

# Flatness of CR Submanifolds in a Sphere

Shanyu Ji and Yuan Yuan

November 11, 2018

*Dedicated to Professor Yang, Lo in the Occasion of his 70th Birthday*

## 1 Introduction

The Cartan-Janet theorem asserted that for any analytic Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$ , there exist local isometric embeddings of  $M^n$  into Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^N$  as  $N$  is sufficiently large. The CR analogue of Cartan-Janet theorem is not true in general. In fact, Forstneric [F086] and Faran [Fa88] proved the existence of real analytic strictly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces  $M^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  which do not admit any germ of holomorphic mapping taking  $M$  into sphere  $\partial\mathbb{B}^{N+1}$  for any  $N$ .

There are recent progress on CR submanifolds in sphere  $\partial\mathbb{B}^{N+1}$ . Zaitsev [Za08] constructed explicit examples for the Forstneric and Faran phenomenon above. Ebenfelt, Huang and Zaitsev [EHZ04] proved rigidity of CR embeddings of general  $M^{2n+1}$  into spheres with CR co-dimension  $< \frac{n}{2}$ , which generalizes a result of Webster that was for the case of co-dimension 1 [We79]. S.-Y. Kim and J.-W. Oh [KO06] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for local embeddability into a sphere  $\partial\mathbb{B}^{N+1}$  of a generic strictly pseudoconvex pseudohermitian CR manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, \theta)$  in terms of its Chern-Moser curvature tensors and their derivatives.

In Euclidean geometry, for a real submanifold  $M^n \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+a}$ ,  $M$  is a piece of  $\mathbb{E}^n$  if and only if its second fundamental form  $II_M \equiv 0$ . In projective geometry, for a complex submanifold  $M^n \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+a}$ ,  $M$  is a piece of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  if and only if its projective second fundamental form  $II_M \equiv 0$  (c.f. [IL03], p.81). In CR geometry, we prove the CR analogue of this fact in this paper as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $H : M' \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{B}^{N+1}$  be a smooth CR-embedding of a strictly pseudoconvex CR real hypersurface  $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Denote  $M := H(M')$ . If its CR second fundamental*

form  $II_M \equiv 0$ , then  $M \subset F(\partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1}) \subset \partial\mathbb{B}^{N+1}$  where  $F : \mathbb{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{N+1}$  is a certain linear fractional proper holomorphic map.

Previously, it was proved by P. Ebenfelt, X. Huang and D. Zaitsev ([EHZ04], corollary 5.5), under the above same hypothese, that  $M'$  and hence  $M$  are locally CR-equivalent to the unit sphere  $\partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

There are several definitions of the CR second fundamental forms  $II_M$  of  $M$  (see Section 3, 4, 5, and 6). The result in [EHZ04] used Definition 1 or 2. However, to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to use Definitions 3 and 4. We'll prove in Section 4 that  $II_M \equiv 0$  by any one of the four definitions will imply  $II_M \equiv 0$  for all other three definitions. One of the ingredients for our proof of Theorem 1.1 is the result of Ebenfelt-Huang-Zaitsev [EHZ04] so that  $M$  can be regarded as the image of a rational CR map  $F : \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow M \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ . Another ingredient is a theorem of Huang ([Hu99]) that such a map  $F$  is linear if and only if its geometric rank  $\kappa_0$  is zero. The third one is a result from [HJY09] about a special lift for maps between spheres.

**Acknowledgments** We would like to thank Professor Xiaojun Huang for the constant encouragement and support. The second author is also grateful to Wanke Yin and Yuan Zhang for helpful discussions.

## 2 Preliminaries

• **Maps between balls** We denote by  $Prop(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  the space of all proper holomorphic maps from the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{B}^N$ , denote by  $Prop_k(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  the space  $Prop(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N) \cap C^k(\overline{\mathbb{B}^n})$ , and denote by  $Rat(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  the space  $Prop(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N) \cap \{\text{rational maps}\}$ . We say that  $F$  and  $G \in Prop(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  are *equivalent* if there are automorphisms  $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $\tau \in Aut(\mathbb{B}^N)$  such that  $F = \tau \circ G \circ \sigma$ .

Write  $\mathbb{H}^n := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(w) > |z|^2\}$  for the Siegel upper-half space. Similarly, we can define the space  $Prop(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$ ,  $Prop_k(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$  and  $Rat(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$  similarly. By the Cayley transformation  $\rho_n : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n$ ,  $\rho_n(z, w) = (\frac{2z}{1-iw}, \frac{1+iw}{1-iw})$ , we can identify a map  $F \in Prop_k(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  or  $Rat(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  with  $\rho_N^{-1} \circ F \circ \rho_n$  in the space  $Prop_k(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$  or  $Rat(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$ , respectively. We say that  $F$  and  $G \in Prop(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$  are *equivalent* if there are automorphisms  $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{H}^n)$  and  $\tau \in Aut(\mathbb{H}^N)$  such that  $F = \tau \circ G \circ \sigma$ .

We denote by  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(w) = |z|^2\}$  for the Heisenberg hypersurface. For any map  $F \in Prop_2(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$ , by restricting on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ , we can regard  $F$  as a  $C^2$  CR map from  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$  to  $\partial\mathbb{H}^N$ , and we denote it as  $F \in Prop_2(\partial\mathbb{H}^n, \partial\mathbb{H}^N)$ . We say that  $F$  and  $G \in Prop_2(\partial\mathbb{H}^n, \partial\mathbb{H}^N)$  are *equivalent* if there are automorphisms  $\sigma \in Aut(\partial\mathbb{H}^n) = Aut(\mathbb{H}^n)$  and  $\tau \in Aut(\partial\mathbb{H}^N) = Aut(\mathbb{H}^N)$  such that  $F = \tau \circ G \circ \sigma$ .

We can parametrize  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$  by  $(z, \bar{z}, u)$  through the map  $(z, \bar{z}, u) \rightarrow (z, u + i|z|^2)$ . In what follows, we will assign the weight of  $z$  and  $u$  to be 1 and 2, respectively. For a non-negative integer  $m$ , a function  $h(z, \bar{z}, u)$  defined over a small ball  $U$  of 0 in  $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$  is said to be of quantity  $o_{wt}(m)$  if  $\frac{h(tz, t\bar{z}, t^2u)}{|t|^m} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $(z, u)$  on any compact subset of  $U$  as  $t(\in \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0$ .

• **Partial normalization of  $F$**  Let  $F = (f, \phi, g) = (\tilde{f}, g) = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{N-n}, g)$  be a non-constant map in  $Prop_2(\partial\mathbb{H}^n, \partial\mathbb{H}^N)$  with  $F(0) = 0$ . For each  $p \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ , we write  $\sigma_p^0 \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  with  $\sigma_p^0(0) = p$  and  $\tau_p^F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^N)$  with  $\tau_p^F(F(p)) = 0$  for the maps

$$\sigma_p^0(z, w) = (z + z_0, w + w_0 + 2i\langle z, \bar{z}_0 \rangle), \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_p^F(z^*, w^*) = (z^* - \tilde{f}(z_0, w_0), w^* - \overline{g(z_0, w_0)} - 2i\langle z^*, \overline{\tilde{f}(z_0, w_0)} \rangle). \quad (2)$$

$F$  is equivalent to  $F_p = \tau_p^F \circ F \circ \sigma_p^0 = (f_p, \phi_p, g_p)$ . Notice that  $F_0 = F$  and  $F_p(0) = 0$ . The following is basic for the understanding of the geometric properties of  $F$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([§2, Lemma 5.3, Hu99], [Lemma 2.0, Hu03]): *Let  $F$  be a non-constant map in  $Prop_2(\partial\mathbb{H}^n, \partial\mathbb{H}^N)$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq N$  with  $F(0) = 0$ . For each  $p \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ , there is an automorphism  $\tau_p^{**} \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^N)$  such that  $F_p^{**} := \tau_p^{**} \circ F_p$  satisfies the following normalization:*

$$f_p^{**} = z + \frac{i}{2}a_p^{**(1)}(z)w + o_{wt}(3), \quad \phi_p^{**} = \phi_p^{**(2)}(z) + o_{wt}(2), \quad g_p^{**} = w + o_{wt}(4), \quad (3)$$

$$\langle \bar{z}, a_p^{**(1)}(z) \rangle |z|^2 = |\phi_p^{**(2)}(z)|^2.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}(p) = -2i(\frac{\partial^2(f_p)_i^{**}}{\partial z_j \partial w} |_0)_{1 \leq j, l \leq n-1}$ . We call the rank of  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ , which we denote by  $Rk_F(p)$ , the *geometric rank* of  $F$  at  $p$ .  $Rk_F(p)$  depends only on  $p$  and  $F$ , and is a lower semi-continuous function on  $p$ . We define the *geometric rank* of  $F$  to be  $\kappa_0(F) = \max_{p \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n} Rk_F(p)$ . Notice that we always have  $0 \leq \kappa_0 \leq n-1$ . We define the geometric rank of  $F \in Prop_2(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  to be the one for the map  $\rho_N^{-1} \circ F \circ \rho_n \in Prop_2(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^N)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (ct. [Hu99], theorem 4.3)  $F \in Prop_2(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{B}^N)$  has geometric rank 0 if and only if  $F$  is equivalent to a linear map.

Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{(j, l) : 1 \leq j \leq \kappa_0, 1 \leq l \leq (n-1), j \leq l\}$  and write  $\mathcal{S} := \{(j, l) : (j, l) \in \mathcal{S}_0, \text{ or } j = \kappa_0 + 1, l \in \{\kappa_0 + 1, \dots, \kappa_0 + N - n - \frac{(2n - \kappa_0 - 1)\kappa_0}{2}\}\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([Lemma 3.2, Hu03]): Let  $F$  be a  $C^2$ -smooth CR map from an open piece  $M \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^n$  into  $\partial\mathbb{H}^N$  with  $F(0) = 0$  and  $Rk_F(0) = \kappa_0$ . Let  $P(n, \kappa_0) = \frac{\kappa_0(2n - \kappa_0 - 1)}{2}$ . Then  $N \geq n + P(n, \kappa_0)$  and there are  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_0(\partial\mathbb{H}^n)$  and  $\tau \in \text{Aut}_0(\partial\mathbb{H}^N)$  such that  $F_p^{***} = \tau \circ F \circ \sigma := (f, \phi, g)$  satisfies the following normalization conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_j = z_j + \frac{i\mu_j}{2} z_j w + o_{wt}(3), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_j}{\partial w^2}(0) = 0, \quad j = 1 \cdots, \kappa_0, \quad \mu_j > 0, \\ f_j = z_j + o_{wt}(3), \quad j = \kappa_0 + 1, \cdots, n - 1 \\ g = w + o_{wt}(4), \\ \phi_{jl} = \mu_{jl} z_j z_l + o_{wt}(2), \quad \text{where } (j, l) \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu_{jl} > 0 \text{ for } (j, l) \in \mathcal{S}_0 \\ \text{and } \mu_{jl} = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

where  $\mu_{jl} = \sqrt{\mu_j + \mu_l}$  for  $j, l \leq \kappa_0$   $j \neq l$ ,  $\mu_{jl} = \sqrt{\mu_j}$  if  $j \leq \kappa_0$  and  $l > \kappa_0$  or if  $j = l \leq \kappa_0$ .

• **Pseudohermitian metric and Webster connection** Let  $M$  be a  $C^2$  smooth real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . We denote by  $T^c M = TM \cap iTM \subset TM$  its *maximal complex tangent bundle* with the complex structure  $J : T^c M \rightarrow T^c M$ . Here  $J(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$  and  $J(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  in terms of holomorphic coordinates. We denote by  $\mathcal{V} = T^{0,1}M = \{X + iJX \mid X \in T^c M\} \subset \mathbb{C}TM := TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$  the *CR bundle*. We also denote  $T^{1,0}M = \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ . All  $T^c M$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$  are complex rank  $n$  vector bundles.

Write  $T^0 M := (T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M)^\perp \subset \mathbb{C}T^*M$  for its rank one subbundle. Write  $T' M := T^{0,1\perp} \subset \mathbb{C}T^*M$  for its rank  $n + 1$  *holomorphic or (1,0) cotangent bundle* of  $M$ . Here  $T^0 \subset T' M$ .

A real nonvanishing 1-form  $\theta$  over  $M$  is called a *contact form* if  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \neq 0$ . Let  $M$  be as above given by a defining function  $r$ . Then the 1-form  $\theta = i\partial r$  is a contact form of  $M$ .

We say that  $(M, \theta)$  is *strictly pseudoconvex* if the Levi-form  $L_\theta$  is positive definite for all  $z \in M$ . Here the *Levi-form*  $L_\theta$  with respect to  $\theta$  is defined by

$$L_\theta(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) := -id\theta(\vec{u} \wedge \vec{v}), \quad \forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in T_p^{1,0}(M), \quad \forall p \in M.$$

Associated with a contact form  $\theta$  one has the Reeb vector field  $R_\theta$ , defined by the equations: (i)  $d\theta(R_\theta, \cdot) \equiv 0$ , (ii)  $\theta(R_\theta) \equiv 1$ . As a skew-symmetric form of maximal rank  $2n$ , the form  $d\theta|_{T_p M}$  has a 1-dimensional kernel for each  $p \in M^{2n+1}$ . Hence equation (i) defines a unique line field  $\langle R_\theta \rangle$  on  $M$ . The contact condition  $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \neq 0$  implies that  $\theta$  is non-trivial on that line field, so the unique real vector field is defined by the normalization condition (ii).

According Tanaka [T75] and Webster [We78],  $(M, \theta)$  is called a *strictly pseudoconvex pseudohermitian manifold* if there are  $n$  complex 1-forms  $\theta^\alpha$  so that  $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$  forms a local basis for holomorphic cotangent bundle  $H^*(M)$  and

$$d\theta = i \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}} \quad (5)$$

where  $(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ , called the *Levi form matrix*, is positive definite. Such  $\theta^\alpha$  may not be unique. Following Webster (1978), a coframe  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  is called *admissible* if (5) holds. The admissible coframes are determined up to transformations  $\tilde{\theta}^\alpha = u_\beta^\alpha \theta^\beta$  where  $(u_\beta^\alpha) \in GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

**Theorem 2.4** (Webster, 1978) *Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \theta)$  be a strictly pseudoconvex pseudohermitian manifold and let  $\theta^j$  be as in (5). Then there are unique way to write*

$$d\theta^\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \theta^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\alpha + \theta \wedge \tau^\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where  $\tau^\alpha$  are  $(0, 1)$ -forms over  $M$  that are linear combination of  $\theta^{\bar{\alpha}} = \overline{\theta^\alpha}$ , and  $\omega_\alpha^\beta$  are 1-forms over  $M$  such that

$$0 = dh_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} \omega_\alpha^\gamma - h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \overline{\omega_\beta^\gamma}. \quad (7)$$

We may denote  $\omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = h_{\gamma\bar{\beta}} \omega_\alpha^\gamma$  and  $\overline{\omega_{\beta\bar{\alpha}}} = h_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}} \overline{\omega_\beta^\gamma}$ . In particular, if

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8)$$

the identity in (7) becomes  $0 = -\omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \overline{\omega_{\beta\bar{\alpha}}}$ , i.e.,

$$0 = \omega_\alpha^\beta + \overline{\omega_\beta^\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

The condition on  $\tau^\beta$  means:

$$\tau^\beta = A_{\bar{\nu}}^\beta \theta^{\bar{\nu}}, \quad A^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\beta\alpha}, \quad (10)$$

which holds automatically. The curvature is given by

$$d\omega_\alpha^\beta - \omega_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\beta = R_{\alpha \mu \bar{\nu}}^\beta \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^{\bar{\nu}} + W_{\alpha \mu}^\beta \theta^\mu \wedge \theta - W_{\alpha \bar{\nu}}^\beta \theta^{\bar{\nu}} \wedge \theta + i\theta_\alpha \wedge \tau^\beta - i\tau_\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta \quad (11)$$

where the functions  $R_{\alpha \mu \bar{\nu}}^\beta$  and  $W_{\alpha \mu}^\beta$  represent the *pseudohermitian curvature* of  $(M, \theta)$ .

### 3 CR second fundamental forms — Definition 1

We are going to survey four definitions of the CR second fundamental forms  $II_M$  of  $M$  in  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ . We start with Definition 1 which is the intrinsic one in terms of a coframe.

**Lemma 3.1** ([EHZ04], corollary 4.2) *Let  $M$  and  $\widetilde{M}$  be strictly pseudoconvex CR-manifolds of dimensions  $2n + 1$  and  $2\tilde{n} + 1$  respectively, and of CR dimensions  $n$  and  $\tilde{n}$  respectively. Let  $F : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  be a smooth CR-embedding. If  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  is an admissible coframe on  $M$ , then in a neighborhood of a point  $\tilde{p} \in F(M)$  in  $\widetilde{M}$  there exists an admissible coframe  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^A) = (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^\alpha, \tilde{\theta}^\mu)$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  with  $F^*(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^\alpha, \tilde{\theta}^\mu) = (\theta, \theta^\alpha, 0)$ . In particular, the Reeb vector field  $\tilde{R}$  is tangent to  $F(M)$ . If we choose the Levi form matrix of  $M$  such that the functions  $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  in (5) with respect to  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  to be  $(\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ , then  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^A)$  can be chosen such that the Levi form matrix of  $\widetilde{M}$  relative to it is also  $(\delta_{A\bar{B}})$ . With this additional property, the coframe  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^A)$  is uniquely determined along  $M$  up to unitary transformations in  $U(n) \times U(\tilde{n} - n)$ .*

If  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  and  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^A)$  are as above such that the condition on the Levi form matrices in Lemma 3.1 are satisfied, we say that the coframe  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^A)$  is *adapted* to the coframe  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$ . In this case, by (9), we have  $\theta = F^*\tilde{\theta}$ ,  $\theta^\alpha = F^*\tilde{\theta}^\alpha$ , and

$$d\theta^\alpha = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \theta^\gamma \wedge \omega_\gamma^\alpha + \theta \wedge \tau^\alpha, \quad 0 = \omega_\alpha^\beta + \omega_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n,$$

and

$$d\tilde{\theta}^A = \sum_{B=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{\theta}^B \wedge \tilde{\omega}_B^A + \tilde{\theta} \wedge \tilde{\tau}^A, \quad 0 = \tilde{\omega}_A^B + \tilde{\omega}_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{A}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq A, B \leq N.$$

For simplicity, we may denote  $F^*\tilde{\omega}_B^A$  by  $\omega_B^A$ . We also denote  $F^*\tilde{\omega}_{A\bar{B}}$  by  $\omega_{A\bar{B}}$  where  $\omega_{A\bar{B}} = \omega_{\bar{A}}^B$ .

Write  $\omega_\alpha^\mu = \omega_\alpha^\mu \theta^\beta$ . The matrix of  $(\omega_\alpha^\mu \theta^\beta)$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$ ,  $n+1 \leq \mu \leq \hat{n}$ , defines the *CR second fundamental form* of  $M$ . It was used in [We79] and [Fa90].

### 4 CR second fundamental forms — Definition 2

Definition 2 introduced in [EHZ04] will be the extrinsic one in terms of defining function.

Let  $F : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  be a smooth CR-embedding between  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and  $\widetilde{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  where  $M$  and  $\widetilde{M}$  are real strictly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces of dimensions  $2n + 1$  and  $2\tilde{n} + 1$ , and

CR dimensions  $n$  and  $\tilde{n}$ , respectively. Let  $p \in M$  and  $\tilde{p} = F(p) \in \widetilde{M}$  be points. Let  $\tilde{\rho}$  be a local defining function for  $\widetilde{M}$  near the point  $\tilde{p}$ . Let

$$E_k(p) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L^{\overline{J}}(\tilde{\rho}_{Z'} \circ F)(p) \mid J \in (Z_+)^n, 0 \leq |J| \leq k\} \subset T_{\tilde{p}}^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^{N+1},$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_{Z'} := \partial\tilde{\rho}$  is the complex gradient (i.e., represented by vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  in some local coordinate system  $Z'$  near  $\tilde{p}$ ). Here we use multi-index notation  $L^{\overline{J}} = L_1^{\overline{J}_1} \cdots L_n^{\overline{J}_n}$  and  $|J| = J_1 + \dots + J_n$ . It was shown in [La01] that  $E_k(p)$  is independent of the choice of local defining function  $\tilde{\rho}$ , coordinates  $Z'$  and the choice of basis of the CR vector fields  $L_{\overline{1}}, \dots, L_{\overline{n}}$ .

The CR second fundamental form  $II_M$  of  $M$  is defined by (cf. [EHZ04], §2)

$$II_M(X_p, Y_p) := \overline{\pi(XY(\tilde{\rho}_{Z'} \circ f)(p))} \in \overline{T_{\tilde{p}}'\widetilde{M}/E_1(p)} \quad (12)$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_{Z'} = \partial\tilde{\rho}$  is represented by vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  in some local coordinate system  $Z'$  near  $\tilde{p}$ ,  $X, Y$  are any  $(1, 0)$  vector fields on  $M$  extending given vectors  $X_p, Y_p \in T_p^{1,0}(M)$ , and  $\pi : T_{\tilde{p}}'\widetilde{M} \rightarrow T_{\tilde{p}}'\widetilde{M}/E_1(p)$  is the projection map.

Since  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $M$  are strictly pseudoconvex, the Levi form of  $\widetilde{M}$  (at  $\tilde{p}$ ) with respect to  $\tilde{\rho}$  defines an isomorphism

$$\overline{T_{\tilde{p}}'\widetilde{M}/E_1(p)} \cong \overline{T_{\tilde{p}}^{1,0}\widetilde{M}/F_*(T_p^{1,0}M)}$$

and the CR second fundamental form can be viewed as an  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear symmetric form

$$II_{M,p} : T_p^{1,0}M \times T_p^{1,0}M \rightarrow \overline{T_{\tilde{p}}^{1,0}\widetilde{M}/F_*(T_p^{1,0}M)} \quad (13)$$

that does not depend on the choice of  $\tilde{\rho}$  (cf. [EHZ04], §2).

The relation between Definition 1 and Definition 2 was discussed in [EHZ04]. Let  $(M, \widetilde{M})$ ,  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha), (\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^\alpha)$  be as in Lemma 3.1, and we abuse the structure bundle  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  on  $M$  with the structure bundle  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}^\alpha)$  on  $\widetilde{M}$ . We can choose a defining function  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $\widetilde{M}$  near a point  $\tilde{p} = F(p) \in \widetilde{M}$  where  $p \in M$  such that  $\theta = i\partial\tilde{\rho}$  on  $\widetilde{M}$ , i.e., in local coordinates  $Z'$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ , we have

$$\theta = i \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \frac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial Z'_k} d\overline{Z'_k},$$

where we pull back the forms  $d\overline{Z'_1}, \dots, d\overline{Z'_{N+1}}$  to  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then we consider the coframe  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha) = (F^*\tilde{\theta}, F^*\tilde{\theta}^\alpha)$  on  $M$  near  $p$  with  $F(p) = \tilde{p}$ . We take its dual frame  $(T, L_A)$  of  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  and have

$$L_\beta(\tilde{\rho}_{Z'} \circ F) = -iL_{\beta\lrcorner}d\theta = g_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}\theta^{\overline{\gamma}} = g_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}\theta^{\overline{\gamma}}. \quad (14)$$

Here we used the definition of the construction, (5) and the dual relationship  $\langle L_\beta, \theta^\alpha \rangle = \delta_\beta^\alpha$  and also notice that  $g_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} = \delta_{\beta\bar{\gamma}}$ . Applying  $L_\alpha$  to both sides of (14), we obtain

$$L_\alpha L_\beta(\tilde{\rho}_{\bar{Z}'} \circ F) = g_{\beta\bar{\gamma}} L_\alpha \lrcorner d\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} = \omega_{\alpha\bar{\mu}\beta} \theta^{\bar{\mu}} \quad \text{mod}(\theta, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}})$$

which implies

$$II_M(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = \omega_\alpha^\mu{}_\beta L_\mu, \quad n+1 \leq \mu \leq N. \quad (15)$$

This identity gives the equivalent relation of the intrinsic and extrinsic definitions of  $II_M$ . Notice that we need a right choice of  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$ ,  $(T, L_A)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$ .

By using  $(\omega_\alpha^b{}_\beta)$  and (15), as in (13), we can also define

$$II_{M,p} : T_p^{1,0} M \times T_p^{1,0} M \rightarrow T_{\tilde{p}}^{1,0} \widetilde{M} / F_*(T_p^{1,0} M) \quad (16)$$

which is independent of the choice of the adapted coframe  $(\theta, \theta^A)$  in case  $\widetilde{M}$  is locally CR embeddable in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  (cf. [EHZ04], § 4).

## 5 CR second fundamental forms — Definition 3

Definition 3 will be the one as a tensor with respect to the group  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ .

**The bundle  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$  over  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$**  We consider a real hypersurface  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2}$  defined by the homogeneous equation

$$\langle Z, Z \rangle := \sum_A Z^A \bar{Z}^A + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{Z}^0 Z^{N+1} - Z^0 \bar{Z}^{N+1}) = 0, \quad (17)$$

where  $Z = (Z^0, Z^A, Z^{N+1})^t \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ . Let

$$\pi_0 : \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}, \quad (z_0, \dots, z_{N+1}) \mapsto [z_0 : \dots : z_{N+1}], \quad (18)$$

be the standard projection. For any point  $x \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ ,  $\pi_0^{-1}(x)$  is a complex line in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\}$ . For any point  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\}$ ,  $\pi_0(v) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}$  is a point. The image  $\pi_0(Q - \{0\})$  is the Heisenberg hypersurface  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ .

For any element  $A \in GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ :

$$A = (a_0, \dots, a_{N+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0^{(0)} & a_1^{(0)} & \dots & a_{N+1}^{(0)} \\ a_0^{(1)} & a_1^{(1)} & \dots & a_{N+1}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_0^{(N+1)} & a_1^{(N+1)} & \dots & a_{N+1}^{(N+1)} \end{bmatrix} \in GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2}), \quad (19)$$

where each  $a_j$  is a column vector in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq N+1$ . This  $A$  is associated to an automorphism  $A^* \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^{N+1})$  given by

$$A^* \left( [z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_{N+1}] \right) = \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(0)} z_j : \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(1)} z_j : \dots : \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(N+1)} z_j \right]. \quad (20)$$

When  $a_0^{(0)} \neq 0$ , in terms of the non-homogeneous coordinates  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ ,  $A^*$  is a linear fractional from  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  which is holomorphic near  $(0, \dots, 0)$ :

$$A^*(w_1, \dots, w_{N+1}) = \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(1)} w_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(0)} w_j}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(N+1)} w_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{N+1} a_j^{(0)} w_j} \right), \quad \text{where } w_j = \frac{z_j}{z_0}. \quad (21)$$

We denote  $A \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$  if  $A$  satisfies  $A(Q) \subseteq Q$  where we regard  $A$  as a linear transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ . If  $A \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ , we must have  $A^*(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ , so that  $A^* \in \text{Aut}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ . Conversely, if  $A^* \in \text{Aut}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ , then  $A \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ .

We define a bundle map:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \quad GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N+1} \\ A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N+1}) &\mapsto \pi_0(a_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (20), for any map  $A \in GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ ,  $A \in \pi^{-1}(\pi_0(a_0)) \iff A^*([1 : 0 : \dots : 0]) = \pi_0(a_0)$ . In particular, by the restriction, we consider a map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \quad GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2}) &\rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1} \\ A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N+1}) &\mapsto \pi_0(a_0). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We get  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1} \simeq GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})/P_1$  where  $P_1$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ . Then by (20), for any map  $A \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ ,

$$A \in \pi^{-1}(\pi_0(a_0)) \iff A^*([1 : 0 : \dots : 0]) = \pi_0(a_0). \quad (23)$$

**CR submanifolds of  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$**  Let  $H : M' \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be a CR smooth embedding where  $M'$  is a strictly pseudoconvex smooth real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . We denote  $M = H(M')$ .

Let  $R_{M'}$  be the Reeb vector field of  $M'$  with respect to a fixed contact form on  $M'$ . Then the real vector  $R_{M'}$  generates a real line bundle over  $M'$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_{M'}$ . Since we can regard the rank  $n$  complex vector bundle  $T^{1,0}M'$  as the rank  $2n$  real vector bundle, over the real number field  $\mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$TM' = T^c M' \oplus \mathcal{R}_{M'} \simeq T^{1,0}M' \oplus \mathcal{R}_{M'}. \quad (24)$$

given by

$$(a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) + cR_{M'} \mapsto (a_j + ib_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + cR_{M'}, \quad \forall a_j, b_j, c \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (25)$$

Since  $H$  is a CR embedding, we have

$$H_*(T^{1,0}M') = T^{1,0}M \subset T^{1,0}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}), TM \simeq H_*(T^{1,0}M') \oplus H_*(\mathcal{R}_{M'}) \subset T(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}). \quad (26)$$

**Lifts of the CR submanifolds** Let  $M = H(M') \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be as above. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2}) \\ & e \nearrow & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \hookrightarrow & \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1} \end{array}$$

Any map  $e$  satisfying  $\pi \circ e = Id$  is called a *lift* of  $M$  to  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ .

In order to define a more specific lifts, we need to give some relationship between geometry on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  and on  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2}$  as follows. For any subset  $X \in \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ , we denote  $\hat{X} := \pi_0^{-1}(X)$  where  $\pi_0 : \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N+1}$  is the standard projection map (18). In particular, for any  $x \in M$ ,  $\hat{x}$  is a complex line and for the real submanifold  $M^{2n+1}$ , the real submanifold  $\hat{M}^{2n+3}$  is of dimension  $2n+3$ .

For any  $x \in M$ , we take  $v \in \hat{x} = \pi_0^{-1}(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+2} - \{0\}$ , and we define

$$\hat{T}_x M = T_v \hat{M}, \quad \hat{T}_x^{1,0} M = T_v^{1,0} \hat{M}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{M,x} := \mathcal{R}_{\hat{M},v}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{M}} = \cup_{v \in \hat{M}} \mathcal{R}_{\hat{M},v}$ . These definitions are independent of choice of  $v$ .

A lift  $e = (e_0, e_\alpha, e_\mu, e_{N+1})$  of  $M$  into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ , where  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$  and  $n+1 \leq \mu \leq N$ , is called a *first-order adapted lift* if it satisfies the conditions:

$$e_0(x) \in \pi_0^{-1}(x), \quad \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(e_0, e_\alpha)(x) = \hat{T}_x^{1,0} M, \quad \text{span}(e_0, e_\alpha, e_{N+1})(x) = \hat{T}_x^{1,0} M \oplus \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{M,x} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\text{span}(e_0, e_\alpha, e_{N+1})(x) := \{c_0 e_0 + c_\alpha e_\alpha + c_{N+1} e_{N+1} \mid c_0, c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, c_{N+1} \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (28)$$

Here we used (25) and the fact that the Reeb vector is real. Locally first-order adapted lifts always exist (see Theorem 7.1 below).

We have the restriction bundle  $\mathcal{F}_M^0 := GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})|_M$  over  $M$ . The subbundle  $\pi : \mathcal{F}_M^1 \rightarrow M$  of  $\mathcal{F}_M^0$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_M^1 = \{(e_0, e_j, e_\mu, e_{N+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_M^0 \mid [e_0] \in M, (27) \text{ are satisfied}\}.$$

Local sections of  $\mathcal{F}_M^1$  are exactly all local first-order adapted lifts of  $M$ .

For two first-order adapted lifts  $s = (e_0, e_j, e_\mu, e_{N+1})$  and  $\tilde{s} = (\tilde{e}_0, \tilde{e}_j, \tilde{e}_\mu, \tilde{e}_{N+1})$ , by (27), we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{e}_0 = g_0^0 e_0, \\ \tilde{e}_j = g_j^0 e_0 + g_j^k e_k, \\ \tilde{e}_\mu = g_\mu^0 e_0 + g_\mu^j e_j + g_\mu^\nu e_\nu + g_\mu^{N+1} e_{N+1}, \\ \tilde{e}_{N+1} = g_{N+1}^0 e_0 + g_{N+1}^j e_j + g_{N+1}^{N+1} e_{N+1}, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Notice that by (25),  $g_{N+1}^{N+1}$  is some real-valued function, while other are complex-valued functions. In other words,  $\tilde{s} = s \cdot g$  where

$$g = (g_0, g_j, g_\mu, g_{N+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_0^0 & g_k^0 & g_\mu^0 & g_{N+1}^0 \\ 0 & g_j^j & g_\mu^j & g_{N+1}^j \\ 0 & 0 & g_\mu^\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_\mu^{N+1} & g_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

is a smooth map from  $M$  into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ . Then the fiber of  $\pi : \mathcal{F}_M^1 \rightarrow M$  over a point is isomorphic to the group

$$G_1 = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_0^0 & g_\beta^0 & g_\mu^0 & g_{N+1}^0 \\ 0 & g_\beta^\alpha & g_\mu^\alpha & g_{N+1}^\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & g_\mu^\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_\mu^{N+1} & g_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2}) \right\},$$

where we use the index ranges  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$  and  $n+1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq N$ .

We pull back the Maurer-Cartan form from  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$  to  $\mathcal{F}_M^1$  by a first-order adapted lift  $e$  of  $M$  as

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0^0 & \omega_\beta^0 & \omega_\nu^0 & \omega_{N+1}^0 \\ \omega_0^\alpha & \omega_\beta^\alpha & \omega_\nu^\alpha & \omega_{N+1}^\alpha \\ \omega_0^\mu & \omega_\beta^\mu & \omega_\nu^\mu & \omega_{N+1}^\mu \\ \omega_0^{N+1} & \omega_\beta^{N+1} & \omega_\nu^{N+1} & \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\omega = e^{-1}de$ , i.e.,  $e\omega = de$ . Then we have

$$de_0 = e_0\omega_0^0 + e_\alpha\omega_0^\alpha + e_\mu\omega_0^\mu + e_{N+1}\omega_0^{N+1}. \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, we claim:

$$de_0 = e_0\omega_0^0 + e_\alpha\omega_0^\alpha + e_{N+1}\omega_0^{N+1}. \quad (32)$$

In fact, take local coordinates systems  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$  for the real manifold  $M$ , and  $(y_1, y_2, x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1})$  for the real manifold  $\hat{M}$  where  $(y_1, y_2)$  is the coordinates for fibers. By the first condition in (27), fixing  $x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{2n+1}$ ,  $e_0(\dots, x_j, \dots)$  is a curve into  $M$  with parameter  $x_j$ . Then  $\frac{\partial e_0}{\partial x_j} \in T\hat{M}$  is a tangent vector to this curve. Since  $\text{span}(e_0, e_\alpha, e_{N+1})(x) = \hat{T}_x^{1,0}M \oplus \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{M,x}$  in (27) and  $T\hat{M} \cong T^{1,0}\hat{M} \oplus \mathcal{R}_{\hat{M}}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\partial e_0}{\partial x_j} = b_0^j e_0 + b_\alpha^j e_\alpha + b_{N+1}^j e_{N+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2n+1 \quad (33)$$

for some functions  $b_0^j, b_\alpha^j$  and  $b_{N+1}^j$ . We also have

$$\frac{\partial e_0}{\partial y_i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad (34)$$

because  $(y_1, y_2)$  are the coordinates for fibers. From (33) and (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} de_0 &= \frac{\partial e_0}{\partial y_1} dy_1 + \frac{\partial e_0}{\partial y_2} dy_2 + \sum_j \frac{\partial e_0}{\partial x_j} dx_j = \sum_j (b_0^j e_0 + b_\alpha^j e_\alpha + b_{N+1}^j e_{N+1}) dx_j \\ &= \left( \sum_j b_0^j dx_j \right) e_0 + \left( \sum_j b_\alpha^j dx_j \right) e_\alpha + \left( \sum_j b_{N+1}^j dx_j \right) e_{N+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Since the 1-forms  $\omega_0^0, \omega_0^\alpha, \omega_{N+1}^\alpha$  in (31) are unique, from (35), it proves Claim (32).

By (31) and (32), we conclude  $\omega_0^\mu = 0, \forall \mu$ . By the Maurer-Cartan equation  $d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega$ , one gets  $0 = d\omega_0^\nu = -\omega_\alpha^\nu \wedge \omega_0^\alpha - \omega_{N+1}^\nu \wedge \omega_0^{N+1}$ , i.e.,  $0 = -\omega_\alpha^\nu \wedge \omega_0^\alpha \pmod{(\omega_0^{N+1})}$ . Then by Cartan's lemma,

$$\omega_\beta^\nu = q_{\alpha\beta}^\nu \omega_0^\alpha \pmod{(\omega_0^{N+1})},$$

for some functions  $q_{\alpha\beta}^\nu = q_{\beta\alpha}^\nu$ .

**The CR second fundamental form** In order to define the CR second fundamental form  $II_M = II_M^s = q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \omega_0^\alpha \omega_0^\beta \otimes \underline{e}_\mu \pmod{(\omega_0^{N+1})}$ , let us define  $\underline{e}_\mu$  as follows.

For any first-order adapted lift  $e = (e_0, e_\alpha, e_\nu, e_{N+1})$  with  $\pi_0(e_0) = x$ , we have  $e_\alpha \in \hat{T}_x^{1,0}M$ . Recall  $T_E G(k, V) \simeq E^* \otimes (V/E)$  where  $G(k, V)$  is the Grassmannian of  $k$ -planes that pass through the origin in a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $E \in G(k, V)$  ([IL03], p.73). Then  $T_x M \simeq (\hat{x})^* \otimes (\hat{T}_x M / \hat{x})$  and hence the vector  $e_\alpha$  induces  $\underline{e}_\alpha \in T_x^{1,0}M$  by

$$\underline{e}_\alpha = e^0 \otimes (e_\alpha \pmod{e_0}),$$

where we denote by  $(e^0, e^\alpha, e^\mu, e^{N+1})$  the dual basis of  $(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})^*$ . Similarly, we let

$$\underline{e}_\mu = e^0 \otimes (e_\mu \pmod{\hat{T}_x^{(1,0)}M}) \in N_x^{1,0}M, \quad (36)$$

where  $N^{1,0}M$  is the CR normal bundle of  $M$  defined by  $N_x^{1,0}M = T_x^{1,0}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})/T_x^{1,0}M$ .

By direct computation, we obtain a tensor

$$II_M = II_M^e = q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \omega_0^\alpha \omega_0^\beta \otimes \underline{e}_\mu \in \Gamma(M, S^2 T_{\pi_0(e_0)}^{1,0*} M \otimes N_{\pi_0(e_0)}^{1,0} M) \text{ mod } (\omega_0^{N+1}). \quad (37)$$

The tensor  $II_M$  is called the *CR second fundamental form* of  $M$ .

**Pulling back a lift** Let  $M \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be as above with a point  $Q_0 \in M$ . Let  $A \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ ,  $A^* \in \text{Aut}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$  with  $A^*(Q_0) = P_0$  and  $\widetilde{M} = A^*(M)$ . Let  $\widetilde{s} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$  be a lift. We claim:

$$s := A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s} \circ A^*, \quad (38)$$

is also a lift from  $M$  into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ . In fact, in order to prove that  $s$  is a lift, it suffices to prove:  $\pi s = Id$ , i.e., for any point  $Q \in M$  near  $Q_0$ ,  $\pi s(Q) = Q$ . In fact,

$$\pi s(Q) = \pi(A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s} \circ A^*)(Q) = \pi(A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s}(P)) = (A^*)^{-1}(\pi \widetilde{s}(P)) = (A^*)^{-1}(P) = Q.$$

so that our claim is proved.

If, in addition,  $\widetilde{s}$  is a first-order adapted lift of  $\widetilde{M}$  into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ ,  $s$  is also a first-order adapted lift of  $M$  into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be the Maurer-Cartan form over  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ . Then by the invariant property  $A^*\Omega = \Omega$ , we have  $s^*\Omega = (A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s} \circ A^*)^*\Omega = (A^*)^*(\widetilde{s})^*(A^{-1})^*\Omega = (A^*)^*(\widetilde{s})^*\Omega$ , i.e., it holds on  $M$  that

$$\omega = (A^*)^*\widetilde{\omega} \quad (39)$$

where  $\omega = s^*\Omega$  and  $\widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{s}^*\Omega$  so that  $\omega_0^\alpha = (A^*)^*\widetilde{\omega}_0^\alpha$  and  $\omega_\beta^\mu = (A^*)^*\widetilde{\omega}_\beta^\mu$ . The last equality yields

$$q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \widetilde{q}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \circ A^*. \quad (40)$$

## 6 CR second fundamental forms — Definition 4

Definition 4 will be the one as a tensor with respect to the group  $SU(N+1, 1)$ .

As for Definition 3, we consider the real hypersurface  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2}$  defined by the homogeneous equation

$$\langle Z, Z \rangle := \sum_A Z^A \overline{Z^A} + \frac{i}{2}(Z^{N+1} \overline{Z^0} - Z^0 \overline{Z^{N+1}}) = 0, \quad (41)$$

where  $Z = (Z^0, Z^A, Z^{N+1})^t \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ . This can be extended to the scalar product

$$\langle Z, Z' \rangle := \sum_A Z^A \overline{Z'^A} + \frac{i}{2}(Z^{N+1} \overline{Z'^0} - Z^0 \overline{Z'^{N+1}}), \quad (42)$$

for any  $Z = (Z^0, Z^A, Z^{N+1})^t, Z' = (Z'^0, Z'^A, Z'^{N+1})^t \in \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ . This product has the properties:  $\langle Z, Z' \rangle$  is linear in  $Z$  and anti-linear in  $Z'$ ;  $\langle Z, Z' \rangle = \langle Z', Z \rangle$ ; and  $Q$  is defined by  $\langle Z, Z \rangle = 0$ .

Let  $SU(N+1, 1)$  be the group of unimodular linear transformations of  $\mathbb{C}^{N+2}$  that leave the form  $\langle Z, Z \rangle$  invariant (cf. [CM74]).

By a  $Q$ -frame is meant an element  $E = (E_0, E_A, E_{N+1}) \in GL(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$  satisfying (cf. [CM74, (1.10)])

$$\begin{cases} \det(E) = 1, \\ \langle E_A, E_B \rangle = \delta_{AB}, \quad \langle E_0, E_{N+1} \rangle = -\langle E_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

while all other products are zero.

There is exactly one transformation of  $SU(N+1, 1)$  which maps a given  $Q$ -frame into another. By fixing one  $Q$ -frame as reference, the group  $SU(N+1, 1)$  can be identified with the space of all  $Q$ -frames. Then  $SU(N+1, 1) \subset GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$  is a subgroup with the composition operation. By (22) and the restriction, we have the projection

$$\pi : SU(N+1, 1) \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}, \quad (Z_0, Z_A, Z_{N+1}) \mapsto \text{span}(Z_0). \quad (44)$$

which is called a  $Q$ -frames bundle. We get  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1} \simeq SU(N+1, 1)/P_2$  where  $P_2$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $SU(N+1, 1)$ .  $SU(N+1, 1)$  acts on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  effectively.

Consider  $E = (E_0, E_A, E_{N+1}) \in SU(N+1, 1)$  as a local lift. Then the *Maurer-Cartan form*  $\Theta$  on  $SU(N+1, 1)$  is defined by  $dE = (dE_0, dE_A, dE_{N+1}) = E\Theta$ , or  $\Theta = E^{-1} \cdot dE$ , i.e.,

$$d \begin{pmatrix} E_0 & E_A & E_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_0 & E_B & E_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_0^0 & \Theta_A^0 & \Theta_{N+1}^0 \\ \Theta_0^B & \Theta_A^B & \Theta_{N+1}^B \\ \Theta_0^{N+1} & \Theta_A^{N+1} & \Theta_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

where  $\Theta_A^B$  are 1-forms on  $SU(N+1, 1)$ . By (43) and (45), the Maurer-Cartan form  $(\Theta)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_0^0 + \overline{\Theta_{N+1}^{N+1}} &= 0, \quad \Theta_0^{N+1} = \overline{\Theta_0^{N+1}}, \quad \Theta_{N+1}^0 = \overline{\Theta_{N+1}^0}, \\ \Theta_A^{N+1} &= 2i\overline{\Theta_0^A}, \quad \Theta_{N+1}^A = -\frac{i}{2}\overline{\Theta_0^A}, \quad \Theta_B^A + \overline{\Theta_A^B} = 0, \quad \Theta_0^0 + \Theta_A^A + \Theta_{N+1}^{N+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where  $1 \leq A \leq N$ . For example, from  $\langle E_A, E_B \rangle = \delta_{AB}$ , by taking differentiation, we obtain

$$\langle dE_A, E_B \rangle + \langle E_A, dE_B \rangle = 0.$$

By (45), we have

$$\begin{cases} dE_0 = E_0\Theta_0^0 + E_B\Theta_0^B + E_{N+1}\Theta_0^{N+1}, \\ dE_A = E_0\Theta_A^0 + E_B\Theta_A^B + E_{N+1}\Theta_A^{N+1}, \\ dE_{N+1} = E_0\Theta_{N+1}^0 + E_B\Theta_{N+1}^B + E_{N+1}\Theta_{N+1}^{N+1}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\langle E_0\Theta_A^0 + E_C\Theta_A^C + E_{N+1}\Theta_A^{N+1}, E_B \rangle + \langle E_A, E_0\Theta_B^0 + E_D\Theta_B^D + E_{N+1}\Theta_B^{N+1} \rangle = 0,$$

which implies  $\Theta_A^B + \overline{\Theta_B^A} = 0$ . In particular, from (46),  $\Theta_A^0 = -2i\overline{\Theta_{N+1}^A}$ .  $\Theta$  satisfies

$$d\Theta = -\Theta \wedge \Theta. \quad (47)$$

Let  $M \hookrightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be the image of  $H : M' \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  where  $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is a CR strictly pseudoconvex smooth hypersurface. Consider the inclusion map  $M \hookrightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  and a lift  $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{N+1}) = (e_0, e_\alpha, e_\nu, e_{N+1})$  of  $M$  where  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$  and  $n+1 \leq \nu \leq N$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & SU(N+1, 1) \\ & e \nearrow & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \hookrightarrow & \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1} \end{array}$$

We call  $e$  a *first-order adapted lift* if for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$\pi_0(e_0(x)) = x, \quad \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(e_0, e_\alpha)(x) = \hat{T}_x^{1,0}M, \quad \text{span}(e_0, e_\alpha, e_{N+1})(x) = \hat{T}_x^{1,0}M \oplus \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{M,x}. \quad (48)$$

Locally first-order adapted lifts always exist (see Theorem 7.1 below). We have the restriction bundle  $\mathcal{F}_M^0 := SU(N+1, 1)|_M$  over  $M$ . The subbundle  $\pi : \mathcal{F}_M^1 \rightarrow M$  of  $\mathcal{F}_M^0$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_M^1 = \{(e_0, e_j, e_\mu, e_{N+1}) \in \mathcal{F}_M^0 \mid [e_0] \in M, (48) \text{ are satisfied}\}.$$

Local sections of  $\mathcal{F}_M^1$  are exactly all local first-order adapted lifts of  $M$ . The fiber of  $\pi : \mathcal{F}_M^1 \rightarrow M$  over a point is isomorphic to the group

$$G_1 = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} g_0^0 & g_\beta^0 & g_\nu^0 & g_{N+1}^0 \\ 0 & g_\beta^\alpha & g_\nu^\alpha & g_{N+1}^\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & g_\nu^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(N+1, 1) \right\},$$

where we use the index ranges  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$  and  $n+1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq N$ .

By the remark below (29),  $g_{N+1}^{N+1}$  is real-valued. By (43), we have  $\langle g_0, g_{N+1} \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}$ , it implies  $g_0^0 \cdot \overline{g_{N+1}^{N+1}} = 1$ . In particular, both  $g_{N+1}^{N+1}$  and  $g_0^0$  are real. Since  $\langle g_0, g_\mu \rangle = 0$  and  $g_0^0 \neq 0$ , it implies  $g_\mu^{N+1} = 0$ . Since  $\langle g_\alpha, g_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ , it implies that the matrix  $(g_\alpha^\beta)$  is unitary. Since  $\deg(g) = 1$ , it implies  $g_0^0 \cdot \det(g_\alpha^\beta) \cdot \det(g_\mu^\nu) \cdot g_{N+1}^{N+1} = 1$ , i.e.,  $\det(g_\alpha^\beta) \cdot \det(g_\mu^\nu) = 1$ .

By considering all first-order adapted lifts from  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$ , as the definition of  $II_M$  in Definition 3, we can defined CR second fundamental form  $II_M$  as in (37):

$$II_M = II_M^e = q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \omega_0^\alpha \omega_0^\beta \otimes \underline{e}_\mu \in \Gamma(M, S^2 T_{\pi_0(e_0)}^{1,0*} M \otimes N_{\pi_0(e_0)}^{1,0} M), \quad \text{mod}(\omega_0^{N+1}), \quad (49)$$

which is a well-defined tensor, and is called the *CR second fundamental form* of  $M$ .

We remark that the notion of  $II_M$  in Definition 4 was introduced in a paper by S.H. Wang [Wa06].

**Pulling back a lift** Let  $M \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be as above with a point  $Q_0 \in M$ . Let  $A \in SU(N+1, 1)$ ,  $A^* \in \text{Aut}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$  with  $A^*(Q_0) = P_0$  and  $\widetilde{M} = A^*(M)$ . Let  $\widetilde{s} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow SU(N+1, 1)$  be a lift. We claim:

$$s := A^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{s} \circ A^*, \quad (50)$$

is also a lift from  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$ . Similarly as in (39) and (40), we have

$$\omega = (A^*)^* \widetilde{\omega} \quad (51)$$

and

$$q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \widetilde{q}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \circ A^*. \quad (52)$$

where  $\omega = s^* \Omega$ ,  $\widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{s}^* \Omega$  and  $\Omega$  is the Maurer-Cartan form over  $SU(N+1, 1)$ .

**[Example]** Consider the maps in (1) and (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p^0(z, w) &= (z + z_0, w + w_0 + 2i\langle z, \overline{z_0} \rangle), \\ \tau_p^F(z^*, w^*) &= (z^* - \widetilde{f}(z_0, w_0), w^* - \overline{g(z_0, w_0)} - 2i\langle z^*, \overline{\widetilde{f}(z_0, w_0)} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = (z_0, w_0)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $w = z_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma_p^0 \in \text{Aut}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ , and  $\tau_p^F \in \text{Aut}(\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ .

By (19) and (21), these two maps correspond to two matrices:

$$A_{\sigma_p^0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ z_{01} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{0n} & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ w_0 & 2i\overline{z_{01}} & \dots & 2i\overline{z_{0n}} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SU(n+1, 1) \quad (53)$$

and

$$A_{\sigma_p^F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{f}_{01} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\tilde{f}_{0N-n} & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ -g(z_0, w) & -2i\tilde{f}_1(z_0, w_0) & \dots & -2i\tilde{f}_{N-n}(z_0, w_0) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SU(N+1, 1) \quad (54)$$

where  $z_0 = (z_{01}, \dots, z_{0n})$  and  $w_0 = z_{0n+1}$ .  $\square$

**[Example]** Consider the map  $F_{\lambda, r, \vec{a}, U} = (f, g) \in \text{Aut}_0(\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$

$$f(z) = \frac{\lambda(z + \vec{a}w)U}{1 - 2i\langle z, \vec{a} \rangle - (r + i\|\vec{a}\|^2)w}, \quad g(z) = \frac{\lambda^2 w}{1 - 2i\langle z, \vec{a} \rangle - (r + i\|\vec{a}\|^2)w}$$

where  $\lambda > 0, r \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $U = (u_{\alpha\beta})$  is an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  unitary matrix. By (19) and (21), its corresponding matrix,

$$A_{F_{\lambda, r, \vec{a}, U}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2i\vec{a}_1 & \dots & -2i\vec{a}_n & -(r + i\|\vec{a}\|^2) \\ 0 & \lambda u_{11} & \dots & \lambda u_{1n} & \lambda a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \lambda u_{n1} & \dots & \lambda u_{nn} & \lambda a_n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (55)$$

is not in  $SU(n+1, 1)$  in general. In fact, we can write

$$F_{\lambda, r, \vec{a}, U} = F_{\lambda, 0, 0, Id} \circ F_{1, 0, 0, U} \circ F_{1, r, \vec{a}, Id}. \quad (56)$$

or  $A_{F_{\lambda, r, \vec{a}, U}} = A_{F_{\lambda, 0, 0, Id}} \cdot A_{F_{1, 0, 0, U}} \cdot A_{F_{1, r, \vec{a}, Id}}$ . Here  $A_{F_{1, 0, 0, U}}$  and  $A_{F_{1, r, \vec{a}, Id}}$  are in  $SU(N+1, 1)$ ; while  $A_{F_{\lambda, 0, 0, Id}}$  is in  $SU(N+1, 1)$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$ . Therefore

$$A_{F_{\lambda, r, \vec{a}, U}} \text{ is in } SU(n+1, 1) \text{ if and only if } \lambda = 1. \quad (57)$$

## 7 Existence of First-order Adapted Lifts from $M$ into $SU(N+1, 1)$ or into $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$

**Existence of first-order adapted lifts.** Let  $(M', 0)$  be a germ of smooth real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  defined by the defining function

$$r = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{z}_j + \frac{i}{2}(w - \bar{w}) + o(2). \quad (58)$$

We take

$$\theta = i\partial r = i\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j dz_j - \frac{1}{2}dw\right) + o(1).$$

as a contact form of  $M'$ .

Write  $w = u + iv$ . Here  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 + o(2)$ . Take  $(z_j, u)$  as a coordinates system of  $M'$ . By considering the coordinate map:  $h : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M'$ ,  $(z_j, u) \mapsto (z_j, u + i|z|^2 + o(2))$ , we get the pushforward

$$h_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}\right) = L_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i(\bar{z}_j + o(1))\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad h_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) = R_{M'} := (1 + o(1))\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{L_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  form a basis of the complex tangent bundle  $T^{1,0}M'$  of  $M'$ . Since  $d\alpha = -i\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ , we see that  $R$  is the Reeb vector field of  $M'$ . In particular, as the restriction at 0, we have

$$L_j|_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}|_0, \quad R_{M'}|_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}|_0. \quad (59)$$

**Theorem 7.1** *Let  $M \hookrightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be the image of  $H : M' \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  where  $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  is a smooth strictly pseudoconvex CR-hypersurface. Then for any point in  $M$ , the first-order adapted lift  $E = (E_0, E_\alpha, E_\mu, E_{N+1})$  of  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$  (hence into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ ) exists in some neighborhood of the point in  $M$ .*

*Proof: Step 1.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $0 \in M$  so that it suffices to construct a lift  $E = (E_0, E_\alpha, E_\mu, E_{N+1})$  in a neighborhood of the point 0. Here we denote  $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$  by 0.

Assume that  $M'$  is defined by the equation  $\text{Im } w = |z|^2 + o(|z|^2)$  in  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$  where  $w = u + iv$ . Assume that  $H = (1, f_\alpha, \phi_\mu, g)$  is the smooth CR embedding of  $M'$  into  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  with  $H(0) = 0$  and

$$f = z + O(|(z, w)|^2), \quad \phi = O(|(z, w)|^2), \quad g = w + O(|(z, w)|^2). \quad (60)$$

Let  $L_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be a basis of the CR vector fields and  $R$  is the Reeb vector field on  $M'$ . Then as in (59) with (60), we have

$$L_\alpha|_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}|_0, \quad \text{and} \quad R|_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}|_0. \quad (61)$$

It follows that  $\bar{L}_\alpha H = 0$  as  $H$  is a CR map. By the Lewy extension theorem,  $H$  extends holomorphically to one side of  $M'$ , denoted by  $D$ , where  $D$  is obtained by attaching the holomorphic discs. By applying the maximum principle and the Hopf lemma to the subharmonic function  $\sum |f_\alpha|^2 + \sum |\phi_\mu|^2 + \frac{i}{2}(g - \bar{g})$  on  $D$ , it follows that  $\frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} g}{\partial v}(0) \neq 0$ . Since  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{w}} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} g}{\partial u}(0) = 0$ , we have  $Rg(0) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(0) = \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} g}{\partial v}(0) \neq 0$ .

**Step 2. Direct construction of  $E_0, E_\alpha$  and  $E_{N+1}$**  We define

$$E_0 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ f_\alpha(z, w) \\ \phi_\mu(z, w) \\ g(z, w) \end{bmatrix} \quad (62)$$

which can be regarded as a point in  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ . Then  $\langle E_0, E_0 \rangle = 0$  holds:

$$\sum f_\alpha \bar{f}_\alpha + \sum \phi_\mu \bar{\phi}_\mu + \frac{i}{2}(g - \bar{g}) = 0, \quad \text{on } M. \quad (63)$$

Apply the CR vector field  $L_\beta$  to  $E_0$ , we define

$$\tilde{E}_\beta = (0, L_\beta f_\alpha, L_\beta \phi_\mu, L_\beta g)^t,$$

which form the basis of the complex tangent bundle  $T_{\pi_0(E_0)}^{1,0}(M)$ . Then in a neighborhood of 0 in  $M$ , we have

$$\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_0, \tilde{E}_\alpha) = \hat{T}_{\pi_0(E_0)}^{(1,0)} M.$$

Now, we have  $\langle E_0, \tilde{E}_\alpha \rangle = 0$  by applying  $L_\beta$  to (63):

$$\sum \bar{f}_\alpha L_\beta f_\alpha + \sum \bar{\phi}_\mu L_\beta \phi_\mu + \frac{i}{2} L_\beta g = 0. \quad (64)$$

By the Gram-Schmid orthonormalization procedure, we can obtain, from  $\{\tilde{E}_\beta\}$ , an orthonormal set with respect to the usual Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ ; we denote it by  $\{E_\beta\}$ . By the definition (42), we notice that for any  $Z = (Z^0, Z^A, Z^{N+1})$  and  $Z' = (Z'^0, Z'^A, Z'^{N+1})$ ,

$$\langle Z, Z' \rangle = \left\langle \left( \frac{i}{2} Z^{N+1}, Z^A, -\frac{i}{2} Z^0 \right), \left( Z'_0, Z'^A, Z'^{N+1} \right) \right\rangle_0 = \langle \hat{Z}, Z' \rangle_0, \quad (65)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$  is the usual Hermitian inner product and  $\hat{Z} := (\frac{i}{2} Z^{N+1}, Z^A, -\frac{i}{2} Z^0)$ . Then we see from (64) that

$$\langle E_0, E_\beta \rangle = \left\langle \left( \frac{i}{2} g, f_\alpha, \phi_\mu, -\frac{i}{2} \right), \left( 0, L_\beta f_\alpha, L_\beta \phi_\mu, L_\beta g \right) \right\rangle_0 = 0.$$

Also we observe  $\langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle = \langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle_0 = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then  $\langle E_0, E_0 \rangle = 0, \langle E_0, E_\beta \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  hold.

Applying the Reeb vector field  $R$ , we define another vector

$$\tilde{E}_{N+1} := (0, R f_\alpha, R \phi_\mu, R g)^t$$

over a neighborhood of 0 in  $M$  such that

$$\text{span}(E_0, E_\alpha, \tilde{E}_{N+1}) = \hat{T}_{\pi_0(E_0)}M.$$

We want to construct

$$E_{N+1} = AE_0 + B_\alpha E_\alpha + C\tilde{E}_{N+1}$$

such that

$$\langle E_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle = \frac{i}{2}, \langle E_\alpha, E_{N+1} \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle E_{N+1}, E_{N+1} \rangle = 0.$$

From  $\langle E_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle = \frac{i}{2}$ , we get  $\langle AE_0 + B_\alpha E_\alpha + C\tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle = \frac{i}{2}$  so that

$$C = \frac{i}{2\langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle}. \quad (66)$$

By (61), we notice that

$$\langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle|_0 = \sum \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial u}|_0 \bar{f}_\alpha(0) + \sum \frac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial u}|_0 \bar{\phi}_\mu(0) + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}|_0$$

and therefore  $\langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle(0) = \frac{i}{2} R g(0) \neq 0$ .

From  $\langle E_{N+1}, E_\alpha \rangle = 0$ , we get  $\langle AE_0 + B_\beta E_\beta + C\tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_\alpha \rangle = 0$  so that

$$B_\alpha = -C\delta_{\beta\alpha} \langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_\beta \rangle = -C \langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_\alpha \rangle. \quad (67)$$

From  $\langle E_{N+1}, E_{N+1} \rangle = 0$ , we get  $\langle AE_0 + B_\beta E_\beta + C\tilde{E}_{N+1}, AE_0 + B_\beta E_\beta + C\tilde{E}_{N+1} \rangle = 0$ . Since  $C \langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_0 \rangle = \frac{i}{2}$ ,  $\overline{C} \langle E_0, \tilde{E}_{N+1} \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}$ ,  $B_\alpha = -C \langle \tilde{E}_{N+1}, E_\alpha \rangle$  and  $\overline{B}_\alpha = -\overline{C} \langle E_\alpha, \tilde{E}_{N+1} \rangle$  by (66) and (67), we obtain

$$-\frac{i}{2}A + \frac{i}{2}\overline{A} - \sum_\alpha |B_\alpha|^2 + |C|^2 \langle E_{N+1}, E_{N+1} \rangle = 0,$$

so that

$$\text{Im}(A) = \sum_\alpha |B_\alpha|^2 - |C|^2 \langle E_{N+1}, E_{N+1} \rangle. \quad (68)$$

Therefore  $E_{N+1}$  is determined.

So far we have  $\langle E_0, E_0 \rangle = \langle E_{N+1}, E_{N+1} \rangle = \langle E_0, E_\beta \rangle = \langle E_{N+1}, E_\beta \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle E_\alpha, E_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\langle E_0, E_{N+1} \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}$  hold.

**Step 3. Construction of  $E$**  From Step 2, at the point 0, we have vectors

$$E_0|_0 = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0], \quad E_1|_0 = [0 : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0], \dots, E_n|_0 = [0 : 0 : \dots : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0], \quad (69)$$

and

$$E_{N+1}|_0 = [0 : 0 : \dots : 0 : 1]. \quad (70)$$

Therefore we can define  $E$  at the point 0 by

$$E(0) := Id \in SU(N+1, 1). \quad (71)$$

For any other point  $P$  in a small neighborhood of 0 in  $M$ , we are going to define  $E(P) \in SU(N+1, 1)$  as follows.

Write  $H(p) = P$  for some  $p \in M'$ . Then we take a map  $\Psi_P \in SU(N+1, 1)$  such that

$$\Psi_P^*(P) = 0, \quad T_0^1 \Psi(M) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_0|_0, E_\alpha|_0), \quad \text{and} \quad T_0 \Psi(M) = \text{span}(E_0|_0, E_\alpha|_0, E_{N+1}|_0).$$

where  $E_0|_0, E_\alpha|_0$  and  $E_{N+1}|_0$  are defined in (69) and (70). The map  $\Psi_P$  can be defined as  $A_{F_{1,r,\bar{a},U}} \circ A_{\sigma_P}$  where  $A_{\sigma_P} \in SU(N+1, 1)$  as in (54) and  $A_{F_{1,r,\bar{a},U}} \in SU(N+1, 1)$  as in (55). Notice in the construction of the normalization  $F^{**}$  and  $F^{***}$ , we can always choose  $\lambda = 1$  so that (56) can be used.  $\Psi_P$  is smooth as  $P$  varies. Then we define

$$E(P) := (\Psi_P^*)^* E(0) = (\Psi_P)^{-1} E(0). \quad (72)$$

This definition is the same as in (50). Since  $\Psi_P$  is invariant for the Hermitian scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined in (42) and  $E(0)$  satisfies the identities (43), it implies that  $E(P)$  satisfies the identities (43), i.e.,  $E(P) \in SU(N+1, 1)$ .

As a matrix, we denote  $E(P) = (\hat{E}_0, \hat{E}_\alpha, \hat{E}_\mu, \hat{E}_{N+1})$ . Since the map  $\Psi_P$  preserves the CR structures and the tangent vector spaces of  $M$  and  $\Psi_P(M)$ , we have

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\hat{E}_0, \hat{E}_\alpha) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_0, E_\alpha)|_P, \quad \text{span}(\hat{E}_0, \hat{E}_\alpha, \hat{E}_{N+1}) = \text{span}(E_0, E_\alpha, E_{N+1})|_P.$$

where  $E_0, E_\alpha$  and  $E_{N+1}$  are constructed in Step 2. We remark that we can replace  $(\hat{E}_0, \hat{E}_\alpha, \hat{E}_{N+1})$  by  $(E_0, E_\alpha, E_{N+1})$ .  $\square$

**Existence of a more special first-order adapted lifts when  $M$  is spherical** When  $M = F(\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  where  $F \in Prop_2(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \mathbb{H}^{N+1})$ , we can construct a more special first-order adapted lift of  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$  as follows (cf. [HJY09]).

Let  $F = (f, \phi, g) \in Prop_2(\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$  be any map with  $F = F_p^{***}$ . Then  $F(0) = 0$ . We introduce a local biholomorphic map near the origin

$$F_{fg} := (f, g) : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, (z, z_{N+1}) \mapsto (f, g) = (\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1})$$

with its inverse

$$F_{fg}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, (\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1}) \mapsto ((F_{fg}^{-1})^{(1)}, \dots, (F_{fg}^{-1})^{(n)}, (F_{fg}^{-1})^{(N+1)}) = (z, z_{N+1}).$$

Here we use  $(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1})$  as a coordinates system of  $M = F(\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  near  $F(0) = 0$ . Denote  $Proj_{fg} : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, (\hat{z}, \hat{z}_\mu, \hat{z}_{N+1}) \mapsto (\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1})$ . Then we have  $Proj_{fg} \circ F = F_{fg}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F : \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} & \rightarrow & M \\ & \searrow F_{fg} & \downarrow Proj_{fg} \\ & & \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

We also have a pair of inverse maps  $F : \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow M$  and  $(F_{fg}^{-1}) \circ Proj_{fg} : M \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

Locally we can regard  $M$  as a graph:  $F \circ F_{fg}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow M \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$ :

$$(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1}) \mapsto (\hat{z}, \phi((F_{fg})^{-1}(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1})), \hat{z}_{N+1})$$

Now let us define a lift of  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$

$$e = (e_0, e_\alpha, e_\mu, e_{N+1}) \in SU(N+1, 1), \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \quad n+1 \leq \mu \leq N \quad (73)$$

as follows.

We define  $e_0 : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$  be the inclusion:

$$e_0(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1}) = F \circ F_{fg}^{-1}(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1}) = \left[ 1 : \hat{z} : \phi((F_{fg})^{-1}(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1})) : \hat{z}_{N+1} \right]^t \quad (74)$$

$\forall (\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . We define  $e_\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+2}$  for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ :

$$e_\alpha := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|L_\alpha f|^2 + |L_\alpha \phi|^2}} [0 : L_\alpha f : L_\alpha \phi : L_\alpha g]^t \circ F_{fg}^{-1}. \quad (75)$$

where  $L_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} + 2i\bar{z}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{N+1}}$ . By the definition (42), we have  $\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = 0$  because  $f \cdot \bar{f} + \phi \cdot \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2i}(g - \bar{g}) = \hat{z} \cdot \bar{\hat{z}} + \phi((F_{fg})^{-1}(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1})) \overline{\phi((F_{fg})^{-1}(\hat{z}, \hat{z}_{N+1}))} + \frac{i}{2}(\hat{z}_{N+1} - \overline{\hat{z}_{N+1}}) = 0$  holds on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , and  $\langle e_0, e_\alpha \rangle = 0$  because  $L_\alpha f \cdot \bar{f} + L_\alpha \phi \cdot \bar{\phi} + \frac{i}{2}L_\alpha g = 0$  holds on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , and  $\langle e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  because  $L_\alpha f \cdot \overline{L_\beta f} + L_\alpha \phi \cdot \overline{L_\beta \phi} = 0$  holds on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

If we define  $\tilde{e}_{N+1} := (0, Tf, T\phi, Tg)^t \circ F_{fg}^{-1}$ , where  $T = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$  with  $z^{N+1} = u + iv$ , then  $\text{span}(e_0, e_\alpha, \bar{e}_\alpha, \tilde{e}_{N+1}) = \hat{T}_{\pi_0(e_0)}M$ . We then find coefficient functions  $A, B_\alpha$  and  $C$  such that  $e_{N+1} = Ae_0 + \sum B_\alpha e_\alpha + C\tilde{e}_{N+1}$  satisfies

$$\langle e_0, e_{N+1} \rangle = -\frac{i}{2}, \quad \langle e_\alpha, e_{N+1} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle e_{N+1}, e_{N+1} \rangle = 0. \quad (76)$$

## 8 Relationship among four definitions of $II_M$

**Lemma 8.1** *Let  $H : M' \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be a CR smooth embedding where  $M'$  is a strictly pseudoconvex smooth real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . We denote  $M = H(M')$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The CR second fundamental form  $II_M$  by Definition 1 identically vanishes.*
- (ii) *The CR second fundamental form  $II_M$  by Definition 2 identically vanishes.*
- (iii) *The CR second fundamental form  $II_M$  by Definition 3 identically vanishes.*
- (iv) *The CR second fundamental form  $II_M$  by Definition 4 identically vanishes.*

*Proof* (i)  $\iff$  (ii) by (15).

(iii)  $\iff$  (iv) The equivalence follows by the facts that, for Definition 3 and 4,  $II_M^e \equiv 0$  for one first-order adapted lift  $e$  if and only if  $II_M^s \equiv 0$  for any first-order adapted lift  $s$ , that a first-order adapted lift from  $M$  to  $SU(N+1, 1)$  must be a first-order adapted lift from  $M$  to  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$ .

(iv)  $\implies$  (i): Let  $M \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be a  $(2n+1)$  dimensional CR submanifold with CR dimension  $n$  that admits a first-order adapted lift  $e$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$ . Consider the pull-backed Maurer-Cartan form over  $M$  by  $e$

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0^0 & \omega_\beta^0 & \omega_\nu^0 & \omega_{N+1}^0 \\ \omega_0^\alpha & \omega_\beta^\alpha & \omega_\nu^\alpha & \omega_{N+1}^\alpha \\ 0 & \omega_\beta^\mu & \omega_\nu^\mu & \omega_{N+1}^\mu \\ \omega_0^{N+1} & \omega_\beta^{N+1} & 0 & \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0^0 + \overline{\omega_{N+1}^{N+1}} &= 0, \quad \omega_0^{N+1} = \overline{\omega_0^{N+1}}, \quad \omega_{N+1}^0 = \overline{\omega_{N+1}^0}, \\ \omega_A^{N+1} &= 2i\omega_0^A, \quad \omega_{N+1}^A = -\frac{i}{2}\omega_0^A, \quad \omega_B^A + \overline{\omega_A^B} = 0, \quad \omega_0^0 + \omega_A^A + \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where  $1 \leq A \leq N$ .

Let  $\theta = \omega_0^{N+1}$  which is a real 1-form by (77). By  $d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega$  and (77), we obtain

$$d\theta = -\omega_0^{N+1} \wedge \omega_0^0 - \omega_\alpha^{N+1} \wedge \omega_0^\alpha - \omega_{N+1}^{N+1} \wedge \omega_0^{N+1} = 2i\omega_0^\alpha \wedge \overline{\omega_0^\alpha} - \theta \wedge (\omega_0^0 + \overline{\omega_0^0}) = i\theta^\alpha \wedge \overline{\theta^\alpha},$$

where we denote

$$\theta^\alpha = \sqrt{2}\omega_0^\alpha + c_\alpha\theta \quad (78)$$

for some functions  $c_\alpha$ . Therefore, (8) holds and hence  $M$  is a strictly pseudoconvex pseudohermitian manifold with an admissible coframe  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$ . Hence Definition 4 of  $II_M \equiv 0$  implies Definition 1 of  $II_M \equiv 0$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (iv): Definition 1 of  $II_M$  gives a coframe  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  which corresponds to Definition 2 of  $II_M$  with respect to a defining function  $\rho$  of  $M$  in  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ .

Now take a first-order adapted lift  $e$  from  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$ . By (78), it corresponds to a coframe  $(\theta, \theta^\alpha)$  on  $M$  and by (16), it corresponds Definition 2 of  $II_M$  by some choice of the defining function  $\hat{\rho}$  of  $M$  in  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$ .

The above  $\rho$  and  $\hat{\rho}$  may not be the same. But Definition 2 of  $II_M \equiv 0$  is independent of choice of defining functions, which gives (i)  $\implies$  (iv).  $\square$

## 9 Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Lemma 9.1** (cf. [EHZ04], corollary 5.5) *Let  $H : M' \rightarrow M \hookrightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  be a smooth CR embedding of a strictly pseudoconvex smooth real hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Denote by  $(\omega_{\alpha\beta}^\mu)$  the CR second fundamental form matrix of  $H$  relative to an admissible coframe  $(\theta, \theta^A)$  on  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  adapted to  $M$ . If  $\omega_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \equiv 0$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\mu$ , then  $M'$  is locally CR-equivalent to  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1* **Step 1. Reduction to a problem for geometric rank** By Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 9.1 and the hypothesis that the CR second fundamental form identically vanishes, we know that  $M$  is locally CR equivalent to  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

Then  $M$  is the image of a local smooth CR map  $F : U \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow M \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}$  where  $U$  is a open set in  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . By a result of Forstneric[Fo89], the map  $F$  must be a rational map. It suffices to prove that  $F$  is equivalent to a linear map. By Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that the geometric rank of  $F$  is zero:  $\kappa_0 = 0$ .

Suppose  $\kappa_0 > 0$  and we seek a contradiction.

**Step 2. Reduction to a lift of  $((H \circ \tau_p^F)(M), 0)$**  Take any point  $p \in U \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  with  $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(p) > 0$ , and consider the associated map (see Lemma 2.1)

$$F_p^{***} = H \circ \tau_p^F \circ F \circ \sigma_p^0 \circ G : \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{N+1}, \quad F_p^{***}(0) = 0, \quad (79)$$

where  $\sigma_p^0$  is defined in (1),  $\tau_p^F$  is defined in (2),  $G \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  and  $H \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^{N+1})$  are automorphisms. By Theorem 2.3,  $F_p^{***} = (f, \phi, g)$  satisfies the following normalization conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_j = z_j + \frac{i\mu_j}{2} z_j w + o_{wt}(3), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_j}{\partial w^2}(0) = 0, \quad j = 1 \cdots, \kappa_0, \quad \mu_j > 0, \\ f_j = z_j + o_{wt}(3), \quad j = \kappa_0 + 1, \cdots, n-1 \\ g = w + o_{wt}(4), \\ \phi_{jl} = \mu_{jl} z_j z_l + o_{wt}(2), \quad \text{where } (j, l) \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu_{jl} > 0 \text{ for } (j, l) \in \mathcal{S}_0 \\ \text{and } \mu_{jl} = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right. \quad (80)$$

where  $\mu_{jl} = \sqrt{\mu_j + \mu_l}$  for  $j, l \leq \kappa_0$   $j \neq l$ ,  $\mu_{jl} = \sqrt{\mu_j}$  if  $j \leq \kappa_0$  and  $l > \kappa_0$  or if  $j = l \leq \kappa_0$ . Here the assumption that  $\kappa_0 > 0$  is used.

From (79) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M, F(p)) & \xrightarrow{H \circ \tau_p^F} & (H \circ \tau_p^F(M), 0) \\ \uparrow F & & \uparrow F_p^{***} \\ (\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, p) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_p^0 \circ G} & (\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, 0) \end{array}$$

If we can show that there exists a first-order adapted lift  $e$  from the submanifold  $H \circ \tau_p^F(M)$  near 0 into  $SU(N+1, 1)$  such that the corresponding CR second fundamental form

$$II_{H \circ \tau_p^F(M)}^e \neq 0 \text{ at } 0, \quad (81)$$

then we obtain a first-order adapted lift  $\tilde{e} := (H \circ \tau_p^F)^{-1} \circ e \circ H \circ \tau_p^F$  from the submanifold  $M$  near  $F(p)$  into  $GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+1})$  such that the corresponding CR second fundamental form

$$II_M^{\tilde{e}} \neq 0 \text{ at } F(p). \quad (82)$$

Notice that the map  $H \circ \tau_p^F \in GL^Q(\mathbb{C}^{N+2})$  but  $H \circ \tau_p^F \notin SU(N+1, 1)$ , so that the lift  $\tilde{e}$  is not from  $M$  into  $SU(N+1, 1)$ . This is why we have to introduce Definition 3.

Since we take arbitrary  $p \in \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , from (82) it concludes that  $II_M \neq 0$ , but this is a desired contradiction.

**Step 3. Calculation of the second fundamental form** It remains to prove existence of the lift  $e$  such that (81) holds.

The lift  $e$  constructed in the second half of Section 7 is a first-order adapted lift from  $H \circ \tau_p^F(M)$  near 0 into  $SU(N+1, 1)$  which defines a CR second fundamental form as a

tensor  $II_{H \circ \tau_p^F}^e = q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \omega^\alpha \omega^\beta \otimes (\underline{e}_\mu)$  in (49). If we can show

$$q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(0) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_\mu}{\partial z_\alpha \partial z_\beta} \Big|_0, \quad (83)$$

where  $F_p^{***} = (f, \phi, g) = (f_\alpha, \phi_\mu, g)$ . Since we assume that  $\kappa_0 > 0$ , by (80) and (83), it implies  $q_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(0) \neq 0, \forall \alpha, \beta$  and  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $II_{H \circ \tau_p^F}^e \neq 0$ . This proves (81).

Let  $E = (e_0, e_\alpha, \hat{E}_\mu, e_{N+1})$  be the lift constructed in Theorem 7.1 (see the remark at the end of the proof of Theorem 7.1) and in (74) (75) and (76). Since  $E|_0 = Id$ , we have

$$\omega|_0 = (E^{-1}|_0)(dE)|_0 = dE|_0$$

so that

$$\omega|_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & \dots & * \\ dz_1 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ dz_n & * & \dots & * \\ * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ * & * & \dots & * \\ dw & * & \dots & * \end{bmatrix} \Big|_0.$$

Hence  $\omega_0^1|_0 = dz_1, \dots, \omega_0^n|_0 = dz_n, \omega_0^{N+1}|_0 = dz_{N+1}$ . Then by applying the chain rule, we obtain

$$\omega_j^\mu|_0 = dE_j^\mu|_0 = d((L_j \phi_\mu) \circ (F_{fg})^{-1})|_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} ((L_j \phi_\mu) \circ (F_{fg})^{-1})|_0 dz_k = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_\mu}{\partial z_k \partial z_j} \Big|_0 \omega_0^k|_0,$$

for any  $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, N+1\}, n+1 \leq \mu \leq N$ . Hence (83) is proved. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [CM74] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*. Acta Math. 133 (1974), 219–271.
- [EHZ04] P. Ebenfelt, X. Huang and D. Zaitsev, *Rigidity of CR-immersions into spheres*. Comm. Anal. Geom. 12(2004), no. 3, 631–670.

- [Fa88] J. Faran, *The nonembeddability of real hypersurfaces in sphere*, Proc. A.M.S. 103(1988), 902-904.
- [Fa90] J. Faran, *A reflection principle for proper holomorphic mappings and geometric invariants*, Math. Z. 203 (1990), 363-377.
- [F086] F. Forstneric, *Embedding strictly pseudoconvex domains into balls*, Trans. A.M.S. 295(1986), 347-368.
- [KO06] S.Y. Kim and J.W. Oh, *Local embeddability of pseudohermitian manifolds into spheres*. Math. Ann. 334 (2006), no. 4, 783–807.
- [Fo89] F. Forstneric, *Extending proper holomorphic mappings of positive codimension*, Invent. Math., 95(1989), 31-62.
- [Hu99] X. Huang, *On a linearity problem of proper holomorphic mappings between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions*, J. of Diff. Geom. **51**(1999), 13–33.
- [Hu03] X. Huang, *On a semi-rigidity property for holomorphic maps*, Asian J. Math. Vol(7) No. 4(2003), 463-492.
- [HJY09] X. Huang, S. Ji and W. Yin, *The third gap for proper holomorphic maps between balls*, preprint.
- [IL03] T.A. Ivey and J.M. Landsberg, *Cartan for beginners: differential geometry via moving frames and exterior differential systems*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 61. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. xiv+378 pp.
- [La01] B. Lamel, *A reflection principle for real-analytic submanifolds of complex spaces*, J. Geom. Anal. 11, no. 4, 625-631, (2001).
- [T75] N. Tanaka, *A differential geometric study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds*. Lectures in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, No. 9. Kinokuniya Book-Store Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1975.
- [Wa06] S.H. Wang, *A gap rigidity for proper holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$  to  $\mathbb{B}^{3n-1}$* . arXiv.math/0604382v1 [math.DC], 2006.
- [We78] S.M. Webster *Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface*. J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978), no. 1, 25–41.

[We79] S.M. Webster *The rigidity of C-R hypersurfaces in a sphere*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979), no. 3, 405–416.

[Za08] D. Zaitsev, *Obstructions to embeddability into hyperquadrics and explicit examples*. Math Ann, 342(2088), 695-726.

Shanyu Ji (shanyuji@math.uh.edu), Department of Mathematics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204;

Yuan Yuan (yuanyuan@math.rutgers.edu), Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854.