

# Allometric Scaling of Countries

Jiang Zhang, Tongkui Yu

*Department of Systems Science, School of Management, Beijing Normal University,  
Beijing, 100875*

---

## Abstract

As huge complex systems consisting of geographic regions, natural resources, people and economic entities, countries follow the allometric scaling law which is ubiquitous in ecological, urban systems. We systematically investigated the allometric scaling relationships between a large number of macroscopic properties and geographic (area), demographic (population) and economic (GDP, gross domestic production) sizes of countries respectively. We found that most of the economic, trade, energy consumption, communication related properties have significant super-linear (the exponent is larger than 1) or nearly linear allometric scaling relations with GDP. Meanwhile, the geographic (arable area, natural resources, etc.), demographic (labor force, military age population, etc.) and transportation-related properties (road length, airports) have significant and sub-linear (the exponent is smaller than 1) allometric scaling relations with area. Several differences of power law relations with respect to population between countries and cities were pointed out. Firstly, population increases sub-linearly with area in countries. Secondly, GDP increases linearly in countries but not super-linearly as in cities. Finally, electricity or oil consumptions per capita increases with population faster than cities.

*Keywords:* Allometry, Power Law Relation, Macro-Economy

---

## 1. Introduction

Scientists always look for universal patterns of complex systems. As huge complex systems consisting of geographic regions, natural resources, people

---

*Email address:* zhangjiang@bnu.edu.cn (Jiang Zhang, Tongkui Yu)

and economic entities, countries also share some common features despite their different latitudes, climates and cultures. For example, bigger countries in area always have greater population; richer countries always provide more healthy lives for people[1]. Nevertheless, these features are just qualitative, vague and subjective judgements. The quantitative universal patterns which are reflected by the mathematical relations between macro-variables such as GDP, population, total oil consumptions etc. are very necessary for macroeconomics of countries[1, 2].

Scaling property is one of the most important universal quantitative laws governing different dynamics of complex systems[3–5]. Allometric scaling particularly describes the power law relation between two variables[6]. In biology, the allometric scaling relation between metabolism and body size is also known as Kleiber’s law[5, 7, 8]. Biologists found not only metabolism but also other important biological variables including heart beat frequency, life span, fertility rate and so on all exhibit power law relationships with the body size of species[5, 9].

Allometric scaling law can be also extended to the larger complex systems such as cities [10–14]. The power law relation between area and population is one of the earliest allometries found in cities [14]. Recently, more scaling relations were discovered due to more data of cities are available. The scaling relation between energy consumption and population which is a parallel of Kleiber’s law is discovered for cities [10, 13, 15]. Not only energy consumption but also GDP has a power law relation with population of cities with an exponent around 1.20. In [12], authors systematically studied allometric scaling relations between various properties including inventors, total wages, GDP, total housing, etc. and population of cities. All of these properties fall into three groups according to the exponents. The economic and innovation related variables have super-linear relationship with population (i.e., the exponent is larger than 1); the household properties have nearly linear relationship (i.e., the exponent is approaching 1), and the energy consumption and infrastructure-related properties have sub-linear relationship (i.e., the exponent is smaller than 1). Allometries between population and GDP for cities in a province have been also studied[16]. The exponent in this special allometric scaling relation is larger than 1.

Although less attention was paid on the allometric scaling of countries than cities, some existing studies should be noted. Roehner has studied the power law relationship between the export value and population of countries[17]. He found a  $3/4$  power law relation by grouping countries in different cate-

gories according to GDP per capita. Miguel [18] studied the  $CO_2$  emission of countries as the metabolism of the whole country and compared to the biological allometries.

This paper will try to find the possible universal allometric scaling of countries by systematic exploring. It is organized as follows. The first part introduces the methods of our systematic exploring. The results are discussed in section 3. We will show different allometries between variables and area as the geographic size, population as the demographic size and GDP as the economic size of countries in section 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 respectively. Section 3.4 mainly discusses the power law relation between population and GDP. In section 3.5, we also investigate the allometric scaling relationships between intensive variables. We discussed the major axis regression method and the comparison between cities and countries in section 4

## 2. Methods

We investigated the possible allometric scaling relationships between macro-variables of countries by empirical data. The main source of the data is from the software Mathematica. An online database with hundreds of properties for various countries around the world is accessible through the embedded command "CountryData" in Mathematica. These data is from the authoritative organizations, magazines, year books and websites such as Encyclopaedia Britannica, Britannica Book of the Year, Encyclopaedia Britannica, United States National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, GeoHive: Global Statistics, World Health Organization, etc.[19]. The carbon emission data is from United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change web site [20].

We systematically investigate totally 104 properties of 273 countries or regions. Firstly, these properties are classified as extensive variables (87 variables) and intensive variables (17 variables). Extensive ones are the gross quantities of countries such as total area, GDP etc. Intensive variables are the rates or ratios of several extensive variables such as GDP per capita, birth rate etc. All variables are classified into 11 different categories including geographical properties, natural resources related properties etc. The variables with their categories, features, demonstrations are listed in Appendix A.

The allometric scaling law always indicates power law relationship between macro-variable and the size of the system. For living organism, the size of the system is its body size or body mass[9]. For cities, population is

always used as the measurement of city size [12, 21]. However, it is not clear what property is the size of a country. We can say the size of a country in three different senses, area, population or GDP. Thus, we studied the allometric scalings of countries between the given extensive macro-variables and the geographic size(area), demographic size(population) and economic size (GDP) of countries separately. We suppose the following equation holds:

$$X = cM^\alpha \tag{1}$$

Where  $X$  is one of the extensive macro-variables,  $M$  is the area or population or GDP of a country.  $c$  and  $\alpha$  are numbers we should estimate. Where,  $\alpha$  is the power law exponent of the allometry which is the most important parameter. We suppose that the equation holds for all countries. Thus we use linear regression method to find the best fitting line on log-log coordinates, and estimate the parameters  $c$  and  $\alpha$ . From equation 1, another equation can be derived:

$$X/M = cM^{\alpha-1} \tag{2}$$

Note that the left hand of the equation  $X/M$  is the average value of  $X$  per size. For example, if  $X$  is GDP,  $M$  is population, then  $X/M$  is GDP per capita. So if  $\alpha > 1$ , the property  $X$  has a super linear relation with respect to  $M$ , i.e. GDP per capita increases with the size  $M$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha < 1$  means  $X$  has a sub linear relation with respect to  $M$ , the average value  $X/M$  decreases as the size of country  $X$  increases. And the linear relationship can be got with  $\alpha \approx 1$ [12].

We adopt major axis (MA) approach instead of ordinary least square (OLS) regression to make linear regression on log-log coordinate[22–24]. MA method is preferable because our main purpose in this paper is to summarize the relationship between  $M$  and  $X$  but not predict  $X$  according to  $M$  [22, 23]. And OLS always give biased estimations. From figure 1, we can see the obvious difference between slopes estimated by different methods. The line regressed by MA method locates in the middle of the data band because this method tries to minimize the total distances from data points to the regression line both from  $x$  and  $y$  directions, but not only the  $y$  direction as OLS method does [22, 23]. However, we both list exponent ( $\alpha$ ) estimated by MA method and  $\alpha'$  by OLS method in tables 1,2,4 and 5 to compare these two methods and their predicted exponents.

We systematically investigate the power law relations between any given property of the 87 extensive variables and three kinds of sizes. The  $87 \times 3 =$




Figure 1: Different Regression Methods Applied on Population and GDP Power Law Relation,  $\alpha = 1.04$  and  $\alpha' = 0.79$

Table 1: Allometric Scaling Relations with respect to Area(Exponent  $\alpha$  is estimated by MA method and  $\alpha'$  is estimated by OLS method. Only the allometries with  $r^2 > 0.6$  are shown here)

Property	$\alpha$	$r^2$	$\alpha'$	Observations
BoundaryLength	$0.52 \pm 0.02$	0.88	0.50	237
ArableLandArea	$1.06 \pm 0.07$	0.81	0.95	218
NaturalResources	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	0.62	0.20	237
Population	$0.77 \pm 0.07$	0.71	0.67	212
MilitaryAgePopulation	$0.75 \pm 0.09$	0.64	0.63	162
LaborForce	$0.84 \pm 0.08$	0.68	0.72	226
AgriculturalValueAdded	$0.79 \pm 0.07$	0.70	0.69	207
Airports	$0.57 \pm 0.04$	0.73	0.52	231
RoadLength	$0.78 \pm 0.05$	0.78	0.71	227

261 regressions are computed. The regressions with significant goodness (the coefficient of determination  $r^2$  is higher than 0.6, where  $r$  is calculated as the correlation coefficient between two variables  $X$  and  $M$  [24]) are shown in tables in next section. We also used the similar method to investigate the regressions of intensive variables with respect to GDP per capita, the results with  $r^2$  larger than 0.4 are kept. Because some of the properties of some countries may be absent, the number of observations is smaller than 273 in some regressions.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Allometric Scaling with respect to Area

Countries are geometric regions that have relatively clear boundaries in the geographic spaces, therefore, area is an important property which can be treated as the geographic size of the countries. We denote area as  $M$ , and other properties as  $X$  to regress on log-log coordinate with the methods mentioned in the previous section. The results are shown in table 1.

Notice that all exponents in table 1 are smaller than 1 except Arable Land Area which should be proportional to areas of countries. That means

all properties have sub-linear allometries with respect to geometric size. As the area increases, the average value of population and number of natural sources etc. per area decreases because more barren places can be observed in countries. The sub-linear allometries with respect to area implies the expansion of territory can not give countries proportional returns.

The first property, boundary length of the countries has an about  $1/2$  power law relation with area. This is the obvious geometric fact which can prove the validity of our method. The allometry between the number of different natural resources and area can be compared to the area-species relationship in ecology [25]. The number of different natural resources in a country which corresponds to the number of different species found in an island indicates the diversity of resources. And the area of country corresponds to the area of island. Therefore, this power law relationship is the extension of area-species relation in country scale. Ecologists found that the power law exponent of species-area relation is from 0.15 to 0.4[26] which is coincident to the number of natural resource-area power law here.

Another interesting fact is the allometric scaling relation between population and area of countries. The exponent of this allometry is approaching  $3/4$  which implies a fractal pattern of human being dwelling. This allometry reminds us the allometric scaling of river basins[27–29]. It is interesting to compare the population-area allometry in cities and countries. Studies on cities showed that the exponent was larger than 1[14], which means the population density (population per area) increases with city area. Nevertheless, the allometry with the exponent being smaller than 1 suggests that the population density decreases with areas of countries. This conclusion is coincident to our observations that big countries always have less dense population.

### *3.2. Allometric Scaling with respect to Population*

Population is an important property for allometries in cities. We also studied the allometric scaling relations between population and other properties of countries. The results are shown in table 2.

One of the obvious facts is all properties (except boundary length) have super linear or linear allometric scaling relationships with respect to population. We know that the geographic, communication related and energy related properties have super linear relation as well as the economic, trade related and transportation properties have approximately linear relations with

Table 2: Allometric Scaling Relations with respect to Population(Exponent  $\alpha$  is estimated by MA method and  $\alpha'$  is estimated by OLS method). Only the allometries with  $r^2 > 0.6$  are shown here

Property	$\alpha$	$r^2$	$\alpha'$	Observations
Area	$1.30 \pm 0.11$	0.71	1.05	212
BoundaryLength	$0.61 \pm 0.07$	0.61	0.53	212
ArableLandArea	$1.31 \pm 0.08$	0.82	1.16	205
IrrigatedLandArea	$1.66 \pm 0.19$	0.63	1.20	173
MilitaryAgePopulation	$1.00 \pm 0.02$	0.99	1.00	161
GDPAtParity	$1.03 \pm 0.08$	0.75	0.89	212
LaborForce	$1.02 \pm 0.03$	0.97	1.00	205
AgriculturalValueAdded	$1.01 \pm 0.05$	0.88	0.95	207
HouseholdConsumption	$1.03 \pm 0.11$	0.64	0.82	206
TotalConsumption	$1.01 \pm 0.11$	0.62	0.79	208
CarbonEmission	$1.30 \pm 0.17$	0.60	0.95	153
CellularPhones	$1.17 \pm 0.09$	0.78	1.02	211
InternetUsers	$1.16 \pm 0.13$	0.61	0.88	208
RoadLength	$1.00 \pm 0.06$	0.82	0.90	210



respect to population. Many economic-related properties such as GDP, government debt are filtered out because their  $r^2$ s are smaller than 0.6. However, we know that the economic-related properties such as GDP, number of new patents always have super-linear relation with population in cities[12]. To make the differences between cities and countries clearer, we list the comparisons of several properties with respect to population between cities and countries in table 3.

Table 3: Comparison of Countries and Cities in Allometric Scaling Relations with respect to Population. ( $\alpha$ , observations and  $r^2$  are parameters of countries.  $\alpha$  in cities are from [12] and [14].)

Property	$\alpha$ in cities	$\alpha$	$r^2$	Observations
Area	0.33-0.91	$1.30 \pm 0.11$	0.71	212
GDP	1.13-1.26	$1.04 \pm 0.12$	0.59	212
Electricity Consumption	1.07	$1.45 \pm 0.19$	0.54	202
HIV AIDS Population	1.23(New aids cases)	$1.88 \pm 0.37$	0.40	163
Household Consumption	1.00-1.05(Household electricity/water consumption)	$1.03 \pm 0.11$	0.64	206
Oil Consumption	0.79(Gasoline sales)	$1.05 \pm 0.13$	0.56	201

We can see that the exponents of countries are very different from cities. Especially, the exponents of GDP (which is not appear in table 2 because its  $r^2$  is smaller than 0.6) is around 1. That means the economic activities per capita doesn't increase with population. The electricity consumption and oil consumption have larger exponents than cities which means the energy consumption per capita increases with population in countries.

Another significant phenomenon in table 3 is the variances(the width of credit interval) of  $\alpha$  are very large, as well as the coefficients of determination are small in countries. (The variances of exponents in cities are much more

smaller than countries according to [12]). That means there are large uncertainties on exponent estimations in countries. Therefore, population may be not an appropriate proxy of size of countries as cities. We will further discuss this problem in sub-sections 3.3 and section 4.

### 3.3. Allometric Scaling with respect to GDP

We study the allometric scaling relations with respect to economic sizes of the countries by the same method, and the results are shown in table 4.

Table 4: Allometric Scaling Relations with respect to GDP(Exponent  $\alpha$  is estimated by MA method and  $\alpha'$  is estimated by OLS method). Only the allometries with  $r^2$  larger than 0.6 are shown. GDP data in 1998 is used to show the relations of Radio Stations and Television Stations with respect to GDP because we have only the data of these two properties in 1998 (See appendix)

Property	$\alpha$	$r^2$	$\alpha'$	Observations
GDPAtParity	$1.02 \pm 0.03$	0.96	0.99	230
GovernmentDebt	$1.10 \pm 0.09$	0.85	1.00	120
GovernmentExpenditures	$1.02 \pm 0.04$	0.93	0.98	224
GovernmentReceipts	$1.04 \pm 0.04$	0.93	1.00	225
GovernmentSurplus	$1.06 \pm 0.07$	0.78	0.93	217
LaborForce	$1.02 \pm 0.09$	0.70	0.85	223
NationalIncome	$0.99 \pm 0.01$	1.00	0.99	208
AgriculturalValueAdded	$0.96 \pm 0.08$	0.73	0.83	207
ConstructionValueAdded	$0.99 \pm 0.03$	0.96	0.97	208
FixedInvestment	$0.98 \pm 0.02$	0.97	0.97	207
GovernmentConsumption	$1.00 \pm 0.04$	0.91	0.96	207
HouseholdConsumption	$0.98 \pm 0.02$	0.98	0.97	206
IndustrialValueAdded	$1.18 \pm 0.03$	0.96	1.15	208
InventoryChange	$1.26 \pm 0.13$	0.67	0.99	193
ManufacturingValueAdded	$1.22 \pm 0.04$	0.95	1.19	208
MiscellaneousValueAdded	$1.05 \pm 0.03$	0.96	1.03	208
TotalConsumption	$0.97 \pm 0.02$	0.98	0.96	208
TradeValueAdded	$1.00 \pm 0.02$	0.97	0.98	207
TransportationValueAdded	$0.99 \pm 0.03$	0.96	0.97	207

Continued on next page

ExportValue	$1.09 \pm 0.05$	0.90	1.03	208
ImportValue	$0.95 \pm 0.04$	0.92	0.92	209
CurrentAccountBalance	$0.97 \pm 0.07$	0.84	0.89	163
ForeignExchangeReserves	$1.00 \pm 0.08$	0.80	0.89	155
ExternalDebt	$1.17 \pm 0.07$	0.86	1.07	201
ElectricityConsumption	$1.25 \pm 0.09$	0.80	1.09	215
ElectricityProduction	$1.16 \pm 0.07$	0.85	1.05	213
OilConsumption	$0.95 \pm 0.05$	0.89	0.90	211
OilImports	$0.92 \pm 0.07$	0.77	0.81	200
CarbonEmission	$1.10 \pm 0.06$	0.89	1.03	153
PhoneLines	$0.98 \pm 0.05$	0.88	0.92	229
CellularPhones	$1.14 \pm 0.07$	0.83	1.03	223
RadioStations*	$0.66 \pm 0.06$	0.69	0.58	205
TelevisionStations*	$0.75 \pm 0.08$	0.65	0.64	197
InternetUsers	$1.06 \pm 0.06$	0.85	0.97	221
RoadLength	$0.95 \pm 0.09$	0.69	0.80	223

---

Interestingly, most economic related properties have exponents around 1, they increase proportionally with GDP. However, some properties such as government debt, industrial value added, inventory change, manufacturing added values have super-linear relations with respect to GDP because their exponents are larger than 1 significantly.

In trade-related properties, export value and external debt have super-linear relations, while import value has sub-linear relation with respect to GDP. If we treat the economy of a whole country as a money flow system, then GDP is the body size of this system, and import value dedicating money out-flow is just the metabolism of the whole system. Hence the allometric scaling relationship between import value and GDP is the correspondence of Kleiber law in money flow[7]. However, the fact that the exponent of this allometry is 0.95 needs more careful explanations.

For the energy-related properties, the electricity consumption, production and the carbon emission which are important measures of the metabolism of countries grow faster than GDP. However, the oil consumption and import all have sub-linear allometric scaling relations with respect to GDP. That

means the economy depends more on electricity power rather than gas or oil consumptions as GDP increases.

Similarly, in the category of communication, radio stations, television stations, phone lines have sub-linear relation with respect to GDP, nevertheless, cellular phones, internet hosts and users have super linear or linear relations with respect to GDP which also means the variables with super linear relations grows faster than those with sub linear relations.

### *3.4. Population and GDP*

Among the allometries we have discussed in previous sections, the most important one is the allometry between population and GDP because this relation links not only natural properties and economic characteristics of countries but also demographic size and economic size of countries. However, this allometry does not appear in table 2 because the value of  $r^2$  between these two variables is very small. Is it possible to improve the fitting goodness? We will discuss this problem in this sub-section.

At first, we can use GDP at parity instead of GDP because GDP at parity measures the real purchasing power of one economy. More improvement can be made by using labor force property instead of the population property because the labor force fraction of population can really contribute to the macro-economy. And further improvement can be made by calculating the employed labor force (labor force  $\times$  (1-unemployment fraction)) rather than labor force. These results are shown in figure 2.

As shown in figure 2 from (a) to (d), data become more concentrated with larger  $r^2$  values when population and GDP are replaced by more appropriate properties employed labor force and GDP at parity. Meanwhile, the exponent also decreases and converges to 1 when suitable properties are used. We can conclude that GDP increases proportional with population. This is very different from the super-linear relations in cities [12, 16].

### *3.5. Allometric scaling of intensive variables*

Although we have investigated allometric scaling of various variables with different types of body size of countries, all variables are just extensive ones. According to statistical physics, the intensive variables can reflect the state of systems in average. Here, we also show the allometries of intensive variables.

We investigate the allometric scaling of intensive variables with respective to GDP per capita. Some intensive properties have scaling relation with




Figure 2: Improvements of Allometric Scaling Relations between Population and GDP

Table 5: Allometric Scaling Relations between Intensive Variables and GDPPerCapita

Property	$\alpha$	$r^2$	$\alpha'$	Observations
LiteracyFraction	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	0.40	0.11	204
BirthRateFraction	$-0.24 \pm 0.03$	0.58	-0.23	208
LifeExpectancy	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	0.48	0.08	207
MedianAge	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	0.63	0.14	208
TotalFertilityRate	$-0.21 \pm 0.03$	0.49	-0.20	208
InfantMortalityFraction	$-0.58 \pm 0.05$	0.71	-0.53	206




Figure 3: Allometric Scaling Relations of TotalFertilityRate, MedianAge v.s. GDPPerCapita

respect to GDP per capita (table 5). Two of variables are selected to plot in figure 3.

The relations between birth rate fraction, median age and GDP per capita deserve more attention. In [30], the authors predicted that the power law relation between fertility and energy consumption per capita for U.S. in different years has the exponent  $-1/3$  according to the Kleiber's law. Energy consumption per capita was regarded as the proxy of metabolism of humans in their work [30]. In fact, we didn't find the relevant fact by using energy consumption per capita (we've tested Oil consumption, electrical consumption and carbon emission per capita). However, GDP per capita as the important economic index may have a deep connection with metabolism of individuals.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Comparisons between Regression Methods

In this paper, the main conclusions are drawn according to the major axis regression method. However, we also listed the estimated allometric scaling power law exponents in tables 1, 2, 4 and 5. Because MA method tries to minimize the total distances from data to the regression line in both directions but not only the  $y$  direction, it considers the uncertainties both from independent variable and dependent variable[22]. The difference between  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  decrease with the correlation degree between two variables which is measured by  $r^2$ . Sometimes the super linear or linear allometric scaling relations may be underestimated by OLS method as sub linear relations (see table 2) when  $r^2$  is large.

Another advantage of MA method is it always gives compatible exponent estimations when independent variable and dependent variable are interchangeable. For example, the power law relation between area and population in table 1 is  $Y \sim X^{0.77}$ , where  $Y$  stands for population and  $X$  stands for area. In table2, we have another power law relation,  $X \sim Y^{1.30}$ . These two allometric scaling relations are compatible because the product of exponents  $0.77 \times 1.30 = 1.001 \approx 1$ . However, we know that  $\alpha'$  is 0.67 in table 1, and 1.05 in 2. But, the product of these two exponents  $0.67 * 1.05 = 0.7035 \approx 0.71 = r^2$ . Therefore, we can not get compatible exponents by OLS method.

As we know, the OLS method assumes that the dependent variable, i.e.,  $\log(M)$  is measured without errors to give a reasonable estimation of  $\alpha$ . However, the purpose of this paper is to find possible allometric scaling relations between macro-variables of countries but not to predict some properties according to a given allometric scaling relation, so MA method is more suitable than OLS method. Second, MA method is symmetric and regardless of which variable is independent variable and which is dependent one. In this paper, it is necessary to compare one pair of variables with different orders. So MA method is preferable[22]. However, we should also point out that MA method can not be abused anywhere because it may have its own limitation that is the variances of dependent and independent variables should be equal[31]. In this paper, we assume this condition is satisfied, that is most variables have similar measurement errors. Therefore, MA method can be applied to give more appropriate estimations.

#### *4.2. Similarities and Differences between Cities and Countries*

Allometric scaling is a very ubiquitous law in complex systems. Lots of macro-variables exhibit power law relations both in cities and countries. It implies that some common mechanisms govern the development of these complex systems. There are several possible explanations for universal power law relations in the literatures. First, self-affine fractals may explain the allometric scaling between two variables. As pointed by [32, 33], cities are self-affine fractals, therefore, the allometric scaling exponent between two variables is the ratio of two fractal dimensions. This conclusion may also suitable for countries.

Another possible explanation is that self-similar transportation networks may exist widely in organisms, cities and countries[10, 13, 27]. Supply networks such as traffic networks and electricity grids resembling the vascular networks in organisms determine the power law relations between size of cities or countries and energy consumption[13, 34]. However, we find it is weak to explain other ubiquitous allometric scaling relations, such as population and GDP, where the transportation networks are hard to be found.

Finally, we assert another kind of possible mechanism can explain a large varieties power law relations both in cities and countries. The ubiquitous power law relations imply different cities or countries may have similar structures which can be described by similar distributions. For example, there are common wealth distribution patterns in different countries[35]. The similar distribution curves may determine the power law relation between population and GDP. Some studies have found the relation between power law distributions and relations in language[36] and family names[37].

However, there are many differences between cities and countries. First, the allometric scaling relations in countries are less significant than cities because countries as units of research are more different and heterogenous. For example, some developing countries with large population are less urbanized than developed countries, therefore, their economic structures, cultural conventions and living styles are very different. However, in studies of cities, researchers always select cities with similar economic or geographic conditions[12, 38]. That is the reason why the allometries in countries are more uncertain and less significant than cities.

Second, the exponent of the same allometric scaling relations are different between countries and cities. For example, in table 3, the exponent of population and GDP is 1.04 for countries. However, it is about 1.20 for cities. One of the possible explanations is cities are more free for the flows



than countries. As we all know, people, money and materials can flow freely and quickly between different cities in one country. Consequently even the boundaries of cities can change dynamically[33, 38]. However, people, money and goods flows are much weaker between countries. And the boundaries of countries are almost unchanged. Hence countries are rigid to self organize. This may explain the differences of exponents between cities and countries.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we used MA method to find the allometric scaling relationships among numerical macro-variables in different countries. By systematic studies, we found some interesting facts.

In general, the results reveal that economic, trade, energy consumption and communication related properties have significant allometric scalings with respect to GDP of countries (see table 4). And the geographic, demographic, and natural resource related properties have significant scaling relations with respect to area or population of countries (see table 1 and 2).

Many differences between countries and cities are revealed by the studies of allometric scaling relations with respect to population. One reason is countries are more heterogenous than cities. The other possible reason is the mobility between cities is much larger than countries.

We also studied the allometric scaling between intensive variables and GDP per capita. Some regularities and connections with metabolic theory are pointed out.

*Acknowledgement.* We acknowledge Wolfram Research Inc. to provide the software Mathematica 7 and the country data. The author thanks three anonymous reviewers to give constructive suggestions. This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants of No. 70771012

## Appendix A. Demonstration of Properties

Appendix Table A.6 lists properties of countries. The first column is the name of the property in Mathematica, the second column is the explanation of the property. The third column is its category of the property (Totally 11 categories). And the fourth column denoting whether the property is intensive (I) or extensive (E) one. The last column is the time (in which year)

that the property refers to. The stars behind the numbers indicate that most but not all data of this property are from one single year for all countries. All these properties can be obtained from Mathematica except property of "carbon emission". Actually, there are totally 225 different properties in "CountryData" command of Mathematica. However, most of properties, such as the flag, or the capital city of a country, are not numerical. So we selected only numerical properties to study. Furthermore, because some numerical properties are so similar that they can give identical allometric scaling relations (such as military age male population and military age female population, etc.), we only selected some of the representative properties to study. Finally, 92 properties which appeared in the main text are shown.

Table A.6: List of Country Properties

<b>Property</b>	<b>Explanation</b>	<b>Category</b>	<b>E/I</b>	<b>Year</b>
Area	Total country area in square kilometers	Geographical	E	-
Boundary Length	Total large-scale boundary length in kilometers	Geographical	E	-
ArableLand Area	Arable land area in square kilometers	Natural resources and features	E	2005
IrrigatedLand Area	Irrigated land area in square kilometers	Natural resources and features	E	2003*
Natural Resources	Number of primary natural resources	Natural resources and features	E	-
Population	Estimated population	Demographic	E	2006
HIV AIDS Population	Total population infected with HIV	Public health	E	2003*
Military Fit Population	Total population considered fit for military service	Military-related	E	2005
GDP	GDP in U.S. dollars at official exchange rate	Economic-related	E	2006
GDP At Parity	GDP in U.S. dollars at purchasing power parity	Economic-related	E	2007*
Government Debt	Outstanding government debt in U.S. dollars	Economic-related	E	-
Government Expenditures	Annual government expenditures in U.S. dollars	Economic-related	E	2005*

Continued on next page

Government Receipts	Annual government receipts in U.S. dollars	Economic-related	E	2005*
Government Surplus	Annual government surplus in U.S. dollars	Economic-related	E	2005*
Labor Force	Size of adult labor force, whether employed or not	Economic-related	E	2007*
National Income	National income in U.S. dollars at official exchange rate	Economic-related	E	2006
Agricultural Value Added	Value added by agricultural activities	Economic-related	E	2006
Construction Value Added	Value added by construction and real estate activities	Economic-related	E	2006
Fixed Investment	Investment in fixed capital	Economic-related	E	2006
Government Consumption	Annual government consumption	Economic-related	E	2006
Household Consumption	Annual household consumption	Economic-related	E	2006
Industrial Value Added	Value added by all industrial activities	Economic-related	E	2006
Inventory Change	Annual change in the value of inventories	Economic-related	E	2006
Manufacturing Value Added	Value added by manufacturing industries	Economic-related	E	2006
Miscellaneous Value Added	Value added by miscellaneous service and other activities	Economic-related	E	2006
Total Consumption	Total consumption expenditure	Economic-related	E	2006
Trade Value Added	Value added by wholesale and retail trade	Economic-related	E	2006
Transportation Value Added	Value added by transportation and communications	Economic-related	E	2006
Export Value	Total estimated value of annual exports in U.S. dollars	Trade-related	E	2006

Continued on next page

Import Value	Total estimated value of annual imports in U.S. dollars	Trade-related	E	2006
Current Account Balance	Current account trade balance in U.S. dollars	Trade-related	E	2007*
Foreign Exchange Reserves	Government reserves of currency and gold in U.S. dollars	Trade-related	E	2007*
External Debt	Total government foreign currency debt, in U.S. dollars	Trade-related	E	2007*
Electricity Consumption	Annual electricity consumption in kilowatt hours	Energy-related	E	2005*
Electricity Production	Annual electricity output in kilowatt hours	Energy-related	E	2005*
Oil Consumption	Oil consumption in barrels per day	Energy-related	E	2005*
Carbon Emission	Total anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions(Gg)	Energy-related	E	2005
Phone Lines	Number of telephone land lines in use	Communications-related	E	2006*
Cellular Phones	Number of cellular phones in use	Communications-related	E	2006*
Radio Stations	Total number of public radio stations	Communications-related	E	1998*
Television Stations	Number of broadcast television stations	Communications-related	E	1997*
Internet Users	Estimated number of internet users	Communications-related	E	2006*
Airports	Total number of airports	Transportation-related	E	2007
Road Length	Total length of all roads in kilometers	Transportation-related	E	2004*
Literacy Fraction	Fraction of adult population able to read and write	Culture-related	I	2003*
Population Growth	Annual fractional growth in estimated population	Demographic	I	2005*

Continued on next page

Birth Rate Fraction	Average number of births per person per year	Demographic	I	2008*
Life Expectancy	Average life expectancy in years	Demographic	I	2008*
Median Age	Median age of population in years	Demographic	I	2006
Total Fertility Rate	Lifetime total average number of births per woman	Demographic	I	2008*
Infant Mortality Fraction	Fraction of births with infant mortality	Public health	I	2008*
GDP PerCapita	GDP normalized by population	Economic-related	I	2006
Unemployment Fraction	Fraction of labor force unemployed	Economic-related	I	2007*

## References

### References

- [1] D. Weil, Economic Growth, Pearson Education INC., 2005.
- [2] O. Blanchard, Macroeconomics, Prentice Hall, 2000.
- [3] G. Barenblatt, Scaling, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [4] W. A. Brock, Scaling in economics: A reader's guide, Industrial and Corporate Change 8 (1999) 409–446.
- [5] J. Brown, G. West, Scaling in Biology, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [6] R. Naroll, L. von Bertalanffy, The principle of allometry in biology and the social sciences, General Systems Yearbook 1 (1956) 76–89.
- [7] M. Kleiber, Body size and metabolism, Hilgardia 6 (1932) 315–353.
- [8] G. West, J. Brown, Review the origin of allometric scaling laws in biology from genomes to ecosystems:towards a quantitative unifying theory of biological structure and organization, The Journal of Experimental Biology 208 (2005) 1575–1592.

- [9] J. Brown, Toward a metabolic theory of ecology, *Ecology* 85 (7) (2004) 1771–1789.
- [10] A. Isalgue, H. Coch, R. Serra, Scaling laws and the modern city, *Physica A* 382 (2007) 643–649.
- [11] L. Bettencourt, Invention in the city: increasing returns to scale in metropolitan patenting, *Research Policy* 36 (2007) 107–120.
- [12] L. Bettencourt, J. Lobo, D. Helbing, C. Kuhnert, G. West, Growth, innovation, scaling, and the pace of life in cities, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104 (2007) 7301–7306.
- [13] C. Kuhnert, D. Helbing, G. West, Scaling laws in urban supply networks, *Physica A* 363 (2006) 96–103.
- [14] Y. Lee, An allometric analysis of the U.S. urban system: 1960-80, *Environment and Planning A* 21 (1989) 463–476.
- [15] S. Lammer, B. Gehlsena, B. Helbing, Scaling laws in the spatial structure of urban road networks, *Physica A* 363 (2006) 89–95.
- [16] Y. Chen, Y. Zhou, A study of fractional dimension on the relationship between population sizes and economic outputs of cities, *Economic Geography (Chinese Journal)* 23 (2003) 476–481.
- [17] B. Roehner, Macroeconomic regularities in the growth of nations: an empirical inquiry, *International journal of systems science* 15 (1984) 917–936.
- [18] A. Miguel, Quantitative study of the  $CO_2$  emission to the atmosphere from biological scaling laws, *International Journal of Global Warming* 1 (2009) 129–143.
- [19] [link].  
URL <http://reference.wolfram.com/mathematica/note/\CountryDataSourceInformation>
- [20] [link].  
URL <http://unfccc.int/>
- [21] D. Zanette, Zipf’s law and city sizes: a short tutorial review on multiplicative processes in urban growth, arXiv:0704.3170v1.

- [22] D. I. Warton, I. J. Wright, D. S. Falster, M. Westoby, Bivariate line-fitting methods for allometry, *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 81 (2006) 259–291.
- [23] P. Kaitaniemi, Testing the allometric scaling laws, *J. Theor. Biol.* 228 (2) (2004) 149–153.
- [24] R. R. Sokal, F. J. Rohlf, *Biometry*, Freeman, 1995.
- [25] R. MacArthur, E. Wilson, *The theory of island biogeography*, Princeton University Press, 1967.
- [26] S. Hubbell, *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*, Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [27] J. Banavar, A. Maritan, A. Rinaldo, Size and form in efficient transportation networks, *Nature* 399 (1999) 130–132.
- [28] O. Dreyer, Allometric scaling and central source systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 038101.
- [29] I. Rodriguez-Iturbe, A. Rinaldo, *Fractal River Basins. Chance and Self-Organization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [30] M. Moses, J. Brown, Allometry of human fertility and energy use, *Ecology Letters* 6 (2006) 295–300.
- [31] R. J. Smith, Use and misuse of the reduced major axis for line-fitting, *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 140 (2009) 476–486.
- [32] Y. Chen, J. Lin, Modeling the self-affine structure and optimization conditions of city systems using the idea from fractals, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 41 (2009) 615–629.
- [33] Y. Chen, *Fractal Urban Systems: Scaling, Symmetry, and Spatial Complexity* (In Chinese), Scientific Press, 2008.
- [34] J. Zhang, Energy flows in complex ecological systems: a review, *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity* 22 (3) (2009) 345–359.
- [35] A. Dragulescu, V. Yakovenko, Exponential and power-law probability distributions of wealth and income in the united kingdom and the united states, *Physica A* 299 (2001) 213–221.

- [36] S. Bernhardsson, L. E. C. da Rocha, P. Minnhagen, The meta book and size-dependent properties of written language, *New Journal of Physics* 11 (2009) 123015.
- [37] S. Miyazima, Y. Lee, T. Nagamine, H. Miyajima, Power-law distribution of family names in japanese societies, *Physica A* 278 (2000) 282–288.
- [38] M. Batty, P. Longley, *Fractal Cities: A Geometry of Form and Function*, 1st Edition, Academic Press, 1994.