Holography, charge and baryon asymmetry

T. R. Mongan

March 9, 2021

84 Marin Avenue, Sausalito, CA 94965 USA, (415) - 332 - 1506, tmongan@gmail.com

Keywords: baryon asymmetry, preon models, holographic principle

Abstract

The reason for baryon asymmetry in our universe has been a pertinent question for many years. The holographic principle suggests a charged preon model underlies the Standard Model of particle physics, and any such charged preon model requires baryon asymmetry. This note estimates the baryon asymmetry predicted by charged preon models in closed inflationary Friedmann universes.

The reason for the dominance of matter over antimatter in our universe has been a relevant issue for years [\[1\]](#page-3-0). The holographic principle [\[2\]](#page-3-1), developed from black hole thermodynamics, says all physics at a given point is described by the finite number of bits of information on the particle horizon at the greatest distance from which a light signal could reach the point since the end of inflation. This suggests a charged preon model underlies the continuum mathematics of Standard Model particle physics. There is a temperature associated with the horizon and thermodynamics on the horizon implies gravity is explained by Einstein's theory of general relativity [\[3\]](#page-3-2). This note shows that, in charged preon models, thermodynamics on the horizon requires baryon asymmetry, and the baryon asymmetry estimated for a closed universe is consistent with observations. This simple explanation for baryon asymmetry suggests baryon asymmetry and the resulting matter dominance in the universe are observational evidence for a substructure beneath the Standard Model. It also suggests the particle horizon is an appropriate focus for efforts to link gravity with quantum mechanics.

The holographic principle says all information available about physics within a horizon at distance d from an observer is given by the finite amount of information on the horizon. The number of bits of information on the horizon, spec-ified by one quarter of the horizon area in Planck units [\[2\]](#page-3-1), is $\pi d^2/(\delta^2 \ln 2)$. The Planck length $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}}$, where $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3/\text{g sec}^2$, $\hbar = 1.05 \times 10^{-27} \text{g}$ cm²/sec, and $c = 3 \times 10^{10}$ cm/sec. The following analysis relies on Bousso's [\[2\]](#page-3-1) formulation of the holographic principle in terms of the light sheets of the causal horizon, circumventing earlier objections [\[4\]](#page-3-3) to using the holographic principle in cosmological contexts. In particular, the argument applies to a vacuumdominated closed universe, created spontaneously by a quantum fluctuation, that can never collapse [\[5\]](#page-3-4)

Because it involves continuum mathematics, the Standard Model can only approximate an underlying finite-dimensional holographic theory. In particular, a finite dimensional model involving only bits of information on the horizon must describe all physics occurring within the horizon. Linking bits of information on the horizon with Standard Model particles requires a holographic model describing constituents (preons) of Standard Model particles in terms of bits of information on the horizon.

All Standard Model particles have charges 0, 1/3, 2/3 or 1 in units of the electron charge $\pm e$, so bits in a preon model must be identified with fractional electric charge. Furthermore, in any physical system, energy must be transferred to change information in a bit from one state to another. Labelling the low energy state of a bit $e/3n$ and the high energy state $-e/3n$ (where n is some non-zero integer depending on the particular preon model chosen) then amounts to defining electric charge. If the universe is charge neutral (as it must be if it began by a spontaneous quantum fluctuation from nothing) there must be equal numbers of $e/3n$ and $-e/3n$ charges. A holographic charged preon model in such a universe then embodies charge conservation, a precondition for gauge invariance and Maxwell's equations.

Protons have charge e and anti-protons have charge $-e$. Therefore, regardless of the details of how bits of information on the horizon specify a proton or anti-proton, the preon configuration specifying a proton must differ in 3n bits from the configuration specifying an anti-proton. Then, because $e/3n$ bits and $-e/3n$ bits do not have the same energy, the number of protons and anti-protons created in the early universe must be slightly different. In other words, if $e/3n$ bits have lower energy than $-e/3n$ bits, there will inevitably be more matter than anti-matter in the universe. However, a small difference in energy of the bits on the horizon specifying a proton or anti-proton is not inconsistent with protons and anti-protons having identical mass.

The temperature at the time of baryon formation was $T_B = 2m_p c^2/k =$ $2.18\times10^{13} \text{ °K}$, where the Boltzmann constant $k=1.38\times10^{-16} (\text{g cm}^2/\text{sec}^2)/^{\text{o}} K$, and the proton mass $m_p = 1.67 \times 10^{-14}$ g. So, the scale factor of the uni-verse at the time of baryogenesis was [\[6\]](#page-3-5) $R_B = R_0 \left(\frac{2.725}{T_B}\right) \approx 10^{15} \text{cm}$, where 2.725 $\,^o K$ is today's cosmic microwave background temperature and the scale factor of the universe today is $R_0 \approx 10^{28}$ cm. The time t_B of baryogenesis, in seconds after the end of inflation, can be determined from the Friedmann equation $\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{8\pi G}{3}\right)\varepsilon\left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^2 = -\kappa c^2$. After inflation, the universe is so large it is almost flat, so the curvature parameter $\kappa \approx 0$. The energy density is $\varepsilon(R) = \varepsilon_r \left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^4 + \varepsilon_m \left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^3 + \varepsilon_v$, where ε_r , ε_m and ε_v are, respectively, today's radiation, matter and vacuum energy densities. Since the ra-diation energy density [\[8\]](#page-4-0) $\varepsilon_r = 4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg/cm}^3$, the matter energy density $\varepsilon_m \approx 9 \times 10^{-9}$ erg/cm³, and vacuum energy density was negligible in the early post-inflationary universe, the radiation term dominated when $R \ll 10^{-5}R_0$, before radiation/matter equality. Integrating $\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{8\pi G}{3c^2}\right)\frac{\varepsilon_r R_0^4}{R^2} = \left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{A^2}{R^2} =$ 0, where $A = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G\varepsilon_r R_0^4}{3c^2}}$, from the end of inflation at $t = 0$ to t gives $\frac{1}{2}(R^2 - R_i^2) = At$, where R_i is the scale factor of the universe at the end 2 of inflation. Therefore, $t_B = \frac{R_B^2 - R_i^2}{2A} \approx \frac{R_B^2}{2A} \approx 10^{-7}$ seconds, if $R_B \gg R_i$. The distance d_B from any point in the universe to the particle horizon for that point [\[7\]](#page-4-1) is $d_B = cR_B \int_0^{t_B} \frac{dt'}{R(t')} = \left[\frac{cR_B}{A} \sqrt{R_i^2 + 2At} \right]_0^{t_B} = \frac{cR_B}{A} \left[\sqrt{R_i^2 + 2At_B} - R_i \right]$. Since $R_B \gg R_i$, $d_B \approx cR_B \sqrt{\frac{2t_B}{A}} \approx 10^4 \text{cm}$.

The surface gravity on the particle horizon at baryogenesis is $g_{HB} = G \frac{4\pi}{3}$ $\epsilon(R_B)$ $\frac{R_B)}{c^2}d_B\approx$ $\frac{4\pi G}{3c} \epsilon_r \frac{R_0^4}{AR_B^2}$, so the associated horizon temperature [\[3\]](#page-3-2) is $T_{HB} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi ck} g_{HB} \approx$ $6 \times 10^{-76} K$. The temperature at any epoch is uniform throughout a postinflationary homogeneous isotropic Friedman universe, and the causal horizon at baryogenesis is at distance d_B from every point in the universe. The temperature at every point on the causal horizon for every point in the universe is the same because the surface gravity of the uniform sphere within the horizon is the same at every point on every horizon. The bits on all causal horizons are in thermal equilibrium, and there are only two quantum states accessible to those bits. Therefore, the use of equilibrium statistical mechanics is justified and the occupation probabilities of the two bit states in thermal equilibrium at temperature T_{HB} are proportional to their corresponding Boltzmann factors. So, if the energy of an $e/3n$ bit on the horizon at the time of baryon formation is $E_{bit} - E_d$ and the energy of a $-e/3n$ bit is $E_{bit} + E_d$, the proton/antiproton ratio at baryogenesis is $\left(e^{-\frac{E_{hit}-E_d}{kT_{HB}}}\right)$ $\left(e^{\frac{-E_{bit}-E_d}{kT_{HB}}} \right)^{3n} = e^{\frac{6nE_d}{kT_{HB}}}$. Since $e^{\frac{6nE_d}{kT_{HB}}} \approx 1 + \frac{6nE_d}{kT_{HB}},$

the proton excess is $\frac{6nE_d}{kT_{HB}}$

.

Any holographic preon model must link bits of information on the horizon to bits of information specifying the location of preon constituents of Standard Model particles within the universe. The wavefunction specifying the probability distribution for the location of a particular bit of information within the universe has only two energy levels. The energy released when a bit in the universe drops from the (1) to the (0) state raises another bit from the (0) to the (1) state, and that is the mechanism for charge conservation. The energy must be transferred by a massless quantum with wavelength related to the size of the universe. There is no reliable definition of the size (as opposed to the scale factor) of a flat or open universe, so it is necessary to restrict the analysis to closed Friedmann universes. The only macroscopic length characteristic of the size of a closed Friedmann universe with radius (scale factor) $R(t)$ is the circumference $2\pi R(t)$. If the energy $2E_d$ to change the state of a bit associated with a preon within the universe (and the corresponding bit on the horizon) at baryogenesis equals the energy of massless quanta with wavelength characteristic of the size of a closed Friedmann universe with radius R_B , $2E_d = \frac{\hbar c}{R_B}$. Then, substituting from above, the proton excess at baryogenesis is $\frac{6nE_d}{kT_{HB}} = \left(\frac{12n\pi c^2}{R_0}\right)\left(\frac{2.725}{T_B}\right)\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi G\varepsilon_r}}$. The dependence on R_0 arises because R_B , the radius of the universe at baryogenesis, depends on R_0 , today's cosmic microwave background temperature 2.725 \mathcal{O}_K , and the temperature T_B at baryogenesis. For $R_0 \approx 10^{28}$ cm, the proton excess is $\frac{6nE_d}{kT_{HB}} \approx 0.9n \times 10^{-9}$.

The WMAP estimate [\[9\]](#page-4-2) of baryon density to cosmic microwave background photon density ratio is 6.1×10^{-10} . A charged preon model [\[10\]](#page-4-3) with $n = 2$ involves three strands, with charged bits on the end of each strand, bound by non-local forces into each Standard Model particle. At the time of baryogenesis, the nnmber of proton states with six $e/6$ bits, the number of anti-protons states with six $-e/6$ bits, and the number of photon states with three $e/6$ and three $-e/6$ bits are approximately equal. Then, when almost all protons and antiprotons annihilate to two photons, the ratio of baryon to photon states is $\frac{1}{3}(1.8\times$ $(10^{-9}) = 6 \times 10^{-10}$, in good agreement with the WMAP result.

If $R_0 \approx 10^{28}$ cm, the model in this paper also predicts a positron excess of $\approx 1.7n \times 10^{-6}$ when the universe cools to the point where electron-positron pairs can survive. This primordial positron excess is a primary source of positrons that might help explain cosmic ray positron excess in the PAMELA experiment [\[11\]](#page-4-4). The positron excess might also explain part of the asymmetric 511 keV gamma radiation from the galactic center [\[12\]](#page-4-5).

References

- [1] Dine, M. and Kusenko, A., "The origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry," Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 1, 2004 [\[hep-ph/0303065\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0303065)
- [2] Bousso, R., "The holographic principle," Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 825, 2002 [\[hep-th/0203101\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0203101)
- [3] Padmanabhan, T., "A physical interpretation of gravitational field equations," [arXiv:0911.1403,](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.1403) and "A dialogue on the nature of gravity," [arXiv:0910.0839](http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.0839)
- [4] Easther, R. and Lowe, D., "Holography, Cosmology and the Second Law of Thermodynamics," Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4967, 1999 [\[hep-th/9902088\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9902088); Bak, D., and Rey, S., "Cosmic Holography," Class. Quant. Grav. 17: L83, 2000 [\[hep-th/9902173\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9902173); Kaloper, N. and Linde, A., "Cosmology vs. Holography," Phys. Rev. D60: 103509, 1999 [\[hep-th/9904120\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9904120)
- [5] Mongan, T., "A simple quantum cosmology," Gen. Rel. Grav. 33, 1415, 2001 [\[gr-qc/0103021\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0103021)
- [6] Boyanovksy, D., de Vega, H., and Holman, R., "Non-equilibrium phase transitions in condensed matter and cosmology: spinodal decomposition, condensates and defects," Lectures at NATO Advanced Study Institute: Topological Defects and the Non-Equilibrium Dynamics of Symmetry Breaking Phase Transitions, [\[hep-ph/9903534\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9903534); Dodelson, S., "Modern Cosmology," page 4, Academic Press, San Diego, 2003
- [7] Islam, J., "An Introduction to Mathematical Cosmology," 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2002; page 73
- [8] Siemiginowska, A., et al, "The 300 kpc long X-ray jet in PKS 1127-145, z=1.18 quasar: Constraining X-ray emission models," Astrophys. J. 657, 145, 2007 [\[astro-ph/0611406\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0611406)
- [9] Bennet, C., et al, "First Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Preliminary Maps and Basic Results," Astrophys.J.Suppl.1:175,2003 [\[astro-ph/0302207\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0302207)
- [10] Mongan, T., "A holographic charged preon model," [arXiv:0801.3670](http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.3670)
- [11] Adriani, A., et al, "Observation of an anomalous positron abundance in the cosmic radiation," Nature, 458, 607, 2009 [\[arXiv:0810.4995\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.4995), Serpico, P., "Possible causes of a rise with energy of the cosmic ray positron fraction" Phys. Rev. D79:021302, 2009, [\[arXiv:0810.4846\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0810.4846)
- [12] Jean, P., et al, "Early SPI/INTEGRAL measurements of galactic 511 keV line emission from positron annihilation," Astron. Astropys. 407, L55, 2003 [\[astro-ph/0309484\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0309484)