

Coulomb glory effect in collisions of antiprotons with heavy nuclei: relativistic theory

A V Maierova¹, D A Telnov¹, V M Shabaev¹, V A Zaitsev¹, G Plunien² and T Stöhlker^{3,4}

¹ *Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State University,
Uljanovskaya 1, Petrodvorets, St. Petersburg 198504, Russia*

² *Institut für Theoretische Physik, TU Dresden,
Mommensenstrasse 13, D-01062 Dresden, Germany*

³ *Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung,
Planckstrasse 1, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany and*

⁴ *Physikalisches Institut, Philosophenweg 12, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

(Dated: November 14, 2018)

Abstract

Collisions of antiprotons with bare uranium nuclei are studied for scattering angles nearby 180° in the framework of relativistic theory. The Coulomb glory phenomenon is investigated at energies of the antiprotons in the range 100 eV to 2.5 keV. The vacuum polarization effect and the anomalous magnetic moment of the antiproton are taken into account. The estimations of possible influence of such effects as radiative recombination and antiproton annihilation are given.

PACS numbers: 34.10.+x,34.90.+q,31.30.Jv,31.15.Ew

I. INTRODUCTION

New facilities for antiproton and ion research at GSI will give an opportunity to observe the Coulomb glory phenomenon, which was predicted by Demkov and coauthors [1, 2]. The effect consists in a prominent maximum of the differential cross section (DCS) in the backward direction at a certain energy of the incident particle, provided the interaction with the target is represented by a screened Coulomb attraction potential. In our previous papers [3, 4] we investigated the backward scattering of antiprotons by highly charged and neutral uranium ($Z = 92$) in the framework of the non-relativistic quantum mechanics. It was shown that the Coulomb glory effect takes place due to the screening of the nuclear Coulomb attraction by the electrons. At the energy where the strongest effect is predicted, the DCS in the backward direction may exceed the corresponding Rutherford DCS by several times or even several hundred times, depending on the number of electrons in the ion [3]. In collisions of antiprotons with the bare uranium, the Coulomb glory is also present because of the screening properties of the vacuum polarization (VP) potential. In this case, the effect predicted was not such as large but still noticeable (about 5% [4]).

In the present paper, we investigate the Coulomb glory effect in collisions of antiprotons with bare uranium nuclei in the framework of the relativistic quantum theory. Since the kinetic energy of antiprotons in the Coulomb glory region is rather low (does not exceed a few keV), one can expect that the relativistic corrections may not alter the results significantly. It is certainly the case for the uranium ions where the effect itself is very large. However, for the bare nuclei the situation is not that straightforward. Although the ratio of the velocity of antiprotons to the velocity of light $v_{\bar{p}}/c$ is quite small in the energy range under consideration ($\leq 10^{-3}$), relativistic effects appear important for the scattering in the backward direction. As was shown in the paper [5], in the low-energy limit the differential cross section (DCS) of the relativistic Coulomb scattering $d\sigma^C/d\Omega$ at the angle $\theta = 180^\circ$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{d\sigma^C}{d\Omega}(\theta = 180^\circ) = \left[1 + \sqrt{2\pi^3 \frac{v_{\bar{p}}}{c} \left(\frac{Z}{c}\right)^3} \right] \frac{d\sigma^B}{d\Omega}(\theta = 180^\circ) \quad (1)$$

where $d\sigma^B/d\Omega$ is the DCS obtained in the (relativistic) first Born approximation. We note that for small values of the parameter $v_{\bar{p}}/c$, the Born DCS $d\sigma^B/d\Omega$ is nearly equal to the non-relativistic Rutherford DCS. According to Eq. (1), the contribution to the DCS due to

relativistic effects can be as large as 10% – 20%, depending on the energy of antiprotons in the interval 100 eV – 2.5 keV. Of course, any estimation based on Eq. (1) is valid for the pure Coulomb potential (that is, point nucleus charge distribution) only. For the finite size nucleus, the situation is somewhat different. This especially concerns the antiproton scattering, where the nuclear size effect can be rather important. In this case, as our calculations for an extended nucleus show, the relativistic corrections to the DCS at the angle $\theta = 180^\circ$ do not exceed 10%. Still, they are quite significant and must be taken into account. In our present study, the Dirac theory is used to describe collisions of antiprotons with bare uranium nuclei. Besides the finite nucleus potential, the equation of motion includes the exact one-loop VP potential and the interaction term due to the anomalous magnetic moment of the antiproton. Atomic units ($\hbar = e = m_e = 1$) are used in the paper.

II. BASIC FORMULAS

In the relativistic quantum theory, the differential cross section of the scattering of unpolarized beam in the central field is given by the following equation [6]:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |A|^2 + |B|^2 \quad (2)$$

where

$$A(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ip} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \{ (l+1) [\exp(2i\delta_{l+1/2,l}) - 1] + l [\exp(2i\delta_{l-1/2,l}) - 1] \} P_l(\cos\theta), \quad (3)$$

$$B(\theta) = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [\exp(2i\delta_{l+1/2,l}) - \exp(2i\delta_{l-1/2,l})] P_l^1(\cos\theta). \quad (4)$$

Here p is the momentum of the antiproton, $P_l(\cos\theta)$ are the Legendre polynomials and $P_l^1(\cos\theta)$ are the associated Legendre functions. The phase shifts $\delta_{j,l}$ corresponding to the total angular momentum j and orbital momentum l can be expressed as a sum of the phase shifts $\delta_{j,l}^s$, produced by the short-range part of the scattering potential, and the Coulomb phase shift $\delta_{j,l}^c$:

$$\delta_{j,l} = \delta_{j,l}^s + \delta_{j,l}^c. \quad (5)$$

The Coulomb phase shifts can be represented as follows [6]:

$$\delta_{j,l}^c = \xi - \arg \Gamma(\gamma + 1 - i\nu) - \frac{\pi\gamma}{2} + \frac{\pi l}{2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\nu = -Z\varepsilon/cp$ is the Coulomb parameter, ε is the total energy of the antiproton, $\exp(-2i\xi) = (\gamma + i\nu)/(\kappa + i\nu m_{\bar{p}}c^2/\varepsilon)$, $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - (Z/c)^2}$, and the quantum number $\kappa = (-1)^{j+l+1/2}(j+1/2)$.

We consider antiprotons moving in the central field $V(r)$ and take into account the anomalous magnetic moment of the antiproton. In this case, the Dirac equation appears in the following form (see, e.g., [7, 8]):

$$\left[c(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + m_{\bar{p}}c^2\beta + V(r) - \frac{i\kappa_0}{2m_{\bar{p}}c}V'(r)(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right] \psi(r) = \varepsilon\psi(r), \quad (7)$$

where $\kappa_0 = 1.79284734$ is the anomalous magnetic moment, $V'(r)$ stands for the first derivative of the potential $V(r)$, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ denotes the unit vector in the \mathbf{r} direction. Eq. (7) has solutions corresponding to the definite total angular momentum j and its projection m :

$$\psi(r) = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} G(r)\Omega_{jlm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \\ iF(r)\Omega_{jl'm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Here $\Omega_{jlm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are the spherical spinors, $l = j \pm \frac{1}{2}$, and $l' = 2j - l$. The radial functions $F(r)$ and $G(r)$ satisfy the set of the radial Dirac equations:

$$\begin{aligned} c\frac{dG(r)}{dr} + \frac{c\kappa}{r}G(r) - (\varepsilon + m_{\bar{p}}c^2 - V(r))F(r) - \frac{\kappa_0}{2m_{\bar{p}}c}V'(r)G(r) &= 0, \\ c\frac{dF(r)}{dr} - \frac{c\kappa}{r}F(r) + (\varepsilon - m_{\bar{p}}c^2 - V(r))G(r) + \frac{\kappa_0}{2m_{\bar{p}}c}V'(r)F(r) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The phase shifts $\delta_{j,l}^s$ can be obtained from asymptotic expansions of $F(r)$ and $G(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. An alternative way to calculate $\delta_{j,l}^s$ is the variable phase method [9, 10, 11]. Within this approach, the variable phase $\delta_{j,l}^s(r)$ is a solution of a first-order differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr}\delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r) &= -\frac{(pr)^2}{c} \\ &\times \left(v(r)\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon + m_{\bar{p}}c^2}{\varepsilon - m_{\bar{p}}c^2}} \left[\cos \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)F_l^C(pr) - \sin \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)G_l^C(pr) \right]^2 \right. \\ &+ v(r)\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon - m_{\bar{p}}c^2}{\varepsilon + m_{\bar{p}}c^2}} \left[\cos \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)F_{l\pm 1}^C(pr) - \sin \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)G_{l\pm 1}^C(pr) \right]^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa_0}{mc}V'(r) \left[\cos \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)F_l^C(pr) - \sin \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)G_l^C(pr) \right] \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[\cos \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)F_{l\pm 1}^C(pr) - \sin \delta_{l\pm 1/2,l}^s(p,r)G_{l\pm 1}^C(pr) \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$F_l^C(pr)$ and $G_l^C(pr)$ being the regular and irregular Dirac wave functions for the pure Coulomb potential, respectively, and $v(r)$ is the short-range part of the scattering potential,

$$v(r) = V(r) + \frac{Z}{r} = V_n(r) + V_U(r) + V_{\text{WK}}(r) + \frac{Z}{r}. \quad (11)$$

Here $V_n(r)$ is the electrostatic potential of interaction with the finite nucleus and $V_U(r)$ is the Uehling potential which is given by the lowest-order term in the expansion of the one-electron-loop vacuum polarization in powers of the Coulomb electron-nucleus interaction. The Wichmann–Kroll potential $V_{\text{WK}}(r)$ accounts for the higher-order terms in the expansion of the vacuum loop in powers of the Coulomb electron-nucleus interaction [12]. The explicit forms of the potentials $V_n(r)$, $V_U(r)$ and $V_{\text{WK}}(r)$ can be found elsewhere [3, 4, 13, 14, 15].

In order to calculate the differential cross section (2), one has to evaluate the quantities $A(\theta)$ and $B(\theta)$ in equations (3) and (4) which contain infinite summations over the angular momenta. For the slowly decaying (Coulomb-tail) interaction, the series (3) is formally divergent because the phaseshifts $\delta_{l\pm 1/2, l}$ do not decrease with increasing l . For the pure Coulomb potential, the regularization procedure was suggested by Mott [16] who obtained also the first numerical results for the relativistic Coulomb scattering. Detailed theoretical and numerical studies of this problem can be found in a number of papers (see, e.g., [17, 18, 19]); it can be regarded as well-understood and does not pose any challenge nowadays. Once the scattering amplitudes $A^C(\theta)$ and $B^C(\theta)$ for the Coulomb field are computed, the amplitudes $A(\theta)$ and $B(\theta)$ for the given potential $V(r)$ can be calculated as follows:

$$A(\theta) = A^C(\theta) - \frac{1}{2ip} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [(l+1) (\exp(2i\delta_{l+1/2, l}^c) - \exp(2i\delta_{l+1/2, l})) + l (\exp(2i\delta_{l-1/2, l}^c) - \exp(2i\delta_{l-1/2, l}))] P_l(\cos \theta), \quad (12)$$

$$B(\theta) = B^C(\theta) - \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [(\exp(2i\delta_{l+1/2, l}^c) - \exp(2i\delta_{l+1/2, l})) - (\exp(2i\delta_{l-1/2, l}^c) - \exp(2i\delta_{l-1/2, l}))] P_l^1(\cos \theta). \quad (13)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have computed the differential cross sections of relativistic antiproton scattering by bare uranium nuclei in the energy range from 100 eV to 2.5 keV. The Coulomb glory effect can be estimated if we compare the DCS in the backward direction with that of the




FIG. 1: Scaled DCS $d\tilde{\sigma}/d\Omega$ at $\theta = 180^\circ$ as a function of the energy. (a) DCS for the total scattering potential (relativistic); (b) DCS for the finite nucleus potential only (relativistic); (c) DCS for the total scattering potential (non-relativistic).

non-relativistic Rutherford scattering; the latter has a smooth minimum at $\theta = 180^\circ$ ir-
 respectively of the energy of the scattered particle. It is convenient to define the scaled
 DCS which is being measured in the units of the Rutherford cross section in the backward
 direction $[(Z/4E)^2]$:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{4E}{Z}\right)^2 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}. \quad (14)$$

Using the scaled DCS, we can compare the results at different energies and determine

the energy domain with the largest Coulomb glory effect. In figure 1 we show the scaled DCS at $\theta = 180^\circ$ as a function of the antiproton energy. The maximum Coulomb glory effect is reached at the antiproton energy of about 600 eV and amounts to 15.6% (figure 1, curve (a)). The main contribution comes from the VP potential, as the DCS produced by the finite nucleus potential only (figure 1, curve (b)) is about 10% smaller and does not exhibit a pronounced maximum. We note that the contribution from the anomalous magnetic moment to $d\tilde{\sigma}/d\Omega$ is very small and has the order of magnitude $\sim 10^{-4}$, that is in agreement with a qualitative estimate given by Milstein [20]. With the help of the optical potential method [21, 22], we have also estimated the influence of the strong interaction between the antiproton and the nucleus and found it negligible. In the non-relativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$, the present results coincide with the previous calculations [4] which are represented by curve (c) in figure 1¹. As one can see, the relativistic corrections are very important: they are responsible for overall increase of the DCS by approximately 10% and the shift of the maximum to higher energies (from 300 eV to 600 eV). In figure 2, we present the DCS dependence on the scattering angle at the antiproton energy $E = 600$ eV corresponding to the strongest Coulomb glory effect. The curve (a) shows the DCS resulting from the full interaction while the curve (c) represents the DCS produced by the finite nucleus potential only; the curve (b) corresponds to the pure (point charge) Coulomb potential. The relativistic contribution to the DCS at $\theta = 180^\circ$ for the pure Coulomb potential (figure 2, curve (b)) amounts to 14.3% at this energy, in good accordance with the value obtained from the approximate equation (1). An oscillatory dependence of the DCS on the scattering angle has the same nature as in the non-relativistic case where it appears due to the interference between the Coulomb and short-range contributions to the scattering amplitude [23]; similar oscillations for the relativistic Coulomb scattering were observed in [24]. In the Coulomb glory case, the highest maximum appears at $\theta = 180^\circ$ (figure 2, curve (a)), and its width is about 5° .

In order to check that inelastic scattering channels do not mask the Coulomb glory phenomenon, we have also evaluated the total cross sections of such processes as radiative recombination (RR) and annihilation of antiprotons. To compare the results, the cross sections of the inelastic processes have been scaled in a similar manner that was used for

¹ The curve (c) in figure 2 of [4] corresponding to the non-relativistic DCS for the finite nucleus potential only appeared incorrect in the paper; it must be shifted down by about 3-5%. All other curves in that figure are correct.




FIG. 2: Scaled DCS $d\tilde{\sigma}/d\Omega$ (14) for the energy of the antiproton 600 eV. (a) DCS for the total scattering potential; (b) DCS for the exact Coulomb potential (relativistic); (c) DCS for the finite nucleus potential only.

the elastic scattering DCS. Since the width of the Coulomb glory maximum in the angular dependence of the DCS is about 5° , we define the scale factor σ_0 as the Rutherford DCS integrated over the same angular domain in the vicinity of $\theta = 180^\circ$:

$$\sigma_0 = \left(\frac{Z}{4E}\right)^2 \int_{(180^\circ-\theta)\leq 5^\circ} \frac{d\Omega}{\sin^4 \theta/2}. \quad (15)$$

We have found that the total RR cross section (scaled by σ_0) $\hat{\sigma}_r$ does not exceed 10^{-4} and, therefore, is small compared to the Coulomb glory effect. A rough estimate of the scaled antiproton annihilation cross section $\hat{\sigma}_a$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma}_a \sim \frac{\pi R_n^2 |\psi_C(r=0)|^2}{\sigma_0 |\psi_f(r=0)|^2}, \quad (16)$$

where R_n is the nuclear charge radius, ψ_C is the non-relativistic wavefunction of an antiproton in the Coulomb field and ψ_f is the wavefunction of a free antiproton. The results obtained

TABLE I: Rough estimates of the scaled cross section of the antiproton annihilation at different energies.

| E (eV) | 100 | 600 | 1300 | 1900 | 2500 |
|------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| $\hat{\sigma}_a$ | 0.0006 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 |

by this formula are presented in table I. They show that the antiproton annihilation should not mask the Coulomb glory effect.

IV. SUMMARY

In this paper, the backward scattering of antiprotons by bare uranium nuclei has been investigated in the framework of the relativistic theory in the range of the antiproton kinetic energy 100 eV to 2.5 keV. The effects due to vacuum polarization and finite size of the nucleus, as well as the influence of the anomalous magnetic moment of the antiproton, have been taken into account. It is the screening property of the one-loop VP potential that is responsible for the Coulomb glory effect with the prominent DCS maximum in the backward direction. Both the non-relativistic and relativistic theories predict this maximum in some range of the antiproton kinetic energy. The relativistic effects, however, significantly alter the non-relativistic Coulomb glory picture. The kinetic energy corresponding to the strongest effect is shifted to higher values (600 eV versus 300 eV in the non-relativistic case), and the DCS in the vicinity of $\theta = 180^\circ$ becomes larger. We have also estimated the role of inelastic processes, such as the radiative recombination and annihilation of antiprotons, and found that they should not mask the Coulomb glory phenomenon.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Alexander Milstein for drawing our attention to the importance of the relativistic effects and for fruitful discussions. Valuable conversations with Yu.N. Demkov are gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by DFG (Grant No. 436RUS113/950/0-1), by RFBR (Grant No. 07-02-00126a), and by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation (Program for Development of Scientific Potential of High School, Grant No. 2.1.1/1136; Program “Scientific and pedagogical specialists for innovative Russia”,

Grant No P1334). The work of A.V.M. was also supported by the “Dynasty” foundation. V.M.S. acknowledges financial support by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

- [1] Demkov Yu N, Ostrovsky V N and Telnov D A 1984 *Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz.* **86** 442 (*Sov. Phys. – JETP* **59** 257)
- [2] Demkov Yu N and Ostrovsky V N 2001 *J. Phys. B* **34** L595
- [3] Maiorova A V, Telnov D A, Shabaev V M, Tupitsyn I I, Plunien G and Stöhlker T 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 032709
- [4] Maiorova A V, Telnov D A, Shabaev V M, Plunien G and Stöhlker T 2008 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **41** 245203
- [5] Milstein A I and Terekhov I S 2004 *JETP* **98** 4 687
- [6] Berestetsky V B, Lifshitz E M and Pitaevskii L P 2006 *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann)
- [7] Borie E 1983 *Phys. Rev. A* **28** 2
- [8] Veitia A and Pachucki K 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 042501
- [9] Calogero F 1967 *Variable phase approach to potential scattering* (Academic Press, New York)
- [10] Babikov V V 1968 *Phase function method in quantum mechanics* (Moscow: Nauka)
- [11] Grechukhin D P, Lomonosov A V 1994 *JETP Letters* **60** Iss. 11 779
- [12] Wichmann E H and Kroll N M 1956 *Phys. Rev.* **101** 843
- [13] Shabaev V M 2002 *Phys. Rep.* **356** 119
- [14] Mohr P J, Plunien G and Soff G 1998 *Phys. Rep.* **293** 227
- [15] Artemyev A N, Shabaev V M and Yerokhin V A 1997 *Phys. Rev. A* **56** 3529
- [16] Mott N F 1932 *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **135** 429
- [17] Dogget J A, Spencer L V 1956 *Phys. Rev.* **103** 1597
- [18] Sherman N 1956 *Phys. Rev.* **103** 1601
- [19] Gluckstern R L, Lin Shin-R 1964 *J. Math. Phys.* **5** 1594
- [20] Milstein A I, private communication

- [21] Batty C J, Friedman E and Gal A 1997 *Phys. Rep.* **287** 385
- [22] Gal A, Friedman E and Batty C J 2000 *Phys. Lett. B* **491** 219
- [23] Newton R G 1982 *Scattering theory of waves and particles* second edition (Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin)
- [24] Fradkin D M, Weber T A and Hammer C L 1964 *Ann. Phys.* **27** 338