

A Derivative Free Approach for Total Variation Regularization

Carsten Pontow² Otmar Scherzer^{1,3}

¹Computational Science Center
University of Vienna
Nordbergstrasse 15
1090 Wien, Austria

²Department of Mathematics
University of Innsbruck
Technikerstrasse 21a
6020 Innsbruck, Austria

³RICAM
Radon Institute
Altenbergerstrasse 69
4040 Linz, Austria

September 25, 2018

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to present a novel approach for total variation regularization and Sobolev minimization, which are prominent tools for variational imaging. Thereby we use derivative free characterizations of the total variation semi-norm and Sobolev semi-norms of functions recently derived by Bourgain, Brézis, Mironescu and Dávila. Their analysis is to approximate the semi-norms of a function by singular integral operators. With this characterization we derive a series of novel regularization methods for total variation minimization which have as a novel feature a non-local double integral regularization term.

1 Introduction

Given noisy image data f^δ , *total variation denoising* (see [14]) consists in minimization of the functional

$$\mathcal{F}^1(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (f - f^\delta)^2(x) dx + \alpha |Df|.$$

The minimizer is a smoothed approximation of f^δ . In the above functional $|Df|$ denotes the total variation seminorm of f and $\alpha > 0$ is a positive constant. The first summand of the functional above is called the *fidelity* term and penalizes the deviation of an image f from the data f^δ . The second summand is named regularization term and penalizes the rate of change within f . While the first summand provides that the outcome of the minimization process (the denoised image) preserves similarity to f^δ , the second term is intended to reduce the oscillations within the argument f in order to generate an approximation to f^δ that is free of the inherent noise. In fact, this strategy has proven to be successful and even more, the total variation seminorm has proven to be superior to other regularization terms penalizing the rate of change in the sense that edges within the image are preserved and not blurred.

Another well-known choice for the regularization term is for $1 < p < \infty$ the p -th power of the Sobolev $(1, p)$ -seminorm $|\cdot|_{1,p}$ given by

$$|f|_{1,p} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Exchanging it with the TV-seminorm in the above functional yields the functional

$$\mathcal{F}^p(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (f - f^\delta)^2(x) dx + \alpha |f|_{1,p}^p.$$

In this paper we are concerned with a analytical approach to approximate the functionals \mathcal{F}^p in a variational sense. Recently, new **derivative free characterizations** of the Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ and the space BV of functions of bounded total variation function have been obtained by Bourgain, Brézis and Mironescu [3] and Dávila [9] – This work has been refined and supplemented by Ponce in [13]. These characterizations provided new derivative-free approximations of the $(1,p)$ - and total variation seminorms that are obtained by approximating the respective seminorm of a function f by double integrals over the p -th power of the difference quotient function of f multiplied with weighting kernel functions that form an approximation the identity.

In detail, let for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and any measurable function f

$$\mathcal{R}_n^p(f) := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \varphi_n(x - y) dx dy. \quad (1)$$

The functions φ_n are non-negative, radially symmetric, and radially decreasing functions from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying that for every $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{x:|x|>\delta\}} \varphi_n(x) dx = 0 \quad (2)$$

and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi_n(x) dx = 1. \quad (3)$$

Conditions (2) and (3) imply that the unit mass of the functions φ_n concentrates around the origin as n strives to infinity.

If $1 < p < \infty$, then by [3, Theorem 2] there exist real constants $K_{p,N}$ such that for every measurable function f defined on Ω

$$\frac{1}{K_{p,N}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_n^p(f) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(x)|^p dx = |f|_{1,p}^p,$$

where the limit is $+\infty$ if f does not belong to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For the space of functions with finite total variation a similar characterization with $p = 1$ in the integral expression holds true [9]:

$$\frac{1}{K_{1,N}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_n^1(f) = |Df|.$$

These approximations of the seminorms give rise to the following approximations of the functionals \mathcal{F}^p from above. Let for $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\mathcal{F}_n^p(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (f - f^\delta)^2(x) dx + \frac{\alpha}{K_{p,N}} \mathcal{R}_n^p(f). \quad (4)$$

In this paper we give a variational analysis of the approximation of the functionals \mathcal{F}^p by the functionals \mathcal{F}_n^p for $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, we will show that all of the approximating functionals \mathcal{F}_n^p have a unique minimizer f_n and

that the sequence of minimizers (f_n) has an accumulation point f that is indeed the unique minimizer of the limit functional \mathcal{F}^p . That is, the minimizers of \mathcal{F}_n^p approximate the minimizer of \mathcal{F}^p . Most of these results are applications of results found in [3], [9] and [13].

Further, we show how the regularization functional \mathcal{R}_n^1 evaluates for some important examples. In this way, taking into account the above mentioned result of the paper, we derive a series of numerical schemes for total variation minimization. In fact well-known numerical methods for total variation minimization can be derived, as well as a series of new ones. In future work this approach could perhaps be used to clarify the relationships between existing and seemingly unrelated numerical and analytical approaches or to supply an analytical basis for existing numerical schemes. A particular consequence of our approach is that by the used approximation it turns out that total variation minimization can be considered a *bilateral filtering* [16]. There is still an ongoing discussion on comparing qualities of total variation denoising and bilateral filtering numerically (see e.g. [12]). The results of this paper shed some additional light on this topic from an analytical point of view. Another issue is that, in contrast to total variation minimization, the new functionals do not require the differentiability of the total variation measure, and thus the derivation of numerical schemes can be considered in a function setting.

Notations. We summarize some further general assumptions and notations that will be used throughout the rest of this paper. The set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded open set with C^1 -boundary. The symbol \mathcal{H}^{N-1} denotes the $(N-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff-measure in \mathbb{R}^N . The symbol \mathcal{L} denotes the N -dimensional Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N .

For a real function f as usual $f_+ := \max(f, 0)$ and $f_- := -\min(f, 0)$ symbolize the positive and negative parts of f , respectively.

The letter p is always used as integration index and always satisfies $1 \leq p < \infty$. Sometimes the range of p will be further restricted. The letter p^* denotes the dual index to p and is given by

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$$

if $p > 1$ while $p^* = \infty$ if $p = 1$. We denote by $\|f\|_p$ the L^p -norm of f on Ω . The space of L^p -functions on Ω with mean value zero is symbolized by

$$L^p_{\diamond}(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in L^p(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = 0 \right\}.$$

The mean value of an integrable function f on Ω is symbolized by

$$f_{\Omega} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx.$$

The characteristic function of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is denoted by χ_A .

Let $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be the space of infinitely differentiable functions from Ω to \mathbb{R} with compact support. For the *TV*-seminorm of a locally integrable function f we use the symbol

$$|Df| = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x) \nabla \cdot \psi(x) dx : \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n), |\psi(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

The space of functions of bounded variation on Ω is the set

$$BV(\Omega) := \{f \in L^1(\Omega) : |Df| < \infty\}.$$

The constants $K_{p,N}$ are defined by

$$K_{p,N} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S^{N-1})} \int_{S^{N-1}} |\langle e, \sigma \rangle|^p d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) & \text{if } N > 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } N = 1. \end{cases}$$

The radial and radial decreasing functions φ_n give rise to the monotone decreasing functions $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ on $(0, \infty)$ defined for all $r > 0$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n(r) := \varphi_n(x)$$

where x is a point in \mathbb{R}^N with $|x| = r$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} : L^1(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \\ f &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} \|f - f^\delta\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

denote the first summand of the functional \mathcal{F}_n^p . The functional attains the value $+\infty$ if $f - f^\delta$ not in L^2 .

2 Variational Analysis

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. In the following we prove existence and uniqueness of a minimizer of the functional \mathcal{F}_n^p defined in (4).

We begin with the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let f be a real measurable function that does not belong to $L^p(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}_n^p(f) = +\infty.$$

Proof. By the hypothesis

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx = +\infty.$$

By the assumptions on φ_n there must exist a radius $r > 0$ with $\tilde{\varphi}(r) > 0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(s) \geq \tilde{\varphi}(r)$ for all $s < r$.

Since Ω is bounded $\bar{\Omega}$ can be covered by finitely many balls of radius r , and there must exist a measurable subset M of Ω with positive measure satisfying

$$\int_M |f(x)|^p dx = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(M) < r.$$

It follows that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $f - \beta \notin L^p(M)$; in particular $f - f(x) \notin L^p(M)$ for almost all $x \in M$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_n^p(f) &\geq \int_M \int_M \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \varphi_n(x - y) \, dy dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} \int_M \int_M |f(y) - f(x)|^p \varphi_n(x - y) \, dy dx\end{aligned}$$

and by monotonicity

$$\frac{1}{r^p} \int_M \int_M |f(y) - f(x)|^p \varphi_n(x - y) \, dy dx \geq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_n(r)}{r^p} \int_M \int_M |f(y) - f(x)|^p \, dy dx.$$

But as inferred above for almost all $x \in M$

$$\int_M |f(y) - f(x)|^p \, dy = +\infty.$$

Thus,

$$\int_M \int_M |f(y) - f(x)|^p \, dy dx = +\infty,$$

and by the above estimations the proof is complete. \square

We continue with the following lemma about the weak lower semicontinuity of the regularization functional.

Lemma 2. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{R}_n^p is weakly lower semicontinuous on $L^q(\Omega)$, that is,*

$$\mathcal{R}_n^p(g) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_n^p(g_k)$$

for every sequence $(g_k) \in L^q(\Omega)$ that converges weakly with respect to the L^q -topology to a function $g \in L^q(\Omega)$.

Proof. By a standard result of convex analysis (see e.g. [7]) it suffices to show that the functional \mathcal{R}_n^p is convex and lower semicontinuous on $L^q(\Omega)$. Both properties of \mathcal{R}_n^p are established below by representing the functional as the pointwise supremum of convex and lower semicontinuous functionals on $L^q(\Omega)$.

Let $g \in L^q(\Omega)$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_n^p(g)$ may be viewed as the p -th power of the p -norm on $\Omega \times \Omega$ of the following measurable function

$$\hat{g}(x, y) = \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{|y - x|} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(y - x). \quad (5)$$

Let $\Delta := \{(x, x) : x \in \Omega\}$ and

$$\Pi_\varepsilon = \{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \text{dist}((x, y), \Delta) > \varepsilon\}.$$

By monotone convergence

$$\|\hat{g}\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left\{ \|\hat{g}|_{\Pi_\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\Pi_\varepsilon)} \right\}.$$

Let ε be sufficiently small such that Π_ε is not the empty set. We use the notation

$$\mathcal{B}_{p^*}^\varepsilon := \left\{ \tilde{\eta} \in C_c(\Omega \times \Omega) : \tilde{\eta}|_{(\Omega \times \Omega) \setminus \Pi_\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ and } \|\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^{p^*}(\Pi_\varepsilon)} \leq 1 \right\}$$

for the set of continuous functions on $\Omega \times \Omega$ with compact support and L^{p^*} -norm less than one that vanish in the complement of Π_ε in $\Omega \times \Omega$.

By duality, the p -norm of \hat{g} on Π_ε can be represented as the following supremum:

$$\|\hat{g}|_{\Pi_\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\Pi_\varepsilon)} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Pi_\varepsilon} \hat{g}(x, y) \eta(x, y) d(y, x) : \eta \in C_c(\Pi_\varepsilon) \text{ and } \|\eta\|_{L^{p^*}(\Pi_\varepsilon)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Using that the functions from $\mathcal{B}_{p^*}^\varepsilon$ vanish in $(\Omega \times \Omega) \setminus \Pi_\varepsilon$ this supremum can be rewritten as

$$\sup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p^*}^\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(x, y) \tilde{\eta}(x, y) dy dx.$$

We need the following property of the functions $\tilde{\eta}$ from the set $\mathcal{B}_{p^*}^\varepsilon$: for all such $\tilde{\eta}$ the function

$$x \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|x-y|} dy \quad (6)$$

belongs to $L^q(\Omega)$. To see this note that for $p > 1$ we get by an application of Hölder's inequality and by using the vanishing property of $\tilde{\eta}$ and the hypothesis that φ_n has integral one

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{|\tilde{\eta}(x, y)|}{|y-x|} dy \right)^q dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B(x, \varepsilon)} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\|\tilde{\eta}\|_{\infty}}{|y-x|} dy \right)^q dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B(x, \varepsilon)} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\|\tilde{\eta}\|_{\infty}}{\varepsilon} dy \right)^q dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|\tilde{\eta}\|_{\infty}}{\varepsilon} \right)^q \mathcal{L}(\Omega)^{\frac{2q}{p^*}} dx \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $p = 1$ an analogous computation can be carried out similarly.

In the same way it is proven that for all $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p^*}^\varepsilon$ the function

$$y \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|x-y|} dx \quad (7)$$

lies in $L^q(\Omega)$. By Fubini's theorem we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |g(y)| \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{|\tilde{\eta}(x, y)|}{|x-y|} dy dx &= \int_{\Omega} |g(y)| \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{|\tilde{\eta}(x, y)|}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

and thus the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} g(y) \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|x-y|} dy dx$$

converges.

Using the preceding results we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{g}\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} &= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|\hat{g}|_{\Pi_\varepsilon}\|_{L^p(\Pi_\varepsilon)} \\
&= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(x, y) \tilde{\eta}(x, y) dy dx \\
&= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} g(y) \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|x-y|} dy dx - \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_{\Omega} g(x) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|x-y|} dy dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Exchanging the two variables in the first integral expression followed by an application of Fubini's theorem shows that the difference from above is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(y, x)}{|x-y|} dy dx - \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_{\Omega} g(x) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|x-y|} dy dx \right) \\
&= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} g(x) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(y, x) - \tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|y-x|} dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon$ the inner integral expression in the double integral expression above gives rise to the function

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\tilde{\eta}} : \Omega &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
x &\mapsto \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x-y) \frac{\tilde{\eta}(y, x) - \tilde{\eta}(x, y)}{|y-x|} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Just as in (6) and (7) it is shown that all the functions $h_{\tilde{\eta}}$ belong to $L^q(\Omega)$.

Thus, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the functional

$$g \mapsto \int_{\Omega} g(x) h_{\tilde{\eta}}(x) dx$$

is continuous on $L^q(\Omega)$ for all $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon$, and since for all $g \in L^q(\Omega)$

$$(\mathcal{R}_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}(g) = \|\hat{g}\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)} = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{B}_{p_*}^\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} g(x) h_{\tilde{\eta}}(x) dx$$

the functional $(\mathcal{R}_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is the pointwise supremum of continuous functionals on $L^q(\Omega)$. We conclude that the functional \mathcal{R}_n^p is indeed the supremum of continuous functionals on $L^q(\Omega)$ and hence, is lower semicontinuous on this space. The convexity of \mathcal{R}_n^p can be proven in a likewise manner. \square

Below we will use some compactness results of Bourgain, Brézis, and Mironescu [3] which are as follows.

Theorem 3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Assume that (g_n) is a sequence of functions in $L_\diamond^p(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{R}_n^p(g_n)$ is uniformly bounded. Then the sequence (g_n) is relatively compact in $L_\diamond^p(\Omega)$ and has a subsequence (g_{n_k}) converging (in the L^p -norm) to a limit function g that lies in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if $p > 1$ and in $BV(\Omega)$ if $p = 1$.*

In the following we apply the above results to prove existence and uniqueness of a minimizer of the functional \mathcal{F}^p .

Here we make use of a scale space property of variational denoising algorithms, that they are grey level invariant (see [15]). We note that for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ a function f_0 is a minimum of \mathcal{F}^p if and only if $f_0 - \int_{\Omega} f^{\delta}$ minimizes the modification of \mathcal{F}^p where f^{δ} is replaced by the function $f^{\delta} - \int_{\Omega} f^{\delta}$. Since the latter function has mean value zero we restrict our attention to the case that the mean of f^{δ} is zero, and consequently, also the mean of the minimizer of \mathcal{F}^p is zero.

Proposition 4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$ and assume that $f^{\delta} \in L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$.*

1. *Then the functional \mathcal{F}_n^p attains a unique minimizer f_n over $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$ that also belongs to $L^p_{\diamond}(\Omega)$.*
2. *The function f_n is also a minimizer of \mathcal{F}_n^p over $L^1(\Omega)$.*
3. *The sequence of numbers $(\mathcal{R}_n^p(f_n))$ is uniformly bounded over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the sequence f_n has a convergent subsequence whose limit f is an element of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if $p > 1$ and of $BV(\Omega)$ if $p = 1$.*

Proof. We begin with the proof of the first assertion and show first that the functional \mathcal{F}_n^p attains a unique minimizer f_n over $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$. Let (g_k) be a minimizing sequence of functions in $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$ for the functional \mathcal{F}_n^p such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_n^p(g_k) = \inf_{g \in L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}_n^p(g) \leq \mathcal{F}_n^p(0) = \frac{1}{2} \|f^{\delta}\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

Since

$$\|g_k - f^{\delta}\|_2^2 \leq 2\mathcal{F}_n^p(g_k)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ this implies that (g_k) is uniformly bounded in $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$ and thus has a weakly convergent subsequence in $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$. Let us denote this subsequence again by (g_k) and its weak limit by $f_n \in L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$.

We notice that the first summand \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{F}_n^p is convex and continuous on $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$ and thus, weakly lower semicontinuous on this space [7]. From Lemma 2 it follows that \mathcal{R}_n^p and thus, also \mathcal{F}_n^p are weakly lower semicontinuous on $L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$, too.

It follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_n^p(f_n) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_n^p(g_k) = \inf_{g \in L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)} \mathcal{F}_n^p(g).$$

Thus, f_n is a minimizer for \mathcal{F}_n^p .

As the first summand \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{F}_n^p is the composition of a convex and a strictly increasing function it is strictly convex. By (the proof of) Lemma 2 the second summand \mathcal{R}_n^p of \mathcal{F}_n^p is convex and thus, we can infer strict convexity for the whole functional \mathcal{F}_n^p . The latter implies the uniqueness of the minimizer.

By Lemma 1 the minimizer f_n also belongs to $L^p_{\diamond}(\Omega)$.

We now show the second assertion, namely, that f_n minimizes \mathcal{F}_n^p over $L^1(\Omega)$.

Since the mean value of f^δ is zero, for each $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}\left(g - \int_{\Omega} g(y) dy\right) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(g(x) - \int_{\Omega} g(y) dy \right) - f^\delta(x) \right)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (g(x) - f^\delta(x))^2 dx - \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mathcal{S}(g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_n^p\left(g - \int_{\Omega} g(y) dy\right) = \mathcal{R}_n^p(g).$$

Thus, for every $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ there exists a function $\tilde{g} \in L^2_{\diamond}(\Omega)$ with

$$\mathcal{F}_n^p(\tilde{g}) \leq \mathcal{F}_n^p(g),$$

and it follows that the function f_n is a minimizer of \mathcal{F}_n^p over $L^2(\Omega)$. It is also a minimizer of \mathcal{F}_n^p over $L^1(\Omega)$ since the first summand of \mathcal{F}_n^p equals infinity for $g \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus L^2(\Omega)$.

For the proof of the third assertion note that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{R}_n^p(f_n) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_n^p(f_n) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_n^p(0) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|f^\delta\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, the sequence $(\mathcal{R}_n^p(f_n))$ is uniformly bounded. By theorem 3 the sequence f_n has a convergent subsequence whose limit f lies in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if $p > 1$ and in $BV(\Omega)$ if $p = 1$. \square

Notation: We denote the subsequence occurring in the third assertion of the previous proposition again by (f_n) and use that notation for the rest of our paper. We denote by f the limit of (f_n) for the rest of the paper as well.

The following remark provides another justification that the mean of the minimizers f_n is zero.

Remark 5. Let h be the function

$$\begin{aligned} h : \Omega &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ the functional \mathcal{F}_n^p is Gateaux-differentiable in direction h and that the Gateaux-derivative $(\mathcal{F}_n^p)'(g; h)$ satisfies

$$(\mathcal{F}_n^p)'(g; h) = \int_{\Omega} (g - f^\delta)(x) dx$$

since $\mathcal{R}_n^p(g + \delta h) = \mathcal{R}_n^p(g)$ for all $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ and all real $\delta \neq 0$. Thus, we can infer

$$\int_{\Omega} (f_n - f^\delta)(x) dx = (\mathcal{F}_n^p)'(f_n; h) = 0$$

which means that the mean value of the minimizer f_n is equal to the mean value of f^δ that was assumed to be zero above. \blacksquare

It remains to clarify whether the limit function f is a minimum of the respective limit functional \mathcal{F}^p . The concerning questions are answered to a large extent by a result of A. Ponce [13] in terms of Γ -convergence.

We recall the definition of Γ -convergence in $L^1(\Omega)$ [8]. Let (F_n) denote a sequence of functionals mapping functions from $L^1(\Omega)$ to the set of extended real numbers $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$, and let F be a functional of this kind, too. Then the sequence (F_n) Γ -converges to F with respect to the $L^1(\Omega)$ -topology if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied

- for every $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ and for every sequence (g_n) in $L^1(\Omega)$ converging to g in the L_1 -norm we have

$$F(g) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(g_n);$$

- for every $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence (g_n) in $L^1(\Omega)$ converging to g in the L_1 -norm with

$$F(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(g_n).$$

In this case we write

$$\Gamma_{L^1(\Omega)}^- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = F.$$

We denote by $\text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^- F$ the lower semicontinuous envelope of the functional F with respect to the strong L^1 -topology, that is, $\text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^- F$ is the greatest lower semicontinuous functional less than or equal to F .

Ponce's result is established in a far more general setting than the one we are treating here. He investigates double integrals of the kind

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \omega \left(\frac{|g(y) - g(x)|}{|y - x|} \right) \rho_{\epsilon}(y - x) \, dy dx$$

where ω is a continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ and $(\rho_{\epsilon})_{\epsilon > 0}$ is a family of nonnegative functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying the conditions (2) and (3). The functions ρ_{ϵ} are not assumed to be radial or radially decreasing.

The functions ρ_{ϵ} induce positive Radon measures μ_{ϵ} on the sphere S^{N-1} : Let B be a Borel subset of S^{N-1} and let

$$\mathbb{R}_+ B := \{rx : r \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in B\}.$$

be the cone with its apex in the origin that is generated by B . Let

$$\mu_{\epsilon}(B) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ B} \rho_{\epsilon}(x) \, dx.$$

The family of measures (μ_{ϵ}) is bounded by 1 and thus, has a subsequence μ_{ϵ_j} that converges weakly to a Radon measure μ on S^{N-1} . Moreover, let ω_{μ} be the real function on \mathbb{R}^N defined by

$$\omega_{\mu}(\vec{v}) := \int_{S^{N-1}} \omega(|\langle \vec{v}, \sigma \rangle|) \, d\mu(\sigma)$$

for all $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Further, let ω^{**} denote the convex lower semicontinuous envelope of the function ω , that is, by our assumption, the greatest convex function less than or equal to ω .

Finally, Ponce defines the functional

$$F : L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$$

$$g \mapsto \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \omega_{\mu}(\nabla g(x)) \, dx & \text{if } g \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Ponce's result, which he proves for bounded open sets with Lipschitz boundary, is as follows:

Theorem 6. *If for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$*

$$(\omega_{\mu})^{**}(x) = (\omega^{**})_{\mu}(x)$$

then

$$\Gamma_{L^1(\Omega)}^{-} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \omega \left(\frac{|g(y) - g(x)|}{|y - x|} \right) \rho_{\epsilon_j}(y - x) \, dy dx = \text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^{-} F(g)$$

for every $g \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Let us now apply Ponce's result to our problem. Let μ_n denote the Radon measure induced by φ_n . Since φ_n is radial with integral one we get

$$\mu_n(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ B} \varphi_n(x) \, dx = \frac{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(B)}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S^{N-1})}$$

for all Borel subsets B of S^{N-1} .

Therefore, all the measures μ_n are equal and thus, in contrast to the more general situation treated by Ponce, the whole sequence (μ_n) is converging weakly to a limit measure μ that itself equals all of the measures μ_n .

We note that in our case ω is the function defined by

$$\omega(x) := |x|^p$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Thus, for all $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\omega_{\mu}(\vec{v}) = \int_{S^{N-1}} |\langle \vec{v}, \sigma \rangle|^p \, d\mu(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S^{N-1})} \int_{S^{N-1}} |\langle \vec{v}, \sigma \rangle|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma),$$

and since the integrand only depends on the length of \vec{v} we have

$$\omega_{\mu}(\vec{v}) = \frac{|\vec{v}|^p}{\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(S^{N-1})} \int_{S^{N-1}} |\langle e, \sigma \rangle|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\sigma) = K_{p,N} |\vec{v}|^p.$$

where e is an arbitrary unit vector in \mathbb{R}^N . Since both functions ω and ω_v are convex it follows that

$$(\omega_{\mu})^{**} = (\omega^{**})_{\mu}$$

and thus, Ponce's theorem is applicable to our problem. We get

$$\Gamma_{L^1(\Omega)}^{-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|g(y) - g(x)|^p}{|y - x|^p} \varphi_n(y - x) \, dy dx = \text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^{-} F(g).$$

for all $g \in L^1(\Omega)$.

We have to determine the functional $\text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^- F$. From theorem 1.2. in [6] we get that for all $g \in L^1(\Omega)$

$$\text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^- F(g) = \begin{cases} K_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |D^a g(x)|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \omega_{\mu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{dD^s g}{d|D^s g|} \right) d|D^s g| & \text{if } g \in BV(\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where in the case $g \in BV(\Omega)$ the symbol $D^a g$ denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the absolute continuous part of the vector-valued Radon measure Dg with respect to Lebesgue measure and $\frac{dD^s g}{d|D^s g|}$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the singular part $D^s g$ of Dg with respect to its total variation $|D^s g|$. The function ω_{μ}^{∞} is the recession function: it is defined on Ω , takes its values in the extended real numbers, and is given by

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\infty}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_{\mu}(tx)}{t} = \begin{cases} K_{1,N}|x| & \text{if } p = 1, \\ +\infty & \text{if } p > 1. \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \Omega$.

It follows that for $p = 1$

$$\text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^- F(f) = \begin{cases} K_{1,N}|Df| & \text{if } f \in BV(\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{if } f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus BV(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

and for $p > 1$

$$\text{sc}_{L^1(\Omega)}^- F(f) = \begin{cases} K_{p,N}|f|_{1,p}^p & \text{if } f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{if } f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus W^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Thus, the $\Gamma_{L^1(\Omega)}^-$ -limit of the sequence of functionals \mathcal{R}_n^p has been established for all $p \geq 1$. By [8], example 1.21., it is clear that the first summand of the functionals \mathcal{F}_n^p is lower semicontinuous on $L^1(\Omega)$, and is thus $\Gamma_{L^1(\Omega)}^-$ -converging to the first summand of the functionals \mathcal{F}^p . Altogether, the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 7. *For all $1 \leq p < \infty$ the sequence of functionals (\mathcal{F}_n^p) converges in the $\Gamma_{L^1(\Omega)}^-$ -sense to the limit functional \mathcal{F}^p .*

Corollary 8. *For all $1 \leq p < \infty$ the limit function f of the (sub)sequence of minimizers (f_n) of \mathcal{F}_n^p is the unique minimum of the limit functional \mathcal{F}_p over $L^1(\Omega)$. The minimum f also belongs to the space $L_{\diamond}^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}$ if $p > 1$ and $L_{\diamond}^2(\Omega) \cap BV(\Omega)$ if $p = 1$.*

Proof. The limit function f is a minimum of the limit functional \mathcal{F}_p over $L^1(\Omega)$ due to the properties of Γ^- -convergence [8]. It belongs to the space $L^2(\Omega)$ since $\mathcal{S}(f)$ is finite. Its mean is zero since it is the limit of the sequence (f_n) from the closed subspace $L_{\diamond}^p(\Omega)$ of $L^p(\Omega)$, and it belongs to $W^{1,p}$ if $p > 1$ and $BV(\Omega)$ if $p = 1$ by the third assertion of Proposition 4. \square

3 Numerical Minimization of the Energy Functionals

In this section we present some numerical schemes for minimization of the regularization functionals \mathcal{R}_n^1 in space dimensions one and two. We use a finite

element approach and approximate functions by a linear combination of finite elements, in particular piecewise constant and piecewise linear functions. Then, by tuning the kernel functions φ_n we are able to recover standard finite difference schemes for total variation minimization on the one hand and on the other hand novel discrete schemes. A numerical comparison of the derived methods and applications to imaging will be studied in a forthcoming paper. The numerical schemes are derived from an approximation of the total variation functional in an infinite dimensional setting, which are then discretized. These schemes can serve as alternatives to existing numerical schemes (see e.g. [5, 1, 10, 17, 11]) which are based on direct minimization of the Rudin-Osher-Fatemi functional and not on dual formulations, like the Chambolle's algorithm; see e.g. [4, 2].

3.1 The One-dimensional Case

We work on the domain

$$\Omega := (0, 1).$$

and consider minimization of \mathcal{R}_n^1 with a finite element method.

1. The first two schemes are for piecewise constant finite elements:
 - (a) We use the sequence of kernel functions (φ_n) defined by

$$\varphi_n := \frac{n}{2} \chi_{[-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]}$$

Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Evaluating the one-dimensional piecewise constant function

$$f_n := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}]}$$

with \mathcal{R}_n^1 yields the standard *TV*-seminorm of f_n :

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(f_n) = \sum_{i=2}^n |a_i - a_{i-1}| = |Df_n|.$$

- (b) Using instead of (φ_n) the family of kernels $(\varphi_n^{(2)})$ defined by

$$\varphi_n^{(2)} := \frac{n}{4} \chi_{[-\frac{2}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]}$$

yields

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(f_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{1 - \ln(2)}{2} |a_{i+1} - a_{i-1}| + \sum_{i=2}^n \ln(2) |a_i - a_{i-1}|.$$

We recall that $\ln(2) \approx 0.7$.

2. Now, we consider a finite element method for piecewise linear splines. Let $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and f_n be the piecewise linear spline interpolating the nodes $(\frac{k}{n}, a_k)$, $k = 0 \dots n$, i.e.,

$$f_n := \sum_{i=0}^n a_i g_i$$

where

$$g_i(x) := \max\left(1 - n \left|x - \frac{i}{n}\right|, 0\right).$$

Inserting f_n in \mathcal{R}_n^1 and using the kernel functions φ_n yields

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|a_i - a_{i-1}|}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1})$$

where

$$t(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{|a_{i+1} - a_{i-1}|}{4} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(a_{i-1} - a_i) = \operatorname{sgn}(a_i - a_{i+1}), \\ \frac{(a_i - a_{i-1})^2 + (a_i - a_{i+1})^2}{4(|a_i - a_{i-1}| + |a_i - a_{i+1}|)} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(a_{i-1} - a_i) \neq \operatorname{sgn}(a_i - a_{i+1}). \end{cases}$$

We used the computer algebra program MAPLE for this evaluation. We provide a sketch of some parts of the computation for $n \geq 2$. We want to evaluate

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|\sum_{i=0}^n a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i g_i(y)|}{|x - y|} \varphi_n(x - y) dx dy.$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{\max(0, y - \frac{1}{n})}^{\min(1, y + \frac{1}{n})} \frac{|\sum_{i=0}^n a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i g_i(y)|}{|x - y|} dx dy.$$

Looking at the supports of the functions g_i we realize that the latter double integral equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{2} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^{y + \frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=0}^1 a_j g_j(y)|}{|x - y|} dx dy + \right. \\ & \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^{y + \frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\sum_{i=k-2}^{k+1} a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=k-1}^k a_j g_j(y)|}{|x - y|} dx dy + \\ & \left. \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1 \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^1 \frac{|\sum_{i=n-2}^n a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=n-1}^n a_j g_j(y)|}{|x - y|} dx dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

We only treat the second double integral, the other two are evaluated analogously. Let $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Then

$$\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^{y + \frac{1}{n}} \frac{|\sum_{i=k-2}^{k+1} a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=k-1}^k a_j g_j(y)|}{|x - y|} dx dy$$

can be decomposed into the sum

$$\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{k-1}{n}} \frac{|\sum_{i=k-2}^{k-1} a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=k-1}^k a_j g_j(y)|}{y - x} dx dy +$$

$$\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=k-1}^k a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=k-1}^k a_j g_j(y) \right|}{|x-y|} dx dy +$$

$$\int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{y+\frac{1}{n}} \frac{\left| \sum_{i=k}^{k+1} a_i g_i(x) - \sum_{j=k-1}^k a_j g_j(y) \right|}{x-y} dx dy.$$

whose summands we denote by $I_{k,-}$, I_k and $I_{k,+}$, respectively. The integrand of I_k is of the simple form

$$I_k = \frac{|a_k - a_{k-1}|}{n}.$$

By an application of Fubini's theorem

$$I_{k,-} = I_{k-1,+}.$$

Thus, it suffices to treat the evaluation of $I_{k,-}$ whose integrand $J(x, y)$ is reshaped as follows:

$$\frac{|(a_{k-1} - a_k)ny + (a_{k-1} - a_{k-2})nx + (a_{k-2} + a_k - 2a_{k-1})k - a_{k-2} + 2a_{k-1} - a_k|}{y-x}.$$

From this representation it is already visible that the evaluation will be dependant from the sign of the differences

$$\Delta_k := a_k - a_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{k-1} = a_{k-1} - a_{k-2}.$$

We treat here one instance of the more complex case when

$$\text{sgn}(\Delta_k) \neq \text{sgn}(\Delta_{k-1}),$$

namely the subcase where the middle coefficient a_{k-1} is the maximum of the three coefficients; the other subcase where a_{k-1} is the minimum can be treated just the same. The less complex case where a_{k-1} lies between a_{k-2} and a_k needs fewer case distinctions but apart from that can be treated analogously. Note that in the chosen subcase the second difference $\Delta_k^2 = a_{k-2} - 2a_{k-1} + a_k$ is negative.

The numerator $J_N(x, y)$ of $J(x, y)$ now reads

$$J_N(x, y) = |-\Delta_k ny + \Delta_{k-1} nx + \Delta_k^2(k-1)|$$

and is positive if and only if

$$x > f_N(y) := \frac{\Delta_k ny - \Delta_k^2(k-1)}{\Delta_{k-1} n}.$$

Thus, to evaluate the inner integral of $I_{k,-}$ we have to determine the intersections of its integration domain $(y - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{k-1}{n})$ with the intervals $(f_N(y), +\infty)$ and $(-\infty, f_N(y))$, respectively, for all $y \in (\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n})$, which is the integration domain of the outer integral.

We get that

$$f_N(y) < \frac{k-1}{n} \iff \frac{k-1}{n} < y$$

and

$$y - \frac{1}{n} < f_N(y) \iff y < \frac{1}{n} \left(k - \frac{\Delta_k}{\Delta_k^2} \right).$$

Let $C := \frac{1}{n} \left(k - \frac{\Delta_k}{\Delta_k^2} \right)$. Note that $C < \frac{k}{n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,-} = & - \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^C \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^{f_N(y)} J(x, y) \, dx dy + \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^C \int_{f_N(y)}^{\frac{k-1}{n}} J(x, y) \, dx dy + \\ & \int_C^{\frac{k}{n}} \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{k-1}{n}} J(x, y) \, dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating $J(x, y)$ with respect to x yields the primitive function

$$K(x, y) := ((ny - k + 1)\Delta_k^2) \ln(y - x) - xn\Delta_{k-1}.$$

Inserting the limits of the inner integral of the third summand yields

$$K\left(y - \frac{1}{n}, y\right) = ((k - 1 - ny)\Delta_k^2) \ln(n) - (ny - 1)\Delta_{k-1},$$

$$K\left(\frac{k-1}{n}, y\right) := ((ny - k + 1)\Delta_k^2) \ln\left(y - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) - (k-1)\Delta_{k-1}$$

and

$$L_3(y) := \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{k-1}{n}} J(x, y) \, dx = ((ny - k + 1)\Delta_k^2) \ln(ny - k + 1) + (ny - k)\Delta_{k-1}.$$

A primitive function for L_3 is

$$M_3(y) := \frac{(ny - k + 1)^2 \Delta_k^2}{2n} \ln(ny - k + 1) - \frac{1}{4n} (ny - k + 1)^2 \Delta_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} y(nk - 2y)\Delta_{k-1}.$$

Analogous computations for the first and second summand yield the functions

$$L_2(y) = (ny + 1 - k) \left(\Delta_k^2 \ln\left(-\frac{\Delta_{k-1}}{\Delta_k^2}\right) + \Delta_k \right)$$

and

$$M_2(y) = \frac{1}{2} y(ny - 2k + 2) \left(\Delta_k^2 \ln\left(-\frac{\Delta_{k-1}}{\Delta_k^2}\right) + \Delta_k \right)$$

and

$$L_1(y) = (-\Delta_k^2) (ny - k + 1) \left(\ln\left(-\frac{\Delta_k^2(ny - k + 1)}{\Delta_{k-1}}\right) - 1 \right) + \Delta_{k-1}$$

and

$$M_1(y) = -\frac{\Delta_k^2}{2} \left(y(-ny - 2 + 2k) \ln\left(-\frac{\Delta_{k-1}}{\Delta_k^2}\right) + \frac{(ny - k + 1)^2 \ln(ny - k + 1)}{n} \right)$$

$$-\frac{(ny - k + 1)^2 + 2ny(ny - 2k)}{2n} + (a_k - a_{k-1})y,$$

respectively.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,-} &= M_1\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) - M_1(C) + M_2(C) - M_2\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) + M_3\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - M_3(C) \\ &= \frac{(a_{k-1} - a_k)^2 + (a_{k-1} - a_{k-2})^2}{4n\Delta_k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this result and the results from above the final result follows easily.

We further evaluated \mathcal{R}_n^1 for the Haar-functions $h_j^{(k)}$ using the kernel functions (φ_n) . For $j = k = 0$ the function $h_j^{(k)}$ is defined by

$$h_0^{(0)}(x) := 1$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, 1 \leq j \leq 2^k$ we have

$$h_j^{(k)}(x) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{2^k} & \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{2j-2}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2j-1}{2^{k+1}}\right), \\ -\sqrt{2^k} & \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{2j-1}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{2j}{2^{k+1}}\right), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $h_0^{(0)}$ is constant

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(h_0^{(0)}) = 0.$$

For $h_1^{(0)}$ we get

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(h_1^{(0)}) = \begin{cases} 2 \ln(2) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that by symmetry for $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{k-1}$

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(h_j^{(k)}) = \mathcal{R}_n^1(h_{2^k-j+1}^{(k)}). \quad (8)$$

For $k \geq 1$ the marginal functions $h_1^{(k)}$ evaluate to

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(h_1^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2^k} \left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) \ln(2) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^k}\right) \ln(2^k - 1) \right) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{n}{\sqrt{2^k}} \left((k+2) \ln(2) - \ln(n) + 1 \right) & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq 2^k, \\ \sqrt{2^k} n \left(\frac{k+1}{2^{k-1}} \ln(2) - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \ln(n) + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{n} \right) & \text{if } 2^k \leq n \leq 2^{k+1}, \\ 3\sqrt{2^k} & \text{if } n \geq 2^{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

For $k \geq 2$ and $j = 2, \dots, 2^{k-1}$ the inner functions $h_j^{(k)}$, evaluate to

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1 \left(h_j^{(k)} \right) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2^k} \left(\frac{j \ln(j)}{2^k} - \frac{(j-1) \ln(j-1)}{2^k} - \right. \\ \left. \left(1 - \frac{j}{2^k} \right) \ln(2^k - j) + \right. \\ \left. \left(1 - \frac{j-1}{2^k} \right) \ln(2^k - j + 1) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2^{k-1}} \right) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{n}{\sqrt{2^k}} (j \ln(j) + (j-1) \ln(j-1) + \\ (k+2) \ln(2) - \ln(n) + 1) & \text{if } \frac{2^k}{2^{k-j}} \leq n \leq \frac{2^k}{j}, \\ n\sqrt{2^k} \left(\frac{(j-1) \ln(j-1)}{2^k} - \frac{(j+1) \ln(n)}{2^k} - \right. \\ \left. \frac{(kj+k+2) \ln(2)}{2^k} + \frac{j+1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{n} \right) & \text{if } \frac{2^k}{j} \leq n \leq \frac{2^k}{j-1}, \\ \frac{2n}{\sqrt{2^k}} ((k+1) \ln(2) - \ln(n) + 1) & \text{if } \frac{2^k}{j-1} \leq n \leq 2^{k+1}, \\ 4\sqrt{2^k} & \text{if } n \geq 2^{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

The evaluation of $h_j^{(k)}$ for $j = 2^{k-1} + 1, \dots, 2^k$ is reduced to the evaluations directly above via (8).

3.2 The Two-dimensional Case

We now switch to the two-dimensional case and evaluate \mathcal{R}_n^1 for a piecewise constant function defined on a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . In detail, let Ω be chosen as the open square $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ and f be defined on Ω via

$$f(\vec{v}) := \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \chi_{I_{i,j}}(\vec{v})$$

for all $\vec{v} \in \Omega$ where $I_{k,l} := I_k \times I_l$ with $I_k := (\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n})$ for all $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

1. We choose the kernel functions

$$\varphi_n(\vec{v}) := \frac{n^2}{\pi} \chi_{B(0, \frac{1}{n})}(\vec{v})$$

for all \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^2 where $B(0, \frac{1}{n})$ denotes the ball around the origin with radius $\frac{1}{n}$. The sequence (φ_n) satisfies all conditions stated in the introduction. We note that for two points (x, y) and (w, z) from Ω we have $(x, y) - (w, z) \in B(0, \frac{1}{n})$ if and only if

$$(w, z) \in S_\Omega \left(x, y, \frac{1}{n} \right) := ((0, 1) \times (0, 1)) \cap B \left((x, y), \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

We further define the intersection of the circle $B((x, y), \frac{1}{n})$ with the square $I_{k,l}$ by

$$S_{k,l} \left(x, y, \frac{1}{n} \right) := I_{k,l} \cap B \left((x, y), \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

We have to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n^1(f) &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x, y) - f(w, z)|}{|(x, y) - (w, z)|} \varphi((x, y) - (w, z)) d(w, z) d(x, y) \\ &= \frac{n^2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \iint_{S_{\Omega}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{|\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} \chi_{I_{i,j}}(x, y) - \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_{k,l} \chi_{I_{k,l}}(w, z)|}{|(x - w, y - z)|} d(w, z) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

The occurring quadruple integral can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^n \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{k,l}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{|a_{i,j} - a_{k,l}|}{|(x - w, y - z)|} d(w, z) dy dx.$$

For fixed $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and fixed $x, y \in I_{i,j}$ the domain $S_{k,l}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})$ of the inner double integral is empty if $|i - k| \geq 2$ or $|j - l| \geq 2$. Thus, it suffices to evaluate those summands of the inner sum above that fulfill $|i - k| \leq 1$ and $|j - l| \leq 1$. However, in the case where $i = k$ and $j = l$ the integrand of the corresponding summand vanishes such that this case may be left out, too.

Given a pair of indices (i, j) let

$$\mathcal{I}_{i,j} := \{(k, l) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2 : |i - k| \leq 1, |j - l| \leq 1, (k, l) \neq (i, j)\}.$$

denote the set of pairs of indices for which the corresponding summands of the inner sum in the integral expression above do not vanish generally.

Then the above quadruple integral equals

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j}} |a_{i,j} - a_{k,l}| \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{k,l}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x - w, y - z)|} d(w, z) dy dx.$$

We denote for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and all $(k, l) \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j}$ the quadruple integral expression on the right hand side above with $J_{i,j}^{k,l}$, i.e.

$$J_{i,j}^{k,l} := \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{k,l}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x - w, y - z)|} d(w, z) dy dx.$$

Again, let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ be fixed. The set of pairs of indices with non-vanishing summands $\mathcal{I}_{i,j}$ may be partitioned into the sets

$$\mathcal{I}_{i,j}^d := \{(k, l) \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j} : k \neq i \text{ and } l \neq j\}$$

of pairs of indices marking squares diagonally adjacent to the square $I_{i,j}$ and

$$\mathcal{I}_{i,j}^l := \{(k, l) \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j} : k = i \text{ or } l = j\}$$

collecting pairs of indices that denote squares laterally adjacent to $I_{i,j}$. By simple transformations of the kind $(x, w) \mapsto (x \pm \frac{1}{n}, w \pm \frac{1}{n})$ etc. and applications of Fubini's theorem (as in the one-dimensional case) (or by geometric insight) we realize that the integrals $J_{i,j}^{k,l}$ are equal for all $(k, l) \in$

$\mathcal{I}_{i,j}^d$ and the same holds true for all $(k, l) \in \mathcal{I}_{i,j}^l$. Further, the respective values of the two evaluations are independent of i and j .

Thus, it suffices to compute the values of $J_{i_*, j_*}^{i_*-1, j_*-1}$ and $J_{i_*, j_*}^{i_*, j_*-1}$ for some fixed $2 \leq i_*, j_* \leq n$, and the final result will be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n^1(f) = \frac{2n^2}{\pi} & \left(\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i-1,j-1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j-1}| \right) J_{i_*, j_*}^{i_*-1, j_*-1} + \right. \\ & \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i,j-1}| + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i-1,j}| \right) J_{i_*, j_*}^{i_*, j_*-1} \right). \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

We begin with the more complex case of laterally adjacent squares and evaluate

$$J_{i,j}^{i,j-1} = \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{i,j-1}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} d(w, z) dy dx.$$

for some fixed $2 \leq i, j \leq n$.

We first point out that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\frac{1}{2}} & := \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{i,j-1}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} d(w, z) dy dx = \\ & \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{i,j-1}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} d(w, z) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$J_{i,j}^{i,j-1} = 2J_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This can be established by application of the transformations $(x, w) \mapsto (\frac{2i-1}{n} - x, \frac{2i-1}{n} - w)$.

Let (x, y) be chosen from $I_{i,j}$ with $x \geq \frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}$. We analyze the inner double integral

$$\iint_{S_{i,j-1}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} d(w, z)$$

of $J_{\frac{1}{2}}$. We use the abbreviations

$$a := x - \frac{i-1}{n}, \quad b := y - \frac{j-1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad d := x - \frac{i}{n}.$$

for the distances of x and y to some nodes. Note that by our choice of x and y we have the inequalities $a, b > 0$, $d < 0$ and, in particular, $a > |d|$.

Let $(w, z) \in S_{i,j-1}(x, y, \frac{1}{n})$. It follows that

$$(x-w)^2 < \frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2.$$

and therefore,

$$z > y - \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2} < w < x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}.$$

Thus, $z \in (y - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{i-1}{n})$ and we have to analyze the intersection of intervals

$$I_w := \left(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n} \right) \cap \left(x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}, x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2} \right). \quad (10)$$

(The index w in the symbol I_w is just used as a symbol to indicate that we are dealing with the integration domain of the variable w but does not stand for the values of w . The same applies to I_z etc. below.) We first point out that

$$\frac{i-1}{n} < x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2} \iff z < y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}. \quad (11)$$

Thus the result of (10) is dependent from the intersection

$$I_z := \left(y - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n} \right) \cap \left(-\infty, y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \right). \quad (12)$$

While it is clear that $y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} > y - \frac{1}{n}$ we have

$$y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} < \frac{j-1}{n} \iff y < \frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}. \quad (13)$$

with $\frac{j-1}{n} < \frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} < \frac{j}{n}$.

We first consider the case that $y \in I_y := \left(\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \right)$. Then $I_z = \left(y - \frac{1}{n}, y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \right)$.

We look at the subcase $z \in I_z$. It follows by (11) that now the lower bound of I_w is $x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}$.

Considering its upper bound we have to find the minimum of $x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}$ and $\frac{i}{n}$. Similarly to (11) we get that

$$\frac{i}{n} > x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2} \iff z < y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}. \quad (14)$$

Since $a^2 > d^2$ it is true that $y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2} \in I_z$ implying that the treated subcase has two more subsubcases: $z \in I_{z,1} := \left(y - \frac{1}{n}, y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2} \right)$ and $z \in I_{z,2} := \left(y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}, y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \right)$.

By (14) and (10) the upper bound of I_w is $x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}$ if $z \in I_{z,1}$ and equals $\frac{i}{n}$ if $z \in I_{z,2}$. Thus, the treated subcase gives rise to the

following two quadruple integrals

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}}^{x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx$$

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx$$

which we denote by K_1 and K_2 , respectively.

We turn to the subcase that $z \notin I_z$, i.e. $z \in \left(y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}, \frac{j-1}{n}\right)$. By (11) the lower bound of I_w is in this subcase $\frac{i-1}{n}$. Since $y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} > y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}$ by (14) in this subcase the upper bound of I_w is $\frac{i}{n}$. This subcase yields the quadruple integral

$$K_3 := \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{j-1}{n}} \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx.$$

We still need to analyze the case $y \notin I_y$, that is, $y \in \left(\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}, \frac{i}{n}\right)$. In this case by (13) and (12) the interval I_z equals $\left(y - \frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n}\right)$. By (13) and (12) it is clear that the lower bound of I_w is $x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}$. The determination of the upper bound is a little more intricate including two subcases concerning the choice of the domain of y one of which generating two subsubcases concerning the domain of z . However, its computation processes similarly enough to the computations in the first case that we skip it here and just state the resulting quadruple integrals which we name K_4, K_5 and K_6 , respectively.

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}}^{x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx,$$

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{j-1}{n}} \int_{x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx,$$

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \int_{y - \frac{1}{n}}^{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}}^{x + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (y-z)^2}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx.$$

Altogether,

$$J_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^6 K_i.$$

The evaluation of the six quadruple integrals K_i involves the transformation of the respective inner double integrals to polar coordinates. In order to simplify this procedure we first translate the integration domain of the respective inner double integral to the rectangle $(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \times (0, \frac{1}{n})$.

In all six cases given a point (x, y) from the domain of the respective outer double integral this is done by application of the transformation $(w, z) \mapsto (x - w, y - z)$. Let L_i be the result of this application to K_i . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx, \\
L_2 &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_d^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx, \\
L_3 &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_b^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_d^a \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx, \\
L_4 &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx, \\
L_5 &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_b^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_d^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx, \\
L_6 &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \int_b^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let for $1 \leq i \leq 6$ the function F_i be the evaluation function of the inner double integral of L_i defined on the domain of the outer double integral of L_i .

In L_1, L_4 and L_6 the integration domain of the inner double integral is a segment of the circle $B(0, \frac{1}{n})$ that results from the intersection of that circle with a parallel to the x -axis. A straight-forward transformation to polar coordinates (r, ϕ) yields for example for F_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(x, y) &= \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}{r}\right)}^{\arcsin\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}{r}\right) + \pi} d\phi dr \\
&= \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \pi - 2 \arcsin\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}{r}\right) dr \\
&= \pi r - 2r \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}{r}\right) - \\
&\quad 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2} \operatorname{arcoth}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 - \frac{1}{n^2} + d^2}}\right) \Big|_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{n} - 2 \frac{\arcsin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}n\right)}{n} + \\
&\quad \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2} \left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{n} + d\right) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{n} - d\right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and F_4 and F_6 are treated analogously.

The integration domain of F_3 is a rectangle with edges parallel to the axes stretching across both quadrants of the upper half plane. In order to transform this domain to polar coordinates we split it along the y -axis in two axis-parallel rectangles that reside in the second and first quadrant, respectively,

$$F_3(x, y) = \int_b^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}} \int_d^0 \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz + \int_b^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}} \int_0^a \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz,$$

and call the resulting double integrals $A(x, y)$ and $B(x, y)$.

We turn to the computation of $A(x, y)$. The transformation of a rectangle domain located in the second quadrant to polar coordinates depends on whether its bottom left vertex or its top right vertex is more distant from the origin. In the case of $A(x, y)$ this conditions reads

$$|(d, b)| < \left| \left(0, \frac{1}{n^2} - a^2 \right) \right|. \quad (15)$$

In the case where (15) holds true the transformation to polar coordinates yields

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) = & \int_b^{|(d,b)|} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{-\arcsin\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)+\pi} d\phi dr + \int_{|(d,b)|}^{\left|(0, \frac{1}{n^2}-a^2)\right|} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\arccos\left(\frac{d}{r}\right)} d\phi dr + \\ & \int_{\left|(0, \frac{1}{n^2}-a^2)\right|}^{\left|(d, \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}\right|} \int_{-\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}}{r}\right)+\pi}^{\arccos\left(\frac{d}{r}\right)} d\phi dr, \end{aligned}$$

in the opposite case $A(x, y)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_b^{\left|(0, \frac{1}{n^2}-a^2)\right|} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{-\arcsin\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)+\pi} d\phi dr + \int_{\left|(0, \frac{1}{n^2}-a^2)\right|}^{|(d,b)|} \int_{-\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}}{r}\right)+\pi}^{-\arcsin\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)+\pi} d\phi dr + \\ & \int_{|(d,b)|}^{\left|(d, \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}\right|} \int_{-\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2}-a^2}}{r}\right)+\pi}^{\arccos\left(\frac{d}{r}\right)} d\phi dr. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases the occurring three double integrals can be evaluated similarly like $F_1(x, y)$ above. Summing together the respective three results yields in both cases the same result: a sum consisting of summands that are of one of the following three types: binary products where one factor is a logarithmic expression, binary products where one factor is an arcsin- or arccos-expression or binary products of a square root and π . By use of the appropriate transformation rules for arcus-expressions the two latter groups of binary products cancel each other out. Therefore, in both cases

$A(x, y)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(b \left(\ln \left(\sqrt{d^2 + b^2} + d \right) - \ln \left(\sqrt{d^2 + b^2} - d \right) \right) + \right. \\ & d \left(\ln \left(\sqrt{d^2 + b^2} + b \right) - \ln \left(\sqrt{d^2 + b^2} - b \right) + \right. \\ & \left. \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2 + d^2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \right) - \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2 + d^2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \right) \right) + \\ & \left. \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2} \left(\ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2 + d^2} - d \right) - \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2 + d^2} + d \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The double integral $B(x, y)$ is evaluated in a completely analogous fashion.

The integration domains of F_2 and F_5 have a similar geometric structure. We give a short overview of the evaluation of F_2 . As in the case of F_3 we split the integration domain along the y -axis in order to have less case distinction when transforming to polar coordinates:

$$F_2(x, y) := \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_d^0 \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz + \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dy dx.$$

The first double integral has an axis-parallel rectangle domain located in the second quadrant and is treated like $A(x, y)$. The domain of the second double integral is the intersection of two shapes: an axis-parallel rectangle domain located in the first quadrant whose lower right vertex B lies on the circle $B(0, \frac{1}{n})$, and the circle $B(0, \frac{1}{n})$ itself. This means that by the intersection the right edge of the rectangle and parts of its top edge are exchanged with a circular arc around zero with radius $\frac{1}{n}$. The double integral is translated to polar coordinates as follows:

$$\int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}} \int_{\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}{r}\right)}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\phi dr + \int_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}{r}\right)}^{\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - d^2}}{r}\right)} d\phi dr.$$

For the evaluation of F_5 proceed as for F_2 . The only difference to F_2 lies in the fact that by the intersection with the circle $B(0, \frac{1}{n})$ also parts of the bottom line of the corresponding underlying rectangle are removed. In symbols this is reflected by exchanging every occurrence of the term $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}$ with b in the double integral directly above.

The integration of the functions F_i with respect to y can be executed in all cases by standard means. Note that the double integrals related to F_1 , F_2 and F_4 do not depend on y such that those integrations are mere multiplications of the respective functions with the difference between the limits of the respective integrals. After all the resulting functions of the variable x have been summed up the fourth integration can be carried out yielding

$$J_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^6 K_i = \sum_{i=1}^6 L_i = \frac{1}{3n^3} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{i,j}^{i,j-1} = 2J_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{3n^3}.$$

This solves the case of laterally adjacent squares

The case of diagonally adjacent squares is much simpler. For $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ we have to compute

$$J_{i,j}^{i-1,j-1} = \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \iint_{S_{i-1,j-1}(x,y,\frac{1}{n})} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} d(w, z) dydx.$$

where

$$S_{i-1,j-1}\left(x, y, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \left(\left(\frac{i-2}{n}, \frac{i-1}{n}\right) \times \left(\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n}\right)\right) \cap B\left((x, y), \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

An easy computation shows that the latter set is empty if and only if $|(a, b)| \geq \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore, for the computation of the inner double integral of $J_{i,j}^{i-1,j-1}$ we may restrict ourselves to points $(x, y) \in I_{i,j}$ that satisfy $|(a, b)| < \frac{1}{n}$. By similar reasoning as in the lateral case we infer that $J_{i,j}^{i-1,j-1}$ equals

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{y - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}}^{\frac{i-1}{n}} \int_{x - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - (z-y)^2}}^{\frac{i-1}{n}} \frac{1}{|(x-w, y-z)|} dw dz dy dx.$$

By applying the transformation $(w, z) \mapsto (x-w, y-z)$ this quadruple integral transforms to

$$\int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_b^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_a^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - z^2}} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx,$$

and by changing the inner double integral to polar coordinates we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{i,j}^{i-1,j-1} &= \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j-1}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} - a^2}} \int_{|(a,b)|}^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{\arcsin(\frac{b}{r})}^{\arccos(\frac{a}{r})} \frac{1}{|(w, z)|} dw dz dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{6n^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, by (9) the final result is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n^1(f) &= \frac{1}{3\pi n} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i-1,j-1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j-1}| \right) + \\ &\quad \frac{4}{3\pi n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i,j-1}| + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i-1,j}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

2. With f as above we also evaluated $\mathcal{R}_n^1(f)$ with the kernel functions

$$\varphi_n(\vec{v}) := \frac{n^2}{4} \chi_{(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \times (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})}(\vec{v})$$

for all \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^2 . Note that these kernel functions are not radial such that this case is not treated in the theory section. The evaluation proceeds similar to the above one. As a result we get

$$\mathcal{R}_n^1(f) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i-1,j-1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i+1,j-1}| \right) + \frac{1}{12} \frac{3 \ln(\sqrt{2}+1) - 3 \ln(\sqrt{2}-1) - 2(\sqrt{2}-1)}{n} \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i,j-1}| + \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j} - a_{i-1,j}| \right).$$

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Paul F. X. Müller for introducing us to the recent work on the new characterizations of Sobolev spaces and BV and some stimulating discussions. This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) within the national research networks Industrial Geometry, project 9203-N12, and Photoacoustic Imaging in Biology and Medicine, project S10505-N20.

References

- [1] R. Acar and C. R. Vogel. Analysis of bounded variation penalty methods for ill-posed problems. *Inverse Probl.*, 10(6):1217–1229, 1994.
- [2] J.-F. Aujol and A. Chambolle. Dual norms and image decomposition models. *Int. J. Comput. Vision*, 63(1):85–104, 2005.
- [3] J. Bourgain, H. Brézis, and P. Mironescu. Another look at sobolev spaces. In *Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations Innovations & Applications, in honor of Professor Alain Bensoussans 60th anniversary, edited by J.L. Menaldi, E. Rofman and A. Sulem*, pages 439–455. IOS press, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [4] A. Chambolle. An algorithm for total variation minimization and applications. *J. Math. Imaging Vision*, 20(1–2):89–97, 2004.
- [5] A. Chambolle and P.-L. Lions. Image recovery via total variation minimization and related problems. *Numer. Math.*, 76(2):167–188, 1997.
- [6] A. Corbo Esposito and R. De Arcangelis. Comparison results for some types of relaxation of variational integral functionals. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*(4), 164:155–193, 1993.
- [7] B. Dacorogna. *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, volume 78 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] G. Dal Maso. *An Introduction to Γ -Convergence*, volume 8 of *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*. Birkhäuser, 1993.

- [9] J. Dávila. On an open question about functions of bounded variation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 15(4):519–527, 2002.
- [10] D.C. Dobson and O. Scherzer. Analysis of regularized total variation penalty methods for denoising. *Inverse Probl.*, 12:601–617, 1996.
- [11] K. Jalalzai and A. Chambolle. Enhancement of blurred and noisy images based on an original variant of the total variation. In *Scale Space and Variational Methods in Computer Vision*, volume 5567 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 368–376. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [12] L. Pizarro, S. Didas, F. Bauer, and J. Weickert. Evaluating a general class of filters for image denoising. In *Image Analysis*, volume 4522 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science LNCS*, pages 601–610. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [13] A. Ponce. A new approach to Sobolev spaces and connections to γ -convergence. *Calculus of Variations*, 19:229–255, 2004.
- [14] L. I. Rudin, S. Osher, and E. Fatemi. Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms. *Phys. D*, 60(1–4):259–268, 1992.
- [15] O. Scherzer and J. Weickert. Relations between regularization and diffusion filtering. *J. Math. Imaging Vision*, 12(1):43–63, 2000.
- [16] C. Tomasi and R. Manduchi. Bilateral filtering for gray and color images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE ICCV*, pages 839–846, Bombay, 1998.
- [17] C. R. Vogel. *Computational Methods for Inverse Problems*, volume 23 of *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2002.