

Two atoms in dissipative cavities in dispersive limit: entanglement sudden death and long-lived entanglement

Jian-Song Zhang^{1,*}, Ai-Xi Chen¹, and M. Abdel-Aty²

¹*Department of Applied Physics, East China Jiaotong University,*

Nanchang 330013, People's Republic of China

²*Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science,*

Sohag University, 82524 Sohag, Egypt

We investigate the entanglement dynamics and coherence of two two-level atoms interacting with two coherent fields of two spatially separated and dissipative cavities. It is in particular shown that entanglement sudden death is obtained within a short interacting time. However, after a long interaction time a long-lived entanglement is shown, that is, the initial entanglement of two atoms could be partially preserved. In addition, the coherence of the two atoms will not be lost during the evolution.

Keywords: Cavity QED; Dissipation; Entanglement dynamics; Coherence

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn; 03.65.Ud

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement is at the heart of quantum information processing and quantum computation [1, 2, 3, 4]. In recent years, many efforts have been devoted to the study of the evolution of joint systems formed by two qubits [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. In particular, Yu and Eberly [5, 6] have found out that the single-qubit dynamics and the global dynamics of an entangled two-qubit system subjected to independent environments are rather different. For a single-qubit system subjected to an environment, the local coherence decays asymptotically while the entanglement of an entangled two-qubit system may disappear for a finite time during the dynamics evolution. The nonsmooth finite-time disappearance of entanglement is called “entanglement sudden death” (ESD). More recently,

*Electronic address: jszhang1981@zju.edu.cn

the ESD phenomenon has been observed in the laboratory by two groups [16, 17].

On the other hand, the long-lived entanglement in cavity QED or solid state systems was investigated by several authors [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. In Ref. [18], the authors have discussed the effects of the classical noise on entanglement dynamics and pointed out that there is long-lived entanglement in the presence of global dephasing noise. The influence of white noise on the entanglement dynamics of two atoms within two cavities has been investigated by Xu and Li [19]. They found out that if only one atom is driven by the white-noise field, then there is long-lived entanglement. The entanglement dynamics in two effective two-level trapped ions interacting with a laser field has been studied in [20]. It has been shown by Dajka, Mierzejewski, and Luczka that there is long-lived entanglement of some composite systems even if coupled with a thermal bath [21]. It has also been pointed out that phase-damped cavity can lead to long-lived entanglement in a quantum system consisting of a single-Cooper-pair box irradiated by a quantized field [22].

In the present paper, we investigate the entanglement dynamics and coherence of a quantum system formed by two two-level atoms within two spatially separated and dissipative cavities in the dispersive limit by employing concurrence and linear entropy, respectively. The two atoms are initially entangled and the cavities are initially prepared in coherent states. We show that the ESD phenomenon appears in the present system and the entanglement of two atoms decreases with the time in the short-term. However, the long-term behavior is very different since a long survival of the entanglement is shown in the system. In other words, there is long-lived entanglement (stationary state entanglement) in the presence of the dissipation of cavities, implying that the initial entanglement of two atoms could be partially preserved even they are put into dissipative cavities. We find the long-lived entanglement depends on the initial state of the two atoms and the ratio of the decay rate of the cavities to the atom-field coupling constant. Finally, we discuss the coherence of the two atoms using the linear entropy. Our results show that the linear entropy of each atom at any time is equal to the initial linear entropy, that is, the coherence of each atom is preserved. We find that the initial coherence (entanglement) of the two atoms could be preserved (partially preserved) in the dispersive limit even if they are put into two dissipative cavities.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we obtain an explicit analytical solution of one atom interacting with a dissipative cavity in the dispersive limit. In section

III, we consider a quantum system consisting of two atoms within two spatially separated cavities. In Section IV, the entanglement dynamics and coherence of the two two-level atoms are investigated by employing the concurrence and linear entropy, respectively. Finally, we summarize our results in Section V.

II. ONE ATOM IN A DISSIPATIVE CAVITY

We first consider a quantum system consisting of a two-level atom interacting with a single-mode cavity. Under the electric dipole and rotating wave approximation, the Hamiltonian of the present system is ($\hbar = 1$) [23, 24]

$$H = \omega a^\dagger a + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z + g(a^\dagger \sigma_- + a \sigma_+), \quad (1)$$

where g is the atom-field coupling constant, $\sigma_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$ and σ_\pm are the atomic spin flip operators characterizing the effective two-level atom with frequency ω_0 . The symbols $|e\rangle$ and $|g\rangle$ refer to the excited and ground states for the two-level atom. Here, a^\dagger and a are the creation and annihilation operators of the field with frequency ω , respectively. The dispersive limit is obtained when the condition $|\Delta| = |\omega_0 - \omega| \gg \sqrt{n+1}g$ is satisfied for any relevant n . Then, the interaction Hamiltonian $g(a^\dagger \sigma_- + a \sigma_+)$ can be regarded as a small perturbation and the effective Hamiltonian of the model can be recast as [25]

$$H_e = \omega a^\dagger a + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z + \Omega[(a^\dagger a + 1)|e\rangle\langle e| - a^\dagger a |g\rangle\langle g|], \quad (2)$$

with $\Omega = g^2/\Delta$. In the interaction picture, the interaction Hamiltonian is

$$V = \Omega[(a^\dagger a + 1)|e\rangle\langle e| - a^\dagger a |g\rangle\langle g|]. \quad (3)$$

We assume the two-level atom interacting with a coherent field in a dissipative environment. This interaction causes the losses in the cavity which is presented by the superoperator $\mathcal{D} = k(2a \cdot a^\dagger - a^\dagger a \cdot - \cdot a^\dagger a)$, where k is the decay constant. For the sake of simplicity, we confine our consideration in the case of zero temperature cavity. Then, the master equation that governs the dynamics of the system can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho'}{dt} &= -i[V, \rho'] + \mathcal{D}\rho' \\ &= -i[V, \rho'] + k(2a\rho'a^\dagger - a^\dagger a\rho' - \rho'a^\dagger a) \\ &= -i[V, \rho'] + k(2\mathcal{M}\rho' - \mathcal{R}\rho' - \mathcal{L}\rho'), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where ρ' is the density matrix of the atom-field system and \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{R} , and \mathcal{L} are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}\rho' = (a \cdot a^\dagger)\rho' = a\rho'a^\dagger, \mathcal{R}\rho' = (a^\dagger a \cdot)\rho' = a^\dagger a\rho', \mathcal{L}\rho' = (\cdot a^\dagger a)\rho' = \rho'a^\dagger a. \quad (5)$$

Here the superoperators $a \cdot$, $\cdot a$, $a^\dagger \cdot$, and $\cdot a^\dagger$ represent the action of creation and annihilation operators on an operator

$$(a \cdot)o = ao, (\cdot a)o = oa, (a^\dagger \cdot)o = a^\dagger o, (\cdot a^\dagger)o = oa^\dagger. \quad (6)$$

We can express the density matrix in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'(t) = & \rho'_{ee}(t) \otimes |e\rangle\langle e| + \rho'_{gg}(t) \otimes |g\rangle\langle g| + \rho'_{eg}(t) \otimes |e\rangle\langle g| \\ & + \rho'_{ge}(t) \otimes |g\rangle\langle e|, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where ρ'_{ij} 's are defined as $\rho'_{ij} = \langle i|\rho'|j\rangle$, $\rho'_{ij} = \rho'_{ji}^\dagger$, $i, j = e, g$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho'_{ee}(t)}{dt} &= \{-i\Omega(\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L}) + k(2\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L})\}\rho'_{ee}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{ee}\rho'_{ee}(t), \\ \frac{d\rho'_{gg}(t)}{dt} &= \{i\Omega(\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L}) + k(2\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L})\}\rho'_{gg}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{gg}\rho'_{gg}(t), \\ \frac{d\rho'_{eg}(t)}{dt} &= \{-i\Omega(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{L} + 1) + k(2\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L})\}\rho'_{eg}(t) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{eg}\rho'_{eg}(t), \\ \rho'_{ge}(t) &= \rho'_{eg}^\dagger(t). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is easy to check that the superoperators \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} satisfy the relations

$$[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{M}] = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}] = -\mathcal{M}, [\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}] = 0. \quad (9)$$

It is worth noting that $[\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}] = -2\mathcal{M}$, the superoperators $\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{M} form a shift operator algebra. Thus we have the expansion of the exponential of a linear combination of $\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{M}

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathcal{L}_{ee}t} &= e^{(e^{2kt}-1)\mathcal{M}} e^{-(i\Omega+k)t\mathcal{R}} e^{(i\Omega-k)t\mathcal{L}}, \\ e^{\mathcal{L}_{gg}t} &= e^{(e^{2kt}-1)\mathcal{M}} e^{(i\Omega-k)t\mathcal{R}} e^{(-i\Omega+k)t\mathcal{L}}, \\ e^{\mathcal{L}_{eg}t} &= e^{-i\Omega t} e^{[e^{2(i\Omega+k)t}-1]\mathcal{M}/(i\Omega+k)} e^{-(i\Omega+k)t\mathcal{R}} e^{-(i\Omega+k)t\mathcal{L}}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Suppose the atom is initially prepared in the state $\begin{pmatrix} \xi_a & \xi_c \\ \xi_c^* & \xi_b \end{pmatrix}$ and the field is initially prepared in the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$ with α being a complex number.

Here, $|n\rangle$ is the Fock state with $a^\dagger a|n\rangle = n|n\rangle$. Therefor the initial state of the atom-cavity system is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} \xi_a & \xi_c \\ \xi_c^* & \xi_b \end{pmatrix} \otimes |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \\ &= (\xi_a|e\rangle\langle e| + \xi_b|g\rangle\langle g| + \xi_c|e\rangle\langle g| \\ &\quad + \xi_c^*|g\rangle\langle e|) \otimes |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|.\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Comparing Eq.(7) with Eq.(11), one get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'_{ee}(0) &= \xi_a|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \rho'_{gg}(0) = \xi_b|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \\ \rho'_{eg}(0) &= \xi_c|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \rho'_{ge}(0) = \rho'_{eg}^\dagger(0).\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Combing Eqs.(10), (12) and the relation $\rho'_{ij}(t) = e^{\mathcal{L}_{ij}t}\rho'_{ij}(0)$, we find that the matrix elements $\rho'_{ij}(t)$ at time t is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'_{ee}(t) &= \xi_a|\alpha_+(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_+(t)|, \\ \rho'_{gg}(t) &= \xi_b|\alpha_-(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_-(t)|, \\ \rho'_{eg}(t) &= \xi_c f(t)|\alpha_+(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_-(t)|, \rho'_{ge}(t) = \rho'_{eg}^\dagger(t) \\ |\alpha_\pm(t)\rangle &= |\alpha e^{-(k\pm i\Omega)t}\rangle, \\ f(t) &= \exp\{-i\Omega t + |\alpha|^2(e^{-2kt} - 1)\} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left\{\frac{|\alpha|^2 k}{k + i\Omega}[1 - e^{-2(k+i\Omega)t}]\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

The reduced density matrix of the atom is obtained by tracing out the variables of the field from the above density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'_{atom}(t) &= \xi_a|e\rangle\langle e| + \xi_b|g\rangle\langle g| \\ &\quad + [\xi_c f(t)\lambda(t)|e\rangle\langle g| + h.c], \\ \lambda(t) &= \langle\alpha_-(t)|\alpha_+(t)\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

where $h.c$ denotes the Hermitian conjugate.

III. TWO ATOMS WITHIN TWO SPATIALLY SEPARATED CAVITIES

In this section, we consider a quantum system consisting of two noninteracting atoms each locally interacts with its own coherent field of a dissipative cavity. The two atoms are

initially prepared in entangled states and the two fields are prepared in coherent states $|\alpha_1\rangle$ and $|\alpha_2\rangle$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, the decay rates of the two cavities are equal, and the atom-field coupling constants are the same. The schematic picture of the present model is presented in Fig.1.

A. Reduced density matrix of two atoms

Using the method introduced by Bellomo, France, and Compagno [13], we can obtain the reduced matrix density of two atoms conveniently. In the basis $|1\rangle = |ee\rangle, |2\rangle = |eg\rangle, |3\rangle = |ge\rangle, |4\rangle = |gg\rangle$, and using Eq. (14), the reduced density matrix $\rho(t)$ for the two-atom system is

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{ii}(t) &= \rho_{ii}(0), \\
\rho_{12}(t) &= f(t)\lambda(t)\rho_{12}(0), \\
\rho_{13}(t) &= f(t)\lambda(t)\rho_{13}(0), \\
\rho_{14}(t) &= [f(t)\lambda(t)]^2\rho_{14}(0), \\
\rho_{23}(t) &= |f(t)\lambda(t)|^2\rho_{23}(0), \\
\rho_{24}(t) &= f(t)\lambda(t)\rho_{24}(0), \\
\rho_{34}(t) &= f(t)\lambda(t)\rho_{34}(0),
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with $\rho_{ij}(t) = \rho_{ji}^*(t)$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We would like to point out that the above procedure allows us to obtain the reduced density matrix of the two-atom system for any initial state of the two atoms.

B. Extended Werner-like states

We assume the initial states of the two-atom system are the extended Werner-like (EWL) states [13] defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\Phi &= p|\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| + \frac{1-p}{4}I, \\
\rho_\Psi &= p|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| + \frac{1-p}{4}I, \\
|\Phi\rangle &= \mu|eg\rangle + \nu|ge\rangle, \\
|\Psi\rangle &= \mu|ee\rangle + \nu|gg\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where p is a real number which indicates the purity of initial states, I is a 4×4 identity matrix, μ and ν are complex numbers with $|\mu|^2 + |\nu|^2 = 1$. The parameter p is 1 for pure states and 0 for completely mixed states. It is worth noting that the EWL states belong to the class of the ‘X’ states. Explicitly, if the density matrix of a quantum state is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & 0 & 0 & \rho_{14} \\ 0 & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{23}^* & \rho_{33} & 0 \\ \rho_{14}^* & 0 & 0 & \rho_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

then it belongs to the class of the X states.

The EWL states have the following advantages. First, we can easily find that if the initial state of two atoms is X structure, then the reduced density matrix at arbitrary time t is still X structure under the single atom evolution determined by the master equation (4) (in the basis $|1\rangle = |++\rangle, |2\rangle = |+-\rangle, |3\rangle = |-+\rangle, |4\rangle = |--\rangle$). Second, the EWL states allow us to clearly show the influence of the purity and the amount of entanglement of the initial states on the entanglement dynamics of two atoms simultaneously. The purity of the EWL states are dependent on the parameter p and the amount of the entanglement of the EWL states are related to μ and ν . If $p = 1$ the EWL states reduce to the Bell-like states $|\Phi\rangle$ and $|\Psi\rangle$. In the case of $p = 1, \mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$ the EWL states become the Bell states while in the case of $p = 0$ they are the maximally mixed states. Third, as we will see, it is easy for us to calculate the entanglement dynamics of two atoms which are initially prepared in EWL states.

IV. ENTANGLEMENT AND COHERENCE

In this section, we will analyze the entanglement dynamics and coherence of two atoms by employing the concurrence and linear entropy, respectively. In order to study the entanglement of above system described by density matrix ρ , we adopt the measure concurrence which is defined by [26]

$$C = \max \{0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4\}, \quad (18)$$

where the λ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are the square roots of the eigenvalues in decreasing order of the magnitude of the ‘‘spin-flipped’’ density matrix operator $R = \rho(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\rho^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$ and σ_y

is the Pauli Y matrix, i.e., $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Particularly, for X structure states defined by Eq. (17), it is easy to find the concurrence is

$$C(t) = 2 \max \{0, |\rho_{23}(t)| - \sqrt{\rho_{11}(t)\rho_{44}(t)}, |\rho_{14}(t)| - \sqrt{\rho_{22}(t)\rho_{33}(t)}\} \quad (19)$$

Combing Eqs. (15), (16), and (19), we find the concurrence of the two atoms, which are initially prepared in EWL states (ρ_Φ or ρ_Ψ), are

$$\begin{aligned} C_\Phi(t) &= 2 \max \{0, |f(t)\lambda(t)|^2 |\rho_{23}(0)| - \sqrt{\rho_{11}(0)\rho_{44}(0)}\}, \\ C_\Psi(t) &= 2 \max \{0, |f(t)\lambda(t)|^2 |\rho_{14}(0)| - \sqrt{\rho_{22}(0)\rho_{33}(0)}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Inserting the initial state of two atoms of Eq.(16) into the above equations leads to

$$\begin{aligned} C(t) &= C_\Phi(t) = C_\Psi(t) \\ &= \max \{0, 2p|f(t)\lambda(t)|^2 |\mu\nu| - \frac{1-p}{2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We find the concurrence $C_\Phi(t)$ and $C_\Psi(t)$ are the same if the parameter μ and ν of ρ_Φ and ρ_Ψ are equal, respectively. It is clear that the entanglement of the two atoms depends on the initial state of the them, i.e., $C(t)$ relies on the parameters p , μ , and ν .

In Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, the concurrence $C(t)$ is plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt and the parameter k/Ω for different values of p . From these two figures, one can easily find that the entanglement of the two atoms suddenly goes to zero and stays zero for a finite time, i.e., the ESD phenomenon appears in the present system. However, the entanglement cannot revive completely due to the dissipation of the cavity fields. The lower panels of Fig.2 and Fig.3 are the contour plots of the concurrence, where the severe shading areas indicating the two atoms are completely disentangled. Comparing the upper panels of Fig.2 and Fig.3, one can clearly see that the entanglement dynamics relies heavily on the purity of the initial state which is represented by the parameter p . For instance, the maximal value of concurrence in Fig.2 is about 0.7 while the maximal value of concurrence in Fig.3 is only about 0.4. The contour plots of these figures clearly show that the areas showing entanglement significantly decrease with the decrease of the purity of the initial state. To show this more intuitively, we plot the concurrence as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt for several values of p in Fig.4. Also, the entanglement dynamics depends on the

initial state of the field $|\alpha\rangle$ as one can see from the upper panel of Fig.5. The concurrence decreases with the increase of the amplitude of α . For instance, in the case of $k/\Omega = 0.01$, $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $p = 0.9$, and $\alpha = 0.5$, there is no ESD. However, in the case of $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$, the ESD phenomenon could appear.

In order to see the time limits (long-term) behavior of the entanglement we plot the concurrence as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt ($0 \leq \Omega t \leq 500$) for $\alpha = 0.5$ (solid line), $\alpha = 1$ (dashed line), and $\alpha = 2$ (dotted line) in the lower panel of Fig.5. From this figure, we see that the entanglement of the two atoms survives for a long time if the mean photon number of the fields $|\alpha|^2$ has small values (see the solid and dashed lines of Fig.5). The entanglement of the stationary state $\rho(\infty)$ depends on the initial state of the two atoms and the ratio of the decay rate of two cavities to the atom-field coupling constant. If the initial state is completely mixed ($p = 0$), that is, the two atoms are disentangled initially, then no entanglement will be generated in the system.

In Fig.6, we have presented the time-evolution of entanglement as a function of kt and Ω/k . One can see clearly that the entanglement decreases gradually as kt increases for a given time. In principle, by using the explicit expression of the concurrence, one can find exactly the threshold value after which a long-lived entanglement can be obtained. It is easy to see that the oscillations of the concurrence gradually disappears as the time kt increases. Actually, from Eq.(21) one can see that $C(t) = c$ (c is a constant) leading to the following condition: $kt > kt_c$ which can be obtained from the following equation (we set $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$)

$$|f(t)| = \frac{1}{|\langle \alpha_-(t) | \alpha_+(t) \rangle|} \sqrt{\frac{2c + 1 - p}{2p}}, \quad (22)$$

then, the entanglement has no chance to be oscillating when kt exceeds a threshold value kt_c (from Fig. 6, $kt_c \approx 7$). Here we should point out that the effective threshold value of the decay time corresponding to the transition of the entanglement from oscillating regime to fully constant value is independent of the interaction time. From the above discussions, one can observe that the initial entanglement of the two atoms could be partially preserved in the present system which is useful for quantum information processing and quantum memory.

In order to show the dependence of the long time behavior of the entanglement of the atoms on the parameter α and the purity of the initial state p , we plot the concurrence as a function of α and p with $t = 500$ in Fig.7. From Fig.7, we observe that the stationary state

entanglement of the atoms decreases with the increase of the parameter α while increases with the purity of the initial state p . This observation is consistent with the previous discussions. We have also carefully investigated the entanglement dynamics of the system if only one cavity is subjected to decay radiation field and find that the entanglement will also be partially preserved.

Now, we investigate the coherence of the atoms by employing the linear entropy defined by [27, 28]

$$S(t) = 1 - Tr[\rho^2(t)]. \quad (23)$$

The linear entropy S is zero for pure states and 1 for completely mixed states. Tracing out the degrees of the freedom of the second atom from Eq. (17) and using Eq. (15), we get the reduced density matrix of atom 1

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(t) = & [\rho_{11}(0) + \rho_{22}(0)]|e\rangle\langle e| \\ & + [\rho_{33}(0) + \rho_{44}(0)]|g\rangle\langle g|. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Thus the linear entropy of atom 1 is

$$S_1(t) = S_1(0) = 1 - Tr[\rho_1^2(t)] = 2|\mu\nu|^2 > 0. \quad (25)$$

Similarly, for atom 2, we have

$$S_2(t) = S_2(0) = 1 - Tr[\rho_2^2(t)] = 2|\mu\nu|^2 > 0. \quad (26)$$

From the above equations, we find that the coherence of the atoms is preserved in the present model, that is, the subspace of the atoms are decoherence-free subspaces [28].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, we have investigated the entanglement dynamics and coherence of a quantum system formed by two two-level atoms interacting with two spatially separated and dissipative cavities in the dispersive limit. With the help of superoperator method, we obtained an explicit expression of the reduced density matrix of the two atoms and calculated the entanglement and coherence of them by employing the concurrence and linear entropy, respectively. In a short-term regime, the ESD phenomenon could be obtained and

the entanglement of the two atoms decreases with the time development. However, in the long-term, the entanglement of the two atoms tends to a fixed value. This value depends only on the initial state of the two atoms, the atom-field coupling constant, and the decay rate of fields. Particularly, we found that there is long-lived entanglement (or stationary state entanglement) in the presence of the dissipation of the fields. In other words, in the dispersive limit, the initial entanglement of the two atoms within two dissipative cavities could be partially preserved for a long time.

Finally, we calculated the coherence of the two atoms using the linear entropy. Our results show that the coherence of each atom can be preserved. Thus the subspace of each atom is a decoherence-free subspace. In a word, the initial coherence (entanglement) of the two atoms could be preserved (partially preserved) in the dispersive limit even if they are put into two dissipative cavities. This feature is useful for quantum information processing and quantum memory. It is interesting to extend the present system to a many-qubit system. Note that Eq.(15) is not suitable for the many-qubit system. However, the method introduced by Bellomo, France, and Compagno [13] is still applicable. Thus, one can investigate whether the multipartite entanglement of the many-qubit system can be preserved.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi, China (Grant No 2007GZW0819 and 2008GQW0017), and the Scientific Research Foundation of Jiangxi Provincial Department of Education (Grant No GJJ09504), and the Foundation of Talent of Jinggang of Jiangxi Province (Grant No 2008DQ00400).

-
- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
 - [2] J. M. Raimond, M. Brune, and S. Haroche, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 565 (2001).
 - [3] X. X. Yang and Y. Wu, *J. Phys. B* **7** (2005) 54.
 - [4] M. S. Kim, J. Lee, D. Ahn and P. L. Knight, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 040101 (R) (2002).
 - [5] Y. Yu and J. H. Eberly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 140404 (2004).
 - [6] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, *Science(London)* **323**, 598 (2009).

- [7] L. Jakóbczyk and A. Jammróz, *Phys. Lett. A* **333**, 35 (2004).
- [8] Z. Ficek and R. Tanaś, *Rev. Rev. A* **74**, 024304 (2006).
- [9] M. Yönaç, T. Yu, J. H. Eberly, *J. Phys. B* **39**, S621 (2006).
- [10] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, *Quant. Inf. Comput.* **7**, 459 (2007).
- [11] M. Ikram, Fu-Li Li, and M. S. Zubairy, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 062336 (2007).
- [12] B. Bellomo, R. Lo France, and G. Compagno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 160502 (2007).
- [13] B. Bellomo, R. Lo France, and G. Compagno, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 032342 (2008).
- [14] A. R. P. Rau, Mazhar Ali and G. Alber, *Europhys. Lett.* **82**, 40002 (2008).
- [15] A. Jammróz, *J. Phys. A* **39**, 7727 (2006).
- [16] M. P. Almeida, F. de Melo, M. Hor-Meyll, A. Salles, S. P. Walborn, P. H. Souto Ribeiro, L. Davidovich, *Science(London)* **316**, 579 (2007).
- [17] J. Laurat, K. S. Choi, H. Deng, C. W. Chou, H. J. Kimble, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 180504 (2007).
- [18] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, *Opt. Commun* **264**, 393 (2006).
- [19] J. B. Xu and S. B. Li, *New J. Phys.* **7** 72 (2005).
- [20] M. Abdel-Aty, H. Moya-Cessa, *Phys. Lett. A* **369** 372 (2007).
- [21] J. Dajka, M. Mierzejewski, and J. Luczka, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** F879 (2007).
- [22] M. Abdel-Aty, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 185304 (2008).
- [23] M. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [24] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics* (Springer-Verlag Press, Heidelberg, 1995).
- [25] P. Meystre, *Phys. Rep.* **219**, 243 (1992).
- [26] W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [27] W. H. Zurek, S. Habib, and J. P. Paz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1187 (1993).
- [28] D. A. Lidar, K. B. Whaley, in *Irreversible Quantum Dynamics*, F. Benatti and R. Floreanini (Eds.), (Springer Lecture Notes in Physics vol. 622, Berlin, 2003), pp. 83-120.




FIG. 1: The schematic picture of two two-level atom within two spatially separated and dissipative cavities. The two atoms are initially prepared in EWL states. Each atom is put into a single-mode cavity and interacts with its own cavity field locally. Note that there is no direct interactions between two atoms once they have been put into cavities.




FIG. 2: The concurrence of two atoms is plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt and parameter k/Ω with $\alpha = 1$, $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$, and $p = 0.8$.




FIG. 3: The concurrence of two atoms is plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt and parameter k/Ω with $\alpha = 1$, $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$, and $p = 0.6$.




FIG. 4: The concurrence of two atoms is plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt with $k/\Omega = 0.01$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $p = 0.8$ (solid line), $p = 0.6$ (dashed line), and $p = 0.5$ (dotted line). Upper panel: The short-term behavior of the entanglement between two atoms. Lower panel: The long-term behavior of the entanglement between two atoms.




FIG. 5: The concurrence of two atoms is plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaled time Ωt with $k/\Omega = 0.01$, $p = 0.9$, $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $\alpha = 0.5$ (solid line), $\alpha = 1$ (dashed line), and $\alpha = 2$ (dotted line). Upper panel: The short-term behavior of the entanglement between two atoms. Lower panel: The long-term behavior of the entanglement between two atoms. Comparing the upper panel with the lower panel, one can observe that, in the short-term, there is ESD and the maximal values of entanglement decrease with time. However, in the long-term, there is long-lived entanglement(see the solid and dashed lines of the lower panel). The entanglement decreases with the increase of the mean photon number of the field represented by $|\alpha|^2$. If the parameter $|\alpha|^2$ is larger enough, there is no long-lived entanglement(see the dotted line of the lower panel).




FIG. 6: The concurrence of two atoms is plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaled time kt and parameter Ω/k with $\alpha = 1$, $\mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $p = 0.8$.




FIG. 7: The concurrence of two atoms is plotted as a function of the parameter α and p with $\Omega = 1, k = 0.01, \mu = \nu = 1/\sqrt{2}$, and $t = 500$. This figure clearly shows the dependence of the long time behavior of the entanglement of the atoms on the parameter α and the purity of the initial state p . From this figure, we see that the stationary state entanglement of the atoms decreases with the parameter α while increases with the purity of the initial state p .