

## CASIMIR-POLDER POTENTIAL IN THERMAL NON-EQUILIBRIUM

S. Å. ELLINGSEN

*Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and  
Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

Y. SHERKUNOV

*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom*

S. Y. BUHMANN and S. SCHEEL

*Quantum Optics and Laser Science, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London,  
Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom*

Different non-equilibrium situations have recently been considered when studying the thermal Casimir–Polder interaction with a body. We show that the Keldysh Green function method provides a very general common framework for such studies where non-equilibrium of either the atom or the body with the environment can be accounted for. We apply the results to the case of ground state polar molecules out of equilibrium with their environment, observing several striking effects. We consider thermal Casimir–Polder potentials in planar configurations, and new results for a molecule in a cylindrical cavity are reported, showing similar characteristic behaviour as found in planar geometry.

### 1. Introduction

Casimir–Polder (CP) or retarded Van der Waals forces<sup>1</sup> is the name given to electromagnetic dispersion forces between electrically neutral, but polarisable particles (atoms, molecules) and macroscopic objects. In the present paper we discuss CP potentials on particles when the atom–body–environment system is not in thermal equilibrium. There exists a rich literature on CP forces in thermal equilibrium (cf. the citations in Ref. 2), and non-equilibrium systems of an excited atom inside planar structures have been studied for a long time.<sup>3,4</sup> The latter works showed that an atom excited to an energy higher than thermal energies will have a spatially oscillating component in both force and heating rate.

Two different types of non-equilibrium have recently been studied. The first study concerned atoms next to a substrate whose temperature differs from the environment temperature<sup>5,6</sup> where the present radiation was assumed to be practically unable to excite the atoms. It was found that the imbalance between environment and substrate temperature may lead to strong force components whose sign depends on which of the two temperatures is greater. This prediction was subsequently confirmed in an experiment by the group of Cornell.<sup>7</sup>

The second study considered particles in an equilibrium thermal background of bodies and environment at uniform temperature, but prepared in an arbitrary superposition of internal eigenstates, and thus not necessarily at equilibrium with this background<sup>8</sup> (cf. the similar results reported in Ref. 9; for an extension to non-uniform temperature environments, see Ref. 10). It was demonstrated that even ground state particles are subject to an oscillating force component in the presence of macroscopic bodies at nonzero temperature. While utterly unobservable for atoms (which are essentially in their ground state when thermalized at room temperature), there could be some hope of observing and even using this effect for molecules,<sup>11,12</sup> which have excited states of very low energy.

A similar investigation was recently made<sup>13</sup> by applying Keldysh theory to the system of two atoms prepared in an arbitrary state, in the presence of an external (thermal) electromagnetic field. In the first half of this article, we discuss the correspondence of the Keldysh formalism with both of the above non-equilibrium theories (Sec. 2). In the second half (Sec. 3), we apply the results for a particle in a uniform-temperature environment to planar and cylindrical cavities.

## 2. Correspondence of thermal non-equilibrium theories

The recent studies by Antezza, Pitaevskii and Stringari<sup>5</sup> (APS) on the one hand and Buhmann and Scheel<sup>8</sup> (BS) on the other hand both deal with non-equilibrium situations. However, the theory of APS is concerned with effects due to a thermal disequilibrium between different parts of the environment. The theory of BS describes an in some sense opposite situation where the focus is on a disequilibrium between the atom in a non-thermalized state and its environment which is itself in thermal equilibrium with uniform temperature.

In this section we demonstrate how the two situations may be bridged through a non-equilibrium theory of CP forces based on the Keldysh Green function method<sup>13</sup> by showing that the known results for the BS and APS

configurations can both be obtained within this formalism. We restrict our derivation to the interaction between a ground-state particle and a non-magnetic medium embedded in an external (thermal) electromagnetic field, neglecting the non-equilibrium dynamics. A more general situation will be considered elsewhere.

The CP potential of a polarisable ground-state particle at position  $\mathbf{r}$  next to a non-magnetic body embedded in an electromagnetic field can be calculated with the help of the Keldysh Green function technique. We calculate the density matrix of the atom using the Keldysh method.<sup>14</sup> Restricting our considerations to the potential at initial time, we may neglect terms associated with atomic non-equilibrium dynamics. The shift of the atomic ground-state due to the interaction with the electromagnetic field can then be found in terms of the photon Green functions (for details, see Ref. 13):

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 \text{TrIm} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \left[ \hbar\mu_0 \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega) - \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega) \right] \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega)$  is the ground-state polarizability of the atom and  $\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega)$  is the scattering part of the Green tensor of the electromagnetic field,  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega)$ , which in turn is the unique solution to

$$\left[ \nabla \times \nabla \times - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \right] \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \quad (2)$$

together with the boundary condition at infinity. Finally,  $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = -i \langle \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}', t') \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^T$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$  is the vector potential of the external electromagnetic field. Note that the Keldysh result offers a clear distinction between the influence of the zero-point fluctuations of the field, the first term in Eq. (1) and the contributions from external fields as contained in the second term.

Let us first compare the Keldysh method with the BS calculations.<sup>8</sup> If the environment containing the body and the external field is at global thermal equilibrium with a uniform temperature  $T_E$ , the density matrix  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  can be calculated with the help of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem:

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = -2i\hbar\mu_0 N(\omega, T_E) \text{Im} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega), \quad (3)$$

where  $N(\omega, T_E) = [\exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T_E) - 1]^{-1}$  denotes the thermal photon numbers. Substituting this into Eq. (1) and discarding the position-independent

contribution associated with the bulk Green tensor ( $\mathbf{G} \mapsto \mathbf{G}^{(1)}$ ), we find:

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\hbar\mu_0}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 [2N(\omega, T_E) + 1] \text{TrIm} \left[ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega) \right] \\ + \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 N(\omega, T_E) \text{Tr} \left[ \text{Im}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \text{Re}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega) \right]. \quad (4)$$

The first term in Eq. (4) can be cast into an alternative form by writing  $\text{Im}z = (z - z^*)/(2i)$ , using the identities  $\mathbf{G}^*(\omega) = \mathbf{G}(-\omega)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^*(\omega) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(-\omega)$  and making the substitution  $\omega \mapsto -\omega$ . The emerging integral over the entire real frequency axis can be completed to a closed contour by adding a vanishing integral over an infinite semi-circle in the upper half of the complex frequency plane. Evaluating the contour integral via Cauchy's theorem, we are left with the contributions from the poles  $i\xi_m$  of  $[2N(\omega, T_E) + 1]$ , viz.

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 k_B T \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \prime \xi_m^2 \text{Tr} \left[ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(i\xi_m) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, i\xi_m) \right] \\ + \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 N(\omega, T_E) \text{Tr} \left[ \text{Im}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \text{Re}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega) \right], \quad (5)$$

with  $\xi_m = 2\pi m k_B T / \hbar$ . The prime at the Matsubara sum indicates that the  $m = 0$  term carries half-weight. For an isotropic particle, the ground-state polarizability in the perturbative limit can be given as

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{3\hbar} \sum_k \left[ \frac{|\mathbf{d}_{0k}|^2}{\omega + \omega_k + i\epsilon} - \frac{|\mathbf{d}_{0k}|^2}{\omega - \omega_k + i\epsilon} \right] \mathbf{I} \quad (6)$$

[ $\omega_k = (E_k - E_0)/\hbar$  are transition frequencies;  $\mathbf{d}_{0k}$  are electric dipole matrix elements;  $\mathbf{I}$  is the unit tensor]. Using  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 1/(x + i\epsilon) = \mathcal{P}/x - i\pi\delta(x)$  (with  $\mathcal{P}$  principal value), the thermal CP potential of an isotropic ground-state atom is given by

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 k_B T \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \prime \xi_m^2 \alpha(i\xi_m) \text{Tr}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, i\xi_m) \\ + \frac{\mu_0}{3} \sum_k |\mathbf{d}_{0k}|^2 \omega_k^2 N(\omega_k, T_E) \text{TrRe}\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega_k). \quad (7)$$

The corresponding force  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla U(\mathbf{r})$  agrees exactly with the BS result for the force at initial time on a ground-state atom in the perturbative limit, cf. Eq. (25) of Ref. 8. Cf. this reference for details of the derivation and the dynamical and nonperturbative generalisation of this result for arbitrary (incoherent) initial-state preparation of the atom. The first term of Eq. (7) is the non-resonant force, while the second term is a resonant term due to

absorption processes, which are pure non-equilibrium effects. Note that such processes will unavoidably heat the atom, so that our result is only valid at initial time when the atom is still in its ground state. When the atom has become thermalized, the resonant term vanishes and the expression reduces to a pure Matsubara-type sum similar to the non-resonant expression.

In the APS case,<sup>5</sup> the body is assumed to be held at a uniform temperature  $T_S$  different from that of the environment,  $T_E$ , such that the total system is in a stationary non-equilibrium. The atom is assumed to be at zero temperature in the sense that the thermal energies  $k_B T_S, k_B T_E$  are much smaller than the energies  $\hbar\omega_k$  necessary to excite the atom. This means that terms  $N(\omega, T_E)\text{Im}\alpha(\omega)$ , which are proportional to the occupation numbers of photons at the atomic frequencies, cf. Eq. (6), can be neglected. The density matrix  $\rho$  obeys the kinetic-like equation emerging in the Keldysh method:<sup>15</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') &= \rho_0(\mathbf{r}, t, \mathbf{r}', t') + \frac{\mu_0}{\hbar} \int d^3 r_1 \int dt_1 \int d^3 r_2 \int dt_2 [\rho_0(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}_1, t_1) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_A(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1; \mathbf{r}_2, t_2) \cdot \mathbf{G}_A(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2; \mathbf{r}', t') \\ &\quad + \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}_1, t_1) \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_R(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1; \mathbf{r}_2, t_2) \cdot \rho(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2; \mathbf{r}', t') \\ &\quad - \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}_1, t_1) \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_{12}(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1; \mathbf{r}_2, t_2) \cdot \mathbf{G}_A(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2; \mathbf{r}', t') / (\mu_0 \hbar)]; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') &= \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') + \frac{\mu_0}{\hbar} \int d^3 r_1 \int dt_1 \int d^3 r_2 \int dt_2 \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}_1, t_1) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_R(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1; \mathbf{r}_2, t_2) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2; \mathbf{r}', t'). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here, the index 0 corresponds to free fields;  $\mathbf{G}_A = \mathbf{G}^\dagger$  is the advanced Green tensor; and  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  are polarisation operators defined in terms of fluctuating currents  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{12}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = -i\langle \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}', t') \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle^T$ ,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{21}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = -i\langle \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle$ , and  $\mathbf{\Pi}_R(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = [\mathbf{\Pi}_{12}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') - \mathbf{\Pi}_{21}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t')] \Theta(t - t')$ ,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_A = \mathbf{\Pi}_R^\dagger$ , where  $\Theta$  is the Heaviside function. If the body was in equilibrium with the environment, the solution of Eq. (8) would be given by Eq. (3). To account for effects due to the temperature difference between the body and the environment, we now solve Eq. (8) iteratively starting with the equilibrium solution (3). The first approximation reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) &= -2i\hbar\mu_0 N(T_E, \omega) \text{Im}\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) - \mu_0^2 \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1, \omega) \\ &\quad \cdot \{N(T_E, \omega) \mathbf{\Pi}_{21}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega) - [N(T_E, \omega) + 1] \mathbf{\Pi}_{12}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega)\} \cdot \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}', \omega). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here, we have used Eq. (9), the free-field density matrix  $\rho_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) =$

$-2i\hbar\mu_0 N(\omega, T_E) \text{Im} \mathbf{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega)$  and the relation<sup>15</sup>  $\mathbf{\Pi}_R - \mathbf{\Pi}_A = \mathbf{\Pi}_{12} - \mathbf{\Pi}_{21}$  for the polarization operators. Resubstituting Eq. (10) into the r.h.s. of Eq. (8), we obtain a result of the form (10) with  $\mathbf{G}_0 \mapsto \mathbf{G}_0 + \mathbf{G}_0 \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_R \cdot \mathbf{G}_0$ . Repeating the iterations many times and performing the sum via a Born series  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_0 + \mathbf{G}_0 \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_R \cdot \mathbf{G}_0 + \mathbf{G}_0 \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_R \cdot \mathbf{G}_0 \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_R \cdot \mathbf{G}_0 + \dots$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = & -\hbar\mu_0 \left[ 2iN(T_E, \omega) \text{Im} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) + \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1, \omega) \right. \\ & \cdot \{N(T_E, \omega) \mathbf{\Pi}_{21}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega) - [N(T_E, \omega) + 1] \mathbf{\Pi}_{12}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega)\} \cdot \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

As stated, the body is assumed to be at local thermal equilibrium with temperature  $T_S$ . This allows us to implement the fluctuation–dissipation theorem to calculate the polarisation operators:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_{12}(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon_0}{2\pi} \omega^2 N(\omega, T_S) \text{Im} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'); \quad (12a)$$

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_{21}(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{i\hbar\varepsilon_0}{2\pi} \omega^2 [N(\omega, T_S) + 1] \text{Im} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (12b)$$

Inserting Eqs. (12) and (11) into Eq. (1), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{r}) = & -\frac{\hbar\mu_0}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 [2N(\omega, T_E) + 1] \text{Im} \text{Tr} [\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega)] \\ & + \text{Re} \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\omega^4}{c^2} \int d^3 s \text{Im} \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}, \omega) \{N(\omega, T_E) [N(\omega, T_S) + 1] \\ & - [N(\omega, T_E) + 1] N(\omega, T_S)\} \text{Tr} [\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}, \omega)]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The first term of Eq. (13) describes the equilibrium CP force, cf. Eq. (4) above, the second term corresponds to the case when the medium is not in equilibrium with the field. If the medium is a homogeneous body of permittivity  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  occupying a volume  $V_S$ , then the latter leads to just the APS result for the non-equilibrium force  $\mathbf{F}_{\text{neq}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla U_{\text{neq}}(\mathbf{r})$  [see Eqs. (7) and (9) of Ref. 5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\text{neq}}(\mathbf{r}) = & \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\omega^4}{c^2} \int_{V_S} d^3 s \left[ \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T_S} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T_E} - 1} \right] \\ & \times \text{Im} \varepsilon(\omega) \{ \nabla' \text{Re} \text{Tr} [\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}', \omega)] \}_{\mathbf{r}'=\mathbf{r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

### 3. Thermal CP potential on a particle in uniform temperature environment

To illustrate the non-equilibrium effects, we apply the general theory of the previous section to specific scenarios. We will concentrate on a non-equilibrium between the particle and the electromagnetic field and consider

the potential (7) of a ground-state particle in an environment of uniform temperature.

### 3.1. Planar systems

The trace of the Green tensor at a distance  $z$  to the right of a half-space with reflection coefficients  $r_s, r_p$  for  $s, p$  polarization is<sup>16</sup>

$$\text{Tr}\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \omega) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{q dq}{\beta} \left[ \frac{2\beta^2 c^2}{\omega^2} r_p - \sum_{\sigma=s,p} r_\sigma \right] e^{2i\beta z} \quad (15)$$

with  $\beta = \sqrt{\omega^2/c^2 - q^2}$ . The integral over transverse momentum  $q$  naturally separates into a propagating part  $q < \omega/c$  and an evanescent part  $q > \omega/c$ .

We use Eq. (15) to calculate the force on a ground state LiH molecule outside a gold half-space at  $T = 300\text{K}$ . The result is striking (Fig. 1a): the evanescent part almost exactly cancels the non-resonant part, and the propagating part is spatially oscillating and dominates in the retarded regime.




Fig. 1. (a) Components of the thermal CP force  $F_z = -\partial U/(\partial z)$  on a ground state LiH molecule outside a gold half space at 300K. (b) Enhanced potential from cavity of width  $a = \lambda_{k_0}$  (solid line). The dashed line indicates the potential outside a single half-space.

Unfortunately, the spatially oscillating propagating force component is very weak outside a half-space. We have investigated a scheme to enhance the amplitude of the oscillating potential by fine-tuning the width of a planar cavity to exactly one wavelength of light which resonates with the dominating molecular transition.<sup>12</sup> Figure 1b shows that the scheme works in principle, but the enhancement factor thus achieved is not enough to bring the oscillations into a regime which is likely to be observable. The

reason for this is primarily that the enhancement factor scales with the logarithm of the Q-factor of the cavity (shown analytically and numerically in Ref. 12) which strongly limits the potentiality of such a scheme.

### 3.2. Cylindrical cavity

Another candidate geometry is a molecule situated inside a cylindrical cavity of radius  $R$ . At certain specific radii, resonances like that for the planar cavity are expected to occur, where the two-dimensional mode confinement holds the promise that resonant force components may be much stronger than in the planar case. As a first step towards exploiting this effect to realise strong thermal CP forces, we investigate the spatial profile of resonant forces inside the cylindrical cavity. Work on this problem is continuing.

The trace of the Green tensor for points  $\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} = (\rho, \theta, z)$  inside a cylindrical vacuum cavity in an unbounded non-magnetic medium of permittivity  $\varepsilon$  may, after much simplification, be written as<sup>17,18</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\mathbf{G}(\rho, \rho; \omega) &= \frac{ik}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dt \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (r_M + t^2 r_N) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \frac{n^2}{\phi^2 x^2} J_n^2(\phi x) + J_n'^2(\phi x) \right] + r_N \frac{x^2}{g^2} J_n^2(\phi x) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here,  $x = g\sqrt{1-t^2}$ ,  $g = kR$  and  $k = \omega/c$ . The dimensionless radial co-ordinate is  $\phi = \rho/R$  and the integration variable  $t$  is the dimensionless momentum component along the cylinder axis,  $h$ , relative to  $k$ .<sup>†</sup> The reflection coefficients read  $r_{M,N} = -[H_n^{(1)}(x)/J_n(x)]\tilde{r}_{M,N}$  with  $\tilde{r}_{M,N} = (A + B_{M,N})/(A + B_D)$  and

$$A = n^2[x^6 - (2x_1^2 + g^2)x^4 + (2g^2 + x_1^2)x_1^2x^2 - g^2x_1^4], \quad (17a)$$

$$B_M = g^2x_1^2x^2[\varepsilon\tilde{h}_1^2x^2 - (\tilde{h}_1\tilde{j}_2 + \varepsilon\tilde{h}_1\tilde{h}_2)x_1x + \tilde{h}_2\tilde{j}_2x_1^2], \quad (17b)$$

$$B_N = g^2x_1^2x^2[\varepsilon\tilde{h}_1^2x^2 - (\varepsilon\tilde{h}_1\tilde{j}_2 + \tilde{h}_1\tilde{h}_2)x_1x + \tilde{h}_2\tilde{j}_2x_1^2], \quad (17c)$$

$$B_D = g^2x_1^2x^2[\varepsilon\tilde{h}_1^2x^2 - (\varepsilon + 1)\tilde{h}_1\tilde{j}_2x_1x + \tilde{j}_2^2x_1^2] \quad (17d)$$

$[x_1 = g\sqrt{\varepsilon - t^2}; x_2 = x; \tilde{j}_j = J_n'(x_j)/J_n(x_j); \tilde{h}_j = H_n^{(1)'}(x_j)/H_n^{(1)}(x_j)]$ .

In the perfectly conducting limit  $|\varepsilon| \rightarrow \infty$  (at nonzero  $\omega$ ) we find

$$r_M \rightarrow -\frac{H_n^{(1)'}(x)}{J_n'(x)}; \quad r_N \rightarrow -\frac{H_n^{(1)}(x)}{J_n(x)}. \quad (18)$$

<sup>†</sup>For the non-resonant term the dimensionless substitution variable  $t = h/k$  cannot be used since  $k = 0$  for the zeroth Matsubara term. We use  $\tilde{t} = hR = gt$  in this case.



For the perfectly conducting cylinder, thus, the resonant radii for radiation of a given frequency are  $R_{ni}(\omega)$  and  $R'_{ni}(\omega)$  with  $R_{ni}^{(l)}(\omega) = c j_{ni}^{(l)}/\omega$  wherein  $j_{ni}$  and  $j'_{ni}$  are the  $i$ th zero of  $J_n(x)$  and  $J'_n(x)$ , respectively. When  $\varepsilon < \infty$  the resonances move away from these values. Further details and analysis will be reported elsewhere.




Fig. 2. The thermal CP potential on a LiH molecule in an infinitely thick cylindrical gold cavity at  $T = 300\text{K}$ . The radius is  $R = 1.5R_{11} \approx 618\mu\text{m}$ .

We plot the potential for the example of  $R = 1.5R_{11}$  for ground-state LiH in Fig. 2. For technical reasons, in the cylindrical geometry splitting the resonant potential into propagating and evanescent parts is no longer natural and straightforward. As a benchmark we have checked that the potential outside a plane is regained close to the cylinder boundary in the limit of large cylinder radius  $R \gg 2\pi/k_{10}$ .

Figure 2 shows clearly that the peculiar traits observed for the resonant potential outside a half-space, depicted in Fig. 1a, are present also in the cylindrical cavity as one would expect. The resonant potential once again almost cancels the non-resonant term close to the surface giving a resulting attractive force in the near zone which is dramatically reduced compared to the non-resonant term alone. As before the retarded regime is dominated by oscillating behaviour. However, we also observe qualitative differences from the planar case, e.g., the potential minimum closest to the wall is no longer the deepest one as is the case in a planar cavity.<sup>12</sup>

#### 4. Summary

We have demonstrated that the recent complementary theories for the thermal CP force between a ground-state particle and a body at non-equilibrium

between either the particle or the body and the electromagnetic field may both be obtained using the Keldysh formalism. Applying the results to planar and cylindrical geometries of uniform temperature, we have found that even a ground-state particle is subject to resonant force components which in both geometries strongly cancel the well-known resonant force in the nonretarded regime and lead to spatially oscillating forces for retarded distances. The latter are expected to be much enhanced for the cylindrical cavity, opening the perspective towards molecule guiding with thermal photons.

### Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported partially by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council. We acknowledge financial support by the European Science Foundation (ESF) within the activity 'New Trends and Applications of the Casimir Effect' ([www.casimir-network.com](http://www.casimir-network.com)) and useful discussions with Dr. Mauro Antezza and Dr. Carsten Henkel. S.Y.B. is grateful for support by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation. We finally thank Professor Kimball Milton and the local organisers for an excellent conference.

### References

1. H.B.G. Casimir and D. Polder, *Phys.Rev.* **73**, 360 (1948).
2. S.Y. Buhmann and D.-G. Welsch, *Prog.Quantum Electron.* **31**, 51 (2007).
3. G. Barton, *Proc.R.Soc. London A* **320**, 251 (1970); **367**, 117 (1979); **410**, 141 (1987).
4. W. Jhe, *Phys.Rev. A* **43**, 5795 (1991); **44**, 5932 (1991).
5. M. Antezza et al., *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **95**, 113202 (2005).
6. M. Antezza et al., *Phys.Rev. A* **77**, 022901 (2008).
7. J.M. Obrecht et al., *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **98**, 063201 (2007).
8. S.Y. Buhmann and S. Scheel, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **100**, 253201 (2008).
9. M.-P. Gorza and M. Ducloy, *Euro.Phys.J. D* **40**, 343 (2006).
10. S.Y. Buhmann and S. Scheel, *Phys.Scripta T* **135**, 014013 (2009).
11. S.Å. Ellingsen, S.Y. Buhmann, and S. Scheel, *Phys.Rev. A* **79**, 052903 (2009).
12. S.Å. Ellingsen, S.Y. Buhmann, and S. Scheel, *Phys.Rev. A* **80**, 022901 (2009).
13. Y. Sherkunov, *Phys.Rev. A* **79**, 032101 (2009).
14. L.V. Keldysh, *Sov.Phys. JETP* **20**, 1018 (1965).
15. E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Course of Theoretical Physics, Physical Kinetics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1981).
16. M.S. Tomaš, *Phys.Rev. A* **51**, 2545 (1995).
17. L.-W. Li et al., *J. Electromag. Waves Appl.* **14**, 961 (2000).
18. S. Scheel and S.Y. Buhmann, *Acta Phys. Slov.* **58**, 675 (2008).