# A Simplified Proof For The Application Of Freivalds' Technique to Verify Matrix Multiplication

Vamsi Kundeti

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University of Connecticut Storrs, CT 06269, USA {vamsik}@engr.uconn.edu

**Abstract.** Fingerprinting is a well known technique, which is often used in designing Monte Carlo algorithms for verifying identities involving matrices, integers and polynomials. The book by Motwani and Raghavan [1] shows how this technique can be applied to check the correctness of matrix multiplication – check if AB = C where A, B and C are three  $n \times n$ matrices. The result is a Monte Carlo algorithm running in time  $\Theta(n^2)$ with an exponentially decreasing error probability after each independent iteration. In this paper we give a simple alternate proof addressing the same problem. We also give further generalizations and relax various assumptions made in the proof.

### 1 Introduction

Fingerprinting or Freivalds' technique is a standard method which is often employed in designing Monte Carlo algorithms. Let U be a large universe/set of elements, given any  $x, y \in U$  our goal is to check if x and y are the same. Since we need  $\Theta(\log(U))$  bits to represent any  $x, y \in U$ , this means checking if x = y deterministically would need  $\Omega(\log(U))$  time. The basic idea behind finger printing is create a random mapping  $r: U \to V$  such that  $|V| \ll |U|$ , and verify if V(x) = V(y). However it should be clear that V(x) = V(y) does not necessarily mean x = y – in fact the goal is to find a V such the error probability P[V(x) = V(y)|x = y] is very small. Once we prove that our *error probability* is bounded by some constant, a Monte Carlo algorithm is clearly immediate. Motwani and Raghavan [1] applied this technique to check the correctness of matrix multiplication, we state the as follows. Given three  $n \times n$  matrices A, B and C check if AB = C. Clearly a simple deterministic algorithm takes  $\Theta(n^3)$ time. Firstly In this paper we give a simple alternate proof for the Theorem-7.2 presented in [1], secondly we relax various constraints and give a much general proof.

2 Vamsi Kundeti

#### 2 Our Proofs

We first give a simple and alternative proof for Theorem-7.2 in [1]. Later in Theorem 2 we show that the assumption on the *uniformness* is not necessary.

**Theorem 1.** Let A, B and C be three  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $AB \neq C$ . Let  $\mathbf{r} \in \{0,1\}^n$  is a random vector from a uniform distribution. Then  $P[AB\mathbf{r} = C\mathbf{r}|AB \neq C] \leq 1/2$ 

*Proof.* Let X be a  $n \times n$  matrix and  $\boldsymbol{x_1}, \boldsymbol{x_2} \dots \boldsymbol{x_n}$  be the column vectors of X. Then  $X\boldsymbol{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \boldsymbol{x_1}$ . This means that multiplying a vector with a matrix is linear combination of the columns, the coefficient  $r_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  component of  $\boldsymbol{r}$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{r}$  is a boolean and  $r_i$  acts as an indicator variable on the selection of column  $\boldsymbol{x_i}$ . So if  $\boldsymbol{r}$  is chosen from a uniform distribution  $P[r_i = 0] = P[r_i = 1] = 1/2$ .

Now let D = AB and  $d_1, d_2 \dots d_n$  be the column vectors of D, similarly let  $c_1, c_2 \dots c_n$  be the column vectors of C. Let  $Y = \{d_j | d_j \neq c_j$ , clearly  $|Y| \geq 1$  since  $C \neq D$ . Then  $P[ABr = Cr|AB \neq C] = \prod_{d_i \notin Y} P[r_i] = (1/2)^{n-|Y|} \leq 1/2$  since  $1 \leq |Y| \leq n-1$ . Intuitively this means we select our random vector r such that  $r_i = 0$  for all  $d_i \in Y$ , such a selection will always ensure ABr = Cr even though  $AB \neq C$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let A,B and C be three  $n \times n$  matrices. Let  $\mathbf{r'} = [r_1, r_2 \dots r_n]$ any vector with each component  $r_i$  is a i.i.d random variable  $r_i \sim f(r)$ . Then  $P[AB\mathbf{r} = C\mathbf{r}|AB \neq C] \leq f(r)$ . Where f(r) is an arbitrary probability density/distribution function.

*Proof.* Continuing with the proof of Theorem- 1 ,  $P[ABr = Cr|AB \neq C] = \prod_{d_i \notin Y} P[r = r_i] \leq f(r)$ .

**Corollary 1.** There always exists an  $\Theta(n^2)$  time Monte Carlo algorithm with exponentially decreasing error probability, for the problem to check if AB = C.

#### 3 Conclusions

We give a simple and alternate proof for the proof given by Motwani [1], to verify if AB = C using a Monte Carlo algorithm. We also relax uniformness assumption made by the proof.

## References

1. Motwani, R., Raghavan, P.: Randomized Algorithms. Cambridge (1995)