

Embedding dendriform dialgebra into its universal enveloping Rota-Baxter algebra*

Yuqun Chen and Qiuhui Mo

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University

Guangzhou 510631, P.R. China

yqchen@sncu.edu.cn

scnuhuashimomo@126.com

Abstract: In this paper, by using Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Rota-Baxter algebras, we prove that every dendriform dialgebra over a field of characteristic 0 can be embedded into its universal enveloping Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0.

Key words: Gröbner-Shirshov basis; universal enveloping algebra; dendriform dialgebra; Rota-Baxter algebra

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 16S15, 13P10, 16W99, 17A50

1 Introduction

Let F be a field. A dendriform dialgebra (see [13]) is a F -module D with two binary operations \prec and \succ such that for any $x, y, z \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x \prec y) \prec z &= x \prec (y \prec z + y \succ z) \\ (x \succ y) \prec z &= x \succ (y \prec z) \\ (x \prec y + x \succ y) \succ z &= x \succ (y \succ z) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let A be an associative algebra over F and $\lambda \in F$. Let a F -linear operator $P : A \rightarrow A$ satisfy

$$P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) + \lambda P(xy), \forall x, y \in A. \quad (2)$$

Then A is called a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ .

The free Rota-Baxter algebra generated by a nonempty set X , denoted by $RB(X)$, and the free dendriform algebras generated by X , denoted by $D(X)$, are given by K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo [9].

Suppose that (D, \prec, \succ) is a dendriform dialgebra over F with a linear basis $X = \{x_i | i \in I\}$. Let $x_i \prec x_j = \{x_i \prec x_j\}$, $x_i \succ x_j = \{x_i \succ x_j\}$, where $\{x_i \prec x_j\}$ and $\{x_i \succ x_j\}$ are

*Supported by the NNSF of China (Nos. 10771077; 10911120389) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No. 06025062).

linear combinations of $x \in X$. Then D has an expression by generator and defining relations

$$D = D(X | x_i \prec x_j = \{x_i \prec x_j\}, x_i \succ x_j = \{x_i \succ x_j\}, x_i, x_j \in X).$$

Denote by

$$U(D) = RB(X | x_i P(x_j) + \lambda x_i x_j = \{x_i \prec x_j\}, P(x_i) x_j = \{x_i \succ x_j\}, x_i, x_j \in X).$$

Then $U(D)$ is the universal enveloping Rota-Baxter algebra of D , where $\lambda \in F$, see [9].

The study of Rota-Baxter algebra originated from the probability study of Glenn Baxter in 1960 and was developed further by Cartier and the school of Rota in the 1960s and 1970s. This structure appeared also in the Lie algebra context as the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation started in the 1980s. Since then, Rota-Baxter algebra has experienced a quite remarkable renaissance and found important theoretical developments and applications in mathematical physics, operads, number theory and combinatorics, see, for example, [1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 16].

The dendriform dialgebra was introduced by J.-L. Loday [13] in 1995 with motivation from algebraic K-theory, and was further studied in connection with several areas in mathematics and physics, including operads, homology, Hopf algebras, Lie and Leibniz algebras, combinatorics, arithmetic and quantum field theory, see [9, 14].

In the theory of Lie algebras, the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem (Poincare [15], Birkhoff [4], Witt [18], frequently contracted to PBW theorem) is a fundamental result giving an explicit description of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra. The term ‘‘PBW type theorem’’ or even ‘‘PBW theorem’’ may also refer to various analogues of the original theorem, see, for example, [7, 11].

I.P. Shestakov [17] proved that an Akivis algebra can be embedded into its universal enveloping non-associative algebra. M. Aymon and P.-P. Grivel [2] proved that a Leibniz algebra can be embedded into its universal enveloping dialgebra. P.S. Kolesnikov [12] proved that every (finite dimensional) Leibniz algebra can be embedded into current conformal algebra over the algebra of linear transformations of a (finite dimensional) linear space. As a corollary, a new proof of the theorem on injective embedding of a Leibniz algebra into an associative dialgebra is obtained and, more explicitly, an analogue of the PBW theorem for Leibniz algebras in [13].

Motivated by the above, in this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Every dendriform dialgebra over a field of characteristic 0 can be embedded into its universal enveloping Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0. In another words, such a dendriform dialgebra is isomorphic to a dendriform subdialgebra of a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0.*

Composition-Diamond lemma for Rota-Baxter algebras is established by L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen and Xueming Deng in a recent paper [5]. In this paper, by using this lemma, we prove the above theorem.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations which are related Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Rota-Baxter algebras, see [5].

Let X be a nonempty set, $S(X)$ the free semigroup generated by X without identity and P a symbol of a unary operation. For any two nonempty sets Y and Z , denote by

$$\Lambda_P(Y, Z) = (\cup_{r \geq 0} (YP(Z))^r Y) \cup (\cup_{r \geq 1} (YP(Z))^r) \cup (\cup_{r \geq 0} (P(Z)Y)^r P(Z)) \cup (\cup_{r \geq 1} (P(Z)Y)^r),$$

where for a set T , T^0 means the empty set.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0 &= S(X) \\ &\vdots \\ \Phi_n &= \Lambda_P(\Phi_0, \Phi_{n-1}) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Phi_0 \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_n \subset \cdots$$

Let

$$\Phi(X) = \cup_{n \geq 0} \Phi_n.$$

Clearly, $P(\Phi(X)) \subset \Phi(X)$. If $u \in X \cup P(\Phi(X))$, then u is called prime. For any $u \in \Phi(X)$, u has a unique form $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ where u_i is prime, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and u_i, u_{i+1} can not both have forms as $P(u'_i)$ and $P(u'_{i+1})$.

For any $u \in \Phi(X)$ and for a set $T \subseteq X \cup \{P\}$, denote by $deg_T(u)$ the number of occurrences of $t \in T$ in u . Let

$$Deg(u) = (deg_{\{P\} \cup X}(u), deg_{\{P\}}(u)).$$

We order $Deg(u)$ lexicographically.

In the following, we always assume that F is a field of characteristic 0.

Let $F\Phi(X)$ be a free F -module with F -basis $\Phi(X)$ and $\lambda \in F$ a fixed element. Extend linearly $P : F\Phi(X) \rightarrow F\Phi(X)$, $u \mapsto P(u)$ where $u \in \Phi(X)$.

Now we define the multiplication in $F\Phi(X)$.

Firstly, for $u, v \in X \cup P(\Phi(X))$, define

$$u \cdot v = \begin{cases} P(P(u') \cdot v') + P(u' \cdot P(v')) + \lambda P(u' \cdot v'), & \text{if } u = P(u'), v = P(v'); \\ uv, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Secondly, for any $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_s, v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_l \in \Phi(X)$ where u_i, v_j are prime, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$, define

$$u \cdot v = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{s-1} (u_s \cdot v_1) v_2 \cdots v_l.$$

Equipping with the above concepts, $F\Phi(X)$ is the free Rota-Baxter algebra with weight λ generated by X , see [9].

We denote by $RB(X)$ the free Rota-Baxter algebra with weight λ generated by X .

Let \mathcal{N}^+ be the set of positive integers.

Let the notations be as before. We have to order $\Phi(X)$. Let X be a well-ordered set. Let us define an ordering $>$ on $\Phi(X)$ by induction on the Deg -function.

For any $u, v \in \Phi(X)$, if $Deg(u) > Deg(v)$, then $u > v$.

If $Deg(u) = Deg(v) = (n, m)$, then we define $u > v$ by induction on (n, m) .

If $(n, m) = (1, 0)$, then $u, v \in X$ and we use the ordering on X . Suppose that for (n, m) the ordering is defined where $(n, m) \geq (1, 0)$. Let $(n, m) < (n', m') = Deg(u) = Deg(v)$. If $u, v \in P(\Phi(X))$, say $u = P(u')$ and $v = P(v')$, then $u > v$ if and only if $u' > v'$ by induction. Otherwise $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_l$ and $v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_s$ where $l > 1$ or $s > 1$, then $u > v$ if and only if $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l) > (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s)$ lexicographically by induction.

It is clear that $>$ is a well ordering on $\Phi(X)$, see [5]. Throughout this paper, we will use this ordering.

Let \star be a symbol and $\star \notin X$. By a \star -Rota-Baxter word we mean any expression in $\Phi(X \cup \{\star\})$ with only one occurrence of \star . The set of all \star -Rota-Baxter words on X is denoted by $\Phi^\star(X)$.

Let u be a \star -Rota-Baxter word and $s \in RB(X)$. Then we call

$$u|_s = u|_{\star \rightarrow s}$$

an s -Rota-Baxter word. For short, we call $u|_s$ an s -word.

Note that the ordering $>$ is monomial in the sense that for any $u, v \in \Phi(X)$, $w \in \Phi^\star(X)$,

$$u > v \implies \overline{w|_u} > \overline{w|_v}$$

where $w|_u = w|_{\star \rightarrow u}$ and $w|_v = w|_{\star \rightarrow v}$, see [5], Lemma 3.4.

If $\overline{u|_s} = u|_{\bar{s}}$, then we call $u|_s$ a normal s -word.

Now, for any $0 \neq f \in RB(X)$, f has the leading term \bar{f} and $f = \alpha_1 \bar{f} + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i u_i$ where $\bar{f}, u_i \in \Phi(X)$, $\bar{f} > u_i$, $0 \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_i \in F$. Denote by $lc(f)$ the coefficient of the leading term \bar{f} . If $lc(f) = 1$, we call f monic.

Let $f, g \in RB(X)$ be monic with $\bar{f} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ where each u_i is prime. Then, there are four kinds of compositions.

- (i) If $u_n \in P(\Phi(X))$, then we define composition of right multiplication as $f \cdot u$ where $u \in P(\Phi(X))$.
- (ii) If $u_1 \in P(\Phi(X))$, then we define composition of left multiplication as $u \cdot f$ where $u \in P(\Phi(X))$.
- (iii) If there exists a $w = \bar{f}a = b\bar{g}$ where fa is normal f -word and $b\bar{g}$ is normal g -word, $a, b \in \Phi(X)$ and $deg_{\{P\} \cup X}(w) < deg_{\{P\} \cup X}(\bar{f}) + deg_{\{P\} \cup X}(\bar{g})$, then we define the intersection composition of f and g with respect to w as $(f, g)_w = f \cdot a - b \cdot g$.
- (iv) If there exists a $w = \bar{f} = u|_{\bar{g}}$ where $u \in \Phi^\star(X)$, then we define the inclusion composition of f and g with respect to w as $(f, g)_w = f - u|_g$.

We call w in $(f, g)_w$ the ambiguity with respect to f and g .

Let $S \subset RB(X)$ be a set of monic polynomials. Then the composition h is called trivial modulo (S, w) , denoted by $h \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$, if

$$h = \sum_i \alpha_i u_i|_{s_i}$$

where each $\alpha_i \in F$, $s_i \in S$, $u_i|_{s_i}$ is normal s_i -word and $u_i|_{\bar{s}_i} < \bar{h}$, and $\bar{h} = w$ if h is a composition of left (right) multiplication.

In general, for any two polynomials p and q , $p \equiv q \pmod{(S, w)}$ means that $p - q \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$.

S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $RB(X)$ if each composition is trivial modulo S and responding w .

Theorem 2.1 ([5], *Composition-Diamond lemma for Rota-Baxter algebras*) *Let $RB(X)$ be a free Rota-Baxter algebra over a field of characteristic 0 and S a set of monic polynomials in $RB(X)$, $>$ the monomial ordering on $\Phi(X)$ defined as before and $Id(S)$ the Rota-Baxter ideal of $RB(X)$ generated by S . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (I) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $RB(X)$.
- (II) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \bar{f} = u|_{\bar{s}}$ for some $u \in \Phi^*(X)$, $s \in S$.
- (III) $Irr(S) = \{u \in \Phi(X) | u \neq v|_{\bar{s}}, s \in S, v|_{\bar{s}} \text{ is normal } s\text{-word}\}$ is a F -basis of $RB(X|S) = RB(X)/Id(S)$.

If a subset S of $RB(X)$ is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis then one can add all nontrivial compositions of polynomials of S to S . Continuing this process repeatedly, we finally obtain a Gröbner-Shirshov basis S^{comp} that contains S . Such a process is called Shirshov algorithm.

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we assume that $RB(X)$ is the free Rota-Baxter algebra generated by $X = \{x_i | i \in I\}$ with weight $\lambda = 0$.

Lemma 3.1 *For any $u, v \in \Phi(X)$, we have $\overline{P(u)P(v)} = \max\{\overline{P(P(u)v)}, \overline{P(uP(v))}\}$.*

Proof: By Rota-Baxter formula (2), we may assume that $P(P(u)v) = \sum n_i u_i$, $P(uP(v)) = \sum m_j v_j$, where $n_i, m_j \in \mathcal{N}^+$, $u_i, v_j \in \Phi(X)$. Since the characteristic of F is 0, the result follows. ■

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{x_i P(x_j) - \{x_i \prec x_j\} | i, j \in I\}, \\ F_2 &= \{P(x_i) x_j - \{x_i \succ x_j\} | i, j \in I\}, \\ Irr(F_1 \cup F_2) &= \{u \in \Phi(X) | u \neq v|_{\bar{s}}, s \in F_1 \cup F_2, v|_{\bar{s}} \text{ is normal } s\text{-word}\}, \\ \Phi_1(X) &= \Phi(X) \cap Irr(F_1 \cup F_2). \end{aligned}$$

For a polynomial $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i \in RB(X)$, where each $0 \neq \alpha_i \in F$, $u_i \in \Phi(X)$, denote the set $\{u_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ by $supp(f)$.

Lemma 3.2 1) Let $f = P(x_i)u$, $g = vP(x_j)$, where $i, j \in I$, $u, v \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Then $f \equiv \Sigma \alpha_i u_i \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$ and $g \equiv \Sigma \beta_i v_i \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{g})$, where for any i , $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in F$, $u_i, v_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

2) Let $f = P(u)P(v)$, $g = P(v')P(u')$, where $u, u' \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, $v, v' \in \Phi_1(X)$. Then $f \equiv \Sigma \alpha_i P(u_i) \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$ and $g \equiv \Sigma \beta_i P(v_i) \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{g})$, where for any i , $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in F$, $u_i, v_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

Proof. 1) We prove only the case $f = P(x_i)u \equiv \Sigma \alpha_i u_i \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$. Another case is similar.

We use induction on $n = \text{deg}_{\{P\} \cup X}(u)$. Since $u \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, we have $n \geq 2$.

Assume that $n = 2$. Then either $u = x_j x_k$ or $u = P(x)$, $x_j, x_k, x \in X$. If $u = x_j x_k$, we have $f = P(x_i)x_j x_k \equiv \{x_i \succ x_j\}x_k \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$, and $\text{supp}(\{x_i \succ x_j\}x_k) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. If $u = P(x)$, we have $f = P(x_i)P(x) = P(P(x_i)x) + P(x_i P(x)) \equiv P(\{x_i \succ x\}) + P(\{x_i \prec x\}) \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$, and $\text{supp}(P(\{x_i \succ x\})), \text{supp}(P(\{x_i \prec x\})) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

For $n > 2$, there are three cases to consider.

(I) $u = x_j u_1$, $x_j \in X$. Then there are two subcases to consider.

(i) $u_1 = x_k u_2$, $x_k \in X$. Then $f = P(x_i)u \equiv \{x_i \succ x_j\}x_k u_2 \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$, where $\text{supp}(\{x_i \succ x_j\}x_k u_2) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

(ii) $u_1 = P(v)u_2$. Since $u \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, we get that $v \notin X$. Thus, $f = P(x_i)u \equiv \{x_i \succ x_j\}P(v)u_2 \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$, where $\text{supp}(\{x_i \succ x_j\}P(v)u_2) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

(II) $u = P(u_1)$. Then $f = P(x_i)u = P(x_i)P(u_1) = P(P(x_i)u_1) + P(x_i P(u_1))$. Let $P(x_i)u_1 \equiv \sum \gamma_i w_i \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(x_i)u_1})$ and $x_i P(u_1) \equiv \sum \gamma'_i w'_i \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{x_i P(u_1)})$, where all $w_i, w'_i \in \Phi_1(X)$. By using Lemma 3.1, $\bar{f} = P(x_i)P(u_1) \geq P(P(x_i)u_1) = P(\overline{P(x_i)u_1}) \geq P(w_j)$ and similarly, $\bar{f} \geq P(w'_j)$ for any j, j' . Then $f \equiv \Sigma \gamma_i P(w_i) + \Sigma \gamma'_i P(w'_i) \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$, where $P(w_i), P(w'_i) \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

(III) $u = P(u_1)u_2$, where u_2 is not empty. Then $u_1 \notin X$, and $u_2 = x_j u_3$ for some $x_j \in X$ since $u \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Therefore, $f = P(x_i)u = P(x_i)P(u_1)u_2 = P(P(x_i)u_1)u_2 + P(x_i P(u_1))u_2$. For $P(x_i P(u_1))u_2$, we have $P(x_i P(u_1))u_2 \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. For $P(P(x_i)u_1)u_2$, since $u_1 \notin X$, by induction on n , we get that $P(x_i)u_1 \equiv \Sigma \gamma_i v_i \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(x_i)u_1})$, where $v_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. By using Lemma 3.1, $\bar{f} = P(x_i)P(u_1)u_2 \geq P(P(x_i)u_1)u_2 = P(\overline{P(x_i)u_1})u_2 \geq P(v_i)u_2$. As a result $P(P(x_i)u_1)u_2 \equiv \Sigma \gamma_i P(v_i)u_2 \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$ and $P(v_i)u_2 \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

2) We only prove the case $f = P(u)P(v)$. Another case is similarly to prove.

We use induction on $n = \text{deg}_{\{P\} \cup X}(P(u)P(v))$. Since $u \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, we have $n \geq 5$.

Assume that $n = 5$. Then either $u = x_i x_j$ and $v = x$ or $u = P(x_i)$ and $v = x$, where $x_i, x_j, x \in X$.

If $u = x_i x_j$ and $v = x$, we have $f = P(u)P(v) = P(x_i x_j)P(x) = P(P(x_i x_j)x) + P(x_i x_j P(x)) \equiv P(P(x_i x_j)x) + P(x_i \{x_j \prec x\}) \text{ mod}(F_1 \cup F_2, \bar{f})$, and $(\{P(x_i x_j)x\} \cup \text{supp}(x_i \{x_j \prec x\})) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

If $u = P(x_i)$ and $v = x$, we have $f = P(u)P(v) = P(P(x_i))P(x) = P(P(P(x_i))x) + P(P(x_i)P(x)) = P(P(P(x_i))x) + P(P(P(x_i)x)) + P(P(x_i P(x))) \equiv P(P(P(x_i))x) +$

$P(P(\{x_i \succ x\})) + P(P(\{x_i \prec x\})) \bmod(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{f})$, and $(\{P(P(x_i))x\} \cup \text{supp}(P(\{x_i \succ x\})) \cup \text{supp}(P(\{x_i \prec x\}))) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

For $n > 5$, since $f = P(u)P(v) = P(uP(v)) + P(P(u)v)$ and by Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to prove that $\underline{P(uP(v))} \equiv \Sigma\alpha_i P(u_i) \bmod(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(uP(v))})$, $P(P(u)v) \equiv \Sigma\alpha_i P(v_i) \bmod(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(P(u)v)})$, where $u_i, v_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

For $P(uP(v))$, there are two cases to consider.

(I) $u = u_1x_i$, $x_i \in X$. Then there are two subcases to consider.

(i) $v \notin X$. Then $P(uP(v)) = P(u_1x_iP(v))$ and $u_1x_iP(v) \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

(ii) $v = x_j \in X$. Then $P(uP(v)) = P(u_1x_iP(x_j)) \equiv P(u_1\{x_i \prec x_j\})$. If $u_1 = u_2x$ for some $x \in X$, then $P(uP(v)) \equiv P(u_2x\{x_i \prec x_j\})$ where $\text{supp}(u_2x\{x_i \prec x_j\}) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. If $u_1 = u_2P(u_3)$, then $u = u_1x_i = u_2P(u_3)x_i$ and $u_3 \notin X$. Then $P(uP(v)) \equiv P(u_1\{x_i \prec x_j\}) \equiv P(u_2P(u_3)\{x_i \prec x_j\})$ where $\text{supp}(u_2P(u_3)\{x_i \prec x_j\}) \subset \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

(II) $u = u_1P(u_2)$. Then there are two subcases to consider.

(i) $u_2 = x_i \in X$. Since $u \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, we have $u = P(x_i)$. As a result, $P(uP(v)) = P(P(x_i)P(v))$. Since $P(v) \notin X$, the result follows from 1).

(ii) $u_2 \notin X$. Then $u_2 \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$ and $P(uP(v)) = P(u_1P(u_2)P(v))$. By induction on n , $P(u_2)P(v) \equiv \Sigma\alpha_i P(v_i) \bmod(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(u_2)P(v)})$, where $v_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Then $P(uP(v)) = P(u_1P(u_2)P(v)) \equiv \Sigma\alpha_i P(u_1P(v_i))$ and $u_1P(v_i) \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

For $P(P(u)v)$, there are also two cases to consider.

(I) $v = x_iv_1$, $x_i \in X$. Then $P(P(u)v) = P(P(u)x_iv_1)$ and $P(u)x_iv_1 \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

(II) $v = P(v_1)v_2$. Then $P(P(u)v) = P(P(u)P(v_1)v_2)$ with $v_1 \in \Phi_1(X)$. By induction on n , we get that $P(u)P(v_1) \equiv \Sigma\alpha_i P(u_i) \bmod(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(u)P(v_1)})$, where $u_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Then $P(P(u)v) \equiv \Sigma\alpha_i P(P(u_i)v_2)$ and $P(u_i)v_2 \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$.

The proof is completed. ■

Lemma 3.3 *Let $S = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$, where*

$$F_3 = \{u_0P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_n \mid u_0, u_n \in X^*, u_i \in X^* \setminus \{1\}, 1 \leq i < n, \\ v_j \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X, 1 \leq j \leq n; |u_0| \geq 2 \text{ if } n = 0\},$$

where for any $u \in X^*$, $|u|$ is the length of u , X^* is the free monoid generated by X .

Then S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $RB(X)$.

Proof. The ambiguities of all possible compositions of the polynomials in S are only as below:

$$f_1 \wedge f_2 \quad f_1 \in F_1, f_2 \in F_2, \text{ and } w = x_iP(x_j)x_k, i, j, k \in I.$$

$f_2 \wedge f_1$ $f_1 \in F_1$, $f_2 \in F_2$, and $w = P(x_i)x_jP(x_k)$, $i, j, k \in I$.

$f_2 \wedge f_3$ $f_2 \in F_2$, $f_3 \in F_3$, and $w = P(x_i)x_ju_0P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_n$, $u_0, u_n \in X^*$, $u_k \in X^* \setminus \{1\}$, $v_l \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, $i, j \in I$, $n \geq 0$, $1 \leq k < n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$. When $n = 0$, $|u_0^{(1)}| \geq 1$.

$f_3 \wedge f_1$ $f_1 \in F_1$, $f_3 \in F_3$, and $w = u_0P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_nx_iP(x_j)$, $u_0, u_n \in X^*$, $u_k \in X^* \setminus \{1\}$, $v_l \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, $i, j \in I$, $n \geq 0$, $1 \leq k < n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$. When $n = 0$, $|u_0^{(1)}| \geq 1$.

$f_3 \wedge f_3'$ $f_3, f_3' \in F_3$. There are three ambiguities, one is for the intersection composition and two are for the inclusion composition.

All possible compositions of left and right multiplication are: $f_1P(u)$, $P(u)f_2$, $f_3P(u)$ and $P(u)f_3$, where $f_i \in F_i$, $u \in \Phi(X)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Now we prove that all the compositions are trivial.

For $f_1 \wedge f_2$, let $f = x_iP(x_j) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}$, $g = P(x_j)x_k - \{x_j \succ x_k\}$, $i, j, k \in I$. Then $w = x_iP(x_j)x_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_w &= x_iP(x_j)x_k - \{x_i \prec x_j\}x_k - (x_iP(x_j)x_k - x_i\{x_j \succ x_k\}) \\ &= x_i\{x_j \succ x_k\} - \{x_i \prec x_j\}x_k \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(F_3, w)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $f_2 \wedge f_1$, let $f = P(x_i)x_j - \{x_i \succ x_j\}$, $g = x_jP(x_k) - \{x_j \prec x_k\}$, $i, j, k \in I$. Then $w = P(x_i)x_jP(x_k)$ and by equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_w &= P(x_i)x_jP(x_k) - \{x_i \succ x_j\}P(x_k) - P(x_i)(x_jP(x_k) - \{x_j \prec x_k\}) \\ &= P(x_i)\{x_j \prec x_k\} - \{x_i \succ x_j\}P(x_k) \\ &\equiv \{x_i \succ \{x_j \prec x_k\}\} - \{\{x_i \succ x_j\} \prec x_k\} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $f_3 \wedge f_1$, let $f = u_0P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_nx_i$, $g = x_iP(x_j) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}$, $u_0, u_n \in X^*$, $u_k \in X^* \setminus \{1\}$, $v_l \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, $i, j \in I$, $n \geq 0$, $1 \leq k < n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, and $|u_0| \geq 1$ if $n = 0$. Then $w = u_0P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_nx_iP(x_j)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_w &= u_0P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_n\{x_i \prec x_j\} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $f_2 \wedge f_3$, the proof is similar to $f_3 \wedge f_1$.

For $f_3 \wedge f_3'$, we have $(f, g)_w = 0$.

Now, we check the compositions of left and right multiplication. We prove only the cases of $f_1P(u)$ and $P(u)f_3$, where $f_1 \in F_1$, $f_3 \in F_3$, $u \in \Phi(X)$. Others can be similarly proved.

We may assume that $u \in \Phi_1(X)$.

For $f_1P(u)$, let $f = x_iP(x_j) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}$, $i, j \in I$ and $w = \overline{fP(u)}$. There are two cases to consider.

(I) $u = x_k \in X$. Then by using the equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
fP(u) &= x_iP(x_j)P(x_k) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}P(x_k) \\
&= x_iP(P(x_j)x_k) + x_iP(x_jP(x_k)) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}P(x_k) \\
&\equiv x_iP(\{x_j \succ x_k\}) + x_iP(\{x_j \prec x_k\}) - \{\{x_i \prec x_j\} \prec x_k\} \\
&\equiv \{x_i \prec \{x_j \succ x_k\}\} + \{x_i \prec \{x_j \prec x_k\}\} - \{\{x_i \prec x_j\} \prec x_k\} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(II) $u \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
fP(u) &= x_iP(x_j)P(u) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}P(u) \\
&= x_iP(P(x_j)u) + x_iP(x_jP(u)) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}P(u).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have $P(x_j)u \equiv \Sigma \alpha_l u_l \pmod{(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(x_j)u})}$, where $u_l \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
fP(u) &\equiv x_iP(\Sigma \alpha_l u_l) + x_iP(x_jP(u)) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}P(u) \\
&\equiv \Sigma \alpha_l x_iP(u_l) + x_iP(x_jP(u)) - \{x_i \prec x_j\}P(u) \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $P(u)f_3$, let $f = P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_n$, $u_n \in X^*$, $u_t \in X^* \setminus \{1\}$, $v_l \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$, $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq t < n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, and let $w = \overline{P(u)f}$. Then

$$P(u)f = P(u)P(v_1)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_n.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have $P(u)P(v_1) \equiv \Sigma \alpha_i P(w_i) \pmod{(F_1 \cup F_2, \overline{P(u)P(v_1)})}$, where each $w_i \in \Phi_1(X) \setminus X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P(u)f &\equiv \Sigma \alpha_i P(w_i)u_1 \cdots P(v_n)u_n \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, all compositions in S are trivial. The proof is complete. ■

We reach to prove Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $R = F_1 \cup F_2$. Then for any $u \notin \text{Irr}(R^{\text{comp}})$, we have $u = v|_{\bar{r}}$, where $r \in R^{\text{comp}}$, $v|_r$ is normal R^{comp} -word. Then $f = v|_r \in \text{Id}(R^{\text{comp}}) = \text{Id}(R) \subseteq \text{Id}(S)$. Since S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $RB(X)$, by Theorem 2.1, we have $\bar{f} = w|_{\bar{s}}$ for some $w \in \Phi^*(X)$, $s \in S$. That is, $u = v|_{\bar{r}} = \bar{f} \notin \text{Irr}(S)$. So, we have that $\text{Irr}(R^{\text{comp}}) \supset \text{Irr}(S) \supset X$. Since $\text{Irr}(R^{\text{comp}})$ is a F -basis of $U(D)$, D can be embedded into $U(D)$. ■

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Professor L.A. Bokut for his guidance, useful discussions and enthusiastic encouragement given to this paper. The authors also thank Professor L. Guo for his valuable suggestions to this paper.

References

- [1] G.E. Andrew, L. Guo, W. Keigher, K. Ono, Baxter algebras and Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355 (2003), 4639-4656.
- [2] M. Aymon and P.-P. Grivel, Un theoreme de Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt pour les algebres de Leibniz, *Comm. Algebra*, 31(2003), 527-544.
- [3] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10(1960), 731-742.
- [4] G.D. Birkhoff, Representability of Lie algebras and Lie groups by matrices, *Ann. of Math.*, 38(2)(1937), 526-532.
- [5] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen and Xueming Deng, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Rota-Baxter algebras, *Siberian Math. J.*, to appear. arxiv.org/abs/0908.2281
- [6] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. Math.*, 9(1972), 253-265.
- [7] P.M. Cohn, A remark on the Birkhoff-Witt theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 38(1963), 197-203.
- [8] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 61(2)(2002), 139-147.
- [9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 212(2)(2008), 320-339.
- [10] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Free Rota-Baxter algebras and rooted trees, *J. Algebra and Its Applications*, 7(2008), 167-194.
- [11] P.J. Higgins, Baer Invariants and the Birkhoff-Witt theorem, *J. of Algebra*, 11(1969), 469-482.
- [12] P.S. Kolesnikov, Conformal representations of Leibniz algebras, *Siberian Math. J.*, 49(2008), 429-435.
- [13] J.-L. Loday, Dialgebras, in dialgebras and related operads, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1763(2001), 7-66.
- [14] J.-L. Loday, Scindement d'associativite et algebres de Hopf. to appear in the Proceedings of the Conference in honor of Jean Leray, Nantes(2002), *Seminaire et Congres(SMF)*, 9(2004), 155-172.
- [15] H. Poincare, Sur les groupes continus, *Trans. Cambr. Philos. Soc.*, 18(1900), 220-225.
- [16] G. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, In: "Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries", Joseph P.S. Kung, Editor, Birkhauser, Boston, 1995.
- [17] I.P. Shestakov, Every Akivis algebra is linear, *Geometriae Dedicata*, 77(1999), 215-223.

- [18] E. Witt, Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 177(1937), 152-160.