EXAMPLES OF PLANAR TIGHT CONTACT STRUCTURES WITH SUPPORT NORM ONE

TOLGA ETGÜ AND YANKI LEKILI

ABSTRACT. We answer a question of Baldwin and Etnyre from [2] by exhibiting an infinite family of tight contact structures ξ with $sn(\xi) < 2sg(\xi) + bn(\xi) - 2$.

Let Y be a closed oriented 3–manifold and ξ be a contact structure on Y. In [3], Etnyre and Ozbagci define three numerical invariants of a contact structure in terms of their supporting open books. These are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sn}(\xi) &= \min\{-\chi(\pi^{-1}(\theta)|\pi: Y - B \to S^1 \text{ supports } \xi\} \\ \mathrm{sg}(\xi) &= \min\{g(\pi^{-1}(\theta))|\pi: Y - B \to S^1 \text{ supports } \xi\} \\ \mathrm{bn}(\xi) &= \min\{|B||\pi: Y - B \to S^1 \text{ supports } \xi \text{ and } g(\pi^{-1}(\theta)) = sg(\xi)\} \end{aligned}$$

where θ is any point in S^1 , g(.) is the genus, and |.| is the number of components. In general, these invariants are hard to compute for a given contact structure. In particular, there is no known example of a contact structure with support genus greater than one. However, it is obvious from the definitions that

$$\operatorname{sn}(\xi) \le 2\operatorname{sg}(\xi) + \operatorname{bn}(\xi) - 2$$

In [2], Baldwin and Etnyre exhibit examples of *overtwisted* contact structures which makes this inequality strict and ask whether the inequality can be strict for tight contact structures. Here we give an infinite family of tight contact structures (exactly one of which is Stein fillable) for which this inequality is strict.

Let T_0 be genus one surface with one boundary component and consider the family of diffeomorphisms $\phi_m = (\tau_a \tau_b)^3 \tau_a^{-m-4}$, for $m \ge 0$, where a and b are simple closed curves given in Figure 1 and τ denotes the right-handed Dehn twist along the corresponding curve. For later use, we orient a and b so that $a \cdot b = -1$. Let (Y_m, ξ_m) denote the contact manifold supported by the open book decomposition (T_0, ϕ_m) .

Theorem. The contact structure ξ_0 is Stein fillable and ξ_m is tight but not Stein fillable for m > 0. Furthermore,

$$sn(\xi_m) = 1$$
, $sg(\xi_m) = 0$, $3 < bn(\xi_m) \le m + 5$

In particular, $sn(\xi_m) < 2sg(\xi_m) + bn(\xi_m) - 2$.

We would like to thank Selman Akbulut and Burak Ozbagci for helpful conversations. TE was partially supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey.



FIGURE 1.

Proof. The fact that ξ_m are tight follows from Theorem 4.2 in [1] where its shown that the Heegaard Floer contact invariant $c(Y_m, \xi_m) \neq 0$. Now, observe that $\phi_0 = (\tau_a \tau_b)^3 \tau_a^{-4} = \tau_{a+b}\tau_{a-b}$. Since the monodromy is a product of positive Dehn twists, ξ_0 is Stein fillable. In general, we have $\phi_m = \tau_{a+b}\tau_{a-b}\tau_a^{-m}$. Using this decomposition, we draw a handlebody diagram of a 4-manifold X_m with boundary Y_m in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. Handlebody diagram of X_m with two 1-handles, m +1-framed 2-handles, a -2-framed 2-handle, and a 0-framed 2-handle

Figure 3 describes a way to see that Y_m is diffeomorphic to the Seifert fibered 3-manifold $M(-1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{m+2})$. A complete classification of tight contact structures on $M(-1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{m+2})$ is given in [5]. It follows in particular that all the tight contact structures on these manifolds are supported by planar open books, therefore $sg(\xi_m) = 0$. In fact, we can pinpoint precisely the contact isotopy class of ξ_m from this classification by calculating a Hopf invariant, $d_3(\xi_m)$. Indeed, in Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.1 of [1] Baldwin shows that Y_m is an L-space and calculates the correction term $d(Y_m, \mathfrak{s}_{\xi_m}) = -\frac{m}{4}$. Since we also know that $c(Y_m, \xi_m)$ is non-zero, and it has grading equal to $-d_3(\xi_m)$ (cf. [6]), we conclude that $d_3(\xi_m) = d(Y_m, \mathfrak{s}_{\xi_m}) = -\frac{m}{4}$. (Note that this calculation can also be done by drawing a contact surgery diagram associated with ϕ_m). According to the classification in [5], for each m > 0 there exists a unique tight contact structure on Y_m with d_3 invariant equal to



FIGURE 3. Seifert fibered 3-manifold description of Y_m

 $-\frac{m}{4}$ given by the contact surgery diagram in Figure 4. Note that, the fact that ξ_m is Stein fillable if and only if m = 0 follows from Theorem 4.13 in [5].



FIGURE 4. On the left: Contact surgery diagram of ξ_m as given in [5]. On the right: A planar open book supporting ξ_m with m + 5 boundary components, the monodromy is negative Dehn twist around the middle dashed curve and positive Dehn twist around all the other curves.

So far, we have shown that $sg(\xi_m) = 0$, and $sn(\xi_m) \le 1$, where the latter follows because we started with an open book supporting ξ_m with pages a genus one surface with one

TOLGA ETGÜ AND YANKI LEKILI

boundary component. Furthermore, Figure 5 gives a planar open book supporting ξ_m with m + 5 boundary components, hence $bn(\xi_m) \leq m + 5$. Next, observe that $sn(\xi) < 1$ implies that ξ is supported by a planar open book with at most two boundary components, however these are known to be lens spaces. Hence $sn(\xi_m) = 1$. To finish, we need to show that $bn(\xi_m) \neq 3$. Notice that the surgery picture of a planar open book with three binding components is given by Figure 5. These are connected sums of lens spaces if $\{0,\pm 1\} \cap \{p,q,r\} \neq \emptyset$, and small Seifert fibered spaces with $e_0 = \lfloor -\frac{1}{p} \rfloor + \lfloor -\frac{1}{q} \rfloor + \lfloor -\frac{1}{r} \rfloor$ otherwise. Since $e_0(Y_m) = -1$ any open book decomposition of Y_m with planar pages and 3 binding components must have exactly two of p, q and r negative, and in that case the monodromy is not right-veering. Therefore, these open books cannot support the tight contact structures ξ_m by [4].



FIGURE 5. Surgery picture of the 3-manifold given by the planar open book with three binding components and monodromy $\phi = \tau_a^p \tau_b^q \tau_c^r$, where τ denotes the right-handed Dehn twist along the corresponding curve

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Baldwin, *Heegaard Floer homology and genus one, one boundary component open books*, J. Topology 15 (2): 963–992, 2008.
- [2] J. Baldwin, J. Etnyre, A note on the support norm of a contact structure, arXiv:0910.5021, 2009.
- [3] J. Etnyre, B. Ozbagci, Invariants of contact structures from open books, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 360(6):3133–3151, 2008.
- [4] K. Honda, W. Kazez, G. Matić, Right-veering diffeomorphisms of compact surfaces with boundary, Inv. Math., 169(2):427–449, 2007.
- [5] P. Ghiggini, P. Lisca, A. Stipsicz, *Tight contact structures on some small Seifert fibered 3-manifolds* Amer. J. Math., 129(5):1403–1447, 2007.
- [6] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, Heegaard Floer homology and contact structures Duke Math. J. 129(1): 39–61, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOÇ UNIVERSITY, İSTANBUL, TURKEY MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BERKELEY, CA 94720 USA *E-mail address*: tetqu@ku.edu.tr

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BERKELEY, CA 94720 USA *E-mail address*: ylekili@msri.org