

# DYNAMICAL DETERMINATION OF THE BASIC PLANCK UNITS

Vladan Panković

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića  
4., Serbia, vladan.pankovic@df.uns.ac.rs

## Abstract

In this work we consider determination of the basic Planck units (mass, length and charge) using two dynamical principles. First one is definition of the (reduced) Compton length of the physical system (which, as it is well-known, can be considered as the critical parameter that characterizes necessity for application of the quantum field theoretical formalism). Second one is definition of the classical dimension of a physical system (that can be considered as a generalization of the concept of the classical radius of the electron) by equivalence of the system rest energy and potential energy for corresponding length. For electrostatic Coulomb potential energy it implies Planck charge, but without any explicit expression for system mass or (reduced) Compton length. For Newtonian gravitational potential energy it implies Planck mass and Planck length. It is shown that analogous results follow when second dynamical principle is changed by new dynamical principles in which Planck length corresponds to geometrical mean value between (reduced) Compton wavelength and Schwarzschild radius for arbitrary physical system. More generally, it is shown that basic Planck units can be obtained by analogous relations between three characteristic length parameters determined by general relativity dynamics for Kerr-Newman black hole with angular momentum equivalent to reduced Planck constant.

PACS number: 03.65.Ta

Key words: Planck units, Kerr-Newman black hole

As it is well-known Planck gave his remarkable system of the basic physical units, Planck mass, Planck length, Planck time and Planck electrical charge, as result of the dimensional analysis only without any dynamical deduction rule (algebraic formulas referring to some physical, i.e. dynamical principles) [1]. Practically, there is common opinion, that deduction of the basic Planck units, at least in low energetic domains, represents nothing more than dimensional analysis only [2]. Situation is similar in high energetic domains (including astrophysics, especially cosmology) where Planck units have important role but where mentioned units are not defined using dynamical principles of the quantum field or stringy theories.[3]- [5]. In this work we shall consider determination of the basic Planck units using two dynamical principles. First one is definition of the (reduced) Compton length of the physical system (which can be considered as the critical parameter that characterizes necessity for application of the quantum field theoretical formalism, i.e. phase transition from quantum mechanical into quantum field theoretical description of the physical system). Second one is definition of the classical dimension of a physical system (that can be considered as a generalization of the concept of the classical radius of the electron) by equivalence of the system rest energy and potential energy for corresponding length. For electrostatic Coulomb potential energy it implies Planck charge, but without any explicit expression for system mass or (reduced) Compton length. For Newtonian gravitational potential energy it implies Planck mass and Planck length. It will be shown that analogous results follow when second dynamical principle is changed by new dynamical principles in which Planck length corresponds to geometrical mean value between Compton wavelength and Schwarzschild radius for arbitrary physical system. More generally, it will be shown that basic Planck units (mass, length and charge) can be obtained by analogous relations between three characteristic length parameters determined by general relativity dynamics for Kerr-Newman black hole with angular momentum equivalent to reduced Planck constant

Consider firstly expression

$$(1) \quad m_0 c d = \hbar$$

for a physical system with rest mass  $m_0$ , where  $c$  represents speed of light and  $\hbar$  - reduced Planck constant. Expression  $d$  in (1) represents then obviously reduced Compton wavelength for this system. This expression, as it is well-known too, is exactly two times larger than critical value of order parameter that corresponds to limit in which quantum field theory dynamical effects becomes important for description of mentioned system. It can be demonstrated by Heisenberg momentum-coordinate uncertainty relation

$$(2) \quad \Delta p \Delta r \geq \hbar/2$$

where  $\Delta p$  represents momentum uncertainty and  $\Delta r$  – coordinate uncertainty. For

$$(3) \quad \Delta r \leq d/2$$

(2), according to (1), implies

$$(4) \quad \Delta p \geq m_0 c$$

or

$$(5) \quad \Delta p c \geq m_0 c^2$$

It means that system energy uncertainty  $\Delta p c$  is equal or larger than system rest energy so that creation and annihilation of the pairs of system and anti-system as typical quantum field theory effects become important.

Consider now expression

$$(6) \quad m_0 c^2 = V(D)$$

where  $V(D)$  represents absolute value of the total potential energy of the system self-interaction in space domain with characteristic length  $D$ . Obviously, this expression can be considered as the general definition of the system classical radius  $D$  as linear dimension of the space domain within which all internal dynamics of the system is practically localized. Of course, best known example of the system classical radius refers on electron where  $V(D)$  represents Coulomb electrostatic potential energy

$$(7) \quad V_e(D) = 1/(4\pi\epsilon_0) e^2/D$$

where  $e$  represents electron charge and  $\epsilon_0$  - vacuum permittivity, so that (7) implies

$$(8) \quad D = 1/(4\pi\epsilon_0) e^2/(m_0 c^2) = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c) \hbar/(m_0 c) = \alpha d$$

where  $d$  represents electron reduced Compton wave-length and

$$(9) \quad \alpha = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c) = 1/137, \dots$$

- fine structure constant.

Suppose, additionally, for mentioned system, the following form of  $V(D)$

$$(10) \quad V(D) = A/D$$

where  $A$  represents some constant, and,

$$(11) \quad D = d$$

All this implies

$$(12) \quad A = \hbar c$$

In first especial case of the electrostatic potential energy for electrical charge  $e$  expression (12) simply implies

$$(13) \quad e^2 = 4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c$$

which means that  $e$  represents Planck charge

$$(14) \quad e_P = (4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c)^{1/2} \dots$$

However, expression (14) cannot imply a concrete value of  $m_0$  and  $d$  since expression (1) is completely independent of  $e$ . Moreover, it can be pointed out that for electron, according to (8), (9), expression (11) cannot be satisfied.

In second especial case of the gravitational potential energy it is satisfied

$$(15) \quad A = G m_0^2$$

where  $G$  represents gravitational constant. It, in common with (12), simply implies

$$(16) \quad m_0^2 = \hbar c/G$$

which means that  $m_0$  represents Planck mass

$$(17) \quad m_P = (\hbar c/G)^{1/2}$$

Moreover, introduction of (17) in (1) implies

$$(18) \quad d = D = (\hbar G/c^3)^{1/2}$$

which, of course, represents Planck length

$$(19) \quad L_P = (\hbar G/c^3)^{1/2}$$

Instead of expression (6) consider a new dynamical expression. Concretely, define for a system with rest mass  $m_0$  geometrical mean value  $L$  between corresponding black hole Schwarzschild radius  $R_S = (2 G m_0 / c^2)$  and  $d/2 = (\hbar / (2 m_0 c))$  as corresponding critical parameter for minimal length. It implies

$$(20) \quad L = (2 G m_0 / c^2)^{1/2} (\hbar / (2 m_0 c))^{1/2} = (\hbar G / c^3)^{1/2}$$

which obviously represents Planck length. It means that Planck length represents geometrical mean value between Schwarzschild radius and one half of Compton wavelength for arbitrary physical system (arbitrary rest mass). Dynamical sense of the one half of Compton wavelength is previously discussed. As it is well-known too Schwarzschild radius represents a characteristic critical length parameter. Within non-quantum general relativity, for distances (in respect to singularity) smaller than this radius dynamics becomes singular, while within quantum general relativity quantum field theoretical concepts (Hawking radiation effects by particle –anti-particle pair creation nearly horizon) must be necessarily considered. In this sense expression (20) has consequently important dynamical sense.

Suppose additionally

$$(21) \quad L = d$$

which, in common with (1), implies (17), i.e. that system rest mass represents Planck mass.

All this is full agreement with well-known statements on the connection of the basic Planck units, especially Planck length and Planck mass, with (critical values of) minimal lengths [3]- [6].

Consider now general form of the black hole, i.e. Kerr-Newman black hole with mass  $M$ , angular momentum  $J$  and electrical charge  $Q$ . As it is well-known, according to dynamics of general theory of relativity, mentioned black hole holds three characteristic length parameters

$$(22) \quad R_J = J / (Mc)$$

$$(23) \quad R_M = 2 GM / c^2$$

$$(24) \quad R_Q = (Q^2 / (4\pi\epsilon_0) G / c^4)^{1/2} = (Q^2 / (4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c) \hbar G / c^3)^{1/2}$$

Suppose additionally

$$(25) \quad J = \hbar$$

when (22) turns out in

$$(26) \quad R_J = d$$

Since (23) corresponds numerically to Schwarzschild black hole with mass  $M$  horizon radius, in full analogy with (20) and according to (25), (26), it follows

$$(27) \quad (R_J / 2)^{1/2} (R_M)^{1/2} = (\hbar G / c^3)^{1/2}$$

and

$$(28) \quad M = (\hbar c / G)^{1/2}$$

Moreover, for

$$(29) \quad R_J = R_Q$$

or

$$(30) \quad (R_J)^{1/2} (R_Q)^{1/2} = (\hbar G / c^3)^{1/2}$$

it follows

$$(31) \quad Q = (4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c)^{1/2}$$

which represents Planck charge (14) .

In conclusion it can be repeated and pointed out the following. As it is well-known there is common opinion, that deduction of the basic Planck units (with large importance in high energetic domains) represents nothing more than dimensional analysis only. In this work we consider determination of the basic Planck units (mass, length and charge) using two dynamical principles. First one is definition of the (reduced) Compton length of the physical system (which can be considered as the critical parameter that characterizes necessity for application of the quantum field theoretical formalism, i.e. phase transition from quantum mechanical into quantum field theoretical description of the physical system). Second one is definition of the classical dimension of a physical system (that can be considered as a generalization of the concept of the classical radius of the electron) by equivalence of the system rest energy and potential energy for corresponding length. For electrostatic Coulomb potential energy it follows Planck charge, but without any explicit expression for system mass or (reduced) Compton length. For Newtonian gravitational potential energy it follows Planck mass and Planck length. It is shown that analogous results follow when second dynamical principle is changed by new dynamical principles in which Planck length corresponds to geometrical mean value between (reduced) Compton wavelength and Schwarzschild radius for arbitrary physical system. More generally, it is shown that basic Planck units (mass, length and charge) can be obtained by analogous relations between three characteristic length parameters determined by general relativity dynamics for Kerr-Newman black hole with angular momentum equivalent to reduced Planck constant.

## References

- [1] M. Planck, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. **5** (1899) 440
- [2] P. W. Bridgman, Dimensional Analysis (Yale University Press, New Haven - London, 1931)
- [3] C. Sivaram, arxiv gr-qc:0707.0058
- [4] M. Duff, L. B. Okun, G. Veneziano, Journal of High Energy Physics **3** (2002) 23 ; class-phys:0110060 and references therein
- [5] A. D. Linde, *Inflation and Quantum Cosmology* (Academic Press, Boston, 1990)
- [6] J.L. Garay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 145, arxiv gr-qc:9403008