

# Interpreting Quantum Chromodynamics from Spin Glass- and Polymer Analogies

U. Krey

Inst. für Physik II, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany

Feb. 11, 2010

## Abstract

In an informal way some kind of Ising Lattice QCD is introduced which allows to interpret and discuss the well-known theory of Quantum Chromodynamics (confinement, quarks and gluons etc.) from simple phenomena of magnetism and polymer physics. Also the viewpoint of duality is stressed. Moreover, the non-abelian character of the Lie Group SU(3) is important. The essential arguments for confinement and asymptotic freedom are given at the very end of the manuscript, after an appendix.

## 1 Introduction

This is an informal paper, not intended for publication<sup>1</sup>. The QCD (Quantum Chromodynamics. i.e. the theory of strong interaction) is perhaps the most-important theory of present-day high energy physics, dealing with quarks, gluons, confinement, and perhaps the quark-gluon plasma transition predicted to happen at ultra-high energies around 200 GeV. In contrast, ferromagnetism has characteristic temperatures around 1000 K or below, corresponding to 0.1 eV, and spin glass magnetism happens even below 30 K. Thus, since the phenomena differ by 12 or more energy decades, one would tend to believe that they are unrelated. However, one should be warned by the facts that (i) the seminal paper of Franz Wegner on lattice-gauge theories, [1], is based on Ising spins, and (ii) that a strongly pedagogical and interdisciplinary paper of John Kogut, [2], also stresses the relation between QCD and spins, and (iii) that according to a recent colloquium talk of Fritjof Karsch, [3], a 2nd-order phase transition to a quark-gluon plasma, which seems to exist at temperatures around  $T_c \approx 200 \text{ GeV}/k_B$ , belongs apparently to the universality class of the 3d Ising model.

## 2 Spin glasses and "frustration"

In fact, in the theory of Ising spin glasses one is working with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{i,k} s_i J_{i,k} s_k, \quad (1)$$

<sup>1</sup>e-mail uwe.krey@physik.uni-regensburg.de,  
updated versions at [www.physik.uni-regensburg.de/forschung/krey](http://www.physik.uni-regensburg.de/forschung/krey)

Here the spin variables are Ising degrees of freedom,  $s_i = \pm 1$ , and the  $J_{i,k}$  are real numbers, e.g. quenched Gaussian random numbers, or they are also binary random numbers. In the last-mentioned case, where one is dealing with a so-called  $\pm 1$  spin glass, the notion of "frustration" plays an important role: One considers a closed loop  $\mathcal{W}$  on the lattice containing the sites  $i$  and  $k$ , and on this loop one considers the product  $P_{\mathcal{W}} := J_{i,k} \cdot J_{k,l} \cdot \dots \cdot J_{n,m} \cdot J_{m,i}$ . The loop  $\mathcal{W}$  is called "frustrated" , [4], if the product is *negative*.

### 3 Relation to QCD

The relation to the Quantum Chromodynamics is essentially a matter of three steps:

- At first one interpretes the spin variables  $s_i$  as "quarks" and the  $J_{i,k}$  as "gluons" . (This is of course only semantics).
- Secondly, **both** quantities are now allowed to **fluctuate** according to the thermal laws. (This is an essential physical step).
- Finally one adds to  $\mathcal{H}$  the so-called **Wilson loop** contributions  $J_{i,k}J_{k,l}J_{l,m}J_{m,i}$ , i.e. the so-called plaquette variables, defined on the boundary of the side faces of the four-dimensional elementary hypercubes defining the four-dimensional euclidean lattice corresponding to the (3 plus 1)-dimensional Minkowski space underlying at  $T=0$  the quantum chromodynamics. In this way one obtains some kind of "Ising Lattice QCD".

Besides: This contribution corresponds to the above-mentioned "frustration", but one works no longer with a "quenched" situation, but with "annealed" degrees of freedom.

### 4 Gauge invariance

The Hamiltonian is now explicitly gauge invariant, namely against the simultaneous transformations

$$s_i \rightarrow s_i \cdot \epsilon_i, \quad s_k \rightarrow s_k \epsilon_k, \quad J_{i,k} \rightarrow \epsilon_k J_{i,k} \epsilon_k . \quad (2)$$

Here  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$  and  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$  are independent random numbers.

Of course this is gross simplification. In the QCD, the  $\epsilon$  parameters are replaced by SU(3) matrices. But the essential philosophy is recovered by the Ising approximation.

This corresponds to a very large extra degeneracy, which already plagues the spin glass physics at low temperatures and also comes into play in the QCD (see below) and means that one is dealing with a very large entropy.

### 5 Analogies and Consequences

The gauge invariance leads to the notion of a Mattis spin glass, [5], i.e. a configuration, looking perfectly random, i.e.  $s_i \equiv \epsilon_i$ , but which is actually a "ferromagnet in disguise".

This example means that, in any case, one should be very careful with observations.

We start with parallel one-dimensional ferromagnetic Ising chains, e.g. all in the direction of the time axis. If the "gluons" are quenched, as in the spin-glass analogy, while the "quark"

degrees of freedom are allowed to fluctuate thermodynamically, then one has of course weak interaction at large distances and strong interaction at neighbouring lattice points. This is just the *opposite* situation as in Quantum Chromodynamics: there one has *asymptotic freedom* at low distances and *confinement* at large ones, [6].

In fact, the kink excitations in one-dimensional Ising model, see [2], in particular those on vertically parallel Ising chains, immediately destroy the long-range order, which in the one-dimensional Ising model exists only at  $T=0$ . As a consequence, right from the beginning one should not work with quenched gluon degrees of freedom, as in spin glasses but better with the dual situation of quenched quark degrees of freedom. In fact this was the preferred approximation in the early years of QCD, as sketched e.g. in the standard book of Becher, Böhm and Joos, [7].

## 6 Duality

The importance of duality has already been stressed in the seminal paper of F. Wegner, [1], where it has been stated among other points that the low temperature results of the original model corresponds to the high temperature results of a dual one. To be specific, the frozen gluon approximation of the spin-glass theory and the frozen quark approximation of the early years of the QCD are somehow dual to each other, and the asymptotic freedom as a short-distance behaviour of the QCD is somehow dual to the usual behaviour of magnetic phases at long distances.

Moreover, also the usual  $1/r$ -behaviour of  $V(r)$  in the non-confined phases and the strange  $r$ -behaviour of the stretched loops under confinement can be considered as somehow *dual* to each other.

Here the caveat "somehow" should not be overlooked. In fact, the original models considered by [1] are Ising models with a gauge invariant  $2n$ -point interaction in  $d$  dimensions, which is relevant in our case for  $n=2$  and  $d=4$  (respectively for  $d=3$  at high temperatures, where in statistical mechanics a phase-space integral along the time axis is replaced by a sum of finite Matsubara frequencies, see e.g. Abrikosov et al, [9]). The Hamiltonian corresponds to the isolated gluon Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = K \sum_{\partial\{\text{plaquettes}\}} J_{i,k} J_{k,l} J_{l,m} J_{m,i},$$

with positive  $K$ , taken around the edges of a "plaquette", i.e. over the boundary of all faces of the  $d$ -dimensional simple cubes, defining our lattice. For  $d \leq 3$  this creates a simple  $d$ -dimensional hypercubic lattice itself, the "dual" one, since e.g.  $\binom{3}{2}$  is 3 again. However for  $d=4$ ,  $\binom{4}{2}$  is 6, i.e. there are now too many faces for simple duality.

However, in this case one may distinguish the three faces going out from "the lower left" of a hypercube from those coming in towards the "upper right", i.e. one has the possibility to get different parity with respect to the center of the hypercube, and may in this way distinguish "electric" and "magnetic" field quantities (see below.)

## 7 Rubber elasticity

On the other hand the above-mentioned crucial behaviour characterizing the confinement, namely the linear increase of  $V(r)$  with increasing distance  $r$ , which is always compared on

pedagogical reasons with the behaviour of a stretched rubber band, has also a direct physical meaning: it is well known that rubber elasticity means *entropy elasticity*, and is physically related with the entangling, disentangling and deforming of the many loops in polymers, [8]. Thus one should not be astonished that here Wilson loops, i.e. the dominance of gluon disorder ("annealed disorder", to be precise!) leads to the phenomenon of confinement. Essentially it is the huge degeneracy mentioned above, i.e. essentially a consequence of gauge invariance which is finally responsible for the rubber-like confinement.

## 8 Random fields

If the quarks are frozen and the gluons fluctuating, one can describe the first part of our Hamiltonian, the term usually called the "spin glass Hamiltonian", i.e. (1), as a "random field term", where the random fields for the gluons, i.e. for the link variables  $J_{i,k}$ , are given by the momentaneous values of the variables  $s_i$  and  $s_k$ . However, once again, one has not "quenched" randomness, but annealed one, and the gauge invariance should be important again.

## 9 Polymer States and Confinement

There is another virtue of the polymer analogy: the fact that in these systems there is apparently *everywhere* a finite probability to have entanglement between different loops makes it plausible that in these systems one would have an *area law* behaviour, and not a *circumferential* one, of the Wilson loop variables. As is known, this is the prerequisite for confinement.

Here some remarks about the difference between QED (Quantum Electrodynamics) and the QCD (Quantum Chromodynamics) are in order; In the QED, with an abelian gauge group, the U(1), the loop segments are uncharged, i.e. unstructured, whereas in the QCD (non-abelian gauge group SU(3) ) the loop segments carry colour-charge, i.e. the loop segments themselves generate a colour-electric field "E" or a colour-magnetic field "B" (quantities with 8 complex 3x3-matrices); i.e. the segments themselves generate the surface-densities "rot E" or "rot B" giving rise to the area-law behaviour. These topological consequences of the non-abelian character of the gauge group, which creates some kind of entangling property of the loops, corresponds in polymer physics to the presence of chemical units, by which loop segments are structured, such that different loops are linked together.

Concerning the non-abelian character of the Wilson products  $P_W = J_1 \cdot \dots \cdot J_4$  (using a symbolical writing) in the groups SU(3), the following remark is in order: Since one is working with a closed surface (i.e. the sum of the edges along the faces of a simple hypercube, the sum of the contributions from the faces can be transformed into a volume integral by a kind of Gaussian theorem. However, using general statements similar to "div rot  $\equiv$  0" one gets zero for the abelian case. In contrast, if the J-quantities are taken from a non-abelian Lie group, then the above-mentioned identity must be replaced by a nontrivial one involving the structure constants of the group.

## 10 The quark-gluon plasma

Ultimately, e.g. if temperatures or pressure correspond roughly to the mass of the heaviest quark, 170.9 GeV, one should definitely give up any quenched approximation and should treat

quarks and gluons on the same footing, namely both as equally fluctuating quantities. The corresponding behaviour leads to the prediction of a 2nd order quark-gluon plasma phase transition, which corresponds to the universality class of the 3d Ising model. That one has a three-dimensional lattice, and no longer a four-dimensional one, is natural, since in the numerical simulation the time-axis is no longer critical, [3]. Moreover, already Franz Wegner, with his generalized Ising ansatz, had a precise duality relation leading to the 3d-Ising model for this case.

## 11 Conclusion

The phenomena of lattice QCD , i.e. Quantum Chromodynamics, quarks, gluons, confinement, gauge-invariance, the quark-gluon plasma transition, and so on, have been given certain pedagogical plausibility interpretations by referring to well-kown phenomena in solid-state physics, e.g. spin-glass and polymer behaviour, in particular to a "Mattis spin glass", i.e. a "ferromagnet in disguise", [5], and to random-field physics, entanglement-probability, and "frustration".

Moreover, the property of *duality* is stressed: i.e. the high-temperature phase of the *quarks* (or at least dominated by them) seems to correspond by duality to the low-temperature phase of the *gluons* (or dominated by them). At the same time, by this duality, there is apparently a  $1/r \rightarrow r$  correspondence.

The duality is a direct one, since the centers of the simple hypercubes of the original lattice correspond to the sites of the dual lattice. Also the splitting of the colour-fields into electric and magnetic parts can be understood on topological reasonings, and the importance of the non-abelian group character is seen.

Then, finally, at temperatures of roughly  $160 \text{ GeV}/k_B$  the quark-gluon plasma transition happens, where both particles should be treated on equal footing and where, on thermal averaging, the non-commutativity is lost, and also the criticality along the time-axis, such that the transition is of the simple universality class of the 3d-Ising model.

## Appendix and some arguments

The term corresponding to "div rot  $P_W$ " or a non-abelian Lie group is well known to be

$$\text{"div rot } P_W \text{"} \rightarrow f_c^{a,b} (P_W)_b^{\nu,\mu} (P_W)_{\mu,\nu}^c, \quad (3)$$

where as usual one has to sum with respect to the indexes appearing two times. The  $f_{b,c}^a$ -quantities are the so-called structure constants of the Lie group, antisymmetric with respect to b and c. The indexes a,b, and c are shifted from upwards to downwards and vice versa with the trivial signature (+,...,+) from a=1 to a=8. Also the  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  indexes are transformed with the trivial signature, but a four-dimensional one, since one is working in Euclidean four-space. This a-dependent quantity (a=1, ... , 8) has to be discussed on the "dual" lattice, i.e. on the the centers of the hypercubes.

Now the important qualitative arguments:

- At high energies, on the one hand, this "pure gluon" term, which apparently leads to *confinement*, is most important,  $\propto E^8$ , compared with that one where the quark-gluon coupling appears, i.e. compared with the "spin glass" one,  $\propto E^1$ .

- On the other hand, the *structure constants*  $f_c^{a,b}$  keep their  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  values, i.e. the non-abelian character becomes more and more negligible with increasing energy even at relatively low temperatures. This is the *asymptotic freedom*.
- At very high temperatures the non-abelian character is negligible also at smaller energies, and - as stressed above - there is the possibility of a continuous phase transition to a quark-gluon plasma, with the universality class of the 3d-Ising model.

## References

- [1] F. Wegner: *Duality in General Ising Models without local order parameter*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 2259-2272; reprinted in C. Rebbi (ed.), *Lattice Gauge Theory and Monte Carlo Simulation*, World Scientific, Singapore (1983) 60-73
- [2] J. Kogut: *Introduction to Lattice gauge theory and spin systems*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **51** (1979) 659-713
- [3] F. Karsch: *The phase diagram of strongly interacting matter*, colloquium talk at the university of Regensburg, Nov. 11, 2009, unpublished
- [4] J. Vanninemus and G. Toulouse: J. Phys. C **10** (1977) 537
- [5] D.C. Mattis: Phys. Lett. **56A** (1976) 421
- [6] D. Gross, F. Wilczek: *Asymptotically free gauge theories I and II*, Phys. Rev. D **8** (1973) 3633-3652, and **9** (1974) 980-993
- [7] P. Becher, M. Böhm, H. Joos; *Eichtheorien der starken und elektroschwachen Wechselwirkung*, Teubner, Stuttgart (1983)
- [8] D. Göritz, private communication.
- [9] A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gor'kov, I.E. Dzyaloshinskii: *Quantum field theoretical methods in statistical physics*, Oxford, Pergamon press (1965)