

# Radicals of symmetric cellular algebras \*

Yanbo Li

Department of Information and Computing Sciences,  
Northeastern University at Qinhuangdao;  
Qinhuangdao, 066004, P.R. China

School of Mathematics Sciences, Beijing Normal University;  
Beijing, 100875, P.R. China  
E-mail: liyanbo707@163.com

January 8, 2019

## Abstract

Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra. We construct a nilpotent ideal  $I$  in  $A$ . The ideal connects the radicals of cell modules with the radical of  $A$ . It also reveals some information on the dimensions of simple modules of  $A$ . Applying this result to some finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebras, we list a series of criterions to determine the dimensions of simple modules. As a by-product, we obtain some equivalent conditions for a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra to be semisimple.

## 1 Introduction

Cellular algebras were introduced by Graham and Lehrer [6] in 1996, motivated by previous work of Kazhdan and Lusztig [9]. They were defined by a so-called cellular basis with some nice properties. The theory of cellular algebras provides a systematic framework for studying the representation theory of non-semisimple algebras which are deformations of semisimple ones. One can parameterize simple modules for a finite dimensional cellular algebra by methods in linear algebra.

---

\*keywords: radicals, symmetric cellular algebras.

Many classes of algebras from mathematics and physics are found to be cellular, including Hecke algebras of finite type, Ariki-Koike algebras,  $q$ -Schur algebras, Brauer algebras, Temperley-Lieb algebras, cyclotomic Temperley-Lieb algebras, Jones algebras, partition algebras, Birman-Wenzl algebras and so on, see [5], [6], [18], [20], [21] for details.

An equivalent basis-free definition was given by Koenig and Xi [10], which is useful in dealing with structural problems. By using this definition, in [11], Koenig and Xi made explicit an inductive construction of cellular algebras called inflation, which produces all cellular algebras. In [12], Brauer algebras were shown to be iterated inflations of group algebras of symmetric groups and then more information about these algebras was found.

There are some generalizations of cellular algebras, see [2], [3], [4], [19] for details. Recently, Koenig and Xi [13] introduced affine cellular algebras which contain cellular algebras as special cases. Affine Hecke algebras of type A and infinite dimensional diagram algebras like the affine Temperley-Lieb algebras are affine cellular.

It is an open problem to find explicit formulas for the dimensions of simple modules of a cellular algebra. By the theory of cellular algebras, this is equivalent to determine the dimensions of the radicals of bilinear forms associated with cell modules. In [14], for a quasi-hereditary cellular algebra, Lehrer and Zhang found that the radicals of bilinear forms are related to the radical of the algebra. This leads us to studying the radical of a cellular algebra. In this paper, we will do some work on the radicals of symmetric cellular algebras. Note that Hecke algebras of finite type, Ariki-Koike algebras over any ring containing inverses of the parameters are all symmetric cellular algebras, the trivial extension of a cellular algebra is also a symmetric cellular algebra. For details, see [16], [22].

In order to describe our result exactly, we fix some notations first. Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  a symmetric cellular algebra with a cellular basis  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$ . Let  $f : A \times A \rightarrow R$  be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Then  $f$  determines a map  $\tau : A \rightarrow R$  which is defined by  $\tau(a) = f(a, 1)$  for every  $a \in A$ . We call the map  $\tau$  symmetrizing trace. Denote by  $\{D_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  the dual basis determined by  $\tau$ . For arbitrary  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $W(\lambda)$  be the cell module and  $\Phi_\lambda$  the bilinear form associated with  $W(\lambda)$ . Write  $\text{rad } \lambda$  the radical of  $\Phi_\lambda$ . Denote the  $A$ -module  $W(\lambda)/\text{rad } \lambda$  by  $L_\lambda$  if  $\Phi_\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \Phi_\lambda \neq 0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \text{rad } \lambda = 0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_0 \mid \text{rad } \lambda \neq 0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_3 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \Phi_\lambda = 0\}$  and  $S_{\Lambda_1} = R\text{-span}\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_1, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$ . Then the main result is as follows.

**Theorem** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  a symmetric cellular algebra with a cellular basis  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . The dual basis  $\{D_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is determined by a symmetrizing trace  $\tau$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $A$  generated by the elements of the form  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,T}^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$  and  $S, T, U \in M(\lambda)$ .*

Then

(1)  $I \subseteq \text{rad} A$ ,  $I^2 = 0$ .

(2)  $I$  is independent of the choice of  $\tau$ .

Moreover, if  $R$  is a field, then

(3)  $S_{\Lambda_1} \cap \text{rad} A = 0$ .

(4)  $\dim_R I \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda)$ , where  $n_\lambda$  is the number of the elements in  $M(\lambda)$ .

(5)  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda^2 + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda(n_\lambda - \dim_R L_\lambda) \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2$ .

This theorem connects the radicals of the bilinear forms of cell modules with the radical of the algebra. It also reveals some information on the dimensions of simple modules of symmetric cellular algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin with some well-known results on symmetric algebras and cellular algebras, then in Section 3, we prove the main result. In section 4, we give some examples on estimating the dimensions of simple modules of Hecke algebras. As another application of the result on radicals, in Section 5, we give some equivalent conditions for a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra to be semisimple.

## 2 Symmetric cellular algebras

In this section, we first recall some well known results on symmetric algebras and cellular algebras, then prove a lemma on symmetric cellular algebras, the lemma plays an important role in this paper. References for this section are [6] and [7].

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $A$  an associative  $R$ -algebra. As an  $R$ -module,  $A$  is finitely generated and free. Suppose that there exists an  $R$ -bilinear map  $f : A \times A \rightarrow R$ . We say that  $f$  is non-degenerate if the determinant of the matrix  $(f(a_i, a_j))_{a_i, a_j \in B}$  is a unit in  $R$  for some  $R$ -basis  $B$  of  $A$ . We say  $f$  is associative if  $f(ab, c) = f(a, bc)$  for all  $a, b, c \in A$ , and symmetric if  $f(a, b) = f(b, a)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called symmetric if there is a non-degenerate associative symmetric bilinear form  $f$  on  $A$ . Define an  $R$ -linear map  $\tau : A \rightarrow R$  by  $\tau(a) = f(a, 1)$ . We call  $\tau$  a symmetrizing trace.

Let  $A$  be a symmetric algebra with a basis  $B = \{a_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\tau$  a symmetrizing trace. Denote by  $D = \{D_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  the basis determined by the requirement that  $\tau(D_j a_i) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . We will call  $D$  the dual basis of  $B$ . For arbitrary  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , we write  $a_i a_j = \sum_k r_{ijk} a_k$ , where  $r_{ijk} \in R$ . Fix a  $\tau$  for  $A$ . Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric  $R$ -algebra with a basis  $B$  and the dual basis  $D$ . Then the following hold:*

$$a_i D_j = \sum_k r_{kij} D_k; \quad D_i a_j = \sum_k r_{jki} D_k.$$

Proof: We only prove the first equation. The other one is proved similarly.

Suppose that  $a_i D_j = \sum_k r_k D_k$ , where  $r_k \in R$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Left multiply by  $a_{k_0}$  on both sides of the equation and apply  $\tau$ , we get  $\tau(a_{k_0} a_i D_j) = r_{k_0}$ . Then  $r_{k_0} = r_{k_0, i, j}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a symmetric  $R$ -algebra with a basis  $\{a_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\tau, \tau'$  be two symmetrizing trace. Denote by  $\{D_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  the dual basis of  $B$  determined by  $\tau$  and  $\{D'_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  the dual basis determined by  $\tau'$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have*

$$D'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(a_j D'_i) D_j.$$

Proof: By a similar method as in Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

We now recall the definition of cellular algebras introduced by Graham and Lehrer [6] and some well known results.

**Definition 2.4.** ([6] 1.1) *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. An associative unital  $R$ -algebra is called a cellular algebra with cell datum  $(\Lambda, M, C, i)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(C1) *The finite set  $\Lambda$  is a poset. Associated with each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there is a finite set  $M(\lambda)$ . The algebra  $A$  has an  $R$ -basis  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ .*

(C2) *The map  $i$  is an  $R$ -linear anti-automorphism of  $A$  with  $i^2 = \text{id}$  which sends  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  to  $C_{T,S}^\lambda$ .*

(C3) *If  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , then for any element  $a \in A$ , we have*

$$a C_{S,T}^\lambda \equiv \sum_{S' \in M(\lambda)} r_a(S', S) C_{S',T}^\lambda \pmod{A(< \lambda)},$$

where  $r_a(S', S) \in R$  is independent of  $T$  and where  $A(< \lambda)$  is the  $R$ -submodule of  $A$  generated by  $\{C_{S'',T''}^\mu \mid S'', T'' \in M(\mu), \mu < \lambda\}$ .

Apply  $i$  to the equation in (C3), we obtain

$$(C3') \quad C_{T,S}^\lambda i(a) \equiv \sum_{S' \in M(\lambda)} r_a(S', S) C_{T,S'}^\lambda \pmod{A(< \lambda)}.$$

It is easy to check the following lemma by Definition 2.4.

**Lemma 2.5.** ([6] 1.7) *Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $a \in A$ . Then for arbitrary elements  $S, T, U, V \in M(\lambda)$ , we have*

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda a C_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv \Phi_a(T, U) C_{S,V}^\lambda \pmod{A(< \lambda)},$$

where  $\Phi_a(T, U) \in R$  depends only on  $a$ ,  $T$  and  $U$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** We omit the index  $a$  when  $a = 1$ , that is, write  $\Phi_1(T, U)$  as  $\Phi(T, U)$ .

Let  $A$  be a cellular algebra with cell datum  $(\Lambda, M, C, i)$ . We recall the definition of cell modules.

**Definition 2.6.** ([6] 2.1) *For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , define the left  $A$ -module  $W(\lambda)$  as follows:  $W(\lambda)$  is a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{C_S \mid S \in M(\lambda)\}$  and  $A$ -action defined by*

$$a C_S = \sum_{S' \in M(\lambda)} r_a(S', S) C_{S'} \quad (a \in A, S \in M(\lambda)),$$

where  $r_a(S', S)$  is the element of  $R$  defined in (C3).

Note that  $W(\lambda)$  may be thought of as a right  $A$ -module via

$$C_S a = \sum_{S' \in M(\lambda)} r_{i(a)}(S', S) C_{S'} \quad (a \in A, S \in M(\lambda)),$$

we will denote it by  $i(W(\lambda))$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** ([6] 2.2) *There is a natural isomorphism of  $R$ -modules*

$$C^\lambda : W(\lambda) \otimes_R i(W(\lambda)) \rightarrow R\text{-span}\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda)\},$$

defined by  $(C_S, C_T) \rightarrow C_{S,T}^\lambda$ .  $\square$

For a cell module  $W(\lambda)$ , define a bilinear form  $\Phi_\lambda : W(\lambda) \times W(\lambda) \rightarrow R$  by  $\Phi_\lambda(C_S, C_T) = \Phi(S, T)$ , extended bilinearly and define

$$\text{rad } \lambda := \{x \in W(\lambda) \mid \Phi_\lambda(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in W(\lambda)\}.$$

In [15], Mathas proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** ([15] 2.15) *If  $\lambda$  is a maximal element in  $\Lambda$ , then  $\text{rad } \lambda = 0$ .*  $\square$

In [6], Graham and Lehrer proved the following results.

**Lemma 2.9.** ([6] 2.3) *Keep the notation above and let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then*

(1)  $\Phi(T, U) = \Phi(U, T)$  for arbitrary  $T, U \in M(\lambda)$ .

(2) For  $x, y, z \in W(\lambda)$  we have  $C^\lambda(x \otimes y)z = \Phi(y, z)x$ . □

**Lemma 2.10.** [6] *Let  $K$  be a field and let  $A$  be a cellular algebra. If  $\Phi_\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\text{rad } \lambda$  is the radical of the  $A$ -module  $W(\lambda)$ .* □

**Theorem 2.11.** [6] *Let  $K$  be a field and  $A$  a finite dimensional cellular algebra. Denote the  $A$ -module  $W(\lambda)/\text{rad } \lambda$  by  $L_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\Phi_\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \Phi_\lambda \neq 0\}$ . Then the set  $\{L_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$  is a complete set of (representative of equivalence classes of) absolutely simple  $A$ -modules.* □

**Theorem 2.12.** ([6] 3.8) *Let  $K$  be a field and  $A$  a cellular algebra. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *The algebra  $A$  is semisimple.*

(2) *The nonzero cell representations  $W(\lambda)$  are irreducible and pairwise inequivalent.*

(3) *The form  $\Phi_\lambda$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\text{rad}(\lambda) = 0$ ) for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .* □

For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , fix an order on  $M(\lambda)$  and let  $M(\lambda) = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n_\lambda}\}$ , where  $n_\lambda$  is the number of the elements in  $M(\lambda)$ , the matrix  $G_\lambda = (\Phi(S_i, S_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n_\lambda}$  is called Gram matrix. All the determinants of  $G(\lambda)$  defined with different order on  $M(\lambda)$  are the same.

Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra with a cell datum  $(\Lambda, M, C, i)$ . Denote the dual basis by  $D = \{D_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ , which satisfies

$$\tau(C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\mu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda = \mu, S = U, T = V; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ ,  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ ,  $U, V \in M(\mu)$ , write

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\mu = \sum_{\epsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\epsilon)} r_{(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu),(X,Y,\epsilon)} C_{X,Y}^\epsilon.$$

Then we have the following lemma, which plays an important role in this paper.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra with a basis  $B$ . Let  $D$  be the dual basis determined by a given symmetrizing trace  $\tau$ . For arbitrary  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$  and  $S, T, P, Q \in M(\lambda)$ ,  $U, V \in M(\mu)$ , the following hold:*

(1)  $D_{U,V}^\mu C_{S,T}^\lambda = \sum_{\epsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\epsilon)} r_{(S,T,\lambda),(X,Y,\epsilon),(U,V,\mu)} D_{X,Y}^\epsilon.$

- (2)  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\mu = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon.$   
(3)  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{S,T}^\lambda = C_{S,P}^\lambda D_{S,P}^\lambda.$  (4)  $D_{S,T}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda = D_{P,T}^\lambda C_{P,T}^\lambda.$   
(5)  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{P,Q}^\lambda = 0$  if  $T \neq Q.$  (6)  $D_{P,Q}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda = 0$  if  $P \neq S.$   
(7)  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\mu = 0$  if  $\mu \not\leq \lambda.$  (8)  $D_{U,V}^\mu C_{S,T}^\lambda = 0$  if  $\mu \not\leq \lambda.$

Proof: (1), (2) are corollaries of Lemma 2.2. (5), (6), (7), (8) are corollaries of (1) and (2). We now prove (3).

By (2), we have

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{S,T}^\lambda = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,T,\lambda),(S,T,\lambda)} D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon$$

$$C_{S,P}^\lambda D_{S,P}^\lambda = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,P,\lambda),(S,P,\lambda)} D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon.$$

By (C3) of Definition 2.4,

$$r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,T,\lambda),(S,T,\lambda)} = r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,P,\lambda),(S,P,\lambda)}$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$  and  $X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)$ . This completes the proof of (3).

(4) is proved similarly. □

### 3 Radicals of symmetric cellular algebras

We first introduce some constants for a symmetric cellular algebra. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra with a cellular basis  $B$ . Fix a symmetrizing trace  $\tau$  and denote the dual basis by

$$D = \{D_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

About the dual basis, we have the following proposition by Lemma 2.13.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra with a cellular basis  $B$  and the dual basis  $D$ . For any  $a \in A$  and  $D_{U,V}^\lambda \in D$ , we have*

$$aD_{U,V}^\mu \equiv \sum_{V' \in M(\mu)} r_{i(a)}(V, V') D_{U,V'}^\mu \pmod{A_D(> \mu)},$$

where  $r_{i(a)}(V, V')$  is independent of  $U$  and where  $A_D(> \mu)$  is the  $R$ -submodule of  $A$  generated by  $\{D_{S'',T''}^\lambda \mid S'', T'' \in M(\lambda), \lambda > \mu\}$ . In particular, for arbitrary  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $S, T, U, V \in M(\lambda)$ , we have

$$D_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv \Psi(S, V) D_{U,T}^\lambda \pmod{A_D(> \lambda)},$$

where  $\Psi(S, V) \in R$  depends only on  $S$  and  $V$ .

Proof: For arbitrary  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$ , by Lemma 2.13 (2), we have

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\mu = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon.$$

By (C3) of Definition 2.4, if  $\varepsilon < \mu$ , then  $r_{(X,Y,\varepsilon),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} = 0$ . This implies that

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\mu \equiv \sum_{X,Y \in M(\mu)} r_{(X,Y,\mu),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} D_{X,Y}^\mu \pmod{A_D(>\lambda)}.$$

By (C3)' of Definition 2.4, if  $X \neq U$ , then  $r_{(X,Y,\mu),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} = 0$ . So

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\mu \equiv \sum_{Y \in M(\mu)} r_{(U,Y,\mu),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} D_{U,Y}^\mu \pmod{A_D(>\lambda)}.$$

Clearly, for arbitrary  $Y \in M(\mu)$ , we have

$$r_{(U,Y,\mu),(S,T,\lambda),(U,V,\mu)} = r_{C_{T,S}^\lambda}(V, Y)$$

and is independent of  $U$ . Since  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  is arbitrary, then

$$aD_{U,V}^\mu \equiv \sum_{V' \in M(\mu)} r_{i(a)}(V, V') D_{U,V'}^\mu \pmod{A_D(>\mu)}$$

for  $a \in A$ .

Similarly, we can get

$$D_{U,V}^\mu a \equiv \sum_{U' \in M(\mu)} r_a(U, U') D_{U',V}^\mu \pmod{A_D(>\mu)}.$$

By the above two formulas, we get

$$D_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv \Psi(S, V) D_{U,T}^\lambda \pmod{A_D(>\lambda)},$$

where  $\Psi(S, V) \in R$  depends only on  $S$  and  $V$ . □

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra. For every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , define  $G'_\lambda$  to be the matrix  $(\Psi(S_i, S_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n_\lambda}$ .

In order to introduce constants  $k_\lambda$ , we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra. For every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $S, T, U, V, P \in M(\lambda)$ , we have

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, V) \Psi(X, V) C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{P,T}^\lambda.$$



Proof: By Lemma 2.13 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda &= C_{S,T}^\lambda (D_{U,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\lambda) D_{P,V}^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,Y,\varepsilon), (U,T,\lambda)} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon D_{P,V}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varepsilon > \lambda$ , then by Lemma 2.13 (7),  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon = 0$ ; if  $\varepsilon < \lambda$ , by Definition 2.4 (C3),  $r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,Y,\varepsilon), (U,T,\lambda)} = 0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,Y,\varepsilon), (U,T,\lambda)} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon D_{P,V}^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{X, Y \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,Y,\lambda), (U,T,\lambda)} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 2.4 (C3), if  $Y \neq T$ , then  $r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,Y,\lambda), (U,T,\lambda)} = 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{X, Y \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,Y,\lambda), (U,T,\lambda)} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,T,\lambda), (U,T,\lambda)} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,T}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Proposition 3.1,

$$D_{X,T}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda \equiv \Psi(X, V) D_{P,T}^\lambda \pmod{A_D(> \lambda)}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.13 (7), if  $\varepsilon > \lambda$ , then  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\varepsilon = 0$ . Thus

$$\sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\lambda), (X,T,\lambda), (U,T,\lambda)} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{X,T}^\lambda D_{P,V}^\lambda = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, V) \Psi(X, V) C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{P,T}^\lambda.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

By Lemma 2.13,  $C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{S,V}^\lambda$  is independent of  $V$ , so is  $\sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, V) \Psi(X, V)$ .

Then for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we define a constant  $k_{\lambda, \tau}$  as follows.

**Definition 3.4.** Keep the notation above. For  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , take an arbitrary  $V \in M(\lambda)$ . Define

$$k_{\lambda, \tau} = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, V) \Psi(X, V).$$

Note that  $\{k_{\lambda, \tau} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is not independent of the choice of symmetrizing trace. Fix a symmetrizing  $\tau$ , we often write  $k_{\lambda, \tau}$  as  $k_\lambda$ . We now study the relations between  $k_\lambda$  and the Gram matrix  $G_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $A$  a symmetric cellular  $R$ -algebra with a cellular basis  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$ . The dual basis determined by  $\tau$  is  $\{D_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$ . For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , fix an order on the set  $M(\lambda)$ .  $G_\lambda$  is the Gram matrix and  $G'_\lambda$  is as in Definition 3.2. Then  $G_\lambda G'_\lambda = k_\lambda E$ , where  $E$  is the identity matrix.*

Proof: Take an arbitrary  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , according to the definition of  $G_\lambda$ ,  $G'_\lambda$  and  $k_\lambda$ , we only need to show that  $\sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, U) \Psi(X, V) = 0$  for arbitrary  $U, V \in M(\lambda)$  with  $U \neq V$ .

In fact, on one hand, for arbitrary  $S \in M(\lambda)$ , by Lemma 2.13 (5),  $U \neq V$  implies that  $C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,V}^\lambda = 0$ . Then  $C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,U}^\lambda C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,V}^\lambda = 0$ .

On the other hand, by a similar method as in the proof of Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,U}^\lambda C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,V}^\lambda &= \sum_{\epsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\epsilon)} r_{(S,U,\lambda), (X,Y,\epsilon), (S,U,\lambda)} C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{X,Y}^\epsilon D_{S,V}^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(S,U,\lambda), (X,U,\lambda), (S,U,\lambda)} C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{X,U}^\lambda D_{S,V}^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, U) \Psi(X, V) C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,U}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, U) \Psi(X, V) C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,U}^\lambda = 0$ . This implies that

$$\tau\left(\sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, U) \Psi(X, V) C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,U}^\lambda\right) = 0.$$

Since  $\tau(C_{S,U}^\lambda D_{S,U}^\lambda) = 1$ , then  $\sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, U) \Psi(X, V) = 0$ . □

Let  $R$  be an integral domain. To study the radical of a symmetric cellular algebra, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra over an integral domain  $R$ . Then  $k_\lambda = 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$ .*

Proof: Since  $|G(\lambda)| = 0$  is equivalent to  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$ , then by Lemma 3.5,  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$  implies that  $k_\lambda = 0$ . □

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra. Then for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , the elements of the form  $\sum_{S, U \in M(\lambda)} r_{SU} C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda$  with  $r_{SU} \in R$  make an ideal of  $A$ .*

Proof: Denote the set of the elements of the form  $\sum_{S,U \in M(\lambda)} r_{SU} C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda$  by  $I^\lambda$ .

Then for any  $\eta \in \Lambda$ ,  $P, Q \in M(\eta)$ , and  $S, U \in M(\lambda)$ , the element  $C_{P,Q}^\eta C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda \in I^\lambda$ . In fact, by (C3) of Definition 2.4 and Lemma 2.13 (7),

$$\begin{aligned} C_{P,Q}^\eta C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda &= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(P,Q,\eta),(S,V,\lambda),(X,Y,\varepsilon)} C_{X,Y}^\varepsilon D_{U,V}^\lambda \\ &= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(P,Q,\eta),(S,V,\lambda),(X,V,\lambda)} C_{X,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

The element  $C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda C_{P,Q}^\eta \in I^\lambda$  is proved similarly.

Hence,  $I^\lambda$  is an ideal of  $A$ . □

By the definition of  $G(\lambda)$  and  $\text{rad } \lambda$ , the following lemma is obviously.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra with a cellular basis  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\Phi_\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $\dim_K L_\lambda = \text{rank } G(\lambda)$ , where  $L_\lambda = W(\lambda)/\text{rad } \lambda$ .* □

Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \Phi_\lambda \neq 0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \text{rad } \lambda = 0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \Phi_\lambda \neq 0, \text{rad } \lambda \neq 0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_3 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \Phi_\lambda = 0\}$  and  $S_{\Lambda_1} = R\text{-span}\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_1, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$ . Then we are in a position to give the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  a symmetric cellular algebra with a cellular basis  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . The dual basis  $\{D_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is determined by a symmetrizing trace  $\tau$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $A$  generated by the elements of the form  $C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{U,T}^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$  and  $S, T, U \in M(\lambda)$ . Then*

(1)  $I \subseteq \text{rad } A$ ,  $I^2 = 0$ .

(2)  $I$  is independent of the choice of  $\tau$ .

Moreover, if  $R$  is a field, then

(3)  $S_{\Lambda_1} \cap \text{rad } A = 0$ .

(4)  $\dim_R I \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda)$ , where  $n_\lambda$  is the number of the elements in  $M(\lambda)$ .

(5)  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda^2 + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda (n_\lambda - \dim_R L_\lambda) \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2$ .

Proof: (1)  $I \subseteq \text{rad } A$ ,  $I^2 = 0$ .

We prove  $I^2 = 0$ . Obviously, by the definition of  $I$ , every element of  $I^2$  can be written as a sum of elements of the form  $C_{S_1,T}^\lambda D_{S_2,T}^\lambda C_{U_1,V}^\mu D_{U_2,V}^\mu$  (we omit the coefficient here) with  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$  and  $\text{rad } \mu \neq 0$ .

If  $\mu < \lambda$ , then  $C_{S_1,T}^\lambda D_{S_2,T}^\lambda C_{U_1,V}^\mu D_{U_2,V}^\mu = 0$  by Lemma 2.13 (8).

If  $\mu > \lambda$ , then by Lemma 2.13 (1) and (7),

$$C_{S_1, T}^\lambda D_{S_2, T}^\lambda C_{U_1, V}^\mu D_{U_2, V}^\mu = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U_1, V, \mu), (X, T, \lambda), (S_2, T, \lambda)} C_{S_1, T}^\lambda D_{X, T}^\lambda D_{U_2, V}^\mu.$$

However, by Proposition 3.1, every  $D_{P, Q}^\eta$  with nonzero coefficient in the expansion of  $D_{X, T}^\lambda D_{U_2, V}^\mu$  satisfies  $\eta \geq \mu$ . Since  $\mu > \lambda$ , then  $\eta > \lambda$ . Now, by Lemma 2.13 (7), we have  $C_{S_1, T}^\lambda D_{P, Q}^\eta = 0$ , that is,  $C_{S_1, T}^\lambda D_{S_2, T}^\lambda C_{U_1, V}^\mu D_{U_2, V}^\mu = 0$  if  $\mu > \lambda$ .

If  $\lambda = \mu$ , by Lemma 2.13 (3) and (4), we only need to consider the elements of the form

$$C_{S_1, T_1}^\lambda D_{S_2, T_1}^\lambda C_{S_2, T_2}^\lambda D_{S_3, T_2}^\lambda.$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6,

$$C_{S_1, T_1}^\lambda D_{S_2, T_1}^\lambda C_{S_2, T_2}^\lambda D_{S_3, T_2}^\lambda = k_\lambda C_{S_1, T_1}^\lambda D_{S_3, T_1}^\lambda = 0.$$

Then we get that all the elements of the form  $C_{S_1, T}^\lambda D_{S_2, T}^\lambda C_{U_1, V}^\mu D_{U_2, V}^\mu$  are all zero, that is,  $I^2 = 0$ . This implies that  $I \subseteq \text{rad} A$ .

(2)  $I$  is independent of the choice of  $\tau$ .

Let  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  be two symmetrizing trace and  $D, d$  the dual bases determined by  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  respectively. By Lemma 2.2, for arbitrary  $d_{U, V}^\lambda \in d$ ,

$$d_{U, V}^\lambda = \sum_{X, Y \in M(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in \Lambda} \tau(C_{X, Y}^\varepsilon d_{U, V}^\lambda) D_{X, Y}^\varepsilon.$$

Then for arbitrary  $S \in M(\lambda)$ ,

$$C_{S, V}^\lambda d_{U, V}^\lambda = \sum_{X, Y \in M(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in \Lambda} \tau(C_{X, Y}^\varepsilon d_{U, V}^\lambda) C_{S, V}^\lambda D_{X, Y}^\varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2.13 (7), (8), if  $\varepsilon < \lambda$ , then  $C_{X, Y}^\varepsilon d_{U, V}^\lambda = 0$ ; if  $\varepsilon > \lambda$ , then  $C_{S, V}^\lambda D_{X, Y}^\varepsilon = 0$ . This implies that

$$C_{S, V}^\lambda d_{U, V}^\lambda = \sum_{X, Y \in M(\lambda)} \tau(C_{X, Y}^\lambda d_{U, V}^\lambda) C_{S, V}^\lambda D_{X, Y}^\lambda.$$

By Lemma 2.13 (5), if  $Y \neq V$ , then  $C_{S, V}^\lambda D_{X, Y}^\lambda = 0$ . Now we get

$$C_{S, V}^\lambda d_{U, V}^\lambda = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \tau(C_{X, V}^\lambda d_{U, V}^\lambda) C_{S, V}^\lambda D_{X, V}^\lambda.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$C_{S,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \tau(C_{X,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda) C_{S,V}^\lambda d_{X,V}^\lambda.$$

The above two formulas imply that  $I$  is independent of the choice of symmetrizing trace.

$$(3) S_{\Lambda_1} \cap \text{rad} A = 0.$$

We prove it for general cellular algebras. By Lemma 2.8,  $\Lambda_1$  is not empty. Let  $r = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1, S, T \in M(\lambda)} r_{S,T,\lambda} C_{S,T}^\lambda \in \text{rad} A$  and  $\lambda_0$  be a maximal one such that there exists  $r_{X,Y,\lambda_0} \neq 0$ . Since  $W(\lambda_0)$  is simple, then for each  $U \in M(\lambda_0)$ ,  $rC_U = 0$ . Now by Lemma 2.9, we have  $0 = \sum_{S,T \in M(\lambda_0)} r_{S,T,\lambda_0} \Phi(T,U) C_S = \sum_S \sum_T r_{S,T,\lambda_0} \Phi(T,U) C_S$ . This implies that  $\sum_T r_{S,T,\lambda_0} \Phi(T,U) = 0$  for arbitrary  $S \in M(\lambda_0)$ . Note that  $W(\lambda_0)$  is simple, then the determinant of Gram matrix  $G_{\lambda_0}$  is not zero. Now we get that  $r_{S,T,\lambda_0} = 0$  for all  $S, T \in M(\lambda_0)$ .

Repeat the process as above, we can get all  $r_{S,T,\lambda}$  are zeros, that is,  $r = 0$ .

$$(4) \dim_R I \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda).$$

Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , denote the elements of  $M(\lambda)$  by  $S_1, \dots, S_{n_\lambda}$ . By Lemma 2.13 and the definition of Gram matrix, for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{S_1,S_1}^\lambda D_{S_1,S_1}^\lambda \\ C_{S_2,S_1}^\lambda D_{S_1,S_1}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda},S_1}^\lambda D_{S_1,S_1}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_1,S_1}^\lambda D_{S_{n_\lambda},S_1}^\lambda \\ C_{S_2,S_1}^\lambda D_{S_{n_\lambda},S_1}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda},S_1}^\lambda D_{S_{n_\lambda},S_1}^\lambda \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} G_\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & G_\lambda & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & G_\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{S_1,S_1}^\lambda \\ D_{S_1,S_2}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ D_{S_1,S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ D_{S_{n_\lambda},S_1}^\lambda \\ D_{S_{n_\lambda},S_2}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ D_{S_{n_\lambda},S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda \end{bmatrix} \pmod{D^{>\lambda}}.$$

Clearly, the rank of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & G_\lambda & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & G_\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

is  $n_\lambda \times (\text{rank } G_\lambda)$ . If  $\Phi_\lambda \neq 0$ , it is equal to  $n_\lambda \times (\dim_K L_\lambda)$  by Lemma 3.8. Then by (1) and Lemma 2.13, we have  $\dim_R I \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda)$ .

$$(5) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda^2 + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda (n_\lambda - \dim_R L_\lambda) \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2.$$

By (4),

$$\dim_R \text{rad} A \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda).$$

By the formula

$$\dim_R \text{rad} A = \dim_R A - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2,$$

we have

$$\dim_R A - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2 \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda).$$

That is,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda^2 + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} n_\lambda^2 - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda) \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2,$$

or

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda^2 + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda^2 - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda) \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} (\dim_R L_\lambda)^2.$$

□

**Remark.** We shall describe how to study the dimensions of simple modules of a Hecke algebra by (5) of this theorem in Section 4. Some examples are also given there.

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  a symmetric cellular algebra. Let  $\lambda$  be the minimal element in  $\Lambda$ . If  $\text{rad } \lambda \neq 0$ , then any  $r = \sum_{X,Y \in M(\lambda)} r_{X,Y,\lambda} C_{X,Y}^\lambda \in \text{rad } A$ , where  $r_{X,Y,\lambda} \in R$ .*

Proof: If  $r$  is not in  $\text{rad } A$ , then there exists some  $D_{U,V}^\mu$  with  $rD_{U,V}^\mu \notin \text{rad } A$ . If  $\mu \neq \lambda$ , then  $rD_{U,V}^\mu = 0$  by Lemma 2.13, it is in  $\text{rad } A$ . If  $\mu = \lambda$ , then  $rD_{U,V}^\mu \in \text{rad } A$  by Theorem 3.9. It is a contradiction. □

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra. Then  $A$  is semisimple if and only if  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$ .* □

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra. Let  $0 \neq r = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X,Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{X,Y,\varepsilon} C_{X,Y}^\varepsilon \in \text{rad } A$  and let  $\lambda$  be the maximal one such that there exists  $r_{S,T,\lambda} \neq 0$ . Then  $k_\lambda = 0$ .*

Proof: Since  $r \in \text{rad} A$ , we have  $rD_{S,T}^\lambda \in \text{rad} A$ . The fact that  $\lambda$  being the maximal implies that

$$rD_{S,T}^\lambda = \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{X,T,\lambda} C_{X,T}^\lambda D_{S,T}^\lambda.$$

It is easy to check that  $(rD_{S,T}^\lambda)^n = (k_\lambda r_{S,T,\lambda})^{n-1} rD_{S,T}^\lambda$ . Apply  $\tau$  on both sides of this equation, we get  $\tau((rD_{S,T}^\lambda)^n) = (k_\lambda r_{S,T,\lambda})^{n-1} r_{S,T,\lambda}$ . If  $k_\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $(k_\lambda r_{S,T,\lambda})^{n-1} \neq 0$ . Hence  $rD_{S,T}^\lambda$  is not nilpotent and then  $rD_{S,T}^\lambda \notin \text{rad} A$ . A contradiction. This implies that  $k_\lambda = 0$ .  $\square$

By considering the elements of the form  $D_{S,T}^\lambda C_{S,V}^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\text{rad} \lambda \neq 0$  and  $S, T, U \in M(\lambda)$ , we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  a symmetric cellular algebra. Let  $I'$  be the ideal of  $A$  generated by the elements of the form  $D_{S,T}^\lambda C_{S,V}^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\text{rad} \lambda \neq 0$ ,  $S, T, V \in M(\lambda)$ . Then*

- (1)  $I' \subseteq \text{rad} A$ ,  $I'^2 = 0$ .
- (2)  $I'$  is independent of the choice of the symmetrizing trace.
- (3) If  $R$  is a field, then  $\dim_R I' \geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} n_\lambda \times (\dim_R L_\lambda)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Examples

In this section, we study the dimensions of simple modules of Hecke algebras of type A by Theorem 3.9. Reference for this section is book [15]. Firstly, we recall some results on Murphy basis.

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For all integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , let  $s_i = (i, i+1)$ . A word  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$  for  $w \in S_n$  is a reduced expression if  $k$  is minimal, in this case we say that  $w$  has length  $k$  and we write  $\ell(w) = k$ .

Let  $K$  be a field and  $q$  a nonzero element in  $K$ . The Iwahori-Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{K,q}(S_n)$  associated to  $S_n$  is the associative unital  $K$ -algebra with generators  $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$  and relations

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i - q)(T_i + 1) &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < j-1 \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $i$  be the involution of  $\mathcal{H}_{K,q}(S_n)$  defined by  $i(T_w) = T_{w^{-1}}$ .

Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $[m]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{m-1}$ . Define  $e$  to be the smallest number such that  $[e]_q = 0$  and set  $e = \infty$  if no such integer exists.

Given a reduced expression  $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$  for  $w \in S_n$ , we write  $T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_k}$ , then  $T_w$  depends only on  $w$  and not on the choice of reduced expression. It is well-known that  $\mathcal{H}_K(S_n)$  is a  $K$ -space with basis  $\{T_w \mid w \in S_n\}$ .

Recall that a partition of  $n$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$ . The diagram of a partition  $\lambda$  is the subset  $[\lambda] = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i, i \geq 1\}$ . The elements of  $[\lambda]$  are called nodes. For any node  $(i, j) \in [\lambda]$ , let  $h_{ij}^\lambda = \lambda_i + \lambda'_j - i - j + 1$ . It is called hook number. Define the residue of the node  $(i, j) \in [\lambda]$  to be  $j - i$ . For any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ , the conjugate of  $\lambda$  is defined to be a partition  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots)$ , where  $\lambda'_j$  is equal to the number of nodes in column  $j$  of  $[\lambda]$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ .

A  $\lambda$ -tableau is a bijection  $t : [\lambda] \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We say  $t$  a standard  $\lambda$ -tableau if the entries in  $t$  increase from left to right in each row and from top to bottom in each column. Denote by  $t^\lambda$  (resp.,  $t_\lambda$ ) the standard  $\lambda$ -tableau, in which the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  appear in order along successive rows (resp., columns). The row stabilizer of  $t^\lambda$ , denoted by  $S_\lambda$ , is the standard Young subgroup of  $S_n$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Let  $\text{Std}(\lambda)$  be the set of all standard  $\lambda$ -tableaux.

A partition  $\lambda$  is said to be  $e$ -restricted if  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} < e$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $x = (i, j)$  be a node in  $[\lambda]$ . The  $e$ -residue of  $x$  is the integer  $\text{res}(x) = j - i \bmod e$ . A standard  $\lambda$ -tableau  $s$  is called  $e$ -restricted if whenever there exists a standard  $\mu$ -tableau  $t$  such that  $\lambda \supseteq \mu$  and  $[\text{res}_s(k)]_q = [\text{res}_t(k)]_q$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $\lambda = \mu$ . Let  $\text{Std}_e(\lambda)$  be the set of  $e$ -restricted tableaux and  $n_{e,\lambda}$  be the number of the elements in  $\text{Std}_e(\lambda)$ .

For a partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$ , let  $m_\lambda = \sum_{w \in S_\lambda} T_w$  and let  $d(t) \in S_n$  be such that  $d(t)t^\lambda = t$ .

Define  $m_{st}^\lambda = i(T_{d(s)})m_\lambda T_{d(t)}$ . Then Murphy proved

**Lemma 4.1.** (Murphy [17]) *The set*

$$\left\{ m_{s,t}^\lambda \mid \begin{array}{l} s \text{ and } t \text{ are standard } \lambda\text{-tableaux for some} \\ \text{partition } \lambda \text{ of } n \end{array} \right\}$$

*forms a cellular basis of  $\mathcal{H}_K(S_n)$ .* □

Then by the theory of cellular algebras, for any partition  $\lambda$ , we have cell module (Specht module)  $S^\lambda$  and bilinear form  $\Phi_\lambda$ . Write  $D^\lambda = S^\lambda / \text{rad } \lambda$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** ([1] 6.3, 6.8, [15] 3.38) Let  $K$  be a field and  $q$  a nonzero element in  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{K,q}(S_n)$  be the Iwahori-Hecke algebra associated to  $S_n$ . Then

- (1)  $\{D^\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ is an } e\text{-restricted partition of } n\}$  is a complete set of non-isomorphic simple  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules.
- (2)  $\dim_K D^\lambda \geq n_{e,\lambda}$ , where  $n_{e,\lambda}$  is the number of the  $e$ -restricted  $\lambda$ -tableaux. □



For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $v_p(k)$  be the usual  $p$ -adic valuation. Define

$$v_{e,p}(k) = \begin{cases} v_p(k), & \text{if } e \text{ divides } k; \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

James and Mathas proved the following result.

**Lemma 4.3.** ([8] 4.21.) *Let  $\lambda$  be an  $e$ -restricted partition of  $n$ . Then  $S^\lambda$  is simple if and only if*

$$v_{e,p}(h_{ab}^\lambda) = v_{e,p}(h_{ac}^\lambda)$$

for all nodes  $(a,b)$  and  $(a,c)$  in  $[\lambda]$ . □

Denote the set of  $e$ -restricted partitions by  $\Lambda_0$  and let  $\Lambda_3 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \lambda \notin \Lambda_0\}$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_0 \mid S^\lambda = D^\lambda\}$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_0 \mid S^\lambda \neq D^\lambda\}$ . Now by Theorem 3.9, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we can give a program to estimate the dimensions of simple modules of Hecke algebras of type A.

- (1) Determine the set  $\Lambda_3$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_3$ , compute the number  $n_\lambda$  of the elements in  $Std(\lambda)$  and then  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda$ .
- (2) Determine the set  $\Lambda_1$  by Lemma 4.3 and then  $\Lambda_2$ . Compute the number  $n_{e,\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda_2$ .
- (3) Estimate the dimensions of simple modules by Theorem 3.9.

We now give some examples.

**Example 1.** The group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_3 S_3$ .

The algebra has a basis

$$\{1, s_1, s_2, s_1 s_2, s_2 s_1, s_1 s_2 s_1\}.$$

A cellular basis is

$$C_{1,1}^{(3)} = 1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_1 + s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$C_{1,1}^{(2,1)} = 1 + s_1, \quad C_{1,2}^{(2,1)} = s_2 + s_1 s_2,$$

$$C_{2,1}^{(2,1)} = s_2 + s_2 s_1, \quad C_{2,2}^{(2,1)} = 1 + s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$C_{1,1}^{(1^3)} = 1.$$

The corresponding dual basis is

$$D_{1,1}^{(3)} = -s_2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_1,$$

$$D_{1,1}^{(2,1)} = s_1 + s_2 - s_1 s_2 - s_2 s_1, \quad D_{1,2}^{(2,1)} = s_2 - s_1 s_2,$$

$$D_{2,1}^{(2,1)} = s_2 - s_2 s_1, \quad D_{2,2}^{(2,1)} = s_2 - s_1 s_2 - s_2 s_1 + s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$D_{1,1}^{(1^3)} = 1 - s_1 - s_2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_1 - s_1 s_2 s_1.$$

It is easy to know that  $\Lambda_3 = (3)$  and  $\Lambda_1 = (1^3)$ . Then  $\dim_K \text{rad} A = 4$ . Now we compute  $I$ .

$$C_{1,1}^{(3)} D_{1,1}^{(3)} = 1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_1 + s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$C_{1,2}^{(2,1)} D_{1,2}^{(2,1)} = 1 + s_1 - s_2 - s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$C_{1,2}^{(2,1)} D_{2,2}^{(2,1)} = s_2 + s_1 s_2 - s_2 s_1 - s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$C_{2,1}^{(2,1)} D_{2,1}^{(2,1)} = 1 - s_1 - s_1 s_2 + s_1 s_2 s_1,$$

$$C_{2,1}^{(2,1)} D_{1,1}^{(2,1)} = s_2 + s_2 s_1 - s_1 - s_1 s_2.$$

Then  $\dim_K I = 4$ . This implies that  $I = \text{rad} A$ .

**Example 2.** Group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_5 S_5$ .

In this case,  $n = e = p = 5$ . The set of partitions of number 5 is

$$\Lambda = \{(5), (4, 1), (3, 2), (3, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1), (1^5)\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda_3 = \{(5)\}$  and  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda = 1$ .

By Lemma 4.3,  $\Lambda_1 = \{(3, 2), (2, 2, 1), (1^5)\}$ . This implies that  $\dim_K D^{(3,2)} = 5$ ,  $\dim_K D^{(2,2,1)} = 5$  and  $\dim_K D^{(1^5)} = 1$ . Then

$$\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_0 - \Lambda_1 = \{(4, 1), (3, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1)\}.$$

By the definition of  $e$ -restricted tableau,  $n_{5,(4,1)} = 1$ ,  $n_{5,(3,1,1)} = 3$ ,  $n_{5,(2,1,1,1)} = 3$ . This implies that

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq \dim_K D^{(4,1)} \leq 3 \\ 3 \leq \dim_K D^{(3,1,1)} \leq 5 \\ \dim_K D^{(2,1,1,1)} = 3. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$(\dim_K D^{(4,1)}, \dim_K D^{(3,2)}, \dim_K D^{(3,1,1)}, \dim_K D^{(2,2,1)}, \dim_K D^{(2,1,1,1)}, \dim_K D^{(1^5)})$$

must be one of the following nine cases.

- (1) (1, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1); (2) (2, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1); (3) (3, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1)
- (4) (1, 5, 4, 5, 3, 1); (5) (2, 5, 4, 5, 3, 1); (6) (3, 5, 4, 5, 3, 1)
- (7) (1, 5, 5, 5, 3, 1); (8) (2, 5, 5, 5, 3, 1); (9) (3, 5, 5, 5, 3, 1).

Now by Theorem 3.9, we have

$$1 + 4(4 - \dim D^{(4,1)}) + 6(6 - \dim D^{(3,1,1)}) + 4 \geq (\dim D^{(4,1)})^2 + (\dim D^{(3,1,1)})^2 + 9.$$

Then the dimensions must be one of the following four cases.

- (1) (1, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1); (2) (1, 5, 4, 5, 3, 1);
- (3) (2, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1); (4) (3, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1).

In fact, we know that  $\mathbb{Z}_5 S_5$  has two one-dimensional non-isomorphic simple modules, then the dimensions must be one of the following two cases.

(1) (1, 5, 3, 5, 3, 1); (2) (1, 5, 4, 5, 3, 1).

Thus the dimension of  $\text{rad}(\mathbb{Z}_5 S_5)$  is either 50 or 43. Then  $\dim_K I \geq 28$  by Theorem 3.9.

**Example 3.** Group algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_3 S_5$ .

In this case,  $n = 5$ ,  $e = p = 3$ .  $\Lambda_3 = \{(5), (4, 1)\}$  and  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_3} n_\lambda = 17$ . By Lemma 4.3,  $\Lambda_1 = \{(3, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1), (1^5)\}$ . This implies that  $\dim_K D^{(3,1,1)} = 6$ ,  $\dim_K D^{(2,1,1,1)} = 4$  and  $\dim_K D^{(1^5)} = 1$ . Then

$$\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_0 - \Lambda_1 = \{(3, 2), (2, 2, 1)\}.$$

By the definition of  $e$ -restricted tableau,  $n_{3,(3,2)} = 4$ ,  $n_{3,(2,2,1)} = 1$ . This implies that

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq \dim_K D^{(2,2,1)} \leq 4, \\ \dim_K D^{(3,2)} = 4. \end{cases}$$

Now by Theorem 3.9, we have

$$17 + 5 + 5(5 - \dim D^{(2,2,1)}) \geq 16 + (\dim D^{(2,2,1)})^2$$

Then

$$(\dim_K D^{(3,2)}, \dim_K D^{(3,1,1)}, \dim_K D^{(2,2,1)}, \dim_K D^{(2,1,1,1)}, \dim_K D^{(1^5)}).$$

must be one of the following three cases

(1) (4, 6, 1, 4, 1); (2) (4, 6, 2, 4, 1); (3) (4, 6, 3, 4, 1).

$\mathbb{Z}_3 S_5$  has two non isomorphic one-dimensional simple modules, then the dimensions is (4, 6, 1, 4, 1) and the dimension of  $\text{rad}(\mathbb{Z}_3 S_5)$  is 50. We can get that  $\dim_K I \geq 25$  by Theorem 3.9.

## 5 Semisimplicity of symmetric cellular algebras

As an another application of the results on radicals, we give some equivalent conditions for a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra to be semisimple.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional symmetric cellular algebra. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The algebra  $A$  is semisimple.*
- (2)  *$k_\lambda \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (3)  *$\{C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$  is a basis of  $A$ .*

- (4) For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exist  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , such that  $(C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{S,T}^\lambda)^2 \neq 0$ .  
(5) For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and arbitrary  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ ,  $(C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{S,T}^\lambda)^2 \neq 0$ .  
(2')  $k'_\lambda \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , where  $k'_\lambda$  is defined by  $(D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,S}^\lambda)^2 = k'_\lambda D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,S}^\lambda$ .  
(3')  $\{D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$  is a basis of  $A$ .  
(4') For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exist  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , such that  $(D_{S,T}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda)^2 \neq 0$ .  
(5') For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and arbitrary  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ ,  $(D_{S,T}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda)^2 \neq 0$ .

Proof: (2) $\implies$ (1) If  $k_\lambda \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $\text{rad } \lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  by Lemma 3.6. This implies that  $A$  is semisimple by Theorem 2.12.

(1) $\implies$ (2) Assume that there exists some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $k_\lambda = 0$ . Then it is easy to check that  $I^\lambda$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $A$ . Obviously,  $I^\lambda \neq 0$  because at least  $C_{U,V}^\lambda D_{U,V}^\lambda \neq 0$ . This implies that  $I^\lambda \subseteq \text{rad } A$ . But  $A$  is semisimple, it is a contradiction. This implies that  $k_\lambda \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

(2) $\implies$ (3) Let  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)} k_{S,T,\lambda} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda = 0$ . Take a maximal element  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ . For arbitrary  $X, Y \in M(\lambda_0)$ ,

$$C_{X,X}^{\lambda_0} D_{Y,X}^{\lambda_0} \left( \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)} k_{S,T,\lambda} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda \right) = k_{\lambda_0} \sum_{P \in M(\lambda_0)} k_{Y,P,\lambda_0} C_{X,X}^{\lambda_0} D_{P,X}^{\lambda_0} = 0.$$

This implies that  $\tau(k_{\lambda_0} \sum_{P \in M(\lambda_0)} k_{Y,P,\lambda_0} C_{X,X}^{\lambda_0} D_{P,X}^{\lambda_0}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $k_{\lambda_0} k_{Y,X,\lambda_0} = 0$ . Since  $k_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$ , then we get  $k_{Y,X,\lambda_0} = 0$ .

Repeat the process as above, we get that all the  $k_{S,T,\lambda}$  are zeros.

(3) $\implies$ (2) Because  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$  is a basis of  $A$ , we have

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)} k_{S,T,\lambda} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda.$$

For arbitrary  $\mu \in \Lambda$  and  $U, V \in M(\mu)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{U,V}^\mu D_{V,V}^\mu &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)} k_{S,T,\lambda} C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\mu D_{V,V}^\mu \\ &= k_\mu \sum_{X \in M(\mu)} k_{X,U,\mu} C_{X,U}^\mu D_{V,U}^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $k_\mu \neq 0$  since  $C_{U,V}^\mu D_{V,V}^\mu \neq 0$ . We know that  $\mu$  is arbitrary, this implies that  $k_\lambda \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

(2) $\iff$ (4) and (2) $\iff$ (5) are clear by Lemma 3.3.

By the same way, we can prove (1)  $\iff$  (2')  $\iff$  (3')  $\iff$  (4')  $\iff$  (5').  $\square$

Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $K$  the field of fractions of  $R$ . Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra and  $A_K = A \otimes_R K$ . Consider  $A$  as a subalgebra of  $A_K$ . We can construct a new cellular basis with some good property for  $A_K$  by Proposition 5.1 if  $\tau(a) = \tau(i(a))$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $K$  the field of fractions of  $R$ . Let  $A$  be a symmetric cellular algebra and  $A_K = A \otimes_R K$ . Suppose that  $\tau(a) = \tau(i(a))$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then*

$$\{C_{S,T}^\lambda = C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$$

*is a cellular basis of  $A_K$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $C_{S,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\mu = 0$ .*

Proof: Firstly, we prove that  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$  is a basis of  $A_K$ . We only need to show the elements in this set are  $K$ -linear independent.

By Lemma 3.3 and 2.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{S,T}^\lambda &= k_\lambda C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{T,S}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \\ &= k_\lambda \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(T,T,\lambda),(X,S,\lambda),(T,S,\lambda)} C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{X,S}^\lambda \\ &= k_\lambda \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} \Phi(X, T) C_{S,X}^\lambda D_{X,X}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{S_1, S_1}^\lambda \\ C_{S_1, S_2}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_1, S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_1}^\lambda \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_2}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_\lambda G_\lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & k_\lambda G_\lambda & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & k_\lambda G_\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{S_1, S_1}^\lambda D_{S_1, S_1}^\lambda \\ C_{S_1, S_2}^\lambda D_{S_2, S_2}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_1, S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda D_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_1}^\lambda D_{S_1, S_1}^\lambda \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_2}^\lambda D_{S_2, S_2}^\lambda \\ \vdots \\ C_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda D_{S_{n_\lambda}, S_{n_\lambda}}^\lambda \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $G_\lambda$  is the Gram matrix. Since  $A_K$  is semisimple, then all  $k_\lambda \neq 0$  and all  $G_\lambda$  are non-degenerate. Because  $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$  is a basis of  $A_K$  by Proposition 5.1, then

$$\{C_{S,T}^\lambda = C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$$

is a basis of  $A_K$ .

Secondly,  $i(C_{S,T}^\lambda) = C_{T,S}^\lambda$  for arbitrary  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ . Clearly, we only need to prove  $i(D_{S,T}^\lambda) = D_{T,S}^\lambda$ .

By the definition of  $\tau$ , we have  $\tau(C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{S,T}^\lambda) = 1$ . Then on one hand, since  $\tau(a) = \tau(i(a))$  for any  $a \in A$ , we have  $\tau(i(D_{S,T}^\lambda)C_{T,S}^\lambda) = 1$ . On the other hand,  $\tau(i(D_{S,T}^\lambda)C_{U,V}^\mu) = C_{V,U}^\mu D_{T,S}^\lambda = 0$  if  $(U,V,\mu) \neq (T,S,\lambda)$ . Since the dual basis is uniquely determined by  $\tau$ , then we get  $i(D_{S,T}^\lambda) = D_{T,S}^\lambda$ .

Thirdly, for arbitrary  $\mu \in \Lambda, U, V \in M(\mu)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{U,V}^\mu C_{S,T}^\lambda &= C_{U,V}^\mu C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \\
&= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(U,V,\mu),(S,S,\lambda),(X,Y,\varepsilon)} C_{X,Y}^\varepsilon D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \\
&= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\mu),(S,S,\lambda),(X,S,\lambda)} C_{X,S}^\lambda D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \\
&= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\mu),(S,S,\lambda),(X,S,\lambda)} C_{X,X}^\lambda D_{X,X}^\lambda C_{X,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \\
&= \sum_{X \in M(\lambda)} r_{(U,V,\mu),(S,S,\lambda),(X,S,\lambda)} C_{X,T}^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of  $r_{(U,V,\mu),(S,S,\lambda),(X,S,\lambda)}$  and cellular algebra,  $r_{(U,V,\mu),(S,S,\lambda),(X,S,\lambda)}$  is independent of  $T$ . Then

$$\{C_{S,T}^\lambda = C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{S,S}^\lambda C_{S,T}^\lambda D_{T,T}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$$

is a cellular basis of  $A_K$ .

Finally, for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda), U, V \in M(\mu)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{S,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\mu &= k_\lambda k_\mu C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{T,S}^\lambda C_{T,T}^\lambda C_{U,U}^\mu D_{V,U}^\mu C_{V,V}^\mu \\
&= k_\lambda k_\mu \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Lambda, X, Y \in M(\varepsilon)} r_{(T,T,\lambda),(U,U,\mu),(X,Y,\varepsilon)} C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{T,S}^\lambda C_{X,Y}^\varepsilon D_{V,U}^\mu C_{V,V}^\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.13,  $C_{S,S}^\lambda D_{T,S}^\lambda C_{X,Y}^\varepsilon D_{V,U}^\mu C_{V,V}^\mu \neq 0$  implies  $\varepsilon \geq \lambda, \varepsilon \geq \mu$ . By Definition 2.4,  $r_{(T,T,\lambda),(U,U,\mu),(X,Y,\varepsilon)} \neq 0$  implies  $\varepsilon \leq \lambda$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \mu$ . Therefore, if  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $C_{S,T}^\lambda C_{U,V}^\mu = 0$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** The author acknowledges his supervisor Prof. C.C. Xi and the support from the Research Fund of Doctor Program of Higher Education, Ministry of Education of China. He thanks Dr. Zhankui Xiao for providing the proof of item 3 of Theorem 3.9. He also acknowledges Dr. Wei Hu for many helpful conversations.

## References

- [1] R. Dipper and G. James, Representations of Hecke algebras of general linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **52**, (1986), 20-52.
- [2] J. Du and H.B. Rui, Based algebras and standard bases for quasi-hereditary algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math.Soc.*, **350**, (1998), 3207-3235.
- [3] R.M. Green, Completions of cellular algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **27**, (1999), 5349-5366.
- [4] R.M. Green, Tabular algebras and their asymptotic versions, *J. Algebra*, **252**, (2002), 27-64.
- [5] M. Geck, Hecke algebras of finite type are cellular, *Invent. math.*, **169**, (2007), 501-517.
- [6] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, Cellular algebras, *Invent. Math.*, **123**, (1996), 1-34.
- [7] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, *Lond. Math. Soc. Monographs, New series*, vol. 21, Oxford University Press, New York (2000).
- [8] G. James and A. Mathas, A  $q$ -analogue of the Jantzen-Schaper theorem, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **74**, (1997), 241-274.
- [9] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, *Invent. Math.*, **53**, (1979), 165-184.
- [10] S. Koenig and C.C. Xi, On the structure of cellular algebras. In: I. Reiten, S. Smalø and O. Solberg (Eds.): *Algebras and Modules II*. Canadian Mathematics Society Proceedings, Vol. **24**, (1998), 365-386.
- [11] S. Koenig and C.C. Xi, Cellular algebras: Inflation and Morita equivalences, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), **60**, (1999), 700-722.
- [12] S. Koenig and C.C. Xi, A characteristic-free approach to Brauer algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353**, (2001), 1489-1505.
- [13] S. Koenig and C.C. Xi, Affine cellular algebras, preprint.
- [14] G.I. Lehrer and R.B. Zhang, A Temperley-Lieb analogue for the BMW algebra, *arXiv:math/0806068v1*.

- [15] A. Mathas, Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group, Univ. Lecture Series, **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [16] G. Malle and A. Mathas, Symmetric cyclotomic Hecke algebras, J. Algebra, **205**, (1998), 275-293.
- [17] E. Murphy, The representations of Hecke algebras of type  $A_n$ , J. Algebra, **173**, (1995), 97–121.
- [18] H.B. Rui and C.C. Xi, The representation theory of cyclotomic Temperley-Lieb algebras, Comment. Math. Helv., **79**, no.2, (2004), 427-450.
- [19] B.W. Westbury, Invariant tensors and cellular categories, J. Algebra (2008), doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.07.004.
- [20] C.C. Xi, Partition algebras are cellular Compositio math., **119**, (1999), 99-109.
- [21] C.C. Xi, On the quasi-heredity of Birman-Wenzl algebras, Adv. Math., **154**, (2000), 280-298.
- [22] C.C. Xi and D.J. Xiang, Cellular algebras and Cartan matrices, Linear Alg. and Appl., **365**, (2003), 369-388.