

QUASI-CLASSICAL DYNAMICAL DETERMINATION OF THE BASIC PLANCK UNITS AND FINE STRUCTURE CONSTANT

Vladan Panković, Darko V. Kapor
Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad,
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, Serbia,
vladan.pankovic@df.uns.ac.rs

PACS number: 03.65.Ta

Abstract

In this work all basic Planck units (Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time and Planck electrical charge) and some derived Planck units (Planck force and Planck energy) are exactly determined by solution of a simple system of quasi-classical (Bohr-Sommerfeld) quantum dynamical equations. Also we determine approximately, but with high accuracy, fine structure constant using typical quasi classical methods in a crystal lattice structure.

1 Introduction

As it is well-known Planck gave his remarkable system of the basic units, Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time and Planck electrical charge, without any deduction rule (algebraic formulas referring to some physical, i.e. dynamical principles) [1]. Practically, there is common opinion, that deduction of the basic Planck unit in low energetic domain, i.e. non-Planckian sector, represents nothing more than dimensional analysis only [2]. Situation would be quite different in high energetic, Planckian domain where new, to this time undone, quantum field theoretical and string dynamical laws have primary role [3].

In this work all basic Planck units (Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time and Planck electrical charge) and some derived Planck units (Planck force and Planck energy) will be exactly determined by solution of a simple system of quasi-classical (Bohr-Sommerfeld) quantum dynamical equations. Also we shall determine approximately, but with high accuracy, fine structure constant using typical quasi classical methods in a crystal lattice structure. Of course, in some aspects suggested derivations are formal and refer on some especial situations, i.e. limits. But similar objections can be done on the practically all domains of the application of the old Bohr-Sommerfeld quantum theory, i.e. quasi-classical approximation of the quantum mechanics.

2 Quasi-classical (Bohr-Sommerfeld) dynamical determination of the basic and some derived Planck units

Consider two point-like physical systems in vacuum. Suppose that these systems have equivalent masses, M . Also, suppose that these systems have opposite electric charges, Q and $-Q$. Finally, suppose that these systems rotate stably around their mass center at mutual distance L with speed of light c .

Consider a typical quasi-classical, Bohr-Sommerfeld, quantum dynamics of these systems under the following (formal) conditions.

Firstly, suppose that attractive Newtonian gravitational force and Coulomb electrostatic force between systems have the same intensity, i.e.

$$\frac{GM^2}{L^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{L^2}. \quad (1)$$

where G represents the Newtonian gravitational constant and ϵ_0 vacuum permittivity.

Secondly, suppose that total central force, representing sum of the Newtonian gravitational and Coulomb electrostatic force has the same intensity as the centrifugal force (expressed, formally, in non-relativistic approximation), i.e.

$$\frac{GM^2}{L^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{L^2} = \frac{Mc^2}{\frac{L}{2}}. \quad (2)$$

It, according to (1), yields

$$\frac{GM^2}{L^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{L^2} = \frac{Mc^2}{\frac{L}{2}} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{GM^2}{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{L} = Mc^2. \quad (4)$$

Thirdly, suppose that total orbital momentum of these systems is quantized according to the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition

$$Mc\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + Mc\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) = \hbar \quad (5)$$

or

$$McL = \hbar. \quad (6)$$

Equations system (1), (3), (6), after simple calculations, yields

$$M = \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7)$$

$$L = \left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (8)$$

$$Q = (4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9)$$

representing obviously (respectively) Planck mass, Planck length and Planck electric charge. Additionally, we can define

$$T = \frac{L}{c} = \left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^5}\right)^{1/2} \quad (10)$$

representing obviously Planck time.

Now we can discuss obtained results.

Firstly, it can be observed that quasi-classical, Bohr-Sommerfeld dynamics (in non-relativistic approximation) represents generally a rough (approximate) description of corresponding dynamical processes. But, as it is well-known in example of the Bohr-Sommerfeld model of the (hydrogen) atom, such description admits practically exact description of some (e.g. energies) physical variables even if other physical variables (e.g. electron trajectories by quantum jumps) cannot be precisely determined at all. In sense of the epistemology of such "hybrid" approximate theory suggested determination of the basic Planck units using quasi-classical dynamics is effectively exact and completely correct.

Secondly, it is not hard to see that in case of the absence of one force, either Newtonian, gravitational or Coulomb, electrostatic, corresponding equation system (2), (5) cannot yield correct results. Namely, in such case right hand of the equation (2) becomes two times larger than it is necessary. It, in some way, implies necessary correlations between gravitational and electrical phenomena in Planck domains. (Such necessity does not imply explicitly by simple dimensional analysis of the basic Planck units!)

Thirdly, according to (7)-(9), both, Newtonian, gravitational and Coulomb, electrostatic force, have the intensity equivalent to the Planck force as a quasi-classically derived Planck unit

$$F = \frac{c^4}{G} \quad (11)$$

independent of \hbar . Also, intensity of both potential energies, $G\frac{m^2}{R}$ and $\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{e^2}{R}$, corresponding to gravitational and electrostatic forces, as well as relativistic total energy mc^2 , has value

$$E = \left(\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (12)$$

representing Planck energy as a quasi-classically derived unit.

In this way all basic Planck units (Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time and Planck electrical charge) and some derived Planck units (Planck force and Planck energy) are exactly determined by solution of a simple system of quasi-classical (Bohr-Sommerfeld) quantum dynamical equations.

3 Determination of the fine structure constant using quasi-classical dynamical methods

Consider one-dimensional (crystal) lattice that consists of $2n - 1$ particles with the same mass m , where n represents some natural number 1, 2, 3, .

Suppose that these particles are fixed in the following $2n - 1$ lattice knots, i.e. points at x-axis: $(-R), (-R), (-R + D_{2n-1}), (-D_{2n-1}), (0), (D_{2n-1}), \dots, (R - D_{2n-1}), (R)$. Here R represents

some length while

$$D_{2n-1} = \frac{R}{n-1} \quad (13)$$

represents the distance between any two neighboring points, i.e. particles.

Suppose that particle in the point $(-R)$ is negatively electrically charged with electrical charge $-q$, particle in the point $(-R + D_{2n-1})$ is positively electrically charged with electrical charge q , and so on, particle in the point $(R - D_{2n-1})$ is positively electrically charged with electrical charge q , and, finally, particle in the point (R) is negatively electrically charged with electrical charge $-q$. In this way mentioned lattice is totally negatively electrically charged with some electrical charge

$$Q_{2n-1} = -qn + (n-1)q = -q. \quad (14)$$

Then, total electrostatic Coulomb force between particle in point (R) and all other particles equals

$$F_{2n-1} = -M_F(2n-1) \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{R^2} \quad (15)$$

where

$$M_F(2n-1) = \left(-\frac{1}{\left[\frac{2(n-1)}{(n-1)}\right]^2} + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{2(n-1)-1}{(n-1)}\right]^2} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\left[\frac{2(n-1)-k}{(n-1)}\right]^2} + \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{(n-1)}\right]^2} \right) \quad (16)$$

or

$$M_F(3) = 0 \quad (17)$$

for $n = 1$, and

$$M_F(2n-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-3} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\left[\frac{2(n-1)-k}{(n-1)}\right]^2} \quad (18)$$

for $n > 1$, which can be simply called F-Madelung constant since it is partially analogous to the usual Madelung constant. (Usual Madelung constant refers on the summation of the electrostatic Coulomb potential energies between any two knots in a crystal lattice with practically infinitely many knots. Here, obviously, F-Madelung constant refers on the summation of the electrostatic Coulomb forces between last right knot and all other knots in the lattice with a finite natural number, n , of the knots.)

Numerical calculations of $M_F(2n-1)$ (17), (18) for different n yield the following.

For $n = 1$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(1) = 0$.

For $n = 2$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(3) = 0.75$.

For $n = 3$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(5) = 3.194444$.

For $n = 4$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(7) = 7.2975$.

For $n = 5$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(9) = 13.049863$.

For $n = 6$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(11) = 20.449054$.

For $n = 7$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(13) = 29.494158$.

For $n = 8$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(15) = 40.184768$.

For $n = 9$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(17) = 52.520672$.

For $n = 10$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(19) = 66.501752$.

For $n = 20$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(39) = 296.788886$.

For $n = 50$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(99) = 1974.619622$.

For $n = 100$ it follows $M_F(2n-1) = M_F(199) = 8060.875025$.

For $n = 1000$ it follows $M_F(2n - 1) = M_F(1999) = 820822.796886$.

For $n = 10000$ it follows $M_F(2n - 1) = M_F(19999) = 82230254.699216$. And so on. Obviously, when n increases $M_F(2n - 1)$ increases towards infinity and negatively charged particle in point (R), according to (3), becomes bonded stronger and stronger.

It can be observed that

$$M_F(7) = 10^3(7.297510^{-3}) = 10^3\left(\frac{1}{137.03323}\right) = 10^3\alpha_0^* \quad (19)$$

has an interesting value. Namely, expression $\alpha_0^* = 7.297510^{-3} = \frac{1}{137.03323}$ in (18) is extremely numerically close to well-known numerical value of the fine structure constant

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{e_0^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} = \frac{1}{137.035999} = 7.29735210^{-3}. \quad (20)$$

(absolute difference between these two expressions is about 210^{-4}) where e_0 represents the absolute value of the electron electrical charge, ϵ_0 - vacuum electric permittivity, \hbar - reduced Planck constant and c - speed of light. For this reason we shall suppose that α_0^* represents the fine structure constant determined approximately with high accuracy.

Suppose now an especial case where q represents exactly the Planck electrical charge eP, i.e.

$$q = e_P \equiv (4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (21)$$

Then, according to (7), (8), it follows (at least in an excellent approximation)

$$F_7 = -M_F(7)\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{e_P^2}{R^2} = -10^3\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{e_0^2}{R^2} = -10^3\alpha_0^*\frac{\hbar c}{R^2}. \quad (22)$$

It is obviously 10^3 times larger than attractive electrostatic Coulomb force between two particles with electrical charge $-e_0$ and e_0 (e.g. an electron and a positron) $-\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{e_0^2}{R^2} = -\alpha_0^*\frac{\hbar c}{R^2}$.

Suppose additionally the following approximate, quasi-classical, i.e. Newtonian, dynamical form

$$F_7 \simeq \frac{dp}{dt} \quad (23)$$

where it is supposed that

$$p = \hbar\frac{(2\pi + 1)}{R} \quad (24)$$

represents approximately the effective momentum of the single particle, i.e. quantum system in mentioned lattice. As it is not hard to see this condition, somewhat similar to Bloch condition, is equivalent to condition

$$\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = R \quad (25)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\hbar}{p}$ and $\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$ represent corresponding effective de Broglie wavelength and reduced de Broglie wavelength of the single quantum system in the lattice.

Differentiation of p (24) over time t yields

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\hbar(2\pi + 1)}{R^2}\frac{dR}{dt}. \quad (26)$$

Suppose finally

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = c \quad (27)$$

which, introduced in (26), yields

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\hbar c(2\pi + 1)}{R^2}. \quad (28)$$

Then, (22), (23), (28), yield

$$-10^3 \alpha_0^* \frac{\hbar c}{R^2} \simeq -\frac{\hbar c(2\pi + 1)}{R^2} \quad (29)$$

or

$$10^3 \alpha_0^* \simeq (2\pi + 1). \quad (30)$$

or, finally,

$$7.2975 \simeq 7.2831 \quad (31)$$

which is, obviously, satisfied approximately with a high accuracy (with absolute error about 0.0143 and relative error about 0.19%).

In this way fine structure constant is determined approximately, but with a high accuracy using typical quasi classical methods in a crystal lattice structure.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion it can be repeated and pointed out the following. In this work all basic Planck units (Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time and Planck electrical charge) and some derived Planck units (Planck force and Planck energy) are exactly determined by solution of a simple system of quasi-classical (Bohr-Sommerfeld) quantum dynamical equations. Also we determine approximately, but with high accuracy, fine structure constant using typical quasi classical methods in a crystal lattice structure. Of course, in some aspects suggested derivations are formal and refer on some especial situations, i.e. limits. But similar objections can be done on the practically all domains of the application of the old Bohr-Sommerfeld quantum theory, i.e. quasi-classical approximation of the quantum mechanics.

5 References

- [1] M. Planck, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. **5** (1899) 440
- [2] P. W. Bridgman, *Dimensional Analysis* (Yale University Press, New Haven - London, 1931)
- [3] M. Duff, L. B. Okun, G. Veneziano, Journal of High Energy Physics **3** (2002) 23 ; class-phys/0110060 and references therein