

ON A CONSTRUCTION OF THE BASIC SPIN REPRESENTATIONS OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS

LUKAS A. MAAS

ABSTRACT. We present an inductive method for constructing the basic spin representations of the double covers of the symmetric groups over fields of any characteristic.

INTRODUCTION

Basic spin representations are the smallest faithful representations of the double covers of the symmetric groups. The ordinary basic spin representation X_n was given explicitly by I. Schur [3], see [1, Chapter 6] for a modern treatment. Let p be a prime. By a result of D. B. Wales [4], the p -modular reduction of X_n is irreducible unless n is odd and divisible by p , in which case there exist exactly two distinct composition factors. We call these p -modular representations also basic spin. In this paper we describe a construction of the basic spin representations over splitting fields of any characteristic, that is, at most quadratic extensions of the prime field. The method of construction is inductive with respect to n .

Let \tilde{S}_n be the group generated by elements z, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 &= 1, \\ t_i^2 &= z \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ (t_i t_{i+1})^3 &= z \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \\ (t_i t_j)^2 &= z \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, |i-j| > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Provided $n \geq 4$, it is well-known that \tilde{S}_n is a double cover of the symmetric group S_n , and the projection of \tilde{S}_n onto S_n may be defined by sending the generators t_i to $(i, i+1) \in S_n$. There is another double cover \hat{S}_n of S_n which is non-isomorphic to \tilde{S}_n for $n \neq 6$, and \hat{S}_n can be described as generated by elements z, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} subject to the relations $z^2 = 1 = s_i^2 = (zs_i)^2$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$), $(s_i s_{i+1})^3 = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-2$), and $s_i s_j = z s_j s_i$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, |i-j| > 1$). If we consider representations over a splitting field of characteristic $p = 2$, then every representation of \tilde{S}_n or \hat{S}_n contains the central element z in its kernel, and essentially, we deal with 2-modular representations of S_n . If $p \neq 2$, then there is a bijective correspondence between faithful irreducible representations of \tilde{S}_n and faithful irreducible representations of \hat{S}_n . Let $\omega \in K$ be a primitive fourth root of unity; then this correspondence can be realized through $R \mapsto \omega R$ where R is a representation of \tilde{S}_n and ωR is a representation of \hat{S}_n defined by $z \mapsto -id$ and $s_i \mapsto \omega \cdot t_i^R$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence we may concentrate below on representations of \tilde{S}_n .

BASIC SPIN REPRESENTATIONS

We adopt the following degree notation from [2]: for $n \geq 4$ and $p \geq 0$ let

$$\delta(\tilde{S}_n) = \begin{cases} 2^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ 2^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \text{ and } p \mid n, \\ 2^k & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \text{ and } p \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Let sgn be the representation of \tilde{S}_n which is given by inflation of the sign representation of S_n . The *associate representation* of an \tilde{S}_n -representation R is $\text{sgn} \otimes R$.

Theorem 1. For $n \geq 4$, let $X_n = X_n^+$ be the basic (spin) representation of \tilde{S}_n over \mathbb{C} as defined in [3, §22] and let $X_n^- = \text{sgn} \otimes X_n^+$, its associate representation.

- (1) X_n^\pm is an irreducible representation of \tilde{S}_n of degree $\delta(\tilde{S}_n)$. The representations X_n^+ and X_n^- are equivalent only for odd n .
- (2) For any prime number p , the modular reduction $\overline{X_n^\pm} = Y_n^\pm$ over a splitting field of characteristic p is irreducible unless $p \mid n$ and n is odd. In this case, $\overline{X_n}$ has two distinct composition factors Y_n^+ and Y_n^- of degree $\delta(\tilde{S}_n)$. Moreover, the associate representations Y_n^+ and Y_n^- are non-equivalent exactly if n is even and $p \nmid n$, or n is odd and $p \mid n$.
- (3) Let $n \geq 4$. Assume that T is an absolutely irreducible representation of \tilde{S}_n , and let $t \in \tilde{S}_n$ be an element of order 3 projecting to a 3-cycle in S_n . If t^T has a quadratic minimal polynomial, then T is equivalent to a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_n , or T is an ordinary 2-dimensional representation of \tilde{S}_4 which contains z in its kernel.

Proof. For (1), see [3, §22 and §23.(VII)], or [1, Theorems 6.2 and 6.8]; for (2) and (3) see [4, Theorems 7.7 and 8.1], respectively. The case $n = 4$ in (3) is handled by direct inspection. \square

For notational convenience, we identify a (matrix) representation R of \tilde{S}_n of degree d over a field K with the sequence $(t_1^R, \dots, t_{n-1}^R) \in (\text{GL}_d(K))^{n-1}$. By I we denote the identity matrix and by 0 we denote the zero matrix, both of suitable degree over K ; moreover, $Z = z^R = \pm I$. For a given matrix M over K and any integer μ , we write μM instead of $(\mu \cdot 1_K)M$.

In the sense of Theorem 1 we refer to the absolutely irreducible representations X_n^\pm or Y_n^\pm as the *basic spin representations* of \tilde{S}_n for $n \geq 4$. We call any composition factor of a given representation of \tilde{S}_n which is equivalent to a basic spin representation simply a *basic spin composition factor*.

Definition 2. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ be a representation of \tilde{S}_n over a field K . We say that T satisfies Δ if

$$(\Delta) \quad (ZT_i T_{i+1})^2 + ZT_i T_{i+1} + I = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}.$$

Let $n \geq 4$ and T be an \tilde{S}_n -representation over a field K . As $zt_i t_{i+1} \in \tilde{S}_n$ has order 3 and projects to $(i+2, i+1, i)$ in S_n , all elements $zt_i t_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-2$) are conjugate in \tilde{S}_n . Hence, if $\Delta(T)$ holds for some i , it already holds for all $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ and every representation equivalent to T satisfies Δ as well; in particular, if T is an ordinary representation and p is a prime, then its p -modular reduction satisfies Δ . Let $t := zt_i t_{i+1}$ and assume that t^T satisfies Δ . If $\text{char } K \neq 3$, this means that 1 is not an eigenvalue of t^T . Let ω be a primitive cube root of unity

in some extension field of K ; as t is conjugate to t^2 , we see that both, ω and ω^2 , are eigenvalues of t^T . In particular, its minimal polynomial is $x^2 + x + 1 \in K[x]$. If $\text{char } K = 3$, then we shall suppose that $z \notin \ker T$; thus the kernel of T is trivial and the minimal polynomial of t^T is quadratic.

Proposition 3. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-1})$ be a representation of \tilde{S}_n over a splitting field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$. For $n = 4$ or $p = 3$ we shall assume that $z \notin \ker T$. If T satisfies Δ , then all T -composition factors are basic spin.*

Proof. If T is reducible, then adapting a basis with respect to any bottom composition factor T_\circ of T forces Δ to be true for both, T_\circ and the corresponding factor representation. By iteration and part (3) of Theorem 1, every composition factor of T is basic spin. \square

DOUBLED DEGREE

Definition 4. If $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-2})$ is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-1} then define the sequence $\mathfrak{S}(T) = (T_1^\uparrow, \dots, T_{n-1}^\uparrow)$ by

$$T_i^\uparrow = \begin{bmatrix} T_i & \\ & -T_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-3), \quad T_{n-2}^\uparrow = \begin{bmatrix} T_{n-2} & -I \\ & -T_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_{n-1}^\uparrow = \begin{bmatrix} & I \\ -I & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5. *Let T be a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-1} over a field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_n over K if either n is odd and $p \nmid n$, or n is even and $p \mid (n-1)$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ has exactly two basic spin composition factors.*

Proof. Straightforward calculations show that $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ satisfies the defining relations of \tilde{S}_n . Thus $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ is a representation of \tilde{S}_n of degree $2\delta(\tilde{S}_{n-1})$. As $\Delta(\mathfrak{S}(T))$ holds, the claim follows from Proposition 3 and Theorem 1. \square

STATIONARY DEGREE

We are left to deal with the cases where $\delta(\tilde{S}_{n-1}) = \delta(\tilde{S}_n)$, that is, either n is odd and divisible by p , or n is even and $n-1$ is not divisible by p . Given a basic spin representation (T_1, \dots, T_{n-2}) of \tilde{S}_{n-1} , the basic approach is to find an element T_{n-1} such that the defining relations of \tilde{S}_n and the condition Δ for $i = n-2$ are satisfied by $(T_1, \dots, T_{n-2}, T_{n-1})$. This yields the following equations

- (i) $T_{n-1}^2 = -I.$
- (ii) $T_{n-1}T_i + T_iT_{n-1} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-3;$
- (iii) $T_{n-1}T_{n-2} + T_{n-2}T_{n-1} = I.$

Note that $(T_{n-2}T_{n-1})^3 = Z$ and $(T_{n-2}T_{n-1})^2 + ZT_{n-2}T_{n-1} + I = 0$ imply (iii). Conversely, suppose that $T_{n-1}T_{n-2} + T_{n-2}T_{n-1} = I$ and $T_{n-1}^2 = Z$. We deduce $(T_{n-2}T_{n-1})^2 + ZT_{n-2}T_{n-1} + I = 0$ and hence $(T_{n-2}T_{n-1})^3 = Z$. Thus, if the equations (i)–(iii) hold, then by Proposition 3, $(T_1, \dots, T_{n-2}, T_{n-1})$ is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_n .

Recall that for an integer μ and a matrix M defined over the field K we read μM as $(\mu \cdot 1_K)M$.

Lemma 6. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-2})$ be a representation of \tilde{S}_{n-1} satisfying Δ , and consider $J = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} kT_k$. Then the following equations hold:

$$(1) \quad J^2 = \left(-(n-2)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k \right) I;$$

$$(2) \quad JT_i + T_iJ = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-3;$$

$$(3) \quad JT_{n-2} + T_{n-2}J = (1-n)I.$$

Proof. Using the relation $(T_iT_{i+1})^3 = Z$ and Δ we get $T_{i+1}T_i + T_iT_{i+1} = I$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-3$; moreover, we have $T_kT_i + T_iT_k = 0$ for all i, k with $|i-k| > 1$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} k^2 T_k^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k(k+1)(T_k T_{k+1} + T_{k+1} T_k) \\ &= \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} k^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k(k+1) \right) I = \left(-(n-2)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k \right) I, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, for $i = 1, \dots, n-3$,

$$JT_i + T_iJ = 2iT_i^2 + (i-1)(T_{i-1}T_i + T_iT_{i-1}) + (i+1)(T_{i+1}T_i + T_iT_{i+1}) = 0,$$

$$\text{and } JT_{n-2} + T_{n-2}J = -2(n-2)I + (n-3)I = (1-n)I. \quad \square$$

Case I. If n is divisible by p , then we may extend our given representation of \tilde{S}_{n-1} to a representation of \tilde{S}_n as follows:

Lemma 7. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-2})$ be a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-1} over a field K of characteristic p . If $p \mid n$, then the equations (i), (ii) and (iii) are satisfied by

$$T_{n-1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} J & \text{if } p > 2 \\ J & \text{if } p = 2, \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ J + I & \text{if } p = 2, \ n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{where } J = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} kT_k.$$

In particular, $(T_1, \dots, T_{n-2}, T_{n-1})$ is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_n over K .

Proof. By part (1) of Lemma 6, $J^2 = \left(-(n-2)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k \right) I$. If n is odd, or n is even and $p \neq 2$, then from $p \mid n$ we deduce $p \geq 3$ and verify (i) easily. If $p = 2$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k = 1$; if $p = 2$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $T_{n-1}^2 = J^2 + I$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k = 0$. Hence (i) holds also for $p = 2$. The conditions (ii) and (iii) follow directly from (2) and (3) of Lemma 6, respectively. \square

Definition 8. We denote the construction described in Lemma 7 by \mathfrak{S}_I .

Remark 9. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-2})$ be a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-1} for some odd $n \geq 7$ over a field K of characteristic p such that $p \mid n$. Consider the $K\tilde{S}_n$ -module V corresponding to $\mathfrak{S}(T)$, and let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{End}_{K\tilde{S}_n}(V)$$

where M_1, M_2, M_3 and M_4 are block matrices of equal size over K . From $MT_i^\dagger = T_i^\dagger M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ we instantly get $M_1 = M_4$ and $M_2 = -M_3$, as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad & M_1 T_i = T_i M_1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-3; \\ \text{(v)} \quad & M_1 T_{n-2} = T_{n-2} M_1 + M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta(\tilde{S}_{n-1}) = \delta(\tilde{S}_{n-2})$, we see that (T_1, \dots, T_{n-3}) is already a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-2} . Hence, by (iv) and Schur's Lemma, M_1 is scalar; thus (v) yields $M_2 = 0$. This shows $\text{End}_{K\tilde{S}_n}(V) \cong K$, so V is indecomposable.

Case II. Now suppose that $n = 2(k+1)$ and $p \nmid n(n-1)(n-2)$, so $\delta(\tilde{S}_n) = \delta(\tilde{S}_{n-1})$. As our approach is inductive, we may assume that we are given a basic spin representation T of \tilde{S}_{n-1} which itself has been constructed from a basic spin representation S of \tilde{S}_{n-2} , that is, $T = \mathfrak{S}(S)$.

Lemma 10. *Provided $n \geq 6$ is even, let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-3})$ be a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-2} over a field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$ such that $p \nmid n(n-1)(n-2)$. Then*

$$T_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha J & (\beta - 1)I \\ \beta I & \alpha J \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$J = \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} kT_k, \quad \alpha = (n-1)^{-1} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{-n(n-2)^{-1}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = (n-2)\alpha$$

extends $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ to a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_n over $K(\alpha)$.

Proof. We have to verify the relations (i), (ii) and (iii). The calculations depend on Lemma 6. From 6.(1) we get $J^2 = -1/2(n-3)(n-2)I$ and hence $T_{n-1}^2 = -I$ as $\alpha^2 J^2 + (\beta^2 - \beta + 1)I = 0$. From 6.(2) and 6.(3) we have $JT_i + T_i J = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-4$ and $JT_{n-3} + T_{n-3}J = -(n-2)I$, respectively, thus we deduce $T_{n-1}T_i^\dagger + T_i^\dagger T_{n-1} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ and $T_{n-1}T_{n-2}^\dagger + T_{n-2}^\dagger T_{n-1} = I$. \square

Case III. Let $n = 2k+2$ such that $p \mid (n-2)$ and $p \nmid n$, and suppose that we are given a basic spin representation $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-3})$ of \tilde{S}_{n-2} over a field K of characteristic $p > 2$. In this situation the approach of Lemma 10 fails since the matrix J squares to zero. But as in Case II where T_{n-1} is subdivided into four blocks of equal size, we again divide the upper left corner into blocks. Since $\delta(\tilde{S}_{n-2}) = \delta(\tilde{S}_{n-3}) = 2^{k-1}$ and $p \nmid (n-3)$, we may suppose that T has been constructed by means of \mathfrak{S}_1 , thus $T_{n-3} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-4} kT_k$. Furthermore, we may also assume that the representation (T_1, \dots, T_{n-4}) of \tilde{S}_{n-3} has been constructed by \mathfrak{S} from a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-4} .

Lemma 11. *Let $n \geq 8$ be even. If $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-5})$ is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-4} over a field K of characteristic $p \geq 3$ such that $p \mid (n-2)$, define*

$$T_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} J & -I \\ & -J \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad J = \pm \sqrt{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{n-5} kT_k & 2I \\ 2I & -\sum_{k=1}^{n-5} kT_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix T_{n-1} extends the basic spin representation $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S}_1 \mathfrak{S}(T)$ of \tilde{S}_{n-1} to \tilde{S}_n . The field of definition is $K(\sqrt{-1})$.

Proof. Let $J_\circ = \sum_{k=1}^{n-5} kT_k$; by 6.(1), $J_\circ^2 = (-(n-5)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-6} k)I = -3I$ as $n-2$ is divisible by p , and thus $T_{n-1}^2 = -I$, so (i) holds. For the sake of clarity, we summarize the representations at hand:

- \tilde{S}_{n-3} : $\mathfrak{S}(T) = (T_1^\uparrow, \dots, T_{n-4}^\uparrow)$,
- \tilde{S}_{n-2} : $\mathfrak{S}_I \mathfrak{S}(T) = (T_1^\uparrow, \dots, T_{n-4}^\uparrow, T_{n-3})$ with $T_{n-3} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-4} kT_k^\uparrow$,
- \tilde{S}_{n-1} : $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S}_I \mathfrak{S}(T) = (T_1^{\uparrow\uparrow}, \dots, T_{n-4}^{\uparrow\uparrow}, T_{n-3}^\uparrow, T_{n-2}^\uparrow)$.

For (ii), we need to show that

$$T_{n-1}T_i^{\uparrow\uparrow} + T_i^{\uparrow\uparrow}T_{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-4 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{n-1}T_{n-3}^\uparrow + T_{n-3}^\uparrow T_{n-1} = 0.$$

The left hand sides are

$$\begin{bmatrix} J & -I \\ & -J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_i^\uparrow & \\ & -T_i^\uparrow \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T_i^\uparrow & \\ & -T_i^\uparrow \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J & -I \\ & -J \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} JT_i^\uparrow + T_i^\uparrow J & \\ & JT_i^\uparrow + T_i^\uparrow J \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} J & -I \\ & -J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{n-3} & -I \\ & -T_{n-3} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T_{n-3} & -I \\ & -T_{n-3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J & -I \\ & -J \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & \\ & X \end{bmatrix}$$

with $X = JT_{n-3} + T_{n-3}J$. By (2) and (3) of Lemma 6, $J_\circ T_i + T_i J_\circ = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-6$, and $J_\circ T_{n-5} + T_{n-5} J_\circ = (4-n) = 2I$, respectively. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & JT_i^\uparrow + T_i^\uparrow J \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} J_\circ & 2I \\ 2I & -J_\circ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_i & \\ & -T_i \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T_i & \\ & -T_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_\circ & 2I \\ 2I & -J_\circ \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-6), \\ & JT_{n-5}^\uparrow + T_{n-5}^\uparrow J \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} J_\circ & 2I \\ 2I & -J_\circ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{n-5} & -I \\ & -T_{n-5} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T_{n-5} & -I \\ & -T_{n-5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_\circ & 2I \\ 2I & -J_\circ \end{bmatrix} = 0, \\ & JT_{n-4}^\uparrow + T_{n-4}^\uparrow J = \begin{bmatrix} J_\circ & 2I \\ 2I & -J_\circ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -I & I \\ & \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -I & I \\ & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_\circ & 2I \\ 2I & -J_\circ \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ & JT_{n-3} + T_{n-3}J = \sum_{k=1}^{n-4} k \left(T_k^\uparrow J + JT_k^\uparrow \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to verify (iii) which is checked easily. \square

Remark 12. Let $n \geq 6$ be even and assume that R is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_{n-2} . In Lemma 10 we have given two different matrices T_{n-1}^+ and T_{n-1}^- which extend $T = \mathfrak{S}(R) = (T_1, \dots, T_{n-2})$ to basic spin representations T^+ and T^- of \tilde{S}_n , respectively. Then T^+ and T^- are non-equivalent as any transformation matrix has to commute with T_i for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$, and hence is scalar by Schur's Lemma. Moreover, if V denotes the module afforded by $\mathfrak{S}(T)$, then $[I \quad -T_{n-1}^+]$ and $[I \quad -T_{n-1}^-]$ are bases of the submodules of V corresponding to T^+ and T^- , respectively; in particular, V is decomposable. A similar remark applies to the construction of Lemma 11.

Finally, it remains to give a basic spin representation for \tilde{S}_4 . But we can simply start with a suitable representation T of \tilde{S}_2 and then apply the construction. We refer to the constructions of Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 as \mathfrak{S}_{II} and $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{III}}$, respectively. For example,

- over $\text{GF}(2)$ we get $\mathfrak{S}(T) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix} \right)$ for \tilde{S}_3 , so

$$\mathfrak{S}_I \mathfrak{S}(T) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_4 .

- over $\text{GF}(9)$ with primitive element ζ , the construction \mathfrak{S}_I yields $([\zeta^2], [\zeta^2])$ as a representation of \tilde{S}_3 ; hence

$$\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S}_I(T) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \zeta^2 & \\ & \zeta^6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^2 & \zeta^4 \\ & \zeta^6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ \zeta^4 & \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

is a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_4 .

- over $\text{GF}(p^2)$ for $p > 3$ with primitive element ζ and $\omega = \zeta^{(p^2-1)/4}$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{II}(T) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \omega & -1 \\ & -\omega \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha\omega & 2\alpha - 1 \\ 2\alpha & \alpha\omega \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

with $\alpha = 3^{-1}(1 + \omega\sqrt{2}) \in \text{GF}(p^2)$, a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_4 .

Corollary 13. *Let $n \geq 4$. For $K = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{GF}(2)$, or $\text{GF}(p^2)$ with $p \geq 3$, iterative use of the constructions \mathfrak{S} , \mathfrak{S}_I , \mathfrak{S}_{II} , or \mathfrak{S}_{III} , as described in Table 1, provides a basic spin representation of \tilde{S}_n over K .*

TABLE 1. Basic Spin Constructions over K

K		n odd	n even
\mathbb{C}		\mathfrak{S}	\mathfrak{S}_{II}
$\text{GF}(2)$		\mathfrak{S}	\mathfrak{S}_I
$\text{GF}(p^2)$	$p \nmid n(n-1)(n-2)$	\mathfrak{S}	\mathfrak{S}_{II}
	$(p \geq 3) \quad p \mid n$	\mathfrak{S}_I	\mathfrak{S}_I
	$p \mid (n-1)$	\mathfrak{S}	\mathfrak{S}
	$p \mid (n-2)$	\mathfrak{S}	\mathfrak{S}_{III}

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Wolfgang Lempken, Klaus Lux and Jürgen Müller for their suggestions and useful hints.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. N. Hoffman and J. F. Humphreys, *Projective representations of the symmetric groups*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [2] A. S. Kleshchev and P. H. Tiep, *On restrictions of modular spin representations of symmetric and alternating groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 5, 1971–1999.
- [3] I. Schur, *Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **139** (1911), 155–250.
- [4] D. B. Wales, *Some projective representations of S_n* , J. Algebra **61** (1979), no. 1, 37–57.

INSTITUT FÜR EXPERIMENTELLE MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, ELLERNSTR.
29, 45326 ESSEN, GERMANY

Current address: School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT,
United Kingdom

E-mail address: `lukas.maas@iem.uni-due.de`