

# COHOMOLOGICALLY SYMPLECTIC SOLVMANIFOLDS ARE SYMPLECTIC

HISASHI KASUYA

ABSTRACT. We consider aspherical manifolds with torsion-free virtually polycyclic fundamental groups, constructed by Baues. We prove that if those manifolds are cohomologically symplectic then they are symplectic. As a corollary we show that cohomologically symplectic solvmanifolds are symplectic.

## 1. Introduction

A  $2n$ -dimensional compact manifold  $M$  is called cohomologically symplectic (c-symplectic) if we have  $\omega \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\omega^n \neq 0$ . A compact symplectic manifold is c-symplectic but the converse is not true in general. For example  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$  is c-symplectic but not symplectic. But for some class of manifolds these two conditions are equivalent. For examples, nilmanifolds i.e. compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent simply connected Lie group. In [7], for a nilpotent simply connected Lie group  $G$  with a cocompact discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  (such subgroup is called a lattice), Nomizu showed that the De Rham cohomology  $H^*(G/\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  of  $G/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the cohomology  $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$  of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . By the application of Nomizu's theorem, if  $G/\Gamma$  is c-symplectic then  $G/\Gamma$  is symplectic (see [3, p.191]). Every nilmanifold can be represented by such  $G/\Gamma$  (see [6]).

Consider Solvmanifolds i.e. compact homogeneous spaces of solvable simply connected Lie groups. Let  $G$  be a solvable simply connected Lie group with a lattice  $\Gamma$ . We assume that for any  $g \in G$  the all eigenvalues of the adjoint operator  $\text{Ad}_g$  are real. With this assumption, in [5] Hattori extended Nomizu's theorem. By Hattori's theorem, for such case, without difficulty, we can similarly show that if  $G/\Gamma$  is c-symplectic, then  $G/\Gamma$  is symplectic. But the isomorphism  $H^*(G/\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g})$  fails to hold for general solvable Lie groups, and not all solvmanifolds can be represented by  $G/\Gamma$ . Thus it is a considerable problem whether every c-symplectic solvmanifold is symplectic.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free virtually polycyclic group. In [1] Baues constructed the compact aspherical manifold  $M_\Gamma$  with  $\pi_1(M_\Gamma) = \Gamma$ . Baues proved that every infra-solvmanifold (see [1] for the definition) is diffeomorphic to  $M_\Gamma$ .

---

*Key words and phrases.* cohomologically symplectic, solvmanifold, polycyclic group.

In particular the class of such aspherical manifolds contains the class of solvmanifolds. We prove that if  $M_\Gamma$  is c-symplectic then  $M_\Gamma$  is symplectic. In other words, for a torsion-free virtually polycyclic group  $\Gamma$  with  $2n = \text{rank } \Gamma$ , if there exists  $\omega \in H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\omega^n \neq 0$  then we have a symplectic aspherical manifold with the fundamental group  $\Gamma$ .

## 2. Notation and conventions

Let  $k$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . A group  $\mathbf{G}$  is called a  $k$ -algebraic group if  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Zariski-closed subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  which is defined by polynomials with coefficients in  $k$ . Let  $\mathbf{G}(k)$  denote the set of  $k$ -points of  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{G})$  the maximal Zariski-closed unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  called the unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Let  $U_n(k)$  denote the  $n \times n$   $k$ -valued upper triangular unipotent matrix group.

## 3. Aspherical manifolds with torsion-free virtually polycyclic fundamental groups

**Definition 3.1.** A group  $\Gamma$  is polycyclic if it admits a sequence

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_k = \{e\}$$

of subgroups such that each  $\Gamma_i$  is normal in  $\Gamma_{i-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{i-1}/\Gamma_i$  is cyclic. We denote  $\text{rank } \Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } \Gamma_{i-1}/\Gamma_i$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** ([8, Proposition 3.10]) *The fundamental group of a solvmanifold is torsion-free polycyclic.*

Let  $k$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free virtually polycyclic group. For a finite index polycyclic subgroup  $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ , we denote  $\text{rank } \Gamma = \text{rank } \Delta$ .

**Definition 3.3.** We call a  $k$ -algebraic group  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  a  $k$ -algebraic hull of  $\Gamma$  if there exists an injective group homomorphism  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_\Gamma(k)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\psi(\Gamma)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ .
- (2)  $Z_{\mathbf{H}_\Gamma}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{H}_\Gamma)) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{H}_\Gamma)$  where  $Z_{\mathbf{H}_\Gamma}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{H}_\Gamma))$  is the centralizer of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{H}_\Gamma)$ .
- (3)  $\dim \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{H}_\Gamma) = \text{rank } \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** ([1, Theorem A.1]) *There exists a  $k$ -algebraic hull of  $\Gamma$  and a  $k$ -algebraic hull of  $\Gamma$  is unique up to  $k$ -algebraic group isomorphism.*

Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free virtually polycyclic group and  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic hull of  $\Gamma$ . Denote  $H_\Gamma = \mathbf{H}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $U_\Gamma$  be the unipotent radical of  $H_\Gamma$  and  $T$  a maximal reductive subgroup. Then  $H_\Gamma$  decomposes as a semi-direct product  $H_\Gamma = T \ltimes U_\Gamma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{u}$  be the Lie algebra of  $U_\Gamma$ . Since the exponential map  $\exp : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow U_\Gamma$  is a diffeomorphism,  $U_\Gamma$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $n = \text{rank } \Gamma$ . For the semi-direct product  $H_\Gamma = T \ltimes U_\Gamma$ , we denote

$\phi : T \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U_\Gamma)$  the action of  $T$  on  $U_\Gamma$ . Then we have the homomorphism  $\alpha : H_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(U_\Gamma) \times U_\Gamma$  such that  $\alpha(t, u) = (\phi(t), u)$  for  $(t, u) \in T \times U_\Gamma$ . By the property (2) in Definition 3.3,  $\phi$  is injective and hence  $\alpha$  is injective.

In [1] Baues constructed a compact aspherical manifold  $M_\Gamma = \alpha(\Gamma) \backslash U_\Gamma$  with  $\pi_1(M_\Gamma) = \Gamma$ . We call  $M_\Gamma$  a standard  $\Gamma$ -manifold.

**Theorem 3.5.** ([1, Theorem 1.2, 1.4]) *A standard  $\Gamma$ -manifold is unique up to diffeomorphism. A solvmanifold with the fundamental group  $\Gamma$  is diffeomorphic to the standard  $\Gamma$ -manifold  $M_\Gamma$ .*

Let  $A^*(M_\Gamma)$  be the de Rham complex of  $M_\Gamma$ . Then  $A^*(M_\Gamma)$  is the set of the  $\Gamma$ -invariant differential forms  $A^*(U_\Gamma)^\Gamma$  on  $U_\Gamma$ . Let  $(\bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*)^T$  be the left-invariant forms on  $U_\Gamma$  which are fixed by  $T$ . Since  $\Gamma \subset H_\Gamma = U_\Gamma \cdot T$ , we have the inclusion

$$(\bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*)^T = A^*(U_\Gamma)^{H_\Gamma} \subset A^*(U_\Gamma)^\Gamma = A^*(M_\Gamma).$$

**Theorem 3.6.** ([1, Theorem 1.8]) *This inclusion induces an isomorphism on cohomology.*

By the application of the above facts, we prove the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose  $M_\Gamma$  is c-symplectic. Then  $M_\Gamma$  admits a symplectic structure. In particular cohomologically symplectic solvmanifolds are symplectic.*

*Proof.* Since we have the isomorphism  $H^*(M_\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*((\bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*)^T)$ , we have  $\omega \in (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{u}^*)^T$  such that  $0 \neq [\omega]^n \in H^{2n}((\bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*)^T)$ . This gives  $0 \neq \omega^n \in (\bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*)^T$  and hence  $0 \neq \omega^n \in \bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*$ . Since  $\omega^n$  is a non-zero invariant  $2n$ -form on  $U_\Gamma$ , we have  $(\omega^n)_p \neq 0$  for any  $p \in U_\Gamma$ . Hence by the inclusion  $(\bigwedge \mathbf{u}^*)^T \subset A^*(U_\Gamma)^T = A^*(M_\Gamma)$ , we have  $(\omega^n)_{\Gamma p} \neq 0$  for any  $\Gamma p \in \Gamma \backslash U_\Gamma = M_\Gamma$ . This implies that  $\omega$  is a symplectic form on  $M_\Gamma$ . Hence we have the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. REMARKS

Let  $G = \mathbb{R} \times_\phi U_3(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\phi(t) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{i\pi t} \cdot x & z \\ 0 & 1 & e^{-i\pi t} \cdot y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $D = \mathbb{Z} \times_\phi D'$  with

$$D' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 + ix_2 & z_1 + iz_2 \\ 0 & 1 & y_1 + iy_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, y_2, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, x_2, y_1, z_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then  $D$  is not discrete and  $G/D$  is compact. We have  $D/D_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} U_3(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\varphi(t) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (-1)^t x & z \\ 0 & 1 & (-1)^{-t} y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $D_0$  is the identity component of  $D$ . Denote  $\Gamma = D/D_0$ . We have the algebraic hull  $H_{\Gamma} = \{\pm 1\} \rtimes_{\psi} (U_3(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\psi(-1) \cdot \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, t \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x & z \\ 0 & 1 & -y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, t \right).$$

The dual of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$  of  $U_3(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $\mathfrak{u}^* = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \rangle$  such that the differential is given by

$$d\alpha = d\beta = d\delta = 0,$$

$$d\gamma = -\alpha \wedge \beta,$$

and the action of  $\{\pm 1\}$  is given by

$$(-1) \cdot \alpha = -\alpha, \quad (-1) \cdot \beta = -\beta,$$

$$(-1) \cdot \gamma = \gamma, \quad (-1) \cdot \delta = \delta.$$

Then we have a diffeomorphism  $M_{\Gamma} \cong G/D$  and an isomorphism  $H^*(M_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*((\wedge \mathfrak{u}^*)^{\{\pm 1\}})$ . By simple computations,  $H^2((\wedge \mathfrak{u}^*)^{\{\pm 1\}}) = 0$  and hence the solvmanifold  $G/D$  is not symplectic.

*Remark 1.* The proof of the Theorem 3.7 contains a proof of the following proposition.

*Proposition 4.1.* *If  $M_{\Gamma}$  admits a symplectic structure, then  $U_{\Gamma}$  has an invariant symplectic form.*

Otherwise for the above example,  $U_{\Gamma} = U_3(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  has an invariant symplectic form but  $M_{\Gamma}$  is not symplectic. Thus the converse of this proposition is not true. If  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent, then  $T$  is trivial and any invariant symplectic form on  $U_{\Gamma}$  induces the symplectic form on  $M_{\Gamma}$ . Hence for nilmanifolds the converse of Proposition 4.1 is true.

*Remark 2.*  $\Gamma$  is a finite extension of a lattice of  $U_{\Gamma} = U_3(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $M_{\Gamma}$  is finitely covered by a Kodaira-Thurston manifold (see [9], [3, p.192]).  $M_{\Gamma}$  is an example of a non-symplectic manifold finitely covered by a symplectic manifold.

Let  $H = G \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then the dual of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $H$  is given by  $\mathfrak{h}^* = \langle \sigma, \tau, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \eta_1, \eta_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$  such that the differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma &= d\tau = 0, \\ d\zeta_1 &= \tau \wedge \zeta_2, \quad d\zeta_2 = -\tau \wedge \zeta_1, \\ d\eta_1 &= \tau \wedge \eta_2, \quad d\eta_2 = -\tau \wedge \eta_1, \\ d\theta_1 &= -\zeta_1 \wedge \eta_1 + \zeta_2 \wedge \eta_2, \quad d\theta_2 = -\zeta_1 \wedge \eta_2 - \zeta_2 \wedge \eta_1. \end{aligned}$$

By simple computations, any closed invariant 2-form  $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{h}^*$  satisfies  $\omega^4 = 0$ . Hence  $H$  has no invariant symplectic form. Otherwise we have a lattice  $\Delta = 2\mathbb{Z} \times U_3(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}$  which is also a lattice of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times U_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus  $H/\Delta$  is diffeomorphic to a direct product of a 2-dimensional torus and an Iwasawa manifold (see [4]). Since an Iwasawa manifold is symplectic (see [4]),  $H/\Delta$  is also symplectic. By this example we can say:

*Remark 3.* For a simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$  with lattice  $\Gamma$ , if the nilmanifold  $G/\Gamma$  is symplectic then  $G$  has an invariant symplectic form. But suppose  $G$  is solvable we have an example of a symplectic solvmanifold  $G/\Gamma$  such that  $G$  has no invariant symplectic form.

#### Acknowledgements.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Toshitake Kohno for helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions. This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Baues, Infra-solvmanifolds and rigidity of subgroups in solvable linear algebraic groups. *Topology* **43** (2004), no. 4, 903–924.
- [2] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups* 2nd enl. ed Springer-verlag (1991).
- [3] Y. Félix, J. Oprea and D. Tanré, *Algebraic Models in Geometry*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics 17, Oxford University Press 2008.
- [4] M. Fernandez, A. Gray, *The Iwasawa manifold*. *Differential geometry*, Peniscola 1985, 157–159, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1209, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [5] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I* **8** 1960 289–331 (1960).
- [6] A. Malcev, On a class of homogeneous spaces. (Russian) *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Mat.* **13**, (1949). 9–32.
- [7] K. Nomizu, On the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **59**, (1954). 531–538.
- [8] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [9] W. P. Thurston, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1976), no. 2, 467–468.

(H.kasuya) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
JAPAN

*E-mail address:* khsc@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp