

Isomorphism classes of short Gorenstein local rings via Macaulay's inverse system ^{*}

J. Elias [†] M. E. Rossi [‡]

Abstract

In this paper we study the isomorphism classes of Artinian Gorenstein local rings with socle degree three by means of Macaulay's inverse system. We prove that their classification is equivalent to the projective classification of the hypersurfaces of \mathbb{P}^n of degree three. This is an unexpected result because it reduces the study of this class of local rings to the homogeneous case. The result has applications in problems concerning the punctual Hilbert scheme $Hilb_d(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and in relation to the problem of the rationality of the Poincaré series of local rings.

1 Introduction

The classification, up analytic isomorphism, of Artinian local algebras plays an important role in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Among other examples, the study of the irreducibility and the smoothness of the punctual Hilbert scheme $Hilb_d(\mathbb{P}^n)$ parameterizing zero-dimensional subschemes of fixed degree d in \mathbb{P}^n , is strictly related to the structure of the Artinian local algebras of multiplicity d . In particular, because the locus of points of $Hilb_d(\mathbb{P}^n)$ corresponding to Gorenstein subschemes is open and non-empty, often one can restrict the study to schemes which are the spectrum of Artinian Gorenstein local algebras, see for example [6], [7], [8], [10], [15], [22].

In this paper we present structure theorems for Artinian Gorenstein local rings (A, \mathfrak{m}) such that $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$. Over the last years the class under investigation has emerged as a testing ground for properties of infinite free resolutions (see [2]). Rings of low socle degree have been studied indeed in relation to the problem of the rationality of the Poincaré series. We recall that Anick in [1] constructed the first example of a local ring for which the Poincaré series is irrational. Notice that it satisfies $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$. Sjödín proved that all Artinian Gorenstein rings with $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$ have a rational Poincaré series, [24],

^{*}2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13H10; Secondary 13H15; 14C05

Key words and Phrases: Artinian Gorenstein local rings, Inverse system, Hilbert functions, Isomorphism classes.

[†]Partially supported by MTM2007-67493, Acción Integrada España-Italia 07-09

[‡]Partially supported by M.I.U.R.: PRIN 07-09, Azione Integrata Italia-Spagna 07-09

Bøgvad proved that there exist Artinian Gorenstein local rings with $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$ and irrational Poincaré series, [3]. However Henriques and Şega recently proved that, assuming the existence of exact zero-divisors, the Poincaré series of an Artinian Gorenstein local ring of socle degree three is rational, [13]. Our approach will carry the problem over graded algebras where Henriques and Şega's result was already considered in [9] (see Corollary 3.9).

In the main result of this paper we prove that the classification of Artinian Gorenstein local algebras (A, \mathfrak{m}) with $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$ is equivalent to the projective classification of the hypersurfaces of \mathbb{P}^n of degree three (see Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3). The key point of the paper is to prove that any Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$ is canonically isomorphic to its associated graded ring (see Theorem 3.3), hence it is canonically graded. This is an unexpected result and it is false in general, even if the Hilbert function is symmetric, for instance if the Hilbert function is $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 1\}$. The results of Section 3. concerning the balanced Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$ will be extended in Section 4. to the general situation of an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with socle degree three. As a consequence we will take advantage of the literature concerning graded Artinian Gorenstein rings with socle degree 3 for getting results in the local case. Important classes of projective varieties have Artinian reduction of low socle degree. With regard to this, we recall that the homogeneous coordinate ring of the canonical curve of genus g is a Gorenstein domain of dimension two with h -vector $\{1, g - 2, g - 2, 1\}$, hence its Artinian reduction is still Gorenstein with socle degree 3.

From classical results on the projective classification of homogeneous cubics in \mathbb{P}^r with $r \leq 3$, we may deduce the classification of Artinian Gorenstein local rings with Hilbert function $\{1, m, n, 1\}$ where $n \leq 4$ and a nice geometric description can be given, see Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5. This classification allows us to find geometric interpretations of the singularity of $Hilb_d(\mathbb{P}^n)$ for low degrees d . In particular we extend recent results by Casnati and Notari (see [8], Theorem 4.1) and by Cartwright et al. in [6].

A central tool in this paper is Macaulay's inverse system (see [20]) which establishes a one-to-one correspondence between Artinian Gorenstein algebras and suitable polynomials. This classical correspondence has been deeply studied in the homogeneous case, among other authors, by Iarrobino in a long series of papers, see for example: [16], [17], [18]. Notice that from a categorical point of view, Macaulay's correspondence is a particular case of Matlis duality, see [4], [21] and [23], Theorem 5.2.

In the local case the situation is more complicated than in the graded one, nevertheless the classification under the action of isomorphisms of algebras can be translated to the classification of the inverse system polynomials under the action of a group of transformations explicitly described. Emsalem devoted [11], Section C, to present an approach to the classification of Artinian Gorenstein local algebras by means of their inverse system. In Section 2. we collect results spread over different papers (see [11], [16], [17], etc.) by presenting also explicit methods. The strategy of classifying inverse

system polynomials instead of the corresponding Artinian Gorenstein local algebras has at least two advantages: first, we deal with one polynomial instead of a system of generators of the defining ideals (as in [7], [8], [10]) and, second, we may perform effective computations, often reduced to a linear algebra problem (see Proposition 2.2). We hope that this approach will be useful in studying numerical invariants of local Gorenstein singularities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors are grateful to A. Conca and G. Valla for useful comments and remarks regarding this work.

2 Inverse System for Artinian local rings

Through the paper we are assuming that the basic field K is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. Let $R = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be the ring of the formal series with maximal ideal $\mathcal{M} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and let $P = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let s be a positive integer, we denote by $P_{\leq s}$ the set of polynomials of degree $\leq s$.

Let I be an ideal of R such that $A = R/I$ has finite length. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathcal{M} + I$ be the maximal ideal of A , then the socle of A is the colon ideal $0 : \mathfrak{m}$. The socle-degree s of A is the largest integer for which $\mathfrak{m}^s \neq 0$. A is Gorenstein if and only if $\dim_K(0 : \mathfrak{m}) = 1$. From now the local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) will be a quotient of R .

Consider $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^i / \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$ the associated graded ring of $A = R/I$. It is well known that $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) \simeq K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I^*$ where I^* is the homogeneous ideal of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the initial forms of the elements of I . The Hilbert function of A is by definition the Hilbert function of $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$, i.e.

$$HF_A(i) = \dim_K \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}^i}{\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}} \right).$$

In this section we collect the main facts and notations concerning Macaulay's inverse system in the study and classification of Artinian local rings (A, \mathfrak{m}) . The reader should refer to [11] and [17] for an extended treatment. The graded case is much more understood than the local case and several interesting papers have been written (see for example Chapter IV of [20] and in [18]).

It is known that P has a structure of R -module by means the following action

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : R \times P &\longrightarrow P \\ (f, g) &\longrightarrow f \circ g = f(\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n})(g) \end{aligned}$$

where ∂_{y_i} denotes the partial derivative with respect to y_i . If we denote by $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $y^\beta = y_1^{\beta_1} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n}$ then

$$x^\alpha \circ y^\beta = \begin{cases} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} y^{\beta-\alpha} & \text{if } \beta_i \geq \alpha_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\binom{\beta}{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}$. The action for polynomials is defined bilinearly from that for monomials. We remark that for every $f, h \in R$ and $g \in P$, then $(fh) \circ g = f \circ (h \circ g)$, and that we have $\mathcal{M}^{s+1} \circ g = 0$ if and only if $g \in P_{\leq s}$.

Let $S \subseteq P$ be a set of polynomials, in the following we will denote by $\langle S \rangle_K$ the K -vector space generated by S , and by $\langle S \rangle_R$ the R -submodule of P generated by the set S , i.e. the K -vector space generated by S and the corresponding derivatives of all orders. Starting from \circ we consider the exact pairing of K -vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : R \times P &\longrightarrow K \\ (f, g) &\longrightarrow (f \circ g)(0) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that it gives an explicit description of the injective envelope of the R -module K in terms of the polynomial ring P with the structure of R -module via the action \circ (see [11] Section B, Lemme and Proposition 1; [17] page 9, [21]). For any ideal $I \subset R$ we define the following R -submodule of P :

$$I^\perp := \{g \in P \mid \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \ \forall f \in I\} = \{g \in P \mid I \circ g = 0\}.$$

If $I = \mathcal{M}^{s+1}$ then I^\perp coincides with $P_{\leq s}$. In general if R/I has socle-degree s , then I^\perp is generated by polynomials of degree $\leq s$. Conversely, for every R -submodule M of P we define

$$\text{Ann}_R(M) := \{g \in R \mid \langle g, f \rangle = 0 \ \forall f \in M\} = \text{Ann}_R(M) = \{g \in R \mid g \circ M = 0\}$$

which is an ideal of R . If M is cyclic, that is $M = \langle f \rangle = R \circ f$ with $f \in P$, then we will write $\text{Ann}_R(f)$. Recall that $M = \langle f \rangle_R$ is the K -vector space generated by the polynomial f and all its derivatives of every order. Moreover $\langle f \rangle_R = \langle h \rangle_R$ if and only if there exists an invertible element u of R such that $f = u \circ h$.

Emsalem in [11], Section B, Proposition 2, Iarrobino in [16] Theorem 4.6 B and [17] Lemma 1.2, proved that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between ideals $I \subseteq R$ such that R/I is an Artinian local ring and R -submodules M of P which are finitely generated.

Since R/I and I^\perp are finitely generated K -vector spaces, it is easy to see that the action $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces the following isomorphism of K -vector spaces (see [11] Proposition 2 (a)):

$$(R/I)^* \simeq I^\perp. \tag{1}$$

Hence $\dim_K R/I (= \text{multiplicity of } R/I) = \dim_K I^\perp$. As in the graded case, it is possible to compute the Hilbert function of $A = R/I$ via the inverse system. We define the following K -vector space:

$$(I^\perp)_i := \frac{I^\perp \cap P_{\leq i} + P_{< i}}{P_{< i}} \quad (2)$$

then by (1) we can prove

$$HF_{R/I}(i) = \dim_K (I^\perp)_i. \quad (3)$$

We are interested in studying the automorphisms of Artinian local rings $A = R/I$ of socle s . Given a K -algebra C we will denote by $\text{Aut}(C)$ the group of the automorphisms as K -algebra and by $\text{Aut}_K(C)$ as K -vector space. The automorphisms of $R = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ as K -algebra are well known. They act as replacement of x_i by z_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\mathcal{M} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Actually, since $\mathcal{M}^{s+1} \subseteq I$, we are interested in the automorphisms of R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1} of K -algebras induced by the projection $\pi : R \rightarrow R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}$. Clearly $\text{Aut}(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}) \subseteq \text{Aut}_K(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1})$.

Let $E = \{e_i\}$ be the canonical basis of R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1} as K -vector space consisting of the standard monomials x^α ordered by increasing degree and lexicographic order, then the dual basis of E with respect the perfect paring \langle, \rangle is the basis $E^* = \{e_i^*\}$ of $P_{\leq s}$ where

$$(x^\alpha)^* = \frac{1}{\alpha!} y^\alpha,$$

in fact $e_i^*(e_j) = \langle e_j, e_i^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{ii} = 1$. Hence for any $\varphi \in \text{Aut}_K(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1})$ we may associate a matrix $M(\varphi)$ with respect the basis E of size $r = \dim_K(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}) = \binom{n+s}{s}$. Summing up we have the following natural sequence of morphisms of groups:

$$\text{Aut}(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Aut}(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Aut}_K(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}) \xrightarrow{\rho_E} \text{Gl}_r(K). \quad (4)$$

Given I and J ideals of R such that $\mathcal{M}^{s+1} \subset I, J$, there exists an isomorphism of K -algebras

$$\varphi : R/I \rightarrow R/J$$

if and only if φ comes from a K -algebra automorphism of R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1} sending I/\mathcal{M}^{s+1} to J/\mathcal{M}^{s+1} . Dualizing

$$\varphi^* : (R/J)^* \rightarrow (R/I)^*$$

is an isomorphism of the K -vector subspaces $(R/I)^* = I^\perp$ and $(R/J)^* = J^\perp$ of $P_{\leq s}$. Hence ${}^t M(\varphi)$ is the matrix associated to φ^* with respect the basis E^* of $P_{\leq s}$.

We can complete (4) by the following commutative diagram which helps to visualize our setting:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Aut}_K(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_E} & \text{Gl}_r(K) \\ \downarrow * & & \downarrow {}^t() \\ \text{Aut}_K(P_{\leq s}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{E^*}} & \text{Gl}_r(K) \end{array}$$

In the following we will be interested in the subgroup \mathcal{R} of $\text{Aut}_K(P_{\leq s})$ (automorphisms of $P_{\leq s}$ as K -vector space) represented by the matrices ${}^tM(\varphi)$ of $GL_r(K)$ with $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1})$.

Theorem 2.1. ([11] Proposition 15) *The classification, up isomorphism, of the Artinian local algebras of multiplicity d , socle degree s and embedding dimension n is equivalent to the classification, up the action of \mathcal{R} , of the K -vector subspaces of $P_{\leq s}$ of dimension d , stable by derivations and containing $P_{\leq 1}$.*

More precise results can be stated for Artinian Gorenstein local rings. A local ring $A = R/I$ is an Artinian Gorenstein local ring of socle degree s if and only if its dual module I^\perp is a cyclic R -submodule of P generated by a polynomial $F \in P$ of degree s (see also [19], Theorem 220, [17], Lemma 1.2.). We will denote by A_F the Gorenstein Artin algebra associated to $F \in P$, i.e.

$$A_F = R/\text{Ann}_R(F).$$

Hence each Artinian Gorenstein local ring of socle s will be equipped with a polynomial $F \in P$ of degree s . The polynomial F is not unique, but is determined up an unit u of R (F can be replaced by $u \circ F$).

Our goal is to translate the classification of the Artinian Gorenstein local rings $A = R/I$ of socle s in terms of the corresponding polynomials of degree s in P . Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1})$, from the previous facts we have

$$\varphi(A_F) = A_G \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\langle F \rangle_R) = \langle G \rangle_R. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\varphi(A_F) = A_G \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (\varphi^*)^{-1}(F) = u \circ G \quad \text{where } u \text{ is an unit in } R. \quad (6)$$

Let u an invertible element of R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1} , the corresponding action of u in $P_{\leq s}$ is a K -vector space isomorphism, so we can consider the associated matrix $N(u) \in GL_r(K)$ with respect the basis E^* . If $F = b_1 e_1^* + \dots + b_r e_r^* \in P_{\leq s}$, then we will denote the *row vector* of the coefficients of the polynomial w.r.t. the basis E^* by

$$[F]_{E^*} = (b_1, \dots, b_r).$$

Hence from (6) we deduce the following key result:

Proposition 2.2. *The Artinian Gorenstein local rings A_F and A_G of socle degree s are isomorphic if and only if there is $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1})$ and an invertible element $u \in R/\mathcal{M}^{s+1}$ such that*

$$[G]_{E^*} ({}^tN(u) M(\varphi)) = [F]_{E^*}. \quad (7)$$

The above result enable us to study the isomorphism classes of Artin Gorenstein algebras in a effective computational framework. The strategy of this paper is to classify the Artinian local algebras by classifying their inverse systems by means of (7).

We remark that Proposition 2.2 extends the well known result for which in the homogeneous case the isomorphisms of Artinian Gorenstein graded standard K -algebras act on the homogeneous polynomials via the action of the linear group $GL_n(K)$, see [18], [11], Section C, Proposition 17.

We say that $F \in P = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is *non degenerate* if the embedding dimension of the Gorenstein algebra A_F is n , i.e. $HF_{A_F}(1) = n$. Hence a polynomial F of degree s is non degenerate if and only if the dimension of the K -vector space of the derivatives of order $s - 1$ of F is n or equivalently $Ann_R(F)$ does not contain linear elements.

In order to classify the Artinian Gorenstein local rings of given multiplicity, we need information on the admissible Hilbert functions. In the graded case, the Hilbert function of an Artinian Gorenstein algebra is symmetric. Little is known about the Hilbert function in the local case. The problem comes from the fact that, in general, the associated algebra $G = gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ is no longer Gorenstein.

Nevertheless Iarrobino in [17] proved interesting results concerning G . Consider a filtration of G by a descending sequence of ideals:

$$G = C(0) \supseteq C(1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq C(s-2)$$

whose successive quotient

$$Q(a) = C(a)/C(a+1)$$

are reflexive graded G -modules of socle degree $\frac{s-a}{2}$ ($s = \text{socle-degree of } A$), see [17], Theorem 1.5. Hence $Q(a)$ has symmetric Hilbert function. The reflexivity of $Q(a)$ as K -vector space is induced from the nonsingular pairing on A where the ideals $(0 : \mathfrak{m}^i)$ of A correspond to $(\mathfrak{m}^i)^\perp$. In the graded case $0 : \mathfrak{m}^i = \mathfrak{m}^{s+1-i}$ from which follows that the Hilbert function of A is symmetric. When A is not graded, $(0 : \mathfrak{m}^i) \neq \mathfrak{m}^{s+1-i}$ but the duality still gives some information.

By the reflexivity, the G -modules $Q(a)$ have symmetric Hilbert function about $\frac{s-a}{2}$. Thus the Hilbert function of A , while not usually itself symmetric, is the sum of symmetric functions $HF_a := HF_{Q(a)}$ with offset centers (see Proposition 1.9 [17]). Notice that in general $Q(a)$ are not standard K -algebras, except either $Q(0)$ or the case of embedding dimension of A equal to two ([17], Section 2.).

In particular $Q(0) = G/C(1)$ is the unique (up isomorphism) graded Gorenstein quotient of G with the same socle degree s . Iarrobino proved that if $HF_A(n)$ is symmetric, then $G = Q(0)$ and it is Gorenstein. The same result has also been proved in a different manner by J. Watanabe in [25]. Hence (see [17] Proposition 1.7 and [11] Proposition 7) if A is Gorenstein

$$G \text{ is Gorenstein} \iff HF_A(n) \text{ is symmetric} \iff G = Q(0)$$

The G -module $Q(0)$ plays a crucial role and it can be computed in terms of the corresponding polynomial in the inverse system. Let $F \in P$ be a polynomial of degree

s and denote by F_s the form of highest degree in F , that is $F = F_s + \dots$ terms of lower degree, then

$$Q(0) \simeq R/\text{Ann}_R(F_s),$$

see [11] Proposition 7 and [17] Lemma 1.10.

3 Gorenstein Artin algebras with $HF_A = \{1, n, n, 1\}$

Let $A = R/I$ be an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, n, n, 1\}$. This means that the socle degree is 3 and $HF_A(1) = HF_A(2) = n, HF_A(0) = HF_A(3) = 1$. As we have seen, since HF_A is symmetric, then $G = gr_m(A)$ is Gorenstein. We recall that if $R = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, then $G = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I^*$ where I^* is the ideal generated by the initial forms of the elements of I . Since A is Artinian, there is the natural isomorphism between G and R/I^*R . In this section we prove that there exists an isomorphism of local rings between A and G (actually between A and R/I^*R), see Theorem 3.3.

It is very rare that a local ring is isomorphic to its associated graded ring. If $A \simeq G$ (as before specified), accordingly with Emsalem in [11], we say that A is *canonically graded*. It is a surplus to recall that Gorenstein local rings with symmetric Hilbert function are not necessarily canonically graded. The following example shows that we cannot extend the main result of this section to higher socle degrees.

Example 3.1. Let A be an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, 2, 2, 2, 1\}$. Then A is isomorphic to one and only one of the following rings:

- (a) R/I with $I = (x^4, y^2)$ and $I^\perp = \langle x^3y \rangle$, i.e. A is isomorphic to its associated graded ring,
- (b) R/I with $I = (x^4, -x^3 + y^2)$ and $I^\perp = \langle x^3y + y^3 \rangle$. The associated graded ring is of type (a) and it is not isomorphic to R/I ,
- (c) R/I with $I = (x^2 + y^2, y^4)$ and $I^\perp = \langle xy(x^2 - y^2) \rangle$, i.e. A is isomorphic to its associated graded ring.

The computation can be performed by using Proposition 2.2, a different approach can be found in [10].

Since G is a graded Gorenstein algebra of embedding dimension n , it will be useful to get information on the homogeneous cubics $F \in P = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ such that $HF_{A_F} = \{1, n, n, 1\}$, that is to characterize the homogeneous cubics which are non degenerate.

Remark 3.2. We consider an homogeneous form $F_3 \in P = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ of degree three. Let $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a degree three multi-index and write F_3 in the dual basis E^*

$$F_3 = \sum_{|\underline{i}|=3} \alpha_{\underline{i}} \frac{1}{\underline{i}!} y^{\underline{i}}.$$

F_3 is non degenerate ($HF_{A_{F_3}}(1) = n$) if and only if the K -vector space generated by all the derivatives of order two has dimension n , that is

$$\langle \partial_{\underline{i}} F_3 \quad : \quad |\underline{i}| = 2 \rangle_K = P_1.$$

This condition can be formulated in terms of the rank of a matrix, say Δ_{F_3} , given by the coefficients of the linear forms $\partial_{\underline{i}} F_3$, $|\underline{i}| = 2$. The matrix Δ_{F_3} has size $n \times \binom{n+1}{2}$ with entries in the $\alpha'_{\underline{i}} \in K$. We label the rows by $j = 1, \dots, n$ and the columns by $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (a degree two multi-index). We have

$$(\Delta_{F_3})_{j, \underline{i}} = \alpha_{\underline{i} + \delta_j} \quad (8)$$

where δ_j is the n -uple with 0-entries but 1 in position j , hence $\underline{i} + \delta_j = (i_1, \dots, i_j + 1, \dots, i_n)$. In fact we have

$$\partial_{\underline{i}} F_3 = \sum_{|\underline{p}|=3} \alpha_{\underline{p}} y^{\underline{p} - \underline{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{\underline{i} + \delta_j} y_j.$$

Hence F_3 is non degenerate if and only if $rk(\Delta_{F_3}) = n$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $A = R/I$ be an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$. Then A is canonically graded.*

Proof. Let $F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 + F_3$ be a polynomial of $P = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ of degree three such that $I = Ann_R(F)$ (F_i denotes the homogeneous components of degree i). Since HF_A is symmetric, then $G = gr_m(A)$ is Gorenstein, in particular $G = Q(0) \simeq R/Ann_R(F_3) = A_{F_3}$ and $rk(\Delta_{F_3}) = n$ being F_3 non degenerate for the Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$. By the admissibility of F_3 we deduce that $P_{\leq 1} \subseteq \langle F_2 + F_3 \rangle_R$. Hence we may assume $F = F_3 + F_2$, that is

$$I^\perp = \langle F \rangle_R = \langle F_2 + F_3 \rangle_R.$$

So we have to prove that, however we fix F_2 , there exists an automorphism φ of R/\mathcal{M}^4 which induces

$$A_{F_3} \simeq A_{F_3 + F_2}.$$

Let φ be an automorphism of R/\mathcal{M}^4 with the identity as Jacobian defined as follows

$$\varphi(x_j) = x_j + \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^j x^{\underline{i}}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We prove that there exists $\underline{a} = (a_{\underline{i}}^1, |\underline{i}| = 2; \dots; a_{\underline{i}}^n, |\underline{i}| = 2) \in \mathbb{N}^n \binom{n+1}{2}$, the row vector of the coefficients defining φ , such that

$$[F_3]_{E^*} M(\varphi) = [F]_{E^*}. \quad (9)$$

The matrix associated to φ , say $M(\varphi)$, is an element of $Gl_r(K)$, $r = \binom{n+3}{4}$, with respect to the basis E of R/\mathcal{M}^4 ordered by the deg-lexicographic order, hence

$$M(\varphi) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_n & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D & I_{\binom{n+1}{2}} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & B & I_{\binom{n+2}{3}} \end{array} \right)$$

where for all $t \geq 1$, I_t denotes the $t \times t$ identity matrix. The first block column corresponds to the image $\varphi(1) = 1$; the second block column corresponds to the image of $\varphi(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; the third block column corresponds to the image of $\varphi(x^{\underline{i}})$ such that $|\underline{i}| = 2$; and finally the last block column corresponds to the image of $\varphi(x^{\underline{i}})$ such that $|\underline{i}| = 3$, i.e. the identity matrix.

Hence D is the $\binom{n+1}{2} \times n$ matrix defined by the coefficients of the degree two monomials of $\varphi(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and B is a $\binom{n+2}{3} \times \binom{n+1}{2}$ matrix defined by the coefficients of the degree three monomials appearing in $\varphi(x^{\underline{i}})$, $|\underline{i}| = 2$. It is clear that $M(\varphi)$ is determined by D and the entries of B are linear forms in the variables $a_{\underline{i}}^j$, with $|\underline{i}| = 2$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$F_2 = \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} \beta_{\underline{i}} \frac{1}{\underline{i}!} y^{\underline{i}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_3 = \sum_{|\underline{i}|=3} \alpha_{\underline{i}} \frac{1}{\underline{i}!} y^{\underline{i}}$$

hence (9) is equivalent to the following equality

$$[\alpha_{\underline{i}}]B = [\beta_{\underline{i}}].$$

Then we get a system of $\binom{n+1}{2}$ equations which are bi-homogeneous polynomials in the $\{\alpha_{\underline{i}}\}$ and $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{n \binom{n+1}{2}}$ of bi-degree $(1, 1)$. Then there exists a matrix M_{F_3} of size $\binom{n+1}{2} \times n \binom{n+1}{2}$ and entries in the $\{\alpha_{\underline{i}}\}$'s such that

$$[\alpha_{\underline{i}}]B = M_{F_3} {}^t \underline{a}$$

where ${}^t \underline{a}$ denotes the transpose of the row-vector \underline{a} . We have to prove that the following linear system in $\binom{n+1}{2}$ equations and the $n \binom{n+1}{2}$ indeterminates $\underline{a} = (a_{\underline{i}}^1, \dots; a_{\underline{i}}^n)$

$$M_{F_3} {}^t \underline{a} = {}^t [\beta_{\underline{i}}]$$

is compatible. The result follows if we show that $rk(M_{F_3})$ is maximal, i.e. $rk(M_{F_3}) = \binom{n+1}{2}$.

Claim. The matrix M_{F_3} has the following upper-diagonal structure

$$M_{F_3} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} M_{F_3}^1 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ \hline 0 & M_{F_3}^2 & \cdots & * & * \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{F_3}^{n-1} & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{F_3}^n \end{array} \right)$$

where $M_{F_3}^l$ is a $(n-l+1) \times \binom{n+1}{2}$ matrix, $l = 1, \dots, n$, such that:

- (i) 1-th row of $M_{F_3}^1 = 2$ times the 1-th row of Δ_{F_3} ,
 t -th row of $M_{F_3}^1 = t$ -th row of Δ_{F_3} , $t = 2, \dots, n$.
- (ii) 1-th row of $M_{F_3}^l = 2$ times the l -th row of Δ_{F_3} , for $l = 2, \dots, n$,
 t -th row of $M_{F_3}^l = (l+t-1)$ -th row of Δ_{F_3} , for $t = 2, \dots, n-l+1$, $l = 2, \dots, n$,

where Δ_{F_3} is the matrix defined in Remark 3.2 of the coefficients of the second derivatives of F_3 .

Proof of the Claim. Let us recall that the entries of the columns of B are the coefficients of the degree three monomials of $\varphi(x^{\underline{i}})$, $|\underline{i}| = 2$. Hence the entries of the $a_{\underline{i}}^l$ -th column of M_{F_3} are the coefficients of the terms of degree three in the support of F_3 which appear in $\varphi(x^{\underline{i}})$ with coefficient $a_{\underline{i}}^l$. Given integers $1 \leq l \leq j \leq n$ let us compute $\varphi(x_l x_j)$. If $l \neq j$ then

$$\varphi(x_l x_j) = x_l x_j + \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^j x^{\underline{i}} x_l + \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^l x^{\underline{i}} x_j + \text{terms of degree 4.}$$

Since $x^{\underline{i}} x_j = x^{\underline{i}+\delta_j}$ and $j > l$ we get

$$(M_{F_3})_{\delta_l+\delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^l} = \alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_j}$$

and

$$(M_{F_3})_{\delta_l+\delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^j} = \alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_l}.$$

If $j = l$ then

$$\varphi(x_l^2) = x_l^2 + 2 \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^l x^{\underline{i}} x_l + \text{terms of degree 4.}$$

so

$$(M_{F_3})_{2\delta_l, a_{\underline{i}}^l} = 2\alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_l}.$$

Hence the row $(\delta_l + \delta_j)$ -th of M_{F_3} , $j > l$, can be split in two non-zero subsets of entries. The first subset, with respect the lex ordering, corresponds to the columns $a_{\underline{i}}^l$, $|\underline{i}| = 2$, with entries $\alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_j}$, the second subset of entries corresponds to the columns $a_{\underline{i}}^j$ with entries $\alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_l}$. From these facts we get the upper-diagonal block structure of M_{F_3} . In particular, if we fix $l = 1, \dots, n$, the matrices $M_{F_3}^l$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ of the claim are determined by the columns $a_{\underline{i}}^l$ and the rows $\delta_l + \delta_j$ with $l \leq j \leq n$ ($(n-j+1)$ -rows) and

$$(M_{F_3}^l)_{\delta_l+\delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^l} = \alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_j} \quad \text{if } l > j \quad ; \quad (M_{F_3}^l)_{\delta_l+\delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^l} = 2\alpha_{\underline{i}+\delta_j} \quad \text{if } l = j$$

By Remark 3.2 (8) we get

$$(M_{F_3}^l)_{\delta_l+\delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^l} = (\Delta_{F_3})_{j, \underline{i}} \quad \text{if } l > j \quad ; \quad (M_{F_3}^l)_{\delta_l+\delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^l} = 2(\Delta_{F_3})_{j, \underline{i}} \quad \text{if } l = j$$

as claimed.

Now we prove that

$$rk(M_{F_3}) = \binom{n+1}{2}.$$

Since F_3 is non degenerate, by Remark 3.2 we have $rank(\Delta_{F_3}) = n$. Now $M_{F_3}^l$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$ is a matrix of size $n - l + 1 \times \binom{n+1}{2}$ obtained by Δ_{F_3} by deleting the first l rows. Hence $rk(M_{F_3}^l) = n - l + 1$ and the result follows. \square

From the previous result, we easily get the following consequences.

Corollary 3.4. *There exists an isomorphism between the Artinian Gorenstein local rings (A, \mathfrak{m}) and (B, \mathfrak{n}) with Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$ if and only if $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) \simeq gr_{\mathfrak{n}}(B)$ as graded K -algebras.*

Corollary 3.5. *The classification of Artinian Gorenstein local rings with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, n, n, 1\}$ is equivalent to the projective classification of the hypersurfaces $V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$ where F is a degree three non degenerate form in n variables.*

The classification of the Artinian Gorenstein local rings with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, n, n, 1\}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 3$ had been studied by Casnati and Notari in [8] and by Cartwright et al. in [6]. By using Corollary 3.5, the problem is reduced to the homogeneous case which is well known for $1 \leq n \leq 3$. Hence we recover their results and we describe the geometric models of the varieties defined by them.

If $n = 1$, then it is clear that $A \cong K[[x]]/(x^4)$, so there is a only one analytic model.

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, 2, 2, 1\}$. Then A is isomorphic to one and only one of the following quotients of $R = K[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$,*

Model $A = R/I$	Inverse system F	Geometry of $C = V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^1$
(x_1^3, x_2^2)	$y_1^2 y_2$	Double point plus a simple point
$(x_1 x_2, x_1^3 - x_2^3)$	$y_1^3 - y_2^3$	Three distinct points

Proof. Let us assume $n = 2$, then $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) = K[y_1, y_2]/Ann(F)$ where $F \in K[y_1, y_2]$ is a degree three form on two variables y_1, y_2 . Since K is an algebraic closed field, F can be decomposed as product of three linear forms L_1, L_2, L_3 , i.e. $F = L_1 L_2 L_3$. We set $d = dim_K \langle L_1, L_2, L_3 \rangle$, so we only have to consider three cases. If $d = 1$ then we can assume $F = y_1^3$, but in this case F is degenerate.

If $d = 2$, then we can assume $F = y_1^2 y_2$. It is easy to see that $Ann(\langle y_1^2 y_2 \rangle) = (x_1^3, x_2^2)$. If $d = 3$ then we can assume $F = y_1^3 - y_2^3$. In this case we get $Ann(\langle y_1^3 - y_2^3 \rangle) = (x_1 x_2, x_1^3 - x_2^3)$. Since $V(y_1^2 y_2)$ (resp. $V(y_1^3 - y_2^3)$) is a degree three subscheme of \mathbb{P}_K^1 with two (resp. three) point basis we get that the algebras of the statement are not isomorphic. \square

We know that any plane elliptic plane cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_K^2$ is defined, in a suitable system of coordinates, by a Legendre's equation

$$L_\lambda = y_2^2 y_3 - y_1(y_1 - y_3)(y_1 - \lambda y_3)$$

with $\lambda \neq 0, 1$. Attached to this equation we can consider the j -invariant

$$j(\lambda) = 2^8 \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)}{\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2}.$$

Let us denote

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \left\{ \lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}, -\lambda, \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}, \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda} \right\}.$$

Notice that $j(\Sigma_\lambda) = j(\lambda)$. It is well known that two plane elliptic plane cubic curves $C_i = V(L_{\lambda_i}) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^2$, $i = 1, 2$, are projectively isomorphic if and only if $j(\lambda_1) = j(\lambda_2)$, [12].

Proposition 3.7. *Let A be an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, 3, 3, 1\}$. Then A is isomorphic to one and only one of the following quotients of $R = K[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$,*

Model $A = R/I$	Inverse system F	Geometry of $C = V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^2$
(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)	$y_1 y_2 y_3$	Three independent lines
$(x_1^2, x_1 x_3, x_3 x_2^2, x_2^3, x_3^2 + x_1 x_2)$	$y_2(y_1 y_2 - y_3^2)$	Conic and a tangent line
$(x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2 + 6x_1 x_2)$	$x_3(x_1 x_2 - x_3^2)$	Conic and a non-tangent line
$(x_2^3, x_1 x_2, x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 3x_1 x_3)$	$y_2^2 y_3 - y_1^2(y_1 + y_3)$	Irreducible nodal cubic
$(x_3^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2^3, x_1^3 + 3x_2^2 x_3)$	$y_2^2 y_3 - y_1^3$	Irreducible cuspidal cubic
$(x_2 x_3, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_2, x_2^3 - x_3^3, x_1^3 - x_3^3)$	$y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3$	Elliptic Fermat curve
$I(\lambda) = (x_1 x_2, H_1, H_2)$	$L_\lambda, j(\lambda) \neq 0$	Elliptic non Fermat curve

with $H_1 = \lambda^2 x_1^2 + \lambda(1 + \lambda)x_1 x_3 + (\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)x_3^2$, $H_2 = \lambda^2 x_2^2 + \lambda x_1 x_3 + (1 + \lambda)x_3^2$, and $I(\lambda_1) \cong I(\lambda_2)$ iff $j(\lambda_1) = j(\lambda_2)$

Proof. Let us assume that F is the product of the linear forms l_1, l_2, l_3 . If l_1, l_2, l_3 are K -linear independent we get the first case. On the contrary, if these linear forms are K -linear dependent, we get that F is degenerate.

Let us assume that F is the product of a linear form l and an irreducible quadric Q . According to the relative position of $V(l)$ and $V(Q)$ we get the second and the third case.

Let F be a degree three irreducible form. If $C = V(F)$ is singular then we get the cases fourth and fifth. If $C = V(F)$ is non-singular, then we may assume that $F = L_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$, i.e. C is an elliptic cubic curve. If $j(\lambda) = 0$ then C fits in the orbit of Fermat's curve $y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 \equiv 0$ and we get the sixth case. If $j(\lambda) \neq 0$ then it is easy to see that

$$J = (x_1 x_2, H_1, H_2) \subset \text{Ann}_R(L_\lambda).$$

Since $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 \neq 0$ then J is a complete intersection with Hilbert function $\{1, 3, 3, 1\}$. Hence $(x_1 x_2, H_1, H_2) = \text{Ann}_R(L_\lambda)$. \square

Remark 3.8. As before, the classification of Artinian Gorenstein algebras with Hilbert function $\{1, 4, 4, 1\}$ can be obtained by using results on the classification of the degree three hypersurfaces of \mathbb{P}^3 , see for instance [5].

We present now an unexpected application of Theorem 3.3 to the classical problem of the rationality of the Poincaré series. We denote by $P_K^A(z)$ the Poincaré series of a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}, K) , that is

$$P_K^A(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \text{Tor}_j^A(K, K) z^j.$$

We recover a very recent result by Henriques and Sega, see [13] Theorem 4.3, in the particular case of rings. Among other results, in the quoted paper the authors studied the problem of the rationality in the case of Artinian Gorenstein local rings A such that $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$ and $HF_A(1) \geq 3$, under the assumption that there exists a non-zero element a in \mathfrak{m} such that $(0 : a)$ is a principal ideal. A such element is called an *exact zero divisor*. Henriques and Sega proved that if A is Gorenstein of this type, then the existence of an exact zero divisor assures that the Hilbert function is balanced, that is $HF_A = \{1, n, n, 1\}$ (see [13] Proposition 4.2.). One can prove that if a is an exact zero divisor in A , then its initial form a^* is an exact zero divisor in G (see [13]). Notice that, in the graded case, if $A = A_F$ with F a generic cubic, then an exact zero divisor always exists and this implies the existence of a Koszul filtration (see [9], Theorem 6.3).

Corollary 3.9 ([13] Theorem 4.3.). *Let A be an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$ and $HF_A(1) = n \geq 3$. If there exists an exact zero divisor in A , then A is Koszul and hence $P_K^A(z)$ is rational.*

Proof. By the existence of an exact zero divisor, the Hilbert function of A is balanced, that is $HF_A = \{1, n, n, 1\}$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, A is canonically graded. Because there exists an exact zero divisor in G , by Remark 3.5 in [13] and Proposition 2.3 b) in [9], we conclude that G has a Koszul filtration. As a consequence, A is Koszul since G is Koszul and $P_K^A(z) = P_K^G(z)$ is rational. \square

4 Gorenstein Artin algebras with socle degree three

In [8] Casnati and Notari presented a complete classification of the Artinian Gorenstein local algebras with Hilbert functions $\{1, m, 3, 1\}$, $m \geq 3$. In this section we study the Artinian Gorenstein algebras with Hilbert function $\{1, m, n, 1\}$. By using a result proved by Iarrobino (see [17], Proposition 1.9), a necessary condition for which the numerical function $\{1, m, n, 1\}$ is the Hilbert function of an Artinian Gorenstein local algebra is that $m \geq n$. If $m = n$ we have proved that every Artinian Gorenstein algebra with Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$ is canonically graded. This is not longer true if $m > n$

because the Hilbert function is not symmetric. In this case the associated graded ring G is not Gorenstein, but another Gorenstein graded algebra will play the same role: $Q(0)$ (see Section 2.) which is the unique Gorenstein graded quotient of G with the same socle degree. By Iarrobino's work we deduce that

$$HF_{Q(0)} = \{1, n, n, 1\}.$$

If we deal with different local rings, we will denote by $Q_A(0)$ the module corresponding to the local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) .

Theorem 4.1. *The following facts are equivalent:*

- (a) A is an Artinian Gorenstein local ring with Hilbert function $\{1, m, n, 1\}$, $m > n$
- (b) $A \simeq A_F$ where $F \in K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$, $F = F_3 + y_{n+1}^2 + \dots + y_m^2$ with F_3 a non degenerate form of degree three in $K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$

Proof. Let $F = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 + F_3$ be a polynomial of $P = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ of degree three such that $I = \text{Ann}_R(F)$ (F_i is an homogeneous form of degree i). We know that $Q(0) \simeq R/\text{Ann}_R(F_3)$ and it has Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$. Since $Q(0)$ is a graded algebra of embedding dimension $n < m$, there exist L_{n+1}, \dots, L_m independent linear forms contained in $\text{Ann}_R(F_3)$, hence we may assume there exist $L_1, \dots, L_n, \dots, L_m$ generators in P_1 such that $F_3 \in S = K[L_1, \dots, L_n]$.

Since the Hilbert function of A is $\{1, m, n, 1\}$, and hence $\dim_K(I^\perp)_1 = m$, it is easy to see that

$$\langle F \rangle_R = \langle F_2 + F_3 \rangle_R.$$

Now we can write $F_2 = C + D$ where $C \in K[L_{n+1}, \dots, L_m]$ and D is a quadratic form in the monomials $L_i L_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Since the field K is algebraically closed, we may assume there exist $\lambda_i \in K$ such that $F_2 = \lambda_m L_m^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} L_{n+1}^2 + D'$ with D' the corresponding replacement of D .

Since $HF_A(1) = m$, we remark that, by (2) and (3), $\lambda_m, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$ are different from zero. Summing up these information, we can conclude that there exists $L_1, \dots, L_n, \dots, L_m$ independent linear forms of P such that

$$A \simeq A_F$$

where $F = F_3 + L_m^2 + \dots + L_{n+1}^2 + H$ with F_3 an homogeneous form of degree three in $K[L_1, \dots, L_n]$ and H an homogeneous form of degree two in the monomials $L_i L_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Since we are considering the linear change of coordinates in P sending $y_i \rightarrow L_i$, we should replace R and P via the corresponding linear automorphism. For short, we still denote by $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_m]]$ and $P = k[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ the corresponding images. Then we have that

$$A \simeq A_F$$

where $F = F_3 + y_{n+1}^2 + \cdots + y_m^2 + H$ with F_3 an homogeneous form of degree three in $K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and H an homogeneous form of degree two in the monomials $y_i y_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. So we have to prove that, however we fix H , there exists an automorphism φ of R/\mathcal{M}^4 which induces

$$A_{F_3+y_{n+1}^2+\cdots+y_m^2} \simeq A_{F_3+y_{n+1}^2+\cdots+y_m^2+H}.$$

Let $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ be a n -multi-index of degree two and let φ be the special automorphism of R/\mathcal{M}^4 with the identity as Jacobian defined as follows

$$\varphi(x_j) = x_j + \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^j x^{\underline{i}}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We prove that there exists $\underline{a} = (a_{\underline{i}}^1; \dots; a_{\underline{i}}^m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m \binom{n+1}{2}}$ the vector of the coefficients defining φ such that

$$[F_3 + y_{n+1}^2 + \cdots + y_m^2]_{E^*} M(\varphi) = [F_3 + y_{n+1}^2 + \cdots + y_m^2 + H]_{E^*}. \quad (10)$$

Repeating the same computation as in Theorem 3.3, the matrix associated to φ , say $M(\varphi)$, is an element of $Gl_r(K)$, $r = \binom{n+3}{4}$, with respect to the basis E of R/\mathcal{M}^4 ordered by the deg-lexicographic order, hence

$$M(\varphi) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_m & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D & I_{\binom{m+1}{2}} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & B & I_{\binom{m+2}{3}} \end{array} \right)$$

Precisely D is the $\binom{m+1}{2} \times m$ matrix defined by the coefficients of the degree two monomials of $\varphi(x_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ and B is a $\binom{m+2}{3} \times \binom{m+1}{2}$ matrix defined by the coefficients of the degree three monomials appearing in $\varphi(x^{\underline{i}})$, $|\underline{i}| = 2$. It is clear that $M(\varphi)$ is determined by D and the entries of B are linear forms in the variables $a_{\underline{i}}^j$, with $|\underline{i}| = 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Notice that, by the peculiarity of φ , both D and B have several zero-rows (precisely the rows corresponding to the monomials of degree three divided by x_i , $i > n$). Let

$$H = \sum_* \beta_{ij} y_i y_j \quad \text{where } i < j, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m \quad \text{and } F_3 = \sum_{|\underline{i}|=3} \alpha_{\underline{i}} \frac{1}{\underline{i}!} y_1^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n}$$

hence (10) can be reduced to the following equality

$$[\alpha_{\underline{i}}] B' = [\beta_{ij}]$$

where B' is the submatrix of B of size $\binom{n+2}{3} \times \left[\binom{n+1}{2} + n(m-n) \right]$ obtained considering the rows and columns corresponding to the degree three monomials in x_1, \dots, x_n appearing in $\varphi(x_i x_j)$ with $i < j$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then we get a system of $\binom{n+1}{2} + n(m-n)$ equations which are bi-homogeneous polynomials in the $\{\alpha_{\underline{i}}\}$ and $\underline{a} = (a_{\underline{i}}^1, \dots; a_{\underline{i}}^m :$

$|\underline{i}| = 2) \in \mathbb{N}^{m \binom{n+1}{2}}$ of bi-degree $(1, 1)$. Then there exists a matrix M_F of size $[\binom{n+1}{2} + n(m-n)] \times m \binom{n+1}{2}$ and entries in the $\{\alpha_{\underline{i}}\}$'s such that

$$[\alpha_{\underline{i}}]B' = M_F {}^t \underline{a}$$

We have to prove that the following linear system in the $\binom{n+1}{2} + n(m-n)$ equations and $m \binom{n+1}{2}$ indeterminates $\underline{a} = (a_{\underline{i}}^1, \dots; a_{\underline{i}}^n)$:

$$M_F {}^t \underline{a} = {}^t [\beta_{\underline{i}}]$$

is compatible. The result follows if we show that $rk(M_F)$ is maximal, i.e. $rk(M_F) = \binom{n+1}{2} + n(m-n)$. We prove that the matrix M_F has the following upper-diagonal structure

$$M_F = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} M_{F_3} & * & \cdots & * & * \\ \hline 0 & \Delta_{F_3} & \cdots & * & * \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{F_3} & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{F_3} \end{array} \right) \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} \right\} m - n \text{ times}$$

where

- (i) M_{F_3} is a $\binom{n+1}{2} \times n \binom{n+1}{2}$ matrix defined in Claim of Theorem 3.3.
- (ii) Δ_{F_3} ($m-n$ times) is the $n \times \binom{n+1}{2}$ matrix defined in Remark 3.2 of the coefficients of the second derivatives of F_3 .

Following the definition of B' , we compute $\varphi(x_i x_j)$, $i < j$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Hence the entries of the $a_{\underline{i}}^l$ -th column of M_F ($l = 1, \dots, m$) are the coefficients of the terms of degree three in the support of F_3 which appear in $\varphi(x_i x_j)$ with coefficient $a_{\underline{i}}^l$.

If $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ we are in the same setting of Claim of Theorem 3.3 and we get M_{F_3} corresponding to the $a_{\underline{i}}^l$ -th columns with $l = 1, \dots, n$.

We compute now $\varphi(x_i x_j)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = n+1, \dots, m$, then

$$\varphi(x_i x_j) = x_i x_j + \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^j x^{\underline{i}} x_i + \sum_{|\underline{i}|=2} a_{\underline{i}}^l x^{\underline{i}} x_j + \text{terms of degree 4.}$$

Since $x^{\underline{i}} x_j$ does not appear in the support of F_3 since $j > n$, then for every $j = n+1, \dots, m$ we get

$$(M_F)_{\delta_i + \delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^j} = \alpha_{\underline{i} + \delta_i}$$

Hence

$$(M_F)_{\delta_i + \delta_j, a_{\underline{i}}^j} = (\Delta_{F_3})_{i, \underline{i}}$$

and (i) and (ii) are proved.

Since F_3 is non degenerate for the Hilbert function $\{1, n, n, 1\}$, then by Remark 3.2 $rk(\Delta_{F_3}) = n$ and, from Theorem 3.3's proof, $rk(M_{F_3}) = \binom{n+1}{2}$. It follows

$$rk(M_F) = \binom{n+1}{2} + n(m-n),$$

as required. □

We will extend Corollary 3.4 to this more general situation.

Corollary 4.2. *There exists an isomorphism between the Artinian Gorenstein local rings (A, \mathfrak{m}) and (B, \mathfrak{n}) with Hilbert function $\{1, m, n, 1\}$, $m \geq n$, if and only if $Q_A(0) \simeq Q_B(0)$ as K -algebras.*

Proof. If $m = n$, then $Q(0)$ coincide with the associated graded ring and the result follows by Corollary 3.4. Assume $m > n$, then result follows from Theorem 4.1 which says that the isomorphism classes of A_F only depend only on F_3 and hence on the isomorphism classes of $Q(0)$. □

Next result extends Corollary 3.5.

Corollary 4.3. *The classification of Artinian Gorenstein algebras A with Hilbert function $HF_A = \{1, m, n, 1\}$, $m \geq n$, is equivalent to the projective classification of the cubic hypersurfaces $V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}_K^{n-1}$ where F is a degree three non degenerate form in n variables.*

By taking advantage of the projective classification of the cubic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^r , with $r \leq 3$, the above result gives a complete classification of the Artinian Gorenstein local algebras with Hilbert functions $\{1, m, n, 1\}$, $n \leq 4$.

References

- [1] D. J. Anick, *A counterexample to a conjecture of Serre*, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 1–33.
- [2] L. L. Avramov, S. Iyengar, L. M. Sega, *Free resolutions over short local rings*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **78** (2008), 459–476.
- [3] R. Bøgvad, *Gorenstein rings with transcendental Poincaré series*, Math. Scand. **53** (1983), no. 1, 5–15.
- [4] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 60, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

- [5] M. Brundu and A. Logar, *Parametrization of the orbits of cubic surfaces*, Transform. Groups **3** (1998), 209–239.
- [6] D. A. Cartwright, D. Erman, M. Velasco, and B. Viray, *Hilbert schemes of 8 points*, arXiv:0803.0341 (2008).
- [7] G. Casnati and R. Notari, *On some Gorenstein loci in $\text{Hilb}_6(P^4)$* , J. of Algebra **308** (2007). 2055–2074.
- [8] ———, *On the Gorenstein locus of some punctual Hilbert schemes*, J. of Pure and Appl. Alg. **213** (2009), 2055–2074.
- [9] A. Conca, M.E. Rossi, and G. Valla, *Gröbner flags and Gorenstein Artin rings*, Compositio Math. **129** (2001), 95–121.
- [10] J. Elias, G. Valla, *Structure theorems for certain Gorenstein ideals*. Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster. Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 269–292.
- [11] J. Emsalem, *Géométrie des points épais*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978), no. 4, 399–416.
- [12] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. Springer Verlag. **52** (1977).
- [13] I. B. Henriques and L. M. Şega, *Exact zero divisors and free resolutions over short local rings*, arXiv: 0904.3510v1 (2009), to appear in Math. Z.
- [14] A. Iarrobino, *Punctual Hilbert schemes*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1977), no. 188, viii+112.
- [15] ———, *Reducibility of the families of 0-dimensional schemes on a variety*. Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 72–77.
- [16] ———, *Compressed algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), no. 1, 337–378.
- [17] ———, *Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1994), no. 514, viii+115.
- [18] A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman.
- [19] I. Kaplanski, *Fields and rings*, Univ. of Chicago Press (1970).
- [20] F.S. Macaulay, *The algebraic theory of modular systems.*, Cambridge University, 1916.

- [21] D.G. Northcott, *Injective envelopes and inverse polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1972), 290–296.
- [22] B. Poonen, *Isomorphism types of commutative algebras of finite rank over an algebraically closed field*. Computational arithmetic geometry, 111–120, Contemp. Math., **463**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [23] D.W. Sharpe and P. Vámos, *Injective modules*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1972).
- [24] G. Sjödin, *The Poincaré series of modules over Gorenstein rings with $\mathfrak{m}^3 = \mathbf{0}$* , Matematiska Institutionen, Stockholms Universitet, Preprint **2** (1979).
- [25] J. Watanabe, *The Dilworth number of Artin Gorenstein rings*, Advances in Math. **76** (1989), 194–199.

Juan Elias
Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain
e-mail: elias@ub.edu

Maria Evelina Rossi
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Genova
Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy
e-mail: rossim@dima.unige.it