

Closed-form formulae for the derivatives of trigonometric functions at rational multiples of π

Djurdje Cvijović

*Atomic Physics Laboratory, Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences
P.O. Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Republic of Serbia*

E-Mail: djurdje@vinca.rs

Abstract. In this sequel to our recent note [4] it is shown, in a unified manner, by making use of some basic properties of certain special functions, such as the Hurwitz zeta function, Lerch zeta function and Legendre chi function, that the values of all derivatives of four trigonometric functions at rational multiples of π can be expressed in closed form as simple finite sums involving the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. In addition, some particular cases are considered.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33B10, 11B68; Secondary 11M35.

Keywords: Trigonometric functions; Hurwitz zeta function; Legendre chi function; Lerch zeta function; Bernoulli polynomials; Euler polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Adamchik [1, p. 4, Eq. 26] solved completely a long-standing problem of finding a closed-form expression for the higher derivatives of the cotangent function [1, 2, 3]. In a recent note [4], we have deduced closed-form expressions for the values of the higher derivatives of the cotangent function at rational multiples of π , which are considerably simpler than those found by Kölbig [3, Theorem 4].

In this sequel, by using different arguments, we provide another more direct and shorter proof of this result (Theorem 1(i)) and also derive corresponding (and previously unknown) simple closed-form formulae for the cosecant, tangent and secant functions (Theorems 1(ii) and 2). More specifically, we show in a unified manner (by making use of the properties of certain special functions, such as the Hurwitz zeta function, Lerch zeta function and Legendre chi function) that the values of all derivatives of these trigonometric functions at rational multiples of π can be expressed as finite sums involving the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials.

2. STATEMENT AND PROOF OF THE RESULTS

In what follows, we set $\imath := \sqrt{-1}$, an empty sum is interpreted as zero, and the classical Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, $B_n(x)$ and $E_n(x)$, are defined by [5, pp. 59 and 63]:

$$\frac{te^{tx}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < 2\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2e^{tx}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < \pi) \quad (2.1)$$

$(n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup 0; \mathbb{N} := 1, 2, 3, \dots).$

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1. *If $n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and p and q are such that $1 \leq p < q$, then, in terms of the Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$, we have:*

$$(i) \quad \left. \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \cot(\pi x) \right|_{x=\frac{p}{q}} = i^{n+1} \frac{2(2\pi q)^n}{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha p}{q}} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right);$$

$$(ii) \quad \left. \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \csc(\pi x) \right|_{x=\frac{p}{q}} = i^{n+1} \frac{2(2\pi q)^n}{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q e^{\frac{\pi i (2\alpha-1)p}{q}} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right).$$

Theorem 2. *If $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ and p and q are such that $1 \leq p < q/2$, then, in terms of the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, $B_n(x)$ and $E_n(x)$, we have:*

$$(i) \quad \left. \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \tan(\pi x) \right|_{x=\frac{p}{q}} = i^{n+1} (2\pi q)^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^q (-1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha p}{q}} \begin{cases} -E_n\left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right) & q \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{2}{n+1} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right) & q \text{ is even} \end{cases};$$

$$(ii) \quad \left. \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \sec(\pi x) \right|_{x=\frac{p}{q}} = i^n (2\pi q)^n \cdot \sum_{\alpha=1}^q (-1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{\pi i (2\alpha-1)p}{q}} \begin{cases} E_n\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) & q \text{ is odd} \\ -\frac{2}{n+1} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) & q \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

Remark 1. As the following examples show, the above formulae can be rewritten in a somewhat different form:

$$\left. \csc(\pi x)^{(2n-1)} \right|_{x=\frac{p}{q}} = \frac{(-1)^n (2\pi q)^{2n-1}}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q B_{2n}\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi(2\alpha-1)p}{q}\right),$$

$$\left. \csc(\pi x)^{(2n)} \right|_{x=\frac{p}{q}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} 2(2\pi q)^{2n}}{2n+1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q B_{2n+1}\left(\frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi(2\alpha-1)p}{q}\right).$$

Further, it should be noted that a number of simpler expressions could be obtained by specializing the results for $x = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{6}$ and $\frac{5}{6}$. For instance:

$$\left. \csc(\pi x)^{(2n-1)} \right|_{x=\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \quad \left. \csc(\pi x)^{(2n)} \right|_{x=\frac{1}{2}} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{4(4\pi)^{2n}}{2n+1} B_{2n+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right);$$

$$\left. \cot(\pi x)^{(2n-1)} \right|_{x=\frac{1}{4}} = \left. \cot(\pi x)^{(2n-1)} \right|_{x=\frac{3}{4}} = (-1)^n (4\pi)^{2n-1} (2^{2n} - 1) \frac{B_{2n}(0)}{n};$$

$$\left. \cot(\pi x)^{(2n)} \right|_{x=\frac{1}{4}} = - \left. \cot(\pi x)^{(2n)} \right|_{x=\frac{3}{4}} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{4(8\pi)^{2n}}{2n+1} B_{2n+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right).$$

Let $\zeta(s, a)$ and $\zeta^*(s, a)$ denote, respectively, the Hurwitz (or generalized) zeta function defined by [5, p. 96]:

$$\zeta(s, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^s} \quad (a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}; \Re(s) > 1) \quad (2.2)$$

and its alternating counterpart defined by (see e.g. [6, p. 761]):

$$\zeta^*(s, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+a)^s} \quad (a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \Re(s) > 0), \quad (2.3)$$

and observe that the following relationships hold [5, p. 85, Eq. (17)]:

$$\zeta(1-n, a) = -\frac{B_n(a)}{n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2.4)$$

and [6, p. 761, Eq. (2.3)]

$$\zeta^*(-n, a) = \frac{1}{2} E_n(a) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Our proof requires the use of the Lerch zeta function and the Legendre chi function which are defined, respectively, by the series [5, p. 89, Eq. (7)]:

$$\ell_s(\xi) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i k \xi}}{k^s} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \Re(s) > 1), \quad (2.6)$$

and (see, for instance, [7]):

$$\chi_s(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^s} \quad (|z| \leq 1; \Re(s) > 1), \quad (2.7)$$

and their meromorphic continuations over the whole s -plane. First, we shall show that

$$\ell_0(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \cot(\pi \xi), \quad \ell_{1-n}(\xi) = \frac{i}{2(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\xi^{n-1}} \cot(\pi \xi) \quad (2.8)$$

($\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}; n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$)

and

$$\chi_{1-n}(e^{\pi i \xi}) = \frac{i}{2(\pi i)^{n-1}} \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\xi^{n-1}} \csc(\pi \xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}; n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.9)$$

To prove (2.8) note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ell_s(\xi) = 2\pi i \ell_{s-1}(\xi), \quad (2.10)$$

which, in turn, follows from (2.6) for $\Re(s) > 2$ and by analytic continuation for all s . The definition in (2.6) also yields $\ell_1(\xi) = -\log(1 - e^{2\pi i \xi})$ ($\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$) and from this we obtain $\ell_0(\xi)$ by (2.10). Using (2.10) repeatedly with initial value $\ell_0(\xi)$ leads to $\ell_{1-n}(\xi)$ given by (2.8). Likewise, we have (2.9) by making use of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \chi_s(e^{\pi i \xi}) = \pi i \chi_{s-1}(e^{\pi i \xi}) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\chi_0(e^{\pi i \xi}) = \frac{i}{2} \csc(\pi \xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.12)$$

Second, from (2.6), we obtain (cf. [8, p. 1531])

$$\ell_s\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i (k+1)p/q}}{(k+1)^s} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i k p} e^{2\pi i (\alpha+1)p/q}}{q^s (k + (\alpha+1)/q)^s},$$

so that, in view of the definition in (2.2), we have (see [8, p. 1530, Eq. 8(a)])

$$\ell_s\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q \zeta\left(s, \frac{\alpha}{q}\right) e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha p}{q}} \quad (p, q \in \mathbb{N}; p = 1, \dots, q). \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, starting from (2.7), we find that

$$\chi_s\left(e^{\frac{\pi i p}{q}}\right) = \frac{1}{(2q)^s} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q \zeta\left(s, \frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) e^{\frac{\pi i (2\alpha-1)p}{q}} \quad (p, q \in \mathbb{N}; p = 1, \dots, q). \quad (2.14)$$

It should be noted that (2.13) and (2.14) are derived for $\Re(s) > 1$ but, by the principle of analytic continuation, they hold true for any complex s , $s \neq 1$.

Lastly, set $s = 1 - n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$). Part (i) now follows at once by applying (2.13) in conjunction with (2.8) and (2.4). Also, Equation (2.14), in conjunction with (2.9) and (2.4), gives part (ii). \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Instead of the functions $\ell_s(\xi)$ and $\chi_s(z)$ used above, we now introduce the functions $\ell_s^*(\xi)$ and $\chi_s^*(z)$ by means of the series

$$\ell_s^*(\xi) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{e^{2\pi i k \xi}}{k^s} \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \Re(s) > 0) \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\chi_s^*(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^s} \quad (|z| \leq 1; \Re(s) > 0), \quad (2.16)$$

and their meromorphic continuations over the whole s -plane.

The proof follows precisely along the same lines as that of Theorem 1: We first show that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0^*(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \tan(\pi \xi), & \ell_{1-n}^*(\xi) &= \frac{i}{2(2\pi i)^{n-1}} \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\xi^{n-1}} \tan(\pi \xi) \\ &(\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(2k+1)\frac{1}{2} | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}; n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\chi_{1-n}^*(e^{\pi i \xi}) = \frac{i}{2(\pi i)^{n-1}} \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\xi^{n-1}} \sec(\pi \xi) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(2k+1)\frac{1}{2} | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}; n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.18)$$

We next establish the following formulae valid for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ($p = 1, \dots, q$):

$$\ell_s^*\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q (-1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha p}{q}} \begin{cases} \zeta^*\left(s, \frac{\alpha}{q}\right) & q \text{ is odd} \\ \zeta\left(s, \frac{\alpha}{q}\right) & q \text{ is even} \end{cases}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\chi_s^*\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q (-1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{\pi i (2\alpha-1)p}{q}} \begin{cases} \zeta^*\left(s, \frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) & q \text{ is odd} \\ \zeta\left(s, \frac{2\alpha-1}{2q}\right) & q \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

and finally set $s = 1 - n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$). It is straightforward to see that part (i) is obtained by (2.19) together with (2.15) and either (2.4) or (2.5). Also, Equation (2.20), together with (2.16) and either (2.4) or (2.5), yields part (ii). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V.S. Adamchik, On the Hurwitz function for rational arguments, *App. Math. Comp.* **187** (2007), 3–12.
- [2] T.M. Apostol, Dirichlet L-functions and character power sums, *J. Numb. Theory* **2** (1970), 223–234.
- [3] K.S. Kölbig, The polygamma function and the derivatives of the cotangent function for rational arguments, CERN-IT-Reports, CERN-CN-96-005, 1996.
- [4] D. Cvijović, Values of the derivatives of the cotangent at rational multiples of π , *Appl. Math. Lett.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2008.03.013>.
- [5] H.M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2001.
- [6] C.-H. Chang and C.-W. Ha, A multiplication theorem for the Lerch zeta function and explicit representations of the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **315** (2006), 758–767.
- [7] D. Cvijović and J. Klinowski, Values of the Legendre chi and Hurwitz zeta functions at rational arguments, *Math. Comp.* **68** (1999), 1623–1630.
- [8] D. Cvijović and J. Klinowski, New formulae for the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials at rational arguments, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 1527–1535.