

**JACOB'S LADDERS AND THE FIRST ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA
FOR THE EXPRESSION OF THE SIXTH ORDER**

$$|\zeta(1/2 + i\varphi(t)/2)|^4 |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2$$

JAN MOSER

ABSTRACT. It is proved in this paper that there is a fine correlation between the values of $|\zeta(1/2 + i\varphi(t)/2)|^4$ and $|\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2$ which correspond to two segments with gigantic distance each from other. This new asymptotic formula cannot be obtained in known theories of Balasubramanian, Heath-Brown and Ivic.

Dedicated to the memory of Anatolij Alekseevich Karatsuba (1937-2008).

1. RESULTS

1.1. The following theorem holds true

Theorem.

$$(1.1) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^4 \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] Z^2(t) dt \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2} U \ln^5 T, \quad U = T^{7/8+2\epsilon}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a Jacob's ladder,

$$(1.2) \quad t - \frac{1}{2}\varphi(t) \sim (1-c)\pi(t), \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

(see [3], (6.2)), c is the Euler constant and $\pi(t)$ is the prime-counting function.

Remark 1. The formula (1.1) is the first asymptotic formula in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function for the sixth order expression $|\zeta(1/2 + i\varphi(t)/2)|^4 |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2$. This formula cannot be obtained by methods of Balasubramanian, Heath-Brown and Ivic (see [2]).

1.2. Since (see (1.2))

$$T + U - \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U) > (1 - c - \epsilon) \frac{T}{\ln T},$$

we have (see the condition for U in (1.1))

$$(1.3) \quad T - \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U) > (1 - c - \epsilon) \frac{T}{\ln T} - U > (1 - c - 2\epsilon) \frac{T}{\ln T}.$$

Hence, using the mean-value theorem in (1.1) we obtain

Corollary.

$$(1.4) \quad Z^4 \left[\frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{2} \right] Z^2(\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \ln^5 T, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta-function.

where

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha \in (T, T + U), \quad \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\alpha) \in \left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T), \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U)\right), \quad \alpha = \alpha(T, U, \varphi) = \alpha(T),$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad [T, T + U] \cap \left[\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T), \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U)\right] = \emptyset, \\ \rho \left\{ [T, T + U]; \left[\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T), \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T + U)\right] \right\} > (1 - c - 2\epsilon) \frac{T}{\ln T} \rightarrow \infty,$$

where ρ denotes the distance of the corresponding segments.

Remark 2. Since $U = T^{7/8+\epsilon}$, $\alpha(T)$ is the single-valued function of T : one mean-value of the set $\{\alpha(T)\}$ corresponds to each sufficiently big T .

Remark 3. Some *nonlocal interaction* of the functions

$$|\zeta(1/2 + i\varphi(t)/2)|^4, \quad |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2$$

is expressed by formula (1.4). This interaction is connected with two segments unboundedly receding each from other (see (1.6), $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$) - like mutually receding galaxies (the Hubble law).

Remark 4. Since $T \sim \alpha(T)$, $\alpha \in (T, T + U)$, then from (1.4) (see also (1.2) we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad |Z[\alpha(T)]| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\ln^{5/2} \alpha}{Z^2 \left[\frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{2}\right]}, \\ \alpha(T) \sim \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\alpha) + (1 - c)\pi(T), \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (1.7) we have the prediction of the value $|Z(\alpha)|$, $\alpha \in (T, T + U)$ by means of the value $Z^2[\varphi(\alpha)/2]$ which corresponds to argument $\varphi(\alpha)/2$ running in the segment $(1/2\varphi(T), 1/2\varphi(T + U))$ which descended from very deep past (see (1.6)).

Remark 5. The following auto-correlation formula

$$(1.8) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^2 \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2}\right] Z^2(t) dt \sim U \ln^2 T, \quad U = T^{1/3+2\epsilon}$$

of the fourth order takes place. This formula is fully similar to correlation formula (1.1).

Remark 6. The notions *correlation*, *auto-correlation* and *prediction* for the signal

$$Z(t) = e^{i\theta(t)} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$$

generated by the Riemann zeta-function are inspired by the fundamental book of N. Wiener, [8]. Incidentally, this book - for use of communication engineering - contains multitude of stimuli for development of the theory of the zeta-function.

This paper is a continuation of the series of papers [3]-[6].

2. \hat{Z}^2 -TRANSFORMATION

We start with the formula (see [3], (3.5), (3.9))

$$(2.1) \quad Z^2(t) = \Phi'_\varphi[\varphi(t)] \frac{d\varphi}{dt}, \quad T \geq T_0[\varphi],$$

where (see [5], (1.5))

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi'_\varphi[\varphi(t)] = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln \ln t}{\ln t} \right) \right\} \ln t.$$

Next we have

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{Z}^2(t) = \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}, \quad t \in [T, T + U], \quad T \geq T_0[\varphi], \quad U \in \left(0, \frac{T}{\ln T} \right]$$

by (2.1) (2.2), where

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{Z}^2(t) = \frac{Z^2(t)}{\Phi'_\varphi[\varphi(t)]} = \frac{2Z^2(t)}{\left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln \ln t}{\ln t} \right) \right\} \ln t}.$$

Then we obtain from (2.3) the following lemma.

Lemma. For every integrable function $f(x)$, $x \in [1/2\varphi(T), 1/2\varphi(T + U)]$ the following is true

$$(2.5) \quad \int_T^{T+U} f \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] \hat{Z}^2(t) dt = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} f(x) dx, \quad U \in \left(0, \frac{T}{\ln T} \right].$$

For example, in the case $f(x) = |Z(x)|^\Delta$ with $\Delta \in (0, \infty)$ we have the following \hat{Z}^2 -transformation

$$(2.6) \quad \int_T^{T+U} \left| Z \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] \right|^\Delta \hat{Z}^2(t) dt = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} |Z(x)|^\Delta dx.$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

3.1. First of all (see [7], p. 79)

$$Z(t) = e^{i\theta(t)} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \Rightarrow |Z(t)| = \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|.$$

Putting $\Delta = 4$ into (2.5) we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^4 \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] \hat{Z}^2(t) dt = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} Z^4(x) dx,$$

i.e. we have to consider the integral

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} Z^4(t) dt = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} Z^4(t) dt - \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} Z^4(t) dt.$$

3.2. Let us remind the Ingham - Heath-Brown formula (see [2], p. 129)

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^T Z^4(t)dt = T \sum_{k=0}^4 C_k (\ln T)^{4-k} + \mathcal{O}(T^{7/8+\epsilon}),$$

which improved the Ingham formula (see [1], p. 277, [7], p. 125)

$$\int_0^T Z^4(t)dt = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} T \ln^4 T + \mathcal{O}(T \ln^3 T); \quad C_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}.$$

Hence, by (3.2), (3.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} Z^4(t)dt = \\ & = \left\{ \frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \sum_{k=0}^4 C_k \left(\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right)^{4-k} \right\}_{t=T}^{t=T+U} + \mathcal{O}(T^{7/8+\epsilon}) = \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^4 C_k V_k + \mathcal{O}(T^{7/8+\epsilon}), \quad U = T^{7/8+2\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad V_k = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U) \ln^{4-k} \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T) \ln^{4-k} \frac{\varphi(T)}{2}.$$

3.3. By the Ingham formula (see [1], p. 294, [7], p. 120)

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^T Z^2(t)dt = T \ln T + (2c - 1 - \ln 2\pi)T + \mathcal{O}(T^{1/2} \ln T)$$

we have in the case $U = T^{7/8+2\epsilon}$

$$(3.7) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^2(t)dt = U \ln T + (2c - \ln 2\pi)U + \mathcal{O}(T^{7/8+\epsilon}).$$

Next we have (see [5], (1.2))

$$(3.8) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^2(t)dt = U \ln(T) \tan[\alpha(T, U)] \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln \ln T}{\ln T}\right) \right\}.$$

Comparing formulae (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\varphi(T+U) - \varphi(T)}{2U} = \tan[\alpha(T, U)] = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln \ln T}{\ln T}\right).$$

3.4. Since (see (1.2))

$$(3.10) \quad T - \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T) \sim (1-c)\pi(T) \Rightarrow T \sim \frac{1}{2}\varphi(T),$$

it follows that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \ln \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} - \ln \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} = \ln \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{\varphi(T)} = \\ & = \ln \left[1 + U \frac{\varphi(T+U) - \varphi(T)}{2U} \frac{1}{\varphi(T)} \right] = \ln \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U}{T}\right) \right] = \\ & = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U}{T}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^{1/8-2\epsilon}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

(see (3.9), (3.10)). Hence, by (3.11)

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \ln \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} &= \ln \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^{1/8-2\epsilon}}\right), \\ \ln^4 \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} &= \ln^4 \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln^3 T}{T^{1/8-2\epsilon}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

3.5. Next we have (see (3.5), (3.9) and (3.12))

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} V_0 &= U \frac{\varphi(T+U) - \varphi(T)}{2U} \ln^4 \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \varphi(T) \left[\ln \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} - \ln \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \right] \left[\ln^3 \frac{\varphi(T+U)}{2} + \dots \right] = \\ &U \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln \ln T}{\ln T}\right) \right\} \ln^4 \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^{1/8-2\epsilon}}\right) \right\} + \\ &+ \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^{1/8-2\epsilon}}\right) \mathcal{O}(\ln^3 T) = \\ &= U \ln^4 \frac{\varphi(T)}{2} + \mathcal{O}(U \ln^3 T \ln \ln T) \sim U \ln^4 T. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(3.14) \quad V_l = \mathcal{O}(U \ln^{4-l} T), \quad l = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then from (3.4) by (3.13) and (3.14) the asymptotic formula

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T)}^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(T+U)} Z^4(t) dt \sim C_0 U \ln^4 T$$

follows, i.e. (see (3.1), (3.15))

$$(3.16) \quad \int_T^{T+U} Z^4 \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] \hat{Z}^2(t) dt \sim 2C_0 U \ln^4 T.$$

3.6. Next, using the mean-value theorem the left-hand side of (3.16) reduces to

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{2}{\left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln \ln \tau}{\ln \tau}\right) \right\} \ln \tau} \int_T^{T+U} Z^4 \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] Z^2(t) dt, \quad \tau \in (T, T+U).$$

Since $\ln \tau \sim \ln T$, $T \rightarrow \infty$ then, from (3.16) by (3.17) the formula (1.1) follows.

Remark 7. The proof of (1.8) is similar to (3.1)-(3.17).

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Let

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \zeta(1/2 + it), \quad S_1(T) = \int_0^T S(t) dt.$$

In one of my next papers the following correlation formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{T+U} \left\{ S \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] \right\}^{2k} |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2 dt &\sim \frac{(2k)!}{k!(2\pi)^{2k}} U \ln T (\ln \ln T)^k, \\ \int_T^{T+U} \left\{ S_1 \left[\frac{\varphi(t)}{2} \right] \right\}^{2k} |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2 dt &\sim d_n U \ln T, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $U = T^{1/2+\epsilon}$, will be studied.

I would like to thank Michal Demetrian for helping me with the electronic version of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.E. Ingham, 'Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 2 27 (1926), 273-300.
- [2] A. Ivic, 'The Riemann zeta-function', A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1985.
- [3] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders and the almost exact asymptotic representation of the Hardy-Littlewood integral', (2008).
- [4] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders and the tangent law for short parts of the Hardy-Littlewood integral', (2009).
- [5] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders and the multiplicative asymptotic formula for short and microscopic parts of the Hardy-Littlewood integral', (2009).
- [6] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders and the quantization of the Hardy-Littlewood integral', (2009).
- [7] E.C. Titchmarsh, 'The theory of the Riemann zeta-function', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.
- [8] N. Wiener, 'Extrapolation, interpolation, and smooting of stationary time series', The Technology Press of the Massachussets Institute of Technology and John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1950).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKA DOLINA M105, 842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: `jan.moser@fmph.uniba.sk`