

# Khovanov homology of graph-links

Igor Nikonov

## Abstract

We define Khovanov homology over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for graph-links.

## 1 Introduction

Graph-links [4, 5] are combinatorial analog of classical and virtual links. "Diagrams" of graph-links are simple undirected labeled graphs and graph-links themselves are equivalence classes of the graphs modulo formal Reidemeister moves. In knot theory graph-links appear as intersection graphs of rotating chord diagrams of links. It is known that intersection graph determines chord diagram uniquely up to mutations [2]. Thus any link invariant that does not distinguish mutant links is a candidate for an invariant of graph-links. For example, Alexander polynomial, Jones polynomial and HOMFLY are of such type. The problem is the equivalence relation of the graph-links can be too strong so there can exist two chord diagrams which have different values of the invariant but whose intersection graphs coincide as graph-links. So the problem of finding a natural construction that would extend the invariant from intersection graphs to graph-links is nontrivial. For Jones polynomial this problem was solved by D. Ilyutko and V. Manturov [4]. This article provides a solution for (odd) Khovanov homology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

A theory analogous to the theory of graph-links was developed by L. Traldi and L. Zulli [7]. Their approach (called looped interlacement graphs theory) is based on Gauss diagrams of knots instead of rotating chord diagrams. D. Ilyutko showed these two theories are closely related [3]. So the construction of the Khovanov homology described below will provide as well (after the necessary reformulation) a definition of the Khovanov homology for the looped interlacement graphs.

Odd Khovanov homology of links was defined by P. Ozsváth, J. Rasmussen and Z. Szabó [6]. J. Bloom proved that odd Khovanov homology is mutant invariant [1] and we can expect it to be extended to an invariant of graph-links. In fact the construction of J. Bloom over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  can be directly transferred to the graph-link theory. One can also consider this invariant as the ordinary Khovanov homology of graph-links because for links both homology theories coincide modulo 2. On the other hand, integer-valued Khovanov homology is not mutant invariant [8] so we don't anticipate any adaptation of it for graph-links.

## 2 Graph-links

Let  $G$  be an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(G)$  be the set of its vertices. We assume  $G$  be a *labeled* graph, i.e. every vertex  $v$  in  $G$  is endowed with a pair  $(a, \alpha)$  where  $a \in \{0, 1\}$  is the *framing*  $\text{fr}(v)$  of  $v$  and  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$  is the *sign*  $\text{sgn}(v)$  of the vertex  $v$ .

Let us fix an enumeration of vertices of  $G$ . We define the *adjacency matrix*  $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  as follows:  $a_{ij} = 1$  if and only if the vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent, and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Besides we set  $a_{ii} = \text{fr}(v_i)$ .

Let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . The set of all vertices in  $\mathcal{V}$  adjacent to  $v$  is called the *neighbourhood* of the vertex  $v$  and denoted  $N(v)$ .

Let us define the Reidemeister moves of labeled graphs.

$\Omega_1$ . The first Reidemeister move is an addition/removal of an isolated vertex labeled  $(0, \pm 1)$ .

$\Omega_2$ . The second Reidemeister move is an addition/removal of two adjacent (resp. nonadjacent) vertices having the labels  $(1, -1)$  and  $(1, 1)$  (resp.  $(0, -1)$  and  $(0, 1)$ ) and the same neighbourhoods.

$\Omega_3$ . The third Reidemeister move is defined as follows. Let  $u, v, w$  be three vertices of  $G$  having the labels  $(0, -1)$  and  $u$  be adjacent only to  $v$  and  $w$ . Then we only change the adjacency of  $u$  with the vertices  $t \in N(v) \setminus N(w) \cup N(w) \setminus N(v)$  (for other pairs of vertices we don't change their adjacency). In addition, we change the signs of  $v$  and  $w$  to '+1'. The inverse operation is also called the third Reidemeister move.

$\Omega_4$ . The fourth Reidemeister move is defined as follows. We take two adjacent vertices  $u$  labeled  $(0, \alpha)$  and  $v$  labeled  $(0, \beta)$ . Then we change the label of  $u$  to  $(0, -\beta)$  and the label of  $v$  to  $(0, -\alpha)$ . Besides, we change the adjacency for each pair  $(t, w)$  of vertices where  $t \in N(u)$  and  $w \in N(v) \setminus N(u)$  or  $t \in N(v)$  and  $w \in N(u) \setminus N(v)$ .

$\Omega'_4$ . In this fourth move we take a vertex  $v$  with the label  $(1, \alpha)$ . We change the adjacency for each pair  $(t, w)$  of vertices where  $t, w \in N(u)$ . Besides, we change the sign of  $v$  and the framing for each  $w \in N(u)$ .

**Definition 1.** A *graph-link* is the equivalence class of a simple labeled graph modulo  $\Omega_1 - \Omega'_4$  moves.

## 3 Khovanov homology of graph links

Let  $G$  be a simple labeled graph with  $n$  vertices and  $A = A(G)$  be its adjacency matrix. We now formulate mod 2 version of Bloom's construction of odd Khovanov homology. The reason why we use  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the chain complex construction depends on the coefficients of the adjacency matrix  $A$  and the matrix  $A$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Suppose  $s \subset \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(G)$ . We shall call subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$  as *states*. Define  $G(s)$  to be the complete subgraph in  $G$  with the set of vertices  $s$  and denote  $A(s) =$

$A(G(s))$ . Consider the vector space

$$V(s) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid r_1^s, \dots, r_n^s \rangle$$

where relations  $r_1^s, \dots, r_n^s$  are given by the formula

$$r_i^s = \begin{cases} x_i + \sum_{\{j \mid v_j \in s\}} a_{ij} x_j, & \text{if } v_i \notin s, \\ \sum_{\{j \mid v_j \in s\}} a_{ij} x_j, & \text{if } v_i \in s \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The dimension of  $V(s)$  is equal to corank  $A(s)$ .

There is a natural bijection between states  $s \subset \mathcal{V}$  and vertices of the hypercube  $\{0, 1\}^n$ : the state  $s$  corresponds to the vector  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_i = 0$  if  $v_i \in s$  and  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = 1$  or if  $v_i \notin s$  and  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = -1$  and  $\alpha_i = 1$  otherwise. Every edge of the hypercube is of the type  $s \rightarrow s \oplus i$  where  $s \oplus i$  denotes  $s \cup \{v_i\}$  if  $v_i \notin s$  and  $s \setminus \{v_i\}$  if  $v_i \in s$ . We orient the arrow so that  $v_i \notin s$  if  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = -1$  and  $v_i \in s$  if  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = 1$ .

We assign to every edge  $s \rightarrow s \oplus i$  the map  $\partial_{s \oplus i}^s : \Lambda^* V(s) \rightarrow \Lambda^* V(s \oplus i)$  of exterior algebras defined by the formula

$$\partial_{s \oplus i}^s(u) = \begin{cases} x_i \wedge u & \text{if } x_i = 0 \in V(s), \\ u & \text{if } x_i \neq 0 \in V(s). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Consider the chain complex

$$C(G) = \bigoplus_{s \subset \mathcal{V}} \bigwedge^* V(s)$$

with differential

$$\partial(u) = \sum_{\{s, s' \subset \mathcal{V} \mid s \rightarrow s'\}} \partial_{s'}^s(u).$$

**Proposition 1.** *Chain complex  $(C(G), \partial)$  is well defined.*

*Proof.* We need to show that the maps  $\partial_{s \oplus i}^s$  are well defined and that each 2-face in the hypercube of states is commutative.

**Lemma 1.** *Let us consider a state  $s$  and an index  $i$  such that  $v_i \notin s$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $A(s \oplus i) = \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$  where  $A = A(s)$ . Then*

1.  $x_i = 0 \in V(s)$  iff  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ ;
2.  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$  iff  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix} + 1 = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the relation  $x_i = \sum_{\{j | v_j \in s\}} a_{ij} x_j$  that the equality  $x_i = 0 \in$

$V(s)$  means the vector  $a$  depends on the rows of the adjacency matrix  $A$ . This leads to the first statement of the lemma.

The equality  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$  implies that the vector  $(0 \ 1)$  depends on the rows of the matrix  $A(s \oplus i)$ . Then  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . But

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix} + 1.$$

□

**Lemma 2.** For any symmetric matrix  $A$  if  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank } A + 1$  then  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank } A$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix} \neq \text{rank } A$ . Then  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix}$  and the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} a^\top \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$  depends on the columns of the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the vector  $a^\top$  depends of the columns of  $A$  and (after transposition) the vector  $a$  depends on the rows of  $A$ . Hence,  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix}$  that contradicts to the initial assumption. □

**Corollary 1.** For any state  $s$  and any index  $i$  we have

1.  $\dim V(s \oplus i) = \dim V(s) + 1$  iff  $x_i = 0 \in V(s)$  and  $x_i \neq 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$ ;
2.  $\dim V(s \oplus i) = \dim V(s) - 1$  iff  $x_i \neq 0 \in V(s)$  and  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$ ;
3.  $\dim V(s \oplus i) = \dim V(s)$  iff  $x_i = 0 \in V(s)$  and  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$ .

The case  $x_i \neq 0 \in V(s)$  and  $x_i \neq 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$  is impossible.

*Proof.* The statements of the corollary follow from Lemmas 1,2 and the fact  $\dim V(s) = \text{corank } A(s)$ ,  $\dim V(s \oplus i) = \text{corank } A(s \oplus i)$ . □

We call the first two cases in the proposition *even* and the third case *odd*. From the definition of the differentials we have  $\partial_{s \oplus i}^s = 0$  in the odd case.

*Correctness of chain maps.*

Let us consider the map  $\partial_{s \oplus i}^s : \bigwedge^* V(s) \rightarrow \bigwedge^* V(s \oplus i)$ . We must check that the relations are mapped to relations, that is for any element  $u$  and any index  $j$  there exist elements  $u_k \in V(s \oplus i)$  such that

$$\partial_{s \oplus i}^s(r_j^s \wedge u) = \sum_k r_k^{s \oplus i} \wedge u_k \in V(s \oplus i).$$

For any  $j$  we have  $r_j^s = r_j^{s \oplus i} + \alpha_j x_i$  for some  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$  then

$$\partial_{s \oplus i}^s(r_j^s \wedge u) = r_j^s \wedge u' = r_j^{s \oplus i} \wedge u' + \alpha_j x_i \wedge u' = r_j^{s \oplus i} \wedge u'$$

in  $V(s \oplus i)$ , where  $u' = u$  or  $u' = 0$ . If  $x_i \neq 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$  then  $x_i = 0 \in V(s)$  and

$$\partial_{s \oplus i}^s(r_j^s \wedge u) = x_i \wedge r_j^s \wedge u = x_i \wedge r_j^{s \oplus i} \wedge u + \alpha_j x_i \wedge x_i \wedge u = r_j^{s \oplus i} \wedge (x_i \wedge u).$$


In any case the map  $\partial_{s \oplus i}^s$  is well defined.

*Commutativity of 2-faces.*

Every 2-face of hypercube looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^* V(s \oplus j) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{s \oplus i \oplus j}^{s \oplus j}} & \Lambda^* V(s \oplus i \oplus j) \\ \partial_{s \oplus j}^s \uparrow & & \uparrow \partial_{s \oplus i \oplus j}^{s \oplus i} \\ \Lambda^* V(s) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{s \oplus i}^s} & \Lambda^* V(s \oplus i). \end{array}$$

According to dimensions of spaces  $V(s')$ ,  $s' = s, s \oplus i, s \oplus j, s \oplus i \oplus j$  we have five types of diagrams without odd edges:



Here the number at the place of the state  $s'$  is equal to  $\dim V(s') - \dim V(s) = \text{corank } A(s') - \text{corank } A(s)$  and the label  $z = 1, x_i, x_j$  at the arrow for the map  $\partial_{s'}^{s'}$  means that  $\partial_{s'}^{s'}(u) = z \wedge u$ .

2-faces of types 1,2,3 are obviously commutative. Any 2-face of type 4 is commutative because  $x_i = x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ . For the face of type 5 we need to show that  $x_i = x_j \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ . There are three possibilities.

1.  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = \text{sgn}(v_j) = -1$ . Then  $v_i, v_j \in s \oplus i \oplus j$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are the last vertices in  $s \oplus i \oplus j$ . The adjacency matrix  $A(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  can be represented in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top & b^\top \\ a & \alpha & \gamma \\ b & \gamma & \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

where  $A = A(s)$ .


Since  $\text{corank } A(s \oplus i) = \text{corank } A(s) - 1$  we have  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$ . Then the rows of the matrix  $A(s \oplus i) = \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$  generate the vector  $(0 \ 1)$ . Hence, the rows of the matrix  $A(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  generate the vector  $(0 \ 1 \ \delta)$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $\delta = 0$  then  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  but this is not the case. So  $\delta = 1$  and we have the relation  $x_i + x_j = 0$  in  $V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ .

2.  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = -1$ ,  $\text{sgn}(v_j) = 1$ . Then  $v_i \in s \oplus i \oplus j$  and  $v_j \notin s \oplus i \oplus j$ . Assume that the adjacency matrix  $A(s \oplus i)$  has the form (3) where  $A = A(s \oplus j)$ .

We have the equality  $x_i = 0 \in A(s \oplus i)$ . It implies that the vector  $(0 \ 1 \ 0)$  is a linear combination of the rows of the adjacency matrix  $A(s \oplus i)$ . If the coefficient in the linear combination by the row  $(b \ \gamma \ \beta)$  is zero then the rows of the matrix  $A(s \oplus i \oplus j) = \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$  generate the vector  $(0 \ 1)$ . Thus  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  that leads to contradiction. Therefore the coefficient by  $(b \ \gamma \ \beta)$  is 1. Then the vector  $(b \ \gamma + 1)$  is generated by the rows of the matrix  $A(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  that means  $x_i + x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ .

3.  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = \text{sgn}(v_j) = -1$ . Then the matrix  $A(s)$  looks like (3) where  $A = A(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ . The equality  $x_i + x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  corresponds to the relation vector  $a + b$ . Since  $\text{rank } A(s) = \text{rank } A(s \oplus j) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top & b^\top \\ a & \alpha & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$  the last row in the matrix  $A(s)$  is expressed as a linear combination of the other rows. If the coefficient by the row  $(a \ \alpha \ \gamma)$  in the combination is zero then the vector  $(b \ \gamma \ \beta)$  depends on the rows of the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top & b^\top \end{pmatrix}$  and the vector  $b$  depends on the rows of the matrix  $A$ . Hence,  $x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  that is not true. Thus, the coefficients by  $(a \ \alpha \ \gamma)$  is not zero so the vector  $a + b$  is generated by the rows of the matrix  $A$  that means  $x_i + x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ .

Any diagram, which has odd edges in both upper-right and left-upper paths, is commutative because the map of any odd edge is zero. After this remark it remains only two nontrivial diagrams (up to symmetry between  $i$  and  $j$ ) with an odd edge:



The diagram of type 6 is commutative because  $\dim V(s \oplus i) = \dim V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$  so  $x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ .

For the commutativity of the diagram of type 7 we need to prove that  $x_i = 0 \in V(s \oplus i \oplus j)$ . Assume this is not the case.

Let  $\text{sgn}(v_i) = \text{sgn}(v_j) = -1$ . Then the adjacency matrix looks like (3). Since  $x_j = 0 \in V(s \oplus i)$  we have  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \\ b & \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & a^\top \\ a & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $b$  depends on the rows of  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ . But  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank } A$  hence  $b$  depends on the rows of  $A$ . On the other hand, we have  $x_j \neq 0 \in V(s)$  so  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ b \end{pmatrix} \neq \text{rank } A$ . This is contradiction.

The other cases of sign arrangement can be treated in an analogous manner.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 1.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** We call the homology  $\overline{\text{Kh}}(G)$  of the complex  $(C(G), \partial)$  the *reduced (odd) Khovanov homology* of the labeled simple graph  $G$ .

The main theorem of the article states that Khovanov homology is well defined for graph-links.

**Theorem 1.** *Khovanov homology  $\overline{\text{Kh}}(G)$  is invariant under  $\Omega_1 - \Omega'_4$  moves.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a labeled graph and  $\tilde{G}$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by some Reidemeister move  $\Omega_1 - \Omega'_4$ .

*Invariance under  $\Omega_1$ .*

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be obtained from  $G$  by an addition of an isolated labeled vertex  $v$ . The complex  $C(\tilde{G})$  is isomorphic to the product of complexes  $C(G) \otimes C(v)$  where the complex  $C(v)$  is equal to

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{x \wedge} \bigwedge^* \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle x \rangle$$

if  $\text{sgn}(v) = -1$  and

$$\bigwedge^* \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle x \rangle \xrightarrow{x=0} \mathbb{Z}_2$$

if  $\text{sgn}(v) = 1$ . In any case  $H_*(C(v)) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \cdot 1$ , where  $1 \in H_0(C(v))$  if  $\text{sgn}(v) = 1$  and  $1 \in H_1(C(v))$  if  $\text{sgn}(v) = -1$ . Thus, we have

$$\overline{\text{Kh}}(\tilde{G}) = \overline{\text{Kh}}(G) \otimes \overline{\text{Kh}}(v) \cong \overline{\text{Kh}}(G).$$

*Invariance under  $\Omega_2$ .*

Assume that we add the vertices  $v$  and  $w$  to get the graph  $\tilde{G}$  by  $\Omega_2$  and  $\text{sgn}(v) = 1, \text{sgn}(w) = -1$ . Without loss of generality we can write the adjacency matrix  $A(\tilde{G})$  in one of this two forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a^\top \\ 0 & 0 & a^\top \\ a & a & A(G) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & a^\top \\ 1 & 1 & a^\top \\ a & a & A(G) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In both cases for every state  $s \in \mathcal{V}(G)$  we have the following equalities:  $\text{corank } A(G(s)) = \text{corank } A(\tilde{G}(s)), \text{corank } A(\tilde{G}(s \cup \{v\})) = \text{corank } A(\tilde{G}(s \cup \{w\})) = \text{corank } A(\tilde{G}(s \cup \{v, w\}))$

$\{v, w\}) - 1$ . These equalities define the type of the upper and left arrows of the complex  $C(\tilde{G})$  written in the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{vw} & \xrightarrow{1} & C_w \\ x_2 \uparrow & & \uparrow \partial \\ C_v & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C. \end{array}$$

Here  $C_v$  consists of the chains whose state contains  $v$  and does not contain  $w$ ; the complexes  $C, C_w, C_{vw}$  are defined analogously.

For any state  $s$  in  $C_{vw}$  let us define a linear function  $f : V(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  by the formula  $f(\sum_i \lambda_i x_i) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . The function  $f$  is well defined because it equals to zero on any relation:  $f(r_i^s) = a_{i1} + a_{i2} = 0$  since  $a_{i1} = a_{i2}$ . Then  $\bigwedge^* V(s) = \bigwedge^* \ker f \oplus x_2 \bigwedge^* V(s)$  and  $C_{vw} = X \oplus x_2 C_{vw}$ . The subcomplex  $X \rightarrow C_w$  is acyclic because the arrow is an isomorphism. Then the homology of  $C(\tilde{G})$  coincides with the homology of the quotient complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_2 C_{vw} & & \\ x_2 \uparrow & & \\ C_v & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C. \end{array}$$

The quotient of the obtained complex by the subcomplex  $C$  appears to be acyclic too. Thus  $C(\tilde{G})$  has the same homology as  $C = C(G)$ .

*Invariance under  $\Omega_3$ .*

Without loss of generality we can assume that the vertices  $u, v, w$  in the third Reidemeister move have the indices 1, 2, 3 in  $\mathcal{V}(G) = \mathcal{V}(\tilde{G})$ . There are two variants of  $\Omega_3$  depending adjacency of  $v$  and  $w$  and we consider the version where  $v$  and  $w$  are not adjacent. The proof for the other version is analogous. The adjacency matrices of  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  looks like

$$A(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0^\top \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & a^\top \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & b^\top \\ 0 & a & b & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(\tilde{G}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & (a+b)^\top \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a^\top \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^\top \\ a+b & a & b & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote  $\tilde{V}(s) = V(\tilde{G}(s))$ . Then for any  $s \subset \mathcal{V}(G) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$  we have  $V(s) \cong \tilde{V}(s)$ ,  $V(s \oplus v) \cong \tilde{V}(s \oplus v)$ ,  $V(s \oplus w) \cong \tilde{V}(s \oplus w)$ ,  $V(s \oplus v \oplus w) \cong \tilde{V}(s \oplus u \oplus v) \cong \tilde{V}(s \oplus u \oplus w)$ ,  $V(s \oplus u \oplus v \oplus w) \cong \tilde{V}(s \oplus u)$ ,  $V(s \oplus u \oplus v) \cong V(s \oplus u \oplus w) \cong V(s)$  and the correspondent isomorphisms of the exterior algebras are compatible with the differential.



Consider complexes  $C(G)$  and  $C(\tilde{G})$  in the form of cubes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & C_{uw} & \longrightarrow & C_{uvw} \\
 & \nearrow 1 & \uparrow & \nearrow \\
 C_u & \xrightarrow{1} & C_{uv} & \\
 \uparrow x_1 & & \downarrow & \\
 & C_w & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_{vw} \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nearrow \\
 C & \longrightarrow & C_v & 
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \tilde{C}_{uv} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}_u \\
 & \nearrow 1 & \uparrow & \nearrow \\
 \tilde{C}_{uvw} & \xrightarrow{1} & \tilde{C}_{uv} & \\
 \uparrow x_1 & & \downarrow & \\
 & \tilde{C}_v & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{C} \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nearrow \\
 \tilde{C}_{vw} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}_w & 
 \end{array}$$

For any state  $s$  in  $C_u$  let us define a linear function  $f : V(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  by the formula  $f(\sum_i \lambda_i x_i) = \lambda_1$ . The function is well defined and there are decompositions  $\bigwedge^* V(s) = \bigwedge^* \ker f \oplus x_1 \bigwedge^* V(s)$  and  $C_u = X \oplus x_1 C_u$ . Consider the following subcomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & C_{uw} & \longrightarrow & C_{uvw} \\
 & \nearrow 1 & \uparrow & \nearrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{1} & C_{uv} & \\
 & \downarrow & \uparrow & \\
 & C_w & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_{vw} \\
 & & \downarrow & \nearrow \\
 & & C_v & 
 \end{array}$$

The quotient complex  $C \rightarrow x_1 C_u$  is acyclic so the homology of  $C(G)$  is isomorphic to the homology of the subcomplex. This subcomplex contains the acyclic subcomplex  $X \rightarrow \partial(X)$ . The maps  $X \rightarrow C_{uv}$  and  $X \rightarrow C_{uw}$  are isomorphisms, so after factorization we obtain the complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_{uw} & \longrightarrow & C_{uvw} \\
 \uparrow & \cong & \uparrow \\
 & C_{uv} & \\
 C_w & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_{vw} \\
 & \downarrow & \nearrow \\
 & C_v & 
 \end{array}$$

where the spaces  $C_v$  and  $C_w$  are identified.

The reasonings analogous to the reasonings above reduce  $C(\tilde{G})$  to the complex (for a state  $s$  in  $\tilde{C}_{uvw}$  we should define the function  $f : \tilde{V}(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  by the formula  $f(\sum_i \lambda_i x_i) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ ).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{C}_{uv} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C}_u \\
 \uparrow & \cong & \uparrow \\
 & \tilde{C}_{uv} & \\
 \tilde{C}_v & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{C} \\
 & \downarrow & \nearrow \\
 & \tilde{C}_w & 
 \end{array}$$

Both complexes are isomorphic to the complex  $C_v \oplus C_w \rightarrow C \oplus C_{vw} \rightarrow C_{uvw}$ . Thus  $C(G)$  and  $C(\tilde{G})$  have the same homology.

*Invariance under  $\Omega_4$ .*

Let the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of the move  $\Omega_4$  have the indices  $p$  and  $q$  in  $V(G) = V(\tilde{G})$ . The coefficients of adjacency matrices of  $A(G) = (a_{ij})$  and  $A(\tilde{G}) = (\tilde{a}_{ij})$  are connected by the formula

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} + a_{ip}a_{jq} + a_{iq}a_{jp}, & \{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset, \\ a_{ij}, & \{i, j\} \cap \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Consider the map  $\phi$  acting on the states by the formula

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} s \cup \{u, v\}, & \{u, v\} \cap s = \emptyset, \\ s \setminus \{u, v\}, & \{u, v\} \cap s = \{u, v\}, \\ s, & \{u, v\} \cap s \neq \emptyset, \{u, v\} \end{cases}$$

together with the linear maps  $\Phi : V(s) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(\phi(s))$  defined by the formula

$$\Phi(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i, & i \neq p, q, \\ x_q, & i = p, \\ x_p, & i = q. \end{cases}$$

One can check that the map  $\Phi$  defines an isomorphism of the vector spaces and after the natural extension to an isomorphism of external algebras  $\bigwedge^* V(s) \rightarrow \bigwedge^* \tilde{V}(\phi(s))$  for each state  $s$  it determines an isomorphism of the graded linear spaces  $\Phi : C(G) \rightarrow C(\tilde{G})$ .  $\Phi$  is a chain map. Thus the complexes  $C(G)$  and  $C(\tilde{G})$  are isomorphic as well as their homology.

*Invariance under  $\Omega'_4$ .*

Let the vertex  $v$  of the move  $\Omega'_4$  have the index  $p$ . The coefficients of adjacency matrices of  $A(G) = (a_{ij})$  and  $A(\tilde{G}) = (\tilde{a}_{ij})$  are connected by the formula

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} + a_{ip}a_{jp}, & i, j \neq p, \\ a_{ip}, & j = p, \\ a_{pj}, & i = p, \end{cases}$$

Consider the map  $\phi : C(G) \rightarrow C(\tilde{G})$  which acts on the states by the formula

$$\phi(s) = s \oplus p$$

and the linear maps  $\Phi : V(s) \rightarrow \tilde{V}(\phi(s))$  defined on the generators by the formula  $\Phi(x_i) = x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $\Phi$  induces a well defined isomorphism of the complexes  $C(G)$  and  $C(\tilde{G})$  so the homologies of the complexes coincide.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Khovanov homology  $\overline{\text{Kh}}(G)$  is an invariant of graph-links.*  $\square$

## 4 Jones polynomial of graph-knots and Khovanov homology

Let  $G$  be a simple labeled graph. The complex  $C(G)$  has two grading: the homological grading  $M_0$  and the algebraic grading  $\deg$  of graded algebras  $\bigwedge^* V(s)$ . The differential is not homogeneous with respect to  $\deg$  but it is compatible with the grading  $Q_0$  where for element any  $u \in \bigwedge^r(s)$  we define  $Q_0(u) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} V(s) - 2r + M_0(s)$ . The differential increases the grading  $M_0$  and leaves the grading  $Q_0$  unchanged. Then

$$\overline{\text{Kh}}(G) = \bigoplus_{m,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\text{Kh}}(G)_{(m,q)}.$$

The following definition is due to Ilyutko and Manturov [4].

**Definition 3.** Let  $G$  be a simple labeled graph with  $n$  vertices. The *Kauffman bracket polynomial* of  $G$  is defined as

$$\langle G \rangle(a) = \sum_{s \subset \mathcal{V}(G)} a^{\alpha(s) - \beta(s)} (-a^2 - a^{-2})^{\text{corank } A(s)},$$

where  $\alpha(s) = \#\{v \in s \mid \text{sgn}(v) = -1\} + \#\{v \notin s \mid \text{sgn}(v) = 1\}$  and  $\beta(s) = n - \alpha(s)$ .

It appears that the Poincaré polynomial of the bigraded Khovanov homology of the graph  $G$  coincides with its Kauffman bracket up to shift of the gradings.

**Theorem 2.**

$$\sum_{m,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \overline{\text{Kh}}(G)_{(m,q)} \cdot t^q = (it^{1/2})^{-n} \langle G \rangle(it^{1/2}).$$

*Proof.* The left term of the equality coincides with the Poincaré polynomial of the chain complex  $C(G)$ . Each state  $s \subset \mathcal{V}(G)$  has homological grading  $\alpha(s)$  and the corresponding chain space  $\bigwedge^* V(s)$  contributes  $(-1)^{\alpha(s)} (t + t^{-1})^{\dim V(s)} t^{\alpha(s)}$  to the Poincaré polynomial. Since  $\dim V(s) = \text{corank } A(s)$  and  $\alpha(s) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(s) - \beta(s) - n)$  the sum over all states yields the right term of the equality.  $\square$

The gradings  $M_0, Q_0$  of the Khovanov complex are not preserved by the Reidemeister moves as shown in the following table.

	$M_0$	$Q_0$
$\Omega_1^+$	0	-1
$\Omega_1^-$	1	1
$\Omega_2$	1	0
$\Omega_3$	0	0
$\Omega_4$	0	0
$\Omega_4'$	0	0

(4)

Here  $\Omega_1^\pm$  denotes the adding an isolated vertex with the label  $\pm 1$  and  $\Omega_2$  denotes the adding two vertices. The entries of the cells are the shifts of the gradings after the corresponding move.

Thus the groups  $\overline{\text{Kh}}(G)_{(m,q)}$  are not invariants of graph-links. Nevertheless we can normalize the gradings for the graph-knots.

**Definition 4** ([4]). A graph-link  $G$  is called a *graph-knots* if  $\text{corank}(A(G)+E) = 0$  where  $E$  is the identity matrix.

The condition  $\text{corank}(A(G) + E) = 0$  survives after Reidemeister moves so the definition is correct.

**Definition 5** ([4]). *Writhe number* of a graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices is the sum

$$w(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\text{corank } B_i(G)} \text{sgn } v_i,$$

where  $B_i(G) = A(G) + E + E_{ii}$  and the only non-zero element of  $E_{ii}$  lies in the  $i$ -th row and  $i$ -th column.

Writhe number is invariant under the moves  $\Omega_2 - \Omega_4$ . The move  $\Omega_1^\pm$  changes the writhe number by  $\mp 1$ . Using this fact and the table (4) we construct two gradings which are invariant under the Reidemeister moves

$$M = M_0 - \frac{n(G) + w(G)}{2}, \quad Q = Q_0 + \frac{n(G) + 3w(G)}{2}$$

where  $n(G)$  is the number of vertices in  $G$ .

Let us denote  $\overline{\text{Kh}}_{m,q}(G)$  to be the homogeneous part of  $\overline{\text{Kh}}(G)$  with the gradings  $M = m$  and  $Q = q$ . The groups  $\overline{\text{Kh}}_{m,q}(G)$  are invariants of graph-knots.

Writhe number allows to introduce another invariant of graph-knots.

**Definition 6** ([4]). *Jones polynomial* of a graph-knot  $G$  is defined as

$$X(G)(a) = (-a)^{-3w(G)} \langle G \rangle(a).$$

Theorem 2 leads to the following statement.

**Theorem 3.** *The bigraded Khovanov homology of graph-knots  $\overline{\text{Kh}}_{m,q}(G)$  categorifies the Jones polynomial in the sense that*

$$\sum_{m,q \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \overline{\text{Kh}}_{m,q}(G) \cdot t^q = X(G)(it^{1/2}).$$

The author is grateful to V. Manturov and D. Ilyutko for useful discussions.

## References

- [1] J. Bloom, Odd khovanov homology is mutation invariant // Math. Res. Lett. **17**(1) (2010), 1–10.
- [2] S. Chmutov, S. Lando, Mutant knots and intersection graphs // Alg. Geom. Topology **7** (2007), 1579–1598.
- [3] D. Ilyutko, Framed 4-Valent Graphs: Euler Tours, Gauss Circuits and Rotating Circuits // arXiv:Math.CO/0911.5504.
- [4] D. Ilyutko, V. Manturov, Introduction to graph-link theory // Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications, **18**(6) (2009), 791–823.
- [5] D. Ilyutko, V. Manturov, Graph links // Dokl. Akad. Nauk 428 (2009), no. 5, 591–594 (Russian).
- [6] P. Ozsváth, J. Rasmussen, Z. Szabó, Odd Khovanov homology // [www.arxiv.org/math-qa/0710.4300](http://www.arxiv.org/math-qa/0710.4300).
- [7] L. Traldi, L. Zulli, A bracket polynomial for graphs // arXiv:math.GT/0808.3392.
- [8] S. Wehrli, Khovanov homology and Conway mutation // arXiv:math.GT/0301.312.