

THE JONES SLOPES OF A KNOT

STAVROS GAROUFALIDIS

ABSTRACT. The paper introduces the Slope Conjecture which relates the degree of the Jones polynomial of a knot and its parallels with the slopes of incompressible surfaces in the knot complement. More precisely, we introduce two knot invariants, the Jones slopes (a finite set of rational numbers) and the Jones period (a natural number) of a knot in 3-space. We formulate a number of conjectures for these invariants and verify them by explicit computations for the class of alternating knots, the knots with at most 9 crossings, the torus knots and the $(-2, 3, n)$ pretzel knots.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The degree of the Jones polynomial and incompressible surfaces	1
1.2. The degree of the colored Jones function is a quadratic quasi-polynomial	3
1.3. q -holonomic functions and quadratic quasi-polynomials	3
1.4. The Jones slopes and the Jones period of a knot	4
1.5. The symmetrized Jones slopes and the signature of a knot	5
1.6. Plan of the proof	7
2. Future directions	7
3. The Jones slopes and the Jones period of an alternating knot	8
4. Computing the Jones slopes and the Jones period of a knot	10
4.1. Some lemmas on quasi-polynomials	10
4.2. Computing the colored Jones function of a knot	11
4.3. Guessing the colored Jones function of a knot	11
4.4. A summary of non-alternating knots	12
4.5. The 8-crossing non-alternating knots	13
4.6. The 9-crossing non-alternating knots	14
4.7. The case of the $(-2, 3, p)$ pretzel knots	17
4.8. The case of torus knots	17
4.9. Acknowledgment	18
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The degree of the Jones polynomial and incompressible surfaces. The paper introduces an explicit conjecture relating the degree of the Jones polynomial of a knot (and its parallels) with slopes of incompressible surfaces in the knot complement. We give an elementary proof of our conjecture for

Date: May 21, 2010.

The author was supported in part by NSF.

1991 *Mathematics Classification.* Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25.

Key words and phrases: *Knot, link, Jones polynomial, Jones slope, Jones period, quasi-polynomial, alternating knots, signature, pretzel knots, polytopes, Newton polygon, incompressible surfaces, slope, Slope Conjecture.*

alternating knots and torus knots, and check it with explicit computations for non-alternating knots with 8 and 9 crossings, and for the $(-2, 3, p)$ pretzel knots.

One side of our conjecture involves the growth rate of the degree $\delta_K(n)$ (with respect to q) of the colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ of a knot. The other side involves the finite set bs_K of *slopes of incompressible, ∂ -incompressible orientable surfaces* in the complement of K , where the slopes are normalized so that the longitude has slope 0 and the meridian has slope ∞ ; [Ha]. To formulate our conjecture, we need a definition. Recall that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a cluster point of a sequence (x_n) of real numbers if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many indices $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x - x_n| < \epsilon$. Let $\{x_n\}'$ denote the set of *cluster points* of a sequence (x_n) .

Definition 1.1. (a) For a knot K , define the *Jones slopes* js_K by:

$$(1) \quad \text{js}_K = \left\{ \frac{2}{n^2} \deg(J_{K,n}(q)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}'$$

(b) Let bs_K denote the set of boundary slopes of incompressible surfaces of K .

A priori, the structure and the cardinality of the set js_K is not obvious. On the other hand, it is known that bs_K is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$; see [Ha]. Normal surfaces are of special interest because of their relation with *exceptional* Dehn surgery, and the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety and hyperbolic geometry, see for example [Bu, CGLS, CCGLS, KR, LTi, My].

Conjecture 1. (*The Slope Conjecture*) For every knot we have

$$(2) \quad 2\text{js}_K \subset \text{bs}_K.$$

Before we proceed further, and to get a better intuition about this conjecture, let us give three illustrative examples.

Example 1.2. For the alternating knot 8_{17} we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(n) &= 2n^2 + 2n \\ \delta^*(n) &= -2n^2 - 2n \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_K(n)$ and $\delta_K^*(n)$ are the maximum and the the minimum degree of $J_{K,n}(q)$ with respect to q . On the other hand, according to [Cu], the Newton polygon (based on the geometric component of the character variety) has 44 sides and its slopes (excluding multiplicities) are

$$\{-14, -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 14, \infty\}.$$

The reader may observe that $\delta(n)$ and $\delta^*(n)$ are quadratic polynomials in n and four times the leading terms of $\delta(n)$ and $\delta^*(n)$ are boundary slopes (namely 8 and -8), and moreover they agree with $2c^+$ and $-2c^-$ where c^\pm is the number of positive/negative crossings of 8_{17} . In addition, as Y. Kabaya observed (see [Ka]), 8_{17} has slopes ± 14 outside the interval $[-2c^-, 2c^+] = [-8, 8]$.

Example 1.3. For the non-alternating pretzel knot $(-2, 3, 7)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(n) &= \left\lceil \frac{37}{8}n^2 + \frac{17}{2}n \right\rceil = \frac{37}{8}n^2 + \frac{17}{2}n + \epsilon(n), \\ \delta^*(n) &= 5n \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n)$ is a periodic sequence of period 4 given by $0, 1/8, 1/2, 1/8$ if $n \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ respectively. $(-2, 3, 7)$ is a Montesinos knot and its boundary slopes are given by

$$\{0, 16, 37/2, 20\}$$

(see [HO] and [Du] and compare also with [Ma]). In this case, $\delta(n)$ is no longer a quadratic polynomial of n . Instead $\delta(n)$ is a quadratic quasi-polynomial with fixed leading term $37/8$. Moreover, four times this leading term is a slope of the knot. This number was the motivating example that eventually lead to the results of this paper. Likewise, four times the n^2 -coefficient of $\delta^*(n)$ is 0, which is also a boundary slope of this knot.

Example 1.4. The pretzel knots $(2, 3, 5, 5)$ and $(2, 5, 3, 5)$ are mutant, alternating and Montesinos. Since they are mutant, their colored Jones functions (thus their Jones slopes) agree; see [Kf]. Since they are alternating, their common Jones slopes are $js = c^+ = 15$ and $js^* = -c^- = 0$; see Theorem 2. Since they are Montesinos, Hatcher-Oertel's algorithm implemented by Dunfield (see [Du]) implies that their boundary slopes are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bs}_{(2,3,5,5)} &= \{0, 4, 6, 10, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \infty\} \\ \text{bs}_{(2,5,3,5)} &= \{0, 4, 6, 10, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 26, 28, 30, \infty\} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the set bs_K is not invariant under knot mutation.

1.2. The degree of the colored Jones function is a quadratic quasi-polynomial. In the previous section, we took the shortest path to formulate a conjecture relating the degree of the colored Jones function of a knot with incompressible surfaces in the knot complement. In this section we will motivate our conjecture, and add some *structure* to it. Let us recall that in 1985, Jones introduced the famous *Jones polynomial* $J_K(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ of a knot (or link) K in 3-space; [Jo]. The Jones polynomial of a knot is a Laurent polynomial with integer coefficients that tightly encodes information about the topology and the geometry of the knot.

Unlike the Alexander polynomial, not much is known about the topological meaning of the coefficients of the Jones polynomial, nor about its degree, nor about the countable set of Jones polynomials of knots.

This unstructured behavior of the Jones polynomial becomes more structured when one fixes a knot K and considers a stronger invariant, namely the *colored Jones function* $J_{K,n}(q)$. The latter is a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which encodes the TQFT invariants of a knot colored by the irreducible $(n+1)$ -dimensional representation of $\text{SU}(2)$, and normalized to be 1 at the unknot; see [Tu2]. With these conventions, $J_{K,0}(q) = 1$ and $J_{K,1}(q)$ is the Jones polynomial of K .

In many ways, the sequence $J_{K,n}(q)$ is better behaved, and suitable limits of the sequence $J_{K,n}(q)$ have a clear topological or geometric meaning. Let us give three instances of this phenomenon:

- (a) A suitable formal power series limit $J_{K,n-1}(e^h) \in \mathbb{Q}[[h, n]]$ (known as the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky Conjecture) equals to $1/\Delta_K(e^{nh})$ and determines the *Alexander polynomial* $\Delta_K(t)$ of K (see [B-NG]).
- (b) An analytic limit $J_{K,N-1}(e^{\alpha/N})$ for small complex numbers α near zero equals to $1/\Delta_K(e^\alpha)$ also determines the Alexander polynomial of K ; see [GL1, Thm.2].
- (c) The exponential growth rate of the sequence $J_{K,N-1}(e^{2\pi i/N})$ (the so-called *Kashaev invariant*) of a hyperbolic knot is conjectured to equal to the *volume* of K , divided by 2π ; see [Ks].

On the other hand, one can easily construct hyperbolic knots with equal Jones polynomial but different Alexander polynomial and volume.

Some already observed structure regarding the colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q)$ is that it is q -holonomic, i.e., it satisfies a linear recursion relation with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q^n, q]$; see [GL1]. The present paper is concerned with another notion of regularity, namely the degree of the colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q)$ with respect to n . Since little is known about the degree of the Jones polynomial of a knot, one might expect that there is little to say about the degree of the colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q)$. Once observed, the regularity of the degree seems obvious as Bar-Natan suggests; see [B-NL, Lem.3.6] and [Me]. Moreover, the degree of the colored Jones function motivates the introduction of two knot invariants, the Jones slopes of a knot (a finite set of rational numbers) and the Jones period of a knot (a natural number).

1.3. q -holonomic functions and quadratic quasi-polynomials. To formulate our new notion of regularity, we need to recall what is a quasi-polynomial. A *quasi-polynomial* $p(n)$ is a function

$$p : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad p(n) = \sum_{j=0}^d c_j(n)n^j$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ where $c_j(n)$ is a periodic function with integral period for $j = 1, \dots, d$; [St, BR]. If $c_d(n)$ is not identically zero, then the degree of p is d . We will focus on two numerical invariants of a quasi-polynomial, its period and its slopes.

Definition 1.5. (a) The *period* π of a quasi-polynomial $p(n)$ as above is the common period of $c_j(n)$.
 (b) The set of *slopes* of a quadratic quasi-polynomial $p(n)$ is the finite set of twice the rational values of the periodic function $c_2(n)$.

Notice that if $p(n)$ is a quasi-polynomial of period π , then there exist polynomials p_0, \dots, p_{s-1} such that $p(n) = p_i(n)$ when $n \equiv i \pmod{\pi}$, and vice-versa. Notice also that the set of slopes of a quasi-polynomial is always a finite subset of \mathbb{Q} .

Quasi-polynomials of period 1 are simply polynomials. Quasi-polynomials appear naturally in counting problems of lattice points in rational convex polytopes; see for example [BP, BR, BV, Eh, St]. In fact, if P is a rational convex polytope, then the number of lattice points of nP is the so-called *Ehrhart* quasi-polynomial of P , useful in many enumerative questions [BP, BR, BV, Eh, St].

The next theorem seems obvious, once observed. The proof, given in [Ga2], uses ideas from *differential Galois theory* of D -modules and the key *Skolem-Mahler-Lech theorem* from number theory. Let $\deg(f(q))$ denote the degree of a rational function $f(q)$ with respect to q .

Theorem 1. [Ga2] *If $f_n(q)$ is a q -holonomic sequence of rational functions, then for large n , $\deg(f_n(q))$ is a quadratic quasi-polynomial. Moreover, the leading term of $f_n(q)$ satisfies a linear recursion relation with constant coefficients.*

The restriction for large n in Theorem 1 is necessary, since the sequence $((1 + (-1)^n)q^{n^2} + q^{17})$ is q -holonomic, and its degree (given by 17 if $n \leq 4$, by n^2 if $n \geq 5$ is even and by 17 if $n \geq 5$ is odd) is not a quasi-polynomial. On the other hand, if $n \geq 5$, the degree is given by the quadratic quasi-polynomial $n^2(1 + (-1)^n)/2 + 17$.

Corollary 1.6. *If $f_n(q)$ is a q -holonomic sequence of rational functions and $\delta(n) = \deg(f_n(q))$ then*

$$\left\{ \frac{2}{n^2} \delta(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}' = \text{slopes}(\delta)$$

Proof. Consider a subsequence k_n of the natural numbers such that

$$l = \lim_n 2 \frac{\delta(k_n)}{k_n^2}$$

Since $d(n)$ is (for large n) given by a quasi-polynomial, it follows that $d(n) = c_2(n)n^2 + O(n)$ for a periodic function $c_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since c_2 takes finitely many values, it follows that there is a subsequence m_n of k_n such that $c_2(m_n) = s$ for all n , where s is a slope of $\delta(n)$. Since $d(n) = c_2(n)n^2 + O(n)$ for all n , it follows that $l = 2s$. I.e., l is a slope of δ . Conversely, it is easy to see that every slope of δ is the limit point for a subsequence taken to be an arithmetic progression on which c_2 takes a constant value. \square

1.4. The Jones slopes and the Jones period of a knot. Given a knot K , we set

$$(3) \quad \delta_K(n) = \deg(J_{K,n}(q))$$

Combining the q -holonomicity of the colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q)$ of a knot K with Theorem 1, it follows that δ_K is a quadratic quasi-polynomial for large n .

Definition 1.7. (a) The *Jones period* π_K is the period of δ_K .
 (b) The *Jones slopes* js_K is the finite set of slopes of δ_K .

Corollary 1.6 implies that for every knot K we have:

$$\left\{ \frac{2}{n^2} \deg(J_{K,n}(q)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}' = \text{slopes}(\delta_K)$$

where the right-hand side (and consequently, the left-hand side, too) is a finite subset of \mathbb{Q} .

Lemma 1.8. *For every knot K we have:*

$$\left\{ \frac{2}{n^2} \deg(J_{K,n}(q)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}' = \text{slopes}(\delta_K)$$

where $\text{slopes}(\delta_K)$ is a finite subset of \mathbb{Q} .

The δ_K invariant records the growth rates of the (maximum) degree of the colored Jones function of K . We can also record the minimum degree as follows. If K^* denotes the mirror image of K , then $J_{K^*,n}(q) = J_K(q^{-1})$. Let us define

$$(4) \quad \delta_K^*(n) = -\delta_{K^*}(n) = \text{mindeg}(J_{K,n}(q)), \quad \text{js}_K^* = \text{slopes}(\delta_K^*).$$

Notice that Conjecture 1 applied to K^* implies that

$$\text{js}_K^* \subset \text{bs}_K.$$

The next proposition gives a bound for the Jones slopes of a knot K in terms of the number c_K^\pm of positive/negative crossings of a planar projection.

Proposition 1.9. *For every knot K , every $s \in \text{js}_K$ and every $s^* \in \text{js}_K^*$ we have*

$$(5) \quad -c_K^- \leq s^*, s \leq c_K^+.$$

The reader may compare the above lemma with Example 1.2.

Our next theorem confirms Conjecture 1 for all alternating knots. Consider a *reduced planar projection* of K with c_K^\pm crossings of positive/negative sign.

Theorem 2. *If K is alternating, then*

$$(6) \quad \pi_K = 1, \quad \text{js}_K = \{c_K^+\}, \quad \text{js}_K^* = \{-c_K^-\}.$$

In addition, the two checkerboard surfaces of K (doubled, if need, to make them orientable) are incompressible with slopes $2c_K^+$ and $-2c_K^-$.

Our final lemma relates the Jones slopes and the period of a knot.

Lemma 1.10. *If $a(n)$ is an integer-valued quadratic quasi-polynomial with period π , then for every slope s of $a(n)$ we have*

$$s\pi^2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, for every knot K we have

$$\pi_K^2 \text{js}_K \subset \mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_K^2 \text{js}_K^* \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, if a knot has a non-integral Jones slope, then it has period bigger than 1.

1.5. The symmetrized Jones slopes and the signature of a knot. In this section we discuss the symmetrized version δ_K^\pm of δ_K :

$$(7) \quad \delta_K^+ = \delta_K - \delta_K^*, \quad \delta_K^- = \delta_K + \delta_K^*.$$

Of course, $\delta_K = 1/2(\delta_K^+ + \delta_K^-)$ and $\delta_K^* = 1/2(-\delta_K^+ + \delta_K^-)$. Pictorially, we have:

$$\begin{array}{c} \delta_K^+ \\ \text{-----} \\ \bullet \qquad \bullet \qquad \bullet \\ \text{j}_K^* \quad \delta_K^-/2 \quad \text{j}_K \end{array}$$

As we will see below, the symmetrized degree δ_K^\pm of the colored Jones function has a different flavor, and relates (at least for alternating knots) to the signature of the knot. $\delta_K^+(n)$ is the *span* of $J_{K,n}(q)$, i.e., the difference between the maximum and minimum degree of $J_{K,n}(q)$. On the other hand, $\delta_K^-(n)$ is the sum of the minimum and maximum degree of $J_{K,n}(q)$, and appears to be less studied. Of course, δ_K^\pm are quadratic quasi-polynomials. Since the colored Jones function is multiplicative under connected sum, and reverses q to q^{-1} under mirror image, it follows that

$$(8) \quad \delta_{K_1 \# K_2}^- = \delta_{K_1}^- + \delta_{K_2}^-, \quad \delta_{K^*}^- = -\delta_K^-.$$

Our next theorem computes the δ_K^\pm quasi-polynomials of an alternating knot K in terms of three basic invariants: the *signature* σ_K , the *writhe* w_K and the *number of crossings* c_K of a reduced projection of K . Our result follows from elementary linear algebra using the results of Kauffman, Murasugi and Thistlethwaite, [Kf, Mu, Th], further simplified by Turaev [Tu1]. See also [Li, p.42] and [Le, Prop.2.1].

Theorem 3. (a) For all alternating knots K we have:

$$(9) \quad \delta_K^-(n) = \frac{w_K}{2}n^2 + \frac{w_K - 2\sigma_K}{2}n$$

$$(10) \quad \delta_K^+(n) = \frac{c_K}{2}n^2 + \frac{c_K}{2}n$$


(b) The Jones polynomial $J_K(q)$ determines c_K by:

$$(11) \quad \delta_K^+(1) = c_K.$$

(c) The Jones polynomial of K and its 2-parallel determines w_K and σ_K by:

$$(12) \quad \sigma_K = -3\delta_K^-(1) + \delta_K^-(2), \quad w_K = -2\delta_K^-(1) + \delta_K^-(2).$$

Remark 1.11. Part (c) of Theorem 3 is sharp. The Jones polynomial of an alternating knot determines the number of crossings, but it does not determine the signature nor the writhe of the knot. Shumakovitch provided us with a table of pairs of alternating knots with up to 14 crossings (using the Thistlethwaite notation) with equal Jones polynomials and unequal signature. An example of such a pair is with 12 crossings is the knot $12a_{669}$ and its mirror image:



$12a_{669}$ has Jones polynomial

$$J_{12a_{669},1}(q) = -\frac{1}{q^6} + \frac{2}{q^5} - \frac{4}{q^4} + \frac{6}{q^3} - \frac{7}{q^2} + \frac{9}{q} - 9 + 9q - 7q^2 + 6q^3 - 4q^4 + 2q^5 - q^6$$

and signature -2 . Since the signature is nonzero, $12a_{669}$ is *not amphicheiral*, and yet has *palindromic* Jones polynomial. The next colored Jones polynomial is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12a_{669},2}(q) = & \frac{1}{q^{17}} - \frac{2}{q^{16}} + \frac{1}{q^{15}} + \frac{3}{q^{14}} - \frac{7}{q^{13}} + \frac{4}{q^{12}} + \frac{6}{q^{11}} - \frac{13}{q^{10}} + \frac{6}{q^9} + \frac{8}{q^8} - \frac{15}{q^7} + \frac{7}{q^6} + \frac{7}{q^5} - \frac{15}{q^4} + \frac{11}{q^3} + \frac{6}{q^2} - \frac{21}{q} \\ & + 18 + 9q - 30q^2 + 20q^3 + 15q^4 - 35q^5 + 16q^6 + 20q^7 - 32q^8 + 7q^9 + 22q^{10} - 22q^{11} - 2q^{12} + 17q^{13} \\ & - 9q^{14} - 5q^{15} + 7q^{16} - q^{17} - 2q^{18} + q^{19} \end{aligned}$$

and it is far from being palindromic. This is another example where the pattern of the Jones polynomial is blurred, but the pattern of the colored Jones function is clearer.

Results similar to Theorem 2 and 3 have been also been obtained independently in [CT] using the Jones polynomial of an alternating knot.

Let us end this section with two comments. In this paper K is a knot, but without additional effort one can state similar results for a link (and even a knotted trivalent graph, or quantum spin network) in 3-space. In addition, we should point out that there are deeper aspects of stability and integrality of the coefficients of the colored Jones function. We will discuss them in a future publication.

1.6. Plan of the proof. In Section 3 we use the Kauffman bracket skein module and the work of Kauffman, Le, Murasugi Thistlethwaite to give a proof of Proposition 1.9 and Theorems 2 and 3. This proves our Slope Conjecture for all alternating knots.

In Section 4 we give computational evidence for the degree of the colored Jones polynomials (and for the Slope Conjecture) of the non-alternating knots with 8 and 9 crossings. In addition, we verify the Slope Conjecture for all $(-2, 3, p)$ pretzel knots (using the fusion state-sum formulas of the colored Jones function studied in [GL3, Co, GVa]), and all torus knots (using Morton's formula for the colored Jones function of those, [Mo]). The reader may note that the pretzel knots $(-2, 3, p)$ are well-known examples of Montesinos knots, with non-integral slopes (for $p \neq -1, 1, 3, 5$), which are *not* quasi-alternating, thus the results of [FKP] do not apply for this family.

2. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this section we will discuss some future directions, and pose some questions, problems and conjectures.

The Slope Conjecture involves knots in 3-space, and relates the degree of the colored Jones function to their set of boundary slopes. The Slope Conjecture may be extended in three different directions: one may consider (a) links in 3-space, (b) general 1-cusped manifolds and (c) general Lie algebras. These extensions have been considered by the author. In [GVu] we introduce a Slope Conjecture for knots and arbitrary Lie algebras. One may also consider 1-cusped manifolds with the homology of $S^1 \times D^2$, i.e., knot complements in integer homology spheres. For those, the colored Jones polynomial exists (though it is *not* a polynomial, but rather an element of the Habiro ring; see [GL2]). The colored Jones function is q -holonomic, and we can use the non-commutative A -polynomial of this sequence to define the set of slopes.

The following problem appears mysterious and tantalizing.

Problem 1. Understand the *Selection Principle* which selects

- (a) the Jones slope from the set of boundary slopes,
- (b) the colored Jones function from the vector space of solutions of the linear q -difference equation.

Now, let us post some questions, based on the limited experimental evidence. Our first question concerns the class of knots of Jones period 1.

Question 1. Is it true that a prime knot K is alternating if and only if $\pi_K = 1$?

Theorem 2 proves the easy direction of the above conjecture. As a warm-up for our next question, several authors have studied the *diameter* d_K of the set bs_K

$$d_K = \max\{|s - s'| \mid s, s' \in \text{bs}_K\}$$

See for example [IM1, IM2, MMR]. Y. Kabaya pointed out to us that there are alternating knots K with diameter bigger than twice the number of crossings; see Example 1.2. Let jd_K denote the *Jones diameter*

$$(13) \quad \text{jd}_K = \max\{|s - s^*| \mid s \in \text{js}_K, s^* \in \text{js}_K^*\}.$$

Proposition 1.9 shows that $\text{jd}_K \leq c_K$. Moreover, the bound is achieved for alternating knots, and more generally for adequate knots; see [FKP]. The next question concerns the class of knots of maximal Jones diameter.

Question 2. Is it true that a prime knot K is adequate if and only if $\text{jd}_K = c_K$?

The class of alternating knots is included in two natural classes: *quasi-alternating knots*, and *adequate knots*. Knot Homology (and its exact triangles) can tell whether a knot is quasi-alternating or not (see [OM]), but it seems hard to tell whether a knot is alternating or not. Adequate knots appeared in [LT] in relation to the Jones polynomial and also in [FKP]. It was pointed out to us by D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni J. Purcell and P. Ozsváth that the pretzel knots $(-2, 3, p)$ are not adequate nor quasi-alternating for $p > 5$.

In all examples, the set js_K consists of a single element, whereas the set bs_K can have arbitrarily many elements. Thus, Conjecture 1 sees only a small part of the set bs_K . Our next conjecture claims that the

colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q)$ of K may see all the elements in bs_K . To formulate it, recall that $J_{K,n}(q)$ is a q -holonomic sequence, and satisfies a unique, minimal order recursion relation of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^d a_k(q^n, q) J_{K,n+k}(q) = 0$$

where $a_k[u, v] \in \mathbb{Q}[u, v]$ are polynomials with greatest common divisor 1; see [Ga1]. The 3-variable polynomial $qA_K(E, Q, q) = \sum_{k=0}^d a_k(Q, q) E^k$ is often called the *non-commutative A-polynomial* of K . The AJ Conjecture of [Ga1] states that every irreducible factor of $qA_K(L, M, 1)$ is a factor of $A_K(L, M^2)$ or is L -free, and vice-versa. Here A_K denotes the A -polynomial of K and A_K contains all components of the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety of K (including the abelian one). Let bs_K^A denote the slopes of the Newton polygon of A . These are the so-called *visible slopes* of a knot. It follows by Culler-Shalen theory (see [CS, CGLS, CGLS]) that $\text{bs}_K^A \subset \text{bs}_K$.

Let us define the q -Newton polytope qN_K of K to be the convex hull of the monomials $q^c Q^b E^a$ of $qA_K(E, Q, q)$. qN is a convex polytope in \mathbb{R}^3 , and we may consider the image of it in \mathbb{R}^2 under the projection map $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ which maps (a, b, c) to (a, b) (i.e., sends the monomial $q^c Q^b E^a$ to $Q^b E^a$).

Definition 2.1. The q -slopes qs_K of a knot K are the slopes of the projection of qN to \mathbb{R}^2 .

Problem 2. Show that for every knot K we have

$$(14) \quad 2\text{qs}_K = \text{bs}_K^A.$$

It is easy to see that for every knot K we have $\text{js}_K \subset \text{qs}_K$. In fact, this holds for arbitrary q -holonomic sequences; see [Ga4, Prop.1.2] for a detailed discussion. Thus, Problem 2 implies Conjecture 1. The AJ Conjecture motivates Problem 2. This is discussed in detail in [Ga4].

Our next problem concerns the symmetrized quasi-polynomial δ^- of a knot from (7). Although δ^- is not a concordance invariant, it determines the signature of an alternating knot.

Problem 3. Show that δ^- determines a Knot Homology invariant.

3. THE JONES SLOPES AND THE JONES PERIOD OF AN ALTERNATING KNOT

In this Section we prove Proposition 1.9 and Theorems 2 and 3 for an alternating knot K , using the Kauffman bracket presentation of the colored Jones polynomial.

The following lemma of Le [Le, Prop.2.1], (based on well-known properties of the Kauffman bracket skein module) shows that the sequences $\delta_K^*(n)$ and $\delta_K(n)$ have at most quadratic growth rate with respect to n . More precisely, for *every* knot K we have:

$$(15) \quad -\frac{1}{2}c_K^- n^2 + O(n) \leq \delta_K^*(n) \leq \delta_K(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}c_K^+ n^2 + O(n)$$

This implies that the slopes s of the quadratic quasi-polynomial δ_K satisfy $-c_K^- \leq s \leq c_K^+$. Replacing K by its mirror K^* , it implies the same inequality for the slopes s^* of δ_K^* and concludes the proof of Proposition 1.9. \square

Consider a reduced planar projection of an alternating knot K with c_K^\pm positive/negative crossings. Then, the number of crossings c_K and the writhe w_K of K are given by $c_K = c_K^+ + c_K^-$ and $w_K = c_K^+ - c_K^-$. Let σ_K denote the signature of K . Then we can express the minimum and maximum degrees $\delta_K^*(n)$ and $\delta_K(n)$ of K in terms of w_K, c_K and σ_K . This was shown by Kauffman, Murasugi and Thistlethwaite, [Kf, Mu, Th], and further simplified by Turaev [Tu1]. See also [Li, p.42] and [Le, Prop.2.1]. With our conventions, Proposition 2.1 of [Le] states that for all n we have:

$$(16) \quad \delta_K(n) = \frac{(c_K + w_K)}{4} n^2 + \frac{-|A| + 2c_K^+ + 1}{2} n$$

$$(17) \quad \delta_K^*(n) = \frac{(-c_K + w_K)}{4} n^2 + \frac{|B| - 2c_K^- - 1}{2} n$$

where $|A|$ (resp. $|B|$) is the number of circles of the A (resp. B) smoothing of the planar projection. For example, for the right-handed trefoil T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{T,0}(q) &= 1 \\ J_{T,1}(q) &= q + q^3 - q^4 \\ J_{T,2}(q) &= q^2 + q^5 - q^7 + q^8 - q^9 - q^{10} + q^{11} \\ J_{T,3}(q) &= q^3 + q^7 - q^{10} + q^{11} - q^{13} - q^{14} + q^{15} - q^{17} + q^{19} + q^{20} - q^{21} \\ J_{T,4}(q) &= q^4 + q^9 - q^{13} + q^{14} - q^{17} - q^{18} + q^{19} - q^{22} - q^{23} + 2q^{24} - q^{28} + 2q^{29} - q^{32} - q^{33} + q^{34} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta_T(n) = \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n, \quad \delta_T^*(n) = n$$

$$\delta_T^+(n) = \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n, \quad \delta_T^-(n) = \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{7}{2}n$$

and

$$c_T^+ = 3, \quad c_T^- = 0, \quad c_T = 3, \quad w_T = 3, \quad \sigma_T = -2, \quad |A| = 2, \quad |B| = 3.$$

Murasugi and Turaev observe that [Tu1, p.219-220]

$$(18) \quad |A| + |B| = c_K + 2, \quad c_K = c_K^+ + c_K^-, \quad w_K = c_K^+ - c_K^-, \quad \sigma_K = |A| - 1 - c_K^+ = -|B| + 1 + c_K^-.$$

Equation (16) implies that $\delta_K(n)$ is a quadratic polynomial (i.e., a quasi-polynomial of period 1) with coefficient of n^2 equal to $c_K^+/2$, i.e., with slope c_K^+ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 2. Equations (7), (16), (16) and (18) prove the first part of Theorem 3.

It remains to show that the two checkerboard surfaces of a reduced projection of an alternating knot K have slopes $2c_K^+$ and $-2c_K^-$. Observe that if $s = pm + ql$ is the slope of a surface S (where (m, l) is the standard meridian-longitude pair) and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the form in the boundary of a neighborhood of K , then $q = \langle m, s \rangle$, $p = \langle s, l \rangle$. If S is a black surface with slope $s = pm + ql$, then the geometrically s and m intersect at a point, thus $q = \pm 1$. In addition, s follows the knot K as we move towards the crossing, and intersects l twice around each positive crossing, and none around each negative crossing. The result follows. \square

Remark 3.1. Let V denote the 3-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by the functions c, w, σ on the set of alternating knots. There is an involution $K \mapsto K^*$ on this set, which includes an involution on V :

$$c^* = c, \quad w^* = -w, \quad \sigma^* = -\sigma.$$

On the other hand, δ, δ^* and δ^\pm belong to V and

$$(\delta^+)^* = \delta^+, \quad (\delta^-)^* = -\delta^-.$$

Thus, δ^+ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of c , and δ^- is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of w and σ . This is precisely the content of Theorem 3.

Remark 3.2. Let $f[k]$ denote the coefficient of n^k in a polynomial $f(n)$. Equations (9) and (10) imply that for all alternating knots K we have:

$$(19) \quad c_K = 2\delta_K^+[1] = 2\delta_K^+[2]$$

and

$$(20) \quad \sigma_K = \delta_K^-[2] - \delta_K^-[1], \quad w_K = 2\delta_K^-[2].$$

4. COMPUTING THE JONES SLOPES AND THE JONES PERIOD OF A KNOT

4.1. Some lemmas on quasi-polynomials. To better present the experimental (and in some cases, proven) data presented in the next section, let us give some lemmas on quasi-polynomials. If $a(n)$ is a sequence of numbers, consider the generating series

$$(21) \quad G_a(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)z^n.$$

The next well-known lemma characterizes quasi-polynomials. appears in [St, Prop.4.4.1] and [BR, Lem.3.24].

Lemma 4.1. [St, Prop.4.4.1][BR, Lem.3.24] *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $a(n)$ is a quasi-polynomial of period π
- (b) the generating series

$$G_a(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

is a rational function where $P(z), Q(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, every zero α of $Q(z)$ satisfies $\alpha^\pi = 1$ (provided that $P(z)/Q(z)$ has been reduced to lowest term) and $\deg P < \deg Q$.

- (c) For all $n \geq 0$,

$$(22) \quad a(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i(n)\gamma_i^n$$

where each $p_i(n)$ is a polynomial function of n and each γ_i satisfies $\gamma_i^\pi = 1$.

Moreover, the degree of $p_i(n)$ in (22) is one less than the multiplicity of the root γ_i^{-1} in $Q(z)$, provided $P(z)/Q(z)$ has been reduced to lowest terms.

Definition 4.2. We say that a quadratic quasi-polynomial $a(n)$ is *mono-sloped* if it has only one slope s . In other words, we have

$$a(n) = \frac{s}{2}n^2 + b(n)$$

where $b(n)$ is a linear quasi-polynomial.

To phrase our next corollary, let $\Phi_n(z)$ denote the n -th *cyclotomic polynomial*, and let $\phi(n)$ denote *Euler's ϕ -function*.

Corollary 4.3. $a(n)$ is *mono-sloped* if and only if

$$G_a(z) = \frac{az^2 + bz + c}{(1-z)^3} + \sum_{d>1} \frac{R_d(z)}{\Phi_d(z)^{c_d}}$$

where the summation is over a finite set of natural numbers and $c_p \leq 2$ and $R_d(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ has degree less than $\phi(d)c_d$. Moreover,

$$s = a + b + c.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha n^2 + \beta n + \gamma)z^n = \frac{az^2 + bz + c}{(1-z)^3}$$

if and only if

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + c), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(-a + b + 3c), \quad \gamma = c.$$

□

Proof. (of Lemma 1.10) Given s , there exists an arithmetic progression $\pi n + k$ such that for all natural numbers n we have

$$a(\pi n + k) = \frac{s}{2}(\pi n + k)^2 + \beta(\pi n + k) + \gamma$$

Now, let

$$b(n) = \frac{s}{2}(\pi n + k)^2 + \beta(\pi n + k) + \gamma = s\pi^2 \binom{n}{2} + \left(\beta\pi + k\pi s + \frac{\pi^2 s}{2} \right) n + \gamma + \beta k + \frac{k^2 s}{2}.$$

Now, b takes integer values at all integers. This implies that

$$s\pi^2, \quad \beta\pi + k\pi s + \frac{\pi^2 s}{2}, \quad \gamma + \beta k + \frac{k^2 s}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(see [BR]). The result follows. □

It is often easier to detect the periodicity properties of the the *difference*

$$(\Delta a)(n) := a(n+1) - a(n)$$

of a sequence $a(n)$. It is easy to recover $G_a(z)$ from $G_{\Delta a}(z)$ and $G_a(0)$.

Lemma 4.4. *With the above conventions, we have:*

$$(23) \quad G_{\Delta a}(z) = \frac{G_a(z)(1-z) - G_a(0)}{z}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\Delta a}(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a(n+1) - a(n))z^n \\ &= 1/z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n+1)z^{n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)z^n \\ &= 1/z(G_a(z) - G_a(0)) - G_a(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

We can iterate the above by considering the k -th difference defined by $\Delta^0 a = a$ and $\Delta^k a = \Delta(\Delta^{k-1} a)$ for $k \geq 1$.

4.2. Computing the colored Jones function of a knot. There are several ways to compute the colored Jones function $J_{K,n}(q)$ of a knot K . For example, one may use a planar projection and *R-matrices*; see for example, [Tu2, GL1] and also [B-N]). Alternatively, one may use planar projections and *shadow formulas* as discussed at length in [Co] and [GVa]. Or one may use *fusion* quantum spin networks and recoupling theory, discussed in [CFS, KL, Co, GVa]. All these approaches gives various useful formulas for $J_{K,n}(q)$ presented as a finite sum of a proper q -hypergeometric summand [GL1]. A careful inspection of the summand allows in several cases to compute the degree of $J_{K,n}(q)$.

4.3. Guessing the colored Jones function of a knot. In this section we guess the sequence δ_K of knots with a small number of crossings, using the following strategy, inspired by conversations with D. Zagier. Using the `KnotAtlas` [B-N] we compute as many values $J_{K,n}(q)$ of the colored Jones function as we can, and record their degree. This gives us a table of values of the quadratic quasi-polynomials $\delta_K(n)$ and $\delta_K^*(n)$. Taking the third difference of this table results into a degree 0 quasi-polynomial, i.e., a periodic function. At this point, we make a guess for this periodic function, and the corresponding generating series. Then, we use Lemma 4.4 and our guess for the second different to obtain a formula for $G_{\delta_K}(z)$ and $G_{\delta_K^*}(z)$. The partial fraction decomposition then gives us a formula for $\delta_K(n)$ and $\delta_K^*(n)$. In some cases, using explicit finite multi-dimensional sum formulas for the colored Jones polynomial, one can prove that the guessed formula for $\delta_K(n)$ and $\delta_K^*(n)$ are indeed correct. In this section, we will not bother with proofs.

As an example of our method, we will guess a formula for $\delta(n)$ and $\delta^*(n)$ for the $(-2, 3, 7)$ pretzel knot. One can actually prove that our guess is correct, using the fusion formulas for the 3-pretzel knots, but we will not bother. The values of $\delta^*(n)$ starting with $n = 0$ are given by:

$$\delta^* : 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, \dots$$

Taking the first difference we get the following values of $(\Delta\delta^*)(n)$ starting with $n = 0$:

$$\Delta\delta^* : 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, \dots$$

appears to be the constant sequence from which we guess that $\delta^*(n) = 5n$, and correspondingly the generating series is

$$G_{\delta^*}(z) = \frac{5z}{(1-z)^2}$$

More interesting is the sequence $\delta(n)$ starting with $n = 0$:

$$\delta : 0, 13, 35, 67, 108, 158, 217, 286, 364, 451, 547, 653, 768, 892, 1025, 1168, 1320, 1481, 1651, 1831, \dots$$

Taking the first, second and third difference we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\delta & : 13, 22, 32, 41, 50, 59, 69, 78, 87, 96, 106, 115, 124, 133, 143, 152, 161, 170, 180, \dots \\ \Delta^2\delta & : 9, 10, 9, 9, 9, 10, 9, 9, 9, 10, 9, 9, 9, 10, 9, 9, 9, 10, \dots \\ \Delta^3\delta & : 1, -1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we guess that $\Delta^3\delta$ is a periodic sequence with period 4 and generating series

$$G_{\Delta^3\delta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z^{4n} - z^{4n+1}) = \frac{1}{(1+z)(1+z^2)}$$

Using Lemma 4.4 three times, we compute

$$G_{\delta}(z) = \frac{13z + 9z^2 + 10z^3 + 9z^4 - 4z^5}{(1-z)^3(1+z+z^2+z^3)} = \frac{-3 + 216z - 65z^2}{16(1-z)^3} + \frac{3 + 4z - z^2}{16(1+z+z^2+z^3)}$$

Taking the partial fraction decomposition, it follows that

$$\delta(n) = \left[\frac{37}{8}n^2 + \frac{17}{2}n \right] = \frac{37}{8}n^2 + \frac{17}{2}n + \epsilon(n),$$

where $\epsilon(n)$ is a periodic sequence of period 4 given by:

$$\epsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } n = 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n = 2 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } n = 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

4.4. A summary of non-alternating knots. In this section we list the quasi-polynomials δ_K and δ_K^* of non-alternating knots K with 8 and 9 crossings. In the Rolfsen table of knots, the non-alternating knots with 8 crossings are 8_k where $k = 19, \dots, 21$, with 9 crossings are 9_k where $k = 42, \dots, 49$. Let us give a combined table of the non-alternating knots K with 8 and 9 crossings, their period π_K , their Jones slopes js_K and js_K^* , and their distinct boundary slopes. The boundary slopes bs_K are computed using the program of [HO], corrected in [Du], which computes the boundary slopes of all Montesinos knots except 9_{49} which is not a Montesinos knot. In all those cases, the set of boundary slopes agrees with the slopes of the A -polynomial of [Cu, CCGLS], once 0 is included.

K	π_K	js_K	js_K^*	bs_K
8_{19}	2	6	0	$\{0, 12\}$
8_{20}	3	$4/3$	-5	$\{-10, 0, 8/3\}$
8_{21}	2	$1/2$	-6	$\{-12, -6, -2, 0, 1\}$
9_{42}	2	3	-4	$\{-8, 0, 8/3, 6\}$
9_{43}	3	$16/3$	-2	$\{-4, 0, 6, 8, 32/3\}$
9_{44}	3	$7/3$	-5	$\{-10, -2, 0, 1, 2, 14/3\}$
9_{45}	2	$1/2$	-7	$\{-14, -10, -8, -4, -2, 0, 1\}$
9_{46}	2	1	-6	$\{-12, 0, 2\}$
9_{47}	2	$9/2$	-3	$\{-6, 0, 4, 8, 9, 16\}$
9_{48}	2	$11/2$	-2	$\{-4, 0, 4, 8, 11\}$
9_{49}	2	$15/2$	0	$\{0, 4, 6, 12, 15\}$

The above data are in agreement with Conjecture 1. Let us make a phenomenological remark regarding all examples of non-alternating knots with 8 or 9 crossings.

- (a) $\delta^*(n)$ and $\delta(n)$ are mono-sloped, i.e., they are of the form $sn^2/2 + \epsilon(n)$ where $\epsilon(n)$ is a linear quasi-polynomial.
- (b) For all knots, $2js$ is a boundary slope, though not necessarily the largest one.
- (c) In the case of the $8_{20}, 9_{43}$ and 9_{44} knots, the degree of $\epsilon(n)$ is 1 and in all other cases, it is zero.
- (d) The period of all non-alternating knots was greater than 1. $8_{20}, 9_{43}, 9_{44}$ knots have period 3, and $(-2, 3, 7)$ has period 4. The period of $(-2, 3, p)$ for odd $p \geq 5$ appears to be $p - 3$, and the number of crossings is $p + 5$. Thus the period can be asymptotically as large as the number of crossings.
- (e) For the case of $8_{21}, 9_{45}, 9_{46}, 9_{47}$, the leading coefficient is 2 and for $9_{48}, 9_{49}$ it is -2 .

4.5. The 8-crossing non-alternating knots.

4.5.1. *The 8_{19} knot.* In the data below, we will give the first few values of $\delta^*(n)$ and $\delta(n)$, the guessed decomposition of the generating series $G_{\delta^*}(z)$ and $G_{\delta}(z)$ of the quasi-polynomials δ^* and δ .

Some values of $\delta^*(n)$ and $\delta(n)$ starting with $n = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^* & : 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, \dots \\ \delta & : 0, 8, 23, 43, 70, 102, 141, 185, \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\delta^*}(z) & = \frac{3z}{(1-z)^2} \\ G_{\delta}(z) & = \frac{8z + 7z^2 - 3z^3}{(1-z)^3(1+z)} \\ & = \frac{-1 + 36z - 11z^2}{4(1-z)^3} + \frac{1}{4(1+z)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(n) & = 3n \\ \delta(n) & = 3n^2 + \frac{11}{2}n - \frac{1}{4} + \epsilon(n) \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/4$ is a 2-periodic sequence. Note that in this example the values of $\delta_K^*(n)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ suffice to prove that $\delta_K(n)$ is *not* a polynomial of n .

4.5.2. *The 8_{20} knot.*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^* & : 0, -5, -15, -30, -50, -75, -105, -140, -180, -225, -275, -330, -390, -455, -525, -600, -680, \\ & \quad -765, -855, -950, -1050, \dots \\ \delta & : 0, 1, 2, 7, 12, 16, 26, 35, 42, 57, 70, 80, 100, 117, 130, 155, 176, 192, 222, 247, 266, \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\delta^*}(z) &= -\frac{5z}{(1-z)^3} \\
G_{\delta}(z) &= \frac{z+z^2+5z^3+3z^4+2z^5}{(1-z)^3(1+z+z^2)^2} \\
&= \frac{-2+12z+2z^2}{9(1-z)^3} + \frac{2+7z+4z^2+2z^3}{9(1+z+z^2)^2} \\
\delta^*(n) &= -\frac{5n(n+1)}{2} \\
\delta(n) &= \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{9}n - \frac{2}{9} + \epsilon(n)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n)$ is a *linear* quasi-polynomial with period 3.

4.5.3. The 8_{21} knot.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^* &: 0, -7, -20, -39, -64, -95, -132, -175, \dots \\
\delta &: 0, -1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 3, 5, \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\delta^*}(z) &= \frac{-7z+z^2}{(1-z)^3} \\
G_{\delta}(z) &= \frac{-z+z^2+z^3}{(1-z)^3(1+z)} \\
&= \frac{-1-4z+9z^2}{8(1-z)^3} + \frac{1}{8(1+z)} \\
\delta^*(n) &= -n(3n+4) \\
\delta(n) &= \frac{1}{4}n^2 - n - \frac{1}{8} - \epsilon(n)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/8$ is a 2-periodic sequence.

4.6. The 9-crossing non-alternating knots.

4.6.1. The 9_{42} knot.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^* &: 0, -3, -10, -21, -36, -55, -78, -105, \dots \\
\delta &: 0, 3, 10, 19, 32, 47, 66, 87, \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\delta^*}(z) &= \frac{-3z-z^2}{(1-z)^3} \\
G_{\delta}(z) &= \frac{z(3+4z-z^2)}{(1-z)^3(1+z)} \\
&= \frac{1-16z+3z^2}{4(-1+z)^3} + \frac{1}{4(1+z)} \\
\delta^*(n) &= -n(2n+1) \\
\delta(n) &= \frac{3}{2}n^2 + 2n - \frac{1}{4} + \epsilon(n)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/4$ is a 2-periodic sequence.

4.6.2. *The 9₄₃ knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, 0, -2, -6, -12, -20, -30, -42 \\ \delta &: 0, 7, 17, 37, 60, 85, 122, 161\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= (2z)/(-1+z)^3 \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= (z(-7-10z-20z^2-9z^3-5z^4+3z^5))/((-1+z)^3(1+z+z^2)^2) \\ &= (5-72z+19z^2)/(9(-1+z)^3) + (5+16z+13z^2+8z^3)/(9(1+z+z^2)^2) \\ \delta^*(n) &= -n(n-1) \\ \delta(n) &= -(5/9) + (38n)/9 + (8n^2)/3 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n)$ is a linear quasi-polynomial with period 3.

4.6.3. *The 9₄₄ knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, -5, -15, -30, -50, -75, -105, -140, \dots \\ \delta &: 0, 2, 5, 13, 22, 31, 47, 63, \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= (5z)/(-1+z)^3 \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= -((z(2+3z+8z^2+5z^3+3z^4))/((-1+z)^3(1+z+z^2)^2)) \\ &= (2-21z-2z^2)/(9(-1+z)^3) + (2+7z+4z^2+2z^3)/(9(1+z+z^2)^2) \\ \delta^*(n) &= -5n(n+1)/2 \\ \delta(n) &= -(2/9) + (13n)/18 + (7n^2)/6 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n)$ is a linear quasi-polynomial with period 3.

4.6.4. *The 9₄₅ knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, -8, -23, -45, -74, -110, -153, -203, \dots \\ \delta &: 0, -1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 3, 5, \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= -((-8z+z^2)/(-1+z)^3) \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= -((z(-1+z+z^2))/((-1+z)^3(1+z))) \\ &= (1+4z-9z^2)/(8(-1+z)^3) + 1/(8(1+z)) \\ \delta^*(n) &= -n(7n+9)/2 \\ \delta(n) &= -(1/8) - n + n^2/4 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/8$ is a periodic sequence with period 2.

4.6.5. *The 9₄₆ knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, -6, -18, -36, -60, -90, -126, -168, \dots \\ \delta &: 0, 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= -\frac{6z}{(1-z)^3} \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= \frac{2z^2}{(1-z)^3(1+z)} \\ &= \frac{-1+4z+z^2}{4(1-z)^3} + \frac{1}{4(1+z)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(n) &= -3n(n+1) \\ \delta(n) &= -(1/4) + n^2/2 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/4$ is a periodic sequence with period 2.

4.6.6. *The 9_{47} knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, -2, -7, -15, -26, -40, -57, -77, \dots \\ \delta &: 0, 5, 15, 29, 48, 71, 99, 131, \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= (2z + z^2)/(-1 + z)^3 \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= (z(-5 - 5z + z^2))/((-1 + z)^3(1 + z)) \\ &= (1 - 44z + 7z^2)/(8(-1 + z)^3) + 1/(8(1 + z))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(n) &= -n(3n + 1)/2 \\ \delta(n) &= -(1/8) + 3n + (9n^2)/4 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/8$ is a periodic sequence with period 2.

4.6.7. *The 9_{48} knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, -1, -4, -9, -16, -25, \dots \\ \delta &: 0, 6, 18, 35, 58, 86, \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= -((-z - z^2)/(-1 + z)^3) \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= (z(-6 - 6z + z^2))/((-1 + z)^3(1 + z)) \\ &= (1 - 52z + 7z^2)/(8(-1 + z)^3) + 1/(8(1 + z))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(n) &= -n^2 \\ \delta(n) &= -(1/8) + (7n)/2 + (11n^2)/4 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/8$ is a periodic sequence with period 2.

4.6.8. *The 9_{49} knot.*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^* &: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, \dots \\ \delta &: 0, 9, 26, 50, 82, 121, \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\delta^*}(z) &= (2z)/(-1 + z)^2 \\ G_{\delta}(z) &= (z(-9 - 8z + 2z^2))/((-1 + z)^3(1 + z)) \\ &= (1 - 76z + 15z^2)/(8(-1 + z)^3) + 1/(8(1 + z))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(n) &= 2n \\ \delta(n) &= -(1/8) + (11n)/2 + (15n^2)/4 + \epsilon(n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon(n) = (-1)^n/8$ is a periodic sequence with period 2.

4.7. The case of the $(-2, 3, p)$ pretzel knots. A triple sum formula for the colored Jones polynomial of pretzel knots with 3 pretzels is available, and using it we can compute $\delta_K(n)$ and $\delta_K^*(n)$ for all pretzel knots of the form $(-2, 3, p)$ for odd p ; see [GVa]. We will state the result of the computation here. Recall the k -th difference $\Delta^k f$ of a sequence f from Section 4.1. When $p > 0$ is odd, we have:

$$G_{\delta^*}(z) = \frac{(p+3)z}{2(1-z)^2}, \quad G_{\Delta^3 \delta}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{p-7}(1-z)}{1-z^{p-3}} & p \geq 7 \\ -\frac{3}{1+z} & p = 5 \\ -\frac{2}{1+z} & p = 3 \\ 0 & p = 1 \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\delta^*(n) = \frac{(p+3)n}{2}, \quad \delta(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2}n^2 + \epsilon_p(n) & p = 1 \\ 3n^2 + \epsilon_p(n) & p = 3 \\ \frac{(p^2-p-5)n^2}{2(p-3)} + \epsilon_p(n) & p \geq 5 \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon_p(n)$ are linear quasi-polynomials. When $p < 0$ is odd we have:

$$\Delta^3 G_{\delta^*}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & p = -1 \\ -\frac{4+4z+3z^2+z^3}{(1+z+z^2)^2} & p = -3 \\ \frac{z^{|p|-4} - 2z^{|p|-3} - \sum_{k=|p|-2}^{2|p|-4} z^k}{(\sum_{k=0}^{|p|-1} z^k)^2} & p \leq -5 \end{cases} \quad G_{\delta}(z) = \frac{z(p+13 - (p+3)z)}{2(1-z)^3}$$

It follows that

$$\delta^*(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2}n^2 + \epsilon_p(n) & p = -1 \\ \frac{(p+1)^2 n^2}{2p} + \epsilon_p(n) & p \leq -3 \end{cases} \quad \delta(n) = \frac{n(5n + (p+8))}{2}$$

where $\epsilon_p(n)$ are linear quasi-polynomials. Notice that the above formulas single out exceptional behavior at $p = -3, -1, 1, 3, 5$. The Jones period and the Jones slopes are given by

$$(24) \quad \pi = \begin{cases} p-3 & p \geq 5 \\ 2 & p = 3 \\ |p| & p \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{js} = \begin{cases} \frac{p^2-p-5}{p-3} & p \geq 5 \\ 6 & p = 3 \\ 5 & p \leq -1 \end{cases} \quad \text{js}^* = \begin{cases} 0 & p \geq 5 \\ 0 & p = 3 \\ \frac{(p+1)^2}{p} & p \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, Hatcher-Oertel and Dunfield (see [HO] and [Du]) compute the slopes of those Montesinos knots

$$(25) \quad \text{bs}_p = \begin{cases} \{0, 16, \frac{2(p^2-p-5)}{p-3}, 2(3+p)\} & p \geq 7 \\ \{0, 10, 2\frac{(p+1)^2}{p}, 2(p+3)\} & p \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

Compare also with Mattman [Ma, p.32] who computes which of those slopes are visible from the geometric component of the A -polynomial. Equations (24) and (25) together with the fact that 0 is a boundary slope confirm Conjecture 1 for all $(-2, 3, p)$ pretzel knots.

4.8. The case of torus knots. In this section we will use Morton's formula for the colored Jones function of a torus knot to compute the degree of the colored Jones function and verify Conjecture 1.

Let $T(a, b)$ denote the (a, b) torus knot for a pair of coprime integers a, b . Since the mirror image of $T(a, b)$ is $T(a, -b)$, we will focus on the case of $a, b > 0$. With our conventions, Morton's formula [Mo] for the colored Jones function is the following:

$$(26) \quad J_{T(a,b),n}(q) = \frac{q^{\frac{1}{4}abn(n+2)}}{q^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}} \sum_{k=-\frac{n}{2}}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(q^{-abk^2+(a-b)k+\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-abk^2+(a+b)k-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{T(2,3),1}(q) &= q + q^3 - q^4 \\ J_{T(3,4),2}(q) &= q^6 + q^9 + q^{12} - q^{13} - q^{16} - q^{19} + q^{20} - q^{22} + q^{23} \end{aligned}$$

The summand of Equation (26) consists of two monomials with exponents quadratic functions of k . A little calculation reveals that the maximum and minimum degree of the colored Jones function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{T(a,b)}(n) &= \frac{ab}{4}n^2 + \frac{ab-1}{2}n - (1 - (-1)^n) \frac{(a-2)(b-2)}{8} \\ \delta_{T(a,b)}^*(n) &= \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2}n \end{aligned}$$

Thus the period $\pi_{T(a,b)}$ is 2 when $a, b \neq 2$ and 1 when $a = 2$ or $b = 2$. Since $T(a, b)$ is alternating if and only if $a = 2$ or $b = 2$, our results are in accordance to Question 1. The boundary slopes of $T(a, b)$ are $\{0, ab\}$ (see for example [HO]). This confirms Conjecture 1 for torus knots.

4.9. Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank K. Ichihara, Y. Kabaya, T. Mattman, P. Ozsváth, A. Shumakovitch, R. van der Veen, D. Zagier, D. Zeilberger and especially M. Culler and N. Dunfield for numerous enlightening conversations. The idea of the Slope Conjecture was conceived during the New York Conference on *Interactions between Hyperbolic Geometry, Quantum Topology and Number Theory* in New York in the summer of 2009. The author wishes to thank the organizers, A. Champanerkar, O. Dasbach, E. Kalfagianni, I. Kofman, W. Neumann and N. Stoltzfus for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [B-N] Bar-Natan, *KnotAtlas*, <http://katlas.math.toronto.edu>
- [B-NG] ——— and S. Garoufalidis, *On the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture*, *Inventiones*, **125** (1996) 103–133.
- [B-NL] ——— and R. Lawrence, *A rational surgery formula for the LMO invariant*, *Israel J. Math.* **140** (2004) 29–60.
- [BP] A. Barvinok and J.E. Pommersheim, *An algorithmic theory of lattice points in polyhedra*, *New perspectives in algebraic combinatorics*, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **38** (1999) Cambridge Univ. Press 91–147.
- [BR] M. Beck and S. Robins, *Computing the continuous discretely, Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra*, *Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, (2007).
- [BV] M. Brion and M. Vergne, *Lattice points in simple polytopes*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997) 371–392.
- [Bu] B.A. Burton, *Introducing Regina, the 3-manifold topology software*, *Experiment. Math.* **13** (2004) 267–272.
- [CFS] S. Carter, D.E. Flath, M. Saito, *The Classical and Quantum 6j-symbols*, *Mathematical Notes* 43, Princeton University Press, 1995.
- [Co] F. Costantino, *Integrality of Kauffman brackets of trivalent graphs*, preprint 2009 [ArXiv 0908.0542](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.0542).
- [CCGLS] D. Cooper, D. M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. Long and P. Shalen, *Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds*, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994) 47–84.
- [Cu] M. Culler, *Tables of A-polynomials*, available at <http://www.math.uic.edu/~culler>
- [CS] ——— and P.B. Shalen, *Bounded, separating, incompressible surfaces in knot manifolds*, *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984) 537–545.
- [CGLS] ———, C.McA. Gordon, J. Luecke and P.B. Shalen, *Dehn surgery on knots*, *Ann. of Math.* **125** (1987) 237–300.
- [CT] C.L. Curtis and S. Taylor, *The Jones polynomial and boundary slopes of alternating knots*, preprint 2009 [arXiv:0910.4912](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.4912).
- [Du] N.M. Dunfield, *A table of boundary slopes of Montesinos knots*, *Topology* **40** (2001) 309–315.
- [DG] ——— and S. Garoufalidis, *Boundary slopes of 1-cusped manifolds*, preprint 2010.
- [Eh] E. Ehrhart, *Sur les polyèdres homothétiques bordés n dimensions*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **254** (1962) 988–990.
- [FKP] D. Futer, E. Kalfagianni and J.S. Purcell, *Dehn filling, volume, and the Jones polynomial*, *J. Differential Geom.* **78** (2008) 429–464.
- [GL1] S. Garoufalidis and T.T.Q. Le, *The colored Jones function is q-holonomic*, *Geom. and Topology*, **9** (2005) 1253–1293.
- [GL2] ——— and ———, *Is the Jones polynomial of a knot really a polynomial?*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **15** (2006) 983–1000.
- [GL3] ——— and Y. Lan, *Experimental evidence for the volume conjecture for the simplest hyperbolic non-2-bridge knot*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005) 379–403.
- [Ga1] ———, *On the characteristic and deformation varieties of a knot*, *Geom. Topol. Monogr.* **7** (2004) 291–309.
- [Ga2] ———, *The degree of a q-holonomic sequence is a quadratic quasi-polynomial*, preprint 2010.
- [Ga3] ———, *Tropicalization and the Slope Conjecture for 2-fusion knots*, preprint 2010.
- [Ga4] ———, *Knots and tropical curves*, preprint 2010 [arXiv:1003.4436](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.4436).

- [GVa] ——— and R. van der Veen, *Asymptotics of quantum spin networks at a fixed root of unity*, preprint 2009, [arXiv:1003.4954](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.4954).
- [GVu] ——— and T. Vuong, *The Slope Conjecture for Simple Lie algebras*, preprint 2010.
- [G-MM] J. González-Meneses and P. M. G. Manchón, *A geometric characterization of the upper bound for the span of the Jones polynomial*, preprint 2009 [arXiv:0907.5374](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.5374).
- [Ha] A.E. Hatcher, *On the boundary curves of incompressible surfaces*, Pacific J. Math. **99** (1982) 373–377.
- [HaO] ——— and U. Oertel, *Boundary slopes for Montesinos knots*, Topology **28** (1989) 453–480.
- [HO] M. Hedden and P. Ording, *The Ozsváth-Szabó and Rasmussen concordance invariants are not equal*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008) 441–453.
- [IM1] K. Ichihara and S. Mizushima, *Crossing number and diameter of boundary slope set of Montesinos knot*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **16** (2008) 565–589.
- [IM2] ——— and ———, *Boundary slopes and the numbers of positive/negative crossings for Montesinos knots*, preprint 2008 [arXiv:0809.4435](https://arxiv.org/abs/0809.4435).
- [Ja] J.C. Jantzen, *Lecture on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol **6**, AMS 1995.
- [Jo] V.F.R. Jones, *Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials*, Ann. of Math. **126** (1987) 335–388.
- [Ka] Y. Kabaya, Private communication.
- [KR] E. Kang and J.H. Rubinstein, *Ideal triangulations of 3-manifolds. I. Spun normal surface theory*, Geom. Topol. Monogr. **7** (2004) 235–265.
- [Ks] R. Kashaev, *The hyperbolic volume of knots from the quantum dilogarithm*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **39** (1997) 269–275.
- [Kf] L.H. Kauffman, *State models and the Jones polynomial*, Topology **26** (1987) 395–407.
- [KL] ——— and S.L. Lins, *Temperley-Lieb recoupling theory and invariants of 3-manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **134** (1994) Princeton University Press.
- [Le] T.T.Q. Le, *The colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial of knots*, Adv. Math. **207** (2006) 782–804.
- [Li] W.B.R. Lickorish, *An introduction to knot theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **175** Springer-Verlag 1997.
- [LT] ——— and M.B. Thistlethwaite, *Some links with nontrivial polynomials and their crossing-numbers*, Comment. Math. Helv. **63** (1988) 527–539.
- [LTi] F. Luo and S. Tillmann, *Angle structures and normal surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008) 2849–2866.
- [MMR] T.W. Mattman, G. Maybrun and K. Robinson, *2-bridge knot boundary slopes: diameter and genus*, Osaka J. Math. **45** (2008) 471–489.
- [Ma] ———, *The Culler-Shalen seminorms of the $(-2, 3, n)$ pretzel knot*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **11** (2002) 1251–1289.
- [Mv] S. Matveev, *Algorithmic topology and classification of 3-manifolds*, second edition, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics **9** Springer 2007.
- [Me] D. Mermin, *Is the moon there when nobody looks? Reality and the quantum theory*, Physics Today **39-4** (1985) 38–47.
- [Mo] H.R. Morton, *The coloured Jones function and Alexander polynomial for torus knots*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **117** (1995) 129–135.
- [Mu] K. Murasugi, *Jones polynomials and classical conjectures in knot theory*, Topology **26** (1987) 187–194.
- [OM] C. Manolescu and P. Ozsváth, *On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasi-alternating links*, preprint 9008 [arXiv:0708.3249](https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.3249).
- [OS] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On the Floer homology of plumbed three-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003) 185–224.
- [St] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [Th] M.B. Thistlethwaite, *A spanning tree expansion of the Jones polynomial*, Topology **26** (1987) 297–309.
- [Tu1] V. Turaev, *A simple proof of the Murasugi and Kauffman theorems on alternating links*, Enseign. Math. **33** (1987) 203–225.
- [Tu2] ———, *The Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of links*, Inventiones Math. **92** (1988) 527–553.
- [WZ] H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and q) multisum/integral identities*, Inventiones Math. **108** (1992) 575–633.
- [Z] D. Zeilberger, *A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **32** (1990) 321–368.
- [Zh] J. Zhu, *On Kauffman brackets*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **6** (1997) 125–148.