

# Performance study of wavelength shifting acrylic plastic for Cherenkov light detection

B. Beckford<sup>a</sup>, A. De la Puente<sup>e</sup>, Y. Fujii<sup>b</sup>, O. Hashimoto<sup>b,\*</sup>, M. Kaneta<sup>b</sup>, H. Kanda<sup>b</sup>, K. Maeda<sup>b</sup>, A. Matsumura<sup>b</sup>, S. N. Nakamura<sup>b</sup>, N. Perez<sup>c</sup>, J. Reinhold<sup>c</sup>, L. Tang<sup>d</sup>, K. Tsukada<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>American Physical Society, One Physics Ellipse, College Park, MD, 20740, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8578, Japan

<sup>c</sup>Department of Physics, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

<sup>d</sup>Department of Physics, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA

<sup>e</sup>TRIUMF Laboratory, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 2A3, Canada

## Abstract

The collection efficiency for Cherenkov light incident on a wavelength shifting plate (WLS) has been determined during a beam test at the Proton Synchrotron facility located in the National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK), Tsukuba, Japan. The experiment was conducted in order to determine the detector's response to photoelectrons converted from photons produced by a fused silica radiator; this allows for an approximation of the detector's quality. The yield of the photoelectrons produced through internally generated Cherenkov light as well as light incident from the radiator was measured as a function of the momentum of the incident hadron beam. The yield is proportional to  $\sin^2\theta_c$ , where  $\theta_c$  is the opening angle of the Cherenkov light created. Based on estimations and results from similarly conducted tests, where the collection efficiency was roughly 39%, the experimental result was expected to be around 40% for internally produced light from the WLS. The results of the experiment determined the photon collection response efficiency of the WLS to be roughly 62% for photons created in a fused silica radiator and 41% for light created in the WLS.

*Keywords:* Cherenkov, Fused Silica, Wavelength shifter

## 1. Introduction

The use of Cherenkov detectors is now commonplace in the field of nuclear and particle physics. They have been implemented mainly for their particle identification abilities. The number of photons

produced per unit energy and path length, for a particle  $z$ , is [1–3]

$$\frac{d^2N}{dEdx} = \frac{2\pi\alpha z^2}{hc} \sin^2\theta_c \quad (1)$$

in terms of wavelength it may be written as:

$$\frac{d^2N}{d\lambda dx} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2 z^2}{\lambda^2} \sin^2\theta_c \quad (2)$$

Cherenkov detectors are known for requiring a high efficiency in detection and acquisition of pho-

\*Deceased

Email address: beckford@aps.org (B. Beckford)

tons in the ultra-violet range of the electromagnetic spectrum. The distribution of the Cherenkov radiation follows  $dN/d\lambda \propto 1/\lambda^2$  as implied in equation 2 [3]. The number of photoelectrons, produced in a Cherenkov radiator per unit of length  $L$ , that will be detected by a PMT is given by:

$$dN_{p.e.} = 370eV^{-1}cm^{-1}L \int \epsilon_{coll}(E)\sin^2\theta_c(E)dE(3)$$

where, both  $\epsilon(E)$ , the efficiency for collecting Cherenkov light and converting it into photoelectrons, and  $\sin^2\theta_c(E)$  are functions of energy, and  $L$  is the thickness of the radiator. [2]. If the previous equation is intergrated over all photon energy ranges such that:

$$N_o = 370eV^{-1}cm^{-1} \int \epsilon_{coll}(E)dE \quad (4)$$

an approximation of the total number of photoelectrons detected can be written as:

$$N_{p.e.} \approx LN_o\langle\sin^2\theta_c\rangle \quad (5)$$

where,  $\langle\sin^2\theta_c\rangle$  is the average squared opening Cherenkov angle, for the photon energy range, and  $N_o$  is the Cherenkov detector's quality  $N_o$ , also referred to as it's *figure of merit*. Therefore, the collection efficiency of the produced Cherenkov radiation is dependent on the sensitivity of the detector to light in the UV range. Most commonly produced detectors using photomultipliers are known to have collection efficiencies of in the range of 20 – 25% at 400–420 nm wavelengths. A stratagem that has been adopted is the use of wavelength shifter to bolster the




Figure 1: Wavelength shifting plastic's absorption and fluorescence spectrum. [10]

light yield. The principle lies in using the wavelength shifter, an organic chemical additives that converts the wavelength of absorbed photons from a shorter to a longer wavelength. The additive has a high absorption ability around 350 nm, as shown in Figure 1, where the amplitude of absorption and fluorescence as a functions of wavelengths are shown. Evidently, the absorbed light will be reemitted at a longer wavelength, hence achieving a match between the wavelength shifter peak emission with that of the photomultiplier (PMT). However, an over abundance in the addition of the wavelength shifter can result in a loss of the signal light as a result of attenuation.

### 1.1. Motivation

In this paper, we studied the photon detection capability ( $N_o$ ) of an acrylic plastic detector that used a WLS additive. It is widely known that the yield of Cherenkov detectors are readily improved with the addition of a WLS. This work is a continuation of a previous study conducted using aerogel as a radiator and WLS as a collector. The collection efficiency of Cherenkov light created within the aerogel furnished

poor results due to scattering within the aerogel, yet still be indicated that the collection efficiency was for UV photons to approximately 41% [4]. There have been advances in other detectors that are composed of aerogel, and wavelength shifter such as the one developed by Novosibirsk [5]. Other efforts have shown an increase in light yield of a factor of roughly 4 for water Cherenkov detectors [6]. Extensive investigations have been performed on different variations of fused silica as Cherenkov radiators in order to determine their optical properties and radiation hardness [7]. As such, an evaluation of WLS collection capability was performed with a synthetic fused silica as a more defined radiator [8]. The results of this experiment will clarify some unanswered questions and will be used to improve upon or decide whether a proposed one-dimensional RICH detector, that uses wave-length shifter bars, would be more efficient and can be used to replace a conventional RICH detector.

## 2. Experimental Setup

### 2.1. Detector Design

A test experiment was designed to ascertain the usefulness of a detector that incorporates a WLS plastic. The detector consisted of a synthetically made fused silica (FS) radiator that was placed 15.0 cm from the wavelength shifter.

The radiator had an index of refraction ( $n_r$ ) = 1.476 corresponding to a wavelength of  $\lambda = 351$  nm, and the physical dimensions of 50 x 50 x 8 mm<sup>3</sup>. A complete listing of the fused silica's index of re-




Figure 2: Transmittance spectrum of UV grade fused silica. [8]

fraction as a function of wavelength is presented in Table 1.

The acrylic plastic bar was 1.27 x 10 x 30.5 cm<sup>3</sup> in size and contained a wavelength shifting fluorescent additive [10]. The transmittance of the radiator is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 3: Schematic view of the Cherenkov detector setup along hadron beam line. The three orientations in which the beam transversed the detector are shown by the solid black lines.

The light that falls upon the wavelength shifter in addition to the Cherenkov light created in the bar was converted to a longer wavelength and was isotropically re-emitted [4]. The peak of the fluorescence

Table 1: Fused silica refractive index. [9]

| Wavelength(nm) | Index of refraction(n) |
|----------------|------------------------|
| 296.7          | 1.48873                |
| 302.2          | 1.48719                |
| 330.3          | 1.48054                |
| 340.4          | 1.47858                |
| 351.1          | 1.47671                |
| 361.1          | 1.47513                |
| 365.0          | 1.47454                |
| 404.7          | 1.46962                |
| 435.8          | 1.46669                |
| 441.6          | 1.46622                |
| 457.9          | 1.46498                |
| 476.5          | 1.46372                |
| 486.1          | 1.46313                |
| 496.5          | 1.46252                |
| 514.5          | 1.46156                |
| 532.0          | 1.46071                |
| 546.1          | 1.46008                |

spectrum, 400 - 450 nm, lies in the peak effective range of the photomultiplier. Having an index of refraction of  $n_{wls} = 1.49$ , the critical angle for total internal reflection is  $42^\circ$  for the WLS. The estimated loss of light that is emitted below the critical angle is roughly 51%. The quantum efficiency of the WLS is in the range of 84%, therefore, 41% of the light isotropically emitted should reflect along the length of the wavelength shifting bar towards the attached photomultipliers.

A pair of 12.5 cm diameter photomultipliers (PMTs) (XP457B/D1) [11] were glued to both ends of the wavelength shifter with optical cement (EJ-500) [12]. The radiator was held in position using black foam core board and the entire setup was contained within a light tight box. The implementation of the black foam core along with covering the interiors surfaces of the detector was undertaken to prevent any re-scattering of photons within the detector housing.

## 2.2. Method




Figure 4: Time-of-Flight (TOF) distribution for pions, protons, and deuterons, left to right respectively, at 1.5 GeV/c momentum.

The detector was placed along one of the secondary hadron beam line of the KEK facility. The secondary beam provided a mixed hadron beam consisting of protons, kaons, pions, and deuterons with momentum up to 2 GeV/c. Figure 3 shows the ex-

perimental detector arrangement along the beam line. The beam was extracted at several momenta; the momenta selected for the detector test were 1.05, 1.2, 1.35, 1.5 and 1.7 GeV/c.

The Cherenkov opening angle can be determined from the relation:

$$\cos \theta_c = \frac{1}{\beta n} \quad (6)$$

and, thus, the opening angle for a particle whose velocity  $\beta = v/c$ , where  $\beta$  is the ratio of a particles velocity in the medium to that of light in a vacuum, equal to 1 is  $52.6^\circ$ . There were two small crossed TOF scintillators placed at the beginning and end of the beam line. The initial orientation was such that the beam was incident on the radiator as well as the WLS. This is illustrated by position 2 in Figure 3. Cherenkov light can also be produced as the hadron beam transversed the WLS. Therefore, the detector was moved to an orientation in which the beam would be directed only through the WLS and not the radiator. This was performed for both positions left and right of the radiator. Movement of the detector position allowed the calculation of the light output of the radiator from the difference between the light output gathered with beam center and that of the average of the left and right positions, where the Cherenkov light yielded was purely from the WLS. Positions 1 and 3 in Figure 3 displays these orientations.




Figure 5: Uncalibrated superposition of the pedestal and first photoelectron peak. The upper figure is the response of the right PMT, the lower is the response of the left PMT, with respect to the beam line.

### 3. Data Analysis

The time of flight distribution was a necessary part of the data analysis technique. It allowed for the proper timing cuts to be made in order to separate the light generated by each type of particle. Figure 4 illustrates a typical TOF distribution for pions, protons, and deuterons, left to right respectively, at 1.5 GeV/c momentum. Using the determined tim-

Table 2: Photoelectron yield for protons at various beam momenta

| $P(\text{GeV}/c)$ | N.p.e(Cntr.)   | N.p.e(L)       | N.p.e(R)       | N.p.e(Rad.)    |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.05              | $23.9 \pm 1.8$ | $15.1 \pm 2.2$ | $14.1 \pm 1.8$ | $9.3 \pm 2.1$  |
| 1.20              | $32.5 \pm 1.2$ | $21.2 \pm 1.1$ | $19.4 \pm 1.1$ | $13.1 \pm 1.4$ |
| 1.35              | $38.9 \pm 0.8$ | $24.4 \pm 1.0$ | $22.8 \pm 1.3$ | $17.3 \pm 1.4$ |
| 1.50              | $44.8 \pm 1.1$ | $25.6 \pm 1.8$ | $26.7 \pm 1.2$ | $18.1 \pm 1.6$ |
| 1.70              | $46.4 \pm 1.6$ | $31.2 \pm 1.8$ | $29.2 \pm 2.4$ | $17.5 \pm 1.7$ |

ing conditions the appropriate cuts on the raw ADC spectra were performed. The hadron beam consisted of kaons protons, deuterons and pions , however, the Cherenkov light that would be created from pions and kaons as they transversed the fused silica would be emitted above the the critical angle for internal reflection, and would subsequently be confined within the radiator. The light collected was from chiefly pions and protons, but the analysis focused on the light produced from protons. The raw ADC spectra were calibrated to show the number of photoelectrons by determining the ADC pedestal channel position and ADC channel position of the one photoelectron distribution. The difference between the pedestal and the position of the one photoelectron distribution translates into a proportionality between the collected charge at the anode and the number of collected photoelectrons.

An uncalibrated overlay of the pedestal and first photoelectron peak is illustrated in Figure 5. Data were obtained by triggering the data acquisition randomly and with low thresholds on the individual PMTs. The photoelectron production of the radiator at each momentum was determined by subtracting the average photoelectron yield of beam left and right, from the photoelectron yield at the beam center position. Where, at the beam center position the number of photoelectrons detected is a combination of the amount generated by the FS and the WLS. The calibrated photoelectron yield for protons at 1.5 GeV/c beam left and right orientations are




Figure 6: Calibrated photoelectron yield for protons at 1.5 GeV/c for detector orientations. The upper figure is for the beam right position, the lower is for the beam left position. The number of photoelectrons collected was  $25.6 \pm 1.8$  and  $26.7 \pm 1.2$  for the left and right orientations respectively.

given in Figure 6 In the figure the upper panel is the beam right position, the lower is the beam left position. The number of photoelectrons collected was  $25.6 \pm 1.8$  and  $26.7 \pm 1.2$  for the left and right orientations respectively.

#### 4. Results

The number of Cherenkov photons detected for each momenta and detector orientation are listed in Table 2. In these results presented in this study only the statistical error are reported. In Figure 7 the internally created light by the WLS and radiator, the WLS for the hadron beam transiting left and right, and externally produced light of the fused silica ra-

diator as a function of momentum are shown as open circles, solid triangles, and solid circles respectively.




Figure 7: Number of photoelectrons as a function of momentum [GeV/c] created in the WLS and fused silica. Data for WLS and fused silica (open circle), WLS (triangles), and fused silica (FS) (solid circle) are plotted.




Figure 8: Number of photoelectrons as a function of  $\sin^2 \theta_c$  for the WLS (open circles) and fused silica (FS) (solid circle) responses are plotted. Linear, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial fits are shown, where  $\epsilon_{coll}$  and the index of refraction for the radiator  $n_r$  are both free parameters

The data were fitted to a linear dependence of  $N_{p,e} \cong LN_0 \sin^2 \theta_c$ , where the radiator index of refrac-

tion  $n_r$  is 1.476, for corresponding wavelength of  $\lambda = 351$  nm and  $N_0$  is a free parameter, a determination of the quality of the detector, and  $L$  is the thickness of the radiator. As shown, the last data point does not follow the increasing trend of the other data points, indicating that the number of photons collected was reduced due to partial internal reflection of photons within the radiator above 1.5 GeV/c or as a consequence of photon loss that may have occurred between the air and WLS boundaries. The normalization of the slope to the radiator thickness of 0.8 cm, yielded a value of  $N_o = 63.86$ , this would in turn, correspond to a collection efficiency of 64% for external light produced by the radiator. The number of photoelectron detected that were produced internally by the WLS itself, was calculated to be 41%.

In Figure 8 the number of photoelectrons detected as a function of the Cherenkov angles are given. If both  $\epsilon_{coll}$  and the index of refraction for the radiator  $n_r$  are left as free parameters for fitting the experimental data, as shown in Figure 8 by the dotted curve, the calculated collection efficiency is approximately 61.5%.

#### 4.1. Discussion

A wavelength-shifting Cherenkov detector was developed and a test experiment was performed at the Proton Synchrotron facility located in the National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK), Tsukuba, Japan. For Cherenkov photons incident on the WLS, a collection efficiency of 64% was determined. Considering the results of both fitting pro-

cedures, the collection efficiency can be concluded to be between 61 – 64% for Cherenkov photons created in the fused silica. These values are comparable to more traditional means of detecting photons in RICH detectors, like CsI photocathodes or large PMT arrays. Therefore, we are currently investigating the feasibility of using arrays of WLS bars as a means of constructing cost efficient one-dimensional RICH detectors. The design considers the use of an array of plastic bars with a wavelength shifter substance. These bars are read out by photomultipliers to map the Cherenkov cones in one dimension instead of the two-dimensional mapping of conventional RICH. The main goal is to reduce the number of PMT and the associated electronics, which in turn, reduces construction and operational costs.

#### 4.2. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the staff of KEK accelerator facility. And we would also like to thank the faculty and students of Florida International University and Tohoku University for their collaboration on this project.

### 5. References

#### References

[1] I.E. Tamm ,et al., Coherent Radiation of Fast Electrons in a Medium SSSR, 14 107 (1937)  
 [2] B.N. Ratcliff, Particle Physics Booklet. (2004)  
 [3] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition. Wiley, New York, 1998  
 [4] M. Carl, et al., Nuclear Instr. And Methods. A 527 (2004)

[5] A.Yu. Barnyakov, et al., Nuclear Instr. And Methods. A 553 (2005)  
 [6] X. Dai, et al., Nuclear Instr. And Methods. A 589 (2008)  
 [7] J. Cohen-Tanugi, et al., Nuclear Instr. And Methods. A 515 (2003)  
 [8] Edmund Optics Inc.101 East Gloucester Pike, Barrington, NJ 08007-1380 USA  
 [9] Melles Griot Optics Guide <http://www.mellesgriot.com/pdf/CatalogX/X-04-11-13.pdf>  
 [10] Elgen Technology, PO BOx 870, 300 Crane Street, Sweetwater, TX 79550, USA.  
 [11] Photonis Imaging Sensors, Avenue Roger Roncier. Z.I. Beauregard, B.P. 520 19106 Brive Cedex, France.  
 [12] Eljen Technology, Apace Science Inc, Mitomo-Bldg. 2-6F, 2-8, Ebisu-Nishi 2-Chome, Shibuya-Ku, Tokyo, Japan 150-0021