## One-step implementation of multi-qubit conditional phase gating with nitrogen-vacancy centers coupled to a high-Q silica microsphere cavity

W. L. Yang, <sup>1</sup> Z. Q. Yin, <sup>1</sup> Z. Y. Xu, <sup>1,2</sup> M. Feng, <sup>1, a)</sup> and J. F.  $Du^{3, b)}$ 

<sup>1)</sup>State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics,

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071,

China

<sup>2)</sup> Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Bejing 100049, China

<sup>3)</sup>Hefei National Laboratory for Physics Sciences at Microscale and Department of Modern Physics,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China

The diamond nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center is an excellent candidate for quantum information processing, whereas entangling separate NV centers is still of great experimental challenge. We propose an one-step conditional phase flip with three NV centers coupled to a whispering-gallery mode cavity by virtue of the Raman transition and smart qubit encoding. As decoherence is much suppressed, our scheme could work for more qubits. The experimental feasibility is justified.

As a promising building block for room-temperature quantum computing,<sup>1</sup> the nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center consisting of a substitutional nitrogen atom and an adjacent vacancy in diamond can feature near-unity quantum efficiency, a homogeneous line width, and long electronic spin decoherence time at room-temperature,<sup>2</sup>. Readout of spin state and single qubit gating have been achieved in optical fashion in individual NV centers,<sup>3</sup> and quantum information swapping and entanglement are available between electronic and the nuclear spins.<sup>4</sup>

However, scalability is the main obstacle in such a system because entanglement of NV centers in distant diamonds has never been accomplished experimentally. Recently, Benjamin *et al*<sup>5</sup> suggested to entangle different NV electron spins by detecting the emitted photons, but met some difficulties due to the particular characteristic of the NV centers, such as the fact that 96% of the emitted photons reside in broad photon sidebands to the resonant zero phonon line (ZPL) at 637 nm even in cryogenic situation.<sup>5</sup> It implies that the most photons emitted from the NV centers could not effectively interfere in the beam splitter.

We study a potential idea to entangle separate NV centers using the quantized whispering-gallery mode (WGM) of a fused-silica high-Q microsphere cavity. So far there has been much development in WGM cavities with, such as the microtoroidal,<sup>6</sup> microcylinders,<sup>7</sup> microdisks,<sup>8</sup> and microspheres.<sup>9</sup> Especially, microsphere cavity had gained widespread attention because of their ultrahigh Q factor ( $\geq 10^8$  even up to  $10^{10}$ ),<sup>10</sup> very small volume ( $V_m \leq 100 \ \mu m^3$ )<sup>10</sup> and simple fabrication technique. In the fused-silica microsphere cavity, WGMs form via total internal reflection along the curved boundary, and the small radius of 10  $\mu m$  could lead to a vacuum electric field of 150 V/cm at the sphere surface (with wavelength 600 nm) and to the Q factor exceeding 10<sup>9</sup>. On the other hand, the lowest-order WGM corre-

sponding to the light traveling around the equator of the microsphere<sup>11</sup> offers predominant conditions for reaching strong coupling regime. Recent experimental progresses about the nanocrystal-microsphere system also provide experimental evidence for strong coupling between NV centers and the WGM of silica microsphere<sup>12</sup> or polystyrene microsphere,<sup>13</sup> respectively.

The key point of our proposal is a conditional phase flip (CPF) on the NV center electron-spins, based on recent experimental and theoretical progresses, e.g., the possible  $\Lambda$ -type configuration of the optical transitions in NV center system<sup>14</sup> and the considerable enhancement of the ZPL by embedding NV centers in some cavities.<sup>15</sup> We will focus on the one-step implementation of CPF gating on three separate NV centers in diamond nanocrystals coupled to the WGM of microsphere cavity. By virtue of the Raman transition and smartly encoding the qubits in different NV centers, we show the effective suppression of decoherence and the scalability of our idea.

Consider three separate NV centers with each NV center attached around the equator of a single fusedsilica microsphere cavity. Each NV center is negatively charged with two unpaired electrons located at the vacancy, usually treated as electron spin-1. So the ground state is spin triplet and labeled as  ${}^{3}A$ , with the levels  $m_S = \pm 1$  nearly degenerated and a zero-field splitting  $(D_{gs} = 2.87 \text{ GHz})$  between the states  $m_S = 0$ and  $m_S = \pm 1.^{16}$  The excited state  ${}^{3}E$  is also a spin triplet, associated with a broadband photoluminescence emission with ZPL of  $1.945 \ eV$ , which allows optical detection of individual NV defects using confocal microscopy. For clarity of description, we adopt following denotations:  $|{}^{3}A, m_{s} = 0\rangle = |g\rangle, |{}^{3}A, m_{s} = -1\rangle = |e\rangle,$  $|{}^{3}E, m_{s} = 0\rangle = |E\rangle$  and  $|{}^{1}A\rangle = |f\rangle$ . By combining individual laser pulse irradiation ( $\sigma^+$  circularly polarized and coupling strength  $\Omega_j^{14,17}$ ) with the WGM field ( $\sigma^0$  polarized and coupling strength  $G_i$ ), one can model each NV center as a  $\Lambda$ -type three-level structure, whose Hamiltonian under the rotating wave approximation can be written in units of  $\hbar = 1$  as  $H_I = \sum_{j=1}^{3} [\Delta_j | E_j \rangle \langle E_j | + (G_j a^+ | g_j \rangle \langle E_j | + \Omega_j | E_j \rangle \langle e_j | + H.c.)]$ , where  $a^+(a)$  is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: mangfeng@wipm.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: djf@ustc.edu.cn



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The schematic of WGM microsphere system, where three identical NV centers in diamond nanocrystals are equidistantly attached around the equator of a single fused-silica microsphere cavity. (b) The qubit definition in the first and second NV centers, where the levels in bold encode the qubits, i.e.,  $|{}^{3}A, m_{s} = 0\rangle = |1\rangle$  and  $|{}^{1}A\rangle = |0\rangle$ . (c) The qubit definition in the third NV center, where  $|{}^{3}A, m_{s} = -1\rangle = |0\rangle$  and  $|{}^{3}A, m_{s} = 0\rangle = |1\rangle$ .

the creation (annihilation) operator for the WGM. Applying standard quantum optical techniques,<sup>18</sup> under the large-detuning conditions  $|\Delta_i| \gg |\Omega_i|, |G_i|$ , we could adiabatically eliminate the virtually excited states  $|E_{j}\rangle$ , which yields the effective Hamiltonian<sup>19-21</sup>  $H'_{eff} =$  $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left[\frac{\Omega_{j}^{2}}{\Delta_{j}} \left| e_{j} \right\rangle \left\langle e_{j} \right| + \frac{G_{j}^{2}}{\Delta_{j}} a^{+} a \left| g_{j} \right\rangle \left\langle g_{j} \right| + \frac{G_{j} \Omega_{j}}{\Delta_{j}} (\left| e_{j} \right\rangle \left\langle g_{j} \right| a + \left| g_{j} \right\rangle \left\langle e_{j} \right| a^{+}) \right], \text{ where } G_{j} \Omega_{j} / \Delta_{j} \text{ is the effective Rabi fre$ quency. The first two terms in  $H'_{eff}$  represent laserinduced and photon-induced dynamic energy shifts, respectively, among which the photon-induced level shifts can be eliminated when the WGM cavity is initially prepared in the vacuum state  $|0_c\rangle$ , and the laser-induced level shifts can be compensated straightforwardly using additional lasers with appropriate frequencies.<sup>19,21</sup> So the effective Hamiltonian can be further reduced to  $H_{eff} =$  $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{G}_{j}[|e_{j}\rangle \langle g_{j}|a + |g_{j}\rangle \langle e_{j}|a^{+}], \text{ with } \tilde{G}_{j} = G_{j}\Omega_{j}/\Delta_{j}.$ The three-qubit CPF gate  $U_{CPF} =$  $diag\{1,1,1,1,1,1,1,-1\}$  is carried out in the computational subspace spanned by  $\{|g_1g_2g_3\rangle, |g_1g_2e_3\rangle, |g_1f_2g_3\rangle,$  $|g_1f_2e_3\rangle, |f_1g_2g_3\rangle, |f_1g_2e_3\rangle, |f_1f_2g_3\rangle, |f_1f_2e_3\rangle\},$  where the qubit definition is sketched in Fig. 1. Note that the effective resonant interactions only occur between the states  $|g_j\rangle$  and  $|e_j\rangle$ . So the auxiliary states  $|f_j\rangle$  are not involved in the interaction throughout our scheme. As a result, the states  $|q_1q_2q_3\rangle$ ,  $|q_1f_2q_3\rangle$ ,  $|f_1q_2q_3\rangle$ , and  $|f_1 f_2 g_3\rangle$  remain unchanged in the evolution.

We first assume the system to be initially in the state  $|f_1f_2e_3\rangle|_{0_c}\rangle$  with  $|0_c\rangle$  ( $|1_c\rangle$ ) the vacuum (one-photon) state of the WGM field. Then only the 3rd

NV center evolves under  $H_{eff}$ , that is,  $|f_1 f_2 e_3\rangle |0_c\rangle \longrightarrow [\cos(\tilde{G}_3 t) |f_1 f_2 e_3\rangle |0_c\rangle - i \sin(\tilde{G}_3 t) |f_1 f_2 g_3\rangle |1_c\rangle].$ 

Next, we consider another situation with the initial state  $|g_k f_j e_3\rangle |0_c\rangle$   $(k, j = 1, 2, k \neq j)$ , for which the *j*th qubit remains unchanged in the interaction. So we have  $|g_k f_j e_3\rangle |0_c\rangle \longrightarrow \tilde{N}_1\{[\tilde{G}_3^2 \cos(\tilde{G}'_k t) + \tilde{G}_k^2] \times |g_k f_j e_3\rangle |0_c\rangle + \tilde{G}_3 \tilde{G}_k [\cos(\tilde{G}'_k t) - 1] |e_k f_j g_3\rangle |0_c\rangle - i\tilde{G}_3 \tilde{G}'_k \sin(\tilde{G}'_k t) |g_k f_j g_3\rangle |1_c\rangle\}$ , where  $\tilde{N}_1 = 1/\tilde{G}_k^{\prime 2}$  with  $\tilde{G}'_k = \sqrt{\tilde{G}_k^2 + \tilde{G}_3^2}$ .

To achieve our aim, we need further consider an initial state  $|g_1g_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle$ , which evolves as  $|g_1g_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle \longrightarrow \tilde{N}_2\{[\tilde{G}_3^2\cos(\tilde{G}''t) + \tilde{G}_1^2 + \tilde{G}_2^2] \times |g_1g_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle + \tilde{G}_3[\cos(\tilde{G}''t) - 1](\tilde{G}_1|e_1g_2g_3\rangle|0_c\rangle + \tilde{G}_2|g_1e_2g_3\rangle|0_c\rangle) - i\tilde{G}_3\tilde{G}''\sin(\tilde{G}''t)|g_1g_2g_3\rangle|1_c\rangle\}$ , where  $\tilde{N}_2 = 1/\tilde{G}''^2$  with  $\tilde{G}'' = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{G}_j^2}$ .

We assume that coupling strengths satisfy the condition  $\tilde{G}_1 = \tilde{G}_2 \gg \tilde{G}_3$ , and the interaction time is  $t_0 = (2k+1)\pi/\tilde{G}_3$  with k the non-negative integers. Then above equations for state evolution can be greatly simplified to  $|f_1f_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle \longrightarrow -|f_1f_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle$ ,  $|g_kf_je_3\rangle|0_c\rangle \longrightarrow \beta |g_kf_je_3\rangle|0_c\rangle$ ,  $|g_1g_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle \longrightarrow \alpha |g_1g_2e_3\rangle|0_c\rangle$ , where  $\alpha = [m^2\cos(\sqrt{m^2+2\pi}/m)+2]/(m^2+2)$  and  $\beta = [m^2\cos(\sqrt{m^2+1\pi}/m)+1]/(m^2+1)$  and  $m = \tilde{G}_3/\tilde{G}_i$  with i = 1, 2. In the case of  $m \ll 1$ , we have  $\beta \approx \alpha \approx 1$  and thereby obtain an nearly perfect three-qubit CPF gate  $U'_{CPF} = diag\{1, \alpha, 1, \beta, 1, \beta, 1, -1\}$ , where  $\alpha = 0.999247$  and  $\beta = 0.999879$  in the case of m = 0.1.



FIG. 2. (Color online) The fidelity of the three-qubit CPF gate versus different parameters in the case of  $\Gamma_{eg} = \tilde{G}_3/100$  and  $\Gamma_{fg} = \tilde{G}_3/10^6$ : (a) versus  $\tilde{G}_3 t$  where m = 0.1, the blue, black, red lines denote the case of  $\kappa = \tilde{G}_3/100$ ,  $\tilde{G}_3/50$ , and  $\tilde{G}_3/20$ , respectively; (b) versus  $\kappa/\tilde{G}_3$  where m = 0.1; (c) versus  $\tilde{G}_i t$  where  $i = 1, 2, \kappa = \tilde{G}_3/100$ , the blue, black, red lines denote the cases of m = 1/25, 1/50, and 1/75, respectively; (d) versus m where  $\kappa = \tilde{G}_3/100$ .

In practice, decoherence places limits in the process discussed above. In our scheme, the decoherence rate includes the radiative decay  $\Gamma_{eg}$  between  $|e\rangle$  and  $|g\rangle$ , the radiative decay  $\Gamma_{fg}$  between  $|f\rangle$  and  $|g\rangle$ , WGM field decay rate  $\kappa$  and the decay from  $|E\rangle$ . Due to the large detuning, however, we may put the decay from  $|E\rangle$  aside, but model the effect of other decoherence by the Lindblad equation,

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H,\rho] + \kappa (2a\rho a^{+} - a^{+}a\rho - \rho a^{+}a) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \{\Gamma_{eg}(2\sigma_{ge}^{j}\rho\sigma_{eg}^{j} - \sigma_{eg}^{j}\sigma_{ge}^{j}\rho - \rho\sigma_{eg}^{j}\sigma_{ge}^{j}) + \Gamma_{fg}(2\sigma_{gf}^{j}\rho\sigma_{fg}^{j} - \sigma_{fg}^{j}\sigma_{gf}^{j}\rho - \rho\sigma_{fg}^{j}\sigma_{gf}^{j})\},$$
(1)

with  $\sigma_{ge}^j = |g_j\rangle \langle e_j|$  and  $\sigma_{gf}^j = |g_j\rangle \langle f_j|$ . In this composite nanocrystal-microsphere system, the coupling strength between NV center and WGM could be<sup>22</sup>  $G_{\rm max}$  =  $\Gamma_0 \sqrt{V_a/V_m}/2$ , where  $V_m$  is the cavity-electromagneticmode volume, and  $V_a = 3c\lambda^2/4\pi\Gamma_0$  denotes a characteristic interaction volume with  $\lambda$  the transition wavelength between states  $|E\rangle$  and  $|g\rangle$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  the spontaneous decay rate of the excited state  $|E\rangle$  and c the speed of light. Using the values  $\lambda = 637 nm$ ,  $\Gamma_0 = 2 \pi \times 83$ MHz,<sup>23</sup> and  $V_m = 20 \ \mu m^3$ , we have the maximal coupling strength  $G_{\rm max} \simeq 2\pi \times 5.5$  GHz. In the case of  $G_1 = G_2 = G_3 = G_{\max}, \ \Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \Omega_{\max} = 2\pi \times 2.5$ GHz,  $\Omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} = \Omega_{\rm max}/10$  =2 $\pi \times 250$  MHz, and the detuning  $\Delta_j = 2\pi \times 25$  GHz, the effective coupling rates are  $\tilde{G}_1 =$  $\tilde{G}_2 \simeq 2\pi \times 550$  MHz, and  $\tilde{G}_3 \simeq 2\pi \times 55$  MHz. Moreover, the WGM field decay rate, given the cavity quality factor  $Q = 10^9$  and  $\kappa = c/\lambda Q = 2\pi \times 0.5$  MHz, is about  $\tilde{G}_3/100$ , which implies a pretty small detrimental effect on our scheme. In addition, the characteristic spontaneous decay rate  $\Gamma_{eg}$  regarding the excited state to  $|e\rangle$ and  $|g\rangle$  could be estimated as  $\Gamma_0 \Omega_j g_j / \Delta_j^2 \simeq 2\pi \times 0.83$  $\rm MHz.^{24,25}$  Fig. 2 plots the fidelity of the three-qubit CPF gate  $U_{CPF}^{'}$  in the decay case. With  $(\kappa, \Gamma_{eg}, \Gamma_{fg}) \ll \tilde{g}_3$ , high fidelity of the  $U'_{CPF}$  can be substantially retained.

In realistic experiments, the electron-spin relaxation time  $T_1$  of the diamond NV center ranges from 6 ms at room temperature<sup>26</sup> to seconds at low temperature. In addition, the dephasing time  $T_2 = 350 \ \mu s$  induced by the nuclear spin fluctuation inside the NV center has been reported.<sup>27</sup> Moreover,  $|E\rangle$  experiences spin-orbit coupling and thereby the electron-phonon coupling, affecting the orbit, leads to dephasing of  $|E\rangle$ , particularly in room temperature situation. Fortunately, due to large detuning, there are only  $2.2\% (= G_{max}\Omega_{max}/\Delta^2)$  probability with  $|E\rangle$  to be populated, implying an effective dephasing time  $1/(\Gamma_0 \times 2.2\%) = 0.87\mu$ s. In contrast, our three-qubit CPF gating time is  $t_0 = \pi/\tilde{G}_3 = 0.009 \ \mu s.$ As a result, nearly 100 gate operations are feasible under present experimental conditions. This also implies that the influence from the intrinsic damping and dephasing is negligible in our scheme.

In conclusion, we have investigated an one-step conditional gate with three NV centers coupled to a WGM cavity by virtue of the Raman transition and by smart qubit encoding. Straightforward extension of the idea to more NV centers is possible if the NV centers are appropriately coupled to the WGM of microsphere cavity.

The work is supported by the NNSFC, CAS and NFRPC.

- <sup>1</sup>A. M. Stoneham, Physics **2**, 34 (2009).
- <sup>2</sup>T. A. Kennedy, J. S. Colton, J. E. Butler, R. C. Linares, and P. J. Doering, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 4190 (2003).
- <sup>3</sup>F. Jelezko, T. Gaebel, I. Popa, A. Gruber, and J. Wrachtrup, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** 076401 (2004).
- <sup>4</sup>M. V. Gurudev Dutt, L. Childress, L. Jiang, E. Togan, J. Maze, F. Jelezko, A. S. Zibrov, P. R. Hemmer, and M. D. Lukin, Science **316**, 1312 (2007).
- <sup>5</sup>S. C. Benjamin, B. W. Lovett, and J. M. Smith, Laser & Photo. Rev. **3**, 556 (2009).
- <sup>6</sup>T. G. McRae and W. P. Browen, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 010303(R) (2009); Z. Q. Yin and Y.-J. Han, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 024301 (2009).
- <sup>7</sup>Y.-D. Yang, Y.-Z. Huang, and Q. Chen, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 013817 (2007).
- <sup>8</sup>K. Srinivasan and O. Painter, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 023814 (2007);
- <sup>9</sup>B. Min, E. Ostby, V. Sorger, E. Ulin-Avila, L. Yang, X. Zhang, and K. Vahala, Nature (London) **457**, 455 (2009); P. E. Barclay, K.-M. C. Fu, C. Santori, and R. G. Beausoleil, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 191115 (2009).
- <sup>10</sup>D. K. Armani, T. J. Kippenberg, S. M. Spillane, and K. J. Vahala, Nature (London) **421**, 925 (2003).
- <sup>11</sup>J. R. Buck and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 033806 (2003); D. V. Strekalov and N. Yu, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 041805(R) (2009).
- <sup>12</sup>Y.-S. Park, A. K. Cook, and H. L. Wang, Nano Lett. 6, 2075 (2006).
- <sup>13</sup>S. Schietinger, T. Schröder, and O. Benson, Nano Lett. 8, 3911 (2008); S. Schietinger and O. Benson, J. Phys. B: Mol. Opt. Phys. 42, 114001 (2009).
- <sup>14</sup>C. Santori, P. Tamarat, P. Neumann, J. Wrachtrup, D. Fattal, R. G. Beausoleil, J. Rabeau, P. Olivero, A. D. Greentree, S. Prawer, F. Jelezko, and P. Hemmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 247401 (2006).
- <sup>15</sup>C. H. Su, A. D. Greentree, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, Optics Express **16**, 6240 (2008).
- <sup>16</sup>N. B. Manson, J. P. Harrison, and M. J. Sellars, Phys. Rev. B 74, 104303 (2006).
- <sup>17</sup>P. Tamarat, N. B. Manson, J. P. Harrison, R. L. McMurtrie, A. Nizovtsev, C. Santori, R. G. Beausoleil, P. Neumann, T. Gaebel, F. Jelezko, P. Hemmer, and J. Wrachtrup, New. J. Phys. **10**, 045004 (2008).
- <sup>18</sup>C. W. Gardiner, *Quantum Noise* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
- <sup>19</sup>T. Pellizzari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5242 (1997).
- <sup>20</sup>S. Clark, A. Peng, M. Gu, and S. Parkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 177901 (2003).
- <sup>21</sup>X.-Y. Lü, J.-B. Liu, C.-L. Ding, and J.-H. Li, Phys. Rev. A 78, 032305 (2008).
- <sup>22</sup>S. M. Spillane, T. J. Kippenberg, K. J. Vahala, K. W. Goh, E. Wilcut, and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 013817 (2005).
- <sup>23</sup>P. Neumann, R. Kolesov, V. Jacques, J. Beck, J. Tisler, A. Batalov, L. Rogers, N. B. Manson, G. Balasubramanian, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, New. J. Phys. **11**, 013017 (2009).
- <sup>24</sup>T. Pellizzari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5242 (1997); Z. Q. Yin, Fu-li Li, and P. Peng, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 062311 (2007).
- $^{25}\text{Assumed the equal spontaneous decay rates from }|E\rangle$  to  $|e\rangle$  and to  $|g\rangle$  .
- <sup>26</sup>P. Neumman, N. Mizuochi, F. Rempp, P. Hemmer, H. Watanabe, S. Yamasaki, V. Jacques, T. Gaebel, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup, Science **320**, 1326 (2008).
- <sup>27</sup>T. Gaebel, M. Domhan, I. Popa, C. Wittmann, P. Neumann, F. Jelezko, J. R. Rabeau, N. Stavrias, A. D. Greentree, S. Prawer, J. Meijer, J. Twamley, P. R. Hemmer, and J. Wrachtrup, Nat. Phys. 2, 408 (2006).