

# THE RIGHT ORTHOGONAL CLASS $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ VIA $\text{Ext}$

MOHAMMED TAMEKKANTE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the pair  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$  where  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$  is the class of all Gorenstein projective modules. We prove that it is complete hereditary cotorsion theory provided  $l.\text{Gldim}(R) < \infty$ . We discuss also, when every Gorenstein projective module is Gorenstein flat.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes a non-trivial associative ring and all modules -if not specified otherwise- are left and unitary. The definitions and notations employed in this paper are based on those introduced by Holm in [12].

Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. As usual we use  $\text{pd}_R(M)$ ,  $\text{id}_R(M)$  and  $\text{fd}_R(M)$  to denote, respectively, the classical projective dimension, injective dimension and flat dimension of  $M$ . We use also  $\text{gldim}(R)$  and  $\text{wdim}(R)$  to denote, respectively, the classical global and weak dimension of  $R$ .

For a two-sided Noetherian ring  $R$ , Auslander and Bridger [1] introduced the  $G$ -dimension,  $\text{Gdim}_R(M)$ , for every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ . They showed that there is an inequality  $\text{Gdim}_R(M) \leq \text{pd}_R(M)$  for all finite  $R$ -modules  $M$ , and equality holds if  $\text{pd}_R(M)$  is finite.

Several decades later, Enochs and Jenda [5, 6] defined the notion of Gorenstein projective dimension ( $G$ -projective dimension for short), as an extension of  $G$ -dimension to modules which are not necessarily finitely generated, and the Gorenstein injective dimension ( $G$ -injective dimension for short) as a dual notion of Gorenstein projective dimension. Then, to complete the analogy with the classical homological dimension, Enochs, Jenda and Torrecillas [8] introduced the Gorenstein flat dimension. Some references are [4, 5, 6, 8, 12].

Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called Gorenstein projective if, there exists an exact sequence of projective  $R$ -modules:

$$\mathbf{P} : \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that  $M \cong \text{Im}(P_0 \rightarrow P^0)$  and such that the operator  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  leaves  $\mathbf{P}$  exact whenever  $Q$  is a projective. The resolution  $\mathbf{P}$  is called a complete projective resolution.

The Gorenstein injective  $R$ -modules are defined dually.

And an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called Gorenstein flat if, there exists an exact sequence of

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05, 13D02.

*Key words and phrases.* Cotorsion theory; Gorenstein homological dimensions of modules and rings.

flat  $R$ -modules:

$$\mathbf{F} : \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that  $M \cong \text{Im}(P_0 \rightarrow P^0)$  and such that the operator  $I \otimes_R -$  leaves  $F$  exact whenever  $I$  is a right injective  $R$ -module. The resolution  $\mathbf{F}$  is called complete flat resolution.

The Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions are defined in term of resolution and denoted by  $\text{Gpd}(-)$ ,  $\text{Gid}(-)$  and  $\text{Gfd}(-)$  respectively (see [3, 7, 12]).

**Notation.** By  $\mathcal{P}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  we denote the classes of all projective and injective  $R$ -modules respectively and by  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{I}(R)}$  we denote the classes of all modules with finite projective dimension and injective dimension respectively. Furthermore, we let  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{GI}(R)$  denote the classes of all Gorenstein projective and injective  $R$ -modules respectively. The character module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is denoted by  $M^+$ .

In [2], the authors prove the equality:

$$\sup\{\text{Gpd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\} = \sup\{\text{Gid}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}$$

They called the common value of the above quantities the left Gorenstein global dimension of  $R$  and denoted it by  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R)$ . Similarly, they set

$$l.w\text{Ggldim}(R) = \sup\{\text{Gfd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}$$

which they called the left weak Gorenstein global dimension of  $R$ .

Given a class  $\mathfrak{X}$  of  $R$ -module we set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}^\perp &= \text{Ker Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{X}, -) = \{M \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}\}, \\ {}^\perp\mathfrak{X} &= \text{Ker Ext}_R^1(-, \mathfrak{X}) = \{M \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{X}\} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.1 (Precovers and Preenvelopes).** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be any class of  $R$ -modules, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.

- An  $\mathfrak{X}$ -precover of  $M$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow M$ , where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ , and such that the sequence,

$$\text{Hom}_R(X', X) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(X', \varphi)} \text{Hom}_R(X', M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for every  $X' \in \mathfrak{X}$ . An  $\mathfrak{X}$ -precover is called special if  $\varphi$  is surjective and  $\ker(\varphi) \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp$ .

- An  $\mathfrak{X}$ -preenvelope of  $M$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$ , where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ , and such that the sequence,

$$\text{Hom}_R(X, X') \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(\varphi, X')} \text{Hom}_R(M, X') \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for every  $X' \in \mathfrak{X}$ . An  $\mathfrak{X}$ -preenvelope is called special if  $\varphi$  is injective and  $\text{coker}(\varphi) \in {}^\perp\mathfrak{X}$ .

For more details about precovers (and preenvelopes), the reader may consult [7, Chapters 5 and 6].

**Definition 1.2 (Resolving classes 1.1 ,[12]).** For any class  $\mathfrak{X}$  of  $R$ -modules.

- We call  $\mathfrak{X}$  projectively resolving if  $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , and for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$  with  $X'' \in \mathfrak{X}$  the conditions  $X' \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  are equivalent.
- We call  $\mathfrak{X}$  injectively resolving if  $\mathcal{I}(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ , and for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$  with  $X' \in \mathfrak{X}$  the conditions  $X'' \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}$  are equivalent.

A pair  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  of classes of  $R$ -modules is called a cotorsion theory ([7]) if  $\mathfrak{X}^\perp = \mathfrak{Y}$  and  ${}^\perp\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{X}$ . In this case we call  $\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{Y}$  the kernel of  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ . Note that each element  $K$  of the kernel is a splitter in the sense of [11], i.e.,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(K, K) = 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is any class of modules, then  $({}^\perp\mathfrak{C}, ({}^\perp\mathfrak{C})^\perp)$  is easily seen to be a cotorsion theory, called a cotorsion theory generated by  $\mathfrak{C}$  (see please [13, Definition 1.10]). A cotorsion theory  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  is called complete ([13]) if every  $R$ -module has a special  $\mathfrak{Y}$ -preenvelope and a special  $\mathfrak{X}$ -precover. A cotorsion theory  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$  is said to be hereditary ([10]) if whenever  $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow L'' \rightarrow 0$  is exact with  $L, L'' \in \mathfrak{X}$  then  $L'$  is also in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , or equivalently, if  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  is exact  $M', M \in \mathfrak{Y}$  then  $M''$  is also in  $\mathfrak{Y}$ .

**Note:** Above we have only proved the results concerning Gorenstein projective modules. The proof of the Gorenstein injective ones is dual and we can find a dual of results using in the proofs in [12].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The aim of this section is the study of the pair  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$ . The class  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$  verified the following properties.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any ring  $R$  the following holds:*

- (1)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, M) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ , all  $G \in \mathcal{GP}(R)$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ .
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, G) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ , all  $G \in \mathcal{GI}(R)$  and all  $M \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R)$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  and  ${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R)$  are projectively resolving.
- (4)  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  and  ${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R)$  are injectively resolving.

*Proof.* (1). Consider  $M \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ . For any Gorenstein projective module  $G$  and any  $n > 1$  pick an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  where all  $P_i$  are projective. Clearly,  $G'$  is also Gorenstein projective ([12, Theorem 2.5]). So, we have,  $\text{Ext}_R^n(G, M) = \text{Ext}_R^1(G', M) = 0$ , as desired.

(2). Dual to (1).

(3). We claim that  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is projectively resolving. Using the long exact sequence in homology, we conclude that  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is closed by extension, i.e., if  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  where  $M$  and  $M''$  are in  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  then so is  $M'$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  ([12, Proposition 2.3]). Now, consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  where  $M'$  and  $M''$  are in  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ . For an arbitrary Gorenstein projective  $R$ -module  $G$  consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow P \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$  where  $P$  is projective and  $G'$  is Gorenstein projective (such sequence exists by definition of Gorenstein projective modules). From the long exact sequence of homology, we have

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G', M'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(G', M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(G', M') \rightarrow \dots$$

Then,  $\text{Ext}_R^2(G', M) = 0$  since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G', M'') = \text{Ext}_R^2(G', M') = 0$  (from (1)). Thus,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, M) = \text{Ext}_R^2(G', M) = 0$ , as desired.

(4) We claim that  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is injectively resolving. Clearly,  $\mathcal{I}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  and

$\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is closed by extension. Now, consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  where  $M$  and  $M'$  are in  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ . Using the long exact sequence of homology and for all Gorenstein projective module  $G$ , we have

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G, M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G, M'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(G, M) \rightarrow \dots$$

Thus, from (1),  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, M'') = 0$  for all Gorenstein projective module as desired.  $\square$

Hence, we conclude the following two Corollaries. The second one was proved by Holm in [12].

**Corollary 2.2.** *For any ring  $R$ ,*

- (1)  $\mathcal{P}(R) = \mathcal{GP}(R) \cap \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{I}(R) = \mathcal{GI}(R) \cap \mathcal{GI}(R)^\perp$ .

*Proof.* (1). Consider  $M \in \mathcal{GP}(R) \cap \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  and for such module  $M$  consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  where  $P$  is projective. Since  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is projectively resolving (from Theorem 2.1),  $M' \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ . Then,  $\text{Ext}_R(M, M') = 0$  and so this short exact sequence splits. Therefore,  $M$  is a direct summand of  $P$  and so projective, as desired.

(2). Dual proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** (1) [12, Proposition 2.27] *Every Gorenstein projective (resp., injective) module with finite projective (resp., injective) dimension is projective (resp., injective).*

- (2) *Every Gorenstein projective (resp., injective) module with finite injective (resp., projective) dimension is projective (resp., injective).*

*Proof.* (1). If  $M$  is a Gorenstein projective module with finite projective dimension, then  $M \in \mathcal{GP}(R) \cap \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  (from [12, Proposition 2.3]) and then projective (by Corollary 2.2). The injective case is dual.

(2). Note that every module  $I$  with finite injective dimension is an element of  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ . Indeed, by definition, for every Gorenstein projective module  $G$  we can find an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$  where all  $P_i$  are projective and  $G'$  is Gorenstein projective with  $n = \text{id}_R(I)$ . Thus, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, I) = \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(G', I) = 0$ , as desired.

Now, if  $M$  is Gorenstein projective with finite injective dimension then  $M \in \mathcal{GP}(R) \cap \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  and then projective (by Corollary 2.2).

Dually, we can prove that every module with finite projective dimension is an element of  ${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R)$ . And then every Gorenstein injective module with finite projective dimension is injective (by Corollary 2.2).  $\square$

The main result of this paper is the following Theorem:

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $\text{l.Ggldim}(R) < \infty$ , then  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$  and  $(\mathcal{GI}(R), {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R))$  are complete hereditary cotorsion theory.*

*Proof.* (1). To prove that  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$  is cotorsion theory, we have to prove that  ${}^\perp(\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp) = \mathcal{GP}(R)$ . Let  $M$  be in  ${}^\perp(\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$ . Thus,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$  for all  $N \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ . Since  $\text{Gpd}_R(M) < \infty$  and from [12, Theorem 2.10],  $M$  admits a surjective  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ -precover  $\varphi : G \rightarrow M$ , where  $K = \ker(\varphi)$  satisfies  $\text{pd}_R(K) < \infty$ . Since  $K \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ ,  $G$  is a special  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ -precover and this short exact sequence splits since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, K) = 0$ . Thus,  $M$  is a direct summand of

$G$ . Hence,  $M$  is Gorenstein projective (from [12, Theorem 2.5]). Consequently,  ${}^\perp(\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}(R)$ . The other inclusion is clear. Therefore  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$  is cotorsion theory and every  $R$ -module has a special  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ -precover (and so every  $R$ -module has a special  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ -preenvelope from [13, Lemma 1.13]). This imply that  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$  is complete, as desired.

Since  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$  is projectively resolving and  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is injectively resolving, this torsion is hereditary.

(2). To prove the dual Gorenstein injective result, we use [12, Theorems 2.6 and 2.15].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *If  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$ , then,*

$$\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{P}(R)} = \overline{\mathcal{I}(R)} = {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R).$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(R)} \subseteq \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  (from [12, Proposition 2.3]). Now, let  $M \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  and  $N$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module and set  $n = l.\text{Ggldim}(R)$ . Then,  $\text{Gpd}_R(N) \leq n$ . So, from [12, Theorem 2.20], we can find an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where all  $P_i$  are projective and  $G$  is Gorenstein projective. Thus,  $\text{Ext}_R^{j+n}(N, M) = \text{Ext}_R^j(G, M) = 0$  for all  $j > 0$  (by Theorem 2.1). Then,  $\text{id}_R(M) \leq n$ . Using [2, Corollary 2.7],  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(R)} = \overline{\mathcal{I}(R)}$  since  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$ . Then,  $M \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$ . Similarly, we have  ${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}(R) = \overline{\mathcal{I}(R)}$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

Recall that the finitistic projective dimension of  $R$  is the global dimension defined as:

$$\text{FPD}(R) = \sup\{\text{pd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module with } \text{pd}_R(M) < \infty\}$$

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $\mathcal{GP}(R) = {}^\perp(\overline{\mathcal{P}(R)})$  and  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$ , then  $\text{FPD}(R) = l.\text{Ggldim}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* From [13, Theorem 2.2], every  $R$ -module admits a special  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ -preenvelope. On the other hand, by hypothesis,  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp)$  is the cotorsion theory generated by  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$  (see [13, Definition 1.10]). Then,  $(\mathcal{GP}(R), \overline{\mathcal{P}(R)})$  is a cotorsion theory. Thus, from [13, Lemma 1.13], every  $R$ -module  $M$  has a special  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ -precover. The inequality  $\text{FPD}(R) \leq l.\text{Ggldim}(R)$  follows from [12, Theorem 2.28]. Now, suppose that  $\text{FPD}(R) \leq n$  and let  $M$  be an arbitrary  $R$ -module. We claim prove that  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$ . From the first part of the proof,  $M$  admits a special  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ -precover. Then, there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  where  $G$  is Gorenstein projective and  $K \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$ . Thus,  $\text{pd}_R(K) \leq n$  and so  $\text{Gpd}_R(M) \leq n + 1$ . Hence,  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R) \leq n + 1 < \infty$ . Then,  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R) = \sup\{\text{Gpd}_R(M) \mid \text{Gpd}_R(M) < \infty\} = \text{FPD}(R)$  (from [12, Theorem 2.28]), as desired.  $\square$

Then, we conclude the following characterization of the left Gorenstein global dimension provided  $\text{FPD}(R) < \infty$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** *If  $\text{FPD}(R) < \infty$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $l.\text{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{GP}(R) = {}^\perp\overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$  and  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{P}(R)}$ .

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2). The first equality follows from [12, Theorem 2.20] and the second from Proposition 2.5.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  1). Follows from Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

Now, we discuss the rings over which "every Gorenstein projective module is Gorenstein flat".

**Proposition 2.8.** *For any ring  $R$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every Gorenstein projective module is Gorenstein flat.*
- (2)  $I^+ \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  *for every right injective module  $I$ .*
- (3)  $F^{++} \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  *for every flat module  $F$ .*

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2). Let  $I$  be a right injective  $R$ -module. Since every Gorenstein projective  $R$ -module is Gorenstein flat and by definition of Gorenstein flat module, we have  $\text{Tor}_R^1(I, G) = 0$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{GP}(R)$ . By adjointness, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, I^+) = (\text{Tor}_R^1(I, G))^+ = 0$ . Hence,  $I^+ \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ , as desired.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  1). Consider a complete projective resolution

$$\mathbf{P} = \dots \rightarrow P_{-1} \xrightarrow{f_{-1}} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots$$

We decompose it into short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow G_i \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow G'_i \rightarrow 0$  where  $G_i = \ker(f_i)$  and  $G'_i = \text{Im}(f_i)$ . From [12, Observation 2.2],  $G_i$  and  $G'_i$  are Gorenstein projective. Now let  $I$  be an injective right  $R$ -module. We have  $\text{Tor}_R^1(I, G') = 0$  since  $(\text{Tor}_R^1(I, G'))^+ = \text{Ext}_R^1(G', I^+) = 0$ . Thus,

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R G' \rightarrow I \otimes_R P_i \rightarrow I \otimes_R G' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. So,  $I \otimes_R -$  keep the exactness of  $\mathbf{P}$ . Then, it is a complete flat resolution. Consequently, every Gorenstein projective module is Gorenstein flat, as desired.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3). Let  $F$  be a flat  $R$ -module. Then,  $F^+$  is right injective. So,  $F^{++} \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ .

(3  $\Rightarrow$  2). Let  $I$  be a right injective  $R$ -module. There exist a flat  $R$ -module  $F$  such that  $F \rightarrow I^+ \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Then,  $0 \rightarrow I^{++} \rightarrow F^+$  is exact. But  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow I^{++}$  is exact (by [9, Proposition 3.52]). Then,  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow F^+$  is exact and then  $I$  is a direct summand of  $F^+$ . Hence,  $I^+$  is a direct summand of  $F^{++}$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  is closed under direct summands. Thus,  $I^+ \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *For any ring  $R$ ,  $\sup\{\text{Gfd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is Gorenstein projective}\} = 0$  or  $\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that if  $\text{Gfd}_R(M) \leq n$ , we have  $\text{Tor}_R^i(I, M) = 0$  for all  $i > n$ . Indeed, the case  $n = 0$  is from the definition of Gorenstein flat modules and the case  $n > 0$  is deduced from the first case by the  $n$ -step projective resolution of  $M$ .

Suppose that  $\sup\{\text{Gfd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is Gorenstein projective}\} = n < \infty$ . Then,  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(G, I^+) = (\text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(I, G))^+ = 0$  for all injective right module  $I$  and all Gorenstein projective module  $G$ . But for every Gorenstein projective module  $G$  we can find an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$  where all  $P_i$  are projective and  $G'$  is Gorenstein projective. Thus,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, I^+) = \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(G', I^+) = 0$ . So,  $I^+ \in \mathcal{GP}(R)^\perp$  for every injective right module  $I$ . Then, from Proposition 2.8, every Gorenstein projective is Gorenstein flat. Consequently,  $\sup\{\text{Gfd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is Gorenstein projective}\} = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

A direct consequence of the above Proposition is the following Corollary:

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $l.wGgldim(R) < \infty$ , then every Gorenstein projective  $R$ -module is Gorenstein flat.*

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and M. Bridger; *Stable module theory*, Memoirs. Amer. Math. Soc., 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.
2. D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein Dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., In Press. Available from math.AC/0611358v4 30 Jun 2009.
3. L. W. Christensen; *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1747, Springer, Berlin, (2000).
4. L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, and H. Holm; *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions - a functorial description with applications*, J. Algebra 302 (2006), 231-279.
5. E. Enochs and O. Jenda; *On Gorenstein injective modules*, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), no. 10, 3489-3501.
6. E. Enochs and O. Jenda; *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. 220 (1995), no. 4, 611-633.
7. E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 2000.
8. E. Enochs, O. Jenda and B. Torrecillas; *Gorenstein flat modules*, Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan 10 (1) (1993) 1-9.
9. C. Faith; *Algebra 1: Rings. Modules and Categories*. Berlin- Heidelberg- New York: Springer 1981.
10. J. R. García Rozas; *Covers and Envelopes in the Category of Complexes of Modules*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC (1999).
11. R. Gobel and S. Shelah; *Cotorsion theories and splitters*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 5357-5379.
12. H. Holm; *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189 (2004), 167-193.
13. J. Trlifaj; *Covers, Envelopes, and Cotorsion Theories*. Lecture notes for the workshop, Homological Methods in Module Theory. Cortona, September 10-16 (2000).

MOHAMMED TAMEKKANTE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ, BOX 2202, UNIVERSITY S.M. BEN ABDELLAH FEZ, MOROCCO.  
*E-mail address:* `tamekkante@yahoo.fr`