# Harnack Inequalities and Applications for SDEs with Non-Additive Noise and Neumann Semigroup on Non-Convex Manifolds \*

Feng-Yu Wang

School of Mathematical Sci. and Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

and

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK Email: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn; F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk

January 26, 2023

#### Abstract

By constructing a coupling with unbounded time-dependent drift, dimensionfree Harnack inequalities are established for the semigroup associated to SDEs with non-additive noise. These inequalities are applied to the study of heat kernel upper bound and contractivity properties of the semigroup. The main results are extended to non-constant diffusions on manifolds with (non-convex) boundary where the dimension-free Harnack inequality has been unknown for a long time.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 58G32.

Keywords: Stochastic differential equation, Harnack inequality, Neumann semigroup, Riemannian manifold with boundary.

# 1 Introduction

Consider the following SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by WIMCS, NNSFC(10721091) and the 973-Project.

(1.1) 
$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t + b(t, X_t) dt,$$

where  $B_t$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ , and

$$\sigma: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d, \ b: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$$

are progressively measurable and continuous in the second variable. Throughout the paper we assume that for any  $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the equation (1.1) has a unique strong solution which is non-explosive.

To derive explicit Harnack type inequalities, we shall make use of the following standard dissipative type assumptions. Let  $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$  denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

(A1) There exists an increasing function  $K: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)\|_{HS}^2 + 2\langle b(t,x) - b(t,y), x - y \rangle \le K_t |x - y|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0.$$

- (A2) There exists a decreasing function  $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  such that  $\sigma(t, x)\sigma(t, x)^* \ge \lambda_t^2 I$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0$ .
- (A3) There exists an increasing function  $\delta : [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  such that

$$|(\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y))(x-y)| \le \delta_t |x-y|, \ x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0$$

(A4) For  $n \ge 1$  there exists a constant  $c_n > 0$  such that

$$\|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)\|_{HS} + |b(t,x) - b(t,y)| \le c_n |x-y|, \quad |x|, |y|, t \le n.$$

It is well known that (A1) ensures the uniqueness of the solution to (1.1) while (A4) implies the existence and the uniqueness of the solution (see e.g. [11] and references within for weaker conditions). When b and  $\sigma$  depend only on the variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the continuity will be enough to imply the existence of weak solution (see [13, Theorem 2.3]), so that by the pathwise uniqueness the Yamada-Watanabe principle [27] ensures the existence and uniqueness of the strong solution.

Let  $X_t^x$  be the solution to (1.1) for  $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We aim to establish the Harnack inequality for the operator  $P_t$ :

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t^x), \quad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the class of all bounded non-negative measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Note that if  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) are deterministic and independent of t, then the solution is a time-homogeneous Markov process generated by

$$L := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i \partial_i,$$

where  $a := \sigma \sigma^*$ . If further more  $\sigma$  and b are smooth, we may consider the Bakry-Emery curvature condition [5]:

(1.2) 
$$\Gamma_2(f,f) \ge -K\Gamma(f,f), \quad f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for some constant  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$\Gamma(f,g) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(\partial_i f)(\partial_j g), \quad f,g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
  
$$\Gamma_2(f,f) := \frac{1}{2} L \Gamma(f,f) - \Gamma(f,Lf), \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

According to [21, Lemma 2.2] and [22, Theorem 1.2], the curvature condition (4.1) is equivalent to the dimension-free Harnack inequality

(1.3) 
$$(P_t f(x))^p \le (P_t f^p(y)) \exp\left[\frac{p\rho_a(x,y)^2}{2(p-1)(1-e^{-2Kt})}\right], \quad f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for all t > 1 and p > 1. This type inequality has been extended and applied to the study of heat kernel (or transition probability) and contractivity properties for diffusion semigroups for some finite- and infinite-dimensional models, see e.g. [1, 17, 4] for diffusions on manifolds with possibly unbounded below curvature, see [23, 15] for stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations [2, 3, 8, 16, 14, 18, 10, 28] for the study of some other SPDEs, with additive noise (i.e. constant diffusion coefficients).

Let us come back to the equation (1.1). When the dimension d is large and  $\sigma$  is nonconstant, it is normally very hard to verify the curvature condition (4.1). Indeed, this condition depends on second order derivatives of  $a^{-1}$ , the inverse matrix of a. This is the main reason why existed results in this direction only focus on the additive-noise case, except the recent paper [19] where the log-Harnack inequality, a weaker version of (1.3), was established for a class of non-additive noise SDEs by using gradient estimates.

The main purpose of the paper is to develop a general coupling argument to derive Harnack inequalities for SDEs with non-additive noise, and for the Neumann semigroup on manifolds with non-convex boundary. In both cases the validity of dimension-free Harnack inequality of type (1.3) has been open for a long time.

For the additive noise case, i.e.  $\sigma$  is independent of the second component x, the dimension-free Harnack inequality can be derived by using the coupling argument developed in [4]. This argument has been also applied to the study of SPDEs in the above

mentioned references. To see the difficulty in the study for non-constant diffusions, let us briefly recall the main idea of the coupling method in the study of the Harnack inequality.

For simplicity, let  $\sigma$  and b be independent of the second variable. For  $x \neq y$  and T > 0, let  $X_t$  solve (1.1) with  $X_0 = x$  and  $Y_t$  solve

$$dY_t = \sigma(t)dB_t + b(t)dt + \frac{|x-y|(X_t - Y_t)|}{T|X_t - Y_t|}dt, \quad Y_0 = y.$$

Then  $Y_t$  is well defined up to the coupling time

$$\tau := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t = Y_t\}.$$

Let  $X_t = Y_t$  for  $t \ge \tau$ . We have

$$\mathrm{d}|X_t - Y_t| = -\frac{|x - y|}{T}\mathrm{d}t, \ t \le \tau.$$

This implies  $\tau = T$  and hence,  $X_T = Y_T$ . On the other hand, by the Girsanov theorem we have

$$P_T f(y) = \mathbb{E}[Rf(Y_T)]$$

for

$$R := \exp\left[-\frac{|x-y|}{T}\int_0^T \frac{\langle \sigma(t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}B_t \rangle}{|X_t - Y_t|} - \frac{|x-y|^2}{2T^2}\int_0^T \frac{|\sigma(t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)|^2}{|X_t - Y_t|^2}\mathrm{d}t\right].$$

Since  $X_T = Y_T$ , it follows that

$$(P_T f(y))^p = (\mathbb{E}[Rf(X_T)])^p \le (P_T f^p(x))(\mathbb{E}R^{p/(p-1)})^{p-1}$$

Since by (A1) and (A2) it is easy to estimate the moments of R, the desired Harnack inequality follows immediately.

In generally, when  $\sigma(t, x)$  depends on x, the process  $X_t - Y_t$  contains a non-trivial martingale term, which can not be dominated by any bounded drift. So, in this case, any additional bounded drift put in the equation for  $Y_t$  is not enough to make the coupling successful before a fixed time T. This is the main difficulty to establish the Harnack inequality for diffusion semigroups with non-constant diffusion coefficient.

In this paper, under assumptions (A1) and (A2), we are able to constructed a coupling with a drift which is infinite at the fixed time T, such that the coupling is successful before T. In this case the corresponding exponential martingale has finite entropy such that the log-Harnack inequality holds; if further more (A3) holds then the exponential martingale is  $L^p$ -integrable for some p > 1 such that the Harnack inequality with power hold. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) either be deterministic and independent of t, or satisfy (A4).

(1) If (A1) and (A2) hold then

(1.4) 
$$P_T \log f(y) \le \log P_T f(y) + \frac{K_T |x - y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 (1 - e^{-K_T T})}, \quad f \ge 1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0.$$

(2) If (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold, then for  $p > (1 + \frac{\delta_T}{\lambda_T})^2$  and  $\delta_{p,T} := \max\{\delta_T, \frac{\lambda_T}{2}(\sqrt{p} - 1)\},$ 

(1.5) 
$$(P_T f(y))^p \le (P_T f^p(x)) \exp\left[\frac{K_T \sqrt{p} (\sqrt{p} - 1) |x - y|^2}{4\delta_{p,T}[(\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda_T - \delta_{p,T}](1 - e^{-K_T T})}\right]$$

holds for all  $T > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

As standard applications of the dimension-free Harnack inequality, we present below two consequences on the heat kernel inequalities and contractivity properties of the semigroup.

Let  $p_t(x, y)$  be the transition density of  $P_t$  w.r.t. a Radon measure  $\mu$ . Then according to [25, Proposition 2.4], the above log-Harnack inequality (1.4) and Harnack inequality (1.5) are equivalent to the following heat kernel inequalities respectively:

(1.6) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_T(x,z) \log \frac{p_T(x,z)}{p_T(y,z)} \mu(\mathrm{d}z) \le \frac{K_T |x-y|^2}{4\lambda_T^2 (1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0$$

and

(1.7) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_T(x,z) \left( \frac{p_T(x,z)}{p_T(y,z)} \right)^{1/(p-1)} \mu(\mathrm{d}z) \\ \leq \exp\left[ \frac{K_T \sqrt{p} |x-y|^2}{4\delta_{p,T}(\sqrt{p}+1)[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda_T - \delta_{p,T}](1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})} \right], \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0.$$

So, the following is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) either be deterministic and independent of t, or satisfy (A4). Let  $P_t$  has a strictly positive density w.r.t. a Radon measure  $\mu$ . Then (A1) and (A2) imply (1.6), while (A1)-(A3) imply (1.7).

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $\sigma(t, x)$  and b(t, x) be deterministic and independent of t, such that (A1)-(A3) hold for constant  $K, \lambda$  and  $\delta$ . Let  $P_t$  have an invariant probability measure  $\mu$ .

- (1) If there exists  $r > K^+/\lambda^2$  such that  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$ , then  $P_t$  is hypercontractive, i.e.  $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu)\to L^4(\mu)} = 1$  holds for some t > 0.
- (2) If  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  holds for all r > 0, then  $P_t$  is supercontractive, i.e.  $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \to L^4(\mu)} < \infty$  holds for all t > 0.
- (3) If  $P_t e^{r|\cdot|^2}$  is bounded for any t, r > 0, then  $P_t$  is ultracontractive, i.e.  $||P_t||_{L^2(\mu) \to L^{\infty}(\mu)} < \infty$  for any t > 0.

**Remark 1.1.** In the situation of Corollary 1.3 with  $\mu$  a probability measure, when  $P_t$  is symmetric w.r.t.  $\mu$ , the hypercontractivity is equivalent to the validity of the log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \le C\mu(\Gamma(f, f)), \quad f \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \mu(f^2) = 1$$

for some constant C > 0. Moreover, by (A2) and (A3) there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$|C^{-1}|\nabla f|^2 \le \Gamma(f, f) \le C|\nabla f|^2$$

holds for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . So, by the concentration of measure for the log-Sobolev inequality, the hypercontractivity of  $P_t$  implies that  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  for some r > 0, while the supercontractivity of  $P_t$  implies that  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$ . Combining this with Corollary 1.3, we have the following assertions.

- (i) Let  $K \leq 0$ . Then  $P_t$  is hypercontractive if and only if  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  holds for some r > 0.
- (ii)  $P_t$  is supercontractive if and only if  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  holds for all r > 0.
- (iii)  $P_t$  is ultracontractive if and only if  $P_t e^{r|\cdot|^2}$  is bounded for any t, r > 0.

Therefore, when  $\sigma$  is bounded, Corollary 1.3 (2)-(3) are sharp for the supercontractivity and ultracontractivity of  $P_t$ . Moreover, as shown in [7] that when  $\sigma$  is constant, the sufficient condition  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  for some  $r > K^+/\lambda^2$  is optimal for the hypercontractivity of  $P_t$ . So, Corollary 1.3(1) also provides a sharp sufficient condition for the hypercontractivity of  $P_t$ .

We will prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 in the next section. In section 3 we extend these results to SDEs on Riemannian manifolds without boundary or a convex boundary. Combining the results derived in Section 3 with a conformal change of metric introduced in [23], we are able to establish Harnack inequalities in Section 4 for the Neumann semigroup on a class of non-convex manifolds.

### 2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T > 0$  and  $p > (1 + \delta_T / \lambda_T)^2$  be fixed such that  $x \neq y$ . We have

(2.1) 
$$\theta_T := \frac{2\delta_T}{(\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda_T} \in (0, 2).$$

For  $\theta \in (0, 2)$ , let

$$\xi_t = \frac{2-\theta}{K_T} (1 - e^{K_T(t-T)}), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then  $\xi$  is smooth and strictly positive on [0, T) such that

(2.2) 
$$2 - K_T \xi_t + \xi'_t = \theta, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Consider the coupling

(2.3) 
$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t + b(t, X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x, dY_t = \sigma(t, Y_t) dB_t + b(t, Y_t) dt + \frac{1}{\xi_t} \sigma(t, Y_t) \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t) dt, \quad Y_0 = y.$$

Since the additional drift term  $\xi_t^{-1}\sigma(t,y)\sigma(t,x)^{-1}(x-y)$  is locally Lipschitzian in y if (A4) holds, and continuous in y when  $\sigma$  and b are deterministic and time independent, the coupling  $(X_t, Y_t)$  is well defined for  $t < T \land \zeta$ , where  $\zeta$  is the explosive time of  $Y_t$ ; namely,  $\zeta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n$  for

$$\zeta_n := \inf\{t \in [0,T) : |Y_t| \ge n\}.$$

Here and in what follows we set  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$  by convention. Let

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t = \mathrm{d}B_t + \frac{1}{\xi_t}\sigma(t, X_t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)\mathrm{d}t, \quad t < T \wedge \zeta.$$

If  $\zeta = \infty$  and the exponential martingale

$$R_s := \exp\left[-\int_0^s \xi_t^{-1} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}B_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \xi_t^{-2} |\sigma(t, X_t)^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right]$$

is uniformly integrable for  $s \in [0, T)$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,T)} \mathbb{E}[R_s \mathbb{1}_{\{R_s > n\}}] = 0,$$

then by the martingale convergence theorem,  $R_T := \lim_{t\uparrow T} R_t$  exists and  $\{R_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$  is a martingale. In this case, by the Girsanov theorem  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t\in[0,T)}$  is a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability  $R_T\mathbb{P}$ . Reformulate (2.3) as

(2.4) 
$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) d\tilde{B}_t + b(t, X_t) dt - \frac{X_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} dt, \quad X_0 = x,$$
$$dY_t = \sigma(t, Y_t) d\tilde{B}_t + b(t, Y_t) dt, \quad Y_0 = y.$$

Since  $\int_0^T \xi_t^{-1} dt = \infty$ , we will see that the additional drift  $-\frac{X_t - Y_t}{\xi_t} dt$  is strong enough to make the coupling successful up to time T. So, we first study the uniform integrability of  $\{R_s\}_{s \in [0,T)}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume (A1) and (A2) and let  $\theta \in (0,2), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and T > 0 be fixed. Then  $\zeta = \infty$  and

$$\sup_{s \in [0,T)} \mathbb{E}R_s \log R_s \le \frac{K_T |x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 \theta(2-\theta)(1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}$$

In particular,  $\{R_s\}_{s\in[0,T)}$  is uniformly integrable so that  $R_T := \lim_{s\uparrow T} R_s$  exists and  $\{R_s\}_{s\in[0,T]}$  is a uniformly integrable martingale.

*Proof.* Let  $s \in [0, T)$  be fixed. For any  $n \ge 1$ , let

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [0, T) : |X_t| + |Y_t| \ge n\}.$$

By (2.4), (A1) and the Itô formula,

$$d\|X_t - Y_t\|^2 \le 2\langle (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))(X_t - Y_t), d\tilde{B}_t \rangle + K_T |X_t - Y_t|^2 dt - \frac{2}{\xi_t} |X_t - Y_t|^2 dt$$

holds for  $t \leq s \wedge \tau_n$ . Combining this with (2.2) we obtain

(2.5) 
$$d\frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t} \leq \frac{2}{\xi_t} \langle (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))(X_t - Y_t), d\tilde{B}_t \rangle - \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} (2 - K_T \xi_t + \xi_t') dt \\ = \frac{2}{\xi_t} \langle (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))(X_t - Y_t), d\tilde{B}_t \rangle - \frac{\theta}{\xi_t^2} |X_t - Y_t|^2 dt, \quad t \le s \wedge \tau_n.$$

Since due to the Girsanov theorem  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \leq \tau_n \wedge s}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability measure  $R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$ , letting  $\mathbb{E}_{s,n}$  denote the corresponding expectation we obtain

(2.6) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} dt \le \frac{|x - y|^2}{\theta \xi_0}, \quad s \in [0, T), n \ge 1.$$

Since  $X_t$  is non-explosive, this in particular implies that  $Y_t$  is non-explosive before time T, i.e.  $\zeta = \infty$ . By (A2) and the definitions of  $R_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$ , we have

$$\log R_r = -\int_0^r \frac{1}{\xi_t} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \frac{|\sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t)|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t$$
  
$$\leq -\int_0^r \frac{1}{\xi_t} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2\lambda_T^2} \int_0^r \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t, \quad r \leq s \wedge \tau_n.$$

Since  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under  $R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$  up to  $s \wedge \tau_n$ , combining this with (2.6) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}\log R_{s\wedge\tau_n} = \mathbb{E}_{s,n}\log R_{s\wedge\tau_n} \le \frac{|x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2\theta\xi_0}, \quad s\in[0,T), n\ge 1$$

By the Fatou lemma and noting that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_n \geq T$  since  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  are non-explosive before T, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}R_s \log R_s \le \frac{|x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 \theta \xi_0} = \frac{K_T |x-y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 \theta (2-\theta)(1-e^{-K_T T})}, \quad s \in [0,T).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.1 ensures that the coupling  $(X_t, Y_t)$  is well-constructed for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Since  $\int_0^T \xi_t^{-1} dt = \infty$ , we shall see that the coupling is successful up to time T, so that  $X_T = Y_T$  holds (see the proof of Theorem 1.1 below). This will provide the desired Harnack inequality for  $P_t$  as explained in Section 1 as soon as  $R_T$  has finite p/(p-1)-moment. The next lemma provides an explicit upper bound on the moments of  $R_T$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume (A1)-(A3). Let  $R_t$  and  $\xi_t$  be fixed for  $\theta = \theta_T$ . We have

(2.7) 
$$\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \left\{ R_s \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T^2}{8\delta_T^2} \int_0^s \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t \right] \right\} \le \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T K_T |x - y|^2}{4\delta_T^2 (2 - \theta_T)(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right].$$

Consequently,

(2.8) 
$$\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}R_s^{1+r_T} \le \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T K_T (2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) |x - y|^2}{8\delta_T^2 (2 - \theta_T) (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) (1 - e^{-K_T T})}\right]$$

holds for

$$r_T = \frac{\lambda_T^2 \theta_T^2}{4\delta_T^2 + 4\theta_T \lambda_T \delta_T}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta = \theta_T$ . By (2.5), for any r > 0 we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[r \int_{0}^{s \wedge \tau_{n}} \frac{|X_{t} - Y_{t}|^{2}}{\xi_{t}^{2}} \mathrm{d}t\right] \\
\leq \exp\left[\frac{r|x - y|^{2}}{\theta_{T}\xi_{0}}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{2r}{\theta_{T}} \int_{0}^{s \wedge \tau_{n}} \frac{1}{\xi_{t}} \langle (\sigma(t, X_{t}) - \sigma(t, Y_{t}))(X_{t} - Y_{t}), \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_{t} \rangle \right] \\
\leq \exp\left[\frac{rK_{T}|x - y|^{2}}{\theta_{T}(2 - \theta_{T})(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-K_{T}T})}\right] \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{8r^{2}\delta_{T}^{2}}{\theta_{T}^{2}} \int_{0}^{s \wedge \tau_{n}} \frac{|X_{t} - Y_{t}|^{2}}{\xi_{t}^{2}} \mathrm{d}t\right]\right)^{1/2},$$

where the last step is due to (A3) and the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{M_t} \le (\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{2\langle M \rangle_t})^{1/2}$$

holds for a continuous exponential integrable martingale  $M_t$ . Taking  $r = \theta_T^2/(8\delta_T^2)$ , we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{\theta_T^2}{8\delta_T^2} \int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t\right] \le \left[\frac{\theta_T K_T |x - y|^2}{4\delta_T^2 (2 - \theta_T)(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right], \quad n \ge 1.$$

This implies (2.7) by letting  $n \to \infty$ .

Next, let

$$M_t = -\int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n} \frac{1}{\xi_t} \langle \sigma(t, X_t)^{-1} (X_t - Y_t), \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t \rangle, \quad t \le s \wedge \tau_n,$$

which is a martingale w.r.t.  $R_{s \wedge \tau_n} \mathbb{P}$ . By the definition of  $R_t$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{1+r_T} = \mathbb{E}_{s,n}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{r_T} = \mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[r_TM_s + \frac{r_T}{2}\langle M\rangle_s\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[r_TM_s - \frac{r_T^2q}{2}\langle M\rangle_s + \frac{r_T}{2}(qr_T+1)\langle M\rangle_s\right]$$

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[r_TqM_s - \frac{r_T^2q^2}{2}\langle M\rangle_s\right]\right)^{1/q} \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[\frac{r_Tq(r_Tq+1)}{2(q-1)}\langle M\rangle_s\right]\right)^{(q-1)/q}$$

$$= \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n}\exp\left[\frac{r_Tq(r_Tq+1)}{2(q-1)}\langle M\rangle_s\right]\right)^{(q-1)/q}, \quad q > 1.$$

Combining this with (A2) we obtain

(2.9) 
$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{1+r_T} \le \left(\mathbb{E}_{s,n} \exp\left[\frac{qr_T(qr_T+1)}{2(q-1)\lambda_T^2} \int_0^{s\wedge\tau_n} \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t\right]\right)^{(q-1)/q}, \quad q > 1.$$

Taking

(2.10) 
$$q = 1 + \sqrt{1 + r_T^{-1}}$$

which minimizes  $q(qr_T + 1)/(q - 1)$  such that, due to the definition of  $r_T$ ,

(2.11) 
$$\frac{qr_T(qr_T+1)}{2\lambda_T^2(q-1)} = \frac{r_T + \sqrt{r_T(r_T+1)}}{2\lambda_T^2\sqrt{1+r_T^{-1}}} (r_T + 1 + \sqrt{r_T(r_T+1)}) \\ = \frac{(r_T + \sqrt{r_T^2 + r_T})^2}{2\lambda_T^2} = \frac{\theta_T^2}{8\delta_T^2}.$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.7) and (2.11), and noting that due to (2.10) and the definition of  $r_T$  one has

$$\frac{q-1}{q} = \frac{\sqrt{1+r_T^{-1}}}{1+\sqrt{1+r_T^{-1}}} = \frac{2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T}{2\delta_T + 2\theta_T \lambda_T},$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}R_{s\wedge\tau_n}^{1+r_T} \le \exp\Big[\frac{\theta_T K_T (2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) |x-y|^2}{8\delta_T^2 (2-\theta_T) (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) (1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\Big].$$

According to the Fatou lemma, the proof is then completed by letting  $n \to \infty$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) By Lemma 2.1,  $\{R_s\}_{s\in[0,T]}$  is an uniformly integrable martingale. Moreover, by the Girsanov theorem,  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t\leq T}$  is a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the probability  $R_T\mathbb{P}$ . Thus,  $Y_t$  can be solved up to time T. Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : X_t = Y_t\}.$$

We claim that  $\tau \leq T$  so that  $X_T = Y_T$  a.s. Indeed, if for some  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\tau(\omega) > T$ , by the continuity of the diffusions we have

$$R_T(\omega) \inf_{t \in [0,T]} |X_t - Y_t|^2(\omega) > 0.$$

Noting that  $\int_0^T \xi_t^{-2} dt = \infty$ , this implies that

$$R_T \int_0^T \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t = \infty$$

holds on the set  $\{\tau > T\}$ . But according to Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{R_T \int_0^T \frac{|X_t - Y_t|^2}{\xi_t^2} \mathrm{d}t\right\} < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{P}(\tau > T) = 0$  and hence,  $X_T = Y_T$  a.s.

Now, combining Lemma 2.1 with  $X_T = Y_T$  and using the Young inequality, for  $f \ge 1$  we have

$$P_T \log f(y) = \mathbb{E}[R_T \log f(Y_T)] = \mathbb{E}[R_T \log f(X_T)]$$
  
$$\leq \mathbb{E}[R_T \log R_T] + \log[\mathbb{E}f(X_T)] \leq \log P_T f(x) + \frac{K_T |x - y|^2}{2\lambda_T^2 \theta(2 - \theta)(1 - e^{-K_T T})}.$$

This completes the proof of (1) by taking  $\theta = 1$ .

(2) Let  $\theta = \theta_T$ . Since  $X_T = Y_T$  and  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under  $R_T \mathbb{P}$ , we have

(2.12) 
$$(P_T f(y))^p = (\mathbb{E}[R_T f(Y_T)])^p = (\mathbb{E}[R_T f(X_T)])^p \le (P_T f^p(x))(\mathbb{E}R_T^{p/(p-1)})^{p-1}$$

Due to (2.1) we have

$$\frac{p}{p-1} = 1 + \frac{\lambda_T^2 \theta_T^2}{4\delta_T (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T)}.$$

So, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.1) that

$$(\mathbb{E}R_T^{p/(p-1)})^{p-1} = (\mathbb{E}R_T^{1+r_T})^{p-1} \le \exp\left[\frac{(p-1)\theta_T K_T (2\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) |x-y|^2}{8\delta_T^2 (2-\theta_T) (\delta_T + \theta_T \lambda_T) (1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[\frac{K_T \sqrt{p} (\sqrt{p}-1) |x-y|^2}{4\delta_T [(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda_T - \delta_T] (1-\mathrm{e}^{-K_T T})}\right].$$

Combining this with (2.12) we obtain

(2.13) 
$$(P_T f(y))^p \le \left(P_T f^p(x)\right) \exp\left[\frac{K_T \sqrt{p} \left(\sqrt{p} - 1\right) |x - y|^2}{4\delta_T \left[(\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda_T - \delta_T\right] (1 - e^{-K_T T})}\right].$$

Finally, since  $\delta_{p,t} \geq \delta_t$  so that (A3) holds for  $\delta_{p,t}$  in place of  $\delta_t$ , we conclude that (2.13) also holds with  $\delta_{p,T}$  in place of  $\delta_T$ .

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let  $f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $\mu(f^p) \leq 1$ . Let  $p > (1 + \delta/\lambda)^2$ . By Theorem 1.1(2), we have

$$(P_t f(y))^p \exp\left[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)|x-y|^2}{4\tilde{\delta}_p[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\tilde{\delta}_p](1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})}\right] \le P_t f^p(x), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\tilde{\delta}_p = \max\{\delta, \frac{\lambda}{2}(\sqrt{p}-1)\}$ . Integrating w.r.t.  $\mu(dx)$  an noting that  $\mu$  is  $P_t$ -invariant, we obtain

(2.14) 
$$(P_t f(y))^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}(\sqrt{p}-1)|x-y|^2}{4\tilde{\delta}_p[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda - \tilde{\delta}_p](1-e^{-Kt})}\right] \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le 1.$$

Now, let  $B(0,1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $\mu$  is an invariant measure, it has a strictly positive density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure (cf. [6]). In particular,  $\mu(B(0,1)) > 0$ . Let  $p \geq (1 + 2\delta/\lambda)^2$ . We have  $\tilde{\delta}_p = (\sqrt{p} - 1)\lambda/2$  and thus,

$$\frac{\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)}{4\tilde{\delta}_p\left[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\tilde{\delta}_p\right]} = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\lambda^2(\sqrt{p}-1)}$$

Combining this with (2.14) and noting that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\Big[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)|x-y|^2}{4\tilde{\delta}_p[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\tilde{\delta}_p](1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})}\Big]\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\ &\geq \mu(B(0,1))\exp\Big[-\frac{K\sqrt{p}\left(\sqrt{p}-1\right)(1+|y|)^2}{4\tilde{\delta}_p[(\sqrt{p}-1)\lambda-\tilde{\delta}_p](1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})}\Big], \end{split}$$

we obtain

(2.15) 
$$(P_t f(y))^p \le C_1 \exp\left[\frac{K\sqrt{p}(1+|y|)^2}{\lambda_T^2(\sqrt{p}-1)(1-e^{-Kt})}\right], \quad t > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$  and all  $f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\mu(f^p) \leq 1$ . Since

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{K\sqrt{p}}{\lambda^2(\sqrt{p}-1)(1-\mathrm{e}^{-Kt})} = \frac{K^+}{\lambda^2},$$

for any  $r > K^+/\lambda^2$  there exist  $p > (1 + 2\delta/\lambda)^2, \beta > 1$  and  $t_1 > 0$  such that

$$(P_{t_1}f(y))^{\beta p} \le C_2 \mathrm{e}^{r|y|^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathscr{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu(f^p) \le 1$$

holds for some constant  $C_2 > 0$ . Thus,  $\mu(e^{r|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  implies that  $\|P_{t_1}\|_{L^p(\mu) \to L^{p\beta}(\mu)} < \infty$ . Since  $\|P_s\|_{L^q(\mu)} = 1$  holds for any  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , by the interpolation theorem and the semigroup property one may find  $t_2 > t_1$  such that

(2.16) 
$$||P_{t_2}||_{L^2(\mu) \to L^4(\mu)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, by [12, Theorem 3.6(ii)], there exist some constants  $\eta, C_3 > 0$  such that

$$||P_t - \mu||_{L^2(\mu)} \le C_3 \mathrm{e}^{-\eta t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Combining this with (2.16) we conclude that  $||P_t||_{L^2(\mu)\to L^4(\mu)} \leq 1$  holds for sufficiently large t > 0, i.e. (2) holds.

Finally, (3) and (4) follow immediately from (2.15) and the interpolation theorem.  $\Box$ 

#### 3 Extension to manifolds with convex boundary

Let M be a d-dimensional complete, connected Riemannian manifold, possibly with a convex boundary  $\partial M$ . Let N be the inward unit normal vector filed of  $\partial M$  when  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $P_t$  be the (Neumann) semigroup generated by

$$L := \psi^2 (\Delta + Z)$$

on M, where  $\psi \in C^1(M)$  and Z is a  $C^1$  vector field on M. Assume that  $\psi$  is bounded and

holds for some constant  $K_0 \ge 0$ . Then the (reflecting) diffusion process generated by L is non-explosive.

To formulae  $P_t$  as the semigroup associated as SDE like (1.1), we set

(3.2) 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{2}\psi, \quad b = \psi^2 Z.$$

Then  $P_t$  is the semigroup for the solution to the following Itô SDE (see e.g. [9] or [4] for the definition of  $d_I$  on Riemannian manifolds):

(3.3) 
$$d_I X_t = \sigma(X_t) \Phi_t dB_t + b(X_t) dt + N(X_t) dl_t,$$

where  $B_t$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}), \Phi_t$  is the horizontal lift of  $X_t$  onto the frame bundle O(M), and  $l_t$  is the local time of  $X_t$  on  $\partial M$ . When  $\partial M = \emptyset$ , we simply set  $l_t = 0$ .

To derive the Harnack inequality as in Section 2, we assume that

(3.4) 
$$\lambda := \inf \sigma > 0, \quad \delta := \sup \sigma - \inf \sigma < \infty.$$

Now, let  $x, y \in M$  and T > 0 be fixed. Let  $\rho$  be the Riemannian distance on M, i.e.  $\rho(x, y)$  is the length of the minimal geodesic on M linking x and y, which exits if  $\partial M$  is either convex or empty.

Let  $X_t$  solve (3.3) with  $X_0 = x$ . Next any strictly positive function  $\xi \in C([0,T))$ , let  $Y_t$  solve

$$d_I Y_t = \sigma(Y_t) P_{X_t, Y_t} \Phi_t dB_t + b(X_t) dt - \frac{\sigma(Y_t) \rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\sigma(X_t) \xi_t} \nabla \rho(X_t, \cdot)(Y_t) dt + N(Y_t) d\tilde{l}_t$$

for  $Y_0 = y$ , where  $\tilde{l}_t$  is the local time of  $Y_t$  on  $\partial M$ , and  $P_{X_t,Y_t} : T_{X_t}M \to T_{Y_t}M$  is the parallel displacement along the minimal geodesic from  $X_t$  to  $Y_t$ , which exists since  $\partial M$  is convex or empty. As explained in [4, Section 3], we may and do assume that the cut-locus of M is empty such that the parallel displacement is smooth. Let

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t = \mathrm{d}B_t + \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t \sigma(X_t)} \Phi_t^{-1} \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad t < T.$$

By the Girsanov theorem, for any  $s \in (0,T)$  the process  $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t \in [0,s]}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion under the weighted probability measure  $R_s \mathbb{P}$ , where

(3.5) 
$$R_s := \exp\left[-\int_0^s \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t \sigma(X_t)} \langle \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \phi_t \mathrm{d}B_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\xi_t^2 \sigma(X_t)^2} \mathrm{d}t\right].$$

Thus, by (3.2) we have

$$d_I X_t = \sqrt{2} \psi(X_t) \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t + (\psi^2 Z)(X_t) dt - \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t} \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) dt + N(X_t) dl_t,$$
  
$$d_I Y_t = \sqrt{2} \psi(Y_t) \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t + (\psi^2 Z)(Y_t) dt + N(Y_t) d\tilde{l}_t.$$

Let  $\xi \in C^1([0,T))$  be strictly positive and take

$$\beta_t = -\frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\sqrt{2\xi_t}\psi(X_t)} \Phi_t^{-1} \nabla(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t).$$

Repeating the proof of (4.10) in [26] we obtain

$$d\rho(X_t, Y_t) \le (\sigma(X_t) - \sigma(Y_t)) \langle \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle + K_1 \rho(X_t, Y_t) dt - \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)}{\xi_t} dt, \quad t < T,$$

where

$$K_1 = K_0 \|\psi\|_{\infty}^2 + 2\|Z\|_{\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \|\psi\|_{\infty}$$

This implies that

$$d\frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\xi_t} \le \frac{2}{\xi_t}\rho(X_t, Y_t)(\sigma(X_t) - \sigma(Y_t))\langle \nabla \rho(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \Phi_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle - \frac{\rho(X_t, Y_t)^2}{\xi_t^2} (2 - K\xi_t + \xi_t')dt$$

holds for

(3.6) 
$$K := 2K_1 + \|\nabla\sigma\|_{\infty}^2 \\ = 2K_0 \|\psi\|_{\infty}^2 + 4\|Z\|_{\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \|\psi\|_{\infty} + 2\|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty}^2.$$

In particular, letting

$$\xi_t = \frac{2-\theta}{K} (1 - e^{K(t-T)}), \quad t \in [0, T], \theta \in (0, 2),$$

we have

$$2 - K\xi_t + \xi'_t = \theta.$$

Therefore, the following result follows immediately by repeating calculations in Section 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $\partial M$  is either empty or convex. Let (4.1) and Z,  $\phi$  be bounded such that

$$K := 2K_0 \|\psi\|_{\infty}^2 + 4\|Z\|_{\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \|\psi\|_{\infty} + 2\|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty}^2 < \infty.$$

Then all assertions in Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2, 1.3 hold for  $P_t$  the (Neumann) semigroup generated on M by  $L = \psi^2(\Delta + Z)$  on M for constant functions  $K, \delta := \sqrt{2} (\sup \psi - \inf \psi)$  and  $\lambda := \sqrt{2} \inf |\psi|$ .

#### 4 Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds

Following the line of [23], we are able to make the boundary from non-convex to convex by using a conformal change of metric. This will enable us to extend our results to the Neumann semigroup on a class of non-convex manifolds.

Let  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$  with N the inward normal unit vector field. Then the second fundamental form of  $\partial M$  is a two-tensor on the tengent space of  $\partial M$  defined by

$$\mathbb{I}(X,Y) := -\langle \nabla_X N, Y \rangle, \quad X, Y \in T \partial M.$$

Assume that there exists  $\kappa > 0$  and  $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

(4.1) 
$$\operatorname{Ric} - \nabla Z \ge -K_0, \quad \mathbb{I} \ge -\kappa$$

holds for M and a  $C^1$  vector field Z. We shall consider the Harnack inequality for the Neumann semigroup  $P_t$  generated by

$$L = \Delta + Z.$$

To make the boundary convex, let  $f \in C_b^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $f \ge 1$  and  $N \log f|_{\partial M} \ge \kappa$ . By [23, Lemma 2.1],  $\partial M$  is convex under the metric

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = f^{-2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$$

Let  $\Delta'$  and  $\nabla'$  be the Laplacian and gradient induced by the new metric. We have (see (2.2) in [20])

$$L = f^{-2}(\Delta' + Z'), \quad Z' = f^2 Z + \frac{d-2}{2} \nabla f^2.$$

So, Theorem 3.1 applies for the convex manifold  $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ . Let Ric' be the Ricci curvature induced by the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ . We have (see the proof of [26, Theorem 5.1])

$$\operatorname{Ric}' - \nabla' Z' \ge -K_f \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$$

for

(4.2) 
$$K_f = \sup \left\{ Kf^2 - d\Delta f + (d-3)|\nabla f|^2 + 3|Z|f|\nabla f| \right\}.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 holds for  $\psi = f^{-1}$  and

$$K = 2K_f^+ \|f^{-1}\|_{\infty} + 4\|Z'\|_{\infty}' \|\nabla' f^{-1}\|_{\infty}' \|f^{-1}\|_{\infty} + 2|\nabla' f^{-1}\|_{\infty}'^2$$
  
$$\leq 2K_f^+ + 4\|fZ + (d-2)\nabla\|_{\infty} \|\nabla f\|_{\infty} + 2\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|'$  is the norm induced by  $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$  and we have used that  $f \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (4.1) hold for some  $\kappa > 0$  and  $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $P_t$  be the Neumann semigroup generated by  $L = \Delta + Z$  on M. Then for any  $f \in C_b^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $\inf f = 1$ ,  $N \log f|_{\partial M} \ge \kappa$  and  $K < \infty$ , where K is fixed by (4.2) and (4), all assertions in Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 hold for constant functions  $K, \delta := \sqrt{2} (\sup f^{-1} - \inf f^{-1})$ , and  $\lambda := \sqrt{2} \inf f^{-1}$ .

**Remark 4.1** A simple choice of f in Theorem 4.1 is  $f = \phi \circ \rho_{\partial}$ , where  $\rho_p$  is the Riemannian distance to the boundary which is smooth on  $\{\rho_{\partial} \leq r_0\}$  for some  $r_0 > 0$  provided the injectivity radius of the boundary is positive, and  $f \in C_b^{\infty}([0, \infty))$  is such that  $f(0) = 1, f'(0) = \kappa$  and  $f(r) = f(r_0)$  for  $r \geq r_0$ . In general, f is taken account to  $r_T$  and bounds of the second fundamental form and sectional curvatures, see e.g. [23, 26] for details. With specific choices of f Theorem 4.1 provides explicit Harnack type inequalities, heat kernels estimates and criteria on contractivity properties for the Neumann semigroup on manifolds with non-convex boundary.

# References

- [1] S. Aida, Uniform positivity improving property, Sobolev inequalities, and spectral gaps, J. Funct. Anal. 158(1998), 152–185.
- [2] S. Aida and H. Kawabi, Short time asymptotics of a certain infinite dimensional diffusion process, Stochastic analysis and related topics, VII (Kusadasi, 1998), Progr. Probab., vol. 48, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2001, pp. 77–124.

- [3] S. Aida and T. Zhang, On the small time asymptotics of diffusion processes on path groups, Potential Anal. 16(2002), 67–78.
- [4] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below, Bull. Sci. Math. 130(2006), 223–233.
- [5] D. Bakry, M. Emery, Hypercontractivité de semi-groupes de diffusion, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. I Math. 299(1984), 775–778.
- [6] V. I. Bogachev, N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, On regularity of transition probabilities and invariant measures of singular diffusions under minimal conditions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), 2037–2080.
- [7] X. Chen, F.-Y. Wang, Optimal integrability condition for the log-Sobolev inequality, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) 58(2007), 17–22.
- [8] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 257(2009), 992–1017.
- [9] M. Emery, *Stochastic calculus in manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. von Renesse, M. Scheutzew, Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with bounded memory, arXiv:0910.4536.
- [11] S. Fang, T.-S. Zhang, A study of a class of stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitzian coefficients, Probab. Theory Related Fields 132 (2005), 356–390.
- [12] M. Hino, Exponential decay of positivity preserving semigroups on L<sup>p</sup>, Osaka J. Math. 37 (2000), 603–624.
- [13] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes (Second Edition), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [14] W. Liu, Fine properties of stochastic evolution equations and their applications, Doctor-Thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009.
- [15] W. Liu and F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342(2008), 651-662.
- [16] S.-X. Ouyang, Harnack inequalities and applications for stochastic equations, Ph.D. thesis, Bielefeld University, 2009.
- [17] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, Supercontractivity and ultracontractivity for (nonsymmetric) diffusion semigroups on manifolds, Forum Math. 15(2003), no. 6, 893– 921.

- [18] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 203(2003), 237–261.
- [19] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences, arXiv:0911.0290.
- [20] A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates for harmonic functions on regular domains in Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 155:1(1998),109–124.
- [21] F.-Y. Wang, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Probab. Theory Related Fields 109(1997), 417–424.
- [22] F.-Y.Wang, Equivalence of dimension-free Harnack inequality and curvature condition, Integral Equations Operator Theory 48(2004), no. 4, 547–552.
- [23] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations, Ann. Probab. 35(2007), 1333–1350.
- [24] F.-Y. Wang, Estimates of the first Neumann eigenvalue and the log-Sobolev constant on nonconvex manifolds, Math. Nachr. 280(2007), 1431–1439.
- [25] F.-Y. Wang Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications, arXiv:0908.2888.
- [26] F.-Y. Wang Transportation-cost inequalities on path space over manifolds with boundary, arXiv:0908.2891.
- [27] T. Yamada, S. Watanabe, On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11(1971), 155–167.
- [28] T.-S. Zhang, White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities, 2008 preprint.