

ON THE HILBERT SERIES OF VERTEX COVER ALGEBRAS OF UNMIXED BIPARTITE GRAPHS

CRISTIAN ION

ABSTRACT. We compute the reduced Gröbner basis of the toric ideal with respect to a suitable monomial order and we study the Hilbert series of the vertex cover algebra $A(G)$, where G is an unmixed bipartite graph without isolated vertices.

MSC: 05E40, 13P10.

Keywords: unmixed bipartite graph, vertex cover algebra, toric ideal, Hilbert series.

INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple (i.e., finite, undirected, loopless and without multiple edges) graph with the vertex set $V = [n]$ and the edge set $E = E(G)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a k -vertex cover of G is a vector $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $c_i + c_j \geq k$ for every edge $\{i, j\}$ of G .

Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field K . The vertex cover algebra $A(G)$ is defined as the subalgebra of the one variable polynomial ring $R[t]$ generated by all monomials $x_1^{c_1} x_2^{c_2} \dots x_n^{c_n} t^k$, where $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ is a k -vertex cover of G . This algebra was introduced and studied in [7]. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal graded ideal of R . $\bar{A}(G) = A(G)/\mathfrak{m}A(G)$ is called the basic cover algebra and it was studied in [6], [1] and [2]. In [8], the Hilbert series of $A(G)$, $H_{A(G)}(z)$, for a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph G is studied and several consequences are derived.

Our main aim in this paper is to extend the study of $H_{A(G)}(z)$ for unmixed bipartite graphs. It will turn out that many of the results concerning the Cohen-Macaulay case extend naturally to the larger class of unmixed bipartite graphs. The first step in getting the formula for $H_{A(G)}(z)$ is to compute the toric ideal of $A(G)$. This is done in Section 2.

In the last section we state the main theorem which relates $H_{A(G)}(z)$ to the Hilbert series of the basic cover algebras $\bar{A}(G_F)$, for all $F \subset [n]$. Based on this formula we derive sharp bounds for the multiplicity of $A(G)$.

1. THE LATTICE ASSOCIATED TO AN UNMIXED BIPARTITE GRAPH

Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph without isolated vertices. By [9, Theorem 1.1] we may assume that G admits a bipartition of its vertices $V_n = W \cup W'$, where $W = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $W' = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, $n \geq 1$, such that:

- (a) $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E(G)$, for all $i \in [n]$;

- (b) if $\{x_i, y_j\} \in E(G)$ and $\{x_j, y_k\} \in E(G_n)$, then $\{x_i, y_k\} \in E(G_n)$, for all distinct $i, j, k \in [n]$.

Throughout this paper, whenever we refer to an unmixed bipartite graph we assume it is given with its above bipartition.

For $\emptyset \neq F \subset [n]$ we denote by G_F the subgraph of G induced by the subset $V_F = \{x_i | i \in F\} \cup \{y_i | i \in F\}$.

Remark 1.1. G_F also satisfies (a) and (b), hence G_F is an unmixed bipartite graph on V_F and each minimal vertex cover of G_F has the cardinality equal to $|F|$.

Let $K_{\{i,j\}}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, be the complete bipartite graph on $\{x_i, x_j\} \cup \{y_i, y_j\}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose that G has an induced subgraph $K_{\{i,j\}}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Let H be the subgraph of G induced by the subset $V_n \setminus \{x_j, y_j\}$. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the sets $\mathcal{M}(G)$, respectively $\mathcal{M}(H)$, of minimal vertex covers of G , respectively H . More precisely, for all subsets $C \subset V_n \setminus \{x_j, y_j\}$ we have:*

- (i) if $x_i \in C$, then $C \in \mathcal{M}(H) \Leftrightarrow C \cup \{x_j\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$;
- (ii) if $x_i \notin C$, then $C \in \mathcal{M}(H) \Leftrightarrow C \cup \{y_j\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$.

Proof. Let $C \in \mathcal{M}(H)$. If $x_i \in C$, put $B = C \cup \{x_j\}$. We show that $B \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. $B \cap \{x_k, y_l\} \neq \emptyset$, for all $\{x_k, y_l\} \in E(H)$ and $B \cap \{x_j, y_l\} \neq \emptyset$, for all $\{x_j, y_l\} \in E(G)$. Let $\{x_k, y_j\} \in E(G)$ with $k \notin \{i, j\}$. Since $\{x_k, y_j\} \in E(G)$ and $\{x_j, y_i\} \in E(G)$, it follows, by (b), that $\{x_k, y_i\} \in E(G)$. Hence $\{x_k, y_i\} \in E(H)$ and $C \cap \{x_k, y_i\} \neq \emptyset$. $C \in \mathcal{M}(H)$ implies that $|C \cap \{x_i, y_i\}| = 1$ and, since $x_i \in C$, we have that $y_i \notin C$. On the other hand, $C \cap \{x_k, y_i\} \neq \emptyset$, hence $x_k \in C$. Thus $B \cap \{x_k, y_i\} \neq \emptyset$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. If $y_i \in C$, put $B = C \cup \{y_j\}$. Similarly, it can be proved that $B \in \mathcal{M}(G)$.

Conversely, let $B \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Then $|B \cap \{x_j, y_j\}| = 1$, which implies that either $B \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \{x_j\}$ or $B \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \{y_j\}$. If $B \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \{x_j\}$, then, since $B \cap \{x_i, y_j\} \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $x_i \in B$. Put $C = B \cap (V_n \setminus \{x_j, y_j\})$. For all $\{x_k, y_l\} \in E(H)$ we have $\emptyset \neq B \cap \{x_k, y_l\} \subset B \setminus \{x_j\} = C$, hence C is a vertex cover of H . Since $|C| = |B| - 1 = n - 1$, we get $C \in \mathcal{M}(H)$. Similarly, if $B \cap \{x_j, y_j\} = \{y_j\}$, then $x_j \notin B$ and $C = B \setminus \{y_j\} \in \mathcal{M}(H)$. \square

Herzog and Hibi proved in [6, Theorem 1.2] that each unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, can be uniquely associated to a sublattice \mathcal{L}_G of the Boolean lattice \mathcal{L}_n on $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ such that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{L}_G$ and $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} \in \mathcal{L}_G$. The lattice \mathcal{L}_G is defined as $\{\alpha \subset \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} | C \in \mathcal{M}(G), p_k \in \alpha \Leftrightarrow x_k \in C\}$.

Corollary 1.3. *In the hypothesis of Lemma 1.2 and with the above notation, we have $\mathcal{L}_H \simeq \mathcal{L}_G$.*

Proof. Let $\nu : \mathcal{L}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_G$ defined by $\nu(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \alpha \cup \{p_j\}, & \text{if } p_i \in \alpha, \\ \alpha, & \text{if } p_i \notin \alpha. \end{cases}$ By Lemma

1.2, ν is well defined and bijective. It can be easily checked that ν is a lattice isomorphism. \square

We show that G has a unique Cohen-Macaulay bipartite subgraph, up to a graph isomorphism, such that the lattices associated to G and G' are isomorphic.

Proposition 1.4. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. Then there exists a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite subgraph G' of G , unique up to a graph isomorphism, such that $\mathcal{L}_{G'} \simeq \mathcal{L}_G$. In particular, all maximal chains of \mathcal{L}_G have the same length.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then G is Cohen-Macaulay. Put $G' = G$ and the assertion trivially holds.

Let us suppose that $n > 1$. If G is Cohen-Macaulay, then put $G' = G$. If G is not Cohen-Macaulay, then, by [4, Theorem 3.4], G has an induced subgraph $K_{\{i,j\}}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Let H be the subgraph of G induced by the subset $V_n \setminus \{x_j, y_j\} \subset V_n$. By the induction hypothesis there exists a unique Cohen-Macaulay bipartite subgraph G' of H , up to a graph isomorphism, such that $\mathcal{L}_{G'} \simeq \mathcal{L}_H$. Obviously, G' is also a subgraph of G . By Corollary 1.3 $\mathcal{L}_H \simeq \mathcal{L}_G$, hence $\mathcal{L}_{G'} \simeq \mathcal{L}_G$. Since G' is Cohen-Macaulay, it follows, by [6, Theorem 2.2], that $\mathcal{L}_{G'}$ is a full sublattice of the Boolean lattice on $\{p_i | x_i \in V(G')\}$, which implies that all maximal chains of $\mathcal{L}_{G'}$ have the same length, hence the conclusion. \square

Remark 1.5. Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. One may derive a procedure to compute a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite subgraph G' of G such that the lattices \mathcal{L}_G and $\mathcal{L}_{G'}$ are isomorphic. In fact, $G' = G_F$, where F is a maximal subset of $[n]$ such that $K_{\{i,j\}}$ is not an induced subgraph of G_F , for all distinct $i, j \in F$.

Remark 1.6. If $G' = G_F$, $F \subset [n]$, is a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite subgraph of G from Proposition 1.4, then, by Corollary 1.3, the lattice isomorphism $\nu : \mathcal{L}_{G'} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_G$ is defined by $\nu(\alpha') = \alpha' \cup \{p_j | j \in [n] \setminus F, p_i \in \alpha', K_{\{i,j\}} \subset G'\}$, for all $\alpha' \in \mathcal{L}_{G'}$.

2. A GRÖBNER BASIS OF THE TORIC IDEAL OF THE VERTEX COVER ALGEBRA OF AN UNMIXED BIPARTITE GRAPH

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. In this case $A(G)$ is the Rees algebra of the *cover ideal* I_G , which is generated by all monomials $x_1^{c_1} \dots x_n^{c_n} y_1^{c_{n+1}} \dots y_n^{c_{2n}}$, where $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{2n})$ is a 1-vertex cover of G ([7]). Thus

$$A(G) = S \oplus I_G t \oplus \dots \oplus I_G^k t^k \oplus \dots$$

Let \mathcal{L}_G be the lattice associated to G . Put $B_G = K[\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \{y_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}, \{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G}]$. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G$ we denote $m_\alpha = (\prod_{p_i \in \alpha} x_i) \cdot (\prod_{p_j \notin \alpha} y_j)$. The *toric ideal* Q_G of $A(G)$

is the kernel of the surjective ring homomorphism $\xi : B_G \rightarrow A(G)$, $\xi(x_i) = x_i$, $\xi(y_i) = y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\xi(u_\alpha) = m_\alpha t$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G$.

Let $<_{lex}$ the lexicographic order on S induced by the ordering of the variables $x_1 > \dots > x_n > y_1 > \dots > y_n$. Let $<^\#$ the reverse lexicographic order on the polynomial ring $K[\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G}]$ induced by an ordering of the variables u_α 's such that $u_\alpha > u_\beta$ if $\beta \subset \alpha$ in \mathcal{L}_G . Let $<_{lex}^\#$ the monomial order on B_G defined as the product of the monomial orders $<_{lex}$ and $<^\#$ from above. This monomial order was introduced in [5].

Next, inspired by [5, Theorem 1.1], we compute the reduced Gröbner basis of the toric ideal of the vertex cover algebra of an unmixed bipartite graph G on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, with respect to the monomial order $<_{lex}^\#$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G$ let $V(\alpha)$ be the set of all upper neighbours of α in \mathcal{L}_G . We denote $x_{\beta \setminus \alpha} = \prod_{p_i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} x_i$,

$$y_{\beta \setminus \alpha} = \prod_{p_i \in \beta \setminus \alpha} y_i, \text{ where } \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G, \alpha \neq \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\} \text{ and } \beta \in V(\alpha).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. Then the reduced Gröbner basis of the toric ideal Q_G of the vertex cover algebra $A(G)$ with respect to $<_{lex}^\#$ is:*

$$\mathcal{G}_{<_{lex}^\#}(Q_G) = \{x_{\beta \setminus \alpha} u_\alpha - y_{\beta \setminus \alpha} u_\beta \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G, \alpha \neq \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}, \beta \in V(\alpha)\} \\ \cup \{u_\alpha u_\beta - u_{\alpha \cup \beta} u_{\alpha \cap \beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}_G, \alpha \not\subseteq \beta, \beta \not\subseteq \alpha\},$$

where the initial monomial of each binomial is the first monomial.

Proof. We essentially follow the proof of [5, Theorem 1.1] with a slight modification in its last part. As it was shown there, we only need to consider a primitive binomial g of the reduced Gröbner basis of Q_G with respect to $<_{lex}^\#$. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_{<_{lex}^\#}(Q_G)$,

$$g = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} y_i^{b_i} \right) (u_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_2} \dots u_{\alpha_r}) - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a'_i} y_i^{b'_i} \right) (u_{\alpha'_1} u_{\alpha'_2} \dots u_{\alpha'_r}),$$

with $\alpha_1 \subsetneq \alpha_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \alpha_r$ and $\alpha'_1 \subsetneq \alpha'_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \alpha'_r$ chains in \mathcal{L}_G and $\text{in}_{<_{lex}^\#}(g)$ equal to the first monomial of g .

We assume that $g \notin K[\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G}]$. As in [5, Theorem 1.1] we get that there exists some $1 \leq j \leq r$ such that $\alpha'_j \not\subseteq \alpha_j$. Let β be an upper neighbour of α_j with $\alpha_j \subset \beta \subset \alpha_j \cup \alpha'_j$ and let $p_i \in \beta \setminus \alpha_j$. Then $p_i \in \alpha'_k$ for all $k \geq j$ and $p_i \notin \alpha_l$ for all $l \leq j$. This implies that $a_i > 0$ for all i for which $p_i \in \beta \setminus \alpha_j$. Then the binomial $h = x_{\beta \setminus \alpha_j} u_{\alpha_j} - y_{\beta \setminus \alpha_j} u_\beta \in Q_G$ and $\text{in}_{<_{lex}^\#}(h) = x_{\beta \setminus \alpha_j} u_{\alpha_j} | \text{in}_{<_{lex}^\#}(g)$. Hence $\text{in}_{<_{lex}^\#}(g)$ must coincide with $x_{\beta \setminus \alpha_j} u_{\alpha_j}$, and, moreover, $h = g$. \square

3. THE HILBERT SERIES OF THE VERTEX COVER ALGEBRA OF UNMIXED BIPARTITE GRAPHS

Let $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l\}$ be the minimal system of generators of I_G . We view $A(G)$ as a standard graded K -algebra by assigning to each x_i and y_j , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and to each $m_k t$, $1 \leq k \leq l$, the degree 1.

The Hilbert function and the Hilbert series of the vertex cover algebra $A(G)$ are invariant to a certain class of graph isomorphisms.

Remark 3.1. Let σ be a permutation of $[n]$ and let ${}^\sigma G$ denote the bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$ with the edge set $E({}^\sigma G) = \{\{x_{\sigma(i)}, y_{\sigma(j)}\} \mid \{x_i, y_j\} \in E(G)\}$. The graph isomorphism $h : V(G) \rightarrow V({}^\sigma G)$, $h(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$ and $h(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$, $i, j \in [n]$, induces a K -automorphism of S which maps I_G onto $I_{{}^\sigma G}$. Therefore, $A(G)$ and $A({}^\sigma G)$ have the same Hilbert function and series.

Let $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_G)$ be the *order complex* of the lattice \mathcal{L}_G . (We refer the reader to [3, §5.1] for the definition and properties of the order complex associated to a poset.) Let $S_G = K[\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G}]$ be the polynomial ring in $|\mathcal{L}_G|$ variables over K . The toric ideal \bar{Q}_G of the basic cover algebra $\bar{A}(G)$ is the kernel of the surjective ring homomorphism $\pi : S_G \rightarrow \bar{A}(G)$ defined by $\pi(u_\alpha) = m_\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G$.

Proposition 3.2. *The graded K -algebra $\bar{A}(G)$ and the order complex $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_G)$ have the same vector h -vector.*

Proof. By [6, Proposition 3.1] \bar{Q}_G is a graded ideal and the initial ideal $\text{in}_{<\#}(\bar{Q}_G)$ of the toric ideal \bar{Q}_G coincides with the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta(\mathcal{L}_G)}$, hence S_G/\bar{Q}_G and $K[\Delta(\mathcal{L}_G)]$ have the same h -vector. Since S_G/\bar{Q}_G and $\bar{A}(G)$ are isomorphic as graded K -algebras, the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 3.3. Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices and let G' be a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite subgraph of G with $\mathcal{L}_G \simeq \mathcal{L}_{G'}$. If h , respectively h' , are the h -vectors of $\bar{A}(G)$, respectively $\bar{A}(G')$, then, by using Proposition 3.2 and the fact that the lattices \mathcal{L}_G and $\mathcal{L}_{G'}$ are isomorphic, it follows that $h = h'$. Moreover, by [8, Remark 1.3], $h_i \geq 0$, for all $0 \leq i \leq r+1$ and $h_r = h_{r+1} = 0$, where $r = \text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_G)$.

In order to prove the main theorem we need some preparatory results. They are closely related to those for the Cohen-Macaulay case which were proved in [8].

Let $\emptyset \neq F \subsetneq [n]$, $P_n(F) = \{p_i | i \in F\}$ and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{G_{\bar{F}}}$, where \bar{F} denotes the complement set of F in $[n]$. We denote by δ_α the maximal subset of $P_n(F)$ such that $\alpha \cup \delta_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_G$. Note that

$$\delta_\alpha = \cup \{\gamma \mid \gamma \subset P_n(F), \alpha \cup \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_G\}.$$

If we set $\beta = \alpha \cup \delta_\alpha$, then, by the definition of δ_α , β has the following property: there exists no subset $\emptyset \neq A \subset F$ such that $\beta \cup \{p_i | i \in A\}$ is an upper neighbour of β in \mathcal{L}_G .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\emptyset \neq F \subsetneq [n]$ and let \mathcal{S} be the set of all $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_G$ with the property that there exists no subset $\emptyset \neq A \subset F$ such that $\beta \cup \{p_i | i \in A\}$ is an upper neighbour of β in $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Then the map $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{G_{\bar{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto \beta = \alpha \cup \delta_\alpha$, is an isomorphism of posets.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 1.4 in [8]. We show that φ is invertible. Indeed, the map $\psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G_{\bar{F}})$ defined by $\psi(\beta) = \beta \cap P_n(\bar{F})$ is the inverse of φ since $\alpha = \beta \cap P_n(\bar{F})$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(G_{\bar{F}})$.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{L}(G_{\bar{F}})$ with $\alpha_1 \subsetneq \alpha_2$ and $\beta_i = \varphi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \cup \delta_i$, $i = 1, 2$. We only need to show that $\beta_1 \subset \beta_2$ since the strict inclusion follows from the hypothesis $\alpha_1 \subsetneq \alpha_2$. Let us assume that $\beta_1 \not\subset \beta_2$ and let $p_{r_1} \in \beta_1 \setminus \beta_2$ with $r_1 \in F$. Since $p_{r_1} \notin \delta_2$, it follows that $\beta_2 \cup \{p_{r_1}\} \notin \mathcal{L}_G$.

We claim that $\{u \in F | p_u \in \beta_1 \setminus \{p_{r_1}\}\} \neq \emptyset$. Let us suppose, on the contrary, that $p_u \notin \beta_1 \setminus \{p_{r_1}\}$, for all $u \in F$. Then $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 \cup \{p_{r_1}\}$. Since $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{L}_G$, it follows that $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \in \mathcal{L}_G$. On the other hand, we have $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 = \beta_2 \cup \{p_{r_1}\}$, which implies that $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \notin \mathcal{L}_G$, a contradiction.

By repeated application of this argument we get the sequence $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k, r_{k+1}, \dots$ with $r_{k+1} \in F \setminus \{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ and $p_{r_{k+1}} \in \beta_1 \setminus (\beta_2 \cup \{p_{r_1}, \dots, p_{r_k}\})$, for all $k \geq 0$. Therefore, the set F is infinite, which is impossible. Hence $\beta_1 \subset \beta_2$.

Now let $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\beta_1 \subsetneq \beta_2$ and assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, where $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 \cap P_n(\bar{F})$, and $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 \cap P_n(\bar{F})$. Then $\delta_1 = \beta_1 \setminus P_n(\bar{F}) \subsetneq \delta_2 = \beta_2 \setminus P_n(\bar{F})$. But this is impossible since δ_1 is maximal among the subsets $\gamma \subset P_n(F)$ such that $\alpha_1 \cup \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_G$. \square

The next result relates the Hilbert series of the vertex cover algebras $A(G)$ to the Hilbert series of the basic covers algebras $\bar{A}(G_F)$, for all $F \subset [n]$. If $F = \emptyset$, we put by convention $H_{\bar{A}(G_F)}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. For $F \subset [n]$ let $r_F = \text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{G_F})$, let $H_{\bar{A}(G_F)}(z)$ be the Hilbert series of $\bar{A}(G_F)$, and $H_{A(G)}(z)$ be the Hilbert series of $A(G)$. Then:*

$$H_{A(G)}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^n} \sum_{F \subset [n]} H_{\bar{A}(G_F)}(z) \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \right)^{n-|F|}. \quad (1)$$

In particular, if $h(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_j z^j$, respectively $h^F(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_j^F z^j$, where $h = (h_j)_{j \geq 0}$, respectively $h^F = (h_j^F)_{j \geq 0}$, are the h -vectors of $A(G)$, respectively of $\bar{A}(G_F)$, then

$$h(z) = \sum_{F \subset [n]} h^F(z) (1-z)^{|F|-r_F} z^{n-|F|}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. (1) can be proved exactly as in [8, Theorem 1.5].

It is known that $H_{A(G)}(z) = \frac{h(z)}{(1-z)^{2n+1}}$ (since $\dim A(G) = \dim S + 1 = 2n + 1$ [3]) and $H_{\bar{A}(G_F)}(z) = \frac{h^F(z)}{(1-z)^{r_F+1}}$ (since $\dim \bar{A}(G_F) = r_F + 1$ [2]), for all $F \subset [n]$, hence $h(z) = \sum_{F \subset [n]} h^F(z) (1-z)^{|F|-r_F} z^{n-|F|}$. \square

Remark 3.6. By using (2) we get

$$h_{n+1} = \sum_{F \subset [n]} (-1)^{|F|-r} h_{r+1}^F \quad \text{and} \quad h_n = \sum_{F \subset [n]} (-1)^{|F|-r} [h_r^F - (|F| - r) h_{r+1}^F],$$

where $r = r_F = \text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{G_F})$. By Remark 3.3, $h_r^F = h_{r+1}^F = 0$, for all $\emptyset \neq F \subset [n]$. Hence $h_{n+1} = h_1^\emptyset = 0$, $h_n = h_0^\emptyset = 1$ and the a -invariant of $A(G)$ is $a = -n - 1$. In [7, Corollary 4.4] it was proved that $A(G)$ is a Gorenstein ring, therefore, by [3, Corollary 4.3.8 (b) and Remark 4.3.9 (a)], $h_i = h_{n-i}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. Then*

$$e(A(G)) = \sum_{\substack{F \subset [n] \\ G_F \text{ Cohen-Macaulay}}} e(\bar{A}(G_F)), \quad (3)$$

where, by convention, G_\emptyset is considered a Cohen-Macaulay subgraph of G .

Proof. By [6, Theorem 2.2] G_F is a Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph if and only if $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{G_F}) = |F|$, for all $\emptyset \neq F \subset [n]$. Thus (3) follows immediately from (2). \square

We compute the Hilbert series of the vertex cover algebra of unmixed complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,n}$, $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.8. *For all $n \geq 1$ $H_{A(K_{n,n})}(z) = \frac{1+z+\dots+z^n}{(1-z)^{2n+1}}$. In particular, the multiplicity $e(A(K_{n,n})) = n + 1$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{L}_{K_{n,n}} = \{\emptyset, \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}\}$, therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $Q_{K_{n,n}}$ is a principal ideal generated by $b = x_1 \dots x_n u_2 - y_1 \dots y_n u_1$, where $u_1 = u_{\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}}$ and $u_2 = u_\emptyset$. Then $A(K_{n,n}) \simeq B_{K_{n,n}}/Q_{K_{n,n}}$ and the minimal graded free resolution of $A(K_{n,n})$ is given by the exact short sequence $0 \rightarrow B_{K_{n,n}}(-n-1) \xrightarrow{b} B_{K_{n,n}} \rightarrow A(K_{n,n}) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $H_{A(K_{n,n})}(z) = \frac{1+z+\dots+z^n}{(1-z)^{2n+1}}$. In particular, the multiplicity $e(A(K_{n,n})) = n + 1$. \square

Let $P_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ be a poset with a partial order \leq . We denote by $G(P_n)$ the bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, whose edge set $E(G)$ consists of all 2-element subsets $\{x_i, y_j\}$ with $p_i \leq p_j$. It is said that a bipartite graph G on $V_n = W \cup W'$ comes from a poset, if there exists a finite poset P_n on $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ such that $p_i \leq p_j$ implies $i \leq j$, and after relabeling of the vertices of G one has $G = G(P_n)$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. Then*

$$n + 1 \leq e(A(G)) \leq n! \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{1}{l!}.$$

The left equality holds if and only if $G = K_{n,n}$ and the right equality holds if and only if G comes from an antichain with n elements.

Proof. By (3) and [1, Proposition 3.4(3)], $e(A(G)) = \sum_{\substack{F \subset [n] \\ r=|F|}} f_r^F$, where $r = \text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{G_F})$

and f_r^F is the last component of the f -vector of the order complex $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_{G_F})$. Then $e(A(G)) = n + 1 + \sum_{\substack{F \subset [n] \\ r=|F| \geq 2}} f_r^F$, which implies that $e(A(G)) \geq n + 1$. The equality

holds if and only if $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{G_F}) < |F|$ for all $F \subset [n]$ with $|F| \geq 2$, which is equivalent to $G = K_{n,n}$. On the other hand, $e(A(G)) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{F \subset [n] \\ r=|F| \geq 1}} f_r^F$. If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_{G_F}) = |F|$,

$\emptyset \neq F \subset [n]$, then \mathcal{L}_{G_F} is a full sublattice of a Boolean lattice on a set with $|F|$ elements, hence $f_{|F|}^F \leq |F|!$ and $e(A(G)) \leq 1 + \sum_{\substack{F \subset [n] \\ |F| \geq 1}} \binom{n}{|F|} |F|! = n! \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{1}{l!}$. The

equality holds if and only if \mathcal{L}_{G_F} is a Boolean lattice on a set with $|F|$ elements, for all $\emptyset \neq F \subset [n]$, which is equivalent to saying that G comes from an antichain. \square

Remark 3.10. In general, unmixed bipartite graphs are not uniquely determined, up to an isomorphism, by the h -vector of their corresponding vertex cover algebras. Let G_3 be the bipartite graph on V_3 with the edge set: $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3, y_3\}$,

$\{x_2, y_3\}$, $\{x_3, y_2\}$ and G'_3 be the bipartite graph on V_3 that comes from the chain $P'_3 = \{p'_1, p'_2, p'_3\}$ with $p'_1 \leq p'_2 \leq p'_3$. G_3 and G'_3 are unmixed and they are not isomorphic, and by computation we get $H_{A(G_3)}(z) = H_{A(G'_3)}(z) = \frac{1+3z+3z^2+z^3}{(1-z)^7}$.

However, unmixed complete bipartite graphs and bipartite graphs that come from chains and antichains are uniquely determined (up to a graph isomorphism) by the h -vector of their corresponding vertex cover algebras. The statement for bipartite graphs that come from chains and antichains was proved in [8, Proposition 2.3].

Corollary 3.11. *Let G be an unmixed bipartite graph on $V_n = W \cup W'$, $n \geq 1$, without isolated vertices. Then $G = K_{n,n}$ if and only if $H_{A(G)}(z) = \frac{1+z+\dots+z^n}{(1-z)^{2n+1}}$.*

Proof. ("If") By using (2) we get $h_1 = h_1^{[n]} + \text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_G)$. Since $h_1^{[n]}$ is the component of rank 1 in the h -vector of $\bar{A}(G)$, by using the formula which relates the h -vector to the f -vector of the order complex $\Delta(\mathcal{L}_G)$, we get $h_1^{[n]} = |\mathcal{L}_G| - \text{rank}(\mathcal{L}_G) - 1$, which implies that $h_1 = |\mathcal{L}_G| - 1$. By hypothesis, $h_1 = 1$, hence $|\mathcal{L}_G| = 2$. G is an unmixed bipartite graph on V_n , therefore, $\mathcal{L}_G = \{\emptyset, \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}\}$ and $G = K_{n,n}$.

("Only if") It follows from Proposition 3.8. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Professor Jürgen Herzog for very useful discussions on the subject of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BENEDETTI, A. CONSTANTINESCU, M. VARBARO Dimension, depth and zero-divisors of the algebra of basic k -covers of a graph, *Le Matematiche* **63(2)** (2008), 117-156.
- [2] C. BERTONE, V. MICALE On the dimension of the minimal vertex cover semigroup ring of an unmixed bipartite graph, *Le Matematiche* **63(2)** (2008), 157-163.
- [3] W. BRUNS, J. HERZOG *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, rev. ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., 39, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [4] M. CRUPI, G. RINALDO, N. TERAJ, Cohen-Macaulay edge ideal whose height is half of the number of vertices. Preprint, arXiv:0909.4368v1[math.AC].
- [5] J. HERZOG, T. HIBI Distributive Lattices, Bipartite Graphs and Alexander Duality, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **22(3)** (2005), 289-302.
- [6] J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, H. OHSUGI Unmixed bipartite graphs and sublattices of the Boolean lattices, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **30(4)** (2009), 415-420.
- [7] J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, N. V. TRUNG Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and vertex cover algebras, *Adv. Math.* **210(1)** (2007), 304-322.
- [8] C. ION On the Hilbert series of vertex cover algebras of Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs, to appear in *Le Matematiche*.
- [9] R. H. VILLAREAL Unmixed bipartite graphs, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* **41(2)** (2007), 393-395.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, OVIDIUS UNIVERSITY, BD. MAMAIA 124, 900527 CONSTANTA, ROMANIA,

E-mail address: cristian.adrian.ion@gmail.com