

L^p spectrum and heat dynamics of locally symmetric spaces of higher rank

Lizhen Ji*

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan

Andreas Weber†

Institut für Algebra und Geometrie, KIT

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the spectrum of the L^p Laplacian and the dynamics of the L^p heat semigroup on non-compact locally symmetric spaces of higher rank. Our work here generalizes previously obtained results in the setting of locally symmetric spaces of rank one to higher rank spaces. Similarly as in the rank one case, it turns out that the L^p heat semigroup on M has a certain chaotic behavior if $p \in (1, 2)$ whereas for $p \geq 2$ such a chaotic behavior never occurs.

Keywords: Locally symmetric spaces, L^p heat semigroups, Eisenstein series, L^p spectrum, chaotic semigroups.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the spectrum of the L^p Laplacian $\Delta_{M,p}$ and the dynamics of the L^p heat semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,p}} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$ on non-compact locally symmetric spaces $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ of higher rank with finite volume. More precisely, X is a symmetric space of non-compact type and Γ a non-uniform arithmetic subgroup of isometries.

The L^2 spectral theory is of fundamental importance for locally symmetric spaces and has been extensively studied in the past (see [6, 21, 36] and the references therein). However, in contrast to the L^2 spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on locally symmetric spaces, the L^p spectrum, $p \in [1, \infty)$, is only known in special situations. But there are several reasons to study also the L^p spectrum for $p \neq 2$.

*Email: lji@umich.edu, Address: 1834 East Hall, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, USA. Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0905283

†Email: andreasweber.mail@gmail.com, Address: Kaiserstr. 89 - 93, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany.

Firstly, from a physical point of view, the natural space to study heat diffusion is L^1 : If the function $u(t, \cdot) \geq 0$ denotes the heat distribution at the time t , the total amount of heat in some region Ω is given by the L^1 norm of $u(t, \cdot)|_{\Omega}$ and hence, the L^1 norm has a physical meaning. But, on the other hand, L^1 is more difficult to handle than the reflexive L^p spaces ($p > 1$) as the heat semigroup on L^p ($p > 1$) is always bounded analytic whereas this is in general not true for the heat semigroup on L^1 .

Secondly, there are already many results for differential operators on domains of euclidean space concerning various aspects of L^p spectral theory, see e.g. [1, 8, 9, 10, 18, 19, 20, 29, 30, 32, 43]. But, in contrast to the euclidean situation, there are not many examples of manifolds whose L^p spectral theory is well understood. This paper continues our work on L^p spectral theory of locally symmetric spaces in [25], now concentrating on the more involved higher rank case. To a certain extend, we will reveal the structure of the L^p spectrum and provide bounds for the L^p spectrum. A surprising consequence of these results is that the L^p heat semigroup has a certain chaotic behavior if $p \in (1, 2)$ whereas for $p \geq 2$ such a chaotic behavior never occurs (see Theorem 4.6).

Let us briefly recall related previous work before we give a more detailed description of the contents of this paper.

Davies, Simon, and Taylor completely determined in [11] the L^p spectrum of the Laplacian on the n -dimensional hyperbolic space $X = \mathbb{H}^n$ and on non-compact quotients $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ of X by a geometrically finite group Γ of isometries such that M has no cusps or has finite volume.

Taylor generalized some of these results to symmetric spaces X of non-compact type [41]. More precisely, he proved the following result (for a definition of ρ we refer to Section 2.2) .

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [41]). *Let X denote a symmetric space of non-compact type. Then for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ we have*

$$\sigma(\Delta_{X,p}) = \mathcal{P}_{X,p},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{X,p} = \left\{ \|\rho\|^2 - z^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq \|\rho\| \cdot \left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if $p > 2$ every point in the interior of the parabolic region $\mathcal{P}_{X,p}$ is an eigenvalue for $\Delta_{X,p}$ and eigenfunctions corresponding to these eigenvalues are given by spherical functions.

In the case $p \leq 2$ the Helgason-Fourier-Transform \mathcal{F} turns the Laplacian into a multiplication operator, i.e. $(\mathcal{F}\Delta f)(\lambda) = (\|\rho\|^2 + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle) \mathcal{F}f$. Hence, together with the L^p inversion formula by Stanton and Tomas [38], it follows that there are no eigenvalues in the case $p \leq 2$.

Taylor, using similar ideas as in [11], was also able to give an upper bound for the L^p spectrum on quotients $M = \Gamma \backslash X$:

Proposition 1.2 (Proposition 3.3 in [41]). *Let M denote a non-compact locally symmetric space whose universal cover is a symmetric space of non-compact type and assume*

$$\sigma(\Delta_{M,2}) \subset \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r\} \cup [b, \infty),$$

where $\lambda_j, j = 0, \dots, r$, are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Then, if $\text{vol}(M) < \infty$ or if the injectivity radius of M is bounded away from 0, we have for $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) \subset \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r\} \cup \mathcal{P}'_{M,p},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}'_{M,p} = \left\{ b - z^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |\text{Re}z| \leq \|\rho\| \cdot \left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \right\}.$$

Some of these results have been generalized in [23, 25, 44] to rank one locally symmetric spaces. For results concerning the L^p heat dynamics on symmetric spaces of non-compact type we refer to [24].

In this paper, after recalling some basic definitions and facts concerning L^p heat semigroups and locally symmetric spaces in the next section, we study Eisenstein series $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ on $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ which are generalized (smooth) eigenfunctions for the L^2 Laplacian $\Delta_{M,2}$. In order to show that if $p < 2$ these Eisenstein series are contained in $L^p(M)$ for certain choices of Λ (cf. Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6), and hence are eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{M,p}$, we first derive an upper bound for Eisenstein series (Proposition 3.1, Corollary 3.2). This bound might be expected by a reader who is familiar with the theory of Eisenstein series. However, since we could not find an upper bound in the form we present it here in the current literature, we included a proof.

From these results it then follows that in the case $p \in (1, 2)$ there is an open subset of \mathbb{C} consisting of eigenvalues of the L^p Laplacian $\Delta_{M,p}$ whereas in the case $p \geq 2$ the point spectrum of the L^p Laplacian is discrete:

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathbf{P} denote a minimal rational parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} and*

$$\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) = \left\{ \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - z^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |\text{Re}z| \leq \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| \cdot \left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}. \quad (1)$$

(a) *If $p \in (1, 2)$, there exists a discrete set $B \subset \mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$ such that all points in the interior of $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \setminus B$ are eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,p}$.*

(b) *If $p \in [2, \infty)$, the point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(\Delta_{M,p})$ is a discrete subset of $[0, \infty)$.*

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Both of these facts are a main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.6 which is devoted to the dynamics of the L^p heat semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,p}} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$:

Theorem 4.6. *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ denote a non-compact locally symmetric space with arithmetic fundamental group Γ .*

- (a) If $p \in (1, 2)$ there is a constant $c_p > 0$ such that for any $c > c_p$ the semigroup

$$e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p}-c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$$

is subspace chaotic.

- (b) For any $p \geq 2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the semigroup $e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p}-c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$ is not subspace chaotic.

Note that Theorem 3.7 seems to be the first result in which it is shown that some subset of \mathbb{C} with non-empty interior (a parabolic region) is contained in the L^p spectrum of the Laplacian on a locally symmetric space of higher \mathbb{Q} -rank. Nevertheless, the exact determination of the L^p spectrum of a non-compact locally symmetric space with finite volume remains open in the higher rank case (cf. Conjecture 3.9). Even for rank one spaces the L^p spectrum is completely known only in certain situations, cf. [11, 25]. However, as the L^p spectrum of a Riemannian product $M = M_1 \times M_2$ equals the set theoretic sum of the L^p spectra of its factors, i.e. $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) = \sigma(\Delta_{M_1,p}) + \sigma(\Delta_{M_2,p})$, cf. [45], we can restrict ourselves to irreducible manifolds.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The heat semigroup on L^p spaces

In this section we denote by M an arbitrary complete Riemannian manifold and by $\Delta = -\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad})$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on differentiable functions of M . If we denote by Δ_M the Laplacian on $L^2(M)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$ (the set of differentiable functions with compact support), this is an essentially self-adjoint operator and hence, its closure $\Delta_{M,2}$ is a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(M)$. Since $\Delta_{M,2}$ is positive, $-\Delta_{M,2}$ generates a bounded analytic semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,2}}$ on $L^2(M)$. The semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,2}}$ is a *submarkovian semigroup* (i.e., $e^{-t\Delta_{M,2}}$ is positive and a contraction on $L^\infty(M)$ for any $t \geq 0$) and we therefore have the following:

- (1) The semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,2}}$ leaves the set $L^1(M) \cap L^\infty(M) \subset L^2(M)$ invariant and thus, $e^{-t\Delta_{M,2}}|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty}$ may be extended to a positive contraction semigroup $T_p(t)$ on $L^p(M)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. These semigroups are strongly continuous if $p \in [1, \infty)$ and *consistent* in the sense that $T_p(t)|_{L^p \cap L^q} = T_q(t)|_{L^p \cap L^q}$.
- (2) Furthermore, if $p \in (1, \infty)$, the semigroup $T_p(t)$ is a bounded analytic semigroup with angle of analyticity $\theta_p \geq \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{|p-2|}{2\sqrt{p-1}}$.

For a proof of (1) we refer to [8, Theorem 1.4.1]. For (2) see [32]. In general, the semigroup $T_1(t)$ needs not be analytic. However, if M has bounded geometry $T_1(t)$ is analytic in *some* sector (cf. [42, 7]).

In the following, we denote by $-\Delta_{M,p}$ the generator of $T_p(t)$ and by $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ the spectrum of $\Delta_{M,p}$. Furthermore, we write $e^{-t\Delta_{M,p}}$ for the semigroup $T_p(t)$. Because of

(2) from above, the L^p spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ of M is contained in the sector

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg(z)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_p \right\} \cup \{0\} \subset \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg(z)| \leq \arctan \frac{|p-2|}{2\sqrt{p-1}} \right\} \cup \{0\} = \Sigma_p.$$

If we identify as usual the dual space of $L^p(M)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with $L^{p'}(M)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, the dual operator of $\Delta_{M,p}$ equals $\Delta_{M,p'}$ and therefore we always have $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) = \sigma(\Delta_{M,p'})$. It should also be mentioned that the family $\Delta_{M,p}$, $p \geq 1$, is consistent, which means that the restrictions of $\Delta_{M,p}$ and $\Delta_{M,q}$ to the intersection of their domains coincide:

Lemma 2.1. *If $p, q \in [1, \infty)$, the operators $\Delta_{M,p}$ and $\Delta_{M,q}$ are consistent, i.e.*

$$\Delta_{M,p}f = \Delta_{M,q}f \quad \text{for any } f \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,p}) \cap \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,q}).$$

For a proof see e.g. [25, Lemma 2.1].

Since it is not obvious that a differentiable L^p function f that satisfies the eigenequation $\Delta f = \mu f$ is contained in the domain of $\Delta_{M,p}$, we state this result in a lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote a differentiable function such that $f \in L^p(M)$ and $\Delta f = \mu f$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,p})$ and $\Delta_{M,p}f = \mu f$.*

For a proof we refer to [25, Corollary 2.3].

An essential ingredient in this proof is a uniqueness result concerning L^p solutions of the heat equation by Strichartz, cf. [39, Theorem 3.9]. As in this theorem p is required to be contained in $(1, \infty)$, we have excluded the case $p = 1$ here as well.

2.1.1 Manifolds with finite volume

If M is Riemannian manifold with finite volume we have by Hölder's inequality $L^q(M) \hookrightarrow L^p(M)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Hence, by consistency, the semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,p}}$ can be regarded as extension of the semigroup $e^{-t\Delta_{M,q}}$, $p \leq q$. It also follows an analogous result for the L^p Laplacians, which is stronger than Lemma 2.1 in the case of finite volume:

Lemma 2.3. *Let M denote a complete Riemannian manifold with finite volume. If $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, we have*

$$\text{dom}(\Delta_{M,q}) \subset \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,p})$$

and for $f \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,q})$ it follows $\Delta_{M,q}f = \Delta_{M,p}f$, i.e. $\Delta_{M,p}$ is an extension of $\Delta_{M,q}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,q})$. Because of Hölder's inequality and because of consistency of the L^p heat semigroups, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{t}(e^{-\Delta_{M,p}}f - f) - \Delta_{M,q}f \right\|_{L^p} &\leq C \left\| \frac{1}{t}(e^{-\Delta_{M,p}}f - f) - \Delta_{M,q}f \right\|_{L^q} \\ &= C \left\| \frac{1}{t}(e^{-\Delta_{M,q}}f - f) - \Delta_{M,q}f \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow 0), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $f \in \text{dom}(\Delta_{M,p})$ with $\Delta_{M,q}f = \Delta_{M,p}f$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let M denote a complete Riemannian manifold with finite volume. If $2 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, we have*

$$\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{M,q}).$$

In particular, for $p \in (1, \infty)$, it follows that

$$\sigma(\Delta_{M,2}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{M,p}).$$

Proof. For a proof of the first statement we refer to [44, Proposition 3.3]. The second statement follows from the first together with the fact $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) = \sigma(\Delta_{M,p'})$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. \square

Note that in the case of a compact manifold M , we always have $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) = \sigma(\Delta_{M,2})$ whereas for non-compact manifolds this needs not be true [40]. Examples for manifolds whose spectrum depends non-trivially on p are non-compact arithmetic locally symmetric spaces, cf. Section 3.1. It is actually shown there that the L^p spectrum (if $p \neq 2$) contains a subset of \mathbb{C} with non-empty interior (see also [11, 24, 25]).

On the one hand, this proposition states that the L^p spectrum is bigger than the L^2 spectrum. On the other hand, how much bigger it is, is often difficult to say. In Conjecture 3.9 we give a precise picture of the L^p spectrum of non-compact locally symmetric spaces $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with arithmetic Γ .

2.2 Locally symmetric spaces

Let X denote always a symmetric space of non-compact type. Then $G = \text{Isom}^0(X)$ is a non-compact, semi-simple Lie group with trivial center that acts transitively on X and $X \cong G/K$ for any maximal compact subgroup K of G . We denote the respective Lie algebras by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} . Given a corresponding Cartan involution $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ we obtain the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ of \mathfrak{g} into the eigenspaces of θ . The subspace \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} can be identified with the tangent space $T_{eK}X$ and we assume that the Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of X in $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_{eK}X$ coincides with the restriction of the Killing form $B(Y, Z) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}Y \circ \text{ad}Z)$, $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, to \mathfrak{p} .

For any maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ we refer to $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ as the set of restricted roots for the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$, i.e. $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ contains all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{h}_\alpha = \{Y \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{ad}(H)(Y) = \alpha(H)Y \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\} \neq \{0\}.$$

These subspaces $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$ are called root spaces.

Once a positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ in \mathfrak{a} is chosen, we denote by $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})^+$ the subset of positive roots and by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})} (\dim \mathfrak{h}_\alpha) \alpha$$

half the sum of the positive roots (counted according to their multiplicity).

In what follows, we denote by Γ a non-uniform, irreducible, torsion free lattice in G and hence, $M = \Gamma \backslash X = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ is a non-compact locally symmetric space with finite

volume. From Margulis' famous arithmeticity result it follows that such a Γ is always arithmetic if $\text{rank}(X) \geq 2$ ([33, 46]). In the rank one case however, it is known that non-arithmetic lattices exist ([16, 33]). Since we treated the rank one case already in [25], we will restrict ourselves here to arithmetic lattices.

We will now recall some basic facts about the geometry and L^2 spectral theory of arithmetic locally symmetric spaces in order to fix notation. More details can be found e.g. in [17, 26, 36].

2.2.1 Langlands decomposition of rational parabolic subgroups

Since $G = \text{Isom}^0(X)$ is a non-compact, semi-simple Lie group with trivial center, we can find a connected, semi-simple algebraic group $\mathbf{G} \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined over \mathbb{Q} such that the groups G and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^0$ are isomorphic as Lie groups (cf. [15, Proposition 1.14.6]).

A closed subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ defined over \mathbb{Q} is called *rational parabolic subgroup* if \mathbf{P} contains a maximal, connected solvable subgroup of \mathbf{G} .

For any rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} its real locus $P = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$ admits a so-called Langlands decomposition

$$P = N_{\mathbf{P}} A_{\mathbf{P}} M_{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (2)$$

Here $N_{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the real points of the unipotent radical $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$ of \mathbf{P} , $A_{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})^0$, where $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}}$ denotes the maximal \mathbb{Q} -split torus in the center of the Levi quotient $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$ and hence, $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ is abelian, and $M_{\mathbf{P}}$ are the real points of a reductive algebraic group $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}$ defined over \mathbb{Q} . More precisely, this means that the map

$$P \rightarrow N_{\mathbf{P}} \times A_{\mathbf{P}} \times M_{\mathbf{P}}, \quad g \mapsto (n(g), a(g), m(g)) \quad (3)$$

is a real analytic diffeomorphism.

Note that such a Langlands decomposition depends on a choice of a maximal compact subgroup K in G (or equivalently on a base point $x_0 \in X$) and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is not for all such choices defined over \mathbb{Q} . However, it is known that there exists always a maximal compact subgroup K such that the algebraic group $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} . For more details we refer the reader to the discussion in [22].

If we denote by $X_{\mathbf{P}}$ the *boundary symmetric space*

$$X_{\mathbf{P}} := M_{\mathbf{P}}/K \cap M_{\mathbf{P}}$$

we obtain the *rational horocyclic decomposition* of X :

$$X \cong N_{\mathbf{P}} \times A_{\mathbf{P}} \times X_{\mathbf{P}},$$

since the subgroup P acts transitively on the symmetric space $X = G/K$. More precisely, if we denote by $\tau : M_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{P}}$ the canonical projection, we have an analytic diffeomorphism

$$\mu : N_{\mathbf{P}} \times A_{\mathbf{P}} \times X_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow X, \quad (n, a, \tau(m)) \mapsto nam \cdot x_0, \quad (4)$$

where $x_0 \in X$ denotes a certain base point.

Note that the boundary symmetric space $X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is a Riemannian product of a symmetric space of non-compact type by possibly a Euclidean space.

2.2.2 \mathbb{Q} -roots and reduction theory

Let us fix some proper rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} . We denote in the following by \mathfrak{g} , $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}$, and $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{P}}$ the Lie algebras of the real Lie groups G , $A_{\mathbf{P}}$, and $N_{\mathbf{P}}$. Associated with the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ there is a system $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ of so-called \mathbb{Q} -roots and for each $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ we have a *root space*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{Z \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{ad}(H)(Z) = \alpha(H)Z \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}\}.$$

These root spaces yield a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$$

where \mathfrak{g}_0 is the Lie algebra of $Z(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}))$, the centralizer of $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, the rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} defines an ordering of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ such that

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{P}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$$

and the root spaces \mathfrak{g}_{α} , \mathfrak{g}_{β} of distinct positive roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ are orthogonal with respect to the Killing form:

$$B(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}) = \{0\}.$$

We also define

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})} (\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}) \alpha,$$

and denote by $\Phi^{++}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ the set of simple positive roots, i.e. the set of all $\alpha \in \Phi^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ such that $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ is not a root.

Let us now discuss reduction theories which describe the structure of fundamental sets for Γ in terms of Siegel sets associated with rational parabolic subgroups.

If we define for $t \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}$

$$A_{\mathbf{P},t} = \{e^H \in A_{\mathbf{P}} : \alpha(H) > \alpha(t) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi^{++}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})\},$$

a *Siegel set* (associated with \mathbf{P}) is a subset of $X = N_{\mathbf{P}} \times A_{\mathbf{P}} \times X_{\mathbf{P}}$ of the form $U \times A_{\mathbf{P},t} \times V$ with bounded $U \subset N_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $V \subset X_{\mathbf{P}}$.

As it turns out, Siegel sets associated with minimal rational parabolic subgroups are the building blocks of a fundamental set for Γ (for a proof see e.g. [3, Theorem 13.1]):

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_k$ denote representatives of (the finitely many) Γ conjugacy classes of minimal rational parabolic subgroups. Then there are associated Siegel sets $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \mathcal{S}_j$ covers a fundamental domain for Γ and for any $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ the set $\{\gamma : gF \cap \gamma F \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite, i.e. F is a fundamental set.*

If we take into account all rational parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G} , a refined (precise) reduction theory yields even a disjoint decomposition for Γ . As Proposition 2.5 suffices for our purposes, we only refer to e.g. [6, Proposition III.2.21] for further details.

2.2.3 L^2 spectral theory and Eisenstein series

One knows that the L^2 spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_{M,2})$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{M,2}$ on a non-compact arithmetic locally symmetric space M is the union of a point spectrum and an absolutely continuous spectrum. The point spectrum consists of a (possibly infinite) sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

with finite multiplicities such that below any finite number there are only finitely many eigenvalues. The absolutely continuous spectrum equals $[b, \infty)$ for $b = \min_{\mathbf{P}} \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2$ where the minimum is taken over all proper rational parabolic subgroups \mathbf{P} . In what follows, we denote by $L_{dis}^2(M)$ the subspace spanned by all eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{M,2}$ and by $L_{con}^2(M)$ the orthogonal complement of $L_{dis}^2(M)$ in $L^2(M)$.

Generalized eigenfunctions for the absolutely continuous part $\sigma_{ac}(\Delta_{M,2})$ of the L^2 spectrum are given by Eisenstein series. Therefore, we recall several basic facts about these important functions. Our main reference here is [17].

Definition 2.6. Let f be a measurable, locally integrable function on $\Gamma \backslash X$. The *constant term* $f_{\mathbf{P}}$ of f along some rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} is defined as

$$f_{\mathbf{P}}(x) = \int_{(\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} \cap N_{\mathbf{P}}) \backslash N_{\mathbf{P}}} f(nx) dn,$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} = \Gamma \cap P$ and the measure dn is normalized such that the volume of $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} \cap N_{\mathbf{P}}) \backslash N_{\mathbf{P}}$ equals one. Note that $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} \cap N_{\mathbf{P}}) \backslash N_{\mathbf{P}}$ is always compact if \mathbf{P} is a rational parabolic subgroup.

A function f on $\Gamma \backslash X$ with the property $f_{\mathbf{P}} = 0$ a.e. for all rational parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{G}$ is called *cuspidal* and the subspace of cuspidal functions in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X)$ is denoted by $L_{cus}^2(\Gamma \backslash X)$.

It is known that

$$L_{cus}^2(M) \subset L_{dis}^2(M)$$

and this inclusion is in general strict as the non-zero constant functions are not contained in $L_{cus}^2(M)$ if M is non-compact.

Let \mathbf{P} be a rational parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} and $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}}$ the image of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} = \Gamma \cap P$ under the projection $N_{\mathbf{P}} A_{\mathbf{P}} M_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{P}}$. Then $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}}$ acts discretely on the boundary symmetric space $X_{\mathbf{P}}$ and the respective quotient $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$, called *boundary locally symmetric space*, has finite volume. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ the dual of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}$ and put

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^{*+} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^* : \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi^{++}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})\}.$$

For any $\varphi \in L_{cus}^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$ and $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(\Lambda) \in \rho_{\mathbf{P}} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^{*+}$ we define the (*cuspidal*) *Eisenstein series* $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ as follows:

$$E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda : x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{P}} \backslash \Gamma} e^{(\rho_{\mathbf{P}} + \Lambda)(H_{\mathbf{P}}(\gamma x))} \varphi(z_{\mathbf{P}}(\gamma x)), \quad (5)$$

where $\mu(n_{\mathbf{P}}(x), e^{H_{\mathbf{P}}(x)}, z_{\mathbf{P}}(x)) = x$ (cf. (4)). This series converges uniformly for x in compact subsets of X and is holomorphic in Λ (cf. [31, Lemma 4.1]). Furthermore, $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ can meromorphically be continued (as a function of Λ) to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (cf. [31, Chapter 7] or [17, Theorem 9]).

By definition, the Eisenstein series are Γ invariant and hence, they define functions on $M = \Gamma \backslash X$.

Eisenstein series are in general not contained in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X)$ but it is known that they satisfy an eigenequation of the Laplacian Δ on $\Gamma \backslash X$:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\varphi \in L^2_{cus}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$ be an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P},2}}$ with respect to some eigenvalue ν . Then we have for any $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that is not a pole of $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ the following:*

$$\Delta E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda) = (\nu + \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle) E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes a complex bilinear form on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

For a proof we refer to [22] or [25, Lemma 2.5].

3 An upper bound of Eisenstein series and the L^p spectrum of the Laplacian

The estimates in this section are a precise form of the general philosophy that an automorphic form is bounded by its constant terms.

In order to state these results, we recall that two rational parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2 \subset \mathbf{G}$ are called *associate* ($\mathbf{P}_1 \sim \mathbf{P}_2$) if there is some $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ with

$$\text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2}.$$

The set of such isomorphisms $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2}$ is denoted by $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2})$ and $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}) = W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$. For $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1}^*$ and $w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2})$ we define $w\Lambda = \Lambda \circ w^{-1} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2}^*$.

Furthermore, for two rational parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P}_1 \subset \mathbf{P}_2$ in \mathbf{G} , and hence $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1}$, we extend an element $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2}^*$ to an element in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1}^*$ by defining it to be zero on the orthogonal complement (w.r.t. the Killing form) of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_2}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_1}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Denote by \mathcal{S} a Siegel set associated with a minimal rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_0 of \mathbf{G} and by \mathbf{P} a proper rational parabolic subgroup. If $\varphi \in L^2_{cus}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$, the Eisenstein series $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ satisfies the following upper bound for $x \in \mathcal{S}$:*

$$|E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda : x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{P}' \sim \mathbf{P}, \\ \mathbf{P}' \supset \mathbf{P}_0}} \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})} e^{(w\text{Re}\Lambda + \rho_{\mathbf{P}'})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}_0}(x))}, \quad (6)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends only on $\mathbf{P}, \varphi, \Lambda$ and \mathcal{S} .

Proof. The key point is to determine the so-called cuspidal data for the Eisenstein series $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ along rational parabolic subgroups \mathbf{P}' . Then the result basically follows from [34, Lemma I.4.1].

We recall the definition of cuspidal data from [34] in the notations we use here: Given an automorphic form φ on $\Gamma \backslash X$, for any rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} , the constant term $\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}$ of φ along \mathbf{P} has a cuspidal component $\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}^{cusp}$ characterized by the condition that for every cuspidal function ψ on $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$,

$$\langle \psi, \varphi_{\mathbf{P}} \rangle = \langle \psi, \varphi_{\mathbf{P}}^{cusp} \rangle,$$

[34, p.39].

The cuspidal component $\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}^{cusp}(x)$ can be written as a finite sum of functions of the form $Q(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}(x))e^{(\Lambda + \rho_{\mathbf{P}})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}(x))}\psi(z_{\mathbf{P}}(x))$, where Q is a polynomial and ψ a cuspidal form on $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$. The finite triples (Q, Λ, ψ) are called the cuspidal data of $\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}^{cusp}$, or the cuspidal data of φ along \mathbf{P} , cf. [34, p.44]. Note that in [34] representations π are used instead of characters Λ (or rather functionals in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$). This is the reason for the shift by $+\rho_{\mathbf{P}}$ appearing here (cf. also [28, Chapter VII.1]).

Let us now determine the cuspidal data for the Eisenstein series $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ along some rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}' .

If \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' are not associate, the constant term $E_{\mathbf{P}'}(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ is orthogonal to all cusp forms on $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$, cf. [17, Lemma 39] or [37, p.86] and hence, in this case, the set of cuspidal data is empty.

If on the other hand \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{P}' are associate, we have the following formula for the constant term of $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ along \mathbf{P}' :

$$E_{\mathbf{P}'}(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda : x) = \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})} e^{(w\Lambda + \rho_{\mathbf{P}'})(\log(a_{\mathbf{P}'}(x)))} \cdot (c_{cus}(\mathbf{P}' : \mathbf{P} : w : \Lambda)\varphi)(z_{\mathbf{P}'}(x)), \quad (7)$$

where $c_{cus}(\mathbf{P}' : \mathbf{P} : w : \Lambda)$ denotes the intertwining operator from the space of cusp forms on $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$ to the space of cusp forms on $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}'}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}'}$, cf. [17, Theorem 5] or [37, p.86]. Note that in the last mentioned book a slightly different definition of the “constant term” of an automorphic form is used, see [37, p.79] for the definition used therein.

Hence, for associated \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' the set of cuspidal data of $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ along \mathbf{P}' consists of the triples

$$(Q = 1, w\Lambda, c_{cus}(\mathbf{P}' : \mathbf{P} : w : \Lambda)\varphi), \quad w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'}). \quad (8)$$

From [34, Lemma I.4.1] it now follows that there is some $C > 0$ such that for $x \in S$ we have

$$|E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda : x)| \leq C \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{P}' \sim \mathbf{P}, \\ \mathbf{P}' \supset \mathbf{P}_0}} \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})} e^{(w\text{Re}\Lambda + \rho_{\mathbf{P}'})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}_0}(x))}.$$

Note that in [34, Lemma I.4.1] the summation considers only standard parabolic subgroups, which are defined as rational parabolic subgroups \mathbf{P} with $\mathbf{P} \supset \mathbf{P}_0$. In our situation here, the subgroups \mathbf{P}' associated with \mathbf{P} are the only standard parabolic subgroups which lead to non-empty cuspidal data. \square

Recall that all minimal rational parabolic subgroups are conjugate under $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. Furthermore, if \mathbf{P} is a minimal rational parabolic subgroup, the boundary locally symmetric space $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}}\backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is compact and hence any L^2 eigenfunction φ on $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}}\backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is cuspidal, i.e. $L^2_{cus}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}}\backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}) = L^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}}\backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$. Therefore Proposition 3.1 simplifies in the case where \mathbf{P} is a minimal rational parabolic subgroup:

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P} denote minimal rational parabolic subgroups of \mathbf{G} , \mathcal{S} a Siegel set associated with \mathbf{P}_0 , and $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ an Eisenstein series associated with \mathbf{P} . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$*

$$|E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda : x)| \leq C \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_0})} e^{(w\text{Re}\Lambda + \rho_{\mathbf{P}_0})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}_0}(x))}.$$

3.1 L^p spectrum

Let us define for a minimal parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_0 and a rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} the set

$$C(\mathbf{P}) = C(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}_0) = \bigcap_{\substack{w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'}) \\ \mathbf{P}' \sim \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' \supset \mathbf{P}_0}} \left\{ \Lambda : w\text{Re}\Lambda(\log a_{\mathbf{P}'}) < \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) \rho_{\mathbf{P}'}(\log a_{\mathbf{P}'}), a_{\mathbf{P}'} \in A_{\mathbf{P}', 0} \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Note that this is a finite intersection since $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})$ is finite and since there are only finitely many $\mathbf{P}' \sim \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' \supset \mathbf{P}_0$. Furthermore, the set is non-empty since it contains $\Lambda = 0$.

If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank one, i.e. $\dim A_{\mathbf{P}} = 1$, the set simplifies to

$$C(\mathbf{P}) = \left\{ \Lambda : |\text{Re}\Lambda(\log a_{\mathbf{P}})| < \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}), a_{\mathbf{P}} \in A_{\mathbf{P}, 0} \right\},$$

since $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}) = \{-\text{id}, \text{id}\}$. Therefore, the results below are natural extensions of the results in [25], where rank one locally symmetric spaces are treated, to the higher rank case.

Recall that all proper rational parabolic subgroups are minimal if the locally symmetric space $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ has \mathbb{Q} -rank one. Furthermore, two minimal parabolic subgroups \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' are always conjugate under $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ and hence the group $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$ can be identified with the Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$. Using this identification, we have

Lemma 3.3. *If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0$ denotes a minimal parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} it follows*

$$\text{int conv} \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) w \rho_{\mathbf{P}} : w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}) \right\} \subset C(\mathbf{P}),$$

where *conv* denotes convex hull.

Proof. If

$$\Lambda \in \text{int conv} \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) w \rho_{\mathbf{P}} : w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}) \right\},$$

and $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}) = \{w_j : j\}$ then

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \sum_j r_j w_j \rho_{\mathbf{P}}$$

for some $0 < r_j < 1$ with $\sum_j r_j = 1$.

Let now $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}})$. Then

$$w_1 \Lambda = \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \sum_j r_j w_1 w_j \rho_{\mathbf{P}}.$$

Furthermore, we have for $a_{\mathbf{P}} \in A_{\mathbf{P},0}$ and any j with $w_1 w_j \rho_{\mathbf{P}} \neq \rho_{\mathbf{P}}$

$$w_1 w_j \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\log(a_{\mathbf{P}})) < \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\log(a_{\mathbf{P}}))$$

since for any positive root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ the functional $w_1 w_j \alpha$ is either negative on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P},0}$ or a positive root $\in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$.

For $0 < r_j < 1$ with $\sum_j r_j = 1$ it then follows

$$w_1 \Lambda(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \sum_j r_j w_1 w_j \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}) < \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\log a_{\mathbf{P}})$$

and therefore $\Lambda \in C(\mathbf{P})$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathbf{P} denote a rational parabolic subgroup, $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ an associated Eisenstein series on $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with $\varphi \in L_{cus}^2(\Gamma_{M_P} \backslash X_P)$, \mathcal{S} a Siegel set associated with a minimal rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P}_0 , and $p \in [1, 2)$. If*

$$\Lambda \in C(\mathbf{P})$$

and, if Λ is not a pole of $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$, we have $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda) \in L^p(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. Recall that the Riemannian measure on X with respect to the horocyclic decomposition $X = N_{\mathbf{P}_0} \times A_{\mathbf{P}_0} \times X_{\mathbf{P}_0}$ is given by $dvol_X = h(n_{\mathbf{P}_0}, z_{\mathbf{P}_0}) e^{-2\rho_{\mathbf{P}_0}(\log a_{\mathbf{P}_0})} dn dz da$, where h is smooth on $N_{\mathbf{P}_0} \times X_{\mathbf{P}_0}$, cf. [4, Proposition 1.6] or [5, Proposition 4.3]. Therefore, we obtain for $p \in [1, 2)$ with Proposition 3.1

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} |E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)(x)|^p dvol_X \leq C \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{P}' \sim \mathbf{P}, \\ \mathbf{P}' \supset \mathbf{P}_0}} \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})} \int_{A_{\mathbf{P}_0, t}} e^{(pw \text{Re} \Lambda + p\rho_{\mathbf{P}'} - 2\rho_{\mathbf{P}_0})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}_0}(x))} da.$$

We note that for any value t , $A_{\mathbf{P}_0, t}$ is a shift of the positive cone $A_{\mathbf{P}_0, 0}$, and we can assume $t = 0$ for simplicity, and hence, $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda) \in L^p(\mathcal{S})$ if

$$(pw \text{Re} \Lambda + p\rho_{\mathbf{P}'} - 2\rho_{\mathbf{P}_0})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}_0}) < 0 \tag{10}$$

for all $a_{\mathbf{P}_0} \in A_{\mathbf{P}_0,t}, w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})$, and all rational parabolic subgroups $\mathbf{P}' \sim \mathbf{P}$ with $\mathbf{P}' \supset \mathbf{P}_0$.

As by definition $w\text{Re}\Lambda = \rho_{\mathbf{P}'} = 0$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'}^\perp$ (the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}_0}$) and since the restriction of $\rho_{\mathbf{P}_0}$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'}$ coincides with $\rho_{\mathbf{P}'}$ (cf. [27, Lemma 1.14.1]), inequality (10) is equivalent to

$$(pw\text{Re}\Lambda + (p-2)\rho_{\mathbf{P}'})(\log a_{\mathbf{P}'}) < 0, \quad (11)$$

for all $a_{\mathbf{P}'} \in A_{\mathbf{P}',t} = A_{\mathbf{P}'} \cap A_{\mathbf{P}_0,t}$ and $w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}'})$. This proves the claim. \square

We are now prepared to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathbf{P} denote a rational parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} , $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ an associated Eisenstein series on $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with $\varphi \in L_{cus}^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$ and $p \in [1, 2)$. If*

$$\Lambda \in C(\mathbf{P}) \quad (12)$$

and if Λ is not a pole, we have $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda) \in L^p(M)$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{P}_j, j = 0, \dots, k$, denote representatives of Γ conjugacy classes of minimal rational parabolic subgroups. From Proposition 2.5 it follows that there are Siegel sets \mathcal{S}_j associated with $\mathbf{P}_j, j = 0, \dots, k$, such that the union of these Siegel sets covers a fundamental domain for Γ . From Lemma 3.4 it follows $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda) \in L^p(\mathcal{S}_j)$ if

$$\Lambda \in C(\mathbf{P})$$

\square

Corollary 3.6. *Let \mathbf{P} denote a rational parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} , $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ an associated Eisenstein series on $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with $\varphi \in L_{cus}^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$ and $p \in (1, 2)$. If*

$$\Lambda \in C(\mathbf{P})$$

and if Λ is not a pole, $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{M,p}$ with eigenvalue $\nu + \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle$, where ν is the eigenvalue of the L^2 Laplacian on the boundary locally symmetric space $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$ for φ .

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.7 together with the preceding theorem. \square

These results resemble the behavior of spherical functions

$$\varphi_\lambda(gK) = \int_K e^{(i\lambda + \rho)(A(kg))} dk, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$$

on (globally) symmetric spaces $X = G/K$ of non-compact type: If $C_X(\rho)$ denotes the convex hull of the points $s\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*, s \in W$, where W denotes the Weyl group, for any $p > 2$ and any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* + i(1 - \frac{2}{p})C_X(\rho)$ the spherical function φ_λ is contained in $L^p(X)$,

cf. [41, Proposition 2.2] (note that the roles of $p > 2$ and $p < 2$ are interchanged).

If we define for $p \in [1, \infty)$ the parabolic region

$$\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) = \left\{ \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - z^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| \cdot \left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad (13)$$

we obtain as in [41, Proposition 2.2] or [25, Corollary 3.6] the following:

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathbf{P} denote a minimal rational parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} .*

- (a) *If $p \in (1, 2)$, there exists a discrete set $B \subset \mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$ such that all points in the interior of $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \setminus B$ are eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,p}$.*
- (b) *If $p \in [2, \infty)$, the point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(\Delta_{M,p})$ is a discrete subset of $[0, \infty)$.*

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Recall that two minimal rational parabolic subgroups \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}' are conjugate under $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ and in particular, $\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| = \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}'}\|$. Therefore we have $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) = \mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}'})$.

Proof. Let first $p \in (1, 2)$ and let $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ denote an Eisenstein series associated with \mathbf{P} on $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with $\varphi = \text{const} \in L^2_{cus}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$. Note that such a choice is always possible as – due to the minimality of \mathbf{P} – the boundary locally symmetric space $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is compact and hence, $L^2_{cus}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}}) = L^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \backslash X_{\mathbf{P}})$.

From Corollary 3.6 and Lemma 3.3 it follows that for Λ with

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \Lambda(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}) < \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\log a_{\mathbf{P}}), \quad a_{\mathbf{P}} \in A_{\mathbf{P},0}, \quad (14)$$

or equivalently if

$$\Lambda \in \left\{ z \Lambda_0 : z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1 \right) \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| \right\}$$

for some $\Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ with $\|\Lambda_0\| = 1$, all the points $\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle$ are contained in the point spectrum of $\Delta_{M,p}$ if Λ is not a pole. Therefore there exists a discrete set B such that all points in the interior of $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \setminus B$ are eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,p}$ (recall that the poles of Eisenstein series lie along affine hyperplanes). Since the spectrum is a closed subset of \mathbb{C} , we obtain $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ for $p \in (1, 2)$ and by duality for $p \in (2, \infty)$. In the case $p = 2$, we have $\mathcal{P}_{M,2}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) = [\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2, \infty)$, and it is well known that this set belongs to the L^2 spectrum of M . This completes the proof of (a) and the last statement.

To prove (b), assume $p > 2$ and $\Delta_{M,p}\psi = \lambda\psi$ for some $\psi \in \operatorname{dom}(\Delta_{M,p})$. Since $\operatorname{vol}(M) < \infty$ it follows from Lemma 2.3 that ψ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{M,q}$ with eigenvalue λ if $1 < q \leq p$. Since it is known that the point spectrum of $\Delta_{M,2}$ is a discrete subset of $[0, \infty)$, the proof is complete. \square

An application of Theorem 3.5 yields similarly for non-minimal rational parabolic subgroups the existence of an open subset of \mathbb{C} that consists only of eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,p}$. However, an explicit description of this set seems to be more complicated than in the case of minimal rational parabolic subgroups.

On the other hand, an ‘‘upper bound’’ for the L^p spectrum of M follows from a result by Taylor:

Proposition 3.8. *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ denote a non-compact arithmetic locally symmetric space and denote by \mathbf{P} a minimal rational parabolic subgroup. For $p \in (1, \infty)$ we have*

$$\{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r\} \cup \mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \subset \sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) \subset \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r\} \cup \mathcal{P}'_{M,p},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}'_{M,p} = \left\{ b - z^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq \|\rho\| \cdot \left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \right\}$$

with $b = \inf \sigma_{ac}(\Delta_{M,2}) = \inf_{\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{G}} \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 > 0$, and where $\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,2}$ with finite multiplicity.

Proof. The second inclusion follows from Taylor’s Proposition 3.3 in [41] (see also Proposition 1.2 in this paper). Taking into account Theorem 3.7, it remains to show $\lambda_j \in \sigma(\Delta_{M,p}), j = 0, \dots, r$. For $p \leq 2$ it follows similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.7 that each λ_j is an eigenvalue of $\Delta_{M,p}$. By duality, it then follows $\lambda_j \in \sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ for any $p > 2$ and the proof is complete. \square

If $X = G/K$ denotes a symmetric space of non-compact type and $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ for a non-uniform arithmetic Γ , in the case where $\mathbb{Q}\text{-rank}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{rank}(X)$, we have for any minimal rational parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$

$$\dim(A_{\mathbf{P}}) = \dim(A),$$

where A denotes the abelian subgroup in the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. Hence, in this situation, we can conclude $\|\rho\| = \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|$ and therefore $\mathcal{P}'_{M,p}$ is a shift of $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$, more precisely $\mathcal{P}'_{M,p} = (b - \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2) + \mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$. See Figure 1.

We conclude this section with a conjecture about the precise form of the L^p spectrum.

Conjecture 3.9 (cf. Figure 2). *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ denote a non-compact locally symmetric space with arithmetic fundamental group Γ and denote by $0 = \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r$ the eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,2}$ below the absolutely continuous spectrum $\sigma_{ac}(\Delta_{M,2})$. Then, for any $p \in (1, \infty)$, each proper rational parabolic subgroup \mathbf{P} defines a parabolic region $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}$ tangent to the boundary of the sector $\Sigma_p = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg(z)| \leq \arctan \frac{|p-2|}{2\sqrt{p-1}} \right\}$ with apex at the point $z(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{4\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$ such that the L^p spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_{M,p})$ of M coincides precisely with the union of these parabolic regions $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}$ and the L^2 eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r$:*

$$\sigma(\Delta_{M,p}) = \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r\} \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{P}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}.$$




Figure 1: The parabolic region $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$ tangent to the sector Σ_p defined in Section 2.1 and the parabolic region $\mathcal{P}'_{M,p}$.

Furthermore, if $p \in (1, 2)$, there is a discrete subset $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that all points in $(\{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}) \setminus B$ are eigenvalues of $\Delta_{M,p}$.




Figure 2: Conjecture about the L^p spectrum with sector Σ_p .

We actually assume that, similarly as in the case of minimal rational parabolic subgroups, each *non-cuspidal* Eisenstein series $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi_0, \Lambda)$ with $\varphi_0 = \text{const.}$ defines a parabolic region $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}$. For fixed \mathbf{P} , it seems to be plausible that for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus X_{\mathbf{P}})$ the parabolic region defined by $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ should be contained in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}$. More precisely, for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus X_{\mathbf{P}})$ the parabolic region defined by $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, \Lambda)$ should be a shift (by the eigenvalue corresponding to φ) of the parabolic region defined by $E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi_0, \Lambda)$. In the case $p = 2$ each parabolic region $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P},p}$ of course needs to degenerate to a subset of \mathbb{R} as $\Delta_{M,2}$ is self-adjoint. It is very plausible that this subset is of the form $[|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}|^2, \infty)$. Going from L^2 spectrum to L^p spectrum, $p \neq 2$ would then be like a butterfly opening its wings.

If Conjecture 3.9 is true, the L^p spectrum (as set), for any $p \neq 2$, contains more information about M than the L^2 spectrum. For example, if the L^p spectrum contains n different parabolic regions (i.e. n parabolic regions tangent to Σ_p with pairwise different apex), there must be n different rational parabolic subgroups \mathbf{P} such that $\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|$ are pairwise different numbers.

Conjecture 3.9 is known to be true for non-compact locally symmetric spaces $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with finite volume if X is a rank one symmetric space of non-compact type [11, 25], for Hilbert modular varieties M [25], and by the result in [45] for any Riemannian product of these spaces.

4 Heat dynamics

In this section we use our results of the previous sections to show that the L^p heat semigroup has a certain chaotic behavior if $p \in (1, 2)$ whereas such a chaotic behavior cannot occur if $p \geq 2$.

Similar results have been proven in the context of globally symmetric spaces of non-compact type [24] and for rank one locally symmetric spaces (which need not necessarily be arithmetic) in [25].

4.1 Chaotic semigroups

There are many different definitions of chaos. We will use the following one which is basically an adaption of Devaney's definition [14] to the setting of strongly continuous semigroups (cf. [13]).

Definition 4.1. A strongly continuous semigroup $T(t)$ on a Banach space \mathcal{B} is called *chaotic* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) There exists an $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that its orbit $\{T(t)f : t \geq 0\}$ is dense in \mathcal{B} , i.e. $T(t)$ is *hypercyclic*.
- (ii) The set of periodic points $\{f \in \mathcal{B} : \exists t > 0 \text{ such that } T(t)f = f\}$ is dense in \mathcal{B} .

Remark 4.2. (1) As with $\{T(t)f : t \geq 0\}$ also the set $\{T(q)f : q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\}$ is dense, \mathcal{B} is necessarily separable.

- (2) The orbit of any point $T(t)f$ in a dense orbit $\{T(t)f : t \geq 0\}$ is again dense in \mathcal{B} . Hence, the set of points with a dense orbit is a dense subset of \mathcal{B} or empty.
- (3) If A is the generator of a hypercyclic semigroup, its dual operator A' has empty point spectrum [13, Theorem 3.3].

A sufficient condition for a strongly continuous semigroup to be chaotic in terms of spectral properties of its generator was given by Desch, Schappacher, and Webb:

Theorem 4.3 ([13]). *Let $T(t)$ denote a strongly continuous semigroup on a separable Banach space \mathcal{B} with generator A and let Ω denote an open, connected subset of \mathbb{C} with $\Omega \subset \sigma_{pt}(A)$ (the point spectrum of A). Assume that there is a function $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that*

- (i) $\Omega \cap i\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$.

- (ii) $F(\lambda) \in \ker(A - \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega$.
- (iii) For all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}'$ in the dual space of \mathcal{B} , the mapping $F_\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \mapsto \phi \circ F$ is analytic. Furthermore, if for some $\phi \in \mathcal{B}'$ we have $F_\phi = 0$ then already $\phi = 0$ holds.

Then the semigroup $T(t)$ is chaotic.

In [13] it was also required that the elements $F(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Omega$, are non-zero but as remarked in [2] this assumption is redundant.

In the theory of dynamical systems chaotic semigroups are highly unwanted because of their difficult dynamics. Not much more appreciated are so called subspace chaotic semigroups:

Definition 4.4. A strongly continuous semigroup $T(t)$ on a Banach space \mathcal{B} is called *subspace chaotic* if there is a closed, $T(t)$ invariant subspace $\mathcal{V} \neq \{0\}$ of \mathcal{B} such that the restriction $T(t)|_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a chaotic semigroup on \mathcal{V} .

Because of Remark 4.2 such a subspace is always infinite dimensional.

Banasiak and Moszyński showed that a subset of the conditions in Theorem 4.3 yields a sufficient condition for subspace chaos:

Theorem 4.5. ([2, Criterion 3.3]). Let $T(t)$ denote a strongly continuous semigroup on a separable Banach space \mathcal{B} with generator A . Assume, there is an open, connected subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a function $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $F \neq 0$, such that

- (i) $\Omega \cap i\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) $F(\lambda) \in \ker(A - \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega$.
- (iii) For all $\phi \in \mathcal{B}'$, the mapping $F_\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda \mapsto \phi \circ F$ is analytic.

Then the semigroup $T(t)$ is subspace chaotic.

Furthermore, the restriction of $T(t)$ to the $T(t)$ invariant subspace $\mathcal{V} = \overline{\text{span}}F(\Omega)$ is chaotic.

Note that it is not required $\Omega \subset \sigma_{pt}(A)$ here, i.e. either $F(\lambda)$ is an eigenvector or $F(\lambda) = 0$. But, as explained in [2], the assumption $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is not really weaker.

4.2 L^p heat dynamics on locally symmetric spaces

Theorem 4.6. Let $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ denote a non-compact locally symmetric space with arithmetic fundamental group Γ .

- (a) If $p \in (1, 2)$ there is a constant $c_p > 0$ such that for any $c > c_p$ the semigroup

$$e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p} - c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$$

is subspace chaotic.

- (b) For any $p \geq 2$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the semigroup $e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p}-c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$ is not subspace chaotic.

Proof. For the proof of part (a), we will check the conditions of Theorem 4.5. If $p \in (1, 2)$ and if \mathbf{P} denotes a minimal rational parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} , the interior of

$$(\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \setminus B) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) < 0\}$$

consists completely of eigenvalues (cf. Theorem 3.7), and the apex of $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$ is at the point

$$c_p = \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)^2 = \frac{4\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Hence, the point spectrum of $(\Delta_{M,p} - c)$ intersects the imaginary axis for any $c > c_p$. We assume in the following $c > c_p$ and denote by Ω the interior of the set

$$(\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) \setminus B - c) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) < 0\}.$$

Then, if the usual analytic branch of the square root is chosen, Ω is mapped (analytically) by $h(z) = i\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^{-1}\sqrt{z + c - \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2}$ onto the strip

$$\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0, 0 < \text{Re}(z) < \left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right)\right\} \setminus h(B).$$

If we now define for some $\varphi = \text{const.} \in L^2_{\text{cus}}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus X_{\mathbf{P}})$ (note that $L^2_{\text{cus}}(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus X_{\mathbf{P}}) = L^2(\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus X_{\mathbf{P}})$ as $\Gamma_{M_{\mathbf{P}}} \setminus X_{\mathbf{P}}$ is compact), $\varphi \neq 0$,

$$F : \Omega \rightarrow L^p(M), z \mapsto E(\mathbf{P}|\varphi, h(z)\rho_{\mathbf{P}})$$

the map $F_f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \int_M F(z)(x)f(x)dx$ is analytic as a composition of analytic mappings for all $f \in L^{p'}(M), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Note that the integral is always finite as the Eisenstein series $F(z)$ are contained in $L^p(M)$. Furthermore, it follows from Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 3.7 that each $F(z)$ is an eigenfunction of $(\Delta_{M,p} - c)$ for the eigenvalue z and the proof of part (a) is complete.

If $p \geq 2$, the point spectrum of $\Delta_{M,p}$, and hence of $(\Delta_{M,p} - c)$, is a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} . On the other hand, the intersection of the point spectrum of the generator of a chaotic semigroup with the imaginary axis is always infinite, cf. [12]. \square

4.2.1 Periods of L^p heat semigroups

Definition 4.7. If $T(t)$ denotes a strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space \mathcal{B} , we call any $t > 0$ such that there is some $f \neq 0$ with $T(t)f = f$ and $T(s)f \neq f$ for all $0 < s < t$ a *period* of the semigroup $T(t)$.

In [35] it is shown that the periods of a strongly continuous semigroup can be determined if the eigenvalues of the generator on the imaginary axis are known:

Lemma 4.8. *Let $T(t)$ denote a strongly continuous semigroup on a Banach space \mathcal{B} with generator A . Then $t > 0$ is a period of $T(t)$ if and only if there exist $\alpha_k \in i\mathbb{R} \cap \sigma_{pt}(A)$, $k = 1, \dots, l$ ($l = \infty$ is allowed) such that t is the smallest positive number with*

$$t\alpha_k \in 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$$

for all k .

We use this result in order to describe the set of periods of the semigroups $e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p}-c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$ on a non-compact locally symmetric space $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ with arithmetic fundamental group Γ .

Let \mathbf{P} denote a minimal rational parabolic subgroup. As the boundary of the parabolic region

$$\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) = \left\{ \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|^2 - z^2 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |\operatorname{Re} z| \leq \|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| \cdot \left| \frac{2}{p} - 1 \right| \right\} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

is parametrized by the curve

$$s \mapsto \left(\frac{2\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|}{p} + is \right) \left(2\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| - \frac{2\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\|}{p} - is \right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

the intersection of $\mathcal{P}_{M,p}(\rho_{\mathbf{P}}) - c$ with the imaginary axis consists of the points in $i[-r, r]$ where $r = r(c, p) = 2\|\rho_{\mathbf{P}}\| \left(1 - \frac{2}{p} \right) \sqrt{c - c_p}$ if $c > c_p$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $M = \Gamma \backslash X$ denote a non-compact locally symmetric space with arithmetic Γ .*

- (a) *If $p \in (1, 2)$ and $c > c_p$ all but finitely many points in $[2\pi/r(c, p), \infty)$ are periods of the semigroup $e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p}-c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$.*
- (b) *If $p \geq 2$ the semigroup $e^{-t(\Delta_{M,p}-c)} : L^p(M) \rightarrow L^p(M)$ has no periods.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.8 and Theorem 3.7. □

Acknowledgement We want to thank the referee for many valuable suggestions which led to a great improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] Wolfgang Arendt, *Gaussian estimates and interpolation of the spectrum in L^p* , Differential Integral Equations **7** (1994), no. 5-6, 1153–1168. MR MR1269649 (95e:47066)
- [2] Jacek Banasiak and Marcin Moszyński, *A generalization of Desch-Schappacher-Webb criteria for chaos*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **12** (2005), no. 5, 959–972. MR MR2128736 (2005k:37027)

- [3] Armand Borel, *Introduction aux groupes arithmétiques*, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg, XV. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1341, Hermann, Paris, 1969. MR MR0244260 (39 #5577)
- [4] ———, *Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem*, J. Differential Geometry **6** (1972), 543–560. MR MR0338456 (49 #3220)
- [5] ———, *Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 235–272. MR MR0387496 (52 #8338)
- [6] Armand Borel and Lizhen Ji, *Compactifications of symmetric and locally symmetric spaces*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. MR MR2189882
- [7] E. Brian Davies, *Pointwise bounds on the space and time derivatives of heat kernels*, J. Operator Theory **21** (1989), no. 2, 367–378. MR MR1023321 (90k:58214)
- [8] ———, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 92, Cambridge University Press, 1990. MR MR1103113 (92a:35035)
- [9] ———, *L^p spectral independence and L^1 analyticity*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **52** (1995), no. 1, 177–184. MR MR1345724 (96e:47034)
- [10] ———, *L^p spectral theory of higher-order elliptic differential operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), no. 5, 513–546. MR MR1458713 (98d:35164)
- [11] E. Brian Davies, Barry Simon, and Michael E. Taylor, *L^p spectral theory of Kleinian groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **78** (1988), no. 1, 116–136. MR MR937635 (89m:58205)
- [12] R. deLaubenfels and H. Emamirad, *Chaos for functions of discrete and continuous weighted shift operators*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **21** (2001), no. 5, 1411–1427. MR MR1855839 (2002j:47030)
- [13] Wolfgang Desch, Wilhelm Schappacher, and Glenn F. Webb, *Hypercyclic and chaotic semigroups of linear operators*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **17** (1997), no. 4, 793–819. MR MR1468101 (98j:47083)
- [14] Robert L. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*, second ed., Addison-Wesley Studies in Nonlinearity, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 1989. MR MR1046376 (91a:58114)
- [15] Patrick B. Eberlein, *Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1996. MR MR1441541 (98h:53002)
- [16] Mikhail Gromov and Ilya I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Nonarithmetic groups in Lobachevsky spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1988), no. 66, 93–103. MR MR932135 (89j:22019)

- [17] Harish-Chandra, *Automorphic forms on semisimple Lie groups*, Notes by J. G. M. Mars. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 62, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968. MR MR0232893 (38 #1216)
- [18] Rainer Hempel and Jürgen Voigt, *The spectrum of a Schrödinger operator in $L_p(\mathbf{R}^{\nu})$ is p -independent*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 2, 243–250. MR MR836002 (87h:35247)
- [19] ———, *On the L_p -spectrum of Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **121** (1987), no. 1, 138–159. MR MR869525 (88i:35114)
- [20] Matthias Hieber, *Gaussian estimates and invariance of the L^p -spectrum for elliptic operators of higher order*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **28** (1996), no. suppl., 235–249 (1997). MR MR1602267 (99a:47061)
- [21] Lizhen Ji, *The trace class conjecture for arithmetic groups.*, J. Differ. Geom. **48** (1998), no. 1, 165–203 (English).
- [22] Lizhen Ji and Robert MacPherson, *Geometry of compactifications of locally symmetric spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **52** (2002), no. 2, 457–559. MR MR1906482 (2004h:22006)
- [23] Lizhen Ji and Andreas Weber, *Pointwise bounds for L^2 eigenfunctions on locally symmetric spaces*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **34** (2008), no. 4, 387 – 401. MR MR2447907
- [24] ———, *Dynamics of the heat semigroup on symmetric spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **30** (2010), no. 2, 457 – 468.
- [25] ———, *L^p spectral theory and heat dynamics of locally symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 1121 – 1139.
- [26] Lizhen Ji and Maciej Zworski, *Scattering matrices and scattering geodesics of locally symmetric spaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), no. 3, 441–469. MR MR1839581 (2002e:58059)
- [27] F. I. Karpelevič, *The geometry of geodesics and the eigenfunctions of the Beltrami-Laplace operator on symmetric spaces*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **1965** (1967), 51–199. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967. MR MR0231321 (37 #6876)
- [28] Anthony W. Knaapp, *Representation theory of semisimple groups. an overview based on examples.*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [29] Peer C. Kunstmann, *Heat kernel estimates and L^p spectral independence of elliptic operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), no. 3, 345–353. MR MR1673414 (99k:47093)
- [30] ———, *Uniformly elliptic operators with maximal L_p -spectrum in planar domains*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **76** (2001), no. 5, 377–384. MR MR1824257 (2002a:35167)

- [31] Robert P. Langlands, *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 544. MR MR0579181 (58 #28319)
- [32] Vitali A. Liskevich and M. A. Perel'muter, *Analyticity of sub-Markovian semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), no. 4, 1097–1104. MR MR1224619 (95e:47057)
- [33] Gregory A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. MR MR1090825 (92h:22021)
- [34] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 113, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR MR1361168 (97d:11083)
- [35] Gustavo Muñoz, Juan B. Seoane, and Andreas Weber, *Periods of strongly continuous semigroups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), no. 3, 480 – 488.
- [36] Werner Müller, *The trace class conjecture in the theory of automorphic forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **130** (1989), no. 3, 473–529. MR MR1025165 (90m:11083)
- [37] M. Scott Osborne and Garth Warner, *The theory of Eisenstein systems*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 99, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1981. MR MR643242 (83j:10034)
- [38] Robert J. Stanton and Peter A. Tomas, *Pointwise inversion of the spherical transform on $L^p(G/K)$, $1 \leq p < 2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1979), no. 3, 398–404. MR MR518528 (80e:43011)
- [39] Robert S. Strichartz, *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), no. 1, 48–79. MR MR705991 (84m:58138)
- [40] Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On the L^p -spectrum of uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **118** (1993), no. 2, 442–453. MR MR1250269 (94m:58227)
- [41] Michael E. Taylor, *L^p -estimates on functions of the Laplace operator*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), no. 3, 773–793. MR MR1016445 (91d:58253)
- [42] Nicholas Th. Varopoulos, *Analysis on Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **76** (1988), no. 2, 346–410. MR MR924464 (89i:22018)
- [43] Jürgen Voigt, *The sector of holomorphy for symmetric sub-Markovian semigroups*, Functional analysis (Trier, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 449–453. MR MR1420468 (97i:47093)
- [44] Andreas Weber, *L^p -spectral theory of locally symmetric spaces with \mathbb{Q} -rank one*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **10** (2007), no. 2, 135–154. MR MR2342629

- [45] ———, *The L^p spectrum of Riemannian products*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **90** (2008), 279 – 283.
- [46] Robert J. Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 81, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984. MR MR776417 (86j:22014)