SEMILOCAL FORMAL FIBERS OF PRINCIPAL PRIME IDEALS

JOHN CHATLOS, BRIAN SIMANEK, NATHANIEL G. WATSON, AND SHERRY X. WU

ABSTRACT. Let (T, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local (Notherian) ring, C a finite set of pairwise incomparable nonmaximal prime ideals of T, and $p \in T$ a nonzero element. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions for T to be the completion of an integral domain A containing the prime ideal pA whose formal fiber is semilocal with maximal ideals the elements of C.

1. INTRODUCTION

One way to better understand the relationship between a commutative local ring and its completion is to examine the formal fibers of the ring. Given a local ring A with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{m} -adic completion \widehat{A} , the formal fiber of a prime ideal $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ is defined to be $\operatorname{Spec}(\widehat{A} \otimes_A k(P))$, where $k(P) := A_P/PA_P$. Because there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements in the formal fiber of P and the prime ideals in the inverse image of P under the map from $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}$ to $\operatorname{Spec} A$ given by $Q \to Q \cap A$, we can think of $Q \in \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{A}$ as being in the formal fiber of P if and only if $Q \cap A = P$.

One fruitful way of researching formal fibers has been, instead of directly computing the formal fibers of rings, to investigate "inverse" formal fiber questions—that is, given a complete local ring T, when does there exist a local ring A such that $\hat{A} = T$ and both A and the formal fibers of prime ideals in A meet certain prespecified conditions? One important result of this type is due to P. Charters and S. Loepp, who show in [1] that given a complete local ring T with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and $G \subset \operatorname{Spec} T$ where G is a finite set of prime ideals which are pairwise incomparable by inclusion, there exists a local domain A such that $\hat{A} = T$ and the formal fiber of the zero ideal of A is semilocal with maximal ideals exactly the elements of G if and only if certain relatively weak conditions are satisfied.

In this paper we address a similar question: what are the necessary and sufficient conditions for T to be the completion of a local domain A possessing a principal prime ideal with a specified semilocal formal fiber?

This research was supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0353634. The authors would like to acknowledge S. Loepp for encouragement and many useful suggestions.

Partial results on this subject were achieved by A. Dundon, D. Jensen, S. Loepp, J. Provine, and J. Rodu in [2], under the constraint that the specified set $G = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\}$ of nonmaximal ideals in the formal fiber is such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ contains a nonzero regular *prime* element p of T. In particular, suppose this holds and with Π denoting the prime subring of T, we have that either $\Pi \cap Q_i = (0)$ for every i or $\Pi \cap Q_i = p\Pi$ for every i. In [2] it is shown that there exists a local domain A such that $\widehat{A} = T, p \in A, pA \in \text{Spec } A$ and the formal fiber of pA is semilocal with maximal ideals the elements of C if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $p \in Q_i$ for every *i*.
- (2) If dim T = 1 then $C = \mathfrak{m}$.
- (3) If dim T > 1, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin C$.

Note that the condition that T contains the prime ideal pT for a nonzero p in T implies that T is a domain.

The main theorem in this paper is an improvement on the results in [2]. We eliminate the assumption that p is a prime element in T. Moreover, Theorem 2.13 in this paper provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a complete local ring to be the completion of an integral domain containing a height one principal prime ideal with specified semilocal formal fiber. Specifically, let T be a complete local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , Π the prime subring of T, and $C = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\}$ a finite set of nonmaximal incomparable prime ideals of T. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ with $p \neq 0$. We show that there exists a local domain A with $p \in A$ such that $\widehat{A} = T$ and pA is a prime ideal whose formal fiber is semilocal with maximal ideals the elements of C if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) Q_i has height at least one for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.
- (2) For all $P \in Ass T/pT$, $P \subseteq Q_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.
- (3) $P \cap \Pi[p] = (0)$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Ass} T$.
- (4) $((Q_i \setminus pT)\Pi[p]) \cap \Pi[p] = \{0\}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

The proof that the above conditions are necessary is relatively short. Therefore most of this paper is devoted to showing they are sufficient by constructing an integral domain A with the desired properties. The general strategy behind our construction, which is similar to constructions in both [1] and [2], is to start with the prime subring of T localized at its maximal ideal and recursively build up an ascending chain of subrings maintaining some specific properties. Our final ring A will be the union of all the subrings in the chain. Most of the work in the construction goes toward insuring that A simultaneously meets three conditions: the map $A \to T/J$ is onto for every ideal J such that $J \notin Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$; $IT \cap A = I$ for every finitely generated ideal I of A; and $(Q_i \setminus pT)A \cap A = \{0\}$ for all i. These conditions will ensure that $\widehat{A} = T$ and that $pA \in \text{Spec } A$ has a semilocal formal fiber with maximal ideals precisely the elements of C.

Throughout this paper, all rings will be commutative with unity. When we say a ring is "quasilocal" we mean that it has one maximal ideal. A "local" ring will be a Noetherian quasilocal ring.

2. Semilocal Formal Fibers of Principle Prime Ideals of a Domain

Suppose we are given a complete local ring (T, \mathfrak{m}) , and a finite set $C = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\} \subseteq \text{Spec } T$ of pairwise incomparable (that is, $Q_i \subseteq Q_j$ if and only if $Q_i = Q_j$) nonmaximal prime ideals. In this section we answer the following question. When is it true that there is a local domain A such that $\widehat{A} = T$ and there is some principle prime $P \in \text{Spec } A$ such that the formal fiber of P is semilocal with maximal ideals $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\}$?

Definition 2.1. Let S be a set. Define $\Gamma(S) = \sup(|S|, \aleph_0)$.

Note that clearly if T and S are sets, $\Gamma(S)\Gamma(T) = \sup(\Gamma(S), \Gamma(T))$. This definition simplifies the statement of some of our lemmas.

Definition 2.2. Let (T, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local ring and suppose we have a finite, pairwise incomparable set $C = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} T$. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a nonzero regular element of T. Suppose that $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ is a quasilocal subring of T containing p with the following properties:

- (1) $\Gamma(R) < |T|;$
- (2) If P is an associated prime ideal of T then $R \cap P = (0)$;
- (3) For all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $((Q_i \setminus pT)R) \cap R = \{0\}$ where $(Q_i \setminus pT)R = \{t \in T | t = qr \text{ for some } q \in Q_i \setminus pT, r \in R\}^1$

Then we call R a pT-complement avoiding subring of T, which we shorten to pca subring.

To show the existence of our local domain A, we construct a chain of intermediate pca subrings and then let A be the union of these subrings. For some steps of the construction we need the additional

¹Though this condition admittedly looks a little strange, if Q_i^n is primary for each *i* and all *n*, it is equivalent to $Q_i^n \cap R = p^n T \cap R$ for all *n*.

condition that $pT \cap R = pR$ for our subring R. The following lemmas show that given a pca subring R, we can find a larger pca subring S with this property. Note that these lemmas (2.3, 2.6, and 2.7) are parallel to Lemmas 3.2, 3.4, and 3.5 respectively in [2], with the pin subrings of [2] replaced with pca subrings in this paper. This change necessitates that the proof of Lemma 2.3 differs substantially from the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [2], but the proofs of Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 are essentially the same as the proofs of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 of [2] respectively.

Lemma 2.3. Let (T, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local ring and suppose we have a finite, pairwise incomparable set $C = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k\} \subseteq \text{Spec } T$. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a nonzero regular element of T. Let $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ be a pca subring of (T, \mathfrak{m}) and let $c \in pT \cap R$. Then there exists a pca subring S of T such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$, $c \in pS$, and $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$.

Proof. Since $c \in pT \cap R$, c = pu for some element u in T. We claim that $S = R[u]_{R[u] \cap \mathfrak{m}}$ is the desired subring. Clearly $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$ and in particular $\Gamma(S) < |T|$.

First we consider an arbitrary $f \in R[u]$ with $f \neq 0$. We can write $f = r_n u^n + \cdots + r_1 u + r_0$ for some $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$. Then

$$p^{n}f = r_{n}(pu)^{n} + pr_{n-1}(pu)^{n-1} + \dots + p^{n-1}r_{1}(pu) + p^{n}r_{0} = r_{n}c^{n} + pr_{n-1}c^{n-1} + \dots + p^{n-1}r_{1}c + p^{n}r_{0}$$

and thus we see $p^n f \in R$.

Now for any $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, choose an $f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u]) \cap R[u]$. Since $f \in (Q_i \setminus pT)R[u]$ we can write f = qg where $q \in Q_i \setminus pT$ and $g \in R[u]$. Find an n such that $p^n f \in R$ and an n' such that $p^{n'}g \in R$. Let $m = \max\{n, n'\}$ so that $p^m f \in R$ and $p^m g \in R$. But we see that $p^m f = qp^m g$, and so $p^m f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R) \cap R$, and since R is a pca subring we know $p^m f = 0$. Since p is not a zero divisor we have f = 0 and so $f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u]) \cap R[u] = \{0\}$.

Now we check that we maintain this property when localizing at $R[u] \cap \mathfrak{m}$ which will give us that $((Q_i \setminus pT)S) \cap S = \{0\}$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Suppose we have an element $s \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)S) \cap S$. We can then write s = f/g = qf'/g' with $f, g, f', g' \in R[u]$ with g and g' units in T and $q \in Q_i \setminus pT$. Then we have fg' = qf'g and so clearly $fg' \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u]) \cap R[u]$ and so fg' = 0. Since g' is a unit, we have f = 0 and thus s = 0. We have now shown $((Q_i \setminus pT)S) \cap S = \{0\}$.

Finally, let $P \in Ass T$ and let $f \in P \cap R[u]$. Choose an n such that $p^n f \in R$. Then $p^n f \in R \cap P$ and so $p^n f = 0$ since R is a pca subring. Since p is not a zerodivisor, f = 0 and so we have that $P \cap S = 0$. We have now verified all the conditions necessary to show that S is a pca subring of T. \Box In the constructions in the sequel, we will often need to take unions of pca subrings at intermediate steps. The purpose of Lemma 2.4 is to avoid repeating the arguments checking that the union is still a pca subring.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose we have (T, \mathfrak{m}) , C, and p as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3. Let Ω be a well ordered set and let $\{R_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be a set of pca subrings indexed by Ω with the property $R_{\alpha} \subseteq R_{\beta}$ for all α and β such that $\alpha < \beta$. Let $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$. Then $S \cap P = (0)$ for all associated primes P of Tand $((Q_i \setminus pT)S) \cap S = \{0\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Furthermore if $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) \leq \lambda$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega$ we have $\Gamma(S) \leq \lambda \Gamma(\Omega)$ and so if $\Gamma(\Omega) \leq \lambda$ and $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) = \lambda$ for some α we have $\Gamma(S) = \lambda$.

Proof. No further explanation is necessary for the cardinality conditions. Clearly $S \cap P = (0)$ for all $P \in AssT$ because the R_{α} are pca subrings and so none contains a nonzero element of any associated prime ideal of T. Finally, suppose we have $((Q_i \setminus pT)S) \cap S \neq \{0\}$ for some $Q_i \in C$. Then for some $r, r' \in S$ with $r \neq 0$ and for some $q \in Q_i \setminus pT$ we have r = qr'. If we choose α such that $r, r' \in R_{\alpha}$, we see $((Q_i \setminus pT)R_{\alpha}) \cap R_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$, contradicting the hypothesis that R_{α} is a pca subring.

Definition 2.5. Let Ω be a well ordered set and $\alpha \in \Omega$. We define $\gamma(\alpha) = \sup\{\beta \in \Omega \mid \beta < \alpha\}$.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose we have (T, \mathfrak{m}) , C, and p as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3. Given $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ a pca subring of (T, \mathfrak{m}) , there exists a pca subring S of T with $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$ such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ and $pT \cap R \subseteq pS$.

Proof. Let $\Omega = pT \cap R$. Clearly $\Gamma(\Omega) \leq \Gamma(R)$. Well order Ω and let 0 denote the first element. Let $R_0 = R$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Using induction, assume that a pca subring R_β with $\Gamma(R_\beta) = \Gamma(R)$ has been defined for every $\beta < \alpha$ so that $\delta \in pR_\beta$ for all $\delta < \beta$.

If $\gamma(\alpha) < \alpha$, then construct R_{α} from $R_{\gamma(\alpha)}$ using Lemma 2.3 with $c = \gamma(\alpha)$. By construction, R_{α} is a pca subring of T and $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) = \Gamma(R_{\gamma(\alpha)}) = \Gamma(R)$. Since $\gamma(\alpha) \in pR_{\alpha}$ and $R_{\gamma(\alpha)} \subseteq R_{\alpha}$, using the induction hypothesis, we see that $\delta \in pR_{\alpha}$ for all $\delta < \alpha$.

Otherwise, $\gamma(\alpha) = \alpha$ so define $R_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} R_{\beta}$. Then R_{α} is a union indexed over a segment of Ω (which can have cardinality at most |R|) of pca subrings of cardinality at most $\Gamma(R)$ and so by Lemma 2.4 we know R_{α} is a pca subring and $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) = \Gamma(R)$. Since $\sup\{\beta \in \Omega \mid \beta < \alpha\} = \alpha$ in this case, for any $\delta < \alpha$ we can choose a β such that $\delta < \beta < \alpha$, and since by the induction hypothesis $\delta \in pR_{\beta}$, we know $\delta \in pR_{\alpha}$.

First, suppose Ω has no maximal element. Let $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$. S is a union of pca subrings R_{α} such that $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) = \Gamma(R)$ for all α indexed by a set of cardinality at most |R| so by Lemma 2.4 we know S is a pca subring with $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$. Additionally, if $r \in pT \cap R$ then $r = \gamma(\alpha)$ for some α in Ω with $\gamma(\alpha) < \alpha$. Thus $r \in pR_{\alpha} \subseteq pS$, so $pT \cap R \subseteq pS$, and we see that S is our desired subring.

Otherwise, let d denote the maximal element of Ω . Construct S from R_d using Lemma 2.3 with c = d. By construction, S is a pca subring of T with $R_d \subseteq S$, $d \in pS$ and $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R_d) = \Gamma(R)$. Finally, for every $r \in pT \cap R = \Omega$, either r < d, in which case we know by induction $r \in pR_d$ and so $r \in pS$, or r = d, in which case $r \in pS$ by our construction of S. So $pT \cap R \subseteq pS$, so we see that S is our desired subring.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose we have (T, \mathfrak{m}) , C, and p as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3. Let $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ be a pca subring of (T, \mathfrak{m}) . Then there exists a pca subring S of T with $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$ such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ and $pT \cap S = pS$.

Proof. Let $R_0 = R$. We define R_i by induction. Assuming R_{i-1} has been defined so that it is a pca subring and $\Gamma(R_{i-1}) = \Gamma(R)$, we use Lemma 2.6 to find a pca subring R_i with $pT \cap R_{i-1} \subseteq pR_i$ and $\Gamma(R_i) = \Gamma(R_{i-1}) = \Gamma(R)$. Let $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$. By Lemma 2.4 we know S is a pca subring with $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$. Further, if $c \in pT \cap S$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c \in pT \cap R_n \subseteq pR_{n+1} \subseteq pS$. Therefore $pT \cap S \subseteq pS$, so $pT \cap S = pS$.

The following is Proposition 1 from [4]. It helps us to ensure that the final ring we create has T as its completion.

Proposition 2.8. (Heitmann, [4]) If $(R, \mathfrak{m} \cap R)$ is a quasilocal subring of a complete local ring (T, \mathfrak{m}) , the map $R \to T/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is onto and $IT \cap R = I$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R, then R is Noetherian and the natural homomorphism $\widehat{R} \to T$ is an isomorphism.

We will construct A so that the map $A \to T/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is onto. To do this, we will need Lemma 2.9, which lets us adjoin an element of a coset of T/J to a pca subring R where J is an ideal of T such that $J \notin Q_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ to get a new pca subring. With $J = \mathfrak{m}^2$, we will get that $A \to T/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is onto as desired. Note that Lemma 2.9 is similar in purpose to Lemma 3.9 of [2]. **Lemma 2.9.** Let (T, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local ring with dim $T \ge 1$ and suppose we have a finite, pairwise incomparable set of nonmaximal ideals $C = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\} \subseteq \text{Spec } T$. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ be a nonzero regular element of T such that for every $P \in \text{Ass}(T/pT)$ we have $P \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k Q_i$.

Let $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ be a pca subring of T such that $pT \cap R = pR$ and let $u + J \in T/J$ where J is an ideal of T with $J \not\subseteq Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Then there exists an infinite pca subring S of T meeting the following conditions:

- (1) $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$
- (2) $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$
- (3) u + J is in the image of the map $S \to T/J$
- (4) If $u \in J$, then $S \cap J \nsubseteq Q_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- (5) $pT \cap S = pS$.

Proof. For each $P \in Ass T$, let $D_{(P)}$ be a full set of coset representatives of the cosets t + P that make (u + t) + P algebraic over R. For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, let $D_{(Q_i)}$ be a full set of coset representatives of the cosets $t + Q_i \in T/Q_i$ with $t \in T$ that make $(u + t) + Q_i$ algebraic over $R/R \cap Q_i$ (note that there is no conflict of terminology because $C \cap Ass T = \emptyset$ since every prime ideal in C contains the regular element p). Let G be the set $C \bigcup \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_k\}$ where $\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_k\} = Ass T$ and let $D := \bigcup_{P \in G} D_{(P)}$. By Lemma 2.3 of [1] we know since dim $T \ge 1$ that $|T| \ge |\mathbb{R}|$. Thus, because $\Gamma(R) < |T|$ we have |R| < |T| and so $|D_{(P)}| < |T|$ for all $P \in G$, and thus we have that |D| < |T|.

We can now employ Lemma 2.4 of [1] with I = J to find an $x \in J$ such that $x \notin \bigcup \{r + P \mid r \in D, P \in G\}$ since the set $C \bigcup \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$ is finite. We claim that $S' = R[u+x]_{(R[u+x]\cap \mathfrak{m})}$ is an infinite pca subring. It's clear that S' is infinite and $\Gamma(S') = \Gamma(R)$. Further, note that since (u+x) + P is transcendental over R for all $P \in Ass T$ we know if $f = r_n(u+x)^n + \cdots + r_1(u+x) + r_0 \in R[u+x] \cap P$ for some $P \in Ass T$ then $r_i = 0$ for every i and so f = 0. We thus have $S' \cap P = (0)$ for every $P \in Ass T$.

Finally, we claim that for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, $((Q_i \setminus pT)S') \cap S' = \{0\}$. First suppose we have for some i an $f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u+x]) \cap R[u+x]$ with $f \neq 0$. Then we have $f = r_n(u+x)^n + \cdots + r_1(u+x) + r_0 = q(s_{n'}(u+x)^{n'} + \cdots + s_1(u+x) + s_0)$ for some $q \in Q_i \setminus pT$ and some $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n, s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n'} \in R$ with $r_k \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let m be the largest integer such that $r_i \in (pT)^m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let m' be the largest integer such that $s_j \in (pT)^{m'}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n'$. Then since $pT \cap R = pR$ we have $(pT)^m \cap R = p^mR$ (and similarly for m') and we can write $f = p^m (r'_n (u+x)^n + \dots + r'_1 (u+x) + r'_0) = q p^{m'} (s'_{n'} (u+x)^{n'} + \dots + s'_1 (u+x) + s'_0)$ for some $r'_0, r_1, \dots, r'_n, s'_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{n'} \in \mathbb{R}.$

By the maximality of m and m' we know there is an l such that $r'_l \notin pT$ and a j such that $s'_j \notin pT$. Since $((Q \setminus pT)R) \cap R = (0)$ for all $Q \in C$ we know $Q \cap R \subseteq pT$ and thus $r'_l, s'_j \notin Q \cap R$ for all $Q \in C$. Since (u + x) + Q is transcendental over $R/R \cap Q$ for all $Q \in C$ we therefore know that $r'_n(u + x)^n + \dots + r'_1(u + x) + r'_0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^k Q_i$ and $s'_{n'}(u + x)^{n'} + \dots + s'_1(u + x) + s'_0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^k Q_i$. Now suppose that $m \leq m'$. Since p is not a zero divisor we may cancel it on both sides of our equation to get $r'_n(u + x)^n + \dots + r'_1(u + x) + r'_0 = qp^{m'-m}(s'_{n'}(u + x)^{n'} + \dots + s'_1(u + x) + s'_0)$. But the left hand side is not in $\bigcup_{i=1}^k Q_i$ while the right hand side is clearly in Q_i , which is a contradiction. On the other hand suppose m > m'. Then canceling we have $p^{m-m'}(r'_n(u + x)^n + \dots + r'_1(u + x) + r'_0) = q(s'_{n'}(u + x)^{n'} + \dots + s'_1(u + x) + s'_0)$. The left hand side is clearly in pT but since $s'_{n'}(u + x)^{n'} + \dots + s'_1(u + x) + s'_0$ is not in any associated prime of pT and so is not a zero divisor of T/pT. Since $q \notin pT$ we have that the right hand side is not in pT, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u + x]) \cap R[u + x] = \{0\}$. By the same trivial checking performed in the proof of Lemma 2.3 we know that localizing preserves this property and so $((Q_i \setminus pT)S') \cap S' = \{0\}$ for all $Q_i \in C$.

We now employ Lemma 2.7 to find a pca subring S with $S' \subseteq S \subseteq T$ and $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(S') = \Gamma(R)$ such that $pT \cap S = pS$. Since $S' \subseteq S$, the image of S in T/J contains u+x+J = u+J. Furthermore, if $u \in J$ then $u + x \in J \cap S$ but since $(u + x) + Q_i$ is transcendental over $R/R \cap Q_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, we have $u + x \notin Q_i$ so $J \cap S \notin Q_i$ for all i.

The following two lemmas, which are similar to Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11 of [2], allow us to construct A such that $IT \cap A = I$ for every finitely generated ideal I of A. This is one of the conditions from Proposition 2.8 needed to show that $\widehat{A} = T$.

Lemma 2.10. Suppose we have (T, \mathfrak{m}) , C, and p as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.9. Let $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ be a pca subring of (T, \mathfrak{m}) such that $pT \cap R = pR$, let I be a finitely generated ideal of R, and let $c \in IT \cap R$. Then there exists a pca subring S of T meeting the following conditions:

- (1) $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$
- (2) $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$
- (3) $c \in IS$

(4)
$$pT \cap S = pS$$

Proof. We first show that there exists a pca subring S' of T satisfying the first three conditions. Induct on the number of generators of I. Suppose I = aR. If a = 0, then c = 0 so S' = R is the desired pca subring. If $a \neq 0$, then c = au for some $u \in T$. We claim that $S' = R[u]_{(R[u] \cap \mathfrak{m})}$ is the desired subring. First note that clearly $\Gamma(S') = \Gamma(R) < \Gamma(T)$. Let $P \in Ass T$ and suppose $f \in P$. Then $f = r_n u^n + \cdots + r_1 u + r_0 \in P$, and $a^n f = r_n c^n + \cdots + r_1 ca^{n-1} + r_0 a^n \in P \cap R = (0)$. Since $a \in R$ and R contains no zero divisors of T, f = 0 and so $S' \cap P = 0$. Now suppose $f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u]) \cap R[u]$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Then f = qg where $q \in Q_i \setminus pT$ and $g \in R[u]$. Since $c = au \in R$, from the argument above we know we have an m such that $a^m f \in R$ and $a^m g \in R$. Thus we have $a^m f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)R) \cap R$ and since R contains no zero divisors of T, we know f = 0. Therefore $((Q_i \setminus pT)R[u]) \cap R[u] = \{0\}$ for all i. A trivial checking as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 now verifies that $((Q_i \setminus pT)S') \cap S' = \{0\}$.

Now let I be an ideal of R that is generated by m > 1 elements, and assume that the lemma holds for all ideals with m - 1 generators. Let $I = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)R$. Since $c \in IT$ we can choose $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m \in T$ such that $c = y_1t_1 + y_2t_2 + \cdots + y_mt_m$.

First suppose that $y_j \notin pT \cap R = pR$ for some j = 1, 2, ..., m. Without loss of generality, reorder the y_i 's so that $y_2 \notin pT \cap R$. Our goal is now to find a $t \in T$ such that we may adjoin $t_1 + y_2 t$ to our subring R without disturbing the pca properties. First note that if $(t_1 + y_2 t) + Q_i = (t_1 + y_2 t') + Q_i$ for any i, then we have that $y_2(t - t') \in Q_i$. However by the assumption that $y_2 \notin pR$ and the fact that $Q_i \cap R = pT \cap R = pR$, we know that $y_2 \notin Q_i$. Since Q_i is prime, we must have $(t - t') \in Q_i$, thus $t + Q_i = t' + Q_i$. Therefore, if $t + Q_i \neq t' + Q_i$, then $(t_1 + y_2 t) + Q_i \neq (t_1 + y_2 t') + Q_i$.

For each *i* let $D_{(Q_i)}$ be a full set of coset representatives of the cosets $t + Q_i$ that make $t_1 + y_2 t + Q_i$ algebraic over $R/R \cap Q_i$. Also for any $P \in Ass T$ let $D_{(P)}$ be a full set of coset representatives of the cosets t + P that make $t_1 + y_2 t + P$ algebraic over R (again, note that there is no conflict of terminology because $C \cap Ass T = \emptyset$). Let C' be the set $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k, P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{k'}\}$ where $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{k'}\} = Ass T$. Let $D = \bigcup_{P \in C} D_{(P)}$. Note that $\mathfrak{m} \notin C$ since $Q_i \neq \mathfrak{m}$ for all *i* by assumption and $\mathfrak{m} \notin Ass T$ from the fact that $p \in \mathfrak{m}$ is regular. Using the fact from the previous paragraph that $(t_1+y_2t)+Q_i \neq (t_1+y_2t')+Q_i$ whenever $t+Q_i \neq t'+Q_i$, it can be easily checked that |D| < |T| and thus we use Lemma 2.4 of [1] with I = T to find an element $t \in T$ such that $t \notin \bigcup \{r + P \mid r \in D, P \in C\}$. Thus, letting $x = t_1 + y_2t$ we have that $x + Q_i$ is transcendental over $R/R \cap Q_i$ for all *i* and x + P is transcendental over R for all $P \in Ass T$. We now know that $R' := R[x]_{(R[x] \cap \mathfrak{m})}$ is a pca subring of T by the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.9.

We now both add and subtract y_1y_2t to see that $c = y_1t_1 + y_1y_2t - y_1y_2t + y_2t_2 + \cdots + y_mt_m = y_1x + y_2(t_2 - y_1t) + y_3t_3 + \cdots + y_mt_m$. Let $J = (y_2, \ldots, y_m)R'$ and $c^* = c - y_1x$. Then $c^* \in JT \cap R'$ and so we use the induction assumption to find a pca subring S' of T with $\Gamma(S') = \Gamma(R)$ such that $R' \subseteq S \subseteq T$ and $c^* \in JS$. Then $c = y_1x + c^* \in IS'$, and S' is our desired pca subring.

Now suppose that $y_j \in pT \cap R$ for all j. Then let k be the largest integer such that $y_j \in (pT)^k \cap R$ for all j. Since $pT \cap R = pR$ we know $(pT)^k \cap R = p^k R$ and we can write $c = p^k(y'_1t_1 + \cdots + y'_mt_m)$ for some $y'_1, y'_2, \ldots, y'_m \in R$ such that $y'_i \notin pT$ for some i. Now let $I' = (y'_1, \ldots, y'_m)R$ so that we have $c' := y'_1t_1 + \cdots + y'_mt_m \in I'T$. We can now apply the argument above to find a pca subring S' such that $c' \in I'S'$ and so $c' = y'_1s_1 + \cdots + y'_ms_m$ for some $s_1, \ldots, s_m \in S'$. Then we have $c = p^kc' = p^ky'_1s'_1 + \cdots + p^ky'_ms_m = y_1s_1 + \cdots + y_ms_m$ and so $c \in IS'$ showing that S' is our desired pca subring.

Now we apply Lemma 2.7 to find an *p*ca subring *S* with $R \subseteq S' \subseteq S$ and $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(S') = \Gamma(R)$ such that $pT \cap S = pS$. We know $c \in IS$ since $c \in IS'$ and $S' \subseteq S$. Thus *S* is a *p*ca subring meeting the conditions stated in the lemma.

Lemma 2.11 allows us to create a subring S of T that satisfies many of the conditions we want to be true for our final ring A.

Lemma 2.11. Suppose we have (T, \mathfrak{m}) , C, and p as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.9. Let $(R, R \cap \mathfrak{m})$ be a pca subring of T such that $pT \cap R = pR$ and let J be an ideal of T with $J \notin Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ and let $u + J \in T/J$. Then there exists a pca subring S of T such that

- (1) $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$
- (2) $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$
- (3) u + J is in the image of the map $S \to T/J$
- (4) If $u \in J$, then $S \cap J \nsubseteq Q_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
- (5) For every finitely generated ideal I of S, we have $IT \cap S = I$.

Proof. We first apply Lemma 2.9 to find an infinite pca subring R' of T satisfying conditions 1, 2, 3, and 4 and such that $pT \cap R' = pR'$. We will now construct the desired S such that S satisfies conditions 2, and 5 and $R' \subseteq S \subseteq T$ which will ensure that the first, third, and fourth conditions of

the lemma hold true. Let $\Omega = \{(I, c) | I \text{ is a finitely generated ideal of } R' \text{ and } c \in IT \cap R'\}$. Letting I = R', we see that $|\Omega| \ge |R'|$. Since R' is infinite, the number of finitely generated ideals of R' is |R'|, and therefore $|R'| \ge |\Omega|$, giving us the equality $|R'| = |\Omega|$ and thus $\Gamma(\Omega) = \Gamma(R)$. Well order Ω so that it does not have a maximal element and let 0 denote its first element. We will now inductively define a family of pca subrings of T, one for each element of Ω . Let $R_0 = R'$, and let $\alpha \in \Omega$. Assume that R_β has been defined for all $\beta < \alpha$ and that $pT \cap R_\beta = pR_\beta$ and $\Gamma(R_\beta) = \Gamma(R)$ hold for all $\beta < \alpha$. If $\gamma(\alpha) < \alpha$ and $\gamma(\alpha) = (I, c)$, then define R_α to be the pca subring obtained from Lemma 2.10. Note that clearly $pT \cap R_\alpha = pR_\alpha$ and $\Gamma(R_\alpha) = \Gamma(R_{\gamma(\alpha)}) = \Gamma(R)$. If on the other hand $\gamma(\alpha) = \alpha$, define $R_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} R_\beta$. By Lemma 2.4 R_α is a pca subring with $\Gamma(R_\alpha) = \Gamma(R)$. Furthermore, if $t \in pT \cap R_\alpha$ then $t \in R_\beta$ for some $\beta < \alpha$ and so $t \in pT \cap R_\beta = pR_\beta \subseteq pR_\alpha$. Thus $pT \cap R_\alpha = pR_\alpha$.

Now let $R_1 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$. We see from Lemma 2.4 that R_1 is a pca subring and $\Gamma(R_1) = \Gamma(R_0) = \Gamma(R)$. Also, since we know by induction that $pT \cap R_{\alpha} = pR_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega$ we see by the same argument made at the end of the last paragraph that $pT \cap R_1 = pR_1$. Furthermore, notice that if I is a finitely generated ideal of R_0 and $c \in IT \cap R_0$, then $(I, c) = \gamma(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega$ with $\gamma(\alpha) < \alpha$. It follows from the construction that $c \in IR_{\alpha} \subseteq IR_1$. Thus $IT \cap R_0 \subseteq IR_1$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R_0 .

Following this same pattern, build a pca subring R_2 of T with $\Gamma(R_2) = \Gamma(R_1) = \Gamma(R)$ and $pT \cap R_2 = pR_2$ such that $R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq T$ and $IT \cap R_1 \subseteq IR_2$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R_1 . Continue by induction, forming a chain $R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of pca subrings of T such that $IT \cap R_n \subseteq IR_{n+1}$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R_n and $|R_i| = |R_0|$ for all i.

We now claim that $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ is the desired *p*ca subring. To see this, first note $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ and that we know from Lemma 2.4 that *S* is indeed a *p*ca subring and $\Gamma(S) = \Gamma(R)$. Now set $I = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k)S$ and let $c \in IT \cap S$. Then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in R_N$. Thus $c \in (y_1, \ldots, y_k)T \cap R_N \subseteq (y_1, \ldots, y_k)R_{N+1} \subseteq IS$. From this it follows that $IT \cap S = I$, so the fifth condition of the statement of the lemma holds.

In Lemma 2.12 we construct a domain A that has the desired completion and the formal fiber of pA is semilocal with maximal ideals the elements of C.

Lemma 2.12. Suppose we have (T, \mathfrak{m}) , C, and p as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.9. Let Π denote the prime subring of T. Suppose $((Q_i \setminus pT)\Pi[p]) \cap \Pi[p] = \{0\}$ for all i and that $P \cap \Pi[p] = (0)$ for all $P \in Ass T$. Then there exists a local domain $A \subseteq T$ such that

- (1) $p \in A$
- (2) $\widehat{A} = T$
- (3) pA is a prime ideal in A and and has a semilocal formal fiber with maximal ideal the elements of C
- (4) If J is an ideal of T satisfying $J \not\subseteq Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ then the map $A \to T/J$ is onto and $J \cap A \not\subseteq Q_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$.

Proof. Let $\Omega = \{u + J \in T/J | J \text{ is an ideal of } T \text{ with } J \notin Q_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ and for each } \alpha \in \Omega \text{ define } \Omega_\alpha := \{\beta \in \Omega | \beta \leq \alpha\}.$ Since T is infinite and Noetherian, $|\{J \text{ is an ideal of } T \text{ with } J \notin Q\}| \leq |T|$. Also, if J is an ideal of T, then $|T/J| \leq |T|$. It follows that $|\Omega| \leq |T|$. Well order Ω so that each element has fewer than $|\Omega|$ predecessors. Let 0 denote the first element of Ω . Define R'_0 to be $\Pi[p]$ localized at $\Pi[p] \cap \mathfrak{m}$. We know $\Gamma(R'_0) = \aleph_0$ and since dim $T \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.3 of [1] we know that $|T| \geq |\mathbb{R}|$ and thus $\Gamma(R'_0) < |T|$. Now we can use the same checking argument that is in the proof of Lemma 2.3 to see that R'_0 is a pca subring of T. We now apply Lemma 2.7 to find a pca subring R''_0 with $R'_0 \subseteq R''_0$ such that $pT \cap R''_0 = pR''_0$ and $\Gamma(R''_0) = \Gamma(R'_0) = \aleph_0$. Next apply Lemma 2.11 with J = T to find a pca subring R_0 with $R''_0 \subseteq R_0$ such that $IT \cap R_0 = I$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R_0 and $\Gamma(R_0) = \Gamma(R''_0) = \aleph_0$.

Starting with R_0 , recursively define a family of pca subrings as follows. Let $\alpha \in \Omega$ and assume that R_β has already been defined to be a pca subring for all $\beta < \alpha$ with $IT \cap R_\beta = IR_\beta$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R_β and $\Gamma(R_\beta) \leq \Gamma(\Omega_\beta)$ (note that this condition holds for R_0 since $\Gamma(R_0) = \Gamma(\Omega_0) = \aleph_0$). Then $\gamma(\alpha) = u + J$ for some ideal J of T with $J \notin Q_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. If $\gamma(\alpha) < \alpha$, use Lemma 2.11 to obtain a pca subring R_α with $\Gamma(R_\alpha) = \Gamma(R_{\gamma(\alpha)})$ such that $R_{\gamma(\alpha)} \subseteq R_\alpha \subseteq T$, u + J is in the image of the map $R_\alpha \to T/J$ and $IT \cap R_\alpha = I$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R_α . Moreover, this gives us that $R_\alpha \cap J \notin Q_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ if $u \in J$. Also, since $\Gamma(R_\alpha) = \Gamma(R_{\gamma(\alpha)})$ and $\Gamma(\Omega_\alpha) = \Gamma(\Omega_{\gamma(\alpha)})$ we have that $\Gamma(R_\alpha) \leq \Gamma(\Omega_\alpha)$.

If $\gamma(\alpha) = \alpha$, define $R_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} R_{\beta}$. Then by Lemma 2.4 we see that R_{α} is a *p*ca subring of *T*. Furthermore we have $\Gamma(R_{\beta}) \leq \Gamma(\Omega_{\beta}) \leq \Gamma(\Omega_{\alpha})$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. So by Lemma 2.4 we see that $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) \leq \Gamma(\Omega_{\alpha})$. Now let $I = (y_1, \ldots, y_k)$ be a finitely generated ideal of R_{α} and let $c \in IT \cap R_{\alpha}$. Then $\{c, y_1, \ldots, y_k\} \subseteq R_\beta$ for some $\beta < \alpha$. By the inductive hypothesis, $(y_1, \ldots, y_k)T \cap R_\beta = (y_1, \ldots, y_k)R_\beta$. As $c \in (y_1, \ldots, y_k)T \cap R_\beta$, we have that $c \in (y_1, \ldots, y_k)R_\beta \subseteq I$. Hence $IT \cap R_\alpha = I$.

We now know by induction that for each $\alpha \in \Omega$, R_{α} is a pca subring with $\Gamma(R_{\alpha}) \leq \Gamma(\Omega_{\alpha})$ and $IT \cap R_{\alpha} = I$ for all finitely generated ideals I of R_{α} . We claim that $A = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$ is the desired domain.

First note that by construction, condition (4) of the lemma is satisfied. We now show that the completion of A is T. Note that as Q_i is nonmaximal in T for all i, we have that $\mathfrak{m}^2 \not\subseteq Q_i$ for all i. Thus, by the construction, the map $A \to T/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is onto. Furthermore, by an argument identical to the one used to show that $IT \cap R_\alpha = I$ for all finitely generated ideals I of R_α in the case $\gamma(\alpha) = \alpha$, we know $I'T \cap A = I'$ for all finitely generated ideals I' of A. It follows from Proposition 2.8 that A is Noetherian and $\widehat{A} = T$.

Now we show that the formal fiber of pA is semilocal with maximal ideals exactly the ideals of C. We know that if $P \in \text{Spec } T$ with $P \nsubseteq Q_i$ for all i then $P \cap A \nsubseteq Q_i$ for all i and so $P \cap A \neq pA$ which shows that P is not in the formal fiber of pA. Furthermore, since each R_{α} is pca, by the argument in Lemma 2.4 we know that $((Q_i \setminus pT)A) \cap A = \{0\}$ and so in particular $(Q_i \setminus pT) \cap A = \emptyset$ for all i. Thus $Q_i \cap A = pT \cap A = pA$ for each i and so pA is prime and Q_i is in its formal fiber for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We have now shown the formal fiber of pA is semilocal with maximal ideals exactly the members of C.

Theorem 2.13 is our main result. The previous work in this section has been devoted to showing sufficiency of the conditions below, so the majority of the proof of Theorem 2.13 demonstrates their necessity.

Theorem 2.13. Let (T, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local ring, Π the prime subring of T, and $C = \{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\}$ a finite set of non-maximal incomparable prime ideals of T. Let $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_k$ with $p \neq 0$. Then there exists a local domain $A \subseteq T$ with $p \in A$ such that $\widehat{A} = T$ and pA is a prime ideal whose formal fiber is semilocal with maximal ideals the elements of C if and only if $P \cap \Pi[p] = (0)$ for all $P \in Ass T$, for every $P' \in Ass(T/pT)$ we have $P' \subseteq Q_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, and $((Q_i \setminus pT)\Pi[p]) \cap \Pi[p] = \{0\}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$.

Proof. The condition that $P \cap \Pi[p] = (0)$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Ass} T$ ensures that p is regular. In particular, $Q_1 \notin \operatorname{Ass} T$ and so Q_1 has height at least one and so dim $T \ge 1$. Because every $P' \in \operatorname{Ass}(T/pT)$ is contained in some Q_i we know $P' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k Q_i$. With these observations, Lemma 2.12 now shows the conditions are sufficient. We must prove they are necessary.

Suppose we have an $A \subseteq T$ with $\widehat{A} = T$ and that pA is prime with a semilocal formal fiber with maximal ideals exactly the elements of C. Since the extension $A \subseteq \widehat{A} = T$ is faithfully flat, any zero divisor of T which is in A must be a zero divisor of A. Since we assume A is a domain, A can contain no such nonzero zero divisor, and in particular, since certainly $\Pi[p] \subseteq A$, we must have $P \cap \Pi[p] = (0)$ for all $P \in Ass T$. Furthermore, since the completion of $A/(pT \cap A) = A/pA$ is T/pT we can say that all zero divisors of T/pT (that is, all elements in the image of $\bigcup Ass T/pT$ under the canonical map $T \to T/pT$) contained in A/pA are zero divisors of A/pA. But A/pA is a domain since pAis prime, thus A/pA cannot contain any nonzero zero divisor of T/pT and so A does not contain any element of $\bigcup Ass(T/pT)$ which is not in pT. Let $P \in Ass(T/pT)$. The argument above shows $P \cap A \subseteq pT \cap A = pA$ and since $p \in P$ we also have $pA \subseteq A \cap P$ giving us $P \cap A = pA$. Thus P is in the formal fiber of pA, and since we have assumed this formal fiber is semilocal with maximal ideals $\{Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k\}$ we know $P \subseteq Q_i$ for some i.

Finally, suppose that for some *i* there is a $f \in ((Q_i \setminus pT)\Pi[p]) \cap \Pi[p]$ with $f \neq 0$. We know f = qgfor some $q \in Q_i \setminus pT$ and some $g \in \Pi[p] \subseteq A$. Since we showed above it is necessary that $P \cap \Pi[p] = (0)$ for all $P \in Ass T$ and we know $g \neq 0$ since $f \neq 0$, it follows that g is not a zero divisor of T. Now, since $A \subseteq T$ is a faithfully flat extension, we know $gT \cap A = gA$ and so $gq \in gA$ which implies $q \in A$. Therefore $Q_i \cap A \nsubseteq pT$, contradicting the assumption that Q_i is in the formal fiber of pA. Thus it is necessary that $((Q_i \setminus pT)\Pi[p]) \cap \Pi[p] = \{0\}$ for all i.

Example 2.14. Let T be the complete local ring $\mathbb{R}[[x, y, z, w]]/(x^2 - yz)$ and Q be the non-maximal prime ideal (x, y, z). T is a domain as $(x^2 - yz)$ is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{R}[[x, y, z, w]]$. Note that if $P \in \operatorname{Ass}(T/xT) = \{(x, y), (x, z)\}$ then $P \subseteq Q$. It is also the case that $(Q \setminus xT)\Pi[x] \cap \Pi[x] = \{0\}$. Thus the conditions of Theorem 2.13 are satisfied, and there exists a domain A such that $\widehat{A} = \mathbb{R}[[x, y, z, w]]/(x^2 - yz)$, xA is a prime ideal in A, and the formal fiber of xA is local with maximal ideal (x, y, z).

References

- [1] P. Charters and S. Loepp, Semilocal generic formal fibers, J. Algebra 278 (2004), 370-382.
- [2] A. Dundon, D. Jensen, S. Loepp, J. Provine, and J. Rodu, *Controlling formal fibers of principal prime ideals*, to appear in *Rocky Mountain J. of Math.*.

 [3] R. Heitmann, Characterization of completions of unique factorization domains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 337 (1993), 379-387.

[4] R. Heitmann, Completions of local rings with an isolated singularity, J. Algebra, 163 (1994), 538-567.

J. CHATLOS: WILLIAMS COLLEGE

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{John.G.Chatlos@williams.edu}$

B. SIMANEK: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY E-mail address: bsimanek@caltech.edu

N. G. WATSON: THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY *E-mail address*: watson@math.berkeley.edu

S. X. WU.: MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY *E-mail address*: sxw2@mit.edu