

# Ion-trap simulation of the quantum phase transition in an exactly solvable model of spins coupled to bosons

Gian Luca Giorgi,<sup>1,\*</sup> Simone Paganelli,<sup>2,†</sup> and Fernando Galve<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto de Física Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos IFISC (CSIC-UIB),  
Campus Universitat Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

<sup>2</sup>*Grup de Física Teòrica, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain*

It is known that arrays of trapped ions can be used to efficiently simulate a variety of many-body quantum systems. Here, we show how it is possible to build a model representing a spin chain interacting with bosons that is exactly solvable. The exact spectrum of the model at zero temperature and the ground-state properties are studied. We show that a quantum phase transition occurs when the coupling between spins and bosons reaches a critical value, which corresponds to a level crossing in the energy spectrum. Once the critical point is reached, the number of bosonic excitations in the ground state, which can be assumed as an order parameter, starts to be different from zero. The population of the bosonic mode is accompanied by a macroscopic magnetization of the spins. This double effect could represent a useful resource for the phase transition detection since a measure of the phonon can give information about the phase of the spin system. A finite-temperature phase diagram is also given in the adiabatic regime.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Yz, 64.70.Tg, 37.10.Ty, 75.10.Jm

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum spin chains play a fundamental role in the study of many-body systems and quantum phase transitions. These phenomena take place at zero temperature, that is in a purely quantum regime, and are induced by the variation of an internal parameter causing a critical change in the ground state due to level crossing in the energy spectrum [1]. In recent years, a renewed interest in quantum phase transitions has been developed to understand the behavior of entanglement near the critical point [2–5]. On the contrary, the study of interactions between spins and light is considered as the starting point to introduce the framework of open systems, where the appearance of dissipation and decoherence can be understood [6]. A prototypical example is the so-called spin-boson model [7], consisting of a single spin interacting with a multimode electromagnetic radiation, modeled as distribution of quantum harmonic oscillators. Here, we discuss the case where a series of spins (arranged in an isotropic  $XY$  chain) interacts with one or more bosonic modes.

Despite the importance of spin systems, they are not always directly accessible experimentally, and efficient experimental quantum simulation methods are needed to study their properties. It has been shown that spin chains can be efficiently simulated using internal and external degrees of freedom of trapped particles [8–12]. In particular, arrays of laser-cooled trapped ions seem to be very promising from an experimental point of view. Ions can be trapped with high spatial accuracy, and their internal states can be manipulated with high precision by means

of the interaction with electromagnetic fields. The first experimental evidence of coupling between two-level systems, consisting in the transition from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic order in a two-spin quantum Ising model has recently been reported [13]. Furthermore, ion traps offer the possibility of engineering spin-boson coupling [11, 14]. Since spin chains are also important for practical applications, like quantum communication protocols [15], their simulation would allow estimation of the decoherence effects which derive from the interaction with the environment [16].

As said before, we propose a model which allows to observe the phase transition in an isotropic  $XY$  spin chain coupled with external boson modes. The model we introduce in the following is exactly solvable. The phase transition manifests itself in a nonanalytical variation of the amplitude of the bosonic field. In correspondence of this change, the chain acquires a finite magnetization. The physical implementation of this model can be done within the framework of trapped ions discussed before.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we first introduce the general argument of simulation of spin chains with trapped ions. Then, we show how it is possible to simulate a particular model, consisting of a chain of spins in contact with a bosonic environment. The critical properties at zero temperature of this model are discussed in Sec. III, while in Sec. IV we study the phase diagram at finite temperature in the case of a single slowly oscillating phonon. Finally, in Sec. V we present our conclusions.

## II. ARRAYS OF TRAPPED IONS AND INTERACTION BETWEEN SPINS AND BOSONS

As shown in Refs. [8] and [9], Coulomb chains in linear Paul traps can simulate spin-spin interactions. An effective spin-spin Hamiltonian emerges as the result of the

\*Electronic address: gianluca@ifisc.uib-csic.es

†Electronic address: paganelli@ifae.es

interaction of the internal (electronic) states of ions with the phononic degrees of freedom generated by Coulomb repulsion. The total Hamiltonian includes a phonon bath ( $H_\nu$ ), a state-dependent force produced by a set of lasers along the directions  $\alpha = x, y, z$  ( $H_f$ ), and an effective magnetic field that can be generated by forcing transitions between the internal ion states ( $H_m$ ). In units of  $\hbar = 1$ , we have (see [8])

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu &= \sum_{\alpha,n} \omega_{\alpha,n} a_{\alpha,n}^\dagger a_{\alpha,n}, \\ H_f &= -2 \sum_{\alpha,l} F_\alpha q_{\alpha,l} (1 + \sigma_l^\alpha), \\ H_m &= \sum_{\alpha,l} B^\alpha \sigma_l^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $a_{\alpha,n}$  is the annihilation operator of the  $n$  vibrational mode in the  $\alpha$  direction of the phonon bath due to the Coulomb repulsion,  $F_\alpha$  are the laser forces,  $q_{\alpha,l}$  is the displacement, with respect to its equilibrium position, of ion  $l$  in direction  $\alpha$ , and  $B^\alpha$  is the effective magnetic field. Expressing the coordinates in terms of collective modes through the matrices  $M^\alpha$ ,

$$H_f = \sum_{\alpha,l,n} F_\alpha \frac{M_{l,n}^\alpha}{\sqrt{2m\omega_{\alpha,n}}} (a_{\alpha,n}^\dagger + a_{\alpha,n}) (1 + \sigma_l^\alpha). \quad (2)$$

A spin-spin Hamiltonian is obtained after the application of a suitable canonical transformation  $U = e^S$ , introduced to eliminate  $H_f$ : if

$$S = \sum_{\alpha,l,n} F_\alpha \frac{M_{l,n}^\alpha}{\sqrt{2m\omega_{\alpha,n}^3}} (a_{\alpha,n}^\dagger - a_{\alpha,n}) (1 + \sigma_l^\alpha), \quad (3)$$

the total system Hamiltonian turns out to be

$$\tilde{H} = U H U^\dagger = H_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,l,j} J_{l,j}^\alpha \sigma_l^\alpha \sigma_j^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha,l} B'^\alpha \sigma_l^\alpha + H_E, \quad (4)$$

where  $B'^\alpha = B^\alpha - F_\alpha^2 / (m\omega_\alpha^2)$ , the coupling parameters  $J$  are function of  $F, M, \omega$ , and  $H_E$  is a residual term that can be neglected at low temperatures or by using highly anisotropic traps [8]. A particular case of Eq. (4) is represented by the isotropic  $XY$  chain in the presence of a transverse field, numerically studied in Ref. [9].

Here, we show that a different interpretation of the unitary transformation allows us to obtain other kinds of phase transitions, involving, for instance, the interaction between spins and bosons. A possible way to do that consists in applying different transformations along the three axes. In fact, by limiting the transformation given before to the directions  $x$  and  $y$ , through

$$S_{x,y} = \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \sum_{l,n} F_\alpha \frac{M_{l,n}^\alpha}{\sqrt{2m\omega_{\alpha,n}^3}} (a_{\alpha,n}^\dagger - a_{\alpha,n}) (1 + \sigma_l^\alpha), \quad (5)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-S_{x,y}} H e^{S_{x,y}} &= \sum_{l,n} F_z \frac{M_{l,n}^z}{\sqrt{2m\omega_{z,n}}} (a_{z,n}^\dagger + a_{z,n}) (1 + \sigma_l^z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \sum_{l,j} J_{l,j}^\alpha \sigma_l^\alpha \sigma_j^\alpha + \sum_l B^z \sigma_l^z \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \sum_l B'^\alpha \sigma_l^\alpha + H'_E + H_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, the correction  $H'_E$  can be neglected in the same limit of  $H_E$ . In the case of a spatially homogeneous array, we can drop the dependence from the ion position in both  $M$  and in  $J$ . Moreover, if the Coulomb interaction can be considered as a perturbation with respect to the trapping potential (stiff limit), the trapping frequencies can be tuned to obtain relevant spin-spin coupling only between nearest neighbors [8]. Along the transverse direction, a boson displacement can be applied to eliminate the term  $\sum_n F_z M_n^z / (\sqrt{2m\omega_{z,n}}) (a_{z,n}^\dagger + a_{z,n})$ . As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \frac{J^\alpha}{2} \sum_l \sigma_l^\alpha \sigma_{l+1}^\alpha + \sum_n \frac{g_n^z}{\sqrt{N}} (a_{z,n}^\dagger + a_{z,n}) \sum_l \sigma_l^z \\ &+ (B^z - 2 \sum_n \frac{g_n^{z2}}{\omega_n}) \sum_l \sigma_l^z + \sum_{\alpha=x,y} \sum_l B'^\alpha \sigma_l^\alpha \\ &+ H_\nu - N \sum_n \frac{g_n^{z2}}{\omega_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $g_n^z = F_z M_n^z \sqrt{N/2m\omega_{z,n}}$ . The last term is a constant that translates all the energies, and the fields  $B'$  can be set to zero. By fixing the external magnetic field  $B^z = 2 \sum_n (g_n^{z2}/\omega_n)$ , we arrive to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_l (J^x \sigma_l^x \sigma_{l+1}^x + J^y \sigma_l^y \sigma_{l+1}^y) \\ &+ \sum_n \frac{g_n^z}{\sqrt{N}} (a_{z,n}^\dagger + a_{z,n}) \sum_l \sigma_l^z + H_\nu - \frac{NB^z}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is worth noting that, since the mutual distance between the ions makes any direct spin-spin interaction negligible, the spins we introduced are effective two-level systems that, because of the unitary transformation, are not trivially related to the original internal degrees of freedom of the ions. Nevertheless, the bosonic variables do refer to the real phonon modes along the  $z$  direction, so their measurement appears to be the natural way to get information about the overall system.

### III. CRITICAL PROPERTIES

To describe the critical properties of this model, let us first discuss the simpler single-mode version. By assum-

ing  $J^x = J^y = J$ , the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{sm} = J \sum_{l=1}^N [\sigma_l^+ \sigma_{l+1}^- + H.c.] + \omega a^\dagger a + \frac{g}{\sqrt{N}} (a^\dagger + a) \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^z, \quad (9)$$

where  $N$  is the total number of spin, and where periodic boundary conditions are imposed. As it is useful to work with adimensional quantities, we use  $J$  as energy unit and define the rescaled phonon frequency  $\gamma = \omega/J$  as well as the bare coupling constant  $\lambda = g^2/(J\omega)$ . Using this notation the Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{sm} = \sum_{l=1}^N [\sigma_l^+ \sigma_{l+1}^- + H.c.] + \gamma a^\dagger a + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{N}} (a^\dagger + a) \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^z. \quad (10)$$

To diagonalize it, first we introduce the Jordan-Wigner transformation [17], defined by  $\sigma_l^z = 1 - 2c_l^\dagger c_l$ ,  $\sigma_l^+ = \prod_{j<l} (1 - 2c_j^\dagger c_j) c_l$ , and  $\sigma_l^- = \prod_{j<l} (1 - 2c_j^\dagger c_j) c_l^\dagger$ , mapping spins into spinless fermions. Then, the Fourier transform

$$c_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{l=1}^N e^{i2\pi kl/N} c_l \quad (11)$$

allows us to write, in the thermodynamic limit,

$$H_{sm} = \sum_k \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k + \gamma a^\dagger a + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{N}} (a^\dagger + a) \sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k), \quad (12)$$

with  $\epsilon_k = -2\cos(2\pi k/N)$ . Fermions can be decoupled from the bosonic degree of freedom by applying the displacement operator  $D = \exp[\hat{\alpha}(a^\dagger - a)]$  such that  $DaD^\dagger = b$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} = -\sqrt{\lambda/(\gamma N)} \sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k)$  being an operator in the fermionic space. Since  $[\hat{\alpha}, \sum_k \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k] = 0$ , fermions are not transformed into polarons because of the application of  $D$ . Moreover, it is immediately seen that  $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$ . This displacement replaces the boson vacuum with a coherent state whose amplitude is determined by the transverse component of the total spin. The final Hamiltonian is

$$\tilde{H}_{sm} = \sum_k \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k + \gamma b^\dagger b - \frac{\lambda}{N} \left[ \sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k) \right]^2. \quad (13)$$

Adding the boson displacement  $D$  to  $S_z$  and  $S_{x,y}$  of Sec. II amounts to building the total  $S$  of Ref. [8] for a particular choice of parameters. If one disregards the term  $\gamma b^\dagger b$ , the Hamiltonian 13 can now be interpreted as a  $XXZ$  spin chain with short-range coupling along the radial direction and axial long-range coupling. These kinds of interaction ranges are realistic, since radial modes can mediate nearest-neighbor spin-spin interactions (stiff limit), and transverse modes are known to generate long-range interactions (soft limit). While the range of interactions along the transverse direction depends only on the number of ions and cannot be manipulated by

means of laser fields, the stiff limit in the radial direction can be reached by increasing the trapping frequencies [9]. Performing the unitary transformations in two separate steps helped us to interpret this model in terms of the coupling with a real phonon. Without performing the two steps separately, we could not discuss the spin-boson phase transition.

At first sight,  $\tilde{H}_{sm}$  is similar to that of the  $XX$  chain in a transverse field [18], whose Hamiltonian in the Jordan-Wigner space would be  $\sum_k \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k - h \sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k)$ . While the latter model has the  $U(1)$  symmetry, since it is invariant under rotations around the  $z$  axis, the Hamiltonian (9) has an additional symmetry, also being invariant under the action of the operator  $\mathcal{S} = \prod_l \sigma_l^x \otimes \exp[i\pi a^\dagger a]$ . The  $U(1)$  symmetry implies, in the language of fermions, that eigenstates of  $H$  have a fixed number of particles. The symmetry  $\mathcal{S}$  could be broken, for instance, by adding a displacing bosonic field,  $a + a^\dagger$ , and then sending it to 0. The average value of  $a + a^\dagger$  can be assumed as an order parameter to study the breakdown of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Since we are interested in the implementation in an array of ion traps, finite-size effects should be taken into account. A detailed analysis of  $XYZ$  models in finite cycles has been made in several papers [18–20]. In our model, after the Jordan-Wigner transformation, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{sm} = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (c_{l+1} c_l^\dagger + c_l c_{l+1}^\dagger) - \mathcal{P} (c_1^\dagger c_N + c_N^\dagger c_1) + \gamma a^\dagger a + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda\gamma}{N}} (a^\dagger + a) \sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k), \quad (14)$$

where  $N$  is assumed to be an even number and where  $\mathcal{P} = \prod_{l=1}^N (1 - 2c_l^\dagger c_l)$ . Its possible eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ . Note that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a measure of the parity of the number of particles of each state. Since  $[H_{sm}, \mathcal{P}] = 0$ , all eigenstates of  $H_{sm}$  have definite parity, and we can proceed to a separate diagonalization of  $H_{sm}$  in the two subspaces corresponding to  $\mathcal{P} = \pm 1$ . Then, if we introduce the two new Hamiltonians  $H_{sm}^\pm = H_{sm}(\mathcal{P} = \pm 1)$ , the complete set of eigenvectors of  $H_{sm}$  will be given by the odd eigenstates of  $H_{sm}^-$  and the even eigenstates of  $H_{sm}^+$ . The choice of  $\mathcal{P} = -1$  or  $\mathcal{P} = +1$  amounts to having, respectively, periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions. This implies that  $H_{sm}^+$  and  $H_{sm}^-$  are diagonalized through two Fourier transforms which differ from each other because of the set of allowed values of  $k$ . If half-integer values ( $k = 1/2, 3/2, \dots, N - 1/2$ ) are used to diagonalize  $H_{sm}^+$ , in the case of  $H_{sm}^-$  we have  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Now, the displacement operator  $D$  can be introduced, and a structure formally identical to that given in Eq. (13) can be done for both  $H_{sm}^+$  and  $H_{sm}^-$ , with the proper choice of  $k$ .

The ground state of the bosonic part can be identified as the vacuum state of the operator  $b$ , and it corresponds, in the original representation, to a coherent state of amplitude equal to the average value of the operator

$\hat{\alpha}$  calculated on the fermionic ground state, whose structure is now enlightened. Since both  $H_{sm}^+$  and  $H_{sm}^-$  are exactly solvable, their spectra can be calculated by measuring the energy of all possible configurations, obtained adding the desired number of fermions. The energy of a state with  $m$  particles is

$$E_{\mathcal{M}} = -\frac{\lambda(N-2m)^2}{N} - 2 \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \cos \frac{2\pi k}{N}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a string representing the occupation of different modes. The sum is extended only to the values of  $k$  where a particle is present. For large values of  $\lambda$ , the first term will be larger than the sum, unless  $N-2m$  is very small. The ground state corresponds to the sequences which maximize  $(N-2m)^2$ , that is, to  $m=0$  or  $m=N$ , and it is twofold degenerate. In fact, both the state  $|\Phi^+\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle$  and the state  $|\Phi^-\rangle = \prod_k c_k^\dagger |0\rangle \otimes |-\alpha\rangle$  have energy equal to  $E_0 = -N\lambda$ . Here the state  $|\Phi^+\rangle$  is, in the original fermion-boson representation (before the application of  $D$ ), the tensor product of the fermionic vacuum and of a coherent bosonic state of amplitude  $\alpha = -g\sqrt{N}/\omega$ , while in  $|\Phi^-\rangle$  the fermionic system is fully occupied, and the boson coherent state has amplitude  $-\alpha$ . As  $\lambda$  decreases, the two terms in Eq. (15) start to compete with each other. Let us call  $\lambda_m$  the value such that  $E_0 = \min\{E_{\mathcal{M}}\}$ . Since, by symmetry,  $\lambda_m = \lambda_{N-m}$ , we limit our consideration  $m = 1, 2, \dots, N/2$ . It can be shown that, if  $m < m'$ , then  $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m'}$ . In other words, the first ground-state level crossing takes place between  $|\Phi^+\rangle$  (or  $|\Phi^-\rangle$ ) and a state with  $N/2$  particles. This transition occurs at the value of  $\lambda_{N/2} = \lambda_c$  determined by

$$N\lambda_c = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{N/4} \cos \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{N}. \quad (16)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, performed replacing the sum with an integral, we obtain  $\lambda_c = 2/\pi$ . As a consequence of the half-filling,  $\sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k) = 0$ , and the boson part is left in its vacuum. This state can be written as  $|\Phi^{HF}\rangle = \prod_{|k| < N/4} c_k^\dagger |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$ .

By further decreasing  $\lambda$ , no other transitions are observed. Then the state  $|\Phi^{HF}\rangle$  is the Hamiltonian ground state for every  $\lambda < \lambda_c$ . It is worth noting that both  $|\Phi^{HF}\rangle$  and  $|\Phi^+\rangle$  (or  $|\Phi^-\rangle$ ) are eigenstates of  $H_{sm}^+$ . In any case, eigenstates of  $H_{sm}^-$  are excitations. In Fig. 1, we plot the lowest energy levels for a chain of eight spins. The transition  $|\Phi^\pm\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi^{HF}\rangle$  is observed.

While  $|\Phi^{HF}\rangle$  is an eigenstate of the symmetry operator  $\mathcal{S}$ , this is not true in the case of  $|\Phi^+\rangle$  and  $|\Phi^-\rangle$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{S}|\Phi^\pm\rangle = |\Phi^\mp\rangle$ . The possibility of obtaining a coherent emission of light on the bosonic mode is inherently linked to the breakdown of the Hamiltonian symmetry, since the only coherent state which is also an eigenstate of  $\exp[i\pi a^\dagger a]$  is the vacuum. While symmetry breaking takes place independently of the system size (the number of spins), only in the thermodynamic limit does a




FIG. 1: Ground-state energy of  $H$  as a function of  $\lambda$  for a chain of 8 spins. Both the energy and  $\lambda$  are measured in units of  $J$  or  $\omega$  (we assume  $J = \omega = 1$ ). For any given number of particles, only the lower level is plotted. The solid green line represents the energy of  $|\Phi^+\rangle$  and  $|\Phi^-\rangle$ , while the solid black line corresponds to  $|\Phi^{HF}\rangle$ . As we can see, there is only one transition, occurring at  $\lambda_c \simeq 0.65$ . All energies derived from numbers of fermions different from 0,  $N/2$ ,  $N$  are excitations. Specifically, the dotted green line represents the energy of the one-particle state, the dotted red line is for the two-particle state, and the dotted black line corresponds to the three-particle state. Due to the particle-hole symmetry, states with  $m$  and  $N-m$  particles have the same energy.

true phase transition take place, since the Hilbert spaces that can be built up starting from  $|\Phi^+\rangle$  and  $|\Phi^-\rangle$  become unitarily nonequivalent.

The exact results we propose have been obtained in the presence of periodic boundary conditions. By releasing this hypothesis, they are correct only in the thermodynamic limit, while in the case of finite size, as in the example in Fig. 1, corrections to the energy levels are expected. Actually, the structure of eigenfrequencies giving rise to the phase transition from  $|\Phi^\pm\rangle$  to  $|\Phi^{HF}\rangle$  can be observed also in the case of a very short open chain ( $N=4$ ).

In the presence of multimode radiation, Hamiltonian (8) can be recovered modifying Hamiltonian (9) in the following way

$$H_{mm} = \sum_{l=1}^N [\sigma_l^+ \sigma_{l+1}^- + H.c.] + \sum_n \gamma_n a_n^\dagger a_n + \sum_n \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_n \gamma_n}{N}} (a_n^\dagger + a_n) \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^z, \quad (17)$$

and it is subject to the symmetry  $\mathcal{S}_{mm} = \prod_l \sigma_l^x \otimes \exp[i\pi \sum_n a_n^\dagger a_n]$ . The diagonalization is performed through the application of the Jordan-Wigner transformation and of the operator  $\prod_n D_n$ , with  $D_n = \exp[\hat{\alpha}_n (a_n^\dagger - a_n)]$ , and  $\hat{\alpha}_n = -\sqrt{\lambda_n / (\gamma_n N)} \sum_k (1 -$



$2c_k^\dagger c_k$ ). As a result, we have

$$\tilde{H}_{mm} = \sum_k \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k + \sum_n \omega_n b_n^\dagger b_n - \frac{\Lambda}{N} \left[ \sum_k (1 - 2c_k^\dagger c_k) \right]^2, \quad (18)$$

where  $\Lambda = \sum_n g_n^2 / (J\omega_n)$  is related to the spectral density of the bath. In Ref. [14], the authors showed how baths characterized by different spectral densities can be simulated. Being  $\tilde{H}_{mm}$  formally identical to  $\tilde{H}_{sm}$ , we expect a phase transition for  $\Lambda_c = 2/\pi$ . For  $\Lambda > \Lambda_c$ , the two degenerate ground states are  $|\Phi_{mm}^+\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes \prod_n |\alpha_n\rangle$  and  $|\Phi_{mm}^-\rangle = \prod_k c_k^\dagger |0\rangle \otimes \prod_n |-\alpha_n\rangle$ , while for  $\Lambda < \Lambda_c$  the non degenerate half filled ground state is  $|\Phi_{mm}^{HF}\rangle = \prod_{|k| < N/4} c_k^\dagger |0\rangle \otimes \prod_n |0\rangle$ .

To clarify the proposal, we comment on the preparation scheme needed to observe phase transitions in this system. As mentioned in [8], the procedure consists in initialization to the state  $|\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle$ , and then adiabatic switching of the spin-spin couplings. This process is repeated several times for different ratios of  $J/g$ , while the phase transition is detected through fluorescence of the ions internal levels, as experimentally realized in [13]. Here, we also need to perform motional ground-state cooling as far as axial degrees of freedom are concerned. The possible errors in simulating this model with trapped ions comes from the canonical transformation and  $H'_{E}$ , where the error is quantified by the boson displacements and the mean phonon number (given by temperature) of the neglected transverse modes. Therefore they have to be cooled down too [8].

#### IV. TEMPERATURE EFFECTS IN THE ADIABATIC LIMIT

In this section we analyze the phase transition at finite temperature in the so-called adiabatic limit ( $\gamma \ll 1$ ) with a single coupled mode. This limit corresponds to the case of a very slowly oscillating phonon and it is asymptotically exact at high temperatures. The adiabatic Hamiltonian is obtained by neglecting the kinetic energy of the phonon and treating the coordinate as a parameter

$$H_{AD} = \sum_{l=1}^N [\sigma_l^+ \sigma_{l+1}^- + H.c.] + \frac{\nu^2}{4\lambda} + \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{l=1}^N \sigma_l^z, \quad (19)$$

where we introduced the adimensional coordinate  $\nu = (g/J)\sqrt{2\omega m x}$ . The Hamiltonian is a isotropic  $XY$  model in an external field, parametrically dependent on  $\nu$ , it can be diagonalized in the same way as described previously and reduced in two blocks corresponding to odd and even pseudofermion occupation number

$$H_{\pm} = \sum_k \epsilon_k^{AD}(\nu) c_k^\dagger c_k + \frac{\nu^2}{4\lambda} + \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad (20)$$




FIG. 2: Phase diagram in the adiabatic approximation. Data were obtained with 10 spins and numerical evaluation of the adiabatic potential. The left region corresponds to a single-well adiabatic potential, the right region to a double-well potential.

with  $\epsilon_k^{AD}(\nu) = -2 \left[ \cos(2\pi k/N) + \nu/\sqrt{N} \right]$ . The energy of a configuration with a filling  $m$  is

$$E_{\mathcal{M}} = -2 \left( \frac{\nu m}{\sqrt{N}} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \cos \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right). \quad (21)$$

The partition function, defined by

$$Z \propto \int d\nu Z_0(\nu, \beta) \exp -\beta \left( \frac{\nu^2}{4\lambda} + \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}} \right), \quad (22)$$

with the adimensional parameter  $\beta = J/k_B T$  and where

$$Z_0(\nu, \beta) = \text{tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \sum_k \epsilon_k^{AD}(\nu) c_k^\dagger c_k} \right\}, \quad (23)$$

can be written as  $Z \propto \int d\nu \exp -\beta V_{AD}(\nu, \beta)$  so defining an adiabatic potential

$$V_{AD}(\nu, \beta) = \frac{\nu^2}{4\lambda} + \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{N}} + -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_0(\nu, \beta). \quad (24)$$

Above the critical temperature,  $V_{AD}(\nu, \beta)$  is minimized by  $\nu = 0$ , while, at the critical point, the potential admits two minima, allowing for a displacement of the phonon. The phase diagram obtained is plotted in Fig. 2.

The experimental procedure to measure the phase transition is exactly as in the zero-temperature case. The radial vibrations should be cooled, while the axial degrees of freedom can be prepared in a “thermal state”. The temperature of this state can be controlled by reducing the power of the laser (resolved sideband) cooling mechanism. To raise it further, the power of the Doppler laser cooling has to be tuned. We still expect to observe an abrupt change in fluorescence properties, as in the zero-temperature case, since for moderate temperatures the coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle$  becomes thermal, but the mean number of phonons does not disappear abruptly. According to Fig. 2, the order parameter value should change as a function of  $T$ .

## V. CONCLUSIONS

To resume, we have shown that a system of trapped ions can be mapped into an isotropic  $XY$  chain interacting with phonons, following the scheme in Ref. [8]. Modifying the original canonical transformations needed to write a spin-spin Hamiltonian, the vibrational degrees of freedom along a fixed direction are coupled with the effective spins the other degrees of freedom are mapped onto. The resulting model is exactly solvable and exhibits a quantum phase transition, due to the breaking of a symmetry which takes into account both the spin and the boson degrees of freedom. In this phase, phonons are in a coherent state with finite amplitude. Since the phonons refer to the real ionic vibration and not to an effective

quantity, phase transition detection should be possible using state-of-the-art techniques. The experimental realization of the building block of such simulations (Ref. [13]), encourages this kind of investigation.

## Acknowledgments

GLG acknowledges discussion with F. de Pasquale. SP acknowledges discussion with A. Sanpera and G. de Chiara. This work was partially funded by CoQuSys project (200450E566). GLG and SP are supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the program Juan de la Cierva.

- 
- [1] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999).
  - [2] T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 032110 (2002).
  - [3] A. Osterloh, L. Amico, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, *Nature (London)* **416**, 608 (2002).
  - [4] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 227902 (2003).
  - [5] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 517 (2008).
  - [6] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems* 2nd ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).
  - [7] A. J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. Fisher, A. Garg, and W. Zwerger, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 1 (1987).
  - [8] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 207901 (2004).
  - [9] X. L. Deng, D. Porras, and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 063407 (2005).
  - [10] G. Ciaramicoli, F. Galve, I. Marzoli, and P. Tombesi, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 042323 (2005); G. Ciaramicoli, I. Marzoli, and P. Tombesi, *Phys. Rev. A* **75** 032348 (2007); G. Ciaramicoli, I. Marzoli, and P. Tombesi, *Phys. Rev. A* **78** 012338 (2008).
  - [11] M. Johanning, A. F. Varon, and C. Wunderlich, *J. Phys. B* **42** 154009 (2009).
  - [12] M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, V. Ahufinger, B. Damski, A. Sen(De), and U. Sen, *Adv. Phys.* **56**, 243 (2007).
  - [13] A. Friedenauer, H. Schmitz, J. T. Glueckert, D. Porras, and T. Schaetz, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 757 (2008).
  - [14] D. Porras, F. Marquardt, J. von Delft, and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 010101(R) (2008).
  - [15] S. Bose, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 207901 (2003); S. Bose, *Contemp. Phys.* **48**, 13 (2007).
  - [16] F. de Pasquale, G. L. Giorgi, and S. Paganelli, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 042304 (2005); S. Paganelli, F. de Pasquale, and G. L. Giorgi, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 012316 (2006).
  - [17] E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **16**, 407 (1961).
  - [18] S. Katsura, *Phys. Rev.* **127**, 1508 (1962).
  - [19] G. L. Giorgi, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 060405(R) (2009).
  - [20] A. De Pasquale, G. Costantini, P. Facchi, G. Florio, S. Pascazio, and K. Yuasa, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **160**, 127 (2008).