

Pseudo-Power Avoidance

Ehsan Chiniforooshan Lila Kari Zhi Xu

The University of Western Ontario,
 Department of Computer Science,
 Middlesex College, London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7
 {ehsan,lila,zhi_xu}@csd.uwo.ca

September 6, 2018

Abstract

Repetition avoidance has been studied since Thue’s work. In this paper, we considered another type of repetition, which is called pseudo-power. This concept is inspired by Watson-Crick complementarity in DNA sequence and is defined over an antimorphic involution ϕ . We first classify the alphabet Σ and the antimorphic involution ϕ , under which there exists sufficiently long pseudo- k th-power-free words. Then we present algorithms to test whether a finite word w is pseudo- k th-power-free.

1 Introduction

Let Σ be an alphabet. The set of finite words and infinite words over Σ are denoted by Σ^* and Σ^ω , respectively. Word v is called a *factor* of x if $x = uvw$ for some words u and w . A nonempty word w is called a *square* if w can be written as $w = uu$ for some $u \in \Sigma^*$, and is called a *cube* if w can be written as $w = uuu$ for some $u \in \Sigma^*$. For example, the English word “murmur” is a square. More generally, for an integer $k \geq 2$, a nonempty word w is called a *k th power* if $w = u^k$ for some $u \in \Sigma^*$. A word w is called *square-free* (respectively, *cube-free*, *k th-power-free*) if w does not contain any square (respectively, cube, k th power) as a factor. In the early 1900’s, Thue showed in a series of papers examples of square-free infinite words over 3 letters and 4 letters respectively and cube-free infinite word over binary alphabet [20, 21] (see [2] for English translation of Thue’s work). In 1921, Morse [17] independently discovered Thue’s construction. In 1957, Leech [14] showed another construction of square-free infinite word, which is generated by morphism. In 1995, Yu [22] showed square-free infinite words that cannot be generated by any morphism.

Let $|w|_a$ be the total number of letter a that appear in the word w , and let $|w| = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} |w|_a$ for $w \in \Sigma^*$. A nonempty word w is called an *abelian square* if w is in the form $w = u_1u_2$ such that $|u_1|_a = |u_2|_a$ for each letter a . For example, the English word

“teammate” is an abelian square. Analogously, $w\Sigma^*$ is called an *abelian cube* if $w = u_1u_2u_3$, where $|u_1|_a = |u_2|_a = |u_3|_a$ for each $a \in \Sigma$, and called an *abelian k th power* if $w = u_1u_2 \cdots u_k$, where integer $k \geq 2$, $|u_i|_a = |u_j|_a$ for $a \in \Sigma$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. A word w is called *abelian-square-free* (respectively, *abelian-cube-free*, *abelian- k th-power-free*) if w contains no abelian square (respectively, abelian cube, abelian k th power) as a factor. In 1957, Erdős [7] asked whether there exists an abelian-square-free infinite word. The construction of such words were given by Pleasants [18] in 1970 over 5 letters and by Keränen [10] in 1992 over 4 letters. Most recently, Keränen [11] presented a great many new abelian-square-free infinite words. In 1979, Dekking [6] discussed abelian- k th-power-free infinite words for $k \geq 3$.

The discussion on k th power is related to biology. Repeats of certain segments in human DNA sequences may predict certain disease [16]. A variation on the k th power, called pseudo k th power, is defined by antimorphic involutions, which are generalizations of the famous Watson-Crick complementarity function in biology. Other concepts in combinatorics on words have been generalized in the setting of antimorphic involutions, such as pseudo-primitivity [5] and pseudo-palindrome [9]. A variation on the concept of the pseudo k th power has also appeared in tiling problems (see [1, 3]), where the function involved is a morphism other than an antimorphism.

In this paper, we will discuss the word that does not contain any pseudo k th power as a factor. Here the pseudo power is defined by antimorphic involutions. In Section 2, we will introduce the concept of pseudo-power-free words and discuss the existence of such words over different setting of alphabet and antimorphic involutions. In Section 3, we will discuss the algorithms for the decision problem of pseudo-power-freeness. At the end, we will summarize the results and present open problems.

2 Pseudo-power-free infinite words

Without loss of generality, in the following discussion we always assume the letters are $0, 1, 2, \dots$. The empty word is denoted by ϵ . A function $\theta : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ is called an *involution* if $\theta(\theta(w)) = w$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$, and called an *antimorphism* (respectively, *morphism*) if $\theta(uv) = \theta(v)\theta(u)$ (respectively, $\theta(uv) = \theta(u)\theta(v)$). We call θ an *antimorphic involution* if θ is both an involution and an antimorphism. For example, the classic Watson-Crick complementarity in biology is an antimorphic involution over four letters. The *mirror image* is the function of reversing a word as defined by $\text{Mir}(a_1a_2 \cdots a_n) = a_n \cdots a_2a_1$. Then the mirror image over any alphabet is also an antimorphic involution. A *transposition* (a, b) is a morphism that is defined by $(a, b)(a) = b, (a, b)(b) = a, (a, b)(c) = c$ for $c \neq a, c \neq b, a < b, a, b, c \in \Sigma$. One can verify that the mirror image and any transposition commute, and two transpositions (a_1, a_2) and (b_1, b_2) commute for $a_i \neq b_j, i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. By definitions, every antimorphic involution on the alphabet is a permutation of the letters. Furthermore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let θ be an antimorphic involution over the alphabet Σ . Then θ can be*

uniquely written as the composition of transpositions with a mirror image

$$\theta = (a_0, a_1) \cdot (a_2, a_3) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1}) \cdot \text{Mir} \quad (1)$$

up to changing the order of composition, where $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $m \geq 0$.

Proof. First we show that $\theta(a)$ is a single letter for any letter a . By definitions, $\theta(w) = \theta(\epsilon w) = \theta(\epsilon)\theta(w)$, so $\theta(\epsilon) = \epsilon$. For any $a \in \Sigma$, since $\theta(\theta(a)) = a$, we have $|\theta(a)| > 0$ and $|\theta(a)| < 2$. Hence $|\theta(a)| = 1$ for any $a \in \Sigma$.

Now we prove the existence of the decomposition in Eq. (1) for θ by induction on the size of the alphabet. If $|\Sigma| = 1$, then $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(0^m) = 0^m = \text{Mir}(0^m)$. So $\theta = \text{Mir}$ and Eq. (1) holds. If $|\Sigma| = 2$, then either $\theta(0) = 0$ or $\theta(0) = 1$. One can verify that $\theta = \text{Mir}$ when $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$ when $\theta(0) = 1$. Suppose Eq. (1) holds for any $|\Sigma| < n$. For $|\Sigma| = n \geq 3$, either $\theta(0) = 0$ or $\theta(0) = a$ for some $a \neq 0, a \in \Sigma$. If $\theta(0) = 0$, then by induction hypothesis the restriction of θ on alphabet $\Sigma \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as $(a_0, a_1) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}$. So $\theta = (a_0, a_1) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}$ in this case. If $\theta(0) = a$, then $\theta(a) = 0$. By induction hypothesis, the restriction of θ on alphabet $\Sigma \setminus \{0, a\}$ can be written as $(a_0, a_1) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}$. So $\theta = (0, a) \cdot (a_0, a_1) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}$. Therefore, Eq. (1) holds.

To show the uniqueness of the form in Eq. (1), we first notice that every transposition is the inverse of itself. Assume there is another decomposition $\theta = (b_0, b_1) \cdot (b_2, b_3) \cdots (b_{2n-2}, b_{2n-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}$, where $b_i \neq b_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $\theta(b_0) = b_1$ and thus there is some $(a_p, a_{p+1}) = (b_0, b_1)$. Since $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$, the order of composition in Eq. (1) can be arbitrarily changed. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (b_2, b_3) \cdots (b_{2n-2}, b_{2n-1}) \cdot \text{Mir} \\ &= (b_0, b_1) \cdot \theta \\ &= (a_0, a_1) \cdots (a_{p-2}, a_{p-1}) \cdot (a_{p+2}, a_{p+3}) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this procedure, it follows that $\text{Mir} = (a'_0, a'_1) \cdots (a'_{2m'-2}, a'_{2m'-1}) \cdot \text{Mir}$. By the construction of $\{a_i\}$, however, we know $a'_i \neq a'_j$ for $i \neq j$. So $m' = 0$, since otherwise $\text{Mir}(a'_0) = a'_1 \neq a'_0$. Therefore, $m = n$ and $\{(b_0, b_1), \dots, (b_{2n-2}, b_{2n-1})\} = \{(a_0, a_1), \dots, (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1})\}$. \square

For any antimorphic involution θ over Σ , we define

$$\text{Idt}(\theta) = \{a \in \Sigma : \theta(a) = a\}, \quad \text{Trn}(\theta) = \{a \in \Sigma : \theta(a) > a\}.$$

The following proposition follows directly from Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. *Let θ be an antimorphic involution over the alphabet Σ . Then θ can be written as the composition of $|\text{Trn}(\theta)|$ distinct transpositions with a mirror image, and*

$$|\text{Idt}(\theta)| + 2|\text{Trn}(\theta)| = |\Sigma|. \quad (2)$$

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 1, θ can be written as $\theta = (a_0, a_1) \cdot (a_2, a_3) \cdots (a_{2m-2}, a_{2m-1})$. Mir, where $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then $\text{Idt}(\theta) = \Sigma \setminus \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2m-1}\}$ and $\text{Trn}(\theta) = \{a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-2}\}$. So $m = |\text{Trn}(\theta)|$ and Eq. (2) holds. \square

For integer k and antimorphism θ , we call word w a *pseudo k th power* (with respect to θ) if w can be written as $w = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$, where either $u_i = u_j$ or $u_i = \theta(u_j)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. In particular, we call pseudo 2nd power by *pseudo square*, and pseudo 3rd power by *pseudo cube*. For example, over the alphabet $\{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{G}\}$ (here we use the conventional symbols instead of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$) with respect to the Watson-Crick complementarity ($\mathbf{A} \mapsto \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T} \mapsto \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C} \mapsto \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G} \mapsto \mathbf{C}$), \mathbf{ACGCGT} is a pseudo square and \mathbf{ACGTAC} is a pseudo cube. By definitions, every pseudo k th power is an abelian k th power with respect to the mirror image, and every k th power is a pseudo k th power (with respect to any antimorphic involution on the same alphabet). A word w is called *pseudo- k th-power-free* (respectively, *pseudo-square-free*, *pseudo-cube-free*) if w cannot be written as $w = uvx$ where v is a pseudo k th power (respectively, pseudo square, pseudo cube).

In the remaining of this section, we will discuss the following problem: is there a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over Σ with respect to θ ? The discussion on pseudo-power-free words is related to power-free words and abelian-power-free words in the sense of the following lemmas.

Lemma 3. *If l is the minimal size of alphabet over which there is a k th-power-free infinite word, then*

- (1) *there is no pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over $l - 1$ or less letters; and*
- (2) *there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over l' letters with respect to θ , where $|\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq l$.*

Proof. (1) If l is the minimal size of alphabet over which there is a k th-power-free infinite word, then for any $l'' < l$ there is an integer N such that any word of length greater than N over l'' letters contains a k th-power. Since a k th-power is a pseudo- k th-power (with respect to any antimorphic involution), any word of length greater than N over l'' letters contains a pseudo- k th-power with respect to θ .

(2) Let θ be an antimorphic involution on l' letters such that $|\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq l$. We choose $\Sigma' \subseteq \text{Trn}(\theta)$ such that $|\Sigma'| = l$. Then there is an infinite word w over Σ' such that w is k th-power-free. Now we claim that w is also pseudo- k th-power-free over l' letters with respect to θ . Suppose w contains a pseudo- k th-power. Then $w = x u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k y$, where either $u_i = u_j$ or $u_i = \theta(u_j)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. For any $a \in \Sigma'$, by definition, $\theta(a) > a$ and $\theta(\theta(a)) = a < \theta(a)$. So $\theta(a) \notin \Sigma'$ and thus $\theta(u_i)$ is not a word over Σ' for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $u_i = u_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$ is a normal k th-power, which contradicts the fact that w is k th-power-free. Therefore, w is pseudo- k th-power-free with respect to θ . \square

Lemma 4. *If there is an abelian- k th-power-free infinite word over l letters, then there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over $2l - 1$ letters with respect to arbitrary antimorphic involution θ .*

Proof. By Eq. (2) in Proposition 2, it follows that $|\text{Trn}(\theta)| < l$ and thus $|\text{Idt}(\theta)| + |\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq l$. We choose $\Sigma' \subseteq \text{Idt}(\theta) \cup \text{Trn}(\theta)$ such that $|\Sigma'| = l$. Then there is an infinite word w over Σ' such that w is abelian- k th-power-free. Now we claim that w is also pseudo- k th-power-free over $2l - 1$ letters with respect to θ .

Suppose $w = xu_1u_2 \cdots u_k y$ contains a pseudo- k th-power, where either $u_i = u_1$ or $u_i = \theta(u_1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then either u_1 is a word over $\text{Idt}(\theta)$ or u_1 contains at least one letter from $\text{Trn}(\theta)$. If $u_1 \in \text{Idt}(\theta)^*$, then $\theta(u_1) = \text{Mir}(u_1)$. So $u_1u_2 \cdots u_k$ is an abelian k th power, which contradicts the fact that w is abelian- k th-power-free. Otherwise, u_1 contains at least one letter from $\text{Trn}(\theta)$, say a . Then since $\theta(a) > a$ and $\theta(\theta(a)) = a < \theta(a)$, we have $\theta(a) \notin \Sigma' \subseteq \text{Idt}(\theta) \cup \text{Trn}(\theta)$. So $u_i = u_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and thus w contains a k th power, which again contradicts the fact that w is abelian- k th-power-free.

Therefore, w is pseudo- k th-power-free with respect to θ . \square

Lemma 5. *If there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over Σ with respect to θ , then there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over Σ' with respect to θ' , where $|\text{Trn}(\theta')| \geq |\text{Trn}(\theta)|$ and $|\text{Idt}(\theta')| + |\text{Trn}(\theta')| \geq |\text{Idt}(\theta)| + |\text{Trn}(\theta)|$.*

Proof. We choose $\Sigma_1 \subseteq \text{Trn}(\theta')$ such that $|\Sigma_1| = |\text{Trn}(\theta)|$. Since $|\text{Idt}(\theta')| + |\text{Trn}(\theta')| - |\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq |\text{Idt}(\theta)|$, we can choose $\Sigma_2 \subseteq \text{Idt}(\theta') \cup \text{Trn}(\theta') \setminus \Sigma_1$ such that $|\Sigma_2| = |\text{Idt}(\theta)|$. Define $\Sigma'' = \Sigma_1 \cup \{\theta'(a) : a \in \Sigma_1\} \cup \Sigma_2$, and define antimorphic involution θ'' by $\theta''(a) = a$, $\theta''(b) = \theta'(b)$ for $a \in \Sigma_2, b \in \Sigma_1 \cup \{\theta'(a) : a \in \Sigma_1\}$. Then $|\Sigma''| = |\Sigma|$, $\text{Idt}(\theta'') = |\Sigma_2| = |\text{Idt}(\theta)|$ and $\text{Trn}(\theta'') = |\Sigma_1| = |\text{Trn}(\theta)|$. So θ and θ'' are identical up to renaming of the letters. There is a word w over Σ'' such that w is pseudo- k th-power-free with respect to θ'' . We claim w is also pseudo- k th-power-free over Σ' with respect to θ' .

Suppose $w = xu_1u_2 \cdots u_k y$ contains a pseudo- k th-power, where either $u_i = u_1$ or $u_i = \theta'(u_1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then either u_1 is a word over $\Sigma_1 \cup \{\theta'(a) : a \in \Sigma_1\} \cup (\Sigma_2 \cap \text{Idt}(\theta'))$ or u_1 contains at least one letter from $\Sigma_2 \cap (\text{Trn}(\theta') \setminus \Sigma_1)$. In the former case, $\theta''(u_1) = \theta'(u_1)$ and thus w contains a pseudo- k th-power with respect to θ'' , which contradicts to the pseudo- k th-power-freeness of w . In the latter case, we assume u_1 contains $a \in \Sigma_2 \cap (\text{Trn}(\theta') \setminus \Sigma_1)$. One can verify that $\theta'(a) \notin \Sigma''$, so $u_i \neq \theta'(u_1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence w contains a k th-power $u_1u_2 \cdots u_k$, which again contradicts the pseudo- k th-power-freeness of w .

Therefore, w is pseudo- k th-power-free over Σ' with respect to θ' . \square

2.1 Pseudo-square-free infinite words

First we consider pseudo-square-free infinite words. Since every binary word of length greater than 3 contains squares, there is no square-free infinite word over 2 letters. By Keränen's construction of abelian-square-free infinite words, there exist pseudo-square-free infinite words over 4 letters with respect to the mirror image. Furthermore, we have the following result.

Proposition 6. *For 3-letter-alphabet, pseudo-square-free infinite word exists with respect to mirror image and does not exist with any other antimorphic involution.*

Proof. There are two kinds of antimorphic involutions over 3 letters: θ is either the mirror image or a transposition composed with the mirror image.

Suppose θ is the mirror image. Then the following morphism l given by Leech [14] preserves square-freeness and presents an infinite word $l^\omega(0)$, which is pseudo-square-free with respect to the mirror image:

$$\begin{aligned} l(0) &= 0121021201210, \\ l(1) &= 1202102012021, \\ l(2) &= 2010210120102. \end{aligned}$$

To see $w = l^\omega(0)$ contains no pseudo square, first we observe that w contains no square. If w contains pseudo square of the form u_1u_2 such that $u_1 = \theta(u_2)$, then $u_1u_2 = u_2^R u_2$ contains a square of length 2 in the middle. Since w is square free, w does not contain pseudo square.

Now suppose θ is a transposition composed with the mirror image. Without loss of generality, we assume $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$. We prove no pseudo-square-free infinite word exists in this setting. Suppose w is a pseudo-square-free infinite word. A pseudo-square-free word (with respect to θ) cannot contain 00, 11, 22, 01, 10, 2012, 2102. So w is either in $(02 + 12)^\omega$ or in $(20 + 21)^\omega$. If we omit all symbol 2 in w , the new infinite word should also be pseudo-square-free. But the new word is over $\{0, 1\}$ and every binary infinite word contains pseudo squares, which is a contradiction. So there is no pseudo-square-free infinite word over 3 letters (with respect to a transposition composed with the mirror image). \square

There is another way to show the non-existence of pseudo-square-free infinite word over 3 letters with respect to $(0, 1)$. We use computer to find the longest pseudo-square-free word, if any. Starting from empty word ϵ , if a word is pseudo-square-free, then we extend the word by adding a new letter 0 at the end; otherwise, we do back-tracking and try the next letter. In other words, we do a depth-first-search in a labeled tree, where each node presents a finite word. Such tree is called *trie* and application of similar technique has been appeared in the literatures (for example, see [19]). In the case of 3 letters and $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$, the tree is finite and all pseudo-square-free words are enumerated. There are in total 91 nodes, including 61 leaves. The tree is of depth 8 and one of the longest pseudo-square-free words is 0212021.

Theorem 7. *There is no pseudo-square-free infinite word over k letters for $k \leq 2$, and there is a pseudo-square-free infinite word over k letters for $k \geq 5$, with respect to arbitrary antimorphic involution. For $3 \leq k \leq 4$, the existence of pseudo-square-free infinite words depends on the antimorphic involution.*

Proof. (1) Since 3 is the minimal size of alphabet over which there is a square-free infinite word, by Lemma 3, there is no pseudo-square-free infinite word over k letters for $k \leq 2$ and there is pseudo-square-free infinite word with respect to θ for $\text{Trn}(\theta) \geq 3$.

(2) Since there exists an abelian-square-free infinite word over 4 letters, by Lemma 4, there is a pseudo-square-free infinite word over 7 or more letters.

(3) By Proposition 6, over 3 letters, there is a pseudo-square-free infinite word with respect to mirror image, where $|\text{Idt}(\text{Mir})| = 3, |\text{Trn}(\text{Mir})| = 0$, and there is no pseudo-square-free infinite word with respect to other antimorphic involution. So by Lemma 5, there is a pseudo-square-free infinite word with respect to θ such that $|\text{Idt}(\theta)| + |\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq 3$.

Table 1: The existence of pseudo-square-free infinite words

$ \Sigma $	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 0$	\times_1	\times_1	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 1$	—	\times_1	\times_3	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 2$	—	—	—	open	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 3$	—	—	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 4$	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$

The result is summarized in Table 1, where the subscription presents which situation of the (1), (2), (3) the case falls in. \square

It is still a open problem that whether there exists a pseudo-square-free infinite word over 4 letters with respect to antimorphic involution ϕ , where $\text{Trn}(\phi) = 2$. Experimental computation shows that there are long pseudo-square-free words in the setting, but we don't have proof of the existence of arbitrarily long pseudo-square-free words. But if there are, they must satisfy certain conditions as in the following proposition.

Proposition 8. *w is an pseudo-square-free infinite word over 4 letters with respect to ϕ , where $\text{Trn}(\phi) = 2$, $\phi(a) = b$, $\phi(c) = d$, $a, b, c, d \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, if and only if $w \in ((a + b)(c + d))^\omega + ((c + d)(a + b))^\omega$ and w is square free.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”. Since w is pseudo-square-free, w is square free. Furthermore, any word in $(a + b)^2 + (c + d)^2$ is a pseudo square, so the letters in w must appear alternatively from $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\}$. Hence $w \in ((a + b)(c + d))^\omega + ((c + d)(a + b))^\omega$.

“ \Leftarrow ”. Suppose w contains a pseudo square. Since w is square free, it must be the case that $w = ux\phi(x)u$. By the definition of antimorphism involution, the last letter of x and the first letter of $\phi(x)$ are either both from $\{a, b\}$ or both from $\{c, d\}$, which contradicts the fact $w \in ((a + b)(c + d))^\omega + ((c + d)(a + b))^\omega$. \square

2.2 Other pseudo-power-free infinite words

Now we consider pseudo-cube-free infinite words. By Dekking's construction of abelian-cube-free infinite words, there exist pseudo-cube-free infinite words over 3 letters with respect to the mirror image. The case over unary alphabet is trivial. For binary alphabet, we have the following result.

Proposition 9. *Pseudo-cube-free infinite word does not exist over binary alphabet with any antimorphic involution.*

Proof. There are two kinds of antimorphic involutions over binary alphabet: we have either $\theta = \text{Mir}$ or $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$.

Suppose $\theta = \text{Mir}$. Again, we use computer to find the longest pseudo-cube-free word, if any. Starting from empty word ϵ , if a word is pseudo-cube-free, then we extend the word by

appending 0; otherwise, we do back-tracking and try the next letter. The resulted depth-first-search tree is finite. There are in total 171 nodes, including 86 leaves. The tree is of depth 10 and one of the longest pseudo-cube-free words is 001101100.

Suppose $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$. Similarly, we verified by computer that there are only finitely many pseudo-cube-free words. There are in total 15 nodes, including 8 leaves. The tree is of depth 3 and one of the longest pseudo-cube-free words is 00. In fact, any word in this setting is a pseudo power. \square

Proposition 10. *There is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word over 3 letters with any antimorphic involution.*

Proof. There are two kinds of antimorphic involutions over 3 letters: θ is either the mirror image or a transposition composed with the mirror image.

Suppose θ is the mirror image. The following morphism d_3 given by Dekking [6] presents an abelian-cube-free infinite word $d_3^\omega(0)$ over 3 letters, which is also pseudo-cube-free:

$$\begin{aligned}d_3(0) &= 0012, \\d_3(1) &= 112, \\d_3(2) &= 022.\end{aligned}$$

So there is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word $d_3^\omega(0)$ over 3 letters with respect to mirror image.

Now suppose θ is a transposition composed with the mirror image. Without loss of generality, we assume $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$. Consider the following morphism:

$$\begin{aligned}t(0) &= 021, \\t(1) &= 120, \\t(2) &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

One can verify that the word $z = t^\omega(0) = 02121202120202121202021 \cdots$ is the Thue-Morse sequence [21] with letter 2 inserted between every two consecutive letters. Now we prove that z is pseudo-cube-free. Suppose $z = xw_1w_2w_3y$ contains a pseudo-cube $w_1w_2w_3$ with $|w_1| = |w_2| = |w_3|$. Either the last letter of w_1 or the first letter of w_2 is 2, but not both. Since $\theta(2) = 2$, we have $w_1 \neq \theta(w_2)$. So $w_1 = w_2$. By the same reason, $w_2 = w_3$. Then the length of $w_1 = w_2 = w_3$ must be even. Otherwise, either the first letter of w_1 or the first letter of w_2 is 2, but not both, and thus we have $w_1 \neq w_2$. Now since $|w_1| = |w_2| = |w_3|$ is even, we can omit the letter 2 from each word and get new words w'_1, w'_2, w'_3 such that $w'_1 = w'_2 = w'_3$ and $w'_1w'_2w'_3$ is a factor of the Thue-Morse sequence, which contradicts the fact that Thue-Morse sequence is cube-free. Therefore, $z = t^\omega(0)$ is pseudo-cube-free with respect to $(0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$ over 3 letters. \square

Theorem 11. *There is no pseudo-cube-free infinite word over k letters for $k \leq 2$, and there is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word over k letters for $k \geq 3$, with respect to arbitrary antimorphic involution.*

Table 2: The existence of pseudo-cube-free infinite words

$ \Sigma $	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 0$	\times_1	\times_3	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 1$	—	\times_3	\sqrt_3	\sqrt_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 2$	—	—	—	\sqrt_1	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 3$	—	—	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 4$	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$

Proof. (1) Since 2 is the minimal size of alphabet over which there is a cube-free infinite word, by Lemma 3, there is no pseudo-cube-free infinite word over k letters for $k \leq 1$ and there is pseudo-cube-free infinite word with respect to θ for $\text{Trn}(\theta) \geq 2$.

(2) There is an abelian-cube-free infinite word $d_3^\omega(0)$ over 3 letters. By Lemma 4, there is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word over 5 or more letters.

(3) By Proposition 9, there is no pseudo-cube-free infinite word over binary alphabet. By Proposition 10, there is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word over 3 letters. In particular, there is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word over 3 letters with respect to mirror image, where $|\text{Idt}(\text{Mir})| = 3, |\text{Trn}(\text{Mir})| = 0$. So by Lemma 5, there is a pseudo-cube-free infinite word with respect to θ such that $|\text{Idt}(\theta)| + |\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq 3$.

The result is summarized in Table 2, where the subscription presents which situation of the (1), (2), (3) the case falls in. \square

We now discuss other pseudo- k th-power-free infinite words with $k \geq 4$. Every word over a single letter is a power. So the unary case is trivial and no X-free infinite word exists for X being either k th-power, or abelian- k th-power, or pseudo- k th-power.

Theorem 12. *For any integer $k \geq 4$, there is no pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over l letters for $l \leq 1$, and there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over l letters for $l \geq 3$, with respect to arbitrary antimorphic involution. For binary alphabet, the existence of pseudo- k th-power-free infinite words depends on the antimorphic involution.*

Proof. (1) There is no pseudo-power-free infinite words over unary alphabet. Since there is a k th-power-free infinite word over binary alphabet, by Lemma 3, there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word with respect to θ for $\text{Trn}(\theta) \geq 2$.

(2) There exists abelian-4th-power-free infinite word over binary alphabet, such as the following construction by Dekking [6] $w = d_4^\omega(0)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} d_4(0) &= 011, \\ d_4(1) &= 0001. \end{aligned}$$

So there exists an abelian- k th-power-free infinite word w over binary alphabet for any integer $k \geq 4$. By Lemma 4, there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word over 3 or more letters.

(3) That infinite word $w = d_4^\omega(0)$ is also a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word for $k \geq 4$ over binary alphabet with respect to the mirror image, where $|\text{Idt}(\text{Mir})| = 2, |\text{Trn}(\text{Mir})| =$

Table 3: The existence of pseudo- k th-power-free infinite words for integer $k \geq 4$

$ \Sigma $	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 0$	\times_1	\sqrt_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 1$	—	\times_3	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$	$\sqrt_{2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 2$	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 3$	—	—	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$
$\text{Trn}(\theta) = 4$	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	$\sqrt_{1,2,3}$

0. So by Lemma 5, there is a pseudo- k th-power-free infinite word for $k \geq 4$ with respect to θ such that $|\text{Idt}(\theta)| + |\text{Trn}(\theta)| \geq 2$. If $\theta = (0, 1) \cdot \text{Mir}$ over binary alphabet, then any word is a pseudo power.

The result is summarized in Table 3, where the subscription presents which situation of the (1), (2), (3) the case falls in. \square

3 Testing pseudo-power-freeness of words

In this section, we will discuss the following problem: given a finite word w and integer $k \geq 2$, does w contain any pseudo- k th-power as a factor? First, we will discuss the general algorithm for arbitrary k .

3.1 General algorithm for arbitrary k th pseudo-power

The naïve algorithm runs in $O(N^3)$ time to decide whether w contains any pseudo- k th-power as a factor. The idea is that we check each possible candidate factors u of w to see whether u is a pseudo- k th-power. There are $O(N^2)$ factors and check whether a word is a pseudo k th power can be done with $O(N)$ comparisons of letters.

Here we describe an $O(n^2 \lg n)$ -time algorithm to decide whether an input string w of length n contains a k -th pseudo-power of a word or not. Our algorithm has three steps: in the first step, it constructs an $n \times n \times \log n \times 2$ zero-one matrix A such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j,k,0} &= 1 \text{ iff } w[i..i+2^k-1] = w[j..j+2^k-1], \\ A_{i,j,k,1} &= 1 \text{ iff } w[i..i+2^k-1] = \phi(w[j..j+2^k-1]). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using A , the algorithm constructs a set of binary strings

$$\{s_i : 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, |s_i| = n - 2i + 1, s_i[\ell] = 1 \text{ iff } w[\ell.. \ell + 2i - 1] \text{ is a pseudo-square}\}.$$

Having s_i 's, it is easy to find a pseudo- k th-power, if there exists any.

Lemma 13. *Given $\{s_i : 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ and k as inputs, there is an algorithm with time linear to $\sum |s_i|$ that finds all pseudo- k th-powers in w .*

Proof. In linear time, for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, we will break the string s_i into i strings $s_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,i}$ such that $s_{i,j}$ consists of the characters at positions $j, j+i, j+2i, \dots$ in s_i . This can be done trivially in linear-time.

Now, observe that there is a pseudo- k -th-power in w starting at position x of length $k \times y$ if and only if $s_{y, (x-1 \bmod y)+1}$ has $k-1$ consecutive 1s starting at position $\lceil x/y \rceil$. \square

Our method is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: PSEUDO-POWER-FREENESS(w, k)

```

Initial  $A$  to a zero matrix;
for all  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  do
    if  $w[i] = w[j]$  then  $A_{i,j,0,0} \leftarrow 1$ ;
    if  $w[i] = \phi(w[j])$  then  $A_{i,j,0,1} \leftarrow 1$ ;
end
1 for  $k = 1 \dots \lfloor \lg n \rfloor$  do
    for all  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2^k + 1$  do
        if  $A_{i,j,k-1,0} = 1$  and  $A_{i+2^{k-1}, j+2^{k-1}, k-1, 0} = 1$  then  $A_{i,j,k,0} \leftarrow 1$ ;
        if  $A_{i,j+2^{k-1}, k-1, 1} = 1$  and  $A_{i+2^{k-1}, j, k-1, 0} = 1$  then  $A_{i,j,k,1} \leftarrow 1$ ;
    end
2 for all  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  do
     $s_i \leftarrow 0^{n-2i}$ ;
    for  $1 \leq \ell \leq n - 2i$  do
        Let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_I$  be distinct integers such that  $i = \sum_{x=1}^I 2^{i_x}$ ;
        if  $A_{\ell + \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x}, \ell + i + \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x}, i_y, 0} = 1$  for all  $y = 1, 2, \dots, I$  then  $s_i[\ell] \leftarrow 1$ ;
        if  $A_{\ell + \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x}, \ell + 2i - \sum_{x=1}^y 2^{i_x}, i_y, 1} = 1$  for all  $y = 1, 2, \dots, I$  then  $s_i[\ell] \leftarrow 1$ ;
    end
3 for all  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  do
    Break  $s_i$  into  $i$  strings  $s_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,i}$  as described in Lemma 13;
    if there exists  $1 \leq j \leq i$  such that  $s_{i,j}$  contains  $k-1$  consecutive 1s then return
    NO;
return YES;

```

Theorem 14. Algorithm 1 runs in time $O(n^2 \lg n)$ and returns YES if and only if w does not have any pseudo- k -th-power as a substring.

Proof. The running time of block 1 and 2 is $O(n^2 \lg n)$ and block 3 runs in $O(n^2)$ as explained in Lemma 13.

As for the correctness, it is enough to show that, after block 1 and 2, the matrix A and the set of strings $\{s_i : 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ have the values that they are supposed to have; i.e.

$$A_{i,j,k,0} = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad w[i..i+2^k-1] = w[j..j+2^k-1], \quad (3)$$

$$A_{i,j,k,1} = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad w[i..i+2^k-1] = \phi(w[j..j+2^k-1]), \quad (4)$$

and

$$\forall i, \ell \text{ s.t. } 1 \leq \ell \leq i : s_i[\ell] = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad w[\ell .. \ell + 2i - 1] \text{ is a pseudo-square.} \quad (5)$$

To prove that (1) holds, we use induction on k . For $k = 0$ (1) holds because of the initialization before block 1. Assuming that (1) holds for $k = 0, 1, \dots, k'$, it is easy to see that (1) holds for $k' + 1$: $w[i \dots i + 2^{k'+1} - 1] = w[j \dots j + 2^{k'+1} - 1]$ if and only if $w[i \dots i + 2^{k'} - 1] = w[j \dots j + 2^{k'} - 1]$ and $w[i + 2^{k'} \dots i + 2^{k'+1} - 1] = w[j + 2^{k'} \dots j + 2^{k'+1} - 1]$.

Proving (2) is similar to proving (1).

For (3), note that

1. $w[\ell .. \ell + 2i - 1]$ is a pseudo-square if and only if $w[\ell .. \ell + i - 1] = w[\ell + i .. \ell + 2i - 1]$ or $w[\ell .. \ell + i - 1] = \phi(w[\ell + i .. \ell + 2i - 1])$.
2. $w[\ell .. \ell + i - 1] = w[\ell + i .. \ell + 2i - 1]$ if and only if $w[\ell + \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x} .. \ell + \sum_{x=1}^y 2^{i_x} - 1] = w[\ell + i + \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x} .. \ell + i + \sum_{x=1}^y 2^{i_x} - 1]$ for all $y = 1, 2, \dots, I$, where i_1, i_2, \dots, i_I are distinct integers such that $i = \sum_{x=1}^I 2^{i_x}$.
3. $w[\ell .. \ell + i - 1] = \phi(w[\ell + i .. \ell + 2i - 1])$ if and only if $w[\ell + \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x} .. \ell + \sum_{x=1}^y 2^{i_x} - 1] = \phi(w[\ell + 2i - \sum_{x=1}^y 2^{i_x} .. \ell + 2i - \sum_{x=1}^{y-1} 2^{i_x} - 1])$ for all $y = 1, 2, \dots, I$, where i_1, i_2, \dots, i_I are distinct integers such that $i = \sum_{x=1}^I 2^{i_x}$. \square

In the following subsection, we consider, for fixed small k , whether a given word w is pseudo- k th-power-free.

3.2 Testing pseudo-square-freeness

Theorem 15. *To decide whether a word w contains a pseudo-square as a factor can be done in linear time.*

Proof. Let $N = |w|$. A word w contains a pseudo-square if and only if w contains a square or a word of the form $w\phi(w)$.

To check whether w contains a square can be done in linear time. There are a few works in the literatures on testing square-freeness in linear time [4, 15].

To check whether w contains a word of the form $u\phi(u)$, it is enough to check whether w contains a word $a\phi(a)$ for a letter a . To see this, if w contains $u\phi(u)$, then let a be the right-most letter of u and w contains $a\phi(a)$; for the other direction, word $a\phi(a)$ itself is a pseudo-square.

The algorithm is illustrated in Algorithm 2. It is obvious that the algorithm is linear. \square

3.3 Testing pseudo-cube-freeness

Before we show a cubic time algorithm for the pseudo-cube-freeness of a word, we first introduce some concepts. Let $w = w[1 .. N]$ be a finite word over Σ and let ϕ be an antimorphic

Algorithm 2: Decide whether w is pseudo-square-free in linear time

Input: a word $w = w[1..N]$.
Output: “YES” if w is pseudo-square-free; “NO” otherwise.
1 if w contains a square **then return** “NO”;
2 for i from 1 to $N - 1$ **do**
3 | if $\phi(w[i]) = w[i + 1]$ **then return** “NO”;
4 end
5 return “YES”;

involution with the same alphabet Σ . A *right minimal periodic* $rmp_w[1..N]$ of w is a vector and is defined by

$$rmp_w[i] = \min \{ \min \{ n : w[i..i + 2n - 1] = x^2 \text{ for some } x \neq \epsilon \}, +\infty \},$$

and similarly a *left minimal periodic* $lmp_w[1..N]$ of w is defined by

$$lmp_w[i] = \min \{ \min \{ n : w[i - 2n + 1..i] = x^2 \text{ for some } x \neq \epsilon \}, +\infty \}.$$

For example, when $w = 01001010$, we have $rmp_w = [3, +\infty, 1, 2, 2, +\infty, +\infty, +\infty]$ and $lmp_w = [+ \infty, +\infty, +\infty, 1, +\infty, 3, 2, +\infty]$. A *centralized maximal pseudo-palindrome* $cmp_w[0..N]$ of w (with respect to ϕ) is a vector and is defined by

$$cmp_w[i] = \max \{ \max \{ m : \phi(w[i - m..i - 1]) = w[i..i + m - 1] \}, 0 \}.$$

For example, when $w = 01001010$ and $\phi = \text{Mir}$, we have $cmp_w = [0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0]$. The left-most and right-most elements of cmp_w are always 0.

Lemma 16. *For any fixed integer k , the right (respectively, left) minimal periodic rmp_w (respectively, lmp_w) of word w can be computed in linear time $O(|w|)$.*

There is an algorithm to compute rmp_w , the shortest square starting at each position, in linear time [13] by using suffix tree. Since vector lmp_w can be obtained by first computing $V = rmp_{\text{Mir}(w)}$ and then reversing V , vector lmp_w can also be computed in linear time.

Lemma 17. *The centralized maximal pseudo-palindrome cmp_w can be computed in linear time $O(|w|)$.*

Lemma 17 has been proved in the book [8, page 197–198], which claimed all the maximal even-length palindromes can be found in linear time.

Now we are ready to show a quadratic time algorithm to test the pseudo-cube-freeness of a given word w of length N . By definition, a pseudo-cube is in one of the following form xxx , $xx\phi(x)$, $\phi(x)xx$, and $x\phi(x)x$. In order to check whether w contains any pseudo-cube, we check each of the four cases.

To check whether w contains any word of the form xxx can be done in linear time. Word w contains a cube if and only if one of the maximal repetition in w has exponent ≥ 3 , and

there is linear algorithm [12] to find all the maximal repetitions. So this case can be checked in $O(N)$ time.

To check whether w contains any word of the form $xx\phi(x)$, we check whether there is a pair of factors $w[i - 2n + 1 .. i] = yy$ and $w[i - m + 1 .. i + m] = z\phi(z)$ that overlap in the sense that $n \leq m$. By the definitions of lmp_w and cmp_w , we only need to check for each position i whether $lmp_w[i] \leq cmp_w[i]$. this can be done in $O(N)$ time when all lmp_w, cmp_w are already computed. The case for $\phi(x)xx$ is similar.

To check whether w contains any word of the form $x\phi(x)x$, we check whether there is a pair of factors $w[i - n + 1 .. i + n] = y\phi(y)$ and $w[j - m + 1 .. j + m] = z\phi(z)$ that overlap in the sense that $|i - j| \leq n$ and $|i - j| \leq m$. By the definition of cmp_w , we check for each pair of indices i, j with $i < j$ whether $j - i \leq cmp_w[i]$ and $j - i \leq cmp_w[j]$. This can be done in $O(N^2)$ time when cmp_w is already known.

Algorithm 3: Decide whether w is pseudo-cube-free in linear time

Input: a word $w = w[1 .. N]$.
Output: “YES” if w is pseudo-cube-free; “NO” otherwise.

- 1 compute rmp_w, lmp_w, cmp_w ;
- 2 **if** w contains a cube **then return** “NO”; // The case xxx
- 3 **for** i **from** 1 **to** N **do**
- 4 **if** $rmp_w[i] \leq cmp_w[i - 1]$ **then return** “NO”; // The case $\phi(x)xx$
- 5 **if** $lmp_w[i] \leq cmp_w[i]$ **then return** “NO”; // The case $xx\phi(x)$
- 6 **for** d **from** 1 **to** $cmp_w[i]$ **do**
- 7 **if** $d \leq cmp_w[i + d]$ **then return** “NO”; // The case $x\phi(x)x$
- 8 **end**
- 9 **end**
- 10 **return** “YES”;

The completed algorithm is given in Algorithm 3. So we have the following theorem.

Theorem 18. *To decide whether a word w contains a pseudo-cube as a factor can be done in quadratic time.*

Proof. Let $N = |w|$. Algorithm 3 checks the pseudo-cube-freeness of w in quadratic time. By Lemma 16 and Lemma 17, the computation of rmp_w, lmp_w, cmp_w in line 1 can be done in $O(N)$ times. Line 2 can be done in $O(N)$ time. Line 3–10 can be done in $O(N^2)$ time. So the algorithm runs in $O(N^2)$ time.

Now we prove the correctness of the algorithm. First, we prove that if the algorithm returns “NO”, then w contains a pseudo cube. If the algorithm return at line 2, then w contains a cube of the form xxx , which is also a pseudo cube. Suppose the algorithm return at line 4. Let $n = rmp_w[i]$ and $m = cmp_w[i - 1]$. Then $n \leq m$ and the word $w[i - n .. i + 2n - 1]$ is of the form $\phi(x)xx$, which is a pseudo cube. Suppose the algorithm return at line 5. Let $n = lmp_w[i]$ and $m = cmp_w[i]$. Then $n \leq m$ and the word $w[i - 2n + 1 .. i + n]$ is of the form

$xx\phi(x)$, which is a pseudo cube. Suppose the algorithm return at line 7. Then the word $w[i - d + 1 .. i + 2d]$ is of the form $x\phi(x)x$, which is a pseudo cube.

Now, we prove that if w contains a pseudo cube, then the algorithm returns “NO”. If w contains a pseudo cube of the form xxx , then the algorithm returns at line 2. Suppose $w[s .. s + 3p - 1] = xx\phi(x)$. Then $q = lmp_w[s + 2p - 1] \leq |x|$ and $cmp_w[s + 2p - 1] \geq |x| \geq q$. So the algorithm returns at line 5 for $i = s + 2p - 1$, (although the detected pseudo cube $w[s + 2p - 2q .. s + 2p + q - 1]$ may be different from $w[s .. s + 3p - 1]$.) The case $w[s .. s + 3p - 1] = \phi(x)xx$ is similar. Suppose $w[s .. s + 3p - 1] = x\phi(x)x$. Then $cmp_w[s + p - 1] \geq |x|$ and $cmp_w[s + 2p - 1] \geq |x|$. So the algorithm returns at line 7 for $i = s + p - 1$ and $d = p$. \square

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we discussed the existence of infinite words that do not contain pseudo- k th-power. For alphabet size ≤ 2 , there is no pseudo-square-free infinite words and for alphabet size ≥ 5 , there exist pseudo-square-free infinite words. For other alphabet size, the existence of pseudo-square-free infinite words depends on the antimorphic involution ϕ . For alphabet size ≤ 2 , there is no pseudo-cube-free infinite words and for alphabet size ≥ 3 , there exist pseudo-cube-free infinite words. For alphabet size ≥ 3 , there exist pseudo- k th-power-free infinite words for any integer $k \geq 4$. For binary alphabet and any integer $k \geq 4$, the existence of pseudo- k th-power-free infinite words depends on the antimorphic involution ϕ .

We also discussed the algorithm for testing whether a given word w is pseudo- k th-power-free. For arbitrary k th pseudo-power, there is a $O(n^2 \lg n)$ -time algorithm to find all k th pseudo-power in w , where $n = |w|$. For $k = 2$, there is a $O(n)$ -time algorithm for testing pseudo-square-freeness of word w of length n . For $k = 3$, there is a $O(n^2)$ -time algorithm for testing pseudo-cube-freeness of word w of length n .

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Dr. Shinnosuke Seki and Professor Lucian Ilie for very helpful discussion and comments on the draft of this paper.

References

- [1] D. Beauquier and M. Nivat. On translating one polyomino to tile the plane. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 6(1):575–592, 1991.
- [2] J. Berstel. *Axel Thue’s Papers on Repetitions in Words: a Translation*. Number 20 in Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d’Informatique Mathématique. Université du Québec à Montréal, 1995.
- [3] J.-P. Braquelaire and A. Vialard. Euclidean paths: A new representation of boundary of discrete regions. *Graphical Models and Image Processing*, 61(1):16–43, 1999.

- [4] M. Crochemore. Recherche linéaire d'un carré dans un mot. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I*, 296:781–784, 1983.
- [5] E. Czeizler, L. Kari, and S. Seki. On a special class of primitive words. preprint, 2009.
- [6] F. M. Dekking. Strongly non-repetitive sequences and progression-free sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 27(2):181–185, 1979.
- [7] P. Erdős. Some unsolved problems. *Michigan Math. J.*, 4(3):291–300, 1957.
- [8] D. Gusfield. *Algorithms on strings, trees, and sequences: computer science and computational biology*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [9] L. Kari and K. Mahalingam. Watson-Crick palindromes in DNA computing. *Nat. Comput.*, DOI:10.1007/s11047-009-9131-2, 2009.
- [10] V. Keränen. Abelian squares are avoidable on 4 letters. In W. Kuich, editor, "*Proc. 19th Int'l Conf. on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP '92)*", pages 41–52. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [11] V. Keränen. A powerful abelian square-free substitution over 4 letters. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 410:38–40, 2009.
- [12] R. Kolpakov and G. Kucherov. Finding maximal repetitions in a word in linear time. In "*Proc. 40th Ann. Symp. Found. Comput. Sci. (FOCS '99)*", pages 596–604. IEEE Computer Society, 1999.
- [13] S. R. Kosaraju. Computation of squares in a string. In M. Crochemore and D. Gusfield, editors, "*Proc. 5th Combinatorial Pattern Matching*", pages 146–150. Springer Verlag, 1994.
- [14] J. Leech. A problem on strings of beads. *Math. Gaz.*, 41(338):277–278, 1957.
- [15] M. Main and R. Lorentz. Linear time recognition of square free strings. In A. Apostolico and Z. Galil, editors, *Combinatorial Algorithms on Words*, pages 272–278. Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [16] S. M. Mirkin. Expandable dna repeats and human disease. *Nature*, 447(7147):932–940, 2007.
- [17] H. M. Morse. Recurrent geodesics on a surface of negative curvature. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(1):84–100, 1921.
- [18] P. A. B. Pleasants. Non-repetitive sequences. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 68(2):267–274, 1970.
- [19] J. Shallit. Simultaneous avoidance of large squares and fractional powers in infinite binary words. *Int'l. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, 15:317–327, 2004.

- [20] A. Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat.-Nat. Kl.*, (7):1–22, 1906.
- [21] A. Thue. Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat.-Nat. Kl.*, (1):1–67, 1912.
- [22] X. Yu. A new solution for Thue's problem. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 54:187–191, 1995.