by the completeness of the biorthonormal basis $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i| = I$ of the pseudo-Hermitian system, then it leads to the following constrain on the c_{ij} coefficients:

$$c_{i,j} = \rho_i! \ q^{i(i+1)} \delta_{i,j}$$
 (3.35)

Thus the weight function must be equal

$$w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^{i(i+1)} \rho_{n-i-1}! \theta^i \bar{\theta}^i$$
(3.36)

Finally we find that the continuous set of $|\theta\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle$ produce the system of biorthnormal coherent state which provide a resolution of identity (bi-over-completeness) for pseudo-Hermitian system.

3.4 Time Evolution of GPHCS

In this section we shall discuss the time evolution of the GPHCS. The coherent states remain coherent for all the times provided that the time evolution of the initial state $|\theta,0\rangle_n \equiv |\theta\rangle_n$, $|\theta,t\rangle_n$, managed by Hamiltonian, is also an eigen-state of lowering operator b

$$b |\theta, t\rangle_n = \theta(t) |\theta, t\rangle_n, \quad where \quad |\theta, t\rangle_n = e^{-iHt}|\theta\rangle_n.$$
 (3.37)

Recalling $|\theta\rangle_n$ from Eq. (3.16) one can write:

$$|\theta, t\rangle_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\rho_k!}} \theta^k e^{-iE_k t} |\psi_k\rangle, \qquad (3.38)$$

to get the proper solution suppose:

$$E_k = -(n-k-2)E.$$

Then one can express the evolved coherent states as:

$$|\theta, t\rangle_n = e^{i(n-2)Et}|\theta(t)\rangle_n, \quad where \quad \theta(t) = e^{-iEt}\theta,$$
 (3.39)

thus, the evolved coherent states are actually remain eigen-states of annihilation operator, which manifests the stability of the time evolution of CS $|\theta\rangle$. Similarly one can show that the $|\widetilde{\theta}, t\rangle$ s are also stable and $|\theta, t\rangle$ and $|\widetilde{\theta}, t\rangle$ satisfy the resolution of Identity as

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\theta, t\rangle \langle \widetilde{\theta, t}| = \int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\widetilde{\theta, t}\rangle \langle \theta, t| = I$$

3.5 $SU_q(2)$ Deformed Pseudo-Hermitian Coherent States

In this section, we will derive the coherent states for the modified SU(2) i.e., $SU_q(2)$, following the technique developed in the previous section. For this purpose, consider a three level pseudo-Hermitian system, we need first to start with these quantization relations:

$$\theta | \psi_{0} \rangle = \bar{q} | \psi_{0} \rangle \theta \quad , \qquad \langle \psi_{0} | \bar{\theta} = q \; \bar{\theta} \; \langle \psi_{0} |$$

$$\theta | \psi_{1} \rangle = | \psi_{1} \rangle \; \theta \quad , \qquad \langle \psi_{1} | \; \bar{\theta} = \; \bar{\theta} \; \langle \psi_{1} |$$

$$\theta | \psi_{2} \rangle = q | \psi_{2} \rangle \; \theta \quad , \qquad \langle \psi_{2} | \; \bar{\theta} = \bar{q} \; \bar{\theta} \; \langle \psi_{2} |$$

$$\bar{\theta} | \psi_{0} \rangle = q | \psi_{0} \rangle \; \bar{\theta} \quad , \qquad \theta \; \langle \psi_{0} | = q \langle \psi_{0} | \theta$$

$$\bar{\theta} | \psi_{1} \rangle = | \psi_{1} \rangle \; \bar{\theta} \quad , \qquad \theta \; \langle \psi_{1} | = \langle \psi_{1} | \theta$$

$$\bar{\theta} | \psi_{2} \rangle = \bar{q} | \psi_{2} \rangle \; \bar{\theta} \quad , \qquad \theta \; \langle \psi_{2} | = \bar{q} \langle \psi_{2} | \theta.$$

$$(3.40)$$

The same relations hold between $|\phi_i\rangle$ and θ and $\bar{\theta}$. Let us define the operators b, b^{\sharp} and b_z as:

$$b := \sqrt{\rho_1} |\psi_0\rangle \langle \phi_1| + \sqrt{\rho_2} |\psi_1\rangle \langle \phi_2|,$$

$$b^{\sharp} := \eta^{-1} b^{\dagger} \eta = \sqrt{\rho_1} |\psi_1\rangle \langle \phi_0| + \sqrt{\rho_2} |\psi_2\rangle \langle \phi_1|,$$
$$b_z := [b, b^{\sharp}]_q := bb^{\sharp} - qb^{\sharp}b.$$

Using the explicit form of the operators b, b^{\sharp} and b_z we will try to find the conditions which make it possible that these operators be the generators of $su_q(2)$ Lie algebra. To do so consider the commutation relation between b and b_z

$$[b_z, b]_q = (\rho_1 - q\rho_2 + q^2\rho_1)\sqrt{\rho_1}|\psi_0\rangle\langle\phi_1| + (\rho_2 - q\rho_1 + q^2\rho_2)\sqrt{\rho_2}|\psi_1\rangle\langle\phi_2|, \tag{3.41}$$

to get the proper solution we have:

$$(\rho_1 - q\rho_2 + q^2\rho_1) = (\rho_2 - q\rho_1 + q^2\rho_2),$$

or equivalently

$$(1+q+q^2)(\rho_1-\rho_2) = 0 \Rightarrow q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, \tag{3.42}$$

with the above restriction the Eq. (3.41) reduces to the following forms:

$$[b_z, b]_q = (\rho_1 - q\rho_2 + q^2\rho_1)b = (\rho_2 - q\rho_1 + q^2\rho_2)b.$$
(3.43)

The same condition, Eq. (3.42), is required for the commutator of b^{\sharp} and b_z to satisfy the $su_q(2)$ algebra then we have:

$$\begin{cases}
[b, b^{\sharp}]_{q} = b_{z} \\
[b_{z}, b]_{q} = (\rho_{1} - q\rho_{2} + q^{2}\rho_{1})b = (\rho_{2} - q\rho_{1} + q^{2}\rho_{2})b \\
[b^{\sharp}, b_{z}]_{q} = (\rho_{1} - q\rho_{2} + q^{2}\rho_{1})b^{\sharp} = (\rho_{2} - q\rho_{1} + q^{2}\rho_{2})b^{\sharp}
\end{cases} (3.44)$$

Considering the Eq. (3.14), the coherent states in question are:

$$b |\theta\rangle = \theta |\theta\rangle$$

and $|\theta\rangle$ can be found as:

$$|\theta\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle + \frac{\bar{q}}{\sqrt{\rho_1}} \theta |\psi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}} \theta^2 |\psi_2\rangle$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{\bar{q}}{\sqrt{\rho_1}} \theta b^{\sharp} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}} \theta^2 b^{\sharp^2}\right) |\psi_0\rangle$$
(3.45)

where in the second equality in Eq. (3.45) we have used the operator b^{\sharp} to introduce $|\theta\rangle$ in terms of $|\psi_0\rangle$ and finally based on the definition of the q-exponential function, Eq. (3.22), we have:

$$|\theta\rangle = e_q^{(b^{\sharp}\theta)} |\psi_0\rangle.$$

To get the dual space coherent states we recall the \tilde{b}

$$\tilde{b} = \eta b \eta^{-1} = \sqrt{\rho_1} |\phi_0\rangle \langle \psi_1| + \sqrt{\rho_2} |\phi_1\rangle \langle \psi_2|,$$

the dual space coherent states are the eigen states of \tilde{b} :

$$\tilde{b} \mid \tilde{\theta} \rangle = \theta \mid \tilde{\theta} \rangle,$$

then the dual GPHCS states are:

$$|\tilde{\theta}\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle + \frac{\bar{q}}{\sqrt{\rho_1}} \theta |\phi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}} \theta^2 |\phi_2\rangle = \eta |\theta\rangle,$$
 (3.46)

and using the the definition of q-exponential function, Eq. (3.22), we get:

$$|\tilde{\theta}\rangle = e_q^{(\tilde{b}^{\sharp'}\theta)} |\psi_0\rangle.$$
 (3.47)

3.6 Resolution of Identity

This section is treats the over completeness of the generalized Grassmannian coherent state for three level system. Considering the Eq. (3.30) the weight function of three level system is:

$$w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{2} \alpha_{ij} \theta^{i} \bar{\theta}^{j}.$$

Taking into account the pseudo-Hermiticity of the system, both of the following integrals do not satisfy the resolution of identity i.e.,

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\theta\rangle\langle\theta| \neq I, \qquad \int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\tilde{\theta}\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}| \neq I$$

but like that of the GPHCS, Eq. (3.32), we can get the over completeness for the integrals:

$$\int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\theta\rangle\langle\tilde{\theta}| = \int d\bar{\theta} \ d\theta \ w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) \ |\tilde{\theta}\rangle\langle\theta| = I$$

with the following proper weight function:

$$w(\theta, \bar{\theta}) = \rho_1 \rho_2 + \frac{\rho_1}{q} \theta \bar{\theta} + \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2$$

3.7 Stability

Turning to the results of section 3.4 we will study the stability of generalized Grassmannian coherent states of $SU_q(2)$. As mentioned in section 3.4, time evolution of coherent states governed by Hamiltonian and the evolved coherent states will be coherent states if they remain as the eigen states of annihilation operator i.e., Eq. (3.37). Now considering Eq. (3.37) and the explicit form of $SU_q(2)$ coherent states i.e, Eq. (3.45) the evolved coherent state is:

$$|\theta, t\rangle = e^{-iE_0t}|\psi_0\rangle + \frac{\bar{q}}{\sqrt{\rho_1}} e^{-iE_1t}\theta |\psi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_1\rho_2}} e^{-iE_2t}\theta^2 |\psi_2\rangle$$
 (3.48)

Taking into account that $E_0=-E$, $E_1=0$ and $E_2=E$, we put $\theta(t)=e^{-iEt}\theta$ and rewrite the above equation in the form

$$|\theta,t\rangle = e^{iEt} \left(|\psi_0\rangle + \frac{\bar{q}}{\sqrt{\rho_1}} \; \theta(t) \; |\psi_1\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}} \; \theta^2(t) \; |\psi_2\rangle \right) = e^{iEt} \; |\theta(t)\rangle$$

which manifests the stability of the time evolution of CS $|\theta\rangle$.

3.8 Squeezed states

Based on the definition of standard squeezing operator we define generalized Grassmannian pseudo-Hermitian squeezing operator as follows:

$$S(\theta) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\theta b^{\sharp^2} - \bar{\theta}b^2)\right],$$
 (3.49)

it is easy to check that for three level system, $SU_q(2)$ algebra, the operators b^3 and b^{\sharp^3} are zero then the squeezing operator is reduced to:

$$S(\theta) = I + \frac{1}{2} (\theta b^{\sharp^2} - \bar{\theta} b^2) - \frac{\bar{q}}{4} \theta \bar{\theta} (b^{\sharp^2} b^2 + q b^2 b^{\sharp^2}). \tag{3.50}$$

The generalized Grassmannian pseudo-Hermitian squeezed states by definition are obtained from the application of the $S(\theta)$ on the ground state, $|\psi_0\rangle$, i.e.:

$$|\xi\rangle = S(\theta)|\psi_0\rangle. \tag{3.51}$$

Using Eq. (3.50) we have

$$|\xi\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}{2}\theta|\psi_2\rangle - \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{4}\theta\bar{\theta}|\psi_0\rangle,$$

$$= (1 - \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{4}\theta\bar{\theta})|\psi_0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}{2}\theta|\psi_2\rangle. \tag{3.52}$$

similar to the case of GPHCS, there is another family of generalized Grassmannian pseudo-Hermitian squeezed states which can be obtained from the action of η on $|\xi\rangle$, Eq. (3.46), then

$$|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = \eta |\xi\rangle = (1 - \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{4} \theta \bar{\theta}) |\phi_0\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}{2} \theta |\phi_2\rangle.$$
 (3.53)

Finally we define the operator $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ in terms of $S(\theta)$ as follow

$$\tilde{S}(\theta) = \eta S(\theta) \eta^{-1}, \tag{3.54}$$

using the Eq. (3.50), make it possible to rewrite $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ as:

$$\tilde{S}(\theta) = I + \frac{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}{2} \left(\theta |\phi_2\rangle \langle \psi_0| - \bar{\theta} |\phi_0\rangle \langle \psi_2| \right) - \frac{\bar{q}\rho_1 \rho_2}{4} \theta \bar{\theta} \left(|\phi_2\rangle \langle \psi_0| + q |\phi_0\rangle \langle \psi_2| \right),$$

and considering the fact that for $SU_q(2)$ algebra the operators $\tilde{b}^{\sharp'^3}$ and $\tilde{b^3}$ are zero then we can get the exponential form of $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ as:

$$\tilde{S}(\theta) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\theta \tilde{b}^{\sharp \prime 2} - \bar{\theta} \tilde{b}^{2})\right].$$

4 Conclusion

Generalized Grassmannian coherent states associated to the pseudo-Hermitian lowering operator, which annihilate the eigen-basis of n level pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian H are constructed. Taking to account the bi-orthonormal nature of the pseudo-Hermitian system, it is possible to prepare two families of the coherent states. Meanwhile resolution of identity is discussed and it is explored that, the resulted coherent states satisfy the bi-over-completeness condition instead of over-completeness one i.e., their bi-over-completeness inherited from the bi-orthonormality of the pseudo-Hermitian eigen-basis. Furthermore as a special case the generalized Grassmannian coherent states of q-deformed SU(2), $SU_q(2)$, for 3 level system were studied in detail and finally the squeezed states of this three level system were introduced. Finally we note that the construction of GPHCS outlined here may also be extended to compound systems of the fermionic and Grassmannian density matrix in quantum information theory.

References

- O. Cherbal, M. Drir, M. Maamache, and D.A. Trifonov, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 1835, (2007).
- [2] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer and F. J. W. Hahne, Ann. Phys. (NY) 213, 74 (1992).
- [3] C. M. Bender, and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5243 (1998).
- [4] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisenger, J. Math. Phys. 40, 2201 (1999).
- [5] C. M. Bender, and G. V. Dunne, J. Math. Phys. 40, 4616 (1999).
- [6] C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, and P. N. Meisenger, Phys. Lett. A 252, 272 (1999).
- [7] F. Cannata, G. Junker, and J. Trost, Phys. Lett. A 246, 219 (1998).

[8] M. Znojil, F. Cannata, B. Bagchi, and R. Roychoudhury, Phys. Lett. B 483, 284 (2000).

- [9] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 205 (2002).
- [10] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 2814 (2002).
- [11] R. Kerner, J. Math. Phys **33** 403 (1992).
- [12] A. T. Filippov, A. P. Isaev, A. B. Kurdikov, Theor. Math. Phys **94**, 150 (1993).
- [13] S. Majid, J. Math. Phys **35** 3753 (1994).
- [14] A. P. Isaev, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A, **12**,201 (1997).
- [15] L. F. Cugliandolo, G. S. Lozano, E. F. Moreno, F. A. Schaposnik, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A, 19, 1705 (2004).
- [16] K. N. Ilinski, G. V. Kalinin, A. S. Stepanenko, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen, 30, 5299 (1997).
- [17] B. Bagchi and C. Quesne, Mod. Phys. Lett A 16, 2449, (2001).
- [18] B. Roy, P. Roy, Phys Lett A, **359**, 110, (2006).
- [19] L. H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory, Cambridge University Press, London (1996).
- [20] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **92** (1953) 1283.
- [21] G. Najarbashi and Y. Maleki, arXiv: quant-ph/1004.3703 (2010).
- [22] G. Najarbashi, M. A. Fasihi, F. Mirmasoudi, S. Mirzaei, "Entanglement of Fermionic Coherent States for Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian", accepted in IICQI-10, Kish Island (2010).
- [23] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev, **131**, 2766 (1963).
- [24] G. Scolarici, L. Solombrino, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 055303, (2009).