

**POSITIVITY FOR REGULAR CLUSTER CHARACTERS IN
ACYCLIC CLUSTER ALGEBRAS**

G. DUPONT

ABSTRACT. Let Q be an acyclic quiver and let $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ be the corresponding cluster algebra. Let H be the path algebra of Q over an algebraically closed field and let M be an indecomposable regular H -module. We prove the positivity of the cluster characters associated to M expressed in the initial seed of $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ when either H is tame and M is any regular H -module, or H is wild and M is a regular Schur module which is not quasi-simple.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and background	4
3. Generalised Chebyshev polynomials	6
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2	8
5. Δ -polynomials	9
6. Proof of Theorem 1.4	12
7. Application to cluster bases in cluster algebras	13
Acknowledgements	14
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Cluster algebras. Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky in 2001 in order to design a combinatorial framework for studying total positivity in algebraic groups and canonical bases in quantum groups [FZ02]. Since then, they have shown connections with various areas of mathematics like for instance combinatorics, Lie theory, Teichmüller theory, Poisson geometry, Donaldson-Thomas invariants and representation theory of algebras.

A *cluster algebra* $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is defined from a *seed* $(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ where Q is a quiver with $m \geq 1$ vertices and without loops or 2-cycles, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is a m -tuple of indeterminates and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is a m -tuple of elements of a semifield \mathbb{P} . It is a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ -subalgebra of the *ambient field* $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ equipped with a distinguished set of generators, called *cluster variables*, gathered in possibly overlapping sets of fixed cardinality m , called *clusters*. If the quiver Q is without oriented cycles, the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is called *acyclic*. If the semifield \mathbb{P} is reduced to $\{1\}$, the cluster algebra is called *coefficient-free* and is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F60, 16G20.

1.2. Positivity. In [FZ02], Fomin and Zelevinsky proved that every cluster variable in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ in any cluster, this is known as *the Laurent phenomenon*. Moreover, they conjectured that Laurent expansions of cluster variables expressed in any cluster are subtraction-free, this is known as *the positivity conjecture*. This conjecture was in particular established for the class of cluster algebras with a bipartite seed by Nakajima [Nak10] and the class of cluster algebras from surfaces by Musiker, Schiffler and Williams [MSW09] but it is still open in general. It is in particular open for acyclic cluster algebras.

If Q is acyclic, it is well-known that the cluster algebra structure is strongly related to the structure of the category of representations of Q over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} and more precisely to the structure of the *cluster category* introduced in [BMR⁺06] (see for instance [Kel10] and references therein). Nevertheless, for the sake of simplicity, we will only consider the category of representations of Q , which is enough for the purpose of this article. In this context, there is a map, called *cluster character*, which associates to any representation M of Q a certain Laurent polynomial $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$ [CC06, CK06, Pal08, FK10]. This Laurent polynomial is defined as a generating series for the Euler-Poincaré characteristics $\chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M))$ of the quiver Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ where \mathbf{e} runs over $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ (see Section 2 for definitions). It is known that this map realizes a bijection between the set of indecomposable *rigid*, that is without self-extension, representations of Q and the cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ which are distinct from the initial cluster variables x_1, \dots, x_m [FK10].

Recently, Qin and Nakajima gave two independent proofs of the fact that if M is a rigid representation of Q , then $\chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \geq 0$ [Qin11, Nak10]. It proves in particular that cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ can be expressed as subtraction-free Laurent polynomials in the initial cluster of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

In this article, we will generalise this result to cluster characters associated to certain indecomposable non-rigid representations of the quiver Q . The motivation for this generalisation comes from the fact that such cluster characters arise in the constructions of cluster bases in cluster algebras.

1.3. Cluster bases. As we mentioned, the two main objectives in the theory of cluster algebras are the comprehension of total positivity in algebraic groups and of canonical bases in quantum groups. The problem of total positivity is related to the positivity conjecture we just discussed. The problem of canonical bases is related to the study of cluster bases we now present.

Given a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, a monomials in cluster variables belonging all to a same cluster is called a *cluster monomial* in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and the set of cluster monomials is denoted by \mathcal{M}_Q . It is conjectured, and proved in several situations that cluster monomials are always independent over the ground ring $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$, see [Pla10]. A *cluster basis* in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is thus defined as a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ -linear basis of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ containing the set of cluster monomials. The problem of constructing cluster bases was studied in [SZ04, CK08, CZ06, Cer11, Dup08, Dup10b, DXX09, GLS10]. If the positivity conjecture holds, every cluster monomial can be written as a subtraction-free Laurent polynomial in any cluster of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, such elements in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ are called *positive*. A natural problem is thus to construct cluster bases consisting of positive elements. A first step in this direction is to prove that known cluster

bases consist of elements which can be written without subtraction in the initial cluster of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

If Q is a Dynkin quiver, known constructions of bases in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ only involve cluster monomials [CK08] and it is known that, in this case, cluster monomials can be realised in terms of cluster characters associated to rigid representations of a Dynkin quiver [CC06, FK10]. Thus, it follows from the result by Qin and Nakajima that these bases can be expressed as subtraction-free Laurent polynomials in the initial cluster of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

If Q is acyclic and of infinite representation type, one can construct various cluster bases in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ using the so-called *generic bases* considered in [Dup11b, GLS10]. It is known that all these bases involve cluster characters associated to both rigid representations and indecomposable non-rigid representations of Q . Moreover, all the indecomposable representations considered in these constructions are *Schur representations*, that is, have a trivial endomorphism ring [Dup11b]. Thus, the study of the positivity of the elements in the generic bases leads to the study of the positivity of the cluster characters associated to indecomposable non-rigid and in particular, regular Schur representations.

1.4. Main results. In [FZ07], Fomin and Zelevinsky proved that the study of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ over the ground ring $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{P}$ associated to an arbitrary semifield \mathbb{P} can be reduced to the case where \mathbb{P} is the tropical semifield generated by the m -tuple \mathbf{y} . In this case, $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is said to have *principal coefficients at the initial seed* $(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Thus, without any other specifications, we always assume that \mathbb{P} is the tropical semifield generated by \mathbf{y} so that cluster variables and cluster characters belong to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Q be a representation-infinite acyclic quiver and let M be a regular Schur $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module which is not quasi-simple. Then*

$$X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}].$$

As a corollary, we obtain the positivity of the Euler-Poincaré characteristics of the quiver Grassmannians for these modules :

Corollary 1.2. *Let Q be a representation-infinite acyclic quiver and let M be a Schur regular $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module which is not quasi-simple. Then for any dimension vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ we have $\chi(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \geq 0$.*

Remark 1.3. Note that this result does not hold for any indecomposable representation of an acyclic quiver. Indeed, Derksen, Weyman and Zelevinsky provided an example of a quiver Grassmannian with negative Euler-Poincaré characteristics associated to an indecomposable non-rigid representation of a wild quiver [DWZ10, Example 3.6]. Nevertheless, our result does not contradict their example since the representation they consider is quasi-simple.

An essential ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is that Schur regular modules which are not quasi-simple have rigid quasi-composition factors. When Q is an affine quiver, that is when Q is of type $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ or $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$, we can actually relax this assumption by using a certain combinatorial identity, called *difference property*. This identity relates the cluster characters associated to modules in homogeneous tubes, which do not have rigid quasi-composition factors, to the cluster characters associated to modules in exceptional tubes, which have rigid quasi-composition

factors. Nevertheless, the difference property was only established for cluster characters without coefficients [Dup08, DXX09] so that the following theorem may only be proved for coefficient-free cluster characters, that is, for the specialisation $\underline{X}_M = X_M|_{y_1=\dots=y_m=1}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let Q be an affine quiver and let M be a $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module. Then*

$$\underline{X}_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}].$$

If Q is an affine quiver, we also study three different cluster bases in the coefficient-free cluster algebras $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$. The first basis, which we denote by \mathcal{B}_Q , is a generalisation of the bases considered in [SZ04, Cer11]. The second basis, denoted by \mathcal{C}_Q , is a generalisation of the basis considered in [CZ06] and the third basis \mathcal{G}_Q is the generic basis considered in [Dup11b, GLS10]. For these cluster bases, we prove the following theorem :

Theorem 1.5. *Let Q be an affine quiver, then :*

- (1) $\mathcal{B}_Q \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$;
- (2) $\mathcal{C}_Q \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$;
- (3) $\mathcal{G}_Q \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$.

1.5. Organisation of the paper. In Section 2, we fix notations and recall the background concerning cluster characters and the structure of regular modules over representation-infinite hereditary algebras. In Section 3, we study *generalised Chebyshev polynomials* whose combinatorics are closely connected to those of cluster characters in regular components. In Section 4 we use these polynomials to prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. In Section 5 we study another family of multivariate polynomials, called Δ -*polynomials*, which are used to prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 is devoted to the precise statement and the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2. NOTATIONS AND BACKGROUND

Let Q denote a finite connected quiver which is acyclic and of infinite representation type. The set of vertices in Q is denoted by Q_0 and the cardinality of this set is denoted by m . We fix a Q_0 -tuple of indeterminates $\mathbf{x} = (x_i | i \in Q_0)$, a semifield \mathbb{P} and a Q_0 -tuple $\mathbf{y} = (y_i | i \in Q_0)$ of elements of \mathbb{P} such that \mathbb{P} is the tropical semifield generated by y_1, \dots, y_m . We denote by $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ the cluster algebra with principal coefficients at the initial seed $(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

2.1. Cluster characters. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field. We denote by $\mathbf{k}Q$ the path algebra of Q over \mathbf{k} . We naturally identify the category of (finite dimensional) representations of Q over \mathbf{k} with the category $\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q$ of finitely generated right- $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules. For any vertex $i \in Q_0$, we denote by S_i the simple representation of Q at i . Given a representation M of Q , we denote by $\mathbf{dim} M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ its *dimension vector*. We denote by $\langle -, - \rangle$ the *Euler form* on $\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q$ given by

$$\langle M, N \rangle = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}Q}(M, N) - \dim \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{k}Q}^1(M, N) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for any M, N in $\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q$. It is well-known that this form only depends on the dimension vectors.

For any representation M of Q and any dimension vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$, we set

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}} = \{N \text{ subrepresentation of } M \mid \mathbf{dim} N = \mathbf{e}\}.$$

It is a projective variety, called the *quiver Grassmannian* of M (of dimension \mathbf{e}), and we denote by $\chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M))$ its Euler-Poincaré characteristic (with respect to the simplicial cohomology if \mathbf{k} is the field of complex numbers and to the compactly supported étale cohomology if \mathbf{k} is arbitrary).

For any representation M of Q , the *cluster character associated to M* is

$$X_M = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}} \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \prod_{i \in Q_0} y_i^{e_i} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{e}, \dim S_i \rangle - \langle \dim S_i, \dim M - \mathbf{e} \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$$

where we use the short-hand notation $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$.

The *coefficient-free cluster character associated to M* is the specialisation of X_M at $y_i = 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, that is,

$$\underline{X}_M = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}} \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{e}, \dim S_i \rangle - \langle \dim S_i, \dim M - \mathbf{e} \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}].$$

This map was first introduced in [CC06] and it was later generalised in [CK06, Pal08, FK10, DWZ10, Pla11]. It is known that the cluster character (resp. the coefficient-free cluster character) induces a bijection from the set of indecomposable rigid representations of Q to the set of cluster variables in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$) distinct from x_1, \dots, x_m [CK06, FK10].

2.2. Regular modules over representation-infinite hereditary algebras.

Throughout the article, we will make a free use of classical results on the structure of regular modules over a representation-infinite hereditary algebra. For details concerning this theory, we refer for instance the reader to [Rin84] for affine quivers and to [Ker96] for wild quivers.

We denote by τ the Auslander-Reiten translation on $\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q$. An indecomposable $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module is called *regular* if it is neither in the τ^{-1} -orbit of a projective module nor in the τ -orbit of an injective module. The Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q$ is denoted by $\Gamma(\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q)$. The components of $\Gamma(\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q)$ containing neither projective modules nor injective modules are called *regular components* of $\Gamma(\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q)$ and it is known that every indecomposable regular module belongs to a regular component.

Every regular component \mathcal{R} in $\Gamma(\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q)$ is of the form $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_{\infty}/(\tau^p)$ for some $p \geq 0$ (see for instance [ARS97, Section VIII.4]). If $p \geq 1$, \mathcal{R} is called a *tube of rank p* . If $p = 1$, the tube is called *homogeneous*, if $p > 1$, the tube is called *exceptional*. If $p = 0$, \mathcal{R} is called a *sheet*.

Let \mathcal{R} be a regular component in $\Gamma(\mathrm{mod}\text{-}\mathbf{k}Q)$ and M be an indecomposable object in \mathcal{R} . Then there exists a unique family $\{R^{(0)}, R^{(1)}, \dots, R^{(n)}\}$ of indecomposable $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules in \mathcal{R} such that $R^{(0)} = 0$ and such that there is a sequence of irreducible monomorphisms

$$R^{(1)} \longrightarrow R^{(2)} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow R^{(n)} = M.$$

$R^{(1)}$ is called the *quasi-socle* of M and the integer n is called the *quasi-length* of M . The module M is called *quasi-simple* if its quasi-length is equal to 1. The quotients $M_i = R^{(i)}/R^{(i-1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are called the *quasi-composition factors* of M , with the convention that $R^{(0)} = 0$. The modules of quasi-length 1 are called *quasi-simple* in \mathcal{R} . Note that $\tau M_i \simeq M_{i-1}$ for every $i = 2, \dots, n$.

If Q is an affine quiver, the regular components form a $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{k})$ -family of tubes and at most three of these tubes are exceptional. If Q is wild, every regular component

is a sheet. It is well-known that quasi-simple $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules in exceptional (resp. homogeneous) tubes are always (resp. never) rigid and that quasi-simple modules in sheets can be rigid or not.

3. GENERALISED CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS

As it was observed in [Dup11a, Dup10a], the combinatorics of cluster characters associated to regular modules are governed by a family of multivariate polynomials. The aim of this section is to give prove some technical properties of these polynomials which will be useful in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4.

Let q_i, t_i with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be indeterminates over \mathbb{Z} . We set $\mathbf{q} = \{q_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and for any subset $J \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set $\mathbf{q}_J = \{q_i \mid i \in J\}$ and $\mathbf{t}_J = \{t_i \mid i \in J\}$. For every $n \geq 1$, the n -th quantized generalized Chebyshev polynomial is the polynomial $P_n(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n]}]$ given by

$$(1) \quad P_n(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t_n & 1 & & & (0) \\ q_n & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ (0) & & & q_2 & t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that P_n does not depend on the variable q_1 so that it can be viewed as a polynomial in the $2n - 1$ variables $q_2, \dots, q_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$. Nevertheless, it is usually more convenient to consider P_n as a polynomial in the $2n$ variables $q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$.

3.1. Partial derivatives of generalised Chebyshev polynomials. We first prove that generalised Chebyshev polynomials satisfy simple partial differential equations.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} P_n(\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n]}) = P_{i-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[1,i-1]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,i-1]}) P_{n-i}(\mathbf{q}_{[i+1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[i+1,n]})$$

Proof. Expanding the determinant with respect to the i -th column in equation (1), we get

$$P_n(\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n]}) = t_i P_{i-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[1,i-1]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,i-1]}) P_{n-i}(\mathbf{q}_{[i+1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[i+1,n]}) + Q(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t})$$

where Q does not depend on the variable t_i . Thus, taking the derivative in t_i , we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} P_n(\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n]}) = P_{i-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[1,i-1]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,i-1]}) P_{n-i}(\mathbf{q}_{[i+1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[i+1,n]}).$$

□

3.2. Positivity properties of generalised Chebyshev polynomials.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{q} = \{q_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be families of indeterminates over \mathbb{Z} . Then for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$P_n(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}]$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Consider the quiver A of Dynkin type \mathbb{A}_{n+2} equipped with the following orientation :

$$n+1 \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow 0$$

whose simple modules are denoted by $\Sigma_0, \dots, \Sigma_{n+1}$. For any $l \geq 1$ and any $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ we denote by $\Sigma_i^{(l)}$ the unique indecomposable representation of A with socle Σ_i and length l , whenever it exists. Denote by $X_{\Sigma_i}^A : M \mapsto X_M^A \in \mathbb{Z}[y_0, \dots, y_{n+1}, x_0^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{n+1}^{\pm 1}]$ the cluster character (with principal coefficients) associated to this quiver. Then it is well-known that $X_{\Sigma_i}^A = \frac{1}{x_i}(x_{i-1} + y_i x_{i+1})$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider thus the injective homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras given by

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}_{[0,n+1]}, \mathbf{x}_{[0,n+1]}^{\pm 1}] \\ q_i & \mapsto & y_i \\ t_i & \mapsto & \frac{x_{i-1}}{x_i} \end{cases}$$

and note that this isomorphism preserve the positive cones in the sense that $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}]) = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}_{[0,n+1]}, \mathbf{x}_{[0,n+1]}^{\pm 1}] \cap \text{im}(\phi)$.

Then we have

$$\phi\left(t_i + \frac{q_i}{t_{i+1}}\right) = \frac{x_{i-1}}{x_i} + y_i \frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i} = X_{\Sigma_i}^A$$

so that

$$\phi\left(P_n\left(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}}\right)\right) = P_n(y_1, \dots, y_n, X_{\Sigma_1}^A, \dots, X_{\Sigma_n}^A) = X_{\Sigma^{(n)}}^A$$

where the last equality follows from [Dup10a, Theorem 3]. In particular, since $\Sigma^{(n)}$ is an indecomposable representation of a quiver of Dynkin type A , its quiver Grassmannians have non-negative characteristics and it follows that $X_{\Sigma^{(n)}}^A \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}_{[0,n+1]}, \mathbf{x}_{[0,n+1]}^{\pm 1}]$ so that $P_n(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}]$. \square

We now prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{q} = \{q_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbf{u} = \{u_i | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be families of indeterminates over \mathbb{Z} . Then for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$P_n\left(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + u_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + u_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{u}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. Consider the polynomial $P_n(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + u_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + u_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}})$ viewed as an element of $\mathbb{Z}[q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_{n+1}^{\pm 1}][u_1, \dots, u_n]$. Taking the Taylor expansion, we get that $P_n(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + u_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + u_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}})$ equals

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \frac{\partial^{i_1} \dots \partial^{i_n}}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n} P_n\left(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}}\right) u_1^{i_1} \dots u_n^{i_n}$$

But according to Lemma 3.1, every partial derivative $\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \frac{\partial^{i_1} \dots \partial^{i_n}}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n} P_n\left(q_1, \dots, q_n, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_n + \frac{q_n}{t_{n+1}}\right)$ is a product of $P_j(q_k, \dots, q_{k+j-1}, t_k + \frac{q_k}{t_{k+1}}, \dots, t_{k+j-1} + \frac{q_{k+j-1}}{t_{k+j}})$ for some $j < n$. According to Lemma 3.2, each of these $P_j(q_k, \dots, q_{k+j-1}, t_k + \frac{q_k}{t_{k+1}}, \dots, t_{k+j-1} + \frac{q_{k+j-1}}{t_{k+j}})$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}]$ and thus each partial derivative is in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{q}_{[1,n]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,n+1]}^{\pm 1}]$. The lemma follows from the Taylor expansion. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.2

We now prove Theorem 1.1. We thus fix an acyclic quiver Q and for any $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module M and any dimension vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$, we set

$$L(M, \mathbf{e}) = \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{e}} \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{dim} S_i \rangle - (\mathbf{dim} S_i, \mathbf{dim} M - \mathbf{e})}$$

where we adopt the notation $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{e}} = \prod_{i \in Q_0} y_i^{e_i}$. Thus, we have

$$X_M = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}} L(M, \mathbf{e}).$$

The following lemma will be essential in the following :

Lemma 4.1. *Let Q be an acyclic quiver and let M be an indecomposable non-projective $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module, then*

$$L(M, 0) = \frac{\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} \tau M}}{L(\tau M, \mathbf{dim} \tau M)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(M, 0) &= \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_0(M)) \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{dim} S_i, \mathbf{dim} M \rangle} \\ &= \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{dim} S_i, \mathbf{dim} M \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tau M, \mathbf{dim} \tau M) &= \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{dim} \tau M}(\tau M)) \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} \tau M} \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{dim} \tau M, \mathbf{dim} S_i \rangle} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} \tau M} \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{-\langle \mathbf{dim} \tau M, \mathbf{dim} S_i \rangle} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} \tau M} \prod_{i \in Q_0} x_i^{\langle \mathbf{dim} S_i, \mathbf{dim} M \rangle} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} \tau M} L(M, 0)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We now assume that Q is representation-infinite. We fix an indecomposable regular $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module M and we denote by \mathcal{R} the regular component containing M which is of the form $\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_{\infty}/(\tau^p)$ for some $p \geq 0$. We denote by R_i with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ the quasi-simple modules in \mathcal{R} and we order them in such a way that $\tau R_i \simeq R_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $M \simeq R_1^{(n)}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Then it follows from [Dup10a, Theorem 2] that

$$(2) \quad X_M = P_n(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} R_1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{dim} R_n}, X_{R_1}, \dots, X_{R_n})$$

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$\tau_i = L(R_i, 0) \text{ and } \nu_i = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \neq \mathbf{dim} R_i, 0} L(R_i, \mathbf{e}).$$

With these notations, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$X_{R_i} = \tau_i + \nu_i + \mathbf{y}^{\dim R_i} \frac{1}{\tau_{i+1}}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Replacing in (2), we get

$$(3) \quad X_M = P_n(\mathbf{y}^{\dim R_1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{\dim R_n}, \tau_1 + \nu_1 + \mathbf{y}^{\dim R_1} \frac{1}{\tau_2}, \dots, \tau_n + \nu_n + \mathbf{y}^{\dim R_n} \frac{1}{\tau_{n+1}})$$

and thus specialising Lemma 3.3 at $q_i = \mathbf{y}^{\dim R_i}$, $u_i = \nu_i$ and $t_i = \tau_i$, we get

$$X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}^{\dim R_i}, \tau_j^{\pm 1}, \nu_i | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n+1]$$

Assume now that $n \geq 2$ and that M is a Schur module, then it follows from [Ker96, §9.2] that the quasi-composition factors R_1, \dots, R_n of M are rigid. In particular, $\chi(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(R_i)) \geq 0$ for any dimension vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ and any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $L(R_i, \mathbf{e}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since each τ_i is a monomial in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, we get $\tau_i^{\pm 1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Now, since $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is a semiring, we have $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}^{\dim R_i}, \tau_i^{\pm 1}, \nu_i | 1 \leq i \leq n+1] \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Thus it follows from equation (3) that $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. This proves the theorem. \square

4.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let Q be a representation-infinite acyclic quiver algebra and let M be a regular Schur $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module which is not quasi-simple. According to Theorem 1.1, we have $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ and thus, specialising the x_i 's at 1, we get

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}} \chi(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \prod_{i \in Q_0} y_i^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}].$$

The ring $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}]$ is naturally \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} -graded by setting $\deg(y_i)$ to be the i -th vector of the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} for any $i \in Q_0$. Thus, identifying the graded components, we get $\chi(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for any $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$. This proves the corollary. \square

5. Δ -POLYNOMIALS

Generalised Chebyshev polynomials allow to express cluster characters associated to regular modules in terms of cluster characters associated to their quasi-composition factors. As it appeared in the proof of Theorem 1.1, when the quasi-composition factors are rigid, the positivity of the considered character can be deduced from the positivity of the characters associated to the quasi-composition factors. In the tame case, regular modules in homogeneous tubes have non-rigid quasi-composition factors. Nevertheless, the Δ -polynomials we now introduce allow to express cluster characters associated to regular modules in homogeneous tubes in terms of cluster characters associated to quasi-simple modules in exceptional tubes, which are known to be rigid. These Δ -polynomials actually come from difference properties introduced in [Dup08, DXX09, Dup10b].

Definition 5.1. Let q_i, t_i with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be indeterminates over \mathbb{Z} . For any $p \geq 1$, and $l \geq 1$, we set

$$\Delta_{l,p}(\mathbf{q}_{[1,lp]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,lp]}) = P_{lp}(\mathbf{q}_{[1,lp]}, \mathbf{t}_{[1,lp]}) - q_1 P_{lp-2}(\mathbf{q}_{[2,lp-1]}, \mathbf{t}_{[2,lp-1]})$$

and

$$\underline{\Delta}_{l,p}(\mathbf{t}_{[1,lp]}) = \Delta_{l,p}(1, \dots, 1, \mathbf{t}_{[1,lp]})$$

Expanding with respect to the column containing q_1 , we get:

$$D = (-1)A + t_1B - q_1C$$

where A, B, C are $n - 1 \times n - 1$ minors. Computing these minors, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q_2 P_{i-3}([3, i-1]) P_{l_p-i}([i+1, l_p]) ; \\ B &= P_{i-2}([2, i-1]) P_{l_p-i}([i+1, l_p]) ; \\ C &= P_{i-2}([2, i-1]) P_{l_p-i-1}([i+1, l_p-1]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \Delta_{l,p}([1, l_p]) = P_{l_p-1}(q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{l_p}, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{l_p}, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})$$

and the claim is proved.

Considering the Taylor expansion in (u_1, \dots, u_{l_p}) , we get that $\Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_{l_p}, t_1 + u_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + u_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_1})$ equals

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \left(\frac{\partial^{i_1} \dots \partial^{i_p}}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_p} \right) \Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_{l_p}, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_1}) u_1^{i_1} \dots u_p^{i_p}$$

But for every i_1, \dots, i_p , it follows from the claim that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{i_1} \dots \partial^{i_p}}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_p} \right) \Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_{l_p}, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_1})$$

is a derivative of a generalized Chebyshev polynomial in consecutive variables. Thus, by Lemma 3.3, this is again a product of generalized Chebyshev polynomial in consecutive variables. Then, Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{i_1} \dots \partial^{i_p}}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_p} \right) \Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_{l_p}, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q_i, t_i^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq l_p].$$

so that finally,

$$\Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_{l_p}, t_1 + u_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + u_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q_i, t_i^{\pm 1}, u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l_p]$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

Remark 5.4. Note that the positivity of $\Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_p, t_1 + u + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + u_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_1})$ really comes from the fact that in the last variable t_1 occurs instead of t_{l_p+1} . This is an illustration of the fact that the Δ -polynomials arise from tubes, that is, periodic (in this case, p -periodic) regular components. Indeed, in general, the polynomial $\Delta_{l,p}(q_1, \dots, q_{l_p}, t_1 + u_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, \dots, t_{l_p} + u_{l_p} + \frac{q_{l_p}}{t_{l_p+1}})$ is not subtraction-free. Consider for instance $l = 1, p = 2$ and specialise the u_i 's to 1, then

$$\Delta_{1,2}(q_1, q_2, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, t_2 + \frac{q_2}{t_1}) = \frac{t_1^2 t_2^2 + q_1 q_2}{t_2 t_1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q_1, q_2, t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}]$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{1,2}(q_1, q_2, t_1 + \frac{q_1}{t_2}, t_2 + \frac{q_2}{t_3}) &= \frac{t_1 t_2^2 t_3 + q_2(t_1 t_2 - t_2 t_3) + q_1 q_2}{t_2 t_3} \\ &\notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q_1, q_2, t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, t_3^{\pm 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Let Q be an affine quiver. In this section we prove that $\underline{X}_M \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for any $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module M where \underline{X}_M denotes the coefficient-free cluster character associated to M .

Note that the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ sending all the y_i 's to 1 sends X_M to \underline{X}_M for any $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module M and it preserves the positive cones so that whenever $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ we get $\underline{X}_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.

Since $X_{M \oplus N} = X_M X_N$ for any two $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules M and N , it is enough to consider the case where M is indecomposable. The proof will consider several cases depending on the component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma(\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q)$ of $\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q$ containing M .

If M is not in a regular component, then it is rigid so that $\chi(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \geq 0$ and thus $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Hence, we only need to treat the case where M is an indecomposable regular module.

6.1. Exceptional tubes.

Lemma 6.1. *Let Q be an affine quiver and let M be an indecomposable regular $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module contained in an exceptional tube of $\Gamma(\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q)$. Then $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote by R_i with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ the quasi-simple objects in the exceptional tube \mathcal{T} containing M ordered in such a way that $\tau R_i \simeq R_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists some $n \geq 1$ such that $M \simeq R_1^{(n)}$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get

$$X_M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{y}^{\dim R_i}, \tau_j^{\pm 1}, \nu_i | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n+1]$$

where for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we have set

$$\tau_i = L(R_i, 0) \text{ and } \nu_i = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \neq \dim R_i, 0} L(R_i, \mathbf{e}).$$

Now, since the tube \mathcal{T} is exceptional, it follows that its quasi-simple objects are rigid in $\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q$ and in particular each R_i is rigid. Then $\nu_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and thus $X_M \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. \square

6.2. Homogeneous tubes. For modules in homogeneous tubes, the proof will be divided into two steps.

We recall that for any $n \geq 1$, the n -th normalised Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind is the polynomial $F_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ defined by

$$F_0(x) = 2, F_1(x) = x \text{ and } F_{n+1}(x) = xF_n(x) - F_{n-1}(x) \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Lemma 6.2. *Let Q be an affine quiver and let M be an indecomposable $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module which is quasi-simple in a homogeneous tube. Then for any $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$F_n(\underline{X}_M) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$$

Proof. If Q is the Kronecker quiver, this was proved in [SZ04] so that we may assume that Q has at least three vertices and thus, the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\text{mod-}\mathbf{k}Q$ contains an exceptional tube \mathcal{T} of rank $p > 1$ whose quasi-simple modules, denoted by R_i with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, are ordered in such a way that $\tau R_i \simeq R_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Then it follows from [Dup10b, Proposition 3.3] that

$$F_n(\underline{X}_M) = \underline{\Delta}_{n,p}(\underline{X}_{R_1}, \dots, \underline{X}_{R_{np}})$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and for any dimension vector $\mathbf{e} = (e_i)_{i \in Q_0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$, we set

$$\underline{L}(R_i, \mathbf{e}) = \chi(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \prod_{j \in Q_0} x_j^{-\langle \mathbf{e}, S_j \rangle - \langle S_j, \mathbf{dim} R_i - \mathbf{e} \rangle}$$

and

$$\tau_i = \underline{L}(R_i, 0) \text{ and } \nu_i = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \neq \mathbf{dim} R_i, 0} \underline{L}(R_i, \mathbf{e}).$$

We thus get

$$F_n(\underline{X}_M) = \underline{\Delta}_{n,p}(\tau_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{1}{\tau_2}, \dots, \tau_{np} + \nu_{np} + \frac{1}{\tau_1})$$

which belongs to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\tau_i^{\pm 1}, \nu_i | 1 \leq i \leq np]$ by Lemma 5.3. Now, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, the module R_i is quasi-simple in an exceptional tube so that it is rigid and thus each $\tau_i^{\pm 1}$ and each ν_i belongs to $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. It follows that $F_n(\underline{X}_M) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let Q be an affine quiver and let M be an indecomposable $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module in a homogeneous tube. Then $\underline{X}_M \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be a homogeneous tube and let M be the quasi-simple module in \mathcal{T} . For any $n \geq 1$ we denote by $M^{(n)}$ the unique indecomposable module in \mathcal{T} with quasi-length n . We need to prove that $\underline{X}_{M^{(n)}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for any $n \geq 1$.

For any $n \geq 1$ we denote by $S_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ the n -th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind given by $S_0(x) = 1$, $S_1(x) = x$ and $S_{n+1}(x) = xS_n(x) - S_{n-1}(x)$ for any $n \geq 1$ or equivalently by $S_n(x) = P_n(1, \dots, 1, x, \dots, x)$.

It follows from [Dup10a, Theorem 2] (see also [CZ06]) that for any $n \geq 1$, we have $\underline{X}_{M^{(n)}} = S_n(\underline{X}_M)$. It is well-known that $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} F_{n-2k}$ so that

$$\underline{X}_{M^{(n)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} F_{n-2k}(\underline{X}_M)$$

and it thus follows from Lemma 6.2 that each of the terms in this sum belongs to $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. This proves the lemma and Theorem 1.4 follows. \square

7. APPLICATION TO CLUSTER BASES IN CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

Let Q be an acyclic quiver and let $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$ be the corresponding (coefficient-free) cluster algebra. If Q is an affine quiver, one can naturally construct three distinct cluster bases of particular interest in $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$ using the representation theory of Q . All these three constructions involve an element of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$, which we denote by X_{δ} and which is given by the value of \underline{X}_M on any quasi-simple module in a homogeneous tube. Note that this value is independent on the chosen homogeneous tube [Dup11b, Lemma 5.3].

The first basis, initially constructed by Sherman and Zelevinsky for the Kronecker quiver [SZ04] and by Cerulli for quivers of type $\tilde{A}_{2,1}$ [Cer11] is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_Q = \mathcal{M}_Q \sqcup \{F_n(X_{\delta})X_R | n \geq 1, R \text{ is a regular rigid } \mathbf{k}Q\text{-module}\}.$$

It is conjectured in [Dup10b] that this cluster linear basis of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$ is actually *canonically positive* (or *atomic*) in the sense of [Cer11], that is, it is constituted of the extremal elements in the positive cone of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$.

The second basis was initially constructed by Caldero and Zelevinsky for the Kronecker quiver [CZ06]. It is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_Q = \mathcal{M}_Q \sqcup \{S_n(X_\delta)X_R | n \geq 1, R \text{ is a regular rigid } \mathbf{k}Q\text{-module}\}$$

where for any $n \geq 1$, S_n is the n -th normalised Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind whose definition is recalled in the proof of Lemma 6.3.

The third basis was considered in [Dup11b] and, in a broader context by Geiss, Leclerc and Schröer [GLS10]. It is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_Q = \mathcal{M}_Q \sqcup \{X_\delta^n X_R | n \geq 1, R \text{ is a regular rigid } \mathbf{k}Q\text{-module}\}.$$

and it is called the *generic basis* of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$.

The fact that all these three bases are indeed cluster bases follows from [Dup11b, Dup08, DXX09] and more generally [GLS10]. We conjecture that these three cluster bases consist of positive elements of $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$. As a byproduct of the methods used in this article, we can partially prove this conjecture. More precisely, we prove Theorem 1.5, stating that the elements in these three bases can be written as subtraction-free Laurent polynomials in the initial cluster of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(Q, \mathbf{x})$.

7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5. It is well-known that for any indeterminate z , the element z^n can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of $S_k(z)$ with $k \leq n$ and $S_n(z)$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ -linear combination of $F_k(z)$ with $k \leq n$ (see for instance [Dup11b, Section 6]). Thus, any element in \mathcal{G}_Q can be expressed as a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of elements of \mathcal{C}_Q and each element of \mathcal{C}_Q can be expressed as a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of elements of \mathcal{B}_Q . Thus, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{B}_Q \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}]$ in order to prove the theorem.

Elements in \mathcal{B}_Q are either cluster monomials or products of the form $\underline{X}_R F_n(\underline{X}_M)$ where $n \geq 1$ and R is a regular rigid $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module and M is a quasi-simple module in a homogeneous tube. According to [Nak10, Qin11], every cluster monomial and every element of the form \underline{X}_R where R is regular rigid belongs to the cone $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that $F_n(\underline{X}_M) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is stable under product, it follows that $\mathcal{B}_Q \subset \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ and Theorem 1.5 is proved. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was written while the author was at the university of Sherbrooke as a CRM-ISM postdoctoral fellow under the supervision of Ibrahim Assem, Thomas Brüstle and Virginie Charette. He would like to thank Giovanni Cerulli Irelli and Yann Palu for valuable discussions and comments on the topic.

REFERENCES

- [ARS97] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, Corrected reprint of the 1995 original.
- [BMR⁺06] Aslak Buan, Robert Marsh, Markus Reineke, Idun Reiten, and Gordana Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), no. 2, 572–618.
- [CC06] Philippe Caldero and Frédéric Chapoton, *Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **81** (2006), 596–616.

- [Cer11] Giovanni Cerulli Irelli, *Cluster algebras of type $A_2^{(1)}$* , Algebras and Representation Theory (2011), to appear.
- [CK06] Philippe Caldero and Bernhard Keller, *From triangulated categories to cluster algebras II*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure **39** (2006), no. 4, 83–100.
- [CK08] ———, *From triangulated categories to cluster algebras*, Inventiones Mathematicae **172** (2008), 169–211.
- [CZ06] Philippe Caldero and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Laurent expansions in cluster algebras via quiver representations*, Moscow Mathematical Journal **6** (2006), 411–429.
- [Dup08] Grégoire Dupont, *Generic variables in acyclic cluster algebras and bases in affine cluster algebras*, arXiv:0811.2909v2 [math.RT] (2008).
- [Dup10a] ———, *Quantized Chebyshev polynomials and cluster characters with coefficients*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **31** (2010), no. 4, 501–532.
- [Dup10b] ———, *Transverse quiver Grassmannians and bases in affine cluster algebras*, Algebra and Number Theory **4** (2010), no. 5, 599–624.
- [Dup11a] ———, *Cluster multiplication in regular components via generalized Chebyshev polynomials*, Algebras and Representation Theory (2011), to appear.
- [Dup11b] ———, *Generic variables in acyclic cluster algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **215** (2011), no. 4, 628–641.
- [DWZ10] Harm Derksen, Jerzy Weyman, and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations II: applications to cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 3, 749–790.
- [DXX09] Ming Ding, Jie Xiao, and Fan Xu, *Integral bases of cluster algebras and representations of tame quivers*, arXiv:0901.1937v1 [math.RT] (2009).
- [FK10] Changjian Fu and Bernhard Keller, *On cluster algebras with coefficients and 2-Calabi-Yau categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 859–895.
- [FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [FZ07] ———, *Cluster algebras IV: Coefficients*, Compositio Mathematica **143** (2007), no. 1, 112–164.
- [GLS10] Christof Geiss, Bernard Leclerc, and J. Schröer, *Generic bases for cluster algebras and the Chamber Ansatz*, arXiv:1004.2781v2 [math.RT] (2010).
- [Kel10] Bernhard Keller, *Cluster algebras, quiver representations and triangulated categories*, Triangulated categories, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 375, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 76–160.
- [Ker96] Otto Kerner, *Representations of wild quivers*, Representation theory of algebras and related topics (Mexico City, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 65–107.
- [MSW09] Gregg Musiker, Ralf Schiffler, and Lauren Williams, *Positivity for cluster algebras from surfaces*, arXiv:0906.0748v1 [math.CO] (2009).
- [Nak10] Hiraku Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and cluster algebras*, arXiv:0905.0002v5 [math.QA] (2010).
- [Pal08] Yann Palu, *Cluster characters for 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated categories*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 6, 2221–2248.
- [Pla10] Pierre-Guy Plamondon, *Cluster algebras via cluster categories with infinite-dimensional morphism spaces*, arXiv:1004.0830v2 [math.RT] (2010).
- [Pla11] Pierre-Guy Plamondon, *Cluster characters for cluster categories with infinite-dimensional morphism spaces*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 1, 1–39.
- [Qin11] Fan Qin, *Quantum cluster variables via Serre polynomials*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (2011), to appear.
- [Rin84] Claus Michael Ringel, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1099** (1984), 1–376.
- [SZ04] Paul Sherman and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Positivity and canonical bases in rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine types*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), 947–974.

UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES. 2500, BOUL. DE L'UNIVERSITÉ, J1K 2R1, SHERBROOKE QC (CANADA).

E-mail address: gregoire.dupont@usherbrooke.ca