# Harnack Inequalities for Semigroups with Non-Constant Diffusion Coefficients<sup>\*</sup>

Feng-Yu Wang

School of Mathematical Sci. and Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

and

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK Email: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn; F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk

March 25, 2019

#### Abstract

By constructing a coupling with unbounded time-dependent drift, dimensionfree Harnack inequalities are established for a large class of diffusion semigroups with non-constant diffusion coefficients. These inequalities are applied to the study of heat kernel upper bound and contractivity properties of the semigroup. The main results are extended to non-constant diffusions on manifolds possibly with (non-convex) boundary.

### 1 Introduction

Consider the following SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

(1.1) 
$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t + b(t, X_t) dt,$$

where  $B_t$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t>0}, \mathbb{P})$ , and

$$\sigma: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d, \quad b: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$$

are progressively measurable and continuous in the second variable. Throughout the paper we assume that for any  $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the equation (1.1) has a unique strong solution which is non-explosive.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by WIMCS, NNSFC(10721091) and the 973-Project.

To derive explicit Harnack type inequalities, we shall make use of the following assumptions.

(A1) There exists an increasing function  $K: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)\|_{HS}^2 + 2\langle b(t,x) - b(t,y), x - y \rangle \le K_t |x - y|^2, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0.$$

- (A2) There exists a decreasing function  $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  such that  $\sigma(t, x)^* \sigma(t, x) \ge \lambda_t^2 I$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $t \ge 0$ .
- (A3) There exists an increasing function  $\delta: [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$  such that

$$|(\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y))(x-y)| \le \delta_t |x-y|, \ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0.$$

(A4) For  $n \ge 1$  there exists a constant  $c_n > 0$  such that

$$\|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)\|_{HS} + |b(t,x) - b(t,y)| \le c_n |x-y|, \quad |x|, |y|, t \le n.$$

It is well known that (A1) ensures the uniqueness of the solution to (1.1) while (A4) implies the existence and the uniqueness of the solution (see e.g. [12] and references within for weaker conditions), and when b and  $\sigma$  depend only on the variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the continuity will be enough to imply the existence of weak solution (see [14, Theorem 2.3]). By the Yamada-Watanabe principle [28], this implies the existence and uniqueness of the strong solution.

Let  $X_t^x$  be the solution to (1.1) for  $X_0 = x$ . We aim to establish the Harnack inequality for the operator  $P_t$ :

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t^x), \quad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathbf{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_{h}^{+}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  is the class of all bounded non-negative measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ .

Note that if  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) are deterministic and independent of t, then the solution is a time-homogeneous Markov process generated by

$$L := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i \partial_i,$$

where  $a := \sigma \sigma^*$ . If further more  $\sigma$  and b are smooth, we may consider the Bakry-Emery curvature condition [5]:

(1.2) 
$$\Gamma_2(f,f) \ge -K\Gamma(f,f), \quad f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for some constant  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$\Gamma(f,g) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(\partial_i f)(\partial_j g), \quad f,g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
  
$$\Gamma_2(f,f) := \frac{1}{2} L \Gamma(f,f) - \Gamma(f,Lf), \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

According to [22, Lemma 2.2] and [23, Theorem 1.2], the curvature condition (4.1) is equivalent to the dimension-free Harnack inequality

$$(P_t f(x))^p \le (P_t f^p(y)) \exp\left[\frac{p\rho_a(x,y)^2}{2(p-1)(1-e^{-2Kt})}\right], \quad t \ge 0, p > 1, f \in \mathbf{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This type of inequality has been extended and applied to the study of heat kernel (or transition probability) and contractivity properties for diffusion semigroups for some finiteand infinite-dimensional models, see e.g. [1, 18, 4] for diffusions on manifolds with possibly unbounded below curvature, see [24, 16] for stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations [2, 3, 8, 17, 15, 19, 11, 29] for the study of some other SPDEs, with additive noise (i.e. constant diffusion coefficients).

Let us come back to the equation (1.1). When the dimension d is large and  $\sigma$  is nonconstant, it is normally very hard to verify the curvature condition (4.1). Indeed, this condition depends on second order derivatives of  $a^{-1}$ , the inverse matrix of a. This is the main reason why existed results on the dimension-free Harnack inequality for SPDEs are only proved for the additive noise case.

For the additive noise case, i.e.  $\sigma$  is independent of the second component x, the dimension-free Harnack inequality can be derived by following the coupling argument developed in [4]. This argument has been also applied to the study of SPDEs in the above mentioned references. To see the difficulty in the study for non-constant diffusions, let us briefly recall the main idea of this argument.

To explain the key idea of the coupling, let e.g.  $\sigma$  and b be independent of the second variable. For  $x \neq y$  and T > 0, let  $X_t$  solve (1.1) with  $X_0 = x$  and  $Y_t$  solve

$$dY_t = \sigma(t)dB_t + b(t)dt + \frac{|x-y|(X_t - Y_t)|}{T|X_t - Y_t|}dt, \quad Y_0 = y.$$

Then  $Y_t$  is well defined up to the coupling time

$$\tau := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t = Y_t\}.$$

Let  $X_t = Y_t$  for  $t \ge \tau$ . We have

$$\mathrm{d}|X_t - Y_t| = -\frac{|x - y|}{T}\mathrm{d}t, \ t \le \tau.$$

This implies  $\tau = T$  and hence,  $X_T = Y_T$ . On the other hand, by the Girsanov theorem we have

$$P_T f(y) = \mathbb{E}[Rf(Y_T)]$$

for

$$R := \exp\left[-\frac{|x-y|}{T}\int_0^T \frac{\langle \sigma(t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}B_t \rangle}{|X_t - Y_t|} - \frac{|x-y|^2}{2T^2}\int_0^T \frac{|\sigma(t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)|^2}{|X_t - Y_t|^2}\mathrm{d}t\right].$$

Therefore, since  $X_T = Y_T$ , it follows that

$$(P_T f(y))^p = (\mathbb{E}[Rf(X_T)])^p \le (P_T f^p(x))(\mathbb{E}R^{p/(p-1)})^{p-1}.$$

Since by (A1) and (A2) it is easy to estimate moments of R, the desired Harnack inequality follows immediately.

In generally, if  $\sigma(t, x)$  depends on x, then the process  $X_t - Y_t$  contains a non-trivial martingale term, which can not be dominated by and bounded drift. So, in this case, any additional bounded drift put in the equation for  $Y_t$  is not enough to make the coupling successful before a fixed time T. This is the main difficulty to establish the Harnack inequality for diffusion semigroups with non-constant diffusion coefficient.

In this paper, under assumptions (A1) and (A2), we are able to constructed a coupling with a drift which is unbounded around a fixed time T, such that the coupling is successful before T. In this case the corresponding exponential martingale has finite entropy such that the log-Harnack inequality holds; if further more (A3) holds then the exponential martingale is  $L^p$ -integrable for some p > 1 such that the Harnack inequality with power hold. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) either be deterministic and independent of t, or satisfy (A4).

(1) If (A1) and (A2) hold then the log-Harnack inequality

$$P_T \log f(y) \le \log P_T f(y) + \frac{K_T |x - y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 (1 - e^{-K_T T})}, \quad f \ge 1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0.$$

(2) If (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold, then for  $p > (1 + \frac{\delta_T}{\lambda_T})^2$  and  $\delta_{p,T} := \max\{\delta_T, \frac{\lambda_T}{2}(\sqrt{p} - 1)\},$ the Harnack inequality

$$(P_T f(y))^p \le (P_T f^p(x)) \exp\left[\frac{K_T \sqrt{p} (\sqrt{p} - 1)|x - y|^2}{4\delta_{p,T}[(\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda_T - \delta_{p,T}](1 - e^{-K_T T})}\right]$$

holds for all  $T > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f \in \mathbf{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Theorem 1.1(1) generalizes a recent result in [20] on the log-Harnack inequality by using the gradient estimate on the diffusion semigroup.

Let  $p_t(x, y)$  be the transition density of  $P_t$  w.r.t. a Radon measure  $\mu$ . Then according to [26, Proposition 2.4], the above log-Harnack inequality and Harnack inequality are equivalent to the following heat kernel inequalities respectively:

(1.3) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_T(x,z) \log \frac{p_T(x,z)}{p_T(y,z)} \mu(\mathrm{d}z) \le \frac{K|x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2(1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0$$

and

(1.4) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_T(x,z) \left( \frac{p_t(x,z)}{p_t(y,z)} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \mu(\mathrm{d}z) \\ \leq \exp\left[ \frac{K_T \sqrt{p} |x-y|^2}{4\delta_{p,T}(\sqrt{p}+1)[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda_T - \delta_{p,T}](1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})} \right], \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0.$$

So, the following is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) either be deterministic and independent of t, or satisfy (A4). Let  $P_t$  has a strictly positive density w.r.t. a Radon measure  $\mu$ . Then (A1) and (A2) imply (1.3), while (A1)-(A3) imply (1.4).

Next, by standard applications of the Harnack inequality with power, we have the following consequence of Theorem 1.1 on contractivity properties of  $P_t$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) be deterministic and independent of t, such that (A1)-(A3) hold for constant  $K, \lambda$  and  $\delta$ . Let  $P_t$  have an invariant probability measure  $\mu$ .

- (1) If there exists  $r > K^+/\lambda^2$  such that  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$ , then  $P_t$  is hypercontractive, i.e.  $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu)\to L^4(\mu)} = 1$  holds for some t > 0.
- (2) If  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  holds for all r > 0, then  $P_t$  is supercontractive, i.e.  $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \to L^4(\mu)} < \infty$  holds for all t > 0.
- (3) If  $P_t e^{r|\cdot|^2}$  is bounded for any t, r > 0, then  $P_t$  is ultracontractive, i.e.  $||P_t||_{L^2(\mu) \to L^{\infty}(\mu)} < \infty$  for any t > 0.

**Remark 1.1.** In the situation of Corollary 1.3 with  $\mu$  a probability measure, when  $P_t$  is symmetric w.r.t.  $\mu$ , the hypercontractivity is equivalent to the validity of the log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le C\mu(\Gamma(f, f)), \quad f \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \\ \mu(f^2) = 1$$

for some constant C > 0. Moreover, by (A2) and (A3) there exists a constant R > 0 such that

 $R^{-1}|\nabla f|^2 \le \Gamma(f,f) \le R|\nabla f|^2$ 

holds for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So, by the concentration of measure for the log-Sobolev inequality, the hypercontractivity implies that  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  for some r > 0, while the supercontractivity implies that  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$ . Combining this with Corollary 1.3, we have the following assertions.

- (i) Let  $K \leq 0$ . Then  $P_t$  is hypercontractive if and only if  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  holds for some r > 0.
- (ii)  $P_t$  is supercontractive if and only if  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  holds for all r > 0.
- (iii)  $P_t$  is ultracontractive if and only if  $P_t e^{r|\cdot|^2}$  is bounded for any t, r > 0.

Therefore, conditions in Corollary 1.3(2) and (3) are sharp for the supercontractivity and ultracontractivity of  $P_t$ . Moreover, as shown in [7] that when  $\sigma$  is constant, the sufficient condition  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  for some  $r > K^+/\lambda^2$  is optimal for the hypercontractivity of  $P_t$ . So, Corollary 1.3(1) also provides a sharp sufficient condition for the hypercontractivity of  $P_t$ .

We will prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 in the next section. In section 3 we extend these results to SDEs on Riemannian manifolds possibly with a convex boundary. Combining the results in Section 3 with a conformal change method introduced in [24], we are able to establish Harnack inequalities in Section 4 for the Neumann semigroup on a class of non-convex manifolds. Finally, we extend Theorem 1.1 and its consequences to semi-linear stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces.

# 2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0$  and  $p > (1 + \delta_T / \lambda_T)^2$  be fixed such that  $x \neq y$ . We have

(2.1) 
$$\theta_T := \frac{2\delta_T}{(\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda_T} \in (0, 2).$$

For  $\theta \in (0, 2)$ , let

$$\xi_t = \frac{2 - \theta}{K_T} (1 - e^{K_T(t-T)}), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then  $\xi$  is smooth and strictly positive on [0, T) such that

(2.2) 
$$2 - K_T \xi_t + \xi'_t = \theta, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Consider the coupling

(2.3) 
$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t + b(t, X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x, dY_t = \sigma(t, Y_t) dB_t + b(t, Y_t) dt + \frac{1}{\xi_t} \sigma(t, Y_t) \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t) dt, \quad Y_0 = y.$$

Since the additional drift term  $\xi_t^{-1}\sigma(t, y)\sigma(t, x)^{-1}(x - y)$  is locally Lipschitzian in y if (A4) holds, and continuous in y when  $\sigma$  and b are deterministic and time independent, the coupling  $(X_t, Y_t)$  is well defined for  $t < T \land \zeta$ , where  $\zeta$  is the explosive time of  $Y_t$ ; namely,  $\zeta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n$  for

$$\zeta_n := \inf\{t \in [0, T) : |Y_t| \ge n\},\$$

here and in what follows we set  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$  by convention. Let

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t = \mathrm{d}B_t + \frac{1}{\xi_t}\sigma(t, X_t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)\mathrm{d}t, \quad t < T \wedge \zeta$$

If  $\zeta = \infty$  and the exponential martingale

$$R_s := \exp\left[-\int_0^s \xi_t^{-1} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}B_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \xi_t^{-2} |\sigma(t, X_t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right]$$

is uniformly integrable for  $s \in [0, T)$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,T)} \mathbb{E}[R_s \mathbb{1}_{\{R_s > n\}}] = 0,$$

then by the martingale convergence theorem,  $R_T := \lim_{t\uparrow T} R_t$  exists and  $\{R_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$  is a martingale. In this case, by the Girsanov theorem  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t\in[0,T)}$  is a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability  $R_T\mathbb{P}$ . Rewrite (2.3) as

(2.4) 
$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) d\tilde{B}_t + b(t, X_t) dt - \frac{X_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} dt, \quad X_0 = x,$$
$$dY_t = \sigma(t, Y_t) d\tilde{B}_t + b(t, Y_t) dt, \quad Y_0 = y.$$

Since  $\int_0^T \xi_t^{-1} dt = \infty$ , we will see that the additional drift  $-\frac{X_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} dt$  is strong enough to force the coupling successful up to time T. So, we first study the uniform integrability of  $\{R_s\}_{s \in [0,T)}$ .

In general where  $\zeta$  might be finite under  $\mathbb{P}$ , we shall construct a weighted probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\zeta = \infty$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -a.s. Let

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [0, T) : |X_t| + |Y_t| \ge n\}.$$

Since  $X_t$  is non-explosive as assumed, we have  $\tau_n \uparrow \zeta$  as  $n \uparrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume (A1) and (A2) and let  $\theta \in (0, 2), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and T > 0 be fixed.

(1) There holds

$$\sup_{s \in [0,T), n \ge 1} \mathbb{E}R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \log R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \le \frac{K_T |x - y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 \theta(2 - \theta)(1 - e^{-K_T T})}$$

Consequently,

$$R_{s\wedge\zeta} := \lim_{n\uparrow\infty} R_{s\wedge\tau_n}, \ s\in[0,T), \quad R_{T\wedge\zeta} := \lim_{s\uparrow T} R_{s\wedge\zeta}$$

exist such that  $\{R_{s \wedge \zeta}\}_{s \in [0,T]}$  is a uniformly integrable martingale.

(2) Let  $\mathbb{Q} = R_{T \wedge \zeta} \mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $s \in [0, T)$  be fixed. By (2.4), (A1) and the Itô formula,

$$d||X_t - Y_t||^2 \le 2\langle (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))(X_t - Y_t), d\tilde{B}_t \rangle + K_T |X_t - Y_t|^2 dt - \frac{2}{\xi_t} |X_t - Y_t|^2 dt$$

holds for  $t \leq s \wedge \tau_n$ . Combining this with (2.2) we obtain

(2.5) 
$$d\frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t} \leq \frac{2}{\xi_t} \langle (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))(X_t - Y_t), d\tilde{B}_t \rangle - \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} (2 - K_T \xi_t + \xi_t') dt \\ = \frac{2}{\xi_t} \langle (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))(X_t - Y_t), d\tilde{B}_t \rangle - \frac{\theta}{\xi_t^2} |X_t - Y_t|^2 dt, \quad t \le s \land \tau_n.$$

Since due to the Girsanov theorem  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \leq \tau_n \wedge s}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability measure  $R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$ , letting  $\mathbb{E}_{s,n}$  denote the corresponding expectation we obtain

(2.6) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} dt \le \frac{|x - y|^2}{\theta \xi_0}, \quad s \in [0, T), n \ge 1.$$

By (A2) and the definitions of  $R_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$ , we have

$$\log R_r = -\int_0^r \frac{1}{\xi_t} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \frac{|\sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t, Y_t)|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t$$
  
$$\leq -\int_0^r \frac{1}{\xi_t} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2\lambda_T^2} \int_0^r \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t, \quad r \leq s \wedge \tau_n$$

Since  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under  $R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$  up to  $s \wedge \tau_n$ , combining this with (2.6) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\log R_{s\wedge\tau_n} = \mathbb{E}_{s,n}\log R_{s\wedge\tau_n} \le \frac{|x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2\theta\xi_0}, \quad s\in[0,T), n\ge 1.$$

By the martingale convergence theorem and the Fatou lemma,  $\{R_{s\wedge\zeta}: s\in[0,T]\}$  is a well-defined martingale with

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\zeta}\log R_{s\wedge\zeta} \le \frac{|x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2\theta\xi_0} = \frac{K_T|x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2\theta(2-\theta)(1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_TT})}, \quad s\in[0,T].$$

(2) Let  $\sigma_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |X_t| \ge n\}$ . We have  $\sigma_n \uparrow \infty$  P-a.s and hence, also Q-a.s. Since  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}$  is a Q-Brownian motion up to  $T \land \zeta$ , it follows from (2.5) that

$$\frac{(n-m)^2}{\xi_0} \mathbb{Q}(\sigma_m > t, \zeta_n \le t) \le \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{|X_{t \land \sigma_m \land \zeta_n} - X_{t \land \sigma_m \land \zeta_n}|^2}{\xi_{t \land \sigma_m \land \zeta_n}} \le \frac{|x-y|^2}{\xi_0}$$

holds for all n > m > 0 and  $t \in [0, T)$ . By letting first  $n \uparrow \infty$  then  $m \uparrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta \leq t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . This is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$  according to the definition of  $\zeta$ .

Lemma 2.1 ensures that under  $\mathbb{Q} := R_{T \wedge \zeta} \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$  is a Brownian motion, so that the coupling  $(X_t, Y_t)$  is well-constructed for  $t \in [0, T]$  by (2.4). Since  $\int_0^T \xi_t^{-1} dt = \infty$ , we shall see that the coupling is successful up to time T, so that  $X_T = Y_T$  holds (see the proof of Theorem 1.1 below). This will provide the desired Harnack inequality for  $P_t$  as explained in Section 1 as soon as  $R_{T \wedge \zeta}$  has finite p/(p-1)-moment. The next lemma provides an explicit upper bound on moments of  $R_{T \wedge \zeta}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume (A1)-(A3). Let  $R_t$  and  $\xi_t$  be fixed for  $\theta = \theta_T$ . We have

(2.7) 
$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left\{R_{s\wedge\zeta} \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T^2}{8\delta_T^2} \int_0^{s\wedge\zeta} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t\right]\right\} \le \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T K_T |x - y|^2}{4\delta_T^2 (2 - \theta_T)(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right]$$

Consequently,

(2.8) 
$$\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}R^{1+r_T}_{s \wedge \zeta} \le \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T K_T (2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) |x - y|^2}{8\delta_T^2 (2 - \theta_T) (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) (1 - e^{-K_T T})}\right]$$

holds for

$$r_T = \frac{\lambda_T^2 \theta_T^2}{4\delta_T^2 + 4\theta_T \lambda_T \delta_T}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta = \theta_T$ . By (2.5), for an r > 0 we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[r\int_{0}^{s\wedge\tau_{n}}\frac{|X_{t}-Y_{t}|^{2}}{\xi_{t}^{2}}\mathrm{d}t\right] \\ & \leq \exp\left[\frac{r|x-y|^{2}}{\theta_{T}\xi_{0}}\right]\cdot\mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[\frac{2r}{\theta_{T}}\int_{0}^{s\wedge\tau_{n}}\frac{1}{\xi_{t}}\langle(\sigma(t,X_{t})-\sigma(t,Y_{t}))(X_{t}-Y_{t}),\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_{t}\rangle\right] \\ & \leq \exp\left[\frac{rK_{T}|x-y|^{2}}{\theta_{T}(2-\theta_{T})(1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_{T}T})}\right]\left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[\frac{8r^{2}\delta_{T}^{2}}{\theta_{T}^{2}}\int_{0}^{s\wedge\tau_{n}}\frac{|X_{t}-Y_{t}|^{2}}{\xi_{t}^{2}}\mathrm{d}t\right]\right)^{1/2}, \end{split}$$

where the last step is due to (A3) and the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{M_t} \le (\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{2\langle M \rangle_t})^{1/2}$$

for a continuous exponential integrable martingale  $M_t$ . Taking  $r = \theta_T^2/(8\delta_T^2)$ , we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T^2}{8\delta_T^2} \int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t\right] \le \left[\frac{\theta_T K_T |x - y|^2}{4\delta_T^2 (2 - \theta_T)(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right], \quad n \ge 1.$$

This implies (2.7) by letting  $n \to \infty$ .

Next, by (A2) and the definition of  $R_s$  we have

(2.9) 
$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{1+r_T} = \mathbb{E}_{s,n}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{r_T}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[-r_T\int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n}\frac{1}{\xi_t}\langle\sigma(t,X_t)^{-1}(X_t-Y_t),\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t\rangle\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{r_T}{2}\int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n}\frac{|\sigma(t,X_t)^{-1}(X_t-Y_t)|^2}{\xi_t^2}\mathrm{d}t\right]$$

Noting that for any exponential integrable martingale  $M_t$  w.r.t.  $R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$ , one has

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp[r_T M_t + r_T \langle M \rangle_t / 2] = \mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp[r_T M_t - r_T^2 q \langle M \rangle_t / 2 + r_T (qr_T + 1) \langle M \rangle_t / 2]$$

$$\leq \left( \mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp[r_T q M_t - r_T^2 q^2 \langle M \rangle_t / 2] \right)^{1/q} \left( \mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{r_T q (r_T q + 1)}{2(q - 1)} \langle M \rangle_t\right] \right)^{(q - 1)/q}$$

$$= \left( \mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{r_T q (r_T q + 1)}{2(q - 1)} \langle M \rangle_t\right] \right)^{(q - 1)/q}, \quad q > 1,$$

it follows from (2.9) that

(2.10) 
$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{1+r_T} \le \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{qr_T(qr_T+1)}{2(q-1)\lambda_T^2} \int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t\right]\right)^{(q-1)/q}.$$

Take

(2.11) 
$$q = 1 + \sqrt{1 + r_T^{-1}},$$

which minimizes  $q(qr_T + 1)/(q - 1)$  such that

(2.12) 
$$\frac{qr_T(qr_T+1)}{2\lambda_T^2(q-1)} = \frac{r_T + \sqrt{r_T(r_T+1)}}{2\lambda_T^2\sqrt{1+r_T^{-1}}} (r_T + 1 + \sqrt{r_T(r_T+1)}) \\ = \frac{(r_T + \sqrt{r_T^2 + r_T})^2}{2\lambda_T^2} = \frac{\theta_T^2}{8\delta_T^2}.$$

Combining (2.10) with (2.7) and (2.12), and noting that due to (2.11) and the definition of  $r_T$ 

$$\frac{q-1}{q} = \frac{\sqrt{1+r_T^{-1}}}{1+\sqrt{1+r_T^{-1}}} = \frac{2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T}{2\delta_T + 2\theta_T \lambda_T},$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{1+r_T} \le \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T K_T (2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) |x-y|^2}{8\delta_T^2 (2-\theta_T) (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) (1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right]$$

According to the Fatou lemma, the proof is then completed by letting  $n \to \infty$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since (A3) also holds for  $\delta_{p,T}$  in place of  $\delta_T$ , it suffices to prove the desired Harnack inequality for  $\delta_T$  in place of  $\delta_{p,T}$ .

(1) By Lemma 2.1,  $\{R_{s \wedge \zeta}\}_{s \in [0,T]}$  is an uniformly integrable martingale and  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \leq T}$  is a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus,  $Y_t$  can be solved up to time *T*. Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : X_t = Y_t\}.$$

We claim that under  $\mathbb{Q}, \tau \leq T$  a.s. so that  $X_T = Y_T$  a.s. Indeed, if for some  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\tau(\omega) > T$ , by the continuity of the diffusions we have

$$\inf_{t \in [0,T]} |X_t - Y_t|^2(\omega) > 0.$$

So,

$$\int_0^T \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t = \infty$$

holds on the set  $\{\tau > T\}$ . But according to Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \int_0^T \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t < \infty,$$

we conclude that  $\mathbb{Q}(\tau > T) = 0$ . Therefore,  $X_T = Y_T \mathbb{Q}$ -a.s.

Now, combining Lemma 2.1 with  $X_T = Y_T$  and using the Young inequality, for  $f \ge 1$  we have

$$P_T \log f(y) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\log f(Y_T)] = \mathbb{E}[R_{T \wedge \zeta} \log f(X_T)]$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E}R_{T \wedge \zeta} \log R_{T \wedge \zeta} + \log \mathbb{R}f(X_T) \leq \log P_T f(x) + \frac{K_T |x - y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 \theta(2 - \theta)(1 - e^{-K_T T})}.$$

This completes the proof of (1) by taking  $\theta = 1$ .

(2) Let  $\theta = \theta_T$ . Since  $X_T = Y_T$  and  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have

(2.13) 
$$(P_T f(y))^p = (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(Y_T)])^p = (\mathbb{E}[R_{T \wedge \zeta} f(X_T)])^p \le (P_T f^p(x)) (\mathbb{E}R_{T \wedge \zeta}^{p/(p-1)})^{p-1} .$$

Due to (2.1) we have

$$\frac{p}{p-1} = 1 + \frac{\lambda_T^2 \theta_T^2}{4\delta_T (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T)}$$

So, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.1) that

$$(\mathbb{E}R_{T\wedge\zeta}^{p/(p-1)})^{p-1} = (\mathbb{E}R_{T\wedge\zeta}^{1+r_T})^{p-1} \le \exp\left[\frac{(p-1)\theta_T K_T (2\delta_T + \theta_T\lambda_T) |x-y|^2}{8\delta_T^2 (2-\theta_T) (\delta_T + \theta_T\lambda_T) (1-e^{-K_T T})}\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[\frac{K_T \sqrt{p} (\sqrt{p}-1) |x-y|^2}{4\delta_T [(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda_T - \delta_T] (1-e^{-K_T T})}\right].$$

The proof is then completed by combining this with (2.13).

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let  $f \in \mathbf{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $\mu(f^p) \leq 1$ . Let  $p > (1 + \delta/\lambda)^2$ . By Theorem 1.1(2), we have

$$(P_t f(y))^p \exp\left[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)|x-y|^2}{4\delta_p\left[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\delta_p\right](1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})}\right] \le P_t f^p(x), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\delta_p = \max\{\delta, \frac{\lambda}{2}(\sqrt{p}-1)\}$ . Integrating w.r.t.  $\mu(dx)$  an noting that  $\mu$  is  $P_t$ -invariant, we obtain

(2.14) 
$$(P_t f(y))^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}(\sqrt{p}-1)|x-y|^2}{4\delta[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\delta](1-e^{-Kt})}\right] \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le 1.$$

Taking  $f = n \wedge (p_t(y, \cdot))^{1/p}$  and letting  $n \uparrow \infty$ , we prove the first assertion. Next, let  $B(0, 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $\mu$  is an invariant measure, it has a

Next, let  $B(0,1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^a : |x| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $\mu$  is an invariant measure, it has a strictly positive density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure so that  $\mu(B(0,1)) > 0$  (cf. [6]). Let  $p \geq (1 + 2\delta/\lambda)^2$ . We have  $\delta_p = (\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda/2$  and thus,

$$\frac{\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)}{4\delta_p\left[\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)\lambda-\delta_p\right]} = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\lambda^2(\sqrt{p}-1)}$$

Combining this with (2.14) and noting that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\Big[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)|x-y|^2}{4\delta[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\delta](1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})}\Big]\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\ &\geq \mu(B(0,1))\exp\Big[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)(1+|y|)^2}{4\delta[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\delta](1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})}\Big], \end{split}$$

we obtain

(2.15) 
$$(P_t f(y))^p \le C_1 \exp\left[\frac{K\sqrt{p}(1+|y|)^2}{\lambda_T^2(\sqrt{p}-1)(1-e^{-Kt})}\right], \quad t > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$  and all  $f \in \mathbf{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\mu(f^p) \leq 1$ . Since

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{K\sqrt{p}}{\lambda^2(\sqrt{p}-1)(1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})} = \frac{K^+}{\lambda^2}$$

for any  $r > K^+/\lambda^2$  there exist  $p > (1 + 2\delta_T/\lambda)^2, \beta > 1$  and  $t_1 > 0$  such that

$$(P_{t_1}f(y))^{\beta p} \le C_2 e^{r|y|^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathbf{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu(f^p) \le 1$$

holds for some constant  $C_2 > 0$ . Thus,  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  implies that  $||P_{t_1}||_{L^p(\mu) \to L^{p\beta}(\mu)} < \infty$ . Since  $||P_s||_{L^q(\mu)} = 1$  holds for any  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , by the interpolation theorem and the semigroup property one may find  $t_2 > t_1$  such that

(2.16) 
$$||P_{t_2}||_{L^2(\mu) \to L^4(\mu)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, by [13, Theorem 3.6(ii)], there exist some constants  $\eta, C_3 > 0$  such that

$$||P_t - \mu||_{L^2(\mu)} \le C_3 \mathrm{e}^{-\eta t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Combining this with (2.16) we conclude that  $||P_t||_{L^2(\mu)\to L^4(\mu)} \leq 1$  holds for sufficiently large t > 0, i.e. (2) holds.

Finally, (3) and (4) follow immediately from (2.15) and the interpolation theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### 3 Extension to manifolds with convex boundary

Let M be a d-dimensional complete, connected Riemannian manifold, possibly with a convex boundary  $\partial M$ . Let N be the inward unit normal vector filed of  $\partial M$  when  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $P_t$  be the (Neumann) semigroup generated by

$$L := \psi^2 (\Delta + Z)$$

on M, where  $\psi \in C^1(M)$  and Z is a  $C^1$  vector field on M. Assume that  $\psi$  is bounded and

holds for some constant  $K_0 \ge 0$ . Then the (reflecting) diffusion process generated by L is non-explosive.

To formulae  $P_t$  as the semigroup associated as SDE like (1.1), we set

(3.2) 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{2}\psi, \quad b = \psi^2 Z.$$

Then  $P_t$  is the semigroup for the solution to the following Itô SDE (see e.g. [10] or [4] for the definition of  $d_I$  on Riemannian manifolds):

(3.3) 
$$d_I X_t = \sigma(X_t) \Phi_t dB_t + b(X_t) dt + N(X_t) dl_t,$$

where  $B_t$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}), \Phi_t$  is the horizontal lift of  $X_t$  onto the frame bundle O(M), and  $l_t$  is the local time of  $X_t$  on  $\partial M$ . When  $\partial M = \emptyset$ , we simply set  $l_t = 0$ .

To derive the Harnack inequality as in Section 2, we assume that

(3.4) 
$$\lambda := \inf \sigma > 0, \quad \delta := \sup \sigma - \inf \sigma < \infty.$$

Now, let  $x, y \in M$  and T > 0 be fixed. Let  $\rho$  be the Riemannian distance on M, i.e.  $\rho(x, y)$  is the length of the minimal geodesic on M linking x and y, which exits if  $\partial M$  is either convex or empty.

Let  $X_t$  solve (3.3) with  $X_0 = x$ . Next any strictly positive function  $\xi \in C([0,T))$ , let  $Y_t$  solve

$$d_I Y_t = \sigma(Y_t) P_{X_t, Y_t} \Phi_t dB_t + b(X_t) dt - \frac{\sigma(Y_t) \rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\sigma(X_t) \xi_t} \nabla \rho(X_t, \cdot)(Y_t) dt + N(Y_t) d\tilde{l}_t$$

for  $Y_0 = y$ , where  $\tilde{l}_t$  is the local time of  $Y_t$  on  $\partial M$ , and  $P_{X_t,Y_t} : T_{X_t}M \to T_{Y_t}M$  is the parallel displacement along the minimal geodesic from  $X_t$  to  $Y_t$ , which exists since  $\partial M$  is convex or empty. As explained in [4, Section 3], we may and do assume that the cut-locus of M is empty such that the parallel displacement is smooth. Let

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t = \mathrm{d}B_t + \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t \sigma(X_t)} \Phi_t^{-1} \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad t < T.$$

By the Girsanov theorem, for any  $s \in (0,T)$  the process  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \in [0,s]}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the weighted probability measure  $R_s \mathbb{P}$ , where

(3.5) 
$$R_s := \exp\left[-\int_0^s \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t \sigma(X_t)} \langle \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \phi_t \mathrm{d}B_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\xi_t^2 \sigma(X_t)^2} \mathrm{d}t\right].$$

Thus, by (3.2) we have

$$d_I X_t = \sqrt{2} \psi(X_t) \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t + (\psi^2 Z)(X_t) dt - \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t} \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) dt + N(X_t) dl_t,$$
  
$$d_I Y_t = \sqrt{2} \psi(Y_t) \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t + (\psi^2 Z)(Y_t) dt + N(Y_t) d\tilde{l}_t.$$

Let  $\xi \in C^1([0,T))$  be strictly positive and take

$$\beta_t = -\frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\sqrt{2\xi_t}\psi(X_t)} \Phi_t^{-1} \nabla(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t).$$

Repeating the proof of (4.10) in [27] we obtain

$$d\rho(X_t, Y_t) \le (\sigma(X_t) - \sigma(Y_t)) \langle \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle + K_1 \rho(X_t, Y_t) dt - \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t} dt, \quad t < T,$$

where

$$K_1 = K_0 \|\psi\|_{\infty}^2 + 2\|Z\|_{\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \|\psi\|_{\infty}$$

This implies that

$$d\frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\xi_t} \le \frac{2}{\xi_t}\rho(X_t, Y_t)(\sigma(X_t) - \sigma(Y_t))\langle \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle - \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\xi_t^2} (2 - K\xi_t + \xi_t')dt$$

holds for

(3.6) 
$$K := 2K_1 + \|\nabla\sigma\|_{\infty}^2 \\ = 2K_0 \|\psi\|_{\infty}^2 + 4\|Z\|_{\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \|\psi\|_{\infty} + 2\|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty}^2.$$

In particular, letting

$$\xi_t = \frac{2-\theta}{K} (1 - e^{K(t-T)}), \quad t \in [0, T], \theta \in (0, 2),$$

we have

$$2 - K\xi_t + \xi'_t = \theta.$$

Therefore, the following result follows immediately by repeating calculations in Section 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $\partial M$  is either empty or convex. Let (4.1) and Z,  $\phi$  be bounded such that

$$K := 2K_0 \|\psi\|_{\infty}^2 + 4\|Z\|_{\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \|\psi\|_{\infty} + 2\|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty}^2 < \infty.$$

Then all assertions in Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2, 1.3 hold for  $P_t$  the (Neumann) semigroup generated on M in place of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $L = \psi^2(\Delta + Z)$  on M for constant functions  $K, \delta := \sup \psi - \inf \psi$  and  $\lambda := \inf |\psi|$ .

#### 4 Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds

Following the line of [24], we are able to make the boundary from non-convex to convex by using a conformal change of metric. This will enable us to extend our results to the Neumann semigroup on a class of non-convex manifolds.

Let  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$  with N the inward normal unit vector field. Then the second fundamental form of  $\partial M$  is a two-tensor on the tengent space of  $\partial M$  defined by

$$\mathbb{I}(X,Y) := -\langle \nabla_X N, Y \rangle, \quad X, Y \in T \partial M.$$

Assume that there exists  $\kappa > 0$  and  $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(4.1) 
$$\operatorname{Ric} - \nabla Z \ge -K_0, \quad \mathbb{I} \ge -\kappa$$

holds for M and a  $C^1$  vector field Z. We shall consider the Harnack inequality for the Neumann semigroup  $P_t$  generated by

$$L = \Delta + Z.$$

To make the boundary convex, let  $f \in C_b^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $f \ge 1$  and  $N \log f|_{\partial M} \ge \kappa$ . By [24, Lemma 2.1],  $\partial M$  is convex under the metric

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = f^{-2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$$

Let  $\Delta'$  and  $\nabla'$  be the Laplacian and gradient induced by the new metric. We have (see (2.2) in [21])

$$L = f^{-2}(\Delta' + Z'), \quad Z' = f^2 Z + \frac{d-2}{2} \nabla f^2.$$

So, Theorem 3.1 applies for the convex manifold  $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ . Let Ric' be the Ricci curvature induced by the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ . We have (see the proof of [27, Theorem 5.1])

$$\operatorname{Ric}' - \nabla' Z' \ge -K_f \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$$

for

(4.2) 
$$K_f = \sup \left\{ Kf^2 - d\Delta f + (d-3)|\nabla f|^2 + 3|Z|f|\nabla f| \right\}.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 holds for  $\psi = f^{-1}$  and

$$K = 2K_f^+ \|f^{-1}\|_{\infty} + 4\|Z'\|_{\infty}' \|\nabla' f^{-1}\|_{\infty}' \|f^{-1}\|_{\infty} + 2|\nabla' f^{-1}\|_{\infty}'^2$$
  
$$\leq 2K_f^+ + 4\|fZ + (d-2)\nabla\|_{\infty} \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} + 2\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|'$  is the norm induced by  $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$  and we have used that  $f \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (4.1) hold for some  $\kappa > 0$  and  $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $P_t$  be the Neumann semigroup generated by  $L = \Delta + Z$  on M. Then for any  $f \in C_b^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $\inf f = 1$ ,  $N \log f|_{\partial M} \ge \kappa$  and  $K < \infty$ , where K is fixed by (4.2) and (4), all assertions in Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 hold for constant functions  $K, \delta := \sup f^{-1} - \inf f^{-1}$ , and  $\lambda := \inf f^{-1}$ .

**Remark 4.1** A simple choice of f in Theorem 4.1 is  $f = \phi \circ \rho_{\partial}$ , where  $\rho_p$  is the Riemannian distance to the boundary which is smooth on  $\{\rho_{\partial} \leq r_T\}$  for some  $r_T > 0$  provided the injectivity radius of the boundary is positive, and  $f \in C_b^{\infty}([0, \infty))$  is such that  $f(0) = 1, f'(0) = \kappa$  and  $f(r) = f(r_T)$  for  $r \geq r_T$ . In general, f is taken account to  $r_T$  and bounds of the second fundamental form and sectional curvatures, see e.g. [24, 27] for details. With specific choices of f Theorem 4.1 provides explicit Harnack type inequalities, heat kernels estimates and criteria on contractivity properties for the Neumann semigroup on manifolds with non-convex boundary.

# References

- [1] S. Aida, Uniform positivity improving property, Sobolev inequalities, and spectral gaps, J. Funct. Anal. 158(1998), 152–185.
- [2] S. Aida and H. Kawabi, Short time asymptotics of a certain infinite dimensional diffusion process, Stochastic analysis and related topics, VII (Kusadasi, 1998), Progr. Probab., vol. 48, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2001, pp. 77–124.

- [3] S. Aida and T. Zhang, On the small time asymptotics of diffusion processes on path groups, Potential Anal. 16(2002), 67–78.
- [4] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below, Bull. Sci. Math. 130(2006), 223–233.
- [5] D. Bakry, M. Emery, Hypercontractivité de semi-groupes de diffusion, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. I Math. 299(1984), 775–778.
- [6] V. I. Bogachev, N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, On regularity of transition probabilities and invariant measures of singular diffusions under minimal conditions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), 2037–2080.
- [7] X. Chen, F.-Y. Wang, Optimal integrability condition for the log-Sobolev inequality, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) 58(2007), 17–22.
- [8] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 257(2009), 992–1017.
- [9] G. Da Prato and J. Zabaczyk, Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
- [10] M. Emery, Stochastic calculus in manifolds, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [11] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. von Renesse, M. Scheutzew, Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with bounded memory, arXiv:0910.4536.
- [12] S. Fang, T.-S. Zhang, A study of a class of stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitzian coefficients, Probab. Theory Related Fields 132 (2005), 356–390.
- [13] M. Hino, Exponential decay of positivity preserving semigroups on  $L^p$ , Osaka J. Math. 37 (2000), 603–624.
- [14] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes (Second Edition), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [15] W. Liu, *Fine properties of stochastic evolution equations and their applications*, Doctor-Thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009.
- [16] W. Liu and F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342(2008), 651-662.
- [17] S.-X. Ouyang, Harnack inequalities and applications for stochastic equations, Ph.D. thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009.

- [18] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, Supercontractivity and ultracontractivity for (nonsymmetric) diffusion semigroups on manifolds, Forum Math. 15(2003), no. 6, 893– 921.
- [19] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 203(2003), 237–261.
- [20] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences, to appear in Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Proba. Relat. Top.
- [21] A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates for harmonic functions on regular domains in Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 155:1(1998),109–124.
- [22] F.-Y. Wang, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Probab. Theory Related Fields 109(1997), 417–424.
- [23] F.-Y.Wang, Equivalence of dimension-free Harnack inequality and curvature condition, Integral Equations Operator Theory 48(2004), no. 4, 547–552.
- [24] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations, Ann. Probab. 35(2007), 1333–1350.
- [25] F.-Y. Wang, Estimates of the first Neumann eigenvalue and the log-Sobolev constant on nonconvex manifolds, Math. Nachr. 280(2007), 1431–1439.
- [26] F.-Y. Wang Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications, to appear in JMPA. arXiv:0908.2888
- [27] F.-Y. Wang Transportation-cost inequalities on path space over manifolds with boundary, arXiv:0908.2891
- [28] T. Yamada, S. Watanabe, On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11(1971), 155–167.
- [29] T.-S. Zhang, White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities, 2008 preprint.