

Optimal entanglement generation in cavity QED with dissipation

Jian-Song Zhang^{1,2} and Jing-Bo Xu^{1*}

¹ *Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics and Physics Department,
Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China*

² *Department of Applied Physics, East China Jiaotong University,
Nanchang 330013, People's Republic of China*

Abstract

We investigate a two-level atom coupled to a cavity with a strong classical driving field in a dissipative environment and find an analytical expression of the time evolution density matrix for the system. The analytical density operator is then used to study the entanglement between the atom and cavity by considering the competing process between the atom-field interactions and the field-environment interactions. It is shown that there is an optimal interaction time for generating atom-cavity entanglement.

OCIS codes: 270.5580, 270.5585

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement is one of the most striking features of quantum mechanics[1, 2]. It is central to many active research fields, such as quantum computation[3], quantum teleportation[4], quantum communication[5], and quantum key distribution[6]. The generation of quantum entangled states has attracted much attention and many physical systems including cavity quantum electrodynamics (Cavity QED)[7, 8, 9, 10], trapped ions[11], nuclear magnetic resonance[12] and quantum dots[13], have been suggested to generate entangled states.

In Ref.[14], Solano *et al.* proposed a scheme to generate the Schrödinger cat states using two-level atoms interacting with a cavity with the help of a strong classical driving field. However, a quantum system is unavoidably influenced by its surrounding environment[15, 16, 17, 18]. The interaction between the quantum system and its environment leads to decoherence which is the main problem in the generation of quantum entanglement. In[19], the authors investigated the nonclassical properties of a cavity field when

no atom crosses it under the influence of dissipation by making use of phase-space techniques.

In the present paper, we consider a quantum system consisting of a two-level atom coupled to a cavity of high quality factor with a strong classical driving field in a dissipative environment and find an analytical expression of the time evolution density matrix for the system. The analytical density matrix is then used to study the entanglement and the purity of the system. In this model, there are two competing processes in this system. One is the amplification process introduced by the atom-field interactions (which leads to entanglement). The other is the dissipation process due to the field-environment interactions(which leads to disentanglement). Our calculation shows that there is an optimal interaction time for generating atom-cavity entanglement when the coupling constants and the frequencies of the two-level atom, the cavity, and the classical driving field are given. In section II, we solve the master equation of the system by making use of the superoperator algebraic method and obtain an explicit expression of time evolution density matrix for the system of a two-level atom coupled to a cavity with a strong classical driving field

*Electronic address: xujb@zju.edu.cn

in a dissipative environment. In section III, we use the concurrence to investigate the entanglement between the two-level atom and the cavity by means of the analytical expression of the density matrix for the system. In section IV, we calculate the purity of the system by employing the linear entropy. A conclusion is given in section V.

II. SOLUTION OF AN ATOM IN A DECAY CAVITY WITH A STRONG CLASSICAL DRIVING FIELD

Now, we consider the time evolution of a two-level atom driven by an external classical field in a dissipative cavity. The total Hamiltonian of the system reads [14]

$$H = \omega a^\dagger a + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z + g_0(\sigma_+ a + \sigma_- a^\dagger) + \lambda_0(\sigma_+ e^{-i\omega_c t} + \sigma_- e^{i\omega_c t}), \quad (1)$$

where ω , ω_0 and ω_c are the frequencies of the cavity, atom and classical field, respectively. The operators σ_z and $\sigma_\pm$ are defined by $\sigma_z = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|$, $\sigma_+ = |0\rangle\langle 1|$, and $\sigma_- = |1\rangle\langle 0|$ where $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are the excited and ground states of the atom, respectively. Here, a and $a^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators of the cavity; g_0 and λ_0 are the coupling constants of the interactions of the atom with the cavity and with the classical driving field, respectively. Note that we have set $\hbar = 1$ throughout this paper. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the resonant interaction between one mode of the cavity and the two-level atom strongly driven by the classical external field. The schematic picture of the present system may be modeled as shown in Fig.1.

In the strong driving regime $\lambda_0 \gg g_0$, we can realize a rotating-wave approximation and eliminate from Eq.(1) the terms that oscillate rapidly. The Hamiltonian of the system in the interaction picture is [14]

$$V = g(a + a^\dagger)(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-), \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1: The schematic picture of a two-level atom driven by an external classical field in a dissipative cavity.

with $g = g_0/2$. We assume that there is a reservoir coupled to the electromagnetic field in the cavity. Thus, the master equation that governs the dynamics of the system is [15, 16, 19, 20]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho}{dt} &= -i[V, \rho] + \mathcal{D}\rho \\ &= -i[V, \rho] + k(2a\rho a^\dagger - a^\dagger a\rho - \rho a^\dagger a), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where k is the decay constant and the superoperator $\mathcal{D} = k(2a \cdot a^\dagger - a^\dagger a \cdot - a^\dagger a)$ represents the losses in the cavity.

Now, we show how to obtain an analytical solution of the master equation (3) with the help of superoperator algebraic method [21, 25]. The density matrix for the system can be represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \rho_{00}(t) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + \rho_{11}(t) \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ &\quad + \rho_{01}(t) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 1| + \rho_{10}(t) \otimes |1\rangle\langle 0|, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where ρ_{ij} 's are defined as $\rho_{ij} = \langle i|\rho|j\rangle$, $i, j = 0, 1$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_{00} &= -ig\{(a + a^\dagger)\rho_{10} - \rho_{01}(a + a^\dagger)\} + \mathcal{D}\rho_{00}, \\ \dot{\rho}_{01} &= -ig\{(a + a^\dagger)\rho_{11} - \rho_{00}(a + a^\dagger)\} + \mathcal{D}\rho_{01}, \\ \dot{\rho}_{10} &= -ig\{(a + a^\dagger)\rho_{00} - \rho_{11}(a + a^\dagger)\} + \mathcal{D}\rho_{10}, \\ \dot{\rho}_{11} &= -ig\{(a + a^\dagger)\rho_{01} - \rho_{10}(a + a^\dagger)\} + \mathcal{D}\rho_{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It can be proved that the above equations can be recast as

$$\dot{\eta}_{\pm}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{\pm}\eta_{\pm}(t), \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{\eta}'_{\pm}(t) = \mathcal{L}'_{\pm}\eta'_{\pm}(t), \quad (7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\pm} &= \pm ig(a \cdot + a^{\dagger} \cdot - \cdot a - \cdot a^{\dagger}) + \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathcal{L}'_{\pm} &= \pm ig(a \cdot + a^{\dagger} \cdot + \cdot a + \cdot a^{\dagger}) + \mathcal{D}, \\ \eta_{\pm} &= (\rho_{00} + \rho_{11}) \mp (\rho_{01} + \rho_{10}), \\ \eta'_{\pm} &= (\rho_{00} - \rho_{11}) \mp (\rho_{10} - \rho_{01}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here, the superoperators $a \cdot, \cdot a, a^{\dagger} \cdot$ and $\cdot a^{\dagger}$ represent the action of creation and annihilation operators on an operator

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot) o &= a o, (\cdot a) o = o a, \\ (a^{\dagger} \cdot) o &= a^{\dagger} o, (\cdot a^{\dagger}) o = o a^{\dagger}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Combing the communication relation $[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$ with the above equation, we derive the following communication relations between the superoperators

$$[(a \cdot), (a^{\dagger} \cdot)] = 1, \quad [(\cdot a), (\cdot a^{\dagger})] = -1, \quad (10)$$

while all other communication relations are zero. It is easy to check that the superoperators $\mathcal{M} = a \cdot a^{\dagger}$, $\mathcal{R} = a^{\dagger} a \cdot$ and $\mathcal{L} = \cdot a^{\dagger} a$ satisfy the relations

$$[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{M}] = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}] = -\mathcal{M}, [\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}] = 0. \quad (11)$$

We assume the field is initially in coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ and the atom is in the excited state $|0\rangle$. Thus the initial state of the atom-field system is

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = |\alpha\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \quad (12)$$

Then the elements of the density matrix are initially $\rho_{00}(0) = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ and $\rho_{11}(0) = \rho_{01}(0) = \rho_{10}(0) = 0$ which leads to $\eta_{\pm}(0) = \eta'_{\pm}(0) = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$.

Using the above results we find the solution of the master equations (6) by making

use of the superoperator algebraic method [21]

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\pm}(t) &= e^{\mathcal{L}_{\pm}t} \eta_{\pm}(0) \\ &= e^{\pm igt\mathcal{Y}_1 + kt\mathcal{X}_1} (|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|) \\ &= e^{kt\mathcal{X}_1} e^{\frac{\mp ig}{k}(1-e^{kt})\mathcal{Y}_1} (|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|) \\ &= e^{(e^{2kt}-1)\mathcal{M}} e^{-kt\mathcal{R}} e^{-kt\mathcal{L}} \\ &\quad \times e^{\frac{\mp ig}{k}(1-e^{kt})\mathcal{Y}_1} (|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|) \\ &= |\alpha_{\pm}(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_{\pm}(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{\pm}(t)\rangle &= |\alpha e^{-kt} \pm \frac{ig}{k}(1-e^{-kt})\rangle, \\ \mathcal{X}_1 &= 2\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_1 &= a \cdot + a^{\dagger} \cdot - \cdot a - \cdot a^{\dagger}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Similarly, the solutions of the master equations (7) can also be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \eta'_{\pm}(t) &= e^{\pm igt(\mathcal{X}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_2) + kt\mathcal{X}_1} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \\ &= e^{f_1} e^{f_2\mathcal{Y}_2} e^{kt\mathcal{X}_1} e^{f_2\mathcal{X}_2} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \\ &= f_{\pm}(t) |\alpha_{\pm}(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_{\mp}(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_2 &= 2(a \cdot + \cdot a^{\dagger}), \\ \mathcal{Y}_2 &= -a \cdot + a^{\dagger} \cdot - \cdot a^{\dagger} + \cdot a, \\ f_1 &= \frac{-4g^2}{k^2}(e^{-kt} - 1 + kt), \\ f_2 &= \frac{ig}{k}(1 - e^{-kt}), \\ f_{\pm} &= e^{f_1 + 2|f_2|^2 \pm f_2(\alpha + \alpha^*)(2 - e^{-kt})}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Combing the solutions of the Eqs.(6) and (7), we obtain the explicit expression of the density matrix of the system at time t

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \rho_{00}(t) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| + \rho_{11}(t) \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ &\quad + \rho_{01}(t) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 1| + \rho_{10}(t) \otimes |1\rangle\langle 0|, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{00}(t) &= \frac{1}{4} [| \alpha_+(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_+(t) | + | \alpha_-(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_-(t) | \\
&\quad + f_+(t) | \alpha_+(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_-(t) | \\
&\quad + f_-(t) | \alpha_-(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_+(t) |], \\
\rho_{11}(t) &= \frac{1}{4} [| \alpha_+(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_+(t) | + | \alpha_-(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_-(t) | \\
&\quad - f_+(t) | \alpha_+(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_-(t) | \\
&\quad - f_-(t) | \alpha_-(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_+(t) |], \\
\rho_{01}(t) &= -\frac{1}{4} [| \alpha_+(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_+(t) | - | \alpha_-(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_-(t) | \\
&\quad - f_+(t) | \alpha_+(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_-(t) | \\
&\quad + f_-(t) | \alpha_-(t) \rangle \langle \alpha_+(t) |], \\
\rho_{10}(t) &= \rho_{01}^\dagger(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Thus, we find an analytical solution of the master equation with the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\alpha\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$. In the following sections, the explicit expression of density matrix is used to investigate the entanglement and the purity of the system.

III. ENTANGLEMENT BETWEEN A TWO-LEVEL ATOM AND DECAY CAVITY

It is well known that entangled states are the basic resource of quantum information processing, such as quantum communication and quantum teleportation. Cavity QED is a useful tool to generate entangled states. However, an entangled state will become mixed and/or less entangled due to the decay of cavities. Here, we confine our consideration in the question whether we can choose an optimal combination of parameters g , k , and t to maximize the entanglement of the atom-field system. In order to study the entanglement of above system described by density matrix ρ , we adopt the measure concurrence which is defined as[22]

$$C = \max \{0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4\}, \tag{19}$$

where the λ_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) are the square roots of the eigenvalues in decreasing order of the

magnitude of the “spin-flipped” density matrix operator $R = \rho(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$ and σ_y is the Pauli Y matrix, i.e., $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

In general, the model consisting of the two-level atom and the field is a $2 \times \infty$ system. However, for the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\alpha\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$, the atom-field system can be mapped onto a 2×2 system as one can see from the density matrix. To this end we introduce two orthonormal vectors $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
|\uparrow\rangle &= |\alpha_+(t)\rangle, \\
|\downarrow\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|\lambda(t)|^2}} (|\alpha_-(t)\rangle - \lambda(t)|\alpha_+(t)\rangle),
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with $\lambda(t) = \langle \alpha_+(t) | \alpha_-(t) \rangle$. Therefore, the states $|\alpha_+(t)\rangle$ and $|\alpha_-(t)\rangle$ can be represented in terms of $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\alpha_+(t)\rangle &= |\uparrow\rangle, \\
|\alpha_-(t)\rangle &= \lambda(t)|\uparrow\rangle + \sqrt{1-|\lambda(t)|^2}|\downarrow\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Inserting Eq.(21) into Eq.(18), one can rewrite the state of the atom-field system at time t , i.e., the state of system can be mapped onto a system composed by two two-dimensional subsystems. Note that the density matrix of Eq.(17) is now an effective two-qubit system whose entanglement can be evaluated by the measure concurrence. With the help of Eqs.(17), (18), (19), and (21), one can obtain the concurrence the density matrix of Eq.(17) which is too long to present here. In Fig.2, we plot the entanglement dynamics of the quantum system as a function of time t . It is worth noting that we have used the dimensionless quantities throughout this paper.

We now turn to show that our model can be used to generate the Schrödinger cat state in the case of $\alpha = k = 0$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_\pm(t) &= \pm i g t, f_1(t) = -2 g^2 t^2, \\
f_2(t) &= i g t, f_\pm = 1, \\
\eta_\pm(t) &= |\pm i g t\rangle \langle \pm i g t|, \\
\eta'_\pm(t) &= |\pm i g t\rangle \langle \mp i g t|.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Here, we have used the fact $\alpha = k = 0$. Thus the atom-field state at time t is

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t)\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}[(|-igt\rangle + |igt\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle \\ &\quad + (|-igt\rangle - |igt\rangle) \otimes |1\rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|-igt\rangle|+\rangle - |igt\rangle|-\rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

with

$$|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \pm |0\rangle. \quad (24)$$

The entangled state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is the Schrödinger cat state.

In the case of $k > 0$, entangled states will become mixed and/or less entangled due to the decay of cavities. Fortunately, one can find that there is an optimal time t_{opt} to maximize the entanglement if the coupling constant g and the decay rate of the cavity k are given. In Fig.2, we plot the concurrence of the atom-field system as a function of time t and the coupling constant g for $k = 0$ (Upper panel) and $k = 0.05$ (Lower panel). It is not difficult to see that in the case of $k = 0$ the concurrence first increases with time t and then reaches a plateau. However, when $k > 0$ there is no plateau for the concurrence. It first increases with time t , and reaches the maximal value, then decreases with time t . Finally, the atom and the field is disentangled. In order to see this more clearly, we plot the concurrence as a function of time for different values of decay constant k in Fig.3. One can easily find that if the coupling constant g and the decay rate of the cavity k are known one can find an optimal time t_{opt} to maximize the concurrence. For instance, the optimal time t_{opt} are 0.83 and 0.61 in the case of $g = 1, k = 0.1$ and $g = 1, k = 1$, respectively.

Physically, this is a result of two competing processes. One is the amplification process due to the interaction between the atom and the cavity. The other is the dissipation process due to the field-environment interaction. These two processes compete against

each other as the system evolves. At first, the amplification process dominates the dissipation process and the entanglement of the system increases with time. Later, the dissipation process is in control and the entanglement decreases with time. Finally, the atom-field system is disentangled as one can see from Fig.3. One can also use the negativity to evaluate the degree of entanglement of the two-qubit system (e.g., see Ref.[24]). For a 2×2 quantum system the concurrence and negativity are both good entanglement measures. In fact it has been proved that the negativity of a state is always larger than $\sqrt{(1-C)^2 + C^2} - (1-C)$ and smaller than C , where C is the concurrence of a state[23]. For a 2×3 quantum system the concurrence is not applicable while the negativity is still valid[24].

IV. PURITY OF SYSTEM

In this section, we investigate the purity of the system by employing the linear entropy. Many protocols in quantum information processing require pure, maximally entangled quantum states. For example, quantum teleportation often relies heavily on the purity and entanglement of the initial state. However, a pure and entangled quantum system usually becomes mixed and/or less entangled under the influence of decoherence. Here, we adopt the linear entropy to quantify the mixedness of a state defined by

$$S(\rho) = 1 - \text{Tr}(\rho^2). \quad (25)$$

Generally, if ρ is the density matrix of a pure state, $s = 0$, otherwise $s > 0$. It has also been proved that a bipartite mixed states is useless for quantum teleportation if its linear entropy exceeds $1/2$ for a two qubits system. The purity of the atom-field system is[25]

$$\begin{aligned} S(\rho) &= 1 - \text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1 - \text{Tr}_F\{\text{Tr}_A(\rho^2)\} \\ &= 1 - \text{Tr}_F\{(\rho_{00} + \rho_{11})^2\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - |f_+(t)|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$




FIG. 2: The concurrence is plotted as a function of g and t with $\alpha = 1$. Upper panel: The decay rate constant k is zero. Lower panel: The decay rate constant k is 0.05.

where we have used the fact

$$\text{Tr}_F(|\alpha_+(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_-(t)|) = \langle\alpha_-(t)|\alpha_+(t)\rangle. \quad (27)$$

In order to analyze the purity of the two-level atom at time t , we first trace out the field's variables and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(t) = & \frac{1}{2}\{1 + \text{Re}[f_+(t)\lambda(t)]\}|\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\{1 - \text{Re}[f_+(t)\lambda(t)]\}|\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| \\ & + \frac{i\text{Im}[f_+(t)\lambda(t)]}{2}(|\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| - |\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow|), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$




FIG. 3: The concurrence is plotted as a function of t with $g = 1$ for $k = 0$ (solid line), $k = 0.1$ (dash line) and $k = 1$ (dot line).

with Re and Im denoting the real and the imaginary part of a complex number, respectively. The linear entropy of the atom is

$$S_A(\rho_A) = \frac{1}{2}[1 - |f_+(t)\lambda(t)|^2]. \quad (29)$$

Finally, we investigate the purity of the field. After tracing out the atom's variables, the density matrix of the field is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_F(t) &= \rho_{00}(t) + \rho_{11}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[|\alpha_+(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_+(t)| + |\alpha_-(t)\rangle\langle\alpha_-(t)|]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

One can write the linear entropy of the field as follows

$$S_F(\rho_F) = \frac{1}{2}[1 - |\lambda(t)|^2]. \quad (31)$$

By using the explicit expression of the functions $|f_+(t)|^2$ and $|\lambda(t)|^2$, we find that the linear entropies S , S_A , and S_F are independent of the parameter α . The linear entropy is plotted as a function of time t for several values of k/g in Figs.(4-6). From Fig.4, we can see that the linear entropies S , S_A , and S_F increase with time and then reach the maximal value 0.5. However, the situation is different when the parameter k/g increases. In Fig.5 and Fig.6, it is easy to see that the maximal values of S_F (dotted line) is less than 0.5. In general, the linear entropy S_A is larger than the linear entropy S . As the parameter k/g




FIG. 4: The linear entropy is plotted as a function of t with $k/g = 0.3$ for S (solid line), S_F (dotted line) and S_A (dashed line).




FIG. 5: The linear entropy is plotted as a function of t with $k/g = 1$ for S (solid line), S_F (dotted line) and S_A (dashed line).

increases, the linear entropy S_F decreases significantly(see the dotted line in Fig.6). This result is similar to that obtained in Ref.[25]. The atomic and field coherence loss can be measured by the linear entropy S_A and S_F [25]. Comparing Figs.(4-6), one can see that the atomic coherence S_A and the field coherence S_F decrease with the increase of the decay rate k . However, the atom-field system preserves its linear entropy S as the system evolves. For example, the linear entropy of the atom-field system is 0.5 if $t > 5$ (see the solid lines in Figs.(4-6)) which is a result of two competing processes. One is the process due to the interaction between the atom and the field. The other is the process due to the field-environment interaction. As we have pointed out, these two processes compete against each other during the whole evolution. The linear entropy S first increases with time t and then reaches a plateau. We note that the similar features have also been observed in Ref.[18] where a Cooper-pair box is put into a phase-damped cavity.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, we have investigated entanglement dynamics of a quantum system consisting of one two-level atom coupled to a dissipative cavity with a strong classical driv-




FIG. 6: The linear entropy is plotted as a function of t with $k/g = 6$ for S (solid line), S_F (dotted line) and S_A (dashed line).

ing field. An analytical expression of the time evolution density matrix operator for the system is found and used to study the entanglement and the purity of the system. There are two competing processes. One is the amplification process due to the interaction between the atom and the cavity. The other is the dissipation process due to the field-environment interaction. Our calculation shows that there is an optimal interaction time to maximize the entanglement of the atom-field system in the presence of dissipation when the coupling constants and the frequencies of the two-level atom, the cavity, and the classical driving field are given. The approach adopted in the present paper may be extended to systems

of two or more two-level atoms in dissipative cavities.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of

China (Grant no. 10774131) and the National Key Project for Fundamental Research of China (Grant no. 2006CB921403).

References

-
- [1] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "A scalable quantum computer with ions in an array of microtraps," *Nature*(London) **404**, 579-581 (2000).
 - [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
 - [3] C. H. Bennett and D. P. DiVincenzo, "Quantum information and computation," *Nature*(London) **404**, 247-255 (2000).
 - [4] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, "Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895-1898 (1993).
 - [5] N. Gisin and R. Thew, "Quantum communication," *Nat. Phot.* **1**, 165-171 (2007).
 - [6] A. K. Ekert, "Quantum cryptography based on Bells theorem," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 661-663 (1991).
 - [7] A. Rauschenbeutel, G. Nogues, S. Osnaghi, P. Bertet, M. Brune, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, "Coherent Operation of a Tunable Quantum Phase Gate in Cavity QED," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 5166-5169 (1999).
 - [8] M. Yonac and J. H. Eberly, "Qubit entanglement driven by remote optical fields," *Opt. Lett.* **33**, 270-272 (2008).
 - [9] M. Bina, F. Casagrande, A. Lulli, and E. Solano, "Monitoring atom-atom entanglement and decoherence in a solvable tripartite open system in cavity QED," *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 033839 (2008).
 - [10] S. B. Li and J. B. Xu, "Entangling two mode thermal fields through quantum erasing," *Phys. Lett. A* **337**, 321-328 (2005).
 - [11] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "Quantum Computations with Cold Trapped Ions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4091-4094 (1995).
 - [12] N. A. Gerhenfeld and I. L. Chuang, "Bulk Spin-Resonance Quantum Computation," *Science*(London) **275**, 350-356 (1997).
 - [13] A. Barenco, D. Deutsch, A. Ekert, and R. Jozsa, "Conditional Quantum Dynamics and Logic Gates," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4083-4086 (1995).
 - [14] E. Solano, G. S. Agarwal, and H. Walther, "Strong-Driving-Assisted Multipartite Entanglement in Cavity QED," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 027903 (2003).
 - [15] C. W. Gardiner, *Quantum Noise* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
 - [16] J. P. Paz and W. H. Zurek, *Environment-Induced Decoherence and the Transition From Quantum to Classical*, Lecture Notes in Physics No. 587 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002), pp. 77-148.
 - [17] R. M. Angelo, E. S. Cardoso, and K. Furuya, "Decoherence induced by a phase-damping reservoir," *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 062107 (2006).
 - [18] M. Abdel-Aty, "A qualitative perspective on the dynamics of a single-Cooper-pair box with a phase-damped cavity," *J. Phys. A* **41**, 185304 (2008).
 - [19] P. Lougovski, F. Casagrande, A. Lulli, B. G. Englert, E. Solano, and H. Walther, "Solvable model of a strongly driven micro-

- maser,” Phys. Rev. A **69**, 023812 (2004).
- [20] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [21] J. B. Xu and X. B. Zou, “Dynamic algebraic approach to the system of a three-level atom in the configuration,” Phys. Rev. A **60**, 4743-4752 (1999).
- [22] W. K. Wootters, “Entanglement of Formation of an Arbitrary State of Two Qubits,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245-2248 (1998).
- [23] F. Verstraete, K. Audenaert, J. Dehaene, and B. D. Moor, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **34**, 10327 (2001).
- [24] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032314 (2002).
- [25] de Faria J. G. Peixoto and M. C. Nemes, “Aspects of the dynamics of a two-level atom dispersively coupled to a damped and driven field mode,” Phys. Rev. A **69**, 063812 (2004).