

SOME IDENTITIES INVOLVING THREE KINDS OF COUNTING NUMBERS

L. C. Hsu

Mathematics Institute, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

Abstract. In this note, we present several identities involving binomial coefficients and the two kind of Stirling numbers.

1. Introduction

Adopting Knuth's notation, let us denote by $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ the unsigned (absolute) Stirling number of the first kind and the ordinary Stirling number of the second kind, respectively. Here in particular, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = 1$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = 0$ ($n > 0$), and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = 0$ ($0 \leq n < k$). Generally, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$, $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ and the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ may be regarded as the most important counting numbers in combinatorics. The object of this short note is to propose some combinatorial identities each consisting of these three kinds of counting numbers, namely the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \left[\begin{smallmatrix} k \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right] \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\} (-1)^k &= \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p \\ \sum_k \left[\begin{smallmatrix} k+1 \\ p+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} (-1)^k &= \binom{n}{p} (-1)^n \\ \sum_{j,k} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \binom{n}{j} (-1)^k &= (-1)^n \\ \sum_{j,k} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} k \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right] \binom{n}{j} (-1)^k &= (-1)^n \\ \sum_{j,k} \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} j+1 \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right] (-1)^j &= \begin{cases} 0, & (n+1 > p) \\ (-1)^n, & (n+1 = p) \end{cases} \\ \sum_{j,k} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] \binom{k}{j} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} j+1 \\ p \end{smallmatrix} \right\} (-1)^j &= \begin{cases} 0, & (n+1 > p) \\ (-1)^n, & (n+1 = p) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here each of the summations in (1) and (2) extends over all k such that $0 \leq k \leq n$ or $p \leq k \leq n$, and all the double summations within (3)–(6) are taken over all possible integers j and k such that $0 \leq j \leq k \leq n$.

Note that (1) is a well-known identity that has appeared in the Table 6.4 of Graham-Knuth-Patashnik's book [1] (cf. formula (6.24)). It is quite believable that (1) and (2) may be the most simple identities each connecting with the three kinds of counting numbers.

2. Proof of the identities

In order to verify (2)–(6), let us recall that the orthogonality relations

$$\sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ p \end{matrix} \right\} (-1)^{n-k} = \sum_k \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ p \end{bmatrix} (-1)^{n-k} = \delta_{np} = \begin{cases} 0, & (n \neq p) \\ 1, & (n = p) \end{cases}$$

are equivalent to the inverse relations

$$a_n = \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^{n-k} b_k \Leftrightarrow b_n = \sum_k \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} a_k.$$

Also, we shall make use of two known identities displayed in the Table 6.4 of [1], viz.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ p+1 \end{matrix} \right\} &= \sum_k \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ p \end{matrix} \right\} \\ \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ p+1 \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \binom{k}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take $a_n = (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ p+1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b_k = (-1)^k \binom{k}{p}$, so that (10) can be embedded in the first equation of (8). Thus it is seen that (10) can be inverted via (8) to get the identity (2).

(3) and (4) are trivial consequences of (7). Indeed rewriting (7) in the form

$$\sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} (-1)^k = \sum_k \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k = (-1)^n \delta_{nj} \quad (7)'$$

and noticing that $\sum_j \binom{n}{j} \delta_{nj} = \binom{n}{n} = 1$, we see that (3)–(4) follow at once from (7)′.

For proving (5), let us make use of (9) and (7) with p being replaced by j . We find

$$\sum_j \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} (-1)^j = \sum_j \sum_k \binom{n}{k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} (-1)^j = (-1)^n \delta_{n+1,p}.$$

Hence (5) is obtained.

Similarly, (6) is easily derived from (10) and (7).

3. Questions

It may be a question of certain interest to ask whether some of the identities (1)–(6) could be given some combinatorial interpretations with the aid of the inclusion-exclusion principle or the method of bijections. Also, we have not yet decided whether (1)–(6) could be proved by the method of generating functions (cf. [2]).

REFERENCES

- [1] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth & O.Patashnik. Concrete Mathematics. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1989; second edition, 1994.
- [2] H.S. Wilf. Generating functionology. New York: Academic Press, 1994.

AMS Classification Numbers: 05A10, 05A15, 05A19.