

Heteroclinic standing waves in defocussing DNLS equations

Variational approach via energy minimization

Michael Herrmann*

April 28, 2021

Abstract

We study heteroclinic standing waves (dark solitons) in discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with defocussing nonlinearity. Our main result is a quite elementary existence proof for waves with monotone and odd profile, and relies on minimizing an appropriately defined energy functional. We also study the continuum limit and the numerical approximation of standing waves.

Keywords: *discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS), nonlinear lattice waves, variational methods, heteroclinic standing waves, dark solitons*

MSC (2000): 37K60, 47J30, 78A40

1 Introduction

Discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations (DNLS) are prototypical models for the dynamics of coupled oscillators and have a broad range of application in nonlinear optics and particle physics, see [KRB01, EJ03, Kev09a, Por09]. A special focus in the mathematical analysis of such systems lies on the investigation of coherent structures (travelling or standing waves, breathers, kinks) as these describe the fundamental modes for energy localization and wave propagation in nonlinear discrete media.

This paper concerns heteroclinic standing waves for the one-dimensional DNLS equation

$$i\dot{A}_j - \beta(A_{j+1} + A_{j-1} - 2A_j) + \Psi'(|A_j|^2)A_j = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $A_j = A_j(t)$ denotes the complex amplitude of the oscillator $j \in Z$ at time t and β is the coupling constant. The potential function Ψ describes the energy of a single oscillator system and is often assumed to be monomial. In what follows we consider an infinite number of oscillators and set either $Z = \mathbb{Z}$ (*on-site setting*) or $Z = \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ (*inter-site setting*). The difference between both settings will be explained below.

The lattice system (1) is an infinite dimensional system of Hamiltonian ODEs (well-posedness results are given in [PKM05, GP10]) and possesses the conserved quantities

$$\mathcal{H}(A) = \sum_j \Psi(|A_j|^2) + \beta \sum_j |A_{j+1} - A_j|^2, \quad \mathcal{N}(A) = \sum_j |A_j|^2. \quad (2)$$

*Oxford Centre for Nonlinear PDE (OxPDE), michael.herrmann@maths.ox.ac.uk

More precisely, \mathcal{H} is the Hamiltonian of (1), whereas \mathcal{N} gives the power of a state A and stems from the gauge symmetry, that is the invariance of (1) under the transformation $A_j(t) \mapsto e^{i\varphi} A_j(t)$ with $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Standing waves are exact solutions to (1) that satisfy $A_j(t) = e^{i\sigma t} u_j(t)$ with *frequency* $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and real-valued *profile* $u = (u_j)_{j \in Z} \in \ell^\infty(Z)$. Standing waves can be regarded as relative equilibria with respect to the gauge symmetry and satisfy

$$\sigma u_j = -\beta(u_{j+1} + u_{j-1} - 2u_j) + \Psi'(u_j^2)u_j. \quad (3)$$

Heteroclinic waves connect different asymptotic states via

$$u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} u_{\pm\infty} \quad (4)$$

and correspond to so called *dark solitons*. Other types of standing waves are periodic waves with $u_j = u_{j+N}$ for some N , and *bright solitons*, which are homoclinic with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} u_j = 0$.

In this paper we aim in establishing the existence of standing waves in the set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{u \in \ell^\infty(Z) : u_{-j} = -u_j, -u_\infty \leq u_j \leq u_{j+1} \leq u_\infty \quad \forall j \in Z\},$$

which consists of all profiles that are odd, increasing, and take values in $[-u_\infty, u_\infty]$. In particular, we suppose $0 < u_\infty = -u_{-\infty}$. Notice that we have $u_0 = 0$ for all on-site waves, whereas inter-site waves generically satisfy $u_{-1/2} < 0 < u_{1/2}$.

For convex Ψ one distinguishes between the *focussing* and the *defocussing* case, which (in our notation) correspond to $\beta < 0$ and $\beta > 0$, respectively. Although both cases are linked via the *staggering transformation* $u_j \rightsquigarrow (-1)^j u_j$ they describe different physical situations. In the context of standing waves it is well established, see [Kev09b] and [Kev09c], that the most fundamental (that means most stable) waves are homoclinic for $\beta < 0$ but heteroclinic for $\beta > 0$, respectively. In what follows we solely consider the defocussing case $\beta > 0$ and construct heteroclinic *single-pulse* waves by *minimizing* an energy functional. The analogues in the focussing case are homoclinic single-pulse waves, which can be constructed by *constrained maximization*, see [Her10].

Several methods have been used to prove the existence of standing waves in DNLS equations. *Continuation methods* were introduced by MacKay and Aubry [MA94, Aub97] and have been proven powerful for both theoretical considerations and numerical computations, see for instance [KL09]. Continuation method rely on the observation that (3) can be solved explicitly in both the anti-continuum limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and the continuum limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. During the last years, however, there has been a growing interest in other approaches to the existence problem for standing waves. We refer to [PR05], which exploits spatial dynamics and centre manifold reduction, and to the variational methods in [PZ01, PR08, ZP09, ZL09], which rely on critical point techniques (linking theorems, Nehari manifold).

We now summarize the main idea in our variational existence proof. At first we notice that (3) and (4) couple the frequency σ to the asymptotic states via

$$\sigma = \Psi'(u_\infty^2).$$

Secondly, we introduce the function

$$F(\eta) = \Psi(\eta^2) - \Psi(u_\infty^2) - \Psi'(u_\infty^2)(\eta^2 - u_\infty^2),$$

and rewrite the standing wave equation as

$$F'(u_j) - 2\beta(u_{j+1} + u_{j-1} - 2u_j) = 0.$$

The key observation is that each standing wave is a critical point of the energy functional \mathcal{E} with

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \mathcal{F}(u) + \beta\mathcal{D}(u), \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \sum_j F(u_j), \quad \mathcal{D}(u) = \sum_j (u_{j+1} - u_j)^2. \quad (5)$$

The energy \mathcal{E} is naturally related to the conserved quantities from (2). In fact, on a formal level we find

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \mathcal{H}(u) - \sigma\mathcal{N}(u) - \mathcal{H}(\bar{u}) + \sigma\mathcal{N}(\bar{u}) + \beta\mathcal{D}(\bar{u})$$

where \bar{u} is an arbitrary reference profile with $\bar{u}_j^2 = u_\infty^2$ for all j , but notice that the \mathcal{N} - and \mathcal{H} -terms are infinite if u satisfies (4). $\mathcal{E}(u)$, however, is well defined as long as u approaches the asymptotic states sufficiently fast. In fact, using $F(\pm u_\infty) = F'(\pm u_\infty) = 0$ we find that both $\mathcal{F}(u)$ and $\mathcal{D}(u)$ are finite if the sequence $j \mapsto u_j - u_\infty \operatorname{sgn} j$ belongs to $\ell^2(Z)$.

Our strategy for proving the existence of standing waves is to show that \mathcal{E} attains its minimum on \mathcal{M} by using the direct method from the calculus of variations. Afterwards we show that each minimizer satisfies (3) as it is strictly increasing. Our existence result for energy minimizing waves can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $u_\infty > 0$ be given and let Ψ be twice continuously differentiable on $[0, x_\infty]$ with $x_\infty = u_\infty^2$. Moreover, suppose that*

$$\Psi''(x_\infty) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x) > \Psi(x_\infty) + \Psi'(x_\infty)(x - x_\infty) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq x < x_\infty. \quad (6)$$

Then, for each $\beta > 0$ the functional \mathcal{E} attains its minimum on \mathcal{M} . Each minimizer $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is strictly increasing, converges exponentially to $\pm u_\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and solves the standing wave equation (3) with frequency $\sigma = \Psi'(x_\infty)$.

We proceed with some remarks concerning the assumptions and assertions of Theorem 1.

1. Theorem 1 holds in both the on-site and inter-site setting. Moreover, via $u_j \rightsquigarrow -u_j$ it also provides also the existence of standing waves with decreasing profile.
2. Suppose that Ψ is strictly convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $\Psi'(0) = 0$. Then we have $\Psi(x) - \Psi(y) \geq \Psi'(y)(x - y)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$ and Theorem 1 guarantees the existence of standing waves with arbitrary u_∞ . Furthermore, there exist standing waves for non-convex Ψ , see the examples in §3.
3. Assumption (6)₂ precisely means that F is positive on the interval $(-u_\infty, u_\infty)$. This condition implies $F''(u_\infty) \geq 0$ and is sharp in the following sense. Suppose there exists $0 \leq u_* \leq u_\infty$ such that $F(u_*) < 0$. Then the energy \mathcal{E} is unbounded from below and global minimizers of \mathcal{E} can therefore not exist. See also the discussion in §3.
4. Condition (6)₁ is equivalent to $F''(u_\infty) > 0$ and guarantees that each standing wave approaches its asymptotic states exponentially. For $F''(u_\infty) = 0$ one can still prove the existence of energy minimizing waves (using some limit procedure), but since \mathcal{E} has no minimizer for $F''(u_\infty) < 0$ these waves are non-generic and have an algebraic tail.
5. The standing waves from Theorem 1 are so called single-pulse dark solitons. From continuation results we know that there also exists an infinite number of multi-pulse dark solitons corresponding to non-monotone solutions to (3) and (4), see for instance [Kev09c]. However, multi-pulse waves are expected to be unstable and we conjecture that they correspond to genuine saddle points of \mathcal{E} . A detailed investigation of this issue is left for future research.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in §2.1 and §2.2. In §2.3 we establish an approximation result which allows to compute standing waves on finite index sets and provides the base for the numerical simulations in §3. Moreover, in §2.4 we study the continuum limit of standing waves with fixed asymptotic states. To this end we introduce a scaling small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and scale (5) by $j \rightsquigarrow \varepsilon j$ and $\beta \rightsquigarrow \beta/\varepsilon^2$. Then we proof that the standing waves converge as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to a heteroclinic solution of

$$2\beta u''(\xi) = -F'(u(\xi)), \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(\xi) = \pm u_\infty. \quad (7)$$

2 Existence and properties of standing waves

In order to prove Theorem 1 we first observe that (3) is invariant under the scaling

$$u_j \mapsto \eta u_j, \quad \sigma \mapsto \tau \sigma, \quad \beta \mapsto \tau \beta, \quad \Psi(x) \mapsto \tau \eta^{-2} \Psi(\eta^2 x) + \delta$$

with arbitrary $\eta, \tau, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$. From now on we therefore assume that

$$\sigma = \Psi(1) = \Psi'(1) = 1, \quad u_{\pm\infty} = \pm 1, \quad F(\eta) = \Psi(\eta^2) - \eta^2,$$

and study the *normalized* standing wave equation

$$F'(u_j) - 2\beta(u_{j+1} + u_{j-1} - 2u_j) = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} u_j = \pm 1. \quad (8)$$

2.1 Standing waves as minimizers of \mathcal{E}

Our assumptions on Ψ ensure that \mathcal{E} has nice properties on \mathcal{M} . Recall that \mathcal{M} is compact with respect to the weak* topology in $\ell^\infty(Z)$.

Lemma 2. *\mathcal{E} is non-negative and weakly* lower semi-continuous on \mathcal{M} . Moreover, $\mathcal{E}(u) < \infty$ implies $\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} u_j = \pm 1$ and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\mathcal{E}(u + tv) - \mathcal{E}(u)) = \sum_{j \in Z} v_j \mathcal{G}(u)_j \quad (9)$$

for all $v \in \ell^1(Z)$, where $\mathcal{G}(u) \in \ell^\infty(Z)$ with

$$\mathcal{G}(u)_j = \Psi'(u_j^2) u_j - u_j - \beta(u_{j+1} + u_{j-1} - 2u_j)$$

is the Gâteaux derivative of \mathcal{E} in u .

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ be given. Then we have $\mathcal{E}(u) \geq \mathcal{F}(u) \geq 0$ due to the assumption on F , and the monotonicity of u implies $\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} u_j = \pm u_\infty$ for some $u_\infty \in [0, 1]$. Assuming $u_\infty < 1$ we find $F(u_j) \geq \frac{1}{2} F(u_\infty) > 0$ for almost all j , and hence $\mathcal{E}(u) \geq \mathcal{F}(u) = \infty$. Moreover, (9) follows from a direct computation. Finally, we consider a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$, with $u_n = (u_{n,j})_{j \in Z}$ for all n , that converges weakly* in $\ell^\infty(Z)$ to some limit $u \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we have $u_{n,j} \rightarrow u_j$ for all j , and Fatou's Lemma gives $\mathcal{E}(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_n)$. \square

We are now able to prove our main result.

Theorem 3. *\mathcal{E} attains its minimum on \mathcal{M} . Moreover, each minimizer is strictly increasing and satisfies the standing wave equation (8).*

Proof. The existence of minimizers follows from the weak \star compactness of \mathcal{M} and the lower semi-continuity of \mathcal{E} . We now show by contradiction that each minimizer $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is strictly increasing. Suppose at first that there exists some $j_0 \in Z$ such that

$$u_{j_0-1} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_j = 1 \quad \text{for all } j \in Z \quad \text{with } j \geq j_0,$$

and define $v \in \ell^1(Z)$ by $v_{j_0} = -1$ and $v_j = 0$ for all $j \neq j_0$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{G}(u)_{j_0} = \Psi'(1)1 - 1 - \beta(1 + u_{j_0-1} - 2) = \beta(1 - u_{j_0-1}) > 0.$$

and (9) yields $\mathcal{E}(u + tv) < \mathcal{E}(u)$ for all sufficiently small $t > 0$, which is the desired contradiction. Consequently, we have $u_j < 1$ for all $j \in Z$ and $-1 < u_j$ follows similarly. Secondly, suppose there exist a constant plateau between -1 and $+1$, that means

$$u_{j_1-1} < u_{j_1} = u_{j_1+1} = \dots = u_{j_2-1} = u_{j_2} < u_{j_2+1}$$

for some indices $j_1 < j_2$, and define $v \in \ell^1(Z)$ by $v_{j_1} = -1$, $v_{j_2} = +1$, and $v_j = 0$ for all $j \in Z \setminus \{j_1, j_2\}$. This implies

$$\mathcal{G}(u)_{j_1} = \Psi'(u_{j_1}^2)u_{j_1} - u_{j_1} + \beta(u_{j_1} - u_{j_1-1}) > \Psi'(u_{j_2}^2)u_{j_2} - u_{j_2} + \beta(u_{j_2} - u_{j_2+1}) = \mathcal{G}(u)_{j_2},$$

and (9) gives $\mathcal{E}(u + tv) < \mathcal{E}(u)$, which is again a contradiction. Finally, since u is strictly increasing we can perturb the u_j 's independently from each other, so (9) yields $\mathcal{G}(u)_j = 0$ for all $j \in Z$. \square

Recall that Theorem 3 provides the existence of standing waves in both the on-site and off-site setting.

2.2 Exponential tails for standing waves

We next show that standing waves converge exponentially to the asymptotic states. Heuristically, the decay rate λ is determined by linearizing (8) in the asymptotic states, i.e., λ is the unique positive solution to

$$4\beta(\cosh \lambda - 1) = F''(1) = 4\Psi''(1). \quad (10)$$

From this we conclude that $\Psi''(1) > 0$ is truly necessary for standing waves to have exponential tails.

Lemma 4. *Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ be any strict monotone solution to (8) and choose $\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}$ such that $0 < \underline{\lambda} < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ with λ as in (10). Then there exist constants \underline{c} and \bar{c} such that*

$$\underline{c} \exp(-\bar{\lambda}|j|) \leq |\text{sgn}j - u_j| \leq \bar{c} \exp(-\underline{\lambda}|j|)$$

for all $j \in Z$.

Proof. By virtue of $u_{-j} = -u_j$ it is sufficient to consider positive j . For $1 < j \in Z$ we define w_j and κ_j by $w_j = 1 - u_j$ and $\kappa_j = w_j/w_{j-1}$. By $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and (8) we have

$$0 < w_j < w_{j-1} < 1, \quad \delta_j w_j = w_{j+1} + w_{j-1} - 2w_j, \quad \delta_j = \frac{F''(\xi_j)}{2\beta},$$

where ξ_j denotes some intermediate value in $[u_j, 1]$, and hence

$$0 < \kappa_j < 1, \quad \kappa_{j+1} = 2 + \delta_j - \frac{1}{\kappa_j}.$$

Moreover, using $w_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ we find

$$\delta_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_\infty = \frac{F''(1)}{2\beta}, \quad \kappa_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_\infty = \frac{2 + \delta_\infty - \sqrt{\delta_\infty} \sqrt{4 + \delta_\infty}}{2},$$

and a direct computation reveals that $\lambda = -\ln(\kappa_\infty) > 0$ satisfies (10) and $\underline{\lambda} < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$. The desired result now follows immediately. \square

We mention that (10) implies the expansions

$$\lambda = -\ln(\delta^{-1}) + 2\delta^{-1}(1 + O(\delta^{-1})), \quad \lambda = \sqrt{\delta}(1 + O(\delta)), \quad \delta = \frac{F''(1)}{2\beta}.$$

In particular, we have $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in the anti-continuum limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ but $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in the continuum limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$.

2.3 Ritz approximation of standing waves

It is reasonable, and useful for numerical simulations, to approximate the standing waves from Theorem 3 by minimizing \mathcal{E} on the finite-dimensional set

$$\mathcal{M}_N = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : u_j = \text{sgn} j \quad \forall j \in Z \text{ with } |j| > N\}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (11)$$

Notice that \mathcal{E} attains its minimum on \mathcal{M}_N as \mathcal{M}_N is closed under weak \star convergence in $\ell^\infty(Z)$.

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$\min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, each sequence $(u_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $u_N \in \mathcal{M}_N$ and $\mathcal{E}(u_N) = \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_N}$ for all N , has a subsequence that converges pointwise to some u with $\mathcal{E}(u) = \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ be a minimizer of \mathcal{E} , and define the sequence $(u_N)_N$ by $u_{N,j} = u_j$ for $|j| \leq N$ and $u_{N,j} = \text{sgn} j$ for $|j| > N$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}(u_N) = \sum_{|j| \leq N} F(u_j) \leq \mathcal{F}(u)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(u_N) = \sum_{|j| \leq N-1} (u_{j+1} - u_j)^2 + 2(1 - u_N)^2 \leq \mathcal{D}(u) + 2(1 - u_N)^2.$$

Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_N} \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_N) \leq \mathcal{E}(u) = \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

This implies (12) because we have $\mathcal{M}_{N_1} \subset \mathcal{M}_{N_2} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and hence $\min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_{N_2}} \leq \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_{N_1}}$ for all $N_1 < N_2$. Finally, suppose that $(u_N)_N$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}(u_N) = \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_N}$. By weak \star compactness we can extract a (not relabelled) subsequence that converges weakly \star in $\ell^\infty(Z)$ to some limit $u \in \mathcal{M}$. Lemma 2 combined with (12) gives

$$\min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \mathcal{E}(u) \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_N) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}_N} = \min \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

so u is in fact a minimizer of \mathcal{E} . \square

2.4 Continuum limit of standing waves

In this section we characterize the continuum limit of discrete standing waves. To this end we consider profile functions $u = u(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}$, where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous variable and \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : -1 \leq u(\xi_1) \leq u(\xi_2) = -u(-\xi_2) \leq 1 \quad \forall \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The set \mathcal{M} is naturally related to the formal limit problem (7) as standard arguments for planar Hamiltonian ODEs imply the following result.

Remark 6. *There exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{M}$ with $F'(u) = 2\beta u''$.*

We now introduce a small lattice parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the functional \mathcal{E}_ε in \mathcal{M} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u) = \mathcal{F}(u) + \beta \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(u), \quad \mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u(\xi)) \, d\xi, \quad \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{u(\xi + \varepsilon) - u(\xi)}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \, d\xi.$$

The connection with the discrete setting becomes obvious when introducing

$$\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : u(\varepsilon j) = u(\varepsilon j + \varepsilon) \quad \forall j \in Z, \xi \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Each $u \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ is piecewise constant and can be identified with a increasing and odd profile from $\ell^\infty(Z)$. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \varepsilon \sum_{j \in Z} F(u(\varepsilon j)), \quad \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j \in Z} (u(\varepsilon j + \varepsilon) - u(\varepsilon j))^2.$$

The result from §2.1 imply that \mathcal{E}_ε attains its minimum on \mathcal{M}_ε .

Lemma 7. *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a minimizer $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ for $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon}$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F'(u_\varepsilon(\xi)) \varphi(\xi) \, d\xi = 2\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\varepsilon(\xi) \frac{\varphi(\xi + \varepsilon) + \varphi(\xi - \varepsilon) - 2\varphi(\xi)}{\varepsilon^2} \, d\xi \quad (13)$$

holds for all test functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, there exists a constant C such that $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Proof. The existence of u_ε follows from Theorem 3 (with β/ε^2 instead of β), and (13) is the weak formulation of the discrete standing wave equation, see (8). We now consider $v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ with

$$v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon j) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for all } j \in Z \text{ with } \varepsilon j \leq -1, \\ +1 & \text{for all } j \in Z \text{ with } \varepsilon j \geq +1, \\ \varepsilon j & \text{for all } j \in Z \text{ with } \varepsilon |j| < 1. \end{cases}$$

A direct computation shows

$$\mathcal{F}(v_\varepsilon) = \sum_{\varepsilon |j| < 1} \varepsilon F(\varepsilon j) = \int_{-1}^1 F(\eta) \, d\eta + O(\varepsilon), \quad \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) = \sum_{\varepsilon |j| \leq 1} \varepsilon = 2 + O(\varepsilon).$$

The uniform bounds for $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ now follows due to $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon)$. □

We are now able to pass to the continuum limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 8. *We have $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, where u_ε and u are defined in Lemma 7 and Remark 6, respectively.*

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ be any sequence with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, and let $u_n = u_{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon_n}$. By weak \star compactness in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we can extract a (not relabelled) subsequence that converges weakly \star to some limit $u_\infty \in \mathcal{M}$. Lemma 7 guarantees that the sequence $(v_n)_n$ with

$$v_n(\xi) = \frac{u_n(\xi + \varepsilon_n) - u_n(\xi)}{\varepsilon_n}$$

is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and passing to a further subsequence we can assume that v_n converges weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to some limit v_∞ . We then have

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_n(\xi) \varphi(\xi) \, d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_n(\xi) \frac{\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(\xi - \varepsilon_n)}{\varepsilon_n} \, d\xi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

and passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that v_∞ is the weak derivative of u_∞ . In particular, u_∞ is continuous and satisfies

$$u_\infty(\xi) = \int_0^\xi v_\infty(\zeta) \, d\zeta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\xi v_n(\zeta) \, d\zeta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(\xi),$$

where we used that $u_n(\xi) = \int_0^\xi v_n(\zeta) \, d\zeta + O(\varepsilon_n)$ holds by construction. Therefore, u_n converges to u_∞ in $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, so (13) implies that u_∞ and u solves the same ODE. Moreover, Lemma 7 combined with Fatou's Lemma provides

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_n) < \infty,$$

which implies $u(\xi) \rightarrow \pm 1$ as $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and hence $u_\infty = u$. We have now shown that each sequence $(u_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ has at least a subsequence that converges to u . The uniqueness of u now implies the desired result. \square

3 Numerical simulations

We illustrate the analytical results from the previous section by numerical simulations of discrete waves. To this end we implemented the explicit Euler-scheme for the gradient flow of \mathcal{E} in the Ritz set \mathcal{M}_N from (11). The corresponding iteration scheme with flow time $\tau > 0$ reads

$$u \in \mathcal{M}_N \mapsto \mathcal{I}(u) \in \mathcal{M}_N,$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}(u)_j = u_j - \tau(F'(u_j) - 2\beta(u_{j+1} + u_{j-1} - 2u_j)) \quad \forall |j| \leq N.$$

The iteration scheme works very well in numerical simulations and converges to a fixed point of \mathcal{I} provided that τ is sufficiently small. It is clear, at least for large N , that each fixed point of \mathcal{I} satisfies the standing wave equation up to high order, but it may happen that this fixed point approximates a *local* minimizer of \mathcal{E} .

To ensure that the scheme converges to a global minimizer we need a good guess for the initial profile. In our simulations we always start with the shock profile $u_j = \text{sgn}j$ for all j , which is the global minimizer for $\beta = 0$.




Figure 1: On-site and inter-site waves for $\beta = 0.25$ after 150 steps with $\tau = 0.1$ and $N = 6$. The upper left picture shows the graphs of ψ (Black) and Ψ (Gray), see (14).




Figure 2: On-site waves for $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 5$ after 600 steps with $\tau = 0.01$ and $N = 12$.

Typical numerical solutions for convex and non-convex Ψ are shown in Figure 1 and 2, respectively. In the upper left picture we also plotted the graph of

$$\psi(\eta) = \Psi'(\eta^2)\eta, \quad (14)$$

which is the nonlinearity in the standing wave equation (8). Notice that the first example is prototypical for power laws $\psi(\eta) = \frac{1}{1+d}\eta^{1+d}$ with $d > 1$.

Figure 3 illustrates what happens if Ψ violates (6). In this example, F is not positive in $(-1, 1)$ but there exists $0 < \eta_* < 1$ such that $0 > F(\eta_*) = F(-\eta_*) = \min_{-1 \leq \eta \leq 1} F(\eta)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unbounded from below and global minimizers $u \in \mathcal{M}$ cannot exist. In fact, setting $u_j = \eta_* \operatorname{sgn} j$ for all $|j| \leq N$ and $u_j = \operatorname{sgn} j$ for $|j| > N$ we find $\mathcal{E}(u) = NF(\eta_*) + O(1) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We now interpret the numerical results in Figure 3. For small β (and large N) the iteration scheme converges to a fixed point of \mathcal{I} that satisfies the standing wave equation up to high order and has a sharp transition from -1 to $+1$. We therefore conjecture that standing waves with $u_{\pm\infty} = \pm 1$ still exist and correspond to local minimizers of $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{M}}$. For larger values of β , however, the solutions $u_N \in \mathcal{M}_N$ exhibit plateaus at heights $\pm\eta_*$ and converge for $N \rightarrow \infty$ to some limit profile u with asymptotic states $u_{\pm\infty} = \pm\eta_*$. Notice that u solves the standing wave equation (8) as $F'(\eta_*) = 0$ implies $\Psi'(\eta_*^2) = 1$.




Figure 3: Off-site waves for $\beta = .5$ and $\beta = 2$ after 1000 steps with $N = 40$ and $\tau = 0.05$.




Figure 4: Continuum limit of inter-site waves with $\beta = 1$: Discrete waves (Black) from \mathcal{M}_ε for several values of ε along with the solution (Gray) of the limit problem (7).

Finally, Figure 4 concerns the continuum limit of standing waves, see §2.4. It shows the piecewise constants minimizers $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ for different values of ε in the interval $|\xi| \leq 6$, and illustrates that u_ε converges for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to the unique solution of (7).

References

- [Aub97] S. Aubry, *Breathers in nonlinear lattices: Existence, linear stability and quantization*, Physica D **103** (1997), 201–250.
- [EJ03] J.Ch. Eilbeck and M. Johansson, *The Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation – 20 years on*, Proceedings of the Third Conference on Localization and Energy Transfer in Nonlinear Systems 2002, 2003, pp. 44–87.
- [GP10] G.M. Guérékata and A. Pankov, *Global well-posedness for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, to appear in *Applicable Analysis*, 2010.
- [Her10] M. Herrmann, *Homoclinic standing waves in focussing DNLS equations*, in preparation, 2010.

- [Kev09a] P.G. Kevrekidis, *The Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, vol. 232, ch. 1. General Introduction and Derivation of the DNLS Equation, pp. 3–9, Springer, 2009.
- [Kev09b] ———, *The Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, vol. 232, ch. 2. The One-Dimensional Case, pp. 3–9, Springer, 2009.
- [Kev09c] ———, *The Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, vol. 232, ch. 5. The Defocusing Case, pp. 117–141, Springer, 2009.
- [KL09] P.G. Kevrekidis and K.J.H. Law, *Numerical methods for DNLS*, The Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (P.G. Kevrekidis, ed.), Springer, 2009, pp. 191–203.
- [KRB01] P.G. Kevrekidis, K.Ø. Rasmussen, and A.R. Bishop, *The discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation: A survey of recent results*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **15** (2001), no. 21, 2833–2900.
- [MA94] R.S. MacKay and S. Aubry, *Proof of existence of breathers for time-reversible or Hamiltonian networks of weakly coupled oscillators*, Nonlinearity **7** (1994), 1623–1643.
- [PKM05] P. Pacciani, V.V. Konotop, and G. Perla Menzala, *On localized solutions of discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation. an exact result.*, Physica D **204** (2005), no. 1-2, 122–133.
- [Por09] M.A. Porter, *Experimental results related to DNLS equation*, The Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (P.G. Kevrekidis, ed.), Springer, 2009, pp. 175–189.
- [PR05] D.E. Pelinovsky and V.M. Rothos, *Bifurcations of travelling wave solutions in the discrete NLS equations*, Physica D **202** (2005), no. 1–2, 16–36.
- [PR08] A. Pankov and V.M. Rothos, *Periodic and decaying solutions in discrete nonlinear Schrödinger with saturable nonlinearity*, Proc. R. Soc. A **464** (2008), 3219–3236.
- [PZ01] A. Pankov and N. Zakharchenko, *On some discrete variational problems*, Acta Appl. Math. **65** (2001), 295–303.
- [ZL09] G. Zhang and F. Liu, *Existence of breather solutions of the DNLS equations with unbounded potentials*, Nonlinear Anal.-Theory Methods Appl. **71** (2009), no. 12, e786–e792.
- [ZP09] G. Zhang and A. Pankov, *Standing wave solutions of the discrete non-linear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials*, to appear in Applicable Analysis, 2009.