

PERVERSE COHERENT t -STRUCTURES THROUGH TORSION THEORIES

JORGE VITÓRIA

ABSTRACT. Bezrukavnikov in [7] recovered the work of Deligne defining perverse t -structures for the derived category of coherent sheaves on a projective variety. In this text we prove that these t -structures can be obtained through tilting torsion theories as in the work of Happel Reiten and Smalø [9]. This approach proves to be slightly more general as it allow us to define perverse coherent t -structures in other settings, namely for noncommutative projective planes.

1. INTRODUCTION

A t -structure on a triangulated category D is a pair of full subcategories $(D^{\leq 0}, D^{\geq 0})$ of D such that, for $D^{\leq n} := D^{\leq 0}[-n]$ and $D^{\geq n} := D^{\geq 0}[-n]$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have:

- (1) $Hom(X, Y) = 0$, $\forall X \in D^{\leq 0}, Y \in D^{\geq 1}$
- (2) $D^{\leq 0} \subseteq D^{\leq 1}$
- (3) $\forall X \in D$, there is a distinguished triangle

$$A \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A[1].$$

$D^{\leq 0} \cap D^{\geq 0}$ is called the heart of the t -structure and it is an abelian category (as proven in [6]). It is also well known that $D^{\leq 0}$, the aisle of the t -structure, determines the whole pair by setting $D^{\geq 0} = (D^{\leq 0})^{\perp}[1]$.

In [7] the perverse coherent t -structures are constructed as follows. Let X be a scheme and X^{top} denote the set of generic points of all closed subschemes of X . A **perversity** is a map $p : X^{top} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the monotone and comonotone properties:

- monotone: $y \in \bar{x} \Rightarrow p(y) \geq p(x)$
- comonotone: $y \in \bar{x} \Rightarrow p(x) \geq p(y) - (\dim(x) - \dim(y))$

Note that the image of a perversity on an n -dimensional scheme has atmost $n+1$ elements.

Now, given a perversity p , the perverse t -structure is defined by taking as aisle:

$$D^{p, \leq 0} = \left\{ F^{\bullet} \in D^-(coh(X)) : \forall x \in X^{top}, i_x^*(F^{\bullet}) \in D^{\leq p(x)}(O_x - mod) \right\}$$

where $i_x : \{x\} \longrightarrow X$ is the inclusion map. The proof that this is in fact an aisle can be seen in [7].

A more algebraic treatment of these categories is provided by Serre. Let X be a projective variety over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ and define $R = \Gamma(X) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, O_X(n))$ where Γ is the functor of global sections and O_X is the structure sheaf of X . Serre proved that the category $Qcoh(X)$, of quasi-coherent sheaves over X , is equivalent to the quotient category $Tails(R) = Gr(R)/Tors(R)$ where $Tors(R)$ is the full subcategory of torsion modules of the category $Gr(R)$ of graded

modules over R for the following torsion theory: $x \in M$ is torsion if and only if $\exists N \geq 0$ such that $xR_j = 0$, $\forall j > N$, i.e., the submodule generated by x is *right bounded*. When written in the lower case $\text{tails}(R) = \text{gr}(R)/\text{tors}(R)$ we mean only finitely generated objects, thus getting a category equivalent to $\text{coh}(X)$.

Given a torsion theory in the heart of a t-structure we can construct, as in [9] and [8] a new t-structure. We shall use an iteration of this process to obtain the perverse coherent t-structure from the standard t-structure on $D(\text{QCoh}(X)) = D(\text{Tails}(R))$ when R is a commutative connected noetherian positively graded $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra generated in degree one.

This new method of getting perverse coherent t-structures can then be used in other contexts. In this text we exemplify this with noncommutative projective planes. The notion of noncommutative projective scheme associated to a noncommutative graded $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra was introduced in [5]. A noncommutative projective scheme can be thought of as an *abstract space* $\text{Proj}(R)$ which category of *quasi-coherent sheaves* (respectively *coherent sheaves*) is the quotient category $\text{Tails}(R) = \text{Gr}(R)/\text{Tors}(R)$ (resp. $\text{tails}(R) = \text{grmod}(R)/\text{tors}(R)$) for a noncommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra R . [2] defined some algebras such that their category of tails play the role of coherent sheaves over some noncommutative projective plane. These algebras, so called **Artin-Schelter regular algebras of dimension 3** are algebras of global dimension 3, finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (in fact 3) and Gorenstein.

Artin-Schelter (AS for short) regular algebras of dimension 3 have been classified (see [2] and [3]). They are quotients of the free algebra in r generators by r relations of degree s , where $(r, s) \in \{(2, 3), (3, 2)\}$. Furthermore, to each AS-regular algebra of dimension 3 we can associate a triple (E, σ, L) where E is a scheme, $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(E)$ and L is an invertible sheaf on E . In the case where $r = 3$ (in which we will focus from now on) the algebra is said to be elliptic if E is a divisor of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and L is the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. The only other case to consider is when $E = \mathbb{P}^2$ - and then we say the algebra is linear. It can be proven that if A is linear then $A \cong B$ where B is a twisted coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and therefore $\text{tails}(A) \cong \text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. We will focus on the elliptic cases to provide an example of a new construction of perverse coherent t-structures.

The text is outlined as follows: section 2 presents some basics on the theory of torsion theories for categories of graded modules; section 3 recalls material from [9] and shows how to obtain a t-structure by iterating adequately the use of torsion theories on a general Grothendieck category; section 4 show how torsion theories come into play when describing perverse coherent t-structures and section 5 applies section 3 to define perverse coherent t-structures on noncommutative projective planes.

2. TORSION THEORIES FOR GRADED MODULES

Let R be a, not necessarily commutative, graded ring. In what follows, module shall mean right module and ideal shall mean two-sided ideal.

$\text{Gr}(R)$ is a Grothendieck category admitting injective envelopes which we will denote by E^g . We shall use $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}(R)}$ for homomorphisms in this category (i.e. R -linear, grading preserving). For a graded module M we will denote by $h(M)$ the subset of homogeneous elements. It is clear that $M = \langle h(M) \rangle$. Also, for a prime ideal P , define $C^g(P) = C(P) \cap h(R)$, where $C(P)$ is the set of regular elements mod P , i.e., the set of elements x of R such that $x + P$ is neither left nor right zero

divisor in R/P . If R is commutative, then $C(P) = R \setminus P$. The following remark proves to be useful.

Remark 2.1. Given a connected positively graded ring R generated in degree one and a homogeneous prime ideal $P \neq R_+ := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} R_i$, we have $P_n \neq R_n$ for all $n > 1$. In fact, suppose $\exists n_0 > 1 : P_{n_0} = R_{n_0}$. Then, since the ring is generated in degree one, we have $P_n = R_n, \forall n > n_0$. Now, if $x_1 \in R_1 \setminus P_1$ then, since P is prime, $\exists r_1 \in R : x_2 = x_1 r_1 x_1 \notin P$. Now, $\deg(x_2) \geq 2$ since R is positively graded. Thus we can inductively construct a sequence of elements $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ none of them lying in P and such that $\deg(x_n) > \deg(x_{n-1})$, thus yielding a contradiction.

To an injective object E in $Gr(R)$ we can associate a natural torsion theory in $Gr(R)$, for which a module M is torsion if $\text{Hom}_{Gr(R)}(M, E) = 0$. This torsion theory is said to be cogenerated by E in $Gr(R)$.

Note that, since the category of finitely generated objects is closed under extensions, the torsion theories above defined to $Gr(R)$ restrict to torsion theories in $gr(R)$. This is the key fact that allow us to restrict everything that follows to the finitely generated setting, even though we choose to work with the whole category since generally injective objects are not finitely generated.

The following lemma proves a useful criterion for graded modules to be torsion for each of the torsion theory associated with an injective object. The arguments of the proof mimic the ungraded case proved in [12].

Lemma 2.2. *Given any graded ring R and graded modules T and F the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{Gr(R)}(T, E^g(F)) = 0$;
- (2) $\forall t \in h(T), 0 \neq f \in h(F)$, of the same degree $\exists r \in h(R)$ such that $tr = 0$ and $fr \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\text{Hom}_{Gr(R)}(M, E^g(F)) \neq 0$. Let α be one of its non-zero elements. Choose $u \in h(T)$ such that $\alpha(u) \neq 0$. Now since F is essential graded submodule of $E^g(F)$, i.e., given any non-trivial graded submodule of $E^g(F)$, its intersection with F is non-trivial, hence $\exists s \in h(R) : 0 \neq \alpha(u)s = \alpha(us) \in F$. If we choose $t = us$ and $f = \alpha(us)$ they are homogeneous of the same degree and clearly, given $r \in R$, if $tr = 0$ then $fr = 0$.

Suppose now that (2) is false, i.e., $\exists t \in T, f \in F \setminus \{0\}$ homogeneous of the same degree such that $\forall r \in h(R), tr = 0 \Rightarrow fr = 0$. Then, there is a well defined non-zero graded homomorphism $tR \rightarrow F, tr \mapsto fr$, since $\langle h(R) \rangle = R$. Now, since $E^g(F)$ is an injective object in the category of graded modules, we find a non-zero graded homomorphism $T \rightarrow E^g(F)$. $\square$

This following corollary is fundamental for our approach in section 4. Indeed, it shows that, even though we might not be able to localise with respect to $C^g(P)$ in the noncommutative setting, we can reformulate a zero localization statement in terms of torsion. Following this philosophy, even though in general it does not make sense to talk about the *degree zero of localization*, we shall reformulate it in terms of torsion as in corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be a commutative positively graded ring generated in degree one and P a homogeneous prime ideal (different from the augmentation ideal) in R and $S = C^g(P) = h(R \setminus P)$. Given M a graded R -module then $(S^{-1}M)_0 = 0$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{Gr(R)}(M, E^g(R/P)) = 0$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact $(S^{-1}M)_0 = 0$ is equivalent, by definition of graded localization, to condition (2) of the above lemma. $\square$

3. T-STRUCTURES VIA TORSION THEORIES

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a Grothendieck category with an ordered set of hereditary torsion classes $S = \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n T_i = 0$.

Definition 3.1. An algebraic perversity is a function $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p(T_i) = p(T_{i-1}) + 1, \quad \forall 2 \leq i \leq n$$

Note that a perversity is uniquely determined by its value on a single element of the ordered set S .

Our target is to prove that the following subcategory is an aisle for a t-structure:

$$D^{p, \leq 0} = \{X^\bullet \in D(\mathbf{A}) : H^i(X^\bullet) \in T_j, \forall i > p(T_j)\}.$$

Remark 3.2. Clearly such a category is a subcategory of $D^{\leq \max\{p(T_j) : T_j \in S\}}$, a shift of the aisle of the standard t-structure. This is because the intersection of all torsion classes is zero.

Theorem 3.3. *If $n \geq 2$, then $D^{p, \leq 0}$ is an aisle of a t-structure in $D(\mathbf{A})$.*

Proof. We shall use induction on n . Note that without loss of generality we can consider zero to be the maximal value of the algebraic perversity p . For the sake of simplicity we shall renumber the indices of the torsion classes in agreement with their perversity values.

Suppose $n = 2$, $p(T_{-1}) = -1$ and $p(T_0) = 0$. Hence,

$$D^{p, \leq 0} = \{X^\bullet \in D(\mathbf{A}) : H^0(X^\bullet) \in T_{-1}, H^i(X^\bullet) = 0, \forall i > 0\}$$

The fact that this is a t-structure follows from [9]: this is the t-structure obtained via tilting with respect to T_{-1} .

Suppose now the result is valid for any ordered set of n hereditary torsion classes with zero intersection. Let S be such a set with $n + 1$ elements, $S = \{T_{-n}, T_{-n+1}, \dots, T_0\}$ with an algebraic perversity p such that $p(T_i) = i$. We want to prove that the subcategory $D^{p, \leq 0}$ with respect to this perversity p defines a t-structure on $D(\mathbf{A})$.

First let us consider $\bar{S} = \{T_{-n}, T_{-n+1}, \dots, T_{-1} \cap T_0\}$. Clearly, by assumption on S , this is also an ordered set of torsion classes with zero intersection in $\mathbf{A}$. Let $\bar{p} : \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the algebraic perversity satisfying $\bar{p}(T_n \cap T_{n+1}) = 0$. We fall into the case of n torsion classes and thus we have an associated t-structure whose heart will be denoted by $\mathbf{B}$ and whose associated cohomology functor will be denoted by $H_{\bar{p}}^0 = t_{\bar{p}}^{\geq 0} t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq 0}$, where the $t_{\bar{p}}$'s are the associated truncation functors.

Consider now the following subcategory of $\mathbf{B}$:

$$\mathbf{W} = \{X^\bullet \in \mathbf{B} : H^0(X^\bullet) \in T_{-1}, H^i(X^\bullet) = 0, \forall i < 0\}$$

Thus $\mathbf{W}$ can be seen as the *stalk subcategory* $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=-n}^{-1} T_i \rightarrow 0$ and thus it is a torsion class inside $\mathbf{B}$ since homomorphisms in $\mathbf{W}$ can be seen as homomorphisms in $\mathbf{A}$ ($\mathbf{W}$ is closed under extensions since exact sequences in $\mathbf{B}$ are precisely the exact triangles of $D(\mathbf{A})$ that lie in $\mathbf{B}$ and the result follows from the long exact sequence of cohomology).

Now the crucial observation is the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4. $X^\bullet \in D^{p,\leq 0}$ if and only if $H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) \in \mathbf{W}$ and $H_{\bar{p}}^i(X^\bullet) = 0, \forall i > 0$.

Proof. Note first that $H_{\bar{p}}^i(X^\bullet) = 0, \forall i > 0$ is equivalent to $X^\bullet \in D^{\bar{p},\leq 0}$.

Suppose $X^\bullet \in D^{p,\leq 0}$. It is clear from the definition of the perversity $\bar{p}$ that $D^{p,\leq 0} \subset D^{\bar{p},\leq 0}$, thus proving the vanishing of positive $\bar{p}$ -cohomologies.

Now, we can fit $\bar{p}$ -cohomology in the following distinguished triangle:

$$t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq 0}(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)[1]$$

which, again due to the fact that $D^{p,\leq 0} \subset D^{\bar{p},\leq 0}$, amounts to the distinguished triangle

$$t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow X^\bullet \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)[1].$$

Remark 3.1 shows that $t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet) \subset t^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)$ and, since $X^\bullet \in D^{p,\leq 0}$ we also have that $t^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet) \in D^{\bar{p},\leq -1}$, thus $t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet) = t^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)$ and hence, since in any distinguished triangle two of the vertices determine the third one up to isomorphism, we have

$$H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) = H^0(X^\bullet),$$

which by definition of $D^{p,\leq 0}$ tells us that $H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) \in W$.

Conversely, suppose $X^\bullet \in D^{\bar{p},\leq 0}$ and $H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) \in W$. Similarly as before, we have an exact triangle

$$t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow X^\bullet \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet) \longrightarrow t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)[1]$$

whose long exact sequence of cohomology (for the standard cohomology functor) tells us that $H^i(t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)) \cong H^i(X^\bullet), \forall i < 0$ (since negative cohomologies vanish for $H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet)$) and that $H^0(X^\bullet) \cong H^0(H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet)) \in W$. Since $X^\bullet \in D^{\bar{p},\leq 0}$, $H^i(t_{\bar{p}}^{\leq -1}(X^\bullet)) \in T_{n-i}, \forall i < 0$ and thus $H^i(X^\bullet) \in T_{n-i}, \forall i < 0$. On the other hand $H^0(X^\bullet) \cong H^0(H_{\bar{p}}^0(X^\bullet))$ proves that $H^0(X^\bullet) \in T_n$. This is precisely the additional conditions that an element in $D^{\bar{p},\leq 0}$ has to satisfy to be in $D^{p,\leq 0}$, thus proving the statement. $\square$

Thus we have that $D^{p,\leq 0}$ can be obtained by tilting $\mathbf{B}$ with respect to the torsion theory which torsion class is $\mathbf{W}$ and therefore it is the aisle of a t -structure. $\square$

Recall that a t -structure is said to be **nondegenerate** if

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{\leq n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{\geq n} = 0.$$

Clearly, the standard t -structure is nondegenerate.

Lemma 3.5. *The t -structure associated to an algebraic perversity p defined on a finite ordered set of n hereditary torsion classes with zero intersection, as defined above, is nondegenerate.*

Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that the maximal value of p is zero. Then, as before, $D^{p,\leq 0} \subseteq D^{\leq 0}$. The standard t -structure is nondegenerate and thus $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{p,\leq n} = 0$.

On the other hand

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{p,\geq n} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } (D^{p,\leq n-1})^\perp = \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{p,\leq n-1} \right)^\perp.$$

Since $D^{\leq n-1} \subseteq D^{\leq n-1+k}$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{\leq n} = D$ we also have $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{p, \leq n-1} = D$ and thus $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ob } D^{p, \geq n} = 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.6. A nondegenerate t-structure restricts well to the bounded derived category (see [13]). Thus, even though we chose to work with unbounded derived categories, all our statements can be restricted to bounded derived categories with no prejudice.

4. PERVERSE COHERENT T-STRUCTURES THROUGH TORSION THEORIES

We are now going to prove the main theorem of this text but let us fix some notation beforehand. Let X be a smooth projective variety such that $R = \bar{\Gamma}(X)$ is a commutative positively graded $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra generated in degree 1, where $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. π shall denote the projection functor from $gr(R)$ to its quotient $tails(R)$ and note that $\bar{\Gamma}(\pi M) = \bigoplus_i Hom_{tails(R)}(\pi R, \pi M(i))$ is a left-adjoint of π . Let $p : X^{top} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a perversity as in the introduction. Suppose that the perversity has n values and that, without loss of generality, the maximal value of the perversity is zero. Set $E_i = \prod_{\{x \in X : p(x)=i\}} E^g(R/Ann(x))$, $i \in Im(p)$, where $Ann(x)$ is the homogeneous ideal of functions vanishing at x (which is maximal).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that A and B are graded modules and B is torsion free injective. Then $Hom_{Gr(R)}(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $Hom_{Tails(R)}(\pi A, \pi B) = 0$*

Proof. Suppose $f \in Hom_{Tails(R)}(\pi A, \pi B) \neq 0$. Now, B is torsion free and so we have $Hom_{Tails(R)}(\pi A, \pi B) = \lim_{A' : A/A' \text{ torsion}} Hom_{Gr(R)}(A', B)$. Let A' be such that $\exists \tilde{f} \in Hom_{Gr(R)}(A', B) : \pi \tilde{f} = f$. Then since B is injective, $\tilde{f}$ can be extended to A thus proving the direct implication.

Conversely, suppose $f \in Hom_{Gr(R)}(A, B) \neq 0$. Since B is torsion free, we have that there is $A' \leq A$ such that A/A' is torsion and $f|_{A'} \neq 0$ (since otherwise we would have a nonzero map from A/A' to B , which is not allowed by definition of torsion). Thus $\pi f \neq 0$ in $Hom_{Tails(R)}(\pi A, \pi B)$. $\square$

Recall that a set of injective objects $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ in a category $\mathbf{A}$ is a cogenerating set for $\mathbf{A}$ if, for any $X \in \mathbf{A}$, $Hom(X, I_j) = 0$ for all j , then $X = 0$.

Corollary 4.2. *$(\pi E_i)_i$, as defined above, cogenerate $Tails(R)$, where $R = \bar{\Gamma}(X)$.*

Proof. First note that all the E_i are torsion free. In fact, since R is commutative, R/P is torsion free for any prime ideal P and thus, since the torsion free class of a hereditary torsion theory is closed under taking injective envelopes, we have that $E^g(R/P)$ is torsion free. Now, since $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed, Hilbert's Nullstellensatz implies that the class of ideals formed by the annihilators of points in X^{top} includes the class of maximal ideals of R . Given a graded module M and an element $m \in M$, note that there is a graded injection from $R/Ann(m)$ to M . Since $Ann(m)$ is contained in some maximal ideal I , $R/Ann(m)$ maps non-trivially to $E^g(R/I)$ and thus so does M hence proving that the E_i 's cogenerate $Gr(R)$. Also, πE_i 's are injective in $Tails(R)$ (see [11]). The result now follows easily from lemma 4.1. $\square$

Before stating the main theorem let us prove a useful lemma:

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose R is a commutative local ring with maximal ideal m . Given $X^\bullet$ a bounded complex of free R -modules and $Y^\bullet = R/m \otimes_R X^\bullet$, if $H^j(Y^\bullet) = 0 \ \forall j \geq \alpha$, then $H^j(X^\bullet) = 0 \ \forall j \geq \alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose $H^j(Y^\bullet) = 0 \ \forall j \geq \alpha$. Suppose $X^k = 0 \ \forall k \geq p$ ($X^\bullet$ is bounded). If $\alpha > p$ then we have the result. If $\alpha \leq p$, consider the following exact sequence:

$$X_{p-1} \longrightarrow X^p \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(d_X^{p-1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

and apply to it the functor F thus getting another exact sequence, since F is right exact

$$Y_{p-1} \longrightarrow Y^p \longrightarrow R/m \otimes_R \operatorname{coker}(d_X^{p-1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now $\alpha \leq p$ and $Y^{p+1} = 0$ imply that, since $H^p(Y^\bullet) = 0$, d_Y^{p-1} is surjective. Hence $R/m \otimes_R \operatorname{coker}(d_X^{p-1}) = 0$ which, by Nakayama's lemma (since R is local), implies that $\operatorname{coker}(d_X^{p-1}) = 0$ and thus d_X^{p-1} is surjective, thus proving that $H^p(X^\bullet) = 0$.

If $\alpha = p$ we are done. Otherwise assume $H^{p-1}(Y^\bullet) = 0$ and we prove that $H^{p-1}(X^\bullet) = 0$ as well. Note that then the result follows by iterating this process a finite number of times (the difference between α and p). Firstly note that, since X^p is free, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1}) \longrightarrow X_{p-1} \longrightarrow X^p \longrightarrow 0$$

splits and thus $\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})$ is a summand of the free module X^{p-1} , i.e., a projective module. However it is well-known (Kaplansky's theorem) that projective modules over local rings are free. Now we have that $\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1}) \cap mX^{p-1} = m\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})$. In fact take $z_1, \dots, z_t, z_{t+1}, \dots, z_n$ a basis for X^{p-1} such that the first t elements form a basis for $\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})$. Given $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1}) \cap mX^{p-1}$ we have, on one hand $x = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i z_i$ with $a_i \in R$ and on the other hand $x = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i z_i$ with $b_i \in m$. Linear independence of the elements of the basis assure $b_i = 0 \ \forall i > t$ and $a_i = b_i \ \forall i \leq t$, thus proving that $x \in m\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})$. The converse inclusion is trivial.

This fact allow us to observe, by considering the natural map from $\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})$ to $(\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1}) + mX^{p-1})/mX^{p-1}$ that $\operatorname{Ker}(d_Y^{p-1}) = (\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1}) + mX^{p-1})/mX^{p-1}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})/m\operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1}) = R/m \otimes_R \operatorname{Ker}(d_X^{p-1})$. This means that, by definition of truncation, $\tilde{Y} := \tau_{\leq p-1}(Y^\bullet) = R/m \otimes_R \tau_{\leq p-1}(X^\bullet) =: \tilde{X}$. Now note that, since $H^{p-1}(Y^\bullet) = 0$, $\operatorname{Ker}(d_Y^{p-1}) = \operatorname{Im}(d_Y^{p-2})$ and thus

$$\tilde{Y}^{p-2} = Y^{p-2} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(d_Y^{p-1}) = \tilde{Y}^{p-1}$$

is surjective, thus allowing us to conclude, as in the first argument of the proof, that $H^{p-1}(\tilde{X}^\bullet) = 0$, which concludes the proof since $\tilde{X}^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $X^\bullet$, thus having the same cohomology. $\square$

Finally we prove our main result. We will denote by T_i the torsion theory cogenerated by πE_i in $\operatorname{Tails}(R)$

Theorem 4.4. *Given a perversity p and objects $(\pi E_i)_i$ as above, let $\bar{p}$ be the algebraic perversity such that $\bar{p}(\pi E_i) = i$. Then $D^{p, \leq 0} = D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0}$.*

Proof. Let us start by rewriting the conditions defining the aisle $D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0}$. By definition, we have

$$D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0} = \{F^\bullet \in D^b(\operatorname{Tails}(R)) : \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Tails}(R)}(H^j(F^\bullet), \pi E_k) = 0, \ \forall j > k\}$$

and given that the objects E_k are torsion-free injective objects, by lemma 4.1 we have

$$D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0} = \{F^\bullet \in D^b(\text{Tail}(R)) : \text{Hom}_{Gr(R)}(\bar{\Gamma}(H^j(F^\bullet)), E_k) = 0, \forall j > k - n\}.$$

Unfolding the products E_k , we get

$$D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0} = \{F^\bullet \in D^b(\text{Tail}(R)) : \forall x \in X^{\text{top}}, \text{Hom}_{Gr(R)}(\bar{\Gamma}(H^j(F^\bullet)), E^q(R/I_x)) = 0 \forall j > p(x)\}$$

and using corollary 2.4 we get

$$D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0} = \{F^\bullet \in D^b(\text{Tail}(R)) : \forall x \in X^{\text{top}}, \bar{\Gamma}(H^j(F^\bullet))_{(x)} = 0 \forall j > p(x)\}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}(H^j(F^\bullet))_{(x)}$ means the degree zero part of the localization of $\bar{\Gamma}(H^j(F^\bullet))$ in complement of $\text{Ann}(x)$, which is the same as stalk at x of $H^j(F^\bullet)$. Since taking stalks is an exact functor (thus t-exact for the standard t-structure and therefore commuting with cohomology functors) we get

$$D^{\bar{p}, \leq 0} = \{F^\bullet \in D^b(\text{coh}(X)) : \forall x \in X^{\text{top}}, H^j(F_x^\bullet) = 0 \forall j > p(x)\}$$

Recall that

$$D^{p, \leq 0} = \{F^\bullet \in D^b(\text{coh}(X)) : \forall x \in X^{\text{top}}, i_x^*(F^\bullet) \in D^{\leq p(x)}(O_x - \text{mod})\}$$

which is clearly the same as

$$\{F^\bullet \in D^b(\text{coh}(X)) : \forall x \in X^{\text{top}}, H^j(i_x^*(F^\bullet)) = 0, \forall j > p(x)\}.$$

Thus it remains to prove that $H^j(F_x^\bullet) = 0 \forall j > p(x)$ if and only if $L^j i_x^*(F^\bullet) = 0, \forall j > p(x)$.

Suppose $F^\bullet$ such that $H^j(F_x^\bullet) = 0 \forall j > p(x)$. There is a spectral sequence of Grothendieck type of the following form:

$$E_2^{ab} = \text{Tor}_{-a}^{O_{X,x}}(\mathbb{K}_x, H^b(F_x^\bullet)) \implies L^{a+b} i_x^*(F^\bullet), \quad a \leq 0$$

where $\mathbb{K}_x$ is the skyscraper sheaf over x . Our hypothesis assures that $E_2^{ab} = 0 \forall a > 0, b > p(x)$ and thus $E_\infty^{ab} = 0, \forall a > 0, b > p(x)$. Let $\mathbb{F}^i$ denote the i -th part of the decreasing filtration assumed to exist (by definition of convergent spectral sequence) on the limit object $\Omega^{a+b} := L^{a+b} i_x^*(F^\bullet)$. Then, for $q > p(x)$ we get

$$\dots = \mathbb{F}^{-2} \Omega^{-2+(q+2)} = \mathbb{F}^{-1} \Omega^{-1+(q+1)} = \mathbb{F}^0 \Omega^q = \mathbb{F}^1 \Omega^q$$

and thus they are all equal to zero, proving that $\Omega^q = L^q i_x^*(F^\bullet) = 0, \forall q > p(x)$.

Conversely, suppose we have $F^\bullet$ such that $L^j i_x^*(F^\bullet) = 0, \forall j > p(x)$. Since X is smooth, let $G^\bullet$ be a complex of locally free sheaves such that $G^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $F^\bullet$ (thus isomorphic in the derived category) - see [10] for details. Then $L^j i_x^*(F^\bullet) = 0, \forall j > p(x)$ means $H^j((i_x^* G)^\bullet)$, where $(i_x^* G)^\bullet$ denotes the complex resulting from applying i_x^* componentwise to $G^\bullet$. Take now $X^\bullet = G_x^\bullet$ and $Y^\bullet = (i_x^* G)^\bullet$ and recall that $G_x^\bullet$ is a complex of free modules over the local ring $O_{X,x}$ and that $i_x^*(K) = O_{X,x}/m_{X,x} \otimes K_x \cong K_x/m_{X,x} K_x$ for any coherent sheaf K , where $m_{X,x}$ is the maximal ideal of the local ring $O_{X,x}$. This leaves us in the context of lemma 4.3, thus proving that $H^j(G_x^\bullet) = H^j(G^\bullet)_x = 0, \forall j > p(x)$. $\square$

5. PERVERSE COHERENT T-STRUCTURES FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE PROJECTIVE PLANES

We shall first introduce (following [1] and [3]) the geometric objects lying in noncommutative projective planes (*quantum planes* for short). Let's start with points and lines.

Definition 5.1. A graded A -module M is said to be a **point module** if:

- M is generated in degree zero;
- $M_0 = \mathbb{K}$;
- $\dim M_i = 1, \forall i \geq 0$.

A **line module** is a module of the form $M_l = R/Rl$, where l is a linear form, i.e., $l \in R_1$.

A **point of the quantum projective plane** is the isomorphism class in $\text{tails}(R)$ of a point module M and a **line in the quantum projective plane** is an isomorphism class, in $\text{tails}(R)$, of a line module.

It can be proven (see [3]) that points of the quantum plane are in natural bijection with points of E , the elliptic curve associated to R (as explained in the introduction).

Definition 5.2. A graded R -module M is said to be **critical** if it is not zero and every proper quotient has lower GK dimension.

Recall the following result from [4].

Proposition 5.3. *Let R be Artin-Schelter regular of dimension 3. Then*

- (1) R is a 3-critical R -module;
- (2) If l is a non-zero element of R_1 , the line module associated with l , R/lR , is a critical module of GK dimension 2;
- (3) If M is critical, then $\text{Ann}(M)$ is a prime ideal of R .

From now on we will assume that R is generated by 3 elements.

If σ is an automorphism of infinite order without fixed points, then there is a normalizing element of degree 3 (unique up to scalar) in R - call it g . In fact, we have that $B = A/gA$ is a twisted coordinate ring of E (see [3] for details).

Definition 5.4. A **curve R -module** M is a g -torsion-free R -module of GK dimension 2. A **curve in the quantum projective plane** is the isomorphism class in $\text{tails}(R)$ of a curve module. A curve is said to be **irreducible** if M is critical.

Our target is to use the description provided in section 4 of perverse coherent t -structures to define such t -structures on $\text{tails}(R)$. First we need an appropriate notion of perversities

Definition 5.5. Consider the sets $S_2 = \{R_R\}$, $S_1 = \{ \text{critical curve modules over } R \}$, $S_0 = \{ \text{point modules over } R \}$ and $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$. The **geometric dimension** of an object in S_i (denoted by $\text{geodim}(M)$) is defined to be i .

A **noncommutative perversity** is a map $\psi : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the monotone and comonotone properties:

- monotone: $\text{geodim}(M) < \text{geodim}(N)$ and $\text{Hom}(N, M) \neq 0$, then $\psi(M) \geq \psi(N)$

- comonotone: $geodim(M) < geodim(N)$ and $Hom(N, M) \neq 0$, then $\psi(N) \geq \psi(M) - (geodim(N) - geodim(M))$

Given a noncommutative perversity ψ as above define in $Gr(R)$ the following injective objects:

$$E_i = \prod_{\psi(M)=i} E^g(R/Ann(M)).$$

Remark 5.6. Note that the augmentation ideal R_+ is not the annihilator of any module in S . In fact, if a point module is annihilated by R_+ then every cyclic submodule is isomorphic to R/R_+ . However M is itself cyclic thus reaching a contradiction. On the other hand a curve module can't be annihilated by R_+ by definition, since it is not annihilated by g .

We will show that these injective objects define a t-structure as described in section 3. To do that, let us prove a noncommutative version of lemma 4.2.

Lemma 5.7. $(\pi E_i)_{i \in Im(\psi)}$ cogenerate tails(R).

Proof. Let us first prove that $(E_i)_{i \in Im(\psi)}$ cogenerate $Gr(R)$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of R . Then $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a simple (thus critical) module of GK dimension < 3 . Thus it is either a (shifted) point module or an irreducible curve module, meaning that $\mathfrak{m} = Ann(M)$ for some point module or irreducible curve module. Thus, since every maximal ideal is of this form, the same argument used on the proof of corollary 4.2 proves that the E_i 's cogenerate $Gr(R)$.

Also, since R is Artin-Schelter regular of dimension 3, it is in particular a positively graded $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra and thus, since $P := Ann(M)$ is prime by proposition 5.3, remarks 2.1 and 5.6 show that $P_k \neq R_k$. Now, suppose $x \in R$ such that $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : xR_{\geq n} \subseteq P$. Since P is two-sided ideal, this is equivalent to $(RxR)R_{\geq n} \subseteq P$. Primality of P assures that RxR is in P , and in particular so is x , thus proving that R/P is torsion free. Since this torsion theory is hereditary, $E^g(R/P)$ is also torsion free and the result follows as in the proof of corollary 4.2. $\square$

This lemma provides us with the desired example. Given a perversity ψ as above and suppose without loss of generality that $\max \psi = 0$. Let then p be a perversity defined on the set $\{E_{-2}, E_{-1}, E_0\}$ such that $p(E_i) = i$. Then section 3 constructs a perverse coherent t-structure associated to p and this t-structure is a noncommutative analogue of the perverse coherent t-structure, as defined in [7], by section 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ajitabh, K.; *Modules over elliptic algebras and quantum planes*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, vol.72, 1996;
- [2] Artin, M., Schelter, W.; *Graded algebras of global dimension 3*, Adv. in Math. 66, 1987;
- [3] Artin, M., Tate, J., Van den Bergh, M.; *Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol.1, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990;
- [4] Artin, M., Tate, J., Van den Bergh, M.; *Modules over regular algebras of dimension 3*, Invent. Math. 106, 1991;
- [5] Artin, M., Zhang, J. J.; *Noncommutative projective schemes*, Advances in Mathematics, Vol. 109, Number 2, 1994;
- [6] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J. Deligne, P.; *Faisceaux Pervers*, Asterisque, 100, 1982;
- [7] Bezrukavnikov, R.; *Perverse Coherent Sheaves (after Deligne)*, arxiv:math.AG/0005152;

- [8] Bridgeland, T.; *t-structures on some local Calabi-Yau varieties*, Journal of algebra, Vol. 289, 2005;
- [9] Happel, D., Reiten, I., Smalø, S.; *Tilting in Abelian categories and quasitilted algebras*, Memoirs of the AMS, vol.575, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996;
- [10] Huybrechts, D.; *Fourier-Mukai transforms in Algebraic Geometry*, Oxford Science Publications, 2006;
- [11] Jorgensen, P.; *Non-commutative projective geometry*, 1996;
- [12] Lambek, J., Michler, G., *Localization of right noetherian rings at semiprime ideals*, Can. J. Math., Vol.26, 1974;
- [13] Milicic, D.; *Lecture notes on derived categories*, 2007;